THE WITNESS: Not with me.

THE COURT: Well, I want you make it --

2

THE WITNESS: No.

3

4

THE COURT: -- available to the Court so I can confirm those pages, that they're part of the original, to make sure there's no question about --

5

THE WITNESS: Yes.

7

8

9

THE COURT: -- those issues on that. And I can do it for in camera so I don't disclose any information, the other privacy for the people on there, just to make sure that those are the accurate --

10

THE WITNESS: Sure.

11

12

13

THE COURT: -- because I want the whole thing, to make sure it's accurate. If she did testify at the deposition that she thought she had some pages missing, fine. Because I didn't see the whole deposition, so at this time on that what we'll do is we'll have the original come up, verify that with the pages submitted as the exhibits with 5 C's. And this is, what 177?

15161718

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.

20

21

19

THE COURT: And I'll confirm those. The best way -is the best way you could drop it off in a sealed envelope and
I could get it right back to you the same day, so I know you

22

don't want that out of your hands very long.

2324

THE WITNESS: Right. Okay.

THE COURT: All right? And then we'll do on that.

We'll at this time allow 177 in. We'll note the objection and with the 5 C's and subject to me verifying that those are part of the original. I'll go through that and see if that's part of the original and see if there's anything else missing to verify that there's no funny business going on from either side. Okay?

We'll admit 177 at this time, subject to verification by the Court tomorrow as to both exhibits.

(Intervener's Exhibit 177 received into evidence.)
BY MR. SOLOMON:

- Q Now, Lynita's already partially testified in this proceeding, we've been interrupting her testimony a couple times. But, today she testified that she didn't know the LSN Trust was investing in Gateway property. Do you agree with that?
 - A No. Absolutely to.
 - Q And why not?
- A Because I remember specifically Gateway. She -because there were so many partners in on that deal, and she
 actually -- I remember her actually being in the office when
 Gateway took place, because there was documents that I needed
 her for. So, she would have been in my office.
 - Q Okay. She's also testified today she didn't know

paying her bills, or the bills of the LSN Trust, correct?

You had discussions with Lynita with respect to

23

9 10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

And we represented her in this proceeding.

They moved to dismiss her, and MR. KARACSONYI: she's been dismissed from this proceeding, Your Honor. do not represent her. She is just a witness, and we can ask what they discussed with her.

MR. SOLOMON: Well, that is not true.

MR. KARACSONYI: I don't know why they're --

MR. DICKERSON: Well, if it's his client, why is he allowed to lead her?

THE COURT: Yeah, well the answer on that is you could answer it, about the specifics on that, general on that. I don't see it as attorney/client this time, but as far as did you have any client relationship with her?

THE WITNESS: He just kind of --

THE COURT: I know that you had it with her, because I want to be real careful on that, because we do protect attorney/client privileges.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, it is attorney/client privilege. This is a woman who -- first of all, we did represent her, so -- and in this proceeding. And number two, she's also a client representative, because she's worked for the Trust. And a client representative is also protected by the attorney/client privilege.

So, asking about conversations I had with her, in

-

either of those capacities, would in fact be invasion of the attorney/client privilege.

MR. DICKERSON: Well, he's client representing, then why is he allowed --

THE COURT: Well, they may have --

MR. DICKERSON: -- to lead?

MR. SOLOMON: Again, one counsel.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not -- yeah, I'm not sure they can, because they could have a conflict, because her interest could be separate than the Trust's interest and they get interest rates, because she may sit there. So, I mean, there's some problems on that with giving coverage to her for all the client/attorney things on that.

But I won't get a lot of detail on the conversation she had with the attorneys. I'm a pretty smart guy, I can figure out what happened here with people prepping them. You made your questions on the depositions, I can figure out what's going on on that. I really don't want to get into details on that, because I wouldn't do it to the other side as their counsel. I mean, as far as credibility, I can determine credibility based on what she testified, her memory, her recall before, her recall now. So, I'm comfortable making those calls without getting into details she had with the attorneys on that.

and Lynita, which you brought, which you felt were responsive to the call of the subpoena?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you -- prior to coming -- attending your deposition, you looked and searched to find all communications that you felt -- between yourself and Lynita concerning the LSN Trust or ELN Trust, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And those are the only four you came up with?
 - A (No audible response.)
- Q Okay. So, despite all your new found memory of all the conversations you had with Ms. Nelson, you'd agree that over -- since approximately 2005 or 2006, all you have are four emails between yourself and Ms. Nelson concerning the LSN or ELN Trust, correct?
- A Like I said, I would speak to her on the phone. These would be emails related to the LSN Trust, yes.
- Q Okay. So, you agree then, though, that you only have four email communications between yourself and Lynita, that you were able to produce, concerning either the ELN or LSN Trust, correct?
- A Related to the LSN Trust. I mean, there were personal emails, but that had nothing to do with the LSN Trust.

```
1
              Okay.
        Q
2
        Α
              So --
3
         Q
              So that's a yes?
4
              This is what I found, yes.
        Α
5
         Q
              Okay.
6
        Α
              Yes.
7
              MR. KARACSONYI: I apologize.
                                             There was -- I'm
8
   going to add this to the Exhibit --
9
             MR. LUSZECK: Okay.
10
             MR. KARACSONYI: -- this was included in production,
11
   too.
12
             MR. SOLOMON: So, you're going to add it to the same
13
   exhibit?
14
             MR. KARACSONYI:
                              Yeah.
15
              THE COURT: So, is this --
16
             MR. LUSZECK: So, it'll be 1 through 7?
17
             MR. KARACSONYI: Yeah.
18
              THE COURT: Any objections?
19
             MS. FORSBERG: No objection.
20
             MR. SOLOMON: No objection.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we just put that all
22
   part -- we'll just staple that to all part of that, so we
23
   don't get it -- we've got a stapler (indiscernible)?
24
   BY MR. KARACSONYI:
```

A Yeah, I don't -- I don't remember. Like I said, I kind of took this stuff from Lana, so I mean, I've been the -- I was the notary in the office, so that would have been if Lana had, you know, requested this, Lana would have discussed those things with Lynita. I wasn't -- I mean, I -- I do remember that, you know, there's some of these things that I would have -- at the time that I was doing the -- the things that I was --

Q Can you tell us specifically then what documents that you recall here today discussing with Ms. Nelson? Point to the specific documents for us.

A Like I said, I don't remember anything specifically. Let's see if there's anything in here. But, I would have -- if I had prepared something for her, I would have gone over the documentation with her.

Q Okay. But you can't point, then, to a specific conversation or document that you recall talking to her vivid -- that you recollect talking to her about, today?

A Not at this -- not specifically. No. I take that back. I would have -- it would have been -- I do remember Harbor -- Harbor Hills as speaking to her, because I remember getting everything arranged for her and I was actually traveling, and I had to send her over to title company herself to sign all that paperwork.

Well, I -- I just wanted to make sure that whatever

1

A

Yeah, I -- I just know by seeing the paperwork.

1

Α

word separate at all, that just didn't make sense to me. I

know maybe in a business sense, but I mean I -- I wasn't -- I

1

A No.

- Q Did you have --
- A He -- yeah, I -- that just -- I wasn't -- that's -- Eric didn't want me to ask questions.
- Q Did you discuss with Mr. Burr and your husband concerns that you had with respect to this concept of separate?

A I did. We spent -- we probably spent a while on the separate part, because I was hung up on that. And I just -- Jeff -- Jeff understood and he -- I felt like he understood what my concerns were and why it was -- it -- my -- my idea of separate was that it just didn't make sense to me that we're married, but yet we're going to start separating things. It just -- it might sound silly, but it -- I just didn't like that at all.

