1 2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
3	LINDSIE NEWMAN, No. <b>Etect</b> ronically File	Ч
4	Appellant, Apr 24 2015 04:59 No. Tracle K. Lindema	9 p.m. In
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Court
6	Respondent.	
7	/	
8	EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER NRAP 27(E)	
9	BAIL PENDING APPEAL	
10	COMES NOW, Appellant LINDSIE NEWMAN, through her appointed	
11	counsel, KARIN L. KRIEZENBECK, Nevada State Public Defender, and	
12	SALLY DESOTO, Chief Appellate Deputy, and moves this Court for an	
13	Emergency Order granting her release pending appeal, to be decided and	
14	ordered no later than May 9, 2015.	
15	This Motion is brought pursuant to NRS 178.488 and NRAP Rule 8,	
16	//	
17	//	
18	//	
19	//	
20	//	
	1	

1	NRAP 27(e), and is based upon	the accompa	anying Memorandum of Points and
2	Authorities.		
3	DATED this 24th	day of April,	, 2015.
4			KRIEZENBECK te Public Defender
5		By: /S/	SALLY DESOTO
6			Chief Appellate Deputy Bar I.D No. 8790
7			511 East Robinson Street, Suite 1 Carson City, Nevada 89701
8			(775)687-1080
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

1	Appellant is presently imprisoned at Florence McClure Women's			
2	Correctional Center and is seven months pregnant in a high-risk pregnancy.			
3	This motion is being filed at the earliest possible time based on the research that			
4	staff had to conduct to insure that the appeal was not frivolous. She is due to			
5	deliver at mid-June, 2015, but based on the high-risk status of her pregnancy,			
6	she will probably deliver sooner.			
7	Generally, a stay or injunction pending appeal must ordinarily move first			
8	in the district court. Appellant requests that this Court grant that this motion for			
9	relief be filed directly before the Court because a motion to the district court			
10	would be impracticable considering the issue on appeal. NRAP Rule			
11	8(a)(2)(A)(i).			
12	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES			
13	NDS 179 499 provides			
14	NRS 178.488 provides:			
15	1. Bail may be allowed pending appeal or certiorari unless it appears that the appeal is frivolous or taken for a delay.			
16	2. [inapplicable]			
17	3. Pending appeal or certiorari to the appellate court of competent			
18	jurisdiction pursuant to the rules fixed by the Supreme Court pursuant to Section 4 of Article 6 of the Nevada Constitution, bail			
19	may be allowed by the District Court or by any judge thereof or the Supreme Court or a justice thereof.			
20	4. Any court or any judge or justice authorized to grant bail may at any time revoke the order admitting the defendant to bail.			

2

3

5. The court or judge by whom bail may be ordered shall require such notice of the application therefor as the court or judge may deem reasonable to be given to the district attorney of the county in which the verdict or judgment was originally rendered.

In adjudicating this motion, the Court must consider whether the appeal 4 was frivolous or taken for delay, and whether the applicant's release on bail 5 would pose a danger to the community or a risk of flight. See Lane v. State, 98 6 Nev. 458, 459-60, 652 P.2d 1174 (1982); In Re Austin, 86 Nev. 798, 802, 477 7 8 P.2d 873, 875 (1970). The mere fact of a conviction does not end the court's 9 authority to evaluate the quality of the legal and factual underpinnings of a conviction in considering a Motion for Bail or to give "due weight to the 10 evidence and circumstances of the offense." Bergna v. State, 120 Nev. 869, 11 12 874, 102 P.3d 549, 552 (2004). The fact that the defendant was convicted of a 13 violent and serious felony is highly relevant to the danger that might be posed by his release, but the nature and quality of the alleged legal errors may raise 14 serious concerns respecting the validity of the conviction, and may weigh 15 heavily in favor of granting the application for bail pending review. Bergna, Id. 16 If the judge views the defendant's release as posing a risk of danger o flight, he 17 should point to those facts in the record which foreshadows such a possibility. 18 *Bergna*, 120 Nev. at 875, 102 P.3d at 553. 19

20 /

a. Risk of Danger/Flight.

2

1

We began with the "danger to the public" factor.

3 Appellant risks no danger to the public. At most, there is a risk to herself.
4 Appellant is in a high-risk pregnancy and due to deliver at mid-June, 2015, but
5 most likely will deliver sooner.<sup>1</sup>

6 The basis for this appeal is the fact that the district court sentenced
7 Appellant to a longer sentence based on her status as a pregnant addict, and this
8 will be discussed further below. But at this juncture, this Court should note that
9 Appellant's condition suggests that she is not even remotely a risk of danger or
10 flight if released.

And in fact, this Court can construct conditions that would insure there
would be no flight. Appellant has the support of family—this Court may order
that Appellant remain on house arrest with GPS monitoring at the residence of
her parents or one of her siblings—both are very stable environments. Further,
to insure compliance with orders restricting drug use, color-coded drug testing
can be ordered and supervision with the Division of Parole and Probation,
including a search and seizure clause.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Because the issue would become moot upon the birth of Appellant's child, this issue is preserved because pregnancy "provides a classic justification for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> conclusion of nonmootness." *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 124-25, 93 S. Ct. 705, 712-13 (1973).

## b. Non-Frivolous Issue

1

During Appellant's sentencing hearing on March 23, 2015, the district
judge stated on three occasions that his main concern was that Appellant be in
custody "long enough for that child to be born" and "to protect that unborn
child." See attached exhibit, sentencing transcripts, March 23, 2015 at 7:1-5,
9:3-8; 13:16-19.

