TRANS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

FILED

JUL - 7 2015

COPY

CLERK OF COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

ERIC L. NELSON,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. D-09-411537-D

vs.

LYNITA NELSON,

DEPT. L

13 Defendar

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANK P. SULLIVAN DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

16

14

15

TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2012

1 APPEARANCES: 2 The Plaintiff: ERIC NELSON For the Plaintiff: RHONDA FORSBERG, ESQ. 3 64 N. Pecos Rd., #700 Henderson, Nevada 89074 4 (702) 990-6448 5 The Defendant: LYNTTA NELSON For the Delendant: ROBERT DICKERSON, ESQ. 6 KATHERINE PROVOST, ESQ. 1745 Village Center Cir. 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (702) 388-8600 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

| ı | | INDEX OF WI | TNESSES | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------|---------|
| 2 | | DIRECT | | PERIDO | |
| 3 | WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2 | 1 Pale | 31100717 | REDIRECT | RECROSS |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | LYNITA NELSON | 19 | 20 | 23 | |
| 6 | | | 20 | 2.3 | |
| 7 | | * * * * | * | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | 1 |
| 14 | | | | | 1 |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | D.09-411537.05 AIC- | SOM DEPOSIT | | | |
| | D-09-411537-D NEL VERBATIM REPORTI | NG & TRANSCRIPTIO | RANSCRIPT (SEAL IN, LLC (520) 303-7 | ED) 356 | |

2

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

(THE PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 10:17:12)

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: This is the time set in the matter of Eric and Lynita Nelson, case number D-411537. We'll get everybody's appearance for the record. We'll start with Ms. Forsberg.

MS. FORSBERG: Good morning, Your Honor, Rhonda Forsberg, 9557, on behalf of Mr. Nelson, who is present to my right. And with Court's permission my assistant, Cassidy Forsberg.

THE COURT: Good to see you, Eric.

MS. PROVEST: No, I'll do it today. Okay. Good morning, Your Honor, Katherine Provost, bar number 8414, and Robert Dickerson, bar number 945, who is present with the Defendant, Lymita Nelson, who is to my left.

THE COURT: Good to see you as well, Ms. Lynita.

I think the Plaintilf had rested their case on Monday and I think we're ready to proceed with Mr. Dickerson's case?

MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. We have a -- a couple of preliminary matters.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICKERSON: The bankruptcy court ruled yesterday

that the --

MS. FORSBERG: The bankruptcy can go through.

4

3

I

MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, the bankruptcy --

5

MS. FORSBERG: Just keep going. It was not

6

discussed.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

MR. DICKERSON: In fact, I'll have Ms. Provost. address it. She was in the court. And we've got the public order that has been sent over. But essentially I'll -- I'll have her explain it.

MS. PROVOST: Good morning, Your Honor. The bankruptcy court has not dismissed the bankruptcy filed by the Dynasty Development Group. It will go forward. However, it did issue a ruling yesterday and I do have the proposed order for Your Honor as well as a copy for Ms. Forsberg that granted our motion for -- or granted the motion for relief from stay that was filed by Mrs. Nelson through Marjoric Cuymon.

That motion with stay to allow you as the Judge of the Eighth judicial District Court Family Division to have exclusive jurisdiction over the 1.568 million dollars in funds that are -- have been held in interest bearing trust account with Mr. Stevens and allows you to do as you need to with those funds. It is not going to be funds that are property of the bankruptcy and not property of Dynasty Development Group's

debtors estates. So you have no restrictions with respect to those.

MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, I -- I don't believe -Mr. Nelson is telling me that's not quite true and that this
hasn't been approved, this proposed order of course, that it
is still Dynasty's property is what has been told.

MS. PROVOST: Actually, there was a specific ruling by the judge -- by Judge Markell yesterday that it is not Dynasty's property and that Dynasty could not later come back and try to reverse Your Honor's order with respect to those funds.

MS. FORSBERG: We don't believe that's true.

MR. NELSON: Your Honor, if I may. The -- we requested that they did -- would not dismiss the case, but give you authorization to allow Dynasty to use their funds to help us try to settle the scenarios with Lynita so we wouldn't have to go back to utilize those funds in bankruptcy court. That's what the judge allowed us to do -- or you to do if we wanted to take 300,000 of those funds, give them to Lynita and we get Mississippi, those negotiations can do to give us the flexibility to move forward.

