

Trust dated May 30, 2001, and pursuant to NRAP 31(b)(2) moves this Honorable
Court for a 15 day extension of time to file her combined answering brief on appeal
and opening brief on cross-appeal.

Lynita's combined answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal
 is currently due on February 1, 2016.

One prior extension of time was previously granted to all parties pursuant to
stipulation. Specifically, the due date for Appellant's opening brief was extended
from October 6, 2015 to November 30, 2015. Based on the extended due date for the
opening brief, Lynita's answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal

would have been due on December 30, 2015 (30 days after the opening brief) but for
 the agreed upon extension to February 1, 2016.¹

No prior requests for extensions of time have been denied, and other than the
parties' stipulation, this is the only other request for extension of time that has been
made.

The extension is necessary to allow counsel time to complete Lynita's 6 answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal. This is a complex 7 appeal which arises from a nearly 5-year divorce and trust litigation in the district 8 court which included approximately 14 days of trial. Apellant's Opening Brief served 9 on November 30, 2015 is 53 pages long and raises 16 issues on appeal. The 10 Appendix served consists of 30 volumes and 7,489 pages of documents. Despite the 11 voluminous nature of Appellant's Appendix, it appears that numerous documents 12 admitted at trial are not included in same, which exhibits Lynita's counsel believes 13 are necessary for the Court's review on appeal. 14

The Appellant had over 6 months to prepare the Opening Brief and the 15 Appendix due to the consolidation of multiple appeals and the previously agreed 16 upon extension of time, while Lynita has had only 2 months during December and 17 January in which to review the voluminous Appendix prepared by Appellant and to 18 attempt to complete an answering brief and opening brief on cross-appeal. 19 Specifically, on May 19, 2015, the Court issued its Order Reinstating Briefing, 20 ordering Appellant to file an opening brief within 90 days (by no later than August 21 17, 2015). Before said due date, however, a second appeal was filed, Docket No. 22 68282. On July 8, 2015, the Court issued its Order Consolidating Appeals and 23 Granting Stay Conditioned on Posting of Bond ("Order Consolidating"). The Order 24

¹ The Order granting said extension stated that the date to file the opening brief was being extended 55 days, and the date to file the combined answering brief and opening brief on crossappeal was being extended 87 days. The 87 day calculation was based on a due date for the answering brief and opening brief on cross-appeal which assumed that the opening brief was filed by October 6, 2015. Based on the extended date for filing the opening brief, the extension for the answering brief and opening brief on cross-appeal was in reality only 32 days.

Consolidating consolidated the appeals between the parties, and ordered Appellant to file a single opening brief within 90 days, thereby extending the initial due date of August 17, 2015 to October 6, 2015 (50 days). The parties then stipulated to extend the due date for the opening brief another 55 days. At the time that the parties entered into their stipulation, Lynita and her counsel did not have the benefit of having the Opening Brief and Appendix ultimately filed by Appellant, to more accurately estimate the time that would be required to respond to same.

In addition to the complexity of this matter and volume of information, which 8 in and of itself necessitates an extension of time, counsel has another answering brief 9 due to this Court in another appeal the very next day after Lynita's brief is due in this 10 matter (on February 2, 2016). Counsel has worked diligently to attempt to complete 11 the briefs in both matters, but at this time, requires a brief period of additional time 12 in this appeal (the other matter is far less complex or voluminous which is why an 13 extension is being requested in this appeal only). The Dickerson Law Group has also 14 had 1 of its 4 attorneys away on maternity leave since December 10, 2015, which has 15 increased the workload for the other attorneys in the office. 16

The extension requested is 15 days and would make Lynita's answering brief on appeal and opening brief on cross-appeal due on February 16, 2016.

For the reasons set forth above, Lynita and her counsel respectfully request that
the Court grant the requested 15 day extension of time.

21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

DATED this 29^{th} day of January, 2016.

By Colmer Degreen
ROBERT P. DICKERSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 000945
JOSEF M. KARACSONYI, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10634
KATHERINE L. PROVOST, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 008414
1745 Village Center Circle
Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Respondent/Cross-Appellant
Attorneys for Respondent/Cross-Appellant

THE DICKERSON LAW GROUP

3

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify that I am an employee of THE DICKERSON LAW GROUP, and that
3	on this Arday of January, 2016, I filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing
4	RESPONDENT/CROSS-APPELLANT, LYNITA SUE NELSON'S, MOTION FOR
5	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE COMBINED ANSWERING BRIEF ON APPEAL
6	AND OPENING BRIEF ON CROSS-APPEAL, with the Clerk of the Court through
7	the Court's eFlex electronic filing system and notice will be sent electronically by the
8	Court to the following:
9	RHONDA K. FORSBERG, ESQ . FORSBERG LAW OFFICE
10	10 64 North Pecos Road, Ste. 800 Henderson, Nevada 89074
11	
12	MARK A. SOLOMON, ESQ. JEFFREY P. LUSZECK, ESQ.
13	SOLOMON, DWIGGINS, FREER & MORSE, LTD. 9060 W. Chevenne Avenue
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129 Attorneys for Appellant, MATT KLABACKA
15	Omi tilbes
16	An employee of The Dickerson Law Group
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	
27	
28	
	4

transfer to the second s