7 The Nevada Supreme Court has stated that it will refrain from interfering 8 with the sentence imposed by the district court "[s]o long as the record does not 9 demonstrate prejudice resulting from consideration of information or 10 accusations founded on facts supported only by impalpable or highly suspect 11 evidence." *Silks v. State*, 92 Nev. 91, 94, 545 P.2d 1159, 1161 (1976).

The district court abused its discretion by sentencing Appellant to a more
severe sentence based on her status as a pregnant addict.

Addiction to the use of narcotics is "said to be a status or condition and not an act." *Robinson v. California*, 370 U.S. 660, 662, 82 S.Ct. 1417, 1418 (1962). "[a] state law which imprisons a person thus afflicted [with addiction to narcotics] as a criminal . . . inflicts a cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the *Fourteenth Amendment*." Id.at U.S. 667, 82 S.Ct. at 1420-21.

19 Notably, Nevada has no statutes that criminalize substance abuse during
20 pregnancy. In fact, as decided in *Sheriff v. Encoe*, "[t]he legislature is an

- 6 -

1	appropriate forum to discuss public policy, as well as the complexity of prenatal
2	drug use, its effect upon an infant, and its criminalization." 110 Nev. 1317,
3	1320, 885 P.2d 596, 598 (1994), citing People v. Hardy, 469 N.W.2d 50, 53
4	(Mich. Ct. App. 1991). The district court's decision in this case encroaches on
5	the legislative power in violation of separation of powers. "Judges who take it
6	upon themselves to solve problems of drug-exposed infants, however
7	sympathetic their actions may be, are acting like legislators and are making
8	medical decisions that they are usually ill-equipped to make." Becker and Hora,
9	The Legal Community's Response to Drug Use During Pregnancy in the
10	Criminal Sentencing and Dependency Contexts: A Survey of Judges,
11	Prosecuting Attorneys, and Defense Attorneys in Ten California Counties, S.
12	Cal. Riv. L. & Women's Stud., 527, 531 (Spring 1993).
13	Although certainly not binding, the New Jersey Superior Court, Appellate
14	Division, recently decided a case that is on point with the present case. 850
15	A2d 516 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2004). In that case, defendant Ikerd, a
16	pregnant addict, was sentenced to a more severe sentence based on the fact that
17	she was pregnant. Id. at 519. In fact, the court instructed defense counsel that if
18	defendant lost the baby, they could make an application to the court, but in the
19	meantime "I want to keep her off the street. I don't want her using drugs. The
20	only way I can do it is by putting her in jail." <i>Id</i> . at 617.

- 7 -

1	"The purpose of the criminal justice system is to determine whether a crime has		
2	been committed and, if so, to punish the guilty parties—not to determine the		
3	most effective policy to combat a particular social ill." <i>Id.</i> at 621, quoting <i>State</i>		
4	v. Des Marets, 455 A.2d 1074 (1983); see also, Becker, Order in the Court:		
5	Challenging Judges Who Incarcerate Pregnant, Substance-Dependent		
6	Defendants to Protect Fetal Health, 19 Hastings Const. L. Q. 235 (Fall 1991).		
7	Accordingly, this Motion should be granted as Appellant has a non-		
8	frivolous issue to explore on appeal. Most certainly, the Court can fashion		
9	conditions of release that will protect Appellant. Given her high-risk pregnancy		
10	0 and the stable influence of family and long-time ties to the community, it is		
11	implausible that Appellant would flee.		
12	DATED this 24th day of April, 2015.		
13	KARIN L. KRIEZENBECK Nevada State Public Defender		
14			
15	By: /S/ SALLY DESOTO Chief Appellate Deputy		
16	Bar I.D No. 8790 511 East Robinson Street, Suite 1		
17	Carson City, Nevada 89701 (775)687-1080		
18			
19			
20			
	- 8 -		

1	NRAP 27(e) CERTIFICATE	
2	STATE OF NEVADA )	
3	) ss.	
4	CARSON CITY )	
5	SALLY DESOTO, being first duly sworn on oath, certifies that	
7	1. I am Chief Appellate Deputy with the Nevada State Public Defender,	
8	appointed counsel for appellant, LINDSIE NEWMAN.	
9	2. The following are the addresses and phone numbers for the parties:	
11	Counsel for Appellate Counsel for State	
12	Nevada State Public Defender Carson City District Attorney	
13	511 E. Robinson Street885 E. Musser StreetCarson City, NV 89701Carson City, NV 89701	
14	(775) 684-1080 (775) 887-2072	
15	3. Appellate is incarcerated at Florence McClure Women's Correctional	
16 17	Center with a high-risk pregnancy with a due-date of mid-June, however, she will most	
18	likely deliver early. Counsel is requesting that she be admitted to bail so that she may be	
19 20	provided with appropriate medical care during prenatal and birth.	No. 1000 - 1-00
21	4. I called both the Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Office and the Carson	1
22	City District Attorney's office on April 24, 2015 to inform then that I would be filing the	ĺ
23 24	following emergency motion. I also emailed the Carson City District Attorney's Office	
25	///	
26		
27	///	
28	///	

1	on the same date with a summary of the argument in the motion. The motion will be
2	served via electronic filing.
3	vite I a.
4	DATED this day of, 2015.
5	10
6	A
7	XIII
8	SALLY DESOTO
9	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN (or affirmed) to before
10	me this $\frac{24}{4}$ day of $\frac{4}{4}$ day of $\frac{2015}{2}$ .
11	$\Lambda$ $100$ $\rho$
12	Josh M. Kernen
13	Notary Public
14	STATE OF NEVADA
15	No. 81-0703-12 No. 81-0703-12
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
20	
28	

