IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FORD MOTOR COMPANY.

Appellant,

vs.

THERESA GARCIA TREJO AS THE SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST AND SURVIVING SPOUSE OF RAFAEL TREJO, DECEASED,

Respondent.

No. 67843

FILED

JAN 0 8 2016

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until January 25, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED

1 Jardesty, A.C.J

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐗

cc: Horvitz & Levy, LLP
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas
Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons/Austin
Maupin Naylor Braster
Sterling Law, LLC
Nettles Law Firm
David N. Frederick
Garcia Ochoa Mask
Lawrence Law Firm
Bailey Kennedy
Shook Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.