And I just -- so Jeff spent some time explaining to me that this is -- when -- when you have assets -- when you're -- you're going to get into some assets, and Eric's concerned about some of the business dealings that he's doing, and so this is what you need to do to -- to protect what you guys have. And this is what people do, and he just said that over and over again, this is what people do, this is what you need to do. And I trusted Jeff that, okay. And I trusted Eric

families and they start getting assets and they just have challenges and you guys are just really -- how do you do it. And he kind of joked around with us.

And this outline that he had, there was something about I -- I -- I think it had something about divorce or it's like he said, well, there's no need for us to discuss this, because I can see you guys are doing just fine.

Q As a result of the discussions that you -- now, Eric was present during all of those discussions. Is that correct?

A Yeah, I never -- I -- I wasn't -- I didn't -- I don't recall going there until my trust ever by myself. Or, I never set an appointment up until the trust.

 ${\tt Q}\,$ So, as a result of the discussions that you had with Eric alone, prior --

A Yeah.

Q -- to meeting with Mr. Burr, the discussions you had with Mr. Burr and Eric at Mr. Burr's office --

A Yeah.

Q -- and the discussions you had with Eric prior to signing the documents in 1993, what was your understanding of what you were doing?

A That we were protecting -- whatever we had, we were protecting it. If somebody came and sued him or -- or for some reason somebody wasn't happy with something, and then we

would -- the assets would be protected. Well, because he -he said, we're going to put the ones that were free and clear,
that -- that we don't have any liens on or ones that I'm
concerned about, we're going to put -- we're going to put the
ones with no liens or -- or, like, that are free and clear in
yours. And we're going to put the ones that I'm concerned
about in mine. Because then if anybody comes after us, then then the things that we have that are free and clear will be
safe.

Q Okay. And did he discuss with you the things that you had free and clear would be safe, as to whose assets those were?

A Well, they were ours, that's what -- he told me that, that's -- even though we went and it was -- that we did the separate trust agreement, it was all ours. It was -- I mean, I -- if something happened to Eric, I wasn't going to -- or if somebody came after Eric I wasn't going to stand there and go, well, you know, I'm sorry, but this is mine, I mean we were married, we did every -- we -- that was us together.

It --

Q Now, when you went back to Mr. Burr's office on the day that you signed the documents, were you there at his

A Yes.

office on that day?

Q Okay. And you heard Mr. Burr describe -- well, let's -- take that back.

What occurred on that day, the day that the signing occurred?

A We went in. I think he said, you know, here it is.

We did -- you know, this is what you asked for, this is -- I

mean, I -- I mean, to be honest with you, I mean, Eric -- Eric

was the one that told him what was -- how it was supposed to

be done, because I didn't know. You know?

And so, he kind of went over it and this -- this is where you sign, basically thing. You know?

Q Okay. And then how did it come about that it was suggested that you should see another attorney?

A Oh, well he said that -- Jeff said that if you -- that really that you should have -- that one of us should seek legal -- that we should have another attorney that is at least, you know, gone over it with us, because it was just better than to have Jeff be the only one for both of us.

Q And did he tell you why he felt it was best for another attorney to be involved?

A So -- I think he said so -- kind of just to protect you, kind of.

Q What, against creditors?

A Yeah.

Q

Mr. Koch.

I don't know if he said he sent them directly. I do know Mr. Burr said he did call and talk to Mr. Koch directly before she went over. I mean --

BY MR. DICKERSON:

- Q Lynita, how -- what do you recall? Were you given a choice of attorneys?
 - A No.
 - Q Were you given a list with attorneys' names?
- A No.
- Q Okay. When you testified in 2010 Mr. Burr says you go here, here, or here.
- A Well, that -- I mean, I heard that, but I think what I was really meaning to say was, well, this is what we need to do, this is how you can do it. Do you want to go sign now? Do you want to go sign later? Because I had -- I had little kids at home, and he -- his office was on the other side of town, it took probably a half hour to get there. So, he -- I -- he didn't give me a list. And I didn't know -- I didn't know an attorney, I think that was the first attorney I had ever been to. So, it just --
 - O Other than Mr. Burr?
- A Yeah.
- Q Now, did you -- you saw a letter in here that -- you

saw the letter that Jeff Burr sent to Mr. Koch with the

that needed to be done, that it was important to always keep

```
1
        Α
              -- like a strip center thing. It was in Arizona.
2
              Did you have anything to do with that shopping
         0
3
   center?
4
        Α
              No.
5
              Did you purchase it?
         Q
6
              I would have to look.
         Α
                                      Well --
7
         Q.
              Did you have anything --
8
              -- if I did, it -- Eric --
        Α
9
         Q
              I'm not asking whether it was held in your trust.
10
         Α
              Oh.
11
              Did you have anything personally to do with the
         Q
12
   purchase --
13
        Α
              Oh, no.
14
              -- of Tierra -- how about the --
         Q
15
        Α
              I didn't know anything about it.
16
              How about the purchase of High Country Inn?
         Q
17
                   I didn't.
         Α
              No.
18
              Did you have any dealings with that?
         Q.
19
         Α
              No.
20
              All right. And again, Lana Martin is the designated
         Q
21
   -- an authorized signator on that account also. Is that
22
   correct?
23
         Α
              I see that. Yes.
24
         0
              According to this?
```

I didn't have anything to do with that.

1

Α

assuming this meeting ever occurred, were you in fact present?

No. I wouldn't have never asked for that.

24

Α

Burr's office in which Rochelle McGowan was present, Lana
Martin was present and you were present. Were you present at
any such meeting?

A No.

- Q Now this purportedly occurred -- do -- were you ever at a meeting with Rochelle McGowan and Lana Martin together, the two of them?
 - A Nope. Never knew that they met together.
- Q Did you recall ever having any discussion with either Rochelle McGowan, or Lana Martin, with respect to matters revising Mississippi properties?
- A No, they didn't discuss those kinds of things with
- Q Did you ever have any discussion with Lana Martin or Rochelle McGowan, with respect to a property at 830 Arnold in I believe it's somewhere in Mississippi?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Okay. Exhibit 48. This again purports to be another meeting at Jeff Burr's office, in which Jeff Burr purportedly was present, Barbara Morelli (ph) was present, Rochelle McGowan was present, Lana Martin was present and you were present. Were you present at any such meeting that occurred on or about February 25th, 2004?
 - A No, sir.

Q Do you recall -- or did you ever have any discussion with Lana Martin about your need to receive \$20,000 per month, or \$240,000 a year to live on?

- A No, I did not.
- Q Or for any purpose?
- A No. Never talked to her about money at all.
- Q Did there come a point in time that the \$10,000 monthly checks you were receiving increased?
 - A Yes.
- Q And would it have been during 2004, as this document represents?
- A I don't know. I -- I do recall that they, like -- they started at 5, and then they went to 10, and then to 15, and then I think to 20.
- Q Did you ever have any discussion with Eric about you receiving \$20,000 a month?
- A He would just come home and tell me that he was going to change the amount of what he was going to give me each month. And other than that's maybe some months I wouldn't get something, so he would say, well, I'm not going to give you a check this month, you're going to get it, you know, maybe in the next month. We -- we didn't really talk about that, he just told me what he was doing.
 - Q Exhibit 49. Did you ever ask Lana Martin to

think there's a Grata Group. And I -- I didn't know that.

Α

No, sir.

1	of order,	so that we could accommodate her schedule, I
2	believe.	Is that
3		MR. SOLOMON: Correct, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Okay. Everybody ready to go forward at
5	this time?	
6		MR. KARACSONYI: We call Rochelle McGowan to the
7	stand.	
8		THE COURT: I'm going to have you remain standing.
9	Watch you	step when you get up there, and we'll get you sworn
10	in and I'r	m going to let you sit down and get comfortable.
11		ROCHELLE MCGOWAN
12	having been called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant and	
13	being firs	st duly sworn, testified as follows:
14		DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KAI	RACSONYI:
16	Q	Good afternoon, Ms. McGowan.
17	А	Hi.
18	Q	Can you state your name state and spell your
19	first and	last name for the record, please.
20	А	Rochelle McGowan, R-O-C-H-E-L-L-E. McGowan, M-C-G-
21	O-W-A-N.	
22	Q	Now Ms. McGowan, you met when did you first meet
23	Eric Nelson?	
24	А	It would have been in 2001.

the current ones that are ongoing. I -- I can't remember exactly. That would be pretty current.