## In The Matter Of:


The State of Nevada vs Lindsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B

> Sentencing March 23, 2015 Rough Draft

Capitol Reporters 208 N. Curry Street

Carson City, Nevada 89703

Original File 3-23-15newmansentL.txt Min-U-Script<sup>(B)</sup> with Word Index



ind	State of Nevada vs dsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B		Draft Sentencin March 23, 201	
_	Page 1		Pag	
1	Case No. 13 CR 00226 1B	1	MS. MERIDETH: I'm sorry, Your Honor. My clie	
2	13 CR 00050 1B Department I		was speaking to me.	
3		3	What did you just say?	
4	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	4	THE COURT: She's been removed from the drug	
5	IN AND FOR CARSON CITY	5	court program; correct?	
6	HONORABLE JAMES TODD RUSSELL, DISTRICT JUDGE	6	MS. MERIDETH: She has, Your Honor.	
7	-000-	7	THE COURT: And basically, if the Court recalls,	
8			it's basically she left the City of Refuge and left; correc	
9	STATE OF NEVADA,	9	MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.	
10	Plaintiff,	10	THE COURT: And we have a violation report date	
11	vs.		February 25th, now, 2015; is that correct?	
12	LINDSIE NEWMAN,	12	MS. MERIDETH: I have a file stamped copy that	
13	Defendant.		came into my office on the 26th, and the date, it looks	
14			to me, it was prepared was the 2nd on Case 13 CR 0050 1	
15	JAVS-RECORDED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	15	I don't have an actual violation report on	
16	ROUGH DRAFT SENTENCING		13 CR 00226 1B.	
17	MARCH 23, 2015	17	THE COURT: That's the one I have a violation	
18	CARSON CITY, NEVADA		report on. I don't have one on 13 CR 00050, so if you h	
19			one	
20	For the State: Melanie Porter,	20	MS. PORTER: I have them on both.	
21	Deputy District Attorney Carson City, Nevada	21	MS. MERIDETH: She's prepared to admit the	
22	For the Defendant: Jennifer J. Merideth,		violations, Your Honor, but (inaudible).	
23	Deputy Public Defender Carson City, Nevada	23	THE COURT: I've got one but not the other one.	
24	Transcribed by: Capitol Reporters (775)882-5322	24	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, may	
	Page 2		Pa	
1	Page 2 CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION	1	approach?	
1 2	-	1 2		
	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION		approach?	
2	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION	2	approach? THE COURT: You may.	
2 3 4	carson city, nevada, monday, march 23, 2015, a.m. session -000-	2 3	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this.	
2 3 4 5	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are	2 3 4 5	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case	
2 3 4 5 6	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus	2 3 4 5 6	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada	2 3 4 5 6	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth.	
2 3 4 5 6 7	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien	
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf	2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well.	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -000- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substar	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Conditioned The court of the substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Conditioned MS. Special Conditioned MS. Special Conditioned MS. Special Conditioned MS. Special Conditioned MS. Special Conditioned THE COURT: And the violation. Special Conditioned MS. Special	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substar associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condi No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substar associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condi No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen prop	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condit No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of ant alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen prop- during your probation.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -o0o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct? THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. Wendy Maxwell, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 000 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condition No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen prop during your probation. Special Condition No. 6. You shall not enter any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct? THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. Wendy Maxwell, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 000 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condition No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen prop during your probation. Special Condition No. 6. You shall not enter any	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct? THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. Wendy Maxwell, Your Honor. THE COURT: Wendy Maxwell. Okay. 0 for 2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condit No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen prop during your probation. Special Condition No. 6. You shall not enter any bars or casinos whatsoever except for employment in respect this matter.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct? THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. Wendy Maxwell, Your Honor. THE COURT: Wendy Maxwell. Okay. 0 for 2. Thank you. In respect to this particular matter, we're here	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case</li> <li>No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My client indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 00 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condition No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen propriduring your probation.</li> <li>Special Condition No. 6. You shall not enter any bars or casinos whatsoever except for employment in respect this matter.</li> <li>So do you admit those violations?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CARSON CITY, NEVADA, MONDAY, MARCH 23, 2015, A.M. SESSION -00o- THE COURT: The next matters before the Court are going to be Case No. 12 CR 00226, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. And Case No. 13 CR 00050, State of Nevada versus Lindsie Newman. It's the time for a hearing in regards to the probation revocation. Present on behalf of the State of Nevada is Melanie Porter, Deputy District Attorney. Present on behalf of the Defendant is MS. MERIDETH: Jennifer Merideth. THE COURT: Oh, I got it. in respect to this particular matter. Also present on behalf of the Parole and Probation, I think, is Ashley Miller; correct? THE PROBATION OFFICER: No. Wendy Maxwell, Your Honor. THE COURT: Wendy Maxwell. Okay. 0 for 2. Thank you. In respect to this particular matter, we're here with regards to the previously, she'd been removed from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>approach? THE COURT: You may. MS. MERIDETH: Oh, I'm sorry. I do have this. I apologize, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. In reference to Case</li> <li>No. 13 CR 00050, have you had a chance to review violation report, Ms. Merideth. MS. MERIDETH: I have, Your Honor. My clien indicates that she is willing to admit the violations in case and, as indicated, in the other case as well. THE COURT: And the violation report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case Nos. 13 CR 000 indicates the following violation. Controlled substant associates law, directives and conduct. Special Condition No. 3. Abstain from use, possession or control of an alcoholic beverages, controlled substance, stolen propriduring your probation.</li> <li>Special Condition No. 6. You shall not enter any bars or casinos whatsoever except for employment in respect this matter.</li> <li>So do you admit those violations? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.</li> </ul>	