MS. PROVOST: Your Honor, none of those arguments were made by Mr. Ovlad (ph) during the bankruptcy hearing yesterday. And if Your Honor has any questions --

1 MR. NELSON: Well, regardless --2 MS. PROVOST: And this isn't an order. 3 MS. PROVOST: -- Ms. Guymon would be available to answer those as well as Judge Markell I'm sure. 4 5 MR. DICKERSON: So likely --6 THE COURT: We'll see what the --7 MR. DICKERSON: I think that I --8 THE COURT: We'll see if the Judge signs this order. It's very specific. If the Judge doesn't sign it, then we'll see what the order says. 10 11 MS. PORSBERG: And that's -- Your Honor, until we have a signed order, we don't have anything, right? 12 13 THE COURT: Yeah. And so now I wouldn't be making 14 any --15 MR. NELSON: But he did -- but he did not dismiss 16 it. 17 THE COURT: I wouldn't be making any disposition to property today anyway. So the issue is let the order sign. 18 If -- if this is a proposed order, if it's signed that way, 19 it's very clear that the Judge ruled on those on that. If 20 those order's changed on that --21 22 MS. FORSBERG: But of course the key other issue is 23 that they did not dismiss the right bankruptcy. So it's moving forward. So we find it's an invalid basis to move 24

1 forward evidently. 2 MS. PROVOST: Well, actually, Your Honor --3 4 MS. FORSBERG: The fact that it wasn't dismissed. 5 MS. PROVOST: -- the Court asked Ms. Guymon which she would prefer to have the stay lifted so Your Honor could 6 address the 1.568 million dollars of if she wanted it 7 dismissed. He basically left it to her. If she had chosen to have it dismissed, then it would be dismissed, but the --9 10 MR. NELSON: Absolutely false. 11 MS. PROVOST: I don't believe that. 12 MR. NELSON: Absolutely false. 13 MS. PROVOST: -- reason that she went with the lift of stay is because the 1.568 million is what really is 14 important to Ms. Nelson. She really could care less whether 15 Dynasty ever gets a bankruptcy. She's just concerned with the 16 property to which that she believes she's entitled to through 18 this court. 19 MR. DICKERSON: So we will wait and see what the --20 MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, and we disagree with 21 that, because --22 MR. NELSON: Totally. 23 MS. FORSBERG: -- the Court's going to get -- 11 they can get rid of it by dismissal, they're going to. 24

They're going to try to get it off their docket. 2 MR. DICKERSON: Were you there yesterday? 3 MS. FORSBERG: It doesn't even make sense. 4 MS. PROVOST: No, she was not, Your Honor. 5 MS. FORSBERG: It's not even logic. 6 MR. DICKERSON: So you're relying on Mr. 7 Credibility. 8 THE COURT: Okay. The -- the --9 MS. FORSBERG: I'm not relying on credibility. 10 MR. NELSON: What about your credibility, Mr. 11 Dickerson? 12 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and let's not get there. The issue is the order is going to speak for itself. 13 After a Judge signs that order, it's submitted --15 MS. FORSBERG: Well, that's what I'm saying is it speaks for itself, because it --16 17 MR. NELSON: Exactly. 18 THE COURT: -- real specific. 19 MS. FORSBERG: -- wasn't dismissed. They must have 20 thought something --21 MS. PROVOST: Your Honor, can --22 THE COURT: Okay. They left the money to me, then I'll take care of that. I got no problem on that and I 23 understand bankruptcy law. I understand the issues it has on

 that. The bankruptcy court's many times has left it to the family court to determine the nature of property separate or community, whether it's stayed or not on that. And most of the time they would rely on the family court determination as to the status of the property. But I'll take that when it comes and see what the bankruptcy judge says. If they signed this order, then it pretty well takes care of that issue based on the proposed order. If they don't sign it, then we'll do with the order says and --

MS. PROVOST: And we'll go ahead and file the bankruptcy court order with Your Honor as soon as it is filed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Absolutely. We'll do that and just share it the other side on that and we'll just have it marked as -- as an exhibit or just in the file if we need it on that. So we can get that done on that. But the good thing is that the money's still staying put at this point until we get final resolution. And if the Judge signs the order, signs the order. If he doesn't, we'll see what the order has to say.

At this time, any other issues? I did put on -- I think we got a phone conference this afternoon with Mr. Solomon. I'm not sure if it's something to do --

MS. PROVOST: I don't know that either.

