

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF: THE W.N.
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED
MAY 18, 1972,

ELEANOR C. AHERN, A/K/A ELEANOR
CONNELL HARTMAN AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; AND
KATHRYN A. BOUVIER,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE W.N.
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED
MAY 18, 1972, AN INTER VIVOS
IRREVOCABLE TRUST,

ELEANOR CONNELL HARTMAN
AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

KATHRYN A. BOUVIER; AND
JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA,

Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF THE W.N.
CONNELL AND MARJORIE T.
CONNELL LIVING TRUST, DATED
MAY 18, 1972, AN INTER VIVOS
IRREVOCABLE TRUST.

ELEANOR CONNELL HARTMAN
AHERN,

Appellant,

vs.

JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; AND
KATHRYN A. BOUVIER,

Respondents.

No. 66231

FILED

JAN 27 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 67782

No. 68046

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, respondents' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondents shall have until February 19, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

_____, C.J.

cc: Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas
The Rushforth Firm, Ltd.
Albright Stoddard Warnick & Albright