Iarch 23, 2015	h Draft The State of Nevada vs Lindsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B			
Page 5	Page 7			
<ol> <li>counseling program approved by the Division. You were</li> <li>terminated from the Western Regional Drug Court program;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: Yes.</li> <li>THE COURT: So you admit that violation as well?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: Ms. Merideth, any statement you'd</li> <li>like to make?</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, as I indicated,</li> <li>Ms. Newman is prepared to ask for revocation in both of these</li> <li>cases today. She's appreciated the opportunities that the</li> <li>Court has afforded her by allowing her diversion and the drug</li> <li>court program as well as the City of Refuge so her baby will</li> <li>be born safe.</li> <li>Unfortunately, now, she'll be or he. I don't</li> <li>know if it's a he or she.</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: She will be born while Ms. Newman</li> <li>is incarcerated.</li> <li>I did have an opportunity to speak with the</li> <li>Division of Parole and Probation. What the agreement was when</li> <li>she was initially well, sentenced on the possession of a</li> <li>controlled substance charge is that this case would run</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>sure, and I guess my main concern is no matter what happens in</li> <li>this particular matter, she stays in custody long enough for</li> <li>that child to be born. I don't want her to go out and go</li> <li>through any hoops or anything else and be out of custody until</li> <li>that child's been born, and I don't know if I otherwise,</li> <li>I'm going to run them consecutively.</li> <li>THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, she's set to</li> <li>expire her gross misdemeanor case next month on the 14th.</li> <li>THE COURT: It expires?</li> <li>THE PROBATION OFFICER: Her gross misdemeanor</li> <li>case expires.</li> <li>As far as the deferred sentence</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, we're going to sentence her in</li> <li>a minute on that.</li> <li>But anyway, I'm going to go ahead and revoke on</li> <li>this case. I'm going to go ahead and revoke your probation</li> <li>with respect to Case No. 13 CR 0050. We will give you credit</li> <li>at least until you were picked up, I guess, up to</li> <li>February 25th, 2015. We'll give you credit of 173 days, and</li> <li>then I'll decide what I'm going to do on the other case. If I</li> <li>run them concurrent, it won't matter. They'll all run</li> <li>together.</li> <li>So in respect to Case No. 13 CR 0026, we do</li> </ul>			
4 concurrent with the gross misdemeanor charge. She has nine	<ul> <li>24 have you had a suspended sentence in that particular case,</li> </ul>			
Page 6	Page 8			
<ul> <li>months suspended on that gross misdemeanor as well as I</li> <li>believe that you're going to obviously rescind her deferred.</li> <li>And our request is that you would sentence her to 12 to 32</li> <li>concurrent with the gross misdemeanor charge with credit for</li> <li>265 days time served of presentence employment, and that's the</li> <li>number that I have from the Division of Parole and Probation.</li> <li>I went and pulled the records of her ins and outs at the jail</li> <li>in Carson.</li> <li>THE COURT: So the credit for time served on Case</li> <li>No. 13 CR 00050 reflects credit for time served, 173 days; is</li> <li>that correct? I'm looking at the report. That was as of</li> <li>February 25th, 2015.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: I think what the Division and what</li> <li>I've been trying to talk to them about is initially, they were</li> <li>going to and they might still be. They want to request</li> <li>credit on the gross misdemeanor case and then credit on the</li> <li>agreement we had with the State is that the time would run</li> <li>concurrent to each other.</li> <li>So I think that</li> <li>THE COURT: Well, if I run it concurrent, the</li> <li>time runs concurrent, I guess.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>if I recall correctly, where you were given the benefit of</li> <li>diversion in respect to this that particular matter. So</li> <li>it's up to the Court to determine what the sentence I should</li> <li>give on that case; correct, Ms. Porter?</li> <li>MS. PORTER: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: What's the State recommending?</li> <li>MS. PORTER: Your Honor, our initial agreement in</li> <li>the plea memorandum was concurrent time with the gross</li> <li>misdemeanor case. You know, typically the way it works is if</li> <li>they don't complete, we regain the full right to argue, and</li> <li>that's part of the plea memorandum as well.</li> <li>I agree with the Court that we don't want to see</li> <li>her out of custody until that baby is born.</li> <li>My concern is that, you know, on the 12 to 32,</li> <li>she's going to serve, what, eight months maximum before she's</li> <li>paroled. And with 170 days' credit for time served, that's a</li> <li>substantial amount. That's like six of those eight months.</li> <li>I'll submit that to the Court for your</li> <li>consideration for whatever you choose to do at sentencing.</li> <li>THE PROBATION OFFICER: And, Your Honor, if I</li> <li>may, the Division is recommending that she not receive any</li> <li>credit for time served on her deferred case as she picked up</li> <li>this case while she was on her gross misdemeanor probation.</li> </ol>			

.

.

