IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JENNIFER ELISE GORDON, Appellant,

vs.

MATTHEW ROBERT GEIGER, Respondent. Supreme Court No. 67955 District Court No. D430639 Due Date: August 31, 2015 FILED

AUG 3 1 2015

DEPUTY CLERK

CIVIL PROPER PERSON APPEAL STATEMENT

Jennifer Elise Gordon 91 Autumn Day St. Henderson NV 89012 Peter J. Bellon Bellon & Maningo, Ltd. 732 S. 6th St., Ste. 102 Las Vegas, NV 89101-6927

Appellant in Proper Person

Respondent: Matthew Robert Geiger



Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

| Filed Date | Name of Judgment or Order | | |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 03/20/2015 | Order | (from the hearing of 10/09/2014) | |
| 04/09/2015 | Order | (from the hearing of 03/24/2015) | |
| | | | |

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: 05/05/2015

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

| Case No. | Case Title | Name of Court | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------|
| D-10-430639-D | Geiger, Plaintiff vs. Gordon, Defendant | Eighth Judicial District Court, | Family Divisi |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Issues on Appeal. Does your appeal concern any of the following issues? Check all that apply:

□ divorce☑ child custody/visitation☑ child support□ relocation□ termination of parental rights□ attorney fees□ paternity□ marital settlement agreement□ division of property□ adoption□ prenuptial agreement□ spousal support□ other—briefly explain:□

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

On 08/04/2014 Appellant filed a Motion to Modify Child Custody, Visitation, and/or Child Support

and Orders to Show Cause for Respondent's violations of 11/01/2010 Order, 03/08/2011

Order, and 09/16/13 Order. Due to the circumstances Appellant also filed an Ex Parte

Motion for Order Shortening Time. On 08/28/2014 the Appellant showed up to Court

with all the requested documents pertaining to her Motions. Partial arguments were

heard regarding the Motions and several Orders were made against Respondent with regards

to Appellant's Motions that day. However, Respondent had filed an Opposition and

Countermotion only on 08/25/2014 and Appellant had only recieved the Opposition and Countermotion the night before the hearing via email so the Honorable Gayle Nathan continued the Motion portion of the hearing to a later date to give time for the Appellant to write a Reply. Because of the allegations in the Opposition and Countermotion Judge Nathan decided to set an evidentiary hearing at the same time as the continued Motion hearing in order to hear testimony from the probation officer at the center of the allegations. The child interview was set A child interview was also requested at the same time. for September 03, 2014 and the evidentiary hearing for October 03, 2014. However, on September 25, 2014 the Court rescheduled the hearing for October 09, 2014. The evidentiary portion of the hearing was only for the probation officers testimony and the Orders to Show Cause filed by Appellant. Everything else had been continued to be heard the same day. When Appellant's counsel requested the child interview from the District Court, he was denied. On October 09, 2014, prior to the hearing, the attorney's met off the record with the Judge who presented evidence that was not available to the parties before the date and time of the hearing. The new evidence presented by the Judge was concerning CPS records that the Judge had obtained without any parties knowledge. This evidence was material to the Judge's decision on the date and the time of the hearing. It should be noted that the child interview was still not made available to Appellant or Appellant's counsel. Appellant came with substantial amounts of evidence pertaining to her Motions and all of the Respondent's allegations but none of it was heard or even allowe testimony. Respondent was ordered to file and bring documents supporting his case, specifically medical records and drug tests results but he provided none of the requested documents nor did he provide anything to substantiate his allegations. Appellant's counsel even asked the courts to enforce their orders for Respondents previous contempts but the court denied those requests. Appellant's counsel asked for a continuance so all testimony and evidence could be given but was denied. The Judge specifically stated that she had been thinking about the CPS reports since September 3 and what she was going to do about it yet never shared that information with the parties. Nor did

the Judge ever contact CPS to ask them about the reports, which were unsubstantiated for multiple reasons. All the information the Judge had obtained has still to this day not been shared with the parties. The CPS case worker, Allison Davis, would have gladly testified or spoken with courts if any request had been made. Appellant later filed a Motion for Reconsideration et al. after waiting for 5 months for the judgment to be entered, which was also denied. Appellant then filed a Motion to Conform the Order for it's many added errors but that was also denied.

Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the district court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme Court to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed).

Appellant believes the district court erred when it violated Appellant's due process rights when the Court sua sponte introduced for the first time documentary evidence to the litigants at the start of a evidentiary hearing leaving the litigants no time to prepare for the validity, veracity, or credibility of the evidence, over the objection of the Appellant and a request for a continuance.

Appellant believes the district court erred when it expanded the scope of an evidentiary hearing, i.e. turning a motion hearing into an evidentiary hearing on the day of the motion hearing that was being held in conjunction with a limited scope evidentiary hearing, without prior notice to the litigants.

Appellant also believes the district court erred when it changed the Appellant's custodial rights, i.e. gave the Respondent more visitation time and made a ruling that the Appellant's fiance was not allowed around the subject minor children unsupervised even though Appellant and her fiance have a child together and live together, all without a full and fair hearing.

Appellant believes the district court erred when it made erroneous findings, regarding the minor child Weston wrestling, admonishing the Appellant for breaking the orders to not have him in wrestling when the court had since changed those orders not only allowing the child to wrestle but ordering the Respondent that he needed to comply with getting the child to his tournaments and clinics. The Court even obtained an affidavit regarding the wrestling events and the need for cooperation and involvement from both parties from a well respected member of the family law community, Margaret Pickard, an individual that the courts often look to for advice and guidance. (filed 06/27/2014)

Appellant believes the district court erred when it failed on enforcing any of the prior and repeated contempts and sentences against Respondant.

Appellant also believes the district court erred when it did not allow testimony from Appellant or any of her witnesses with regards to her motions and the district court denied any continuance for that reason.

Appellant believes the district court erred by failing to take into consideration Respondents repeated failure to comply with deadlines pursuant to NRCP.

Appellant also believes the district court erred by failing to take into account Respondent's credibility as he continuously contradicted himself in his pleadings

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below, I served a copy of this completed appeal statement upon all parties to the appeal as follows:

□ By personally serving it upon him/her; or

☑ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es) (list names and address(es) of parties served by mail):

> Peter J. Bellon Bellon & Maningo, Ltd. 732 S. 6th St., Ste. 102 Las Vegas, NV 89101-6927

DATED this 27 day of August , 2015.

Henderson/Nevada/89012

Signature of Appellant

Print Name of Appellant

91 Autumn Day Street

Jennifer Gordon

City/State/Zip

(702) 234-9673

Telephone

Address