

1 John P. Aldrich, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 6877  
2 **ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.**  
1601 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 160  
3 Las Vegas, NV 89146  
Tel (702) 853-5490  
4 Fax (702) 227-1975  
*Attorneys for Appellants*

Electronically Filed  
Feb 09 2016 08:37 a.m.  
Tracie K. Lindeman  
Clerk of Supreme Court

6 **IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

7 ESTATE OF MICHAEL DAVID  
8 ADAMS, BY AND THROUGH HIS  
9 MOTHER JUDITH ADAMS,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON  
BEHALF OF THE ESTATE,

10 Appellant,

11 v.

12 SUSAN FALLINI,

13 Respondent.  
14

Supreme Court No.: 68033

District Court Case No.: CV24539

**MOTION TO TRANSMIT VIDEO**  
**EXHIBIT**

15 Appellant Judith Adams, Individually and on Behalf of The Estate of Michael  
16 David Adams, (“Appellant”), by and through her attorney of record, John P.  
17 Aldrich, Esq. of the Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd., hereby requests transmittal of the video  
18 of the hearing that occurred on July 28, 2014 from the district court’s record to the  
19 Nevada Supreme Court pursuant to NRAP 30(d).

20 Around May 20, 2014, Defendant/Respondent filed a Motion for Relief from  
21 Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 60(b), alleging Plaintiff’s counsel committed fraud on  
22 the Court. Plaintiff opposed, and a hearing was held on July 28, 2014. Prior to the  
23 hearing on July 28, 2014, Defendant and/or her counsel rounded up dozens of  
24 friends and ranchers to attend the hearing.

25 The Court’s review of the video is relevant and necessary to the determination  
26 of this matter. While the transcript advises this Court of what was said at the July  
27 28, 2014 hearing, the subject video shows what a transcript cannot – dozens of  
28 ranchers pouring into the courtroom and “observing” court proceedings, in what

1 Plaintiff believes was an attempt to inappropriately influence the Court and  
2 intimidate Plaintiff's counsel. Simply reading the transcript does not allow the  
3 Court to gauge the reality and gravity of the situation; the Court must view for itself  
4 the video from that day. Plaintiff asserts that the video is a necessary part of the trial  
5 record that the Court should review. See NRAP 10(a)(1); NRAP 30(d).

6 Following the July 28, 2014 hearing, on or about August 6, 2014, the district  
7 court granted Defendant's motion, despite the fact that this Court had already ruled  
8 on the issues before the district court that day.

9 Therefore, Respondents respectfully request that the Court direct the district  
10 court clerk to transmit the original video of the July 28, 2014 hearing to the  
11 Supreme Court for the Court's review and consideration as part of this appeal. This  
12 Motion is submitted in good faith and in an attempt to ensure that the Court is fully  
13 apprised of everything that occurred in proceedings in the district court.

14 DATED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2016.

15 Respectfully submitted,

16 **ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.**

17  
18 /s/ John P. Aldrich

19 John P. Aldrich, Esq.

20 Nevada Bar No. 6877

21 1601 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 160

22 Las Vegas, NV 89146

23 (702) 853-5490

24 (702) 227-1975

25 *Attorneys for Appellant*