· . .

	usie Newman - Case 15-CK-00220 1D/15-CK-00050 1D	Warch 23, 2015
	Page 9	Page 11
1	her deferred case.	1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Merideth, do you understand my	2 THE COURT: Well, it was probably high risk due
3	concern? I just want to make sure above all that she and	3 to the heroin use and everything else. I don't know if that's
	I'll sentence her accordingly make sure she stays in	4 true or not. Ms. Porter?
	custody until that child is born. Obviously, you couldn't	5 MS. PORTER: You know, the other option is to
	trust her at the City of Refuge. You can't trust her	6 apply all 270 days to the gross misdemeanor case. That would
7	anywhere. I don't want that child to be put at any risk in	7 expire the gross misdemeanor case. And then if you choose to
	respect to this matter. So	<ul> <li>8 run the 12 to 32 consecutive, then she would start fresh, and</li> </ul>
9	MS. MERIDETH: Well, I understand that, Your	<ul><li>9 she could go to the prison system and wouldn't have the gross</li></ul>
2.4~5	Honor, and I appreciate the Court's concern. I don't see that	<ul> <li>10 misdemeanor trailing behind.</li> </ul>
11		11 THE COURT: Well, in reference to this particular
12	Unfortunately, based on Lindsie's behavior, she's	12 matter, I do have to sentence her.
	young and she's not making smart decisions.	13 Ms. Merideth, I do have to sentence her. Her
14	The simple fact of the matter is if you're going	14 having previously in respect to this particular matter having
	to give her 173 days on one case, she still has 92 days that	15 pled appeared before this Court and having pled guilty
	she's actually served either on one of these two cases. So it	16 and this is in Case No. 13 CR 0026. The Court is going to go
17		17 ahead and sentence you to to a minimum of 12 months to a
18	The Division themselves are the ones that	<ul> <li>18 maximum of 32 months at the Nevada Department of Corrections.</li> </ul>
-0-000	prepared the information for me about the time that she's been	<ul> <li>Previously, we had assessed a \$25 administrative</li> </ul>
	in custody, so I don't think it's fair to give her 173 days	20 assessment fee, a \$60 chemical reassessed. We will assess the
	when she's been in 265 days all told.	21 \$3 that's required for that.
22	THE COURT: I ran that calculation too, and I	22 We did assess the attorney's fees previously in
54 - 210 - 40	think she's entitled unless I don't run them	23 respect to this particular matter in regards to this
	consecutively I mean, I don't run them concurrent and I run	24 particular case.
	······································	
	Page 10	Page 12
	Page 10	Page 12
	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her	1 So as a result of that and then a result of your
2	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so	1 So as a result of that and then a result of your 2 violations and I presume the report's identical to the
2 3	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail.	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure.	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it	<ol> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case.	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it primarily for a good reason, I think, in respect to that.	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: She does admit violations of the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it primarily for a good reason, I think, in respect to that. MS. MERIDETH: Well, and she's due on the 14th of	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: She does admit violations of the</li> <li>controlled substance, associates laws, directives and conduct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it primarily for a good reason, I think, in respect to that. MS. MERIDETH: Well, and she's due on the 14th of June. I don't know if she'll go full term with the baby.	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: She does admit violations of the</li> <li>controlled substance, associates laws, directives and conduct?</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it primarily for a good reason, I think, in respect to that. MS. MERIDETH: Well, and she's due on the 14th of June. I don't know if she'll go full term with the baby. She's had some complications already.	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: She does admit violations of the</li> <li>controlled substance, associates laws, directives and conduct?</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.</li> <li>THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm going to go ahead,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	them consecutively. And I don't know if that's fair to her either, so MS. MERIDETH: Well, I would rather see her and I think she would agree do her time, the remaining time in the actual prison system. There's more resources available to her. There's doctors. She's having problems getting into seeing doctors in the jail. And she's also currently having a high-risk pregnancy, so she's going to have to be seen in Reno. I don't know how quickly they can get her down to Florence McClure. They have resources down in Las Vegas as well, but I know that, you know, the sooner she goes, the better care she's going to get. We just don't have THE COURT: I guess my point is if I run them consecutively and she's done on the other case, if I do it consecutively, then she gets credit for 173 days on that case. And then I run it on this case, she would get credit for 92 days. I almost think I have to do that, and I'm doing it primarily for a good reason, I think, in respect to that. MS. MERIDETH: Well, and she's due on the 14th of June. I don't know if she'll go full term with the baby.	<ul> <li>So as a result of that and then a result of your</li> <li>violations and I presume the report's identical to the</li> <li>other one.</li> <li>Do you admit the violations in the report dated</li> <li>February 25th, 2015, in respect to Case No. 13 CR 00226,</li> <li>Ms. Newman?</li> <li>(Discussion off the record.)</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: Your Honor, my client indicates</li> <li>under controlled substances it says "laws, directives,</li> <li>et cetera" that she is denying that a drug test found the</li> <li>presence of methamphetamine. She indicates to me that's never</li> <li>a drug that has been her drug of choice, nor did she use it.</li> <li>She requested to see a copy of the drug test, which they did</li> <li>not provide to her.</li> <li>THE COURT: But she does admit she was removed</li> <li>from the Western Regional Drug Court program (inaudible)?</li> <li>THE DEFENDANT: That part, yes.</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct, Your Honor.</li> <li>THE COURT: She does admit violations of the</li> <li>controlled substance, associates laws, directives and conduct?</li> <li>MS. MERIDETH: That's correct.</li> </ul>

	Itencing Rough rch 23, 2015	Draft The State of Nevada vs Lindsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B
	Page 13	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particular matter. All 265 days' credit for time served will apply to the gross misdemeanor case in this particular matter.	
24		
	Page 14	
1 2 3	STATE OF NEVADA, ) ) 55. CARSON CITY. )	
4	I, SHELLIE LOOMIS, do hereby certify:	
5	That on March 23, 2015, a sentencing was held in the	
6	within-entitled matter in the First Judicial District Court,	
7	State of Nevada;	
8	That said sentencing was recorded on JAVS CD-ROM,	
9	and said JAVS CD-ROM was delivered to me for transcription;	
10	That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 13, is a full, true and correct transcript of said	
12		
13		
14		
15	April, 2015.	
16	Aille	
17	10 bognes	
18	Shellie Loomis, Transcriber	
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		

•

.