MS. FORSBERG: We didn't know that.

THE COURT: I don't know if they did or not, but somebody -- my law clerk said something. I don't know if you're Lrying to set something up with Mr. Solomon to make sure they were okay with the continuing -- to extend it to 50 pages.

MR. DICKERSON: Right.

THE COURT: But I thought he maybe said he's trying to setup. I'm not sure. Maybe -- we'll I'll double check before --

MR. DICKERSON: Okay.

THE COURT: -- we're done today to find out what's going on. I know we wanted to make sure Mr. Solomon didn't think he was backdoored --

MR. DICKERSON: Right. Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- didn't have a chance to be heard. I told my minute order was basically I have no objection to doing the 50 pages if Mr. Solomon was fine with stipulating to that, I had no problem with that. But I didn't make an order that it had to have been at 50 pages.

MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, that's good to clear up, because the minute order didn't read like that.

THE COURT: That's what they say, so --

MS. FORSBERG: It was kind of confusing. It actually said no, it is 50 pages. And so I was going to ask

```
1
                MS. PROVOST: -- served with a minute order.
    2
                MS. FORSBERG: It's not a minute order. It's the
      minutes from yesterday.
   3
   4
                THE COURT: From yesterday? You got them that fast?
   5
                MS. FORSBERG: Yesterday. So I don't --
   6
                THE COURT: Oh, well, did -- did you finish minutes
   7
      that fast?
   8
               MS. FORSBERG: So I don't -- but they were wrong.
   9
               THE COURT: Did you do minutes from yesterday?
  10
               THE CLERK: Yeah.
  11
               THE COURT: From Monday?
 12
               MS. FORSBERG: She's just --
 13
               THE COURT: She said something about the --
 14
              MS. PROVOST: The best.
 15
              THE COURT: -- minute order staling to that extent.
 16
 17
              MS. FORSBERG: It just said minute, youh.
18
              THE COURT: -- to the 50 pages and said I had --
19
    that was not my intent. If I said my intent --
20
             MS. FORSBERG: Right.
21
             THE COURT: -- was if there --
22
             MS. FORSBERG: That was --
23
             THE COURT: -- was no objection by Mr. Solomon, T
   was more than five to do 50 pages. I didn't care if the
24
```

Carli's tuition being paid?

24

| 88 | 20 | |
|----|-----------|--|
| | | She was I believe Garret was there as well and |
| 2 | that was | paid from the office, from Eric's office. |
| 3 | Q | Okay. And that that was for the Las Vegas Day |
| 4 | School? | |
| 5 | A | It was. |
| 6 | Q | And then Carli's still attending Las Vegas Day |
| 7 | School? | |
| 8 | A | She's not. She's graduated and yeah. |
| 9 | Q | And Garret, is he attending private school now? |
| 10 | A | He's not, but Carli's Carli's going to private |
| 11 | school ir | n just a week. |
| 12 | Q | Oh, you mean to college. |
| 13 | A | No. And she's going to high school. |
| 14 | Q | Oh, yeah, I |
| 15 | Α | Carli's going to high school. |
| 16 | Q | Sorry. So she is Carli's going to where, Faith |
| 17 | Lutheran? | |
| 18 | A | Yes. |
| 19 | Q | And there is a tuition then? |
| 20 | А | There is, sir. Uh-huh. (Affirmative). |
| 21 | Q | Where did she go last year? |
| 22 | А | Las Vegas Day School. |
| 23 | Q | Who paid tuition for Las Vegas Day School last year? |
| 24 | А | The Judge ordered Eric to pay last year. |
| | | |

| | The state of the s | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Q | Correct? |
| 2 | А | Yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q | Again, where is this money coming from? |
| 4 | A | From my Schwab account. |
| 5 | Q | Now do you recall this divorce action was filed |
| 6 | in 2009, | correct? |
| 7 | A | It was. |
| 8 | Q | Do you recall when it was filed? |
| 9 | A | I think it was filed in March or May. I'm not for |
| 10 | sure. | |
| 11 | Q | Take a look at moving to 2010. If you'll look at |
| 12 | Bates Number 6595. | |
| 13 | А | Okay. I'm there. |
| 14 | Q | Okay. So this then shows during the entire year |
| 15 | 2010 the | amount of money that you were withdrawing, is that |
| 16 | correct? | |
| 17 | А | Yes, sir. |
| 18 | Q | And so you took a total of 876,000? |
| 19 | А | Yes. |
| 20 | | MS. FORSBERG: Bob, can you tell me what tab what |
| 21 | page you' | re on? I'm sorry. |
| 22 | | MR. DICKERSON: Yeah, 6595. |
| 23 | | MS. FORSBERG: Thank you. |
| 24 | Q | These are monies then coming from your your |
| | | |

