Pursuant to Rule 29(c) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, the National Association of Manufacturers and Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers, Inc. ("Movants") hereby move for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* in the above-referenced matter. The proposed brief is filed conditionally with this Motion.

Statement of Interest

The proposed *amici curiae* are organizations representing a wide range of manufacturers that contribute to Nevada's economy. This case is of importance to the proposed *amici* because application of the consumer expectations test in complex product liability cases may result in imposing liability against manufacturers even where their products achieve the optimal level of safety.

The National Association of Manufacturers ("NAM") is the largest manufacturing association in the United States, representing small and large manufacturers in every industrial sector and in all 50 states. Manufacturing employs over 12 million men and women, contributes roughly \$2.1 trillion to the U.S. economy annually, has the largest economic impact of any major sector and accounts for two-thirds of private-sector research and development. NAM's mission is to enhance the competitiveness of manufacturers and improve American living standards by shaping an environment conducive to U.S. economic growth.

The Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers, Inc. ("the Alliance"), formed in 1999 and incorporated in Delaware, has twelve members: BMW Group, FCA US LLC, Ford Motor Company, General Motors, Jaguar Land Rover, Mazda, Mercedes-Benz USA, Mitsubishi Motors, Porsche Cars North America, Toyota,

Volkswagen Group of America, and Volvo Car Corporation. Alliance members are responsible for 77% of all car and light truck sales in the United States. The Alliance's mission is to improve the environment and motor vehicle safety through the development of global standards and the establishment of market-based, cost-effective solutions to meet emerging challenges associated with the manufacture of new automobiles. The Alliance files *amicus curiae* briefs in cases such as this one that are important to the automobile industry.

Reasons Why an Amicus Brief Is Desirable

The proposed *amici curiae* brief seeks to educate the Court on a single issue presented in this case: whether the "risk v. benefits" test, rather than the consumer expectations test, should govern complex product liability cases where ordinary consumers cannot reasonably evaluate a product's expected performance in unfamiliar circumstances. The brief will explain the history and development of the consumer expectations test, and the basic problems courts have encountered when applying this test in context of design defect claims involving complex products. The brief will further explain why a majority of courts have supplanted such an analysis with a "risk-utility" test to more fairly decide product liability design defect claims and encourage the manufacturer of safer products. Accordingly, the proposed brief does not repeat the Appellant's arguments. Rather, the proposed *amici* seek to utilize their broad perspective to inform the Court on the most sound public policy for Nevada consumers and manufacturers.

1///

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I certify that I am an employee of BAILEY❖KENNEDY and that on the	
3	19th day of November, 2015, servic	e of the foregoing MOTION FOR LEAVE
4	TO FILE <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> BRIE	F OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION
5	OF MANUFACTURERS AN	D ALLIANCE OF AUTOMOBILE
6	MANUFACTURERS, INC. IN S	UPPORT OF APPELLANT was made by
7	electronic service through Nevada	Supreme Court's electronic filing system
8	and/or by depositing a true and correct copy in the U.S. Mail, first class postage	
9	prepaid, and addressed to the following at their last known addresses:	
10	VAUGHN A. CRAWFORD	Email: vcrawford@swlaw.com
11	JAY J. SCHUTTERT Morgan T. Petrelli	jschuttert@swlaw.com mpetrelli@swlaw.com
12	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway	
13	Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169	Attorneys for Appellant FORD MOTOR COMPANY
14	LISA PERROCHET	Email: lperrochet@horvitzlevy.com
15	EMILY V. CUATTO HORVITZ & LEVY LLP	ecuatto@horvitzlevy.com
16	15760 Ventura Boulevard 18th Floor	Attorneys for Appellant
17	Encino, CA 91436-3000	FORD MOTOR COMPANY
18	MICHAEL W. EADY THOMPSON COE COUSINS &	Email: meady@thompsoncoe.com
19	IRONS, L.L.P. 701 Brazos Street	
20	15th Floor Austin, TX 78701	Attorneys for Appellant FORD MOTOR COMPANY
21	Brian D. Nettles	Email: brian@nettleslawfirm.com
22	WILLIAM R. KILLIP, JR. NETTLES LAW FIRM	bill@nettleslawfirm.com
23	1389 Galleria Drive Suite 200	Attorneys for Respondent
24	Henderson, NV 89014	THERĖSA GARCIA TREJO

BAILEY * KENNEDY 8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302