Lindsie Newman - Case I	3-CR-00220 IB/13-CR-0	0020 IB		March 23, 2015
	approved (1)	Case (33)	11:18	2:10
	5:1			
\$		2:5,6;3:14;4:5,10,10,	correctly (1)	diversion (2)
	argue (1)	12;5:23;6:9,16,17;7:8,	8:1	5:12;8:2
\$150 (1)	8:10	11,16,17,20,23,24;8:4,	Counsel (1)	Division (6)
13:6	Ashley (1)	9,22,23;9:1,15;10:15,	13:19	5:1,21;6:6,13;8:21;
\$25 (1)	2:16	16,17;11:6,7,16,24;	counseling (1)	9:18
11:19	assess (2)	12:5;13:2	5:1	DNA (1)
\$3 (1)	11:20,22	cases (2)	COURT (43)	13:6
11:21	assessed (1)	5:11;9:16	2:4,4,13,19,23;3:4,5,	doctor (1)
\$60 (1)	11:19	casinos (1)	7,7,10,17,23;4:2,5,11,	10:24
11:20	assessment (1)	4:19	23;5:2,5,7,12,13;6:9,	doctors (2)
11.20	11:20	cetera (1)	21,24;7:9,13;8:3,6,12,	10:6,7
Α	associates (2)	12:10	18;9:2,22;10:14;11:2,	done (1)
A	4:14;12:20	chance (1)	11,15,16;12:15,16,19,	10:15
1 (1)	Attorney (1)	4:6	22;13:8,11	down (2)
above (1)	2:10	charge (3)	Court's (1)	10:10,11
9:3	attorney's (1)	5:23,24;6:4	9:10	drug (9)
Abstain (1)	11:22	chemical (1)	CR (12)	2:23;3:4;5:2,12;
4:15	available (1)	11:20	2:5,6;3:14,16,18;4:6,	
abundantly (1)	10:5	child (5)		12:10,12,12,13,16
13:11	10.5		12;6:10;7:17,23;11:16; 12:5	
abuse (1)	В	7:3;9:5,7;13:17,18	12:5 credit (12)	10:20;11:2
4:24	D	child's (1)		during (1)
accordingly (1)		7:5	6:4,9,10,16,16;7:17,	4:17
9:4	baby (3)	choice (1)	19;8:16,22;10:16,17;	
actual (2)	5:13;8:13;10:21	12:12	13:1	E
3:15;10:5	bars (1)	choose (2)	currently (1)	
actually (1)	4:19	8:19;11:7	10:8	eight (2)
9:16	based (2)	CITY (4)	custody (5)	8:15,17
administrative (1)	9:12;12:23	2:1;3:8;5:13;9:6	7:2,4;8:13;9:5,20	either (2)
11:19	basically (2)	clear (1)	D	9:16;10:2
admit (7)	3:7,8	13:12	D	else (2)
3:21;4:9,21;5:5;12:4,	behalf (3)	clearly (1)	R ( ( 1)	7:4;11:3
15,19	2:9,10,15	13:18	date (1)	employment (2)
afforded (1)	behavior (1)	client (3)	3:13	4:19;6:5
5:12	9:12	3:1;4:8;12:8	dated (3)	enough (1)
again (4)	behind (1)	complete (1)	3:10;4:11;12:4	7:2
12:23,23;13:3,11	11:10	8:10	days (10)	enter (1)
agree (2)	benefit (2)	complications (1)	6:5,10;7:19;9:15,15,	4:18
8:12;10:4	8:1;13:12	10:22	20,21;10:16,18;11:6	entitled (1)
agreement (3)	benefits (1)	concern (4)	days' (2)	9:23
5:21;6:18;8:7	13:14	7:1;8:14;9:3,10	8:16;13:1	et (1)
ahead (4)	better (1)	concerns (1)	decide (1)	12:10
7:15,16;11:17;12:22	10:12	9:11	7:20	evaluation (1)
alcoholic (1)	beverages (1)	concurrent (9)	decisions (1)	4:24
4:16	4:16	5:24;6:4,19,21,22;	9:13	except (1)
allowing (1)	born (7)	7:21;8:8;9:24;13:3	Defendant (7)	4:19
5:12	5:14,18;7:3,5;8:13;	Condition (3)	2:11;4:22;5:4,6,17;	expire (2)
almost (1)	9:5;13:18	4:14,18,23	11:1;12:17	7:8;11:7
10:18	both (2)	conduct (2)	deferred (4)	expires (2)
amount (1)	3:20;5:10	4:14;12:20	6:2;7:12;8:22;9:1	7:9,11
8:17		consecutive (2)	denying (1)	
apologize (1)	C	11:8;13:3	12:10	F
4:4		consecutively (5)	Department (1)	
appeared (1)	calculation (1)	7:6;9:24;10:1,15,16	11:18	fact (1)
11:15	9:22	consideration (1)	Deputy (1)	9:14
apply (4)	came (1)	8:19	2:10	fair (2)
11:6;13:2,6,8	3:13	control (1)	determine (1)	9:20;10:1
appreciate (1)	can (1)	4:15	8:3	far (1)
9:10	10:10	Controlled (5)	directives (3)	7:12
appreciated (1)	care (1)	4:13,16;5:23;12:9,20	4:14;12:9,20	February (5)
5:11	10:12	copy (2)	Discussion (2)	3:11;4:12;6:12;7:19;
approach (1)	CARSON (2)	3:12;12:13	12:7;13:4	12:5
4:1	2:1;6:8	<b>Corrections (1)</b>	District (1)	fee (2)

Sentencing March 23, 2015

.