Schwab account? 1 2 A Yes. 3 Okay. Now there's some significant amounts out of Is this how you're also paying your legal fees? 5 It is. 6 Now Lynita, if we -- now if I can direct you to Mr. 7 Bertsch's report to the similar summary sheet that Mr. Bertsch 8 prepared for Eric's expenditures. And that is at 6818. Do 9 you see that? 10 THE COURT: It's Tab 11. 11 A It's behind 11? 12 Tab 11, yes. 13 THE COURT: Tab 11. 14 Okay. Thank you. Remind me again, 6818? 15 0 6818. 16 Okay. A 17 Now if you'll look down --0 18 Oh, wait. Wait. I'm not --A 19 0 Okay. 20 A Okay. Okay. I'm there. 21 And I believe you saw this earlier. If you look 22 down the reference to you, we see that over this period from 23 2009 through -- through the first three and a half months of 24 2012 Eric gave you just short of \$90,000, \$89,517. Do you see

24

Do you recall ever receiving a \$2300 check from Eric

| 1 | in January of 2010? | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | A No. | | |
| 3 | Q Do you know what these amounts down towards the end | | |
| 4 | for September, November and December of \$1,002.72 for each of | | |
| 5 | those month? Do you know what that is for? | | |
| 6 | A I have no clue. | | |
| 7 | Q Do you see that the month of July is double that | | |
| 8 | amount? | | |
| 9 | A I do. | | |
| 10 | Q Do you know if Eric was paying your medical | | |
| 11 | insurance? | | |
| 12 | A He was supposed to have been, yes. | | |
| 13 | Q On a point in time that he cut you off from your | | |
| 14 | medical insurance. | | |
| 15 | A He did. Not just me. | | |
| 16 | Q The whole family? | | |
| 17 | A Uh-huh. (Affirmative). | | |
| 18 | Q Okay. And when did that occur? | | |
| 19 | A I believe the paperwork said that it was done | | |
| 20 | payments weren't being made I believe either from December or | | |
| 21 | January of this year. And so on May the 4th it was I | | |
| 22 | none of us had insurance. | | |
| 23 | Q And what did you do? | | |
| 24 | A Oh, I didn't know on the 4th. I didn't know until | | |
| | N | | |

the 6th that we didn't have insurance. And what did you at that point? 2 What did I do? 3 A Did you get substitute insurance? 4 I did not. 5 Are you insured today? 6 7 I am. And how are you insured today? 8 Eric is paying -- or he's -- they -- I was told by 9 A the insurance company that the insurance was being -- was 10 11 reinstated. All right. If you take a look at Bates Stamp Number 12 6824. 13 14 Yes. Those appear to be for the year 2011. And again, if 15 you go to that category for Lynita Nelson, you see that during 2011 you received a total of \$10,763.60 from Eric. 17 Yeah. Uh-huh. (Affirmative). 18 A Do you know what these amounts are for? Again, we 19 see the first two months of \$1,002.72. Did you receive checks from him for that amount? 21 22 A I did not. How about the month -- the -- the next month? 23 \$3,008.16? Do you know what that is for? 24

the thing relating to Eric giving to you or for your benefit is \$244 in February of this year, is that correct? 3 A Yes. Did you receive a check from Eric for \$244? 4 0 5 I have not. A Do you know what that is for? 6 I do not. 7 A MR. DICKERSON: If I may have Exhibit quadruple S. 8 9 Lynita, I'm showing you what's been admitted into evidence as Exhibit four Ses. 10 11 Okay. Now this is a copy of your 2006 tax return, is that 12 13 correct? 14 Yes. A And this --15 16 A Okay. -- tax return was prepared by Steven G. Holderman. 17 Did -- did you say 2006? 18 A 19 2006, yes. Because of this -- okay. Oh, these are vouchers. 20 Okay. All right. 21 Take a look at the first page. You probably need to 22 move back a few pages. Preliminary pages. But do you see the 23 24 first pages of your return?

```
1
              Yes. Oh, well --
        A
 2
             Let me help you. It's the one that has 1040 written
3
   on the top.
 4
             Might as well be in Japanese.
5
             All right. The first page of your return. Now this
        0
 6
7
             Okay.
        Α
8
             -- this is the return you referenced towards what's
9
   prepared by Steven Holderman, is that correct?
10
        A
             Yes.
            And who is Mr. Holderman?
11
12
            He is our tax accountant that Eric used.
             Now had you ever met Mr. Holderman before he
13
14
   prepared this return?
15
              This one? No.
             Yes. Did you retain or hire Mr. Holderman to
16
17
   prepare this return for you?
18
        A
             No.
19
              Now Mr. Holderman on this return on Line Item 13
20
   shows a capital gain of $3,23 -- 234,441, correct?
21
        A
            Yes.
22
        0
             Do you know what that relates to?
23
        A
            I don't.
24
             Take a look, ma'am, back. There is a form 6252
        0
```

