IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT L. MENDENHALL, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND SUNRIDGE CORPORATION, A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellants,

VS.

RONALD TASSINARI, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND AMERICAN VANTAGE BROWNSTONE, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILILTY COMPANY,

Respondents.

No. 68053

FILED

SEP 2 7 2016

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellants' motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellants shall have until October 5, 2016, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Peras, C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🗬

cc: Marquis Aurbach Coffing
Howard & Howard Attorneys PLLC
Harry Paul Marquis
Law Office of James J. Lee
Santoro Whitmire