Rough Draft The State of Nevada vs Lindsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B

.

\* \*

March 23, 2015		Lindsie	Newman - Case 13-CR-0	0226 1B/13-CR-00050 1B
11:20;13:6			2:1,5,6,9;11:18	11:15,15
fees (1)	т	Μ	Newman (5)	point (1)
11:22	I	111	2:6,7;5:10,18;12:6	10:14
felony (2)			next (2)	Porter (11)
6:17;13:6	identical (1)	main (1)	2:4:7:8	2:10;3:20;8:4,5,7;
file (1)	12:2	7:1	nine (1)	11:4,5;13:5,9,10,20
3:12	inaudible (2)	making (1)	5:24	
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	3:22;12:16	9:13		possession (2)
Florence (1)	incarcerated (1)	MARCH (1)	nor (1)	4:15;5:22
10:10	5:19	2:1	12:12	possibly (1)
following (1)	indicated (3)	matter (15)	Nos (1)	13:13
4:13	4:10;5:9;12:24	2:14,21;4:20;7:1,2,	4:12	pregnancy (1)
found (1)	indicates (4)	21;8:2;9:8,14;11:12,	number (1)	10:9
12:10	4:9,13;12:8,11	14,23;13:1,2,14	6:6	prepared (4)
fresh (1)	information (1)	matters (1)		3:14,21;5:10;9:19
11:8	9:19	2:4	0	presence (1)
full (2)	initial (1)	maximum (2)		12:11
8:10;10:21	8:7	8:15;11:18	000- (1)	Present (3)
further (1)	initially (2)	Maxwell (2)	2:2	2:9,10,15
13:19			obviously (2)	presentence (1)
	5:22;6:14	2:17,19	6:2;9:5	6:5
G	ins (1)	may (3)	off (2)	presume (1)
	6:7	3:24;4:2;8:21	12:7;13:4	12:2
gets (1)	intake (1)	McClure (1)	office (1)	previously (4)
10:16	4:24	10:10	3:13	2:22;11:14,19,22
	into (2)	mean (1)		
given (1)	3:13;10:6	9:24	OFFICER (6)	primarily (1)
8:1	issue (1)	Melanie (1)	2:17;3:24;7:7,10;	10:19
goes (1)	13:7	2:10	8:20,24	prison (2)
10:12		memorandum (2)	one (9)	10:5;11:9
good (1)	J	8:8,11	3:17,18,19,23,23;	probably (1)
10:19	5 	MERIDETH (25)	9:15,16;12:3;13:5	11:2
gross (12)	jail (2)	2:12,12,24;3:1,6,9,	ones (1)	probation (12)
5:24;6:1,4,16;7:8,10;	6:7;10:7	12,21;4:3,7,8;5:7,9,18;	9:18	2:8,15,17;3:24;4:17;
8:8,23;11:6,7,9;13:2	Jennifer (1)	6:13,23;9:2,9;10:3,20;	opportunities (1)	5:21;6:6;7:7,10,16;
guess (4)	2:12	11:13;12:8,18,21;	5:11	8:20,23
6:22;7:1,18;10:14	June (1)	13:21	opportunity (1)	problems (1)
guilty (1)	10:21	methamphetamine (1)	5:20	10:6
11:15	10.21	12:11	option (1)	program (5)
	L	might (1)	11:5	3:5;5:1,2,13;12:16
H	<u> </u>	6:15	otherwise (1)	property (1)
	Las (1)	Miller (1)	7:5	4:16
happens (1)	Las (1)	2:16	out (4)	protect (1)
7:1	10:11	minimum (1)	7:3,4;8:13;13:17	13:16
hear (1)	last (1)		outs (1)	provide (1)
13:6	10:23	11:17	6:7	12:14
hearing (1)	law (1)	minute (1)		pulled (1)
2:7	4:14	7:14	Р	6:7
	laws (2)	misdemeanor (12)	<b>A</b>	put (1)
heroin (1)	12:9,20	5:24;6:1,4,16;7:8,10;	Devel. (2)	9:7
11:3	least (1)	8:9,23;11:6,7,10;13:2	Parole (3)	9.7
high (1)	7:18	MONDAY (1)	2:15;5:21;6:6	0
11:2	left (2)	2:1	paroled (1)	Q
high-risk (1)	3:8,8	month (1)	8:16	
10:8	Lindsie (2)	7:8	part (2)	quickly (1)
Honor (20)	2:6,7	months (6)	8:11;12:17	10:10
2:18;3:1,6,9,22,24;	Lindsie's (1)	6:1;8:15,17;11:17,	participate (1)	
4:4,8,22;5:6,9;7:7;8:7,	9:12	18;12:24	4:24	R
20;9:10;12:8,18;13:5,	long (1)	more (2)	particular (11)	
20,21	7:2	10:5;13:16	2:14,21;7:2,24;8:2;	ran (1)
hoops (1)	looking (1)		- 11:11,14,23,24;13:1,2	9:22
7:4	6:11	Ν	picked (2)	rather (1)
hope (1)	looks (1)		7:18;8:22	10:3
13:14	3:13	needs (1)	plea (2)	reason (1)
		9:17	8:8,11	10:19
		NEVADA (5)	pled (2)	reassessed (1)

9	5.5	•	
		The State of Nevada vs	<b>Rough Draft</b>
		Lindsie Newman - Case 13-CR-00226 1B/13-CR-00050	1B

