

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FORD MOTOR COMPANY,
Appellant,
vs.
THERESA GARCIA TREJO AS THE
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST AND
SURVIVING SPOUSE OF RAFAEL
TREJO, DECEASED,
Respondent.

No. 67843

FILED

MAR 29 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until April 11, 2016, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

J. Sanchez, A.C.J.

cc: Horvitz & Levy, LLP
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas
Thompson, Coe, Cousins & Irons/Austin
Maupin Naylor Braster
Sterling Law, LLC
Nettles Law Firm
David N. Frederick
Garcia Ochoa Mask
Lawrence Law Firm
Bailey Kennedy
Matthew L. Sharp, Ltd.
Shook Hardy & Bacon L.L.P.