1 related to the same Tierra Del Sol property. 2 MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, leading. I mean, I let 3 her go further, but now he keeps going onto that. He said she 4 didn't know what it was for. 5 THE COURT: Well, we'll go through the document and she was going to be a backup for it. They have to put the 6 7 documentation on the tax form. 8 MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, Mr. -- Mr. Nelson's going 9 to just --10 MR. NELSON: Go to the bathroom. 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 MS. FORSBERG: I'll be okay with that. Can't keep 13 him dancing in the seat. 14 MR. DICKERSON: All right. Your Honor, do you see 15 the -- the form --16 MS. FORSBERG: What page are we on? 17 MR. DICKERSON: -- 6252 for Tierra Del Sol? 18 BY MR. DICKERSON: 19 Do you see that, Ms. Nelson? 20 A I do. 21 That reflects a capital gain from the sale of Tierra 22 Del Sol, your interest in Tierra Del Sol for a total 23 installment sale. That shows the total installment sale. It's just short of 3.5 million dollars, is that correct?

| 1 | A | It does. | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | Q | Now this is the transaction we have heard quite a | |
| 3 | bit about | and I think even Mr. Gerety confirmed. You did not | |
| 4 | receive t | hat entire amount, did you? | |
| 5 | А | I didn't. | |
| 6 | Q | That's the \$2,000,000 that was used to start your | |
| 7 | own account? | | |
| 8 | A | That's what I was told. | |
| 9 | Q | So it's true then from your understanding you only | |
| 10 | received | \$2,000,000 of that. | |
| 11 | A | Yes. | |
| 12 | Q | Yet, the entire amount is reflected on your return. | |
| 13 | A | Yes. | |
| 14 | | MR. DICKERSON: I have nothing further, Your Honor. | |
| 15 | | REDIRECT EXAMINATION | |
| 16 | BY MS. FO | RSBERG: | |
| 17 | Q | Ms. Nelson, you testified that you received that | |
| 18 | the child | ren received no support from Eric Nelson, is that | |
| 9 | correct? | During the pendency of this action? | |
| 20 | A | I haven't received money from Eric | |
| 21 | Q | Is that a yes or a no? | |
| 22 | | MR. DICKERSON: That well, she mischaracterizes | |
| 23 | her testimony. Then I object to the form of the question. | | |
| 24 | Mischaracterizes her testimony. | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | hired Mr. | Leauanae? | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | A | Mr. Dickerson brought him in. | |
| 3 | Q | And do you know why he brought him in? | |
| 4 | A | Somewhat. | |
| 5 | Q | Isn't it true ma'am that your deposition that you | |
| 6 | testified | that you brought him in to find fraud? | |
| 7 | A | I didn't say that. I didn't say fraud. I I | |
| 8 | don't use | that word really. | |
| 9 | Q | Oh, hidden assets. I'm sorry. You're correct. You | |
| 10 | said hidde | en assets. | |
| 11 | A | I don't know. Maybe you can show me my my | |
| 12 | show it to me and I'll see. | | |
| 13 | Q | Roughly how much did you pay Mr. Leauanae? | |
| 14 | A | I have no idea. | |
| 15 | Q | Let's go through Mr. Bertsch's report if we could. | |
| 16 | А | What number? | |
| 17 | Q | Tab 10, please. | |
| 18 | A | Okay. I should know that, huh? | |
| 19 | Q | That's okay. There's so many tabs it gets a little | |
| 20 | confusing | | |
| 21 | А | I I keep thinking maybe another one will come up. | |
| 22 | Q | Mr. Leauanae is an Anthem Forensics, is that | |
| 23 | correct? | | |
| 24 | А | That's the name of his company, yes. | |
| | | | |