Endusie Herman - Case I	5-CK-00220 IB/15-CK-00	1030 IB		March 23, 2015
11.20		State (E)	TT C ( ) ( )	
11:20	risk (2)	State (5)	Unfortunately (2)	
recall (1)	9:7;11:2	2:5,6,9;6:18;8:6	5:15;9:12	1
8:1	run (13)	statement (1)	unless (1)	
recalls (1)	5:23;6:18,21;7:6,21,	5:7	9:23	12 (6)
3:7	21;9:23,24,24;10:14,	stays (2)	up (4)	
receive (2)	17;11:8;13:3	7:2;9:4	7:18,18;8:3,22	2:5;6:3;8:14;11:8,
8:21,24	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PRO	a second s		17;12:24
	runs (1)	still (2)	upon (1)	13 (11)
recommending (2)	6:22	6:15;9:15	12:23	2:6;3:14,16,18;4:6,
8:6,21		stolen (1)	use (3)	12;6:10;7:17,23;11:16;
record (2)	S	4:16	4:15;11:3;12:12	12:5
12:7;13:4		Submit (2)		
records (1)	safe (1)	4:23;8:18	V	14th (2)
6:7			¥	7:8;10:20
	5:14	substance (5)		170 (1)
reference (2)	same (1)	4:13,16,24;5:23;	Vegas (1)	8:16
4:5;11:11	9:11	12:20	10:11	173 (5)
reflects (1)	seeing (1)	substances (1)	versus (2)	
6:10	10:7	12:9	2:5,7	6:10;7:19;9:15,20;
Refuge (3)	sentence (10)	substantial (1)	violated (1)	10:16
				1B (2)
3:8;5:13;9:6	6:3;7:12,13,24;8:3;	8:17	13:13	3:14,16
regain (1)	9:4;11:12,13,17;12:23	sure (3)	violation (7)	
8:10	sentenced (1)	7:1;9:3,4	3:10,15,17;4:7,11,	2
regards (3)	5:22	suspended (2)	13;5:5	<u></u>
2:7,22;11:23	sentencing (1)	6:1;7:24	violations (7)	
Regional (2)	8:19			2 (2)
	-11/2010 11 00/00/00	system (2)	3:22;4:9,21;12:2,4,	2:19;4:23
5:2;12:16	separate (1)	10:5;11:9	19,23	2015 (6)
remaining (1)	6:17			2:1;3:11;4:12;6:12;
10:4	serve (1)	T	W	
removed (3)	8:15			7:19;12:5
2:22;3:4;12:15	served (7)	talk (1)	way (1)	23 (1)
Reno (1)			way (1)	2:1
	6:5,9,10;8:16,22;	6:14	8:9	25th (5)
10:9	9:16;13:1	term (1)	week (1)	3:11;4:12;6:12;7:19;
report (7)	SESSION (1)	10:21	10:23	12:5
3:10,15,18;4:7,11;	2:1	terminated (1)	Wendy (2)	
6:11;12:4	set (1)	5:2	2:17,19	265 (3)
report's (1)	7:7	test (2)	Western (2)	6:5;9:21;13:1
12:2	shall (1)			26th (1)
		12:10,13	5:2;12:16	3:13
request (3)	4:18	today (1)	What's (2)	270 (1)
6:3,15,17	share (1)	5:11	8:6;13:12	11:6
requested (1)	9:11	together (1)	whatsoever (1)	2nd (1)
12:13	simple (1)	7:22	4:19	
requesting (1)	9:14	told (1)	willing (1)	3:14
8:24	10 March 10	9:21		
	single (1)		4:9	3
required (1)	13:12	trailing (1)	works (1)	
11:21	six (1)	11:10	8:9	3 (1)
rescind (1)	8:17	transpired (1)		
6:2	smart (1)	13:12	Y	4:15
resources (2)	9:13	true (1)	*	32 (5)
10:5,11			141	6:3;8:14;11:8,18;
	somewhere (1)	11:4	young (1)	12:24
respect (14)	9:17	trust (2)	9:13	
2:14,21;4:12,19;	sooner (1)	9:6,6		6
7:17,23;8:2;9:8;10:19;	10:12	trying (1)	0	U
11:14,23;12:5,24;	sorry (2)	6:14		
13:14	3:1;4:3	two (1)	0 (1)	6 (1)
			0(1)	4:18
result (2)	speak (1)	9:16	2:19	
12:1,1	5:20	typically (1)	00050 (5)	9
review (1)	speaking (1)	8:9	2:6;3:18;4:6,12;6:10	7
4:6	3:2		00226 (3)	
revocation (2)	Special (3)	U		92 (2)
		U	2:5;3:16;12:5	9:15;10:18
2:8;5:10	4:14,18,23		0026 (2)	
revoke (2)	stamped (1)	unborn (1)	7:23;11:16	
7:15,16	3:12	13:17	0050 (2)	
right (1)	start (1)	under (1)	3:14;7:17	
8:10	11:8	12:9		
		14.7		l.

. . . . .

.

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
2	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the				
3	Nevada Supreme Court on the 24th day of April, 2015. Electronic Service of				
4	the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service				
5	List as follows:				
	ADAM LAXALT NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL				
	JASON D. WOODBURY CARSON CITY DISTRICT ATTORNEY				
9	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a				
10	true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:				
12	Ms. LINDSIE NEWMAN #1136265 - FMWCC 4370 SMILEY ROAD LAS VEGAS NV 89115				
14	DATED this 24th day of April, 2015.				
15	SIGNED: /s/ Tosca M. Renner				
16	Employee of Nevada State Public Defender				
17					
18					
19					
20					
	- 9 -				