- Q Okay. And in the past there were other entities run out of that office?
 - A Correct.
- Q Can you name those entities? The ones that you can recall.
- A There would have been, let's see, sorry. So, Eric Nelson Auctioneering, BanOne, BanOne, AZ would be there, that actually is current as well, sorry. Emerald Bay, Mississippi, let's see, I said Dynasty, Wyoming Downs was ran there as well at one point. Sorry, I'm just -- I'm just trying to remember all the different entities that were out of there at one point. I believe at one point the corporate -- yeah, so the corporate office would be with the Wild Grizzly Casino, those -- we had some Washington casinos that were run out of that office as well.
- Q Okay. The affairs of the ELN Trust are run out of that office, correct?
- 20 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And at some point in time were the affairs of the LSN Trust out of that office?
 - A Yes. Yes.
 - Q Which companies, under the LSN Trust were also run

MR. SOLOMON: Well, maybe you showed her an incomplete document, counsel.

MR. KARACSONYI: It's what was brought.

MR. DICKERSON: That's what she brought, counsel.

THE COURT: I don't know what they got. They got something different than that?

MR. LUSZECK: That's why I was looking --

THE COURT: I'm not sure.

MS. FORSBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: I haven't looked at it yet, because it's not admitted yet. I don't know if you've got something different or shows pages on that, or she could look at it, see if -- because I'd like the entire document, if you got one. I don't know what's in it and I haven't looked at it yet, because it's not admitted. But if you've got some concern that there's a couple pages missing for it, is that the concern?

MS. FORSBERG: There are a couple pages. I've received the same document.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you guys look at them and see if you're in agreement that that's part of the log or not a log, or she can look at it. Or, if you think that -- I don't know if you've got the log -- I imagine you got the log from -- Mr. Dickerson, you got the log from them, I would

THE COURT: You want to look at that and see if you

24

1

MR. SOLOMON:

Third.

long as she can identify those as being part of her journal.

Did you look through your notary journal before you

Q

1	walt till they have a chance to.
2	MR. SOLOMON: No objection.
3	MS. FORSBERG: No objection, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: They're going to admit it as 5 D's.
5	(Defendant's Exhibit DDDDD received into evidence.)
6	BY MR. KARACSONYI:
7	Q Okay. Now, this first one says management fee, and
8	this is a check written to Eric Nelson, correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What is that management fee for?
11	A Now I'm not I'm trying to remember what that's
12	for. You know, I'm not sure.
13	Q Who directed you to write this check?
14	A I'm sorry?
15	Q Who directed you to write this check?
16	A It probably was Lana or Lynita, I would have I
17	kind of took over the books from Lana, so
18	Q Okay. All of these, you'll agree, if you look
19	through all these checks, they say management fee on the
20	bottom, except for 1855?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know what any of these are for?
23	A I'm just wondering you know, I'm not sure. I
24	think those were had something to do with there was a lease

D-09-411537-D NELSON v. NELSON 07/24/2012 TRANSCRIPT **(SEALED)** VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATT KLABACKA, Distribution Trustee of the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001,

Appellant/Cross Respondent.

VS.

LYNITA SUE NELSON, Individually and in her capacity as Investment Trustee of the LSN NEVADA TRUST dated May 30, 2001; and ERIC L. NELSON, Individually and in his capacity as Investment Trustee of the ELN NEVADA TRUST dated May 30, 2001;

Respondents/Cross-Appellants.

MATT KLABACKA, as Distribution Trustee of the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001,

Appellants,

VS.

ERIC L. NELSON; LYNITA SUE NELSON, INDIVIDUALLY; AND LSN NEVADA TRUST DATED MAY 30, 2001, Respondents.

Supreme Court Case No. 66772 District Court Case No. D-09-

411537 Electronically Filed

Dec 01 2015 10:41 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Consolidated With: Supreme Court Case No. 68292

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 17

MARK A. SOLOMON, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 0418
JEFFREY P. LUSZECK
Nevada State Bar No. 9619
SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.
Cheyenne West Professional Centre'
9060 West Cheyenne Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89129
Attorney for Appellant

Supreme Court Case 66772 Consolidated with 68292 In the Matter of: Klabacka v. Nelson et al.

INDEX

VOLUME	PAGE NUMBER
1	1-250
2	251-500
3	501-750
4	751-1000
5	1001-1250
6	1251-1500
7	1501-1750
8	1751-2000
9	2001-2250
10	2251-2500
11	2501-2750
12	2751-3000
13	3001-3250
14	3251-3500
15	3501-3750
16	3751-4000
17	4001-4250
18	4251-4500
19	4501-4750
20	4751-5000
21	5001-5250
22	5251-5500
23	5501-5750
24	5701-6000
25	6001-6250
26	6251-6500

27	6501-6750
28	6751-7000
29	7001-7250
30	7251-7489

Supreme Court Case 66772 Consolidated with 68292 In the Matter of: Klabacka v. Nelson et al.

INDEX

VOLUM	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
<u>E</u>			<u>NUMBER</u>
<u>E</u> 8	08/24/2011	Acceptance of Service	1777 - 1778
8	08/25/2011	Acceptance of Service	1787 - 1788
8	08/19/2011	Answer to Complaint for Divorce and Counterclaim and Cross-Claim	1770 – 1774
1	06/22/2009	Answer to Complaint for Divorce and Counterclaim for Divorce and Declaratory Relief	11 – 39
11	06/01/2012	Answer to Lynita Sue Nelson's First Amended Claims for Relief Against Eric L. Nelson, Investment Trustee of the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001	2746 – 2748
11, 12	06/01/2012	Answer to Lynita Sue Nelson's First Amended Claims for Relief Against Lana Martin, Distribution Trustee of the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001 and the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001	2749- 2758
30	04/26/2012	Application of Forensic Accountants for Allowance of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the period of April 4, 2011 through March 31, 2012	7430 - 7470
30	09/14/2011	Appraisal Report for 2910 – 2911 Bella Kathryn Circle, Las Vegas, NV (Admitted as GGGGG at Tab 18)	7418 – 7423
30	09/07/2011	Appraisal Report for 7065 Palmyra Avenue, Las Vegas, NV (Admitted as Exhibit GGGGG at Tab 17)	7403 - 7408
30	09/13/2011	Appraisal Report for Bay St. Louis, Mississippi property (Admitted as Exhibit GGGGG at Tab 22)	7411 – 7417
30	10/12/2011	Appraisal Report for Brian Head, Utah property (Admitted as GGGGG at Tab 20)	7424 – 7429
27	06/01/2001	Assignment and Assumption of Corporation Stock from Eric Nelson Separate Property Trust U/A/D 7/13/09 to Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust U/A/D 5/30/2001 (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 97)	6509 – 6510
27	06/01/2001	Assignment and Assumption of Corporation Stock from Eric Nelson Separate Property Trust U/A/D 7/13/09 to Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust U/A/D 5/30/01 (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 98)	6511 - 6512
29	01/01/2005	Assignment and Assumption of Membership Interest from LSN Nevada Trust U/A/D 5/30/01 to Nelson Nevada Trust U/A/D 5/31/01 (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 172	7015 - 7016
26	02/17/2009	Assignment of Assets (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 17)	6382
26	07/13/1993	Assignment of Assets (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 6)	6312
26	07/13/1993	Assignment of Assets (Admitted as Intervenor Trial	6342

		Exhibit 8)	
9	12/20/2011	Certificate of Mailing	2183 - 2185
19	08/31/2012	Certificate of Mailing regarding Defendant's Post Trial Memorandum on Trust Issues	4528 – 4530
20	07/11/2013	Certificate of Mailing relating to Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Amend or Alter Judgement, for	4870 – 4872
		Declaratory and Related Relief and Joinder to Opposition	
26	02/24/2009	Certificate of Trust for the LSN Nevada Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 82))	6469 – 6474
26	01/27/2009	Change of Distribution Trusteeship for the LSN Nevada Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 77)	6451 - 6452
1	05/06/2009	Complaint for Divorce in Eighth Judicial District Court Case No. D-09-411537-D	1 - 8
19	07/25/2012	Court Minutes	4515 – 4516
20	07/22/2013	Court Minutes	4873 – 4875
21	08/01/2013	Court Minutes	5040 - 5042
11	04/10/2012	Court Minutes – Motion for Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2643 – 2644
12	07/10/2012	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude from Trial the Testimony and Report of Layne T. Rushforth, Esq. and Any Purported Experts Testimony Regarding the Interpretation of Law, and Application of Facts to Law; to Strike the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trusts' Pre-Trial Memorandum and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2864 – 2913
12	07/10/2012	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony and Report of Daniel T. Gerety, CPA	2850 - 2863
20	06/17/2013	Defendant's Motion to Amend or Alter Judgement for Declaratory and Related Relief	4755 – 4798
23, 24	11/13/2014	Defendant's Motion to Enforce the June 3, 2013 Decree of Divorce, Address Issues Relating to Property Awarded to Defendant in the Divorce, and for Related Relief	5579 – 5805
24	12/22/2014	ELN Trust's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Enforce the June 3, 2013 Decree of Divorce, Address Issues Relating to Property Awarded to Defendant in the	5806 – 5940
26	01/26/2009	Divorce, and for Related Relief E-mail from Mrs. Nelson to Barbara Morelli (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 12)	6350
26	04/28/1993	Executed Separate Property Agreement (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 4)	6273 – 6282
26	02/27/2009	Exercise of Power of Appointment for the LSN Nevada Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 81)	6462 - 6468
26	03/24/1994	Fax from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates to Shelley Newell (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 10)	6345 - 6346
26	03/19/1994	Fax from Shelley Newell to Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 9)	6343 – 6344
26	07/08/1993	Fax to Melina Barr from Roslyn Hinton (Admitted as	6253 - 6261

		Intervenor Trial Exhibit 2)	
25	06/08/2015	Findings of Fact and Order	6226 - 6248
30	03/22/2007	Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed (Admitted as Nelson Exhibit	7394 – 7396
20	00.22,200,	57A)	
26	01/09/2001	Handwritten Note from Jeff Burr File (Admitted as	6389 - 6391
		Intervenor Trial Exhibit 20)	
26	01/15/2001	Handwritten Note from Jeff Burr File (Admitted as	6392
		Intervenor Trial Exhibit 21)	
26	07/15/1993	Handwritten Note to Melina (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 1)	6252
8	08/19/2011	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19)	1775- 1776
1	05/18/2009	Joint Preliminary Injunction	9-10
30	09/08/2011	Judgement and Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for	7409 - 7410
50	09/00/2011	Summary Judgment in United States District Court,	, , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Central District of California, Case No. 2:11-cv-02583-	
		JEM (Admitted as GGGGG at Tab 23)	
26	02/17/2009	Last Will and Testament of Mrs. Nelson (Admitted as	6384 - 6388
		Intervenor Trial Exhibit 19)	
26	00/00/0000	Letter of Instruction signed by Mrs. Nelson (Admitted as	6383
		Intervenor Trial Exhibit 18)	
26	06/19/1998	Letter to Mr. and Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr &	6347 - 6349
		Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 11)	
6	01/30/2001	Letter to Mr. and Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr &	6393
		Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 22)	
26	02/15/2001	Letter to Mr. and Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr &	6394
		Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 23)	
26	05/30/2001	Letter to Mr. and Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr &	6442 – 6444
• -	0.7/20/2001	Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 28)	C 10 1 C 10 5
26	05/30/2001	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6434 - 6437
26	05/20/2001	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 26)	(420 (441
26	05/30/2001	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6438 - 6441
26	05/02/2002	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 27)	(117
26	05/03/2002	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6447
26	03/26/2003	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 40) Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6448
20	03/20/2003	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 44)	0440
26	05/03/2004	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6449
20	03/03/2004	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 51)	0447
26	05/04/2005	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6450
20	03/01/2003	(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 57)	0.150
26	02/09/2009	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6453 - 6457
		(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 79)	
26	02/09/2009	Letter to Mrs. Nelson from Jeffrey L. Burr & Associates	6458 - 6461
		(Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 80)	
26	00/00/0000	Letter to Nevada Legal News from Jeffrey L. Burr &	6445 - 6446
		Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 29)	

26,	07/13/1993	Letter to Richard Koch with Separate Property	6262 - 6272
11	05/15/2012	Agreement (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 3) Limited Objection to Application of Forensic Accountants for Allowance of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the period from April 4, 2011 through	2710 – 2712
8	09/30/2011	March 31, 2012 Lynita Sue Nelson's: (1) Answer to Claims of The Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust; and (2) Claims for Relief Against Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001, Lana Martin, Nola Harber, Rochelle McGowan, Joan B. Ramos, and Does 1 through X (Whether Designed as a Counterclaim, Cross-Claim and/or Third	1818 - 1853
9	12/20/2011	Party Complaint) Lynita Sue Nelson's: (1) First Amended Answer to Claims of the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust and (2) First Amended Claims for Relief Against Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2001, Lana Martin, Nola Harber, Rochelle McGowan, Joan B. Ramos, and Does 1 through X (Whether Designed as a Counterclaim, Cross- Claim and/or Third Party Complaint)	2140 - 2182
30	05/07/2013	Memorandum from Robert P. Dickerson in Support of	7480 - 7487
		AB378 (Exhibit 8)	
27	00/00/0000	Miscellaneous Documents produced by Defendants (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 167)	6513 – 6549
29, 30	03/01/2002	Mississippi Deeds (Admitted as Nelson Exhibit 8A)	7069 - 7393
10	03/06/2012	Motion for Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2461 – 2494
19	06/05/2013	Motion for Payment of Funds Belonging to Defendant Pursuant to Court's Decree to Ensure Receipt of the Same, and for Immediate Payment of Court Appointed Expert	4743 – 4752
8	11/07/2011	Motion to Dismiss	1885 - 1908
9	01/17/2012	Motion to Dismiss Amended Third-Party Complaint and Motion to Strike	2190 - 2224
8	11/29/2011	Motion to Dissolve Injunction	1916 - 1999
7	06/24/2011	Motion to Join Necessary Party; or in the Alternative; to Dismiss Claims Against The Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust dated May 30, 2011	1606 - 1661
23	10/20/2014	Notice of Appeal	5576 – 5578
25, 26	06/23/2015	Notice of Appeal	6249 – 6251
21	09/10/2013	Notice of Entry of Injunctions from September 4, 2013 Hearing	5230 – 5241
10	01/31/2012	Notice of Entry of Order	2264 - 2272
11	05/29/2012	Notice of Entry of Order	2739 - 2745
12	06/05/2012	Notice of Entry of Order	2759 - 2770

12 12 19	07/11/2012 0711/2012 08/07/2012 06/03/2012	Notice of Entry of Order	2914 - 2920 2921 - 2929 4517 - 4520 4691 - 4742
8	11/14/2011	Notice of Entry of Order and Order – August 24, 2011 Hearing	1909 - 1915
21	09/03/2013	Notice of Entry of Order Denying Countermotion to Stay Payments and Transfer Property Pending Appeal and/or Resolution to the Nevada Supreme Court for an Extraordinary Writ	5148 – 5153
23	09/22/2014	Notice of Entry of Order Determining Disposition of Dynasty Development Management, Inc. AKA Wyoming Downs	5553 – 5561
19	10/10/2012	Notice of Entry of Order form July 16, 2012 Hearing	4683 - 4690
19	08/31/2012	Notice of Entry of Order from April 10, 2012 Hearing and Injunction	4531 – 4539
19, 20	08/31/2012	Notice of Entry of Order from February 23, 2012 Hearing Partially Granting ELN Trust's Motion to Dismiss Third-Party Complaint Without Prejudice.	4540 – 4550
23	09/22//2014	Notice of Entry of Order from July 22, 2013 Hearing on Lynita Nelson's Motion to Amend or Alter Judgment for Declaration and Related Relief	5562 – 5575
21, 22	09/30/2013	Notice of Entry of Order from September 4, 2013 Hearing Regarding Payment of Lindell Professional Plaza Income	5247 – 5254
19	08/29/2012	Notice of Entry Of Order Granting Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay and Denying Motion to Dismiss Without Prejudice	4521 – 4527
12	06/05/2011	Notice of Entry of Order regarding Findings of Fact and Order dated June 5, 2012	2771 – 2782
7	08/09/2011	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order	1742 - 1746
8	09/14/2011	Notice of Filing a Summary Appraisal Report of a Two-Story Office Building (3611 Lindell Road, Las Vegas, NV)	1789 - 1801
10	02/27/2012	Notice of Filing Amendment to Source and Application of Duns for Lynita Nelson	2249 – 2460
10	01/27/2012	Notice of Filing Amendment to Source and Application of Funds for Emerald Bay Mississippi, LLC Filed December 8, 2011	2257 – 2263
10	02/27/2012	Notice of Filing Amendment to Source and Application of Funds for Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust	2425 – 2248
7	07/05/2011	Notice of Filing Asset Schedule and Notes to Asset Schedule	1662 - 1683
9	12/23/2011	Notice of Filing Corrected Asset Schedule by Ownership	2186 - 2189
7	07/15/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Banone-AZ LLC	1713 -1724

8	08/15/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Emerald Bay Resorts, LLC	1762 – 1769
7	07/19/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust	1725 - 1741
7, 8	08/15/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Eric Nelson Auctioneering	1747 - 1761
9, 10	01/26/2012	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Eric Nelson Auctioneering	2225 -2256
8	09/28/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for Lynita Nelson	1806 - 1817
7	07/11/2011	Notice of Filing Income and Expense Reports for: (1) Banone, LLC and (2) Dynasty Development Group	1684 - 1712
10	02/16/2012	Notice of Filing Source and Application of Funds for Banone-AZ, LLC	2362 – 2389
11	04/11/2012	Notice of Filing Source and Application of Funds for Dynasty Development Group, LLC	2645 – 2677
9	12/08/2011	Notice of Filing Source and Application of Funds for Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust	2060 - 2095
11	04/23/2012	Notice of Filing Source and Application of Funds Pursuant to April 10, 2012 Hearing	2678 – 2709
8	10/03/2011	Notice of Filing Summary Appraisal Report of +202.50 Acres of Agricultural/Residential Land (Uinta County, Wyoming)	1854 - 1859
8	10/06/2011	Notice of Submission of First Billing for Fees and Expenses of Forensic Accountants	1860 -1884
11	04/09/2012	Opposition to Countermotion for Receiver, Additional Injunction and Fees and Costs	2630 – 2642
21	08/23/2013	Opposition to Imposition of Charging Order and Appointment of Receiver	5043 – 5066
10, 11	03/26/2012	Opposition to Motion for Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Countermotion for Receiver, Additional Injunction, and Fees and Costs	2495 – 2594
20	06/18/2013	Opposition to Motion for Payment of Funds Belonging to Defendant Pursuant to Court's Decree to Ensure Receipt of the Same, and for Immediate Payment of Court Appointed Expert; and Countermotion to Stay Payments and Transfer Property Pending Appeal and/or Resolution to the Nevada Supreme Court for an Extraordinary Writ	4799 – 4812
16	07/20/2012	Opposition to Motion in Limine to Exclude to Exclude from Trial the Testimony and Report of Daniel T. Gerety, CPA, Layne T. Rushforth, Esq. and Any Purported Experts Testimony Regarding the Interpretation of Law, and Application of Facts to Law; to Strike the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trusts' Pre-Trial Memorandum; and Counter-Motion to Continue Trial and for Attorneys' Fees and Costs	3803 – 3838

8, 9	12/01/2011	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2000 - 2040
9	12/07/2011	Opposition to Motion to Dissolve Injunction and Countermotion for an Aware of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2041 - 2059
30	07/11/2012	Order entered in Case D-09-411537-D	7471 – 7479
20	06/19/2013	Order for Payment of Funds Pursuant to June 3, 2013 Decree of Divorce	4847 – 4850
30	08/09/2011	Order in Case No. D-09-411537-D	7400 - 7402
6	11/17/2010	Partial Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, November 17, 2010	1256 - 1435
6	11/22/2010	Partial Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, November 22, 2010	1436 – 1499
6, 7	11/22/2010	Partial Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, November 22, 2010	1500 - 1605
21	09/27/2013	Plaintiff Eric Nelson's Response to Lynita's Response to	5242 – 5246
	03/2//2015	Court Ordered Accountings Provided by Eric Nelson	
19	08/31/2012	Post-Trial Brief of Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust Dated May 30, 2001	4551 – 4610
30	01/28/2005	Promissory Note in favor of Lana Martin	7488
30	01/28/2005	Promissory Note in favor of Robert A. Martin	7489
29	09/25/1999	Real Estate Records for 5220 E. Russell Road, Las Vegas, Nevada (UUUU)	7017 - 7049
	06/06/2013	Receipt of Copy regarding Motion for Payment of Funds Belonging to Defendant Pursuant to Court's Decree to Ensure Receipt of the Same, and for Immediate Payment of Court Appointed Expert	4753 – 4754
8	09/19/2011	Reply to Counterclaim and Answer to Cross – Claim	1802 - 1805
24, 25	01/14/2015	Reply to ELN Trust's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Enforce the June 3, 2013 Decree of Divorce, Address Issues Relating to Property Awarded to Defendant in the Divorce, and for Related Relief and Eric Nelson's Opposition to Defendants Motion to Enforce June 3, 2013 Decree of Divorce, Address Issues Relating to Property Awarded to Defendant in the Divorce, and for Related Relief and Opposition to Eric Nelson's Countermotion	5941 – 6076
11	05/22/2012	Reply to Limited Objection to Application of Forensic Accountants for Allowance of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the period from April 4, 2011 through March 31, 2012 filed by the Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust and Reply to Limited Objection to Application of Forensic Accountants for Allowance of Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses for the period from April 4, 2011 through March 31, 2012 filed by Eric Nelson	2713 – 2738
22	10/14/2013	Reply to Opposition to Countermotion/Petition for Appointment of Authorized Trustee and for Fees and Costs	5255 – 5265

20	07/11/2013	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Amend or Alter Judgement, for Declaratory and Related Relief and Joinder to Opposition	4851 – 4869
21	08/30/2013	Reply to Opposition to Imposition of Charging Order and Appointment of Receiver and Requests for Injunction and Fees and Costs	5067 – 5087
11	04/04/2012	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2595 – 2623
9	12/09/2011	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and Countermotion for An Aware of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	2096 - 2123
9	12/09/2011	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dissolve Injunction and Opposition to Countermotion for an Aware of	2124 -2139
22	10/15/2013	Attrorneys Fees and Costs Reply to Plaintiff Eric Nelson's Response to Court Order Accountings	5266 - 5287
27, 28, 29	07/05/2012	Report of Gerety & Associates (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 168)	6550 - 7014
21	08/30/2013	Response to Court Order Accountings Provided by Eric Nelson	5088 – 5147
19	09/28/2012	Response to Defendant Lynita S. Nelson's Post-Trial Memorandum on Trust Issues	4628 – 4657
29	01/21/2002	Soris Original Mortgage – (Wyoming Property) – (Admitted as Nelson Exhibit 41C)	7050 – 7068
8	08/24/2011	Summons directed to Eric Nelson	1779 -1782
8	08/24/2011	Summons directed to Lynita Sue Nelson	1783 -1786
11	04/05/2012	Supplement to Opposition to Motion for Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Countermotion for Receiver, Additional Injunction, and Fees and Costs	2624 – 2629
	10/08/2012	Supplement to Verified Memorandum of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	4658 – 4682
26. 27	05/30/2001	The Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 86)	6475 – 6508
12	07/06/2012	The Eric L. Nelson Nevada Trust's Pretrial Memorandum	2783 – 2849
26	07/13/1993	The Eric L. Nelson Separate Property Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 7)	6313 – 6341
26	05/30/2001	The LSN Nevada Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 25)	6395 - 6433
26	07/13/1993	The Nelson Trust (Admitted as Intervenor Trial Exhibit 5)	6283 - 6311
20, 21	08/01/2013	Transcript Re: All Pending Motions	4991 – 5039
21	09/05/2013	Transcript Re: All Pending Motions	5154 – 5229
22	10/21/2013	Transcript Re: All Pending Motions	5288 - 5347
25	01/26/2015	Transcript RE: All Pending Motions	6077 - 6225
22, 23	06/04/2014	Transcript RE: Decisions	5495 – 5552

20	06/19/2013	Transcript Re: Motion	4813 – 4846
20	07/22/2013	Transcript Re: Motion	4876 – 4990
10	02/23/2012	Transcript regarding Decision	2390 - 2424
10	01/31/2012	Transcript relating to Motion	2273 - 2361
4	10/19/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, October 19, 2010	849 – 990
4, 5, 6	10/20/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, October 20, 2010	991 – 1255
1, 2	08/30/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, Volume 1 from August 30,	40 - 258
ĺ		2010	
2	08/31/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, Volume 2 from August 31,	259 - 441
		2010	
2, 3	08/31/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, Volume 3 from August 31,	442 - 659
		2010	
3,4	09/01/2010	Transcript, Non-Jury Trial, Volume 4 from September 1,	660 -848
		2010	
13, 14	07/17/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial	3181 - 3406
14, 15	07/18/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial	3407 - 3584
22	05/30/2014	Trial Transcript RE: Non-Jury Trial	5348 - 5494
15	07/19/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. I	3585 - 3714
16	07/23/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. I	3839 - 3943
17	07/24/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. I	4050 - 4187
18	07/25/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. I	4279 - 4447
15, 16	07/19/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. II	3715 - 3802
16, 17	07/23/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. II	3494 -4049
17, 18	07/24/2013	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. II	4188 - 4278
18, 19	07/25/2012	Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial – Vol. II	4448 -4514
12, 13	07/16/2012	Trial Transcript Volume I	2930 - 3120
13	07/16/2012	Trial Transcript Volume II	3121 - 3180
26	02/17/2009	Trust Agreement of the Total Amendment and	6351 - 6381
		Restatement of the Nelson Trust (Admitted as Intervenor	
		Trial Exhibit 14)	
30	03/31/2011	Trust Ownership-Distribution Report of Larry Bertsch	7397 – 7399
		(Admitted as Exhibit GGGGG at Tab 9)	
19	09/28/2012	Verified Memorandum of Attorneys' Fees and Costs	4611 - 4627
		·	

You never would have what?

24

0

A Okay.

Q -- where it says specific bequest. It says that if the trustor's mother Juanita May Clark (ph), survives you, do you want to set aside sufficient trust assets to ensure that she receives \$2500 a month. Do you see that?

A I do. Uh-huh (affirmative).

Q Okay. Is that something that you and Mr. Burr discussed and he added to this at your request?

A Yes.

Q Turn to Page 2. B, it says the trustee shall set aside 10 percent of the trust estate which shall be retained in trust for the perpetual benefit of the grandchildren, great grandchildren of the trustor? Is that something you and Mr. Burr discussed?

A Yes.

Q And you included in this at your request?

A Yes.

Q On Page 3, Section 2, it says any remaining property both income and principal of this trust shall be divided into as many equal shares as our children of you who are then living and children who are deceased. And then -- it goes on and puts those shares into trusts until they obtain the age of it looks like 40. Is that something that you discussed with Mr. Burr?

A Yeah, I mean, I don't -- I don't really talk like this, so I told him kind of what I had in mind and -- and then he -- then he put it into this, yeah.

Q And this is a disposition that you made of the assets in the LSN Trust upon your death without consulting with Eric Nelson, isn't that true?

A Are you saying that I made these decisions --

Q All by yourself without Eric Nelson's input in February of 2009.

A Sure.

Q And one of the changes that have been made here is that you were removing Eric as a potential beneficiary of the LSN Trust should you predecease him. Isn't that true?

A I guess that's what -- that's what ultimately happened.

Q Well, that's why you went to Mr. Burr in fact, isn't it true, to do this?

A I wanted to make sure that whenever the divorce was final and over that whatever was mine which I understood was going to be half of everything that we had together was going to be — that this was going to happen, that whatever this says which is my mom and the children and the grandchildren, that that would happen whenever the divorce was over. I did this in '09, so the divorce was going on. I mean, I didn't

bargain and sale deed from you as trustee of the 2001 trust to

Α

Are you on 93?

percent interest to Eric Nelson trustee and 50 percent to

1	MR. SOLOMON: And quadruple V has a deed signed by
2	her.
3	MR. DICKERSON: No objection.
4	MS. FORSBERG: No objection.
5	(Defendant's Exhibit VVVV admitted)
6	THE COURT: Let me make sure we have it. I got the
7	following quadruple admitted deeds only: K, L, M, P, Q, R, T,
8	U, V? Is that what you got? Okay.
9	BY MR. SOLOMON:
10	Q Lynita
11	MR. DICKERSON: How about I? You forgot I also. I
12	has these.
13	MR. SOLOMON: Quadruple I?
14	MR. DICKERSON: Yeah.
15	MR. SOLOMON: Is this in a separate book?
16	MR. LUSZECK: Yeah. That's in another, yeah?
17	MR. SOLOMON: Yeah. We offer
18	MS. FORSBERG: No objection.
19	MR. SOLOMON: the deeds contained in quadruple I.
20	Thank you.
21	MS. FORSBERG: No objection.
22	MR. DICKERSON: No objection.
23	THE COURT: I as well. Deeds only again.
24	(Defendant's Exhibit IIII admitted)

me to give it to Alita. Will that work for you to sign this

McGowan come for the afternoon.

1 |

THE COURT: Okay. Is that about -- I just want to make sure I get --

MR. DICKERSON: Put her on. But then at that point then the Plaintiff -- we'll just put her on out of turn just to get her out of the way.

THE COURT: Yeah, to get their thing on that so they can get all those on -- so then Tuesday we should be -- tomorrow we should be pretty well filled up with Ms. Lynita and Mr. Eric and Rochelle? That should take most of the day.

MR. DICKERSON: Sure.

THE COURT: then Wednesday we'll have Mr. Bertsch basically for the morning. What do you want to do for the afternoon? Did you want to -- I know we're sitting there trying to sit there. I said -- once I thought maybe I could rule on the trust and then try to simplify the testimony for your case in chief, but with all the testimony, all the exhibits, there's no way I would be ready to rule on that by Wednesday. I mean, the issue is I hate to lose a half a day if we got someone that can be ready to go and see how much more time do you think you're going to need for witnesses.