| 1 | | MS. FORSBERG: Then you have answered the question. | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | A | a lot of information | |
| 3 | | MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, I ask to strike | |
| 4 | А | for Mr. Leauanae. | |
| 5 | | MS. FORSBERG: the rest of them thereafter she | |
| 6 | said she | doesn't know. | |
| 7 | | THE COURT: Do you feel you got services rendered | |
| 8 | for the 7 | 1,699.50? | |
| 9 | | THE WITNESS: Absolutely. | |
| 10 | BY MS. FO | RSBERG: | |
| 11 | Q | And you also said the other question you have | |
| 12 | outstanding besides Mr. Dickerson who I believe said his bill | | |
| 13 | wasn't there or something to that effect yet or | | |
| 14 | | MR. DICKERSON: But she but she also | |
| 15 | Q | hasn't | |
| 16 | | MR. DICKERSON: hadn't received my July bill. | |
| 17 | | MS. FORSBERG: Right. So if you'll | |
| 18 | | MR. DICKERSON: Probably because this is fair to | |
| 19 | send it t | o her. | |
| 20 | Ω | You also said you have a big part of the payment to | |
| 21 | Ms. Antan | assio, correct? I'm sure I butchered her name, so I | |
| 22 | apologize | for the record. | |
| 23 | A | Do I have an outstanding bill? | |
| 24 | Q | Yeah. | |
| | | | |

```
I have -- I have a credit card that needs to be paid
 1
 2
    off from some of her billing.
 3
              Okay. And she charged you on this statement from
    the -- Mr. Bertsch 91,730, correct?
 5
              Well, I -- well, will you tell me -- I -- I got to
 6
    10 --
 7
              Tab 10.
 8
              -- but I didn't know what --
 9
         0
              6916.
10
              Okay. This is helpful. Thank you.
         A
11
         Q
              Do you know how much of this additional 58,000 is
12
    for Ms. Antanassio?
13
              Ask me -- how much more additional --
14
         0
              You said --
15
         A
              -- there is?
              -- $58,000 and you said part of it was made up for
16
         Q
17
   Mr. Leauanae's and Ms. Antanassio's.
18
              Oh, I don't -- I don't recall.
         A
19
         0
              Ms. Antanassio is not testifying here today either,
20
   is she?
21
        A
             Not to my knowledge.
22
        0
              Or in this trial so far yet, correct?
23
        A
             No.
24
             MR. DICKERSON: No, but she had -- her deposition
```

```
was taken.
 2
              Ma'am, in -- Mr. Dickerson went over 2009 under Tab
   10, Page -- Bates Number -- looking for the 2009 one. I
   believe that's Page 6593. Do you remember us going through
    that one? Mr. Dickerson went over this $317,604 that you --
 6
              Oh, can you hold on just til I get there? Okay.
 7
    6593.
 8
             Correct.
 9
             And what are you referring to? I'm sorry.
10
              Still an investment. Do you see that line? Mr.
11
    Dickerson went over that --
12
             Oh, yes, ma'am.
13
             -- quite at length.
        0
14
             Yes. Uh-huh. (Affirmative).
        A
15
            The total for 2009 was 317,604, is that correct?
16
             That's what it says on the report. Uh-huh.
17
    (Affirmative).
18
             Can you turn to Exhibit Number -- so if we can
19
   remember that number, that 314,000.
20
             I'll keep my finger there.
21
             MR. DICKERSON: 17.
22
             MS. FORSBERG: 317.
23
        A
             Okay.
24
             MS. FORSBERG: Great. Thanks, Bob.
```

```
December? You started with 800 -- 1,834,000 --
1
2
             I -- I don't know what you want me to be looking at,
3
   my Schwab account or --
             Your Schwab account.
4
             Okay. So you want me to be in January?
5
             You saw January 1,834,477 was your starting balance.
6
   Do you see that?
8
             Yes, ma'am.
        A
             Okay. So that's what you had there to begin with,
10
   correct?
11
             That's what it says.
        A
             Okay. Can you turn to December 31st, 2009
12
13
   statement?
14
        A
             Sure.
             It's the last little section in 239. The last few
15
16
             Oh, okay.
17
        A
             -- five or six pages.
18
19
             Okay. Yeah. