

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JENNIFER ELISE GORDON,
Appellant,
vs.
MATTHEW ROBERT GEIGER,
Respondent.

No. 67955

FILED

JAN 05 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING COMPLIANCE WITH NRAP 9 AND SETTING
BRIEFING SCHEDULE*


This court referred this matter to the Pro Bono Committee of the State Bar of Nevada's Appellate Litigation Section for the selection of pro bono counsel to represent the parties. The parties have not filed an objection to the appointment. On December 31, 2015, attorneys Tami Cowden and Moorea L. Katz of Greenberg Traurig, LLP, filed a notice of appearance on behalf of appellant as her pro bono counsel; and attorney Eric Pepperman of Kemp Jones & Coulthard, LLP, filed a notice of appearance as pro bono counsel for respondent. Accordingly, this appeal no longer stands submitted for decision on appellant's civil proper person appeal statement and the record, and we set forth the following schedule for the requesting of transcripts and briefing in this appeal.

Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to determine the transcripts necessary for a review of this appeal and to file either a transcript request form or a certification that no transcripts are requested under NRAP 9(a). As the parties are now clients of a program for legal aid, see NRS 12.015(1)(b), appellant's counsel is directed to follow NRS 12.015(3) for obtaining any necessary transcripts at county expense. Appellant shall have 90 days from the date of this order to file and serve

the opening brief and appendix. See NRAP 28; NRAP 30; NRAP 31(a)(1). Appellant shall state on the cover page of the opening brief whether it is a replacement brief or a supplement to appellant's previously filed civil proper person appeal statement. This court encourages the submission of a replacement brief. Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with NRAP 31(a)(1). Because the trial court record has been filed in this appeal, the parties are permitted, but not required, to cite to that record in lieu of filing joint or separate appendices with their briefs. Compare NRAP 10(a) (governing transmission of trial court record), with NRAP 30 (setting forth requirements for appendices). Although this matter will be scheduled for oral argument upon completion of briefing, counsel may notify this court in writing if counsel believes that oral argument is undesirable or unnecessary.

Finally, we direct appellant's counsel to consider the propriety of appellant's pro se motion for stay and to inform this court within 15 days whether they intend to proceed with a motion for stay or withdraw appellant's pro se motion.

It is so ORDERED.

 , C.J.

cc: Greenberg Traurig, LLP/Las Vegas
Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP
Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada, Barbara E. Buckley,
Executive Director
Anne R. Traum, Coordinator, Appellate Litigation Section,
Pro Bono Committee, State Bar of Nevada
Kelly Dove