Because then I could --

MR. DICKERSON: Well --

THE COURT: -- try to grab another day or two, but I

days.

2 3

1

MR. SOLOMON: Well, that's good. That'll fill the

4

5

THE COURT: Yeah. I just don't want to lose that half a day if we have it.

6

I don't want to lose it either. MR. DICKERSON:

7

8

THE COURT: That's my only concern. All right. then as far as closing, I'll leave it to the trust on that,

but what I think --

10

MS. FORSBERG: Part of it is that we'll know by tomorrow, right, by the time to see how that goes time wise.

12

11

THE COURT: Exactly.

13

MS. FORSBERG: Right. I mean --

14

15

16

THE COURT: I just don't want to lose Wednesday afternoon and get done and instead we got an afternoon and nothing to fill. And if we got it, if we can figure out just to fill it and we can talk about tomorrow. I just don't want

17

to lose a half a day.

19

18

MS. FORSBERG: Yeah. Agree.

20

THE COURT: So and then we see how much more time we need. And as far as closing, I don't think I need closing

21 22

from the trust. If they're planning on doing a post-trial

23

brief, I'll leave that to everybody and can do a post-trial

24

brief and you can do it there, because I know you -- I didn't

1

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

I figured your post-trial brief could address the law and any key issues you want me to address like you would in closing or tie this together, because we got so many exhibits.

I do read everything, but I'm not sure I'm going to read every exhibit we have on file on this one to be quite honest, but I'll deny I ever said that, but I'm not so sure with all the documents I get through. So if there's some particular ones you want me to really -- of course, all the ones that were testified in great detail I definitely review, all the ones we spent time going through. But any other thing you want to hide me -- highlight me to to make sure that I look at things and don't overlook anything and I'll give everybody an opportunity to post trial briefs.

And as far as Wednesday afternoon, we'll just have Mr. Dickerson kind of ready to kind of go through your case to see where we're at --

MR. DICKERSON: I'll do that.

THE COURT: -- by the time we get done. That way I just won't lose --

> MS. FORSBERG: So we'll see --

THE COURT: -- Wednesday afternoon.

MS. FORSBERG: -- what tomorrow brings and then

we'll go from there.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Thanks, everybody. Have a good night. You can leave everything here and we'll lock it up and we'll see you tomorrow at 9:30. And you got all your exhibits back before we leave? Are you going to check that to make sure all your exhibits are there so you don't accuse me of losing --

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 17:04:14)

* * * * * *

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Adrian Medhorno

Adrian N. Medrano

23

24

FILED

JUL 2 3 2014

D-09-411537-D NELSON v. NELSON 07/24/2012 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

	l E		
1	APPEARANCES:	:	
2	lt e	aintiff: e Plaintiff:	ERIC L. NELSON RHONDA FORSBERG, ESQ.
3 4			64 N. Pecos Rd., #700 Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 990-6448
5	ì	cervener:	NOT PRESENT MARK SOLOMON, ESQ
6		, THECT VEHICL.	JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ. 9060 W. Cheyenne Ave.
7			Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 (702) 853-5483
8	18	fendant:	LYNITA NELSON
9	For the	e Defendant:	ROBERT DICKERSON, ESQ. KATHERINE PROVOST, ESQ.
10 11			1745 Village Center Cir. Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
12			(702) 388-8600
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

			•		
1		INDEX OF WI	TNESSES		
2		DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES				
4	Lynita Nelson		7/203		
5					
6	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES				
7	Rochelle McGowan	86	141	163	198/199
8		* * *	* *		
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

EXHIBITS ADMITTED FOR THE INTERVENER: FOR THE DEFENDANT: BBBBB CCCCC DDDDD EEEEE FFFFF

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 09:46:03)

THE COURT: This is the time set in the matter continuation of Eric Nelson and Lynita Nelson, Case Number D411537.

We'll get everybody's appearances, and we'll get this show on the road. We'll start with Mr. Solomon.

MR. SOLOMON: Mark Solomon, Bar Number 418 on behalf of Lana Martin, Distribution Trustee.

MR. LUSZECK: Jeff Luszeck, Bar Number 9619 on behalf of Lana Martin, Distribution Trustee.

MS. FORSBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Rhonda Forsberg, 9557 on behalf of Eric Nelson, who is present, to my right.

THE COURT: Good to see you again, Mr. Eric.

MR. DICKERSON: Bob Dickerson, Bar Number 0945 and Joseph Karacsonyi, Bar Number? 10634, together with Lynita Nelson.

> THE COURT: It's good to see you again, Ms. Lynita.

I think I see Mr. Eric and Ms. Lynita more than I see my wife, and I think she's probably happy about that, too, I think. After 34 years she probably appreciates that. I'll

1	make sure that's on the record, so that the transcriber we
2	get some laughs to the people. They need to loosen up a
3	little bit.
4	MR. DICKERSON: Blow it up in big print.
5	THE COURT: Exactly.
6	MR. DICKERSON: That's why Mr. Jimmerson, one time
7	in one of my trials, put a finding about my Sicilian wife, and
8	it was right in the finding. I left it in, it was so good. I
9	left it in there, it was good.
10	I think we left off with Ms. Lynita I think had
11	finished her examination, her direct examination. I think we
12	were getting ready to go for her cross examination by Mr.
13	Dickerson, I believe?
14	MR. DICKERSON: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: We'll kind of get you sworn in, we'll
16	make sure you got water there and anything you need. They'll
17	get you all set up.
18	MS. NELSON: Yes. Thank you.
19	<u>LYNITA NELSON</u>
20	having been called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff and
21	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
22	MR. DICKERSON: Your Honor, to the best I can, I'm
23	going to limit this strictly to examination dealing with the

trust issues. And then when we get into our case-in-chief,

1 we'll go into the divorce issues. If that's fine. 2 THE COURT: All right. Yeah, that's probably the That way the trust attorneys then can kind of 3 best way. 4 hopefully finish up and not have to hang around for all the 5 other issues related to the divorce. 6 MR. DICKERSON: No, I want them to suffer. 7 THE COURT: That's going to be pay back. 8 MR. SOLOMON: Believe me, he's made me suffer 9 enough. 10 THE COURT: So there is a truth that Mr. Dickerson 11 doesn't have a heart somewhere? Is that what it is? 12 CROSS EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. DICKERSON: 14 Good morning, Lynita. How are you? 15 Α Good. 16 When you were testifying initially, during this 17 stage of the proceedings, last Tuesday, July 17th on the 18 direct examination of Mr. Solomon, you testified that you 19 believed that you are reasonably smart. I believe those were 20 you words. Is that correct? 21 Α Yes. 22 Lynita, do you take exception then to Eric's

D-09-411537-D NELSON v. NELSON 07/24/2012 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED)
VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

description of you when he testified, on September 1st, 2010,

on the third day of the trial in this case, where he

23

9

10

11 12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

And there's no evidence that Eric or anybody else on this side of the table, The Trust, or anybody else had anything to do with these documents.

MR. DICKERSON: And what it goes to, yesterday they were presenting documents to her that she signed. She -- her testimony will be explaining how that generally was handled. But this simply show forgeries that have occurred, since 1998 to 2007, on documents.

Probably only one of these documents truly is relevant to the proceedings and they've already seen that, and I believe we have the second page of that, it's the Lindell Property. But what I intend to do is just go through with her, and I mean, it's pretty easy for even the Court to see that these are not Lynita's signatures. And I intend to go through with her, and to the extent that any of these documents are relevant to property that is owned right now, like I said, only the Lindell property is owned right now.

But again, the purpose is to show that during the course of this marriage, it was not uncommon for somehow her signature to be signed to documents that she didn't sign.

MR. SOLOMON: That's irrelevant, that somehow they got -- unless they can tie that to Eric or to the Trust --MR. DICKERSON: You can see that these are --MR. SOLOMON: -- or to somebody associated with our

. 5

Ithe COURT: At this time I'd be more inclined to limit to the document with the Lindell, which is the trust at stake. When we hold off on those, you can readmit them as far as the divorce case-in-chief, if -- when we get to that point, if you want to show the nature of the relationship and those issues. I think she's already testified that all the documents, as far as were not her signature.

Just to keep it clean, we just want to deal with the one dealing with Lindell and then save these for the domestic side of the case?

MR. DICKERSON: The only thing I would suggest is it does go to the whole alter ego theory. Our position is, he controlled both trusts.

THE COURT: Okay. You --

MR. DICKERSON: He was in total charge of both of these trusts.

THE COURT: At this time, as far as I'll let the documents in. I'm not sure there's -- the probative value, but let me see where it's at. Let's get everything in and let's see where we're at. Again, I'm not sure of the probative value, if they can make any connection, who signed it, when they did it. I think the relevancy is very marginal at best. The probative value, I don't know if there's any

```
probative value to it or not, but at this time let's move it
2
   forward.
3
              MR. DICKERSON:
                              Thank you.
4
              THE COURT: And we'll note the objection for the
5
   record as to 5 B's. I know how -- what you call 5 --
6
   quadruple-wise okay, I'm not sure what to do with 5, so we'll
7
   just call it 5 B's, I guess.
8
              I'm going to note the objection.
9
         (Defendant's Exhibit Number BBBBB received into
10
   evidence.)
11
   BY MR. DICKERSON:
12
              Lynita, let's go through -- because they're not
13
   numbered, let's go through the pages. First page, there's a
   signature there. You see where it says owner, and it says
15
   Lynita Nelson?
16
              Yes, sir.
        Α
17
              Is that signature?
         0
18
        Α
              No.
19
        0
              Now this says it was notarized by Rochelle McGowan
20
    (ph).
21
        Α
              Yes.
22
              Is that correct?
         Q
23
        Α
              Yes.
24
        0
              Who is Rochelle McGowan?
```

1	A Yes.
2	Q And that is your sister?
3	A (No audible response.)
4	Q Okay. Are you were you aware of this
5	transaction?
6	A After this date I was, but not at the time I was
7	not.
8	Q Now, this is a transferring property to you. Is
9	that right? And this is the home that we've referred to
10	numerous times, back in 2010, as being Thelma's home.
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Okay. Now, how was it explained to you, or when was
13	it explained to you that Thelma's home was transferred to you?
14	A I'm not sure exactly what year it was, but it was
15	it was I know it was after this time, because
16	Q Who told you?
17	A Eric did.
18	Q And if you take a look at the Declaration of Value
19	form dealing with this transaction, the next page
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q is that your signature?
22	A It is not.
23	Q Okay. Take a look at the next page, it's a Grant
24	Bargain Sale Deed, apparently September 9th, 1992 and there

19

20

21

23

Q Is that your signature?

A It is not.

Q Lynita, can you -- there have been a number of documents that we have seen, however, that you have signed. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Is there -- was there generally a way in which your signature was obtained on the documents in which you confirm are your signature?

A Yes.

Q And how was that generally handled?

A Eric would come home with something for me to sign and he would -- or it would be in the morning before he left for work. But traditionally he would come home with it, and I was usually in the bathroom, it seems like my memories always like, here, I need you to sign this. And then I would say, well, you know, what is it, can you tell me about it. And he'd be like, no, I don't have -- he would tell me several different things.

Q What would he tell you?

A He -- he would -- he would tell me either he didn't have time or that he needed it right away, that I just needed to sign it and we'd talk about it later. Or sometimes he would tell me I wouldn't understand it, and so he didn't want to

1	been kind	of going back and forth for a while.
2	Q	Do you recall signing any other deeds in 2008?
3	A	Well, they showed me one yesterday.
4	Q	What was that?
5	A	It was
6	Q .	Was that Exhibit 175? I mean
7	A	It was like, it was something in Mississippi.
8	(Atto	orneys confer.)
9	BY MR. DIO	CKERSON:
10	Q	I'm showing you what's been admitted into evidence
11	as Interve	ener's Exhibit 175.
12	A	Okay.
13	Q	Is that the document you're referring to?
14	А	Yeah. Yes.
15	Q	And this appears to be a Warranty Deed relating to
16	some prope	erty in Mississippi.
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Can you tell us how did it come about that
19	you signed	d this warranty deed. Is that your signature?
20	A	It is my signature. But I don't know, because I
21	I don't kı	now how it happened.
22	Q	You have no
23	А	I mean, I I signed it. I mean I mean that's -
24	- that's r	my signature, so

Q You --

2

1

A -- he would have just come and asked me to sign it, I guess. That's how he did everything.

4

Q You have any recollection, in January 2008, this document being handed to you by Eric?

5

A I don't, that's -- I just -- I just can't believe that I would have signed something then.

7

Q Well, why is it that you can't believe that you would sign something in January of 2008?

.

10

A Because the trust that I used to have with him, Eric and I didn't have anymore.

11

Q Why is that?

12

13

14

15

16

17

A Well -- well, there's a number of things, but the main thing was in -- in '07 he drafted some trust for the kids and he brought it home and he wanted me to sign it. And I read it and I didn't -- I didn't really understand it fully, but I -- I didn't feel like I -- I -- it appeared to be, what I was reading, was that he was the manager of it, and that it wasn't him and me, that it was just him. So, do you want me to tell you the whole thing?

18 19

20

O Sure.

2122

23

24

A Okay. So -- so I read it and he wanted me to sign it right then. And I said, I'm not going to sign it right then. So, it was over a few days and he was kind of getting

angry with me because I wouldn't sign the stuff. And so, I was like, you know what, I'm not comfortable with this. I don't understand it. And I made a phone call and talked to Barbara Morelli (ph) and she is Jeff's -- I think she's a paralegal.

And I just asked a few questions about, you know, I'm reading this this way and I'm -- is that -- am I reading it right? Like, is he the -- is he the -- is he, like, in charge of it? And she's like, yeah, that's how it reads. And so, then I just told Eric -- I didn't tell him that I had called Barbara, he didn't know. And so I just said, you know what Eric, I'm not going to sign this until we go see Jeff and he can explain it to me, because Eric wouldn't explain it to me.

So, we went and talked to Jeff and Barbara was there. And they explained it to me and I said why is it that way, like, why is he in charge of it and we're not in charge of it together? And Barbara said, because this is the way Eric wanted it. So, I just figured that's what he was doing, he was setting up the kids' trusts so he could manage it and - I don't know what he was going to do it. I mean, of course now I have thoughts, but I just thought, I can't sign anything, I can't -- I can't trust him to sign anything he asks me to do.

Did you end up signing those documents creating the

Q

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

I understood that Mr. Duckworth was doing it then. Yeah.

So, after that first meeting with Mr. Duckworth, was Mr. Burr ever involved in any meetings with you and Mr. Duckworth, together?

- I don't remember him being there. Α
- Q Now, did there come a point in time Mr. Duckworth explained to you that he would no longer be able to represent you?
 - Α He did.
- And approximately when was that and what was the reason that he could no longer represent you?
- Α Oh, well it wasn't at the same time. He just kind of told me that -- well, it was before November 4th, because he was -- he was running to be the judge and so it was before then. And he said, we need to finish this paperwork and -before -- I think before he took the bench is what he would say, but I -- I don't know if it had to happen before November 4th. I'm not sure. So, it was about that time, you know? Around then.
 - And then did he --0
- Α But he -- and that was if he -- I mean you know, he didn't know if he was going to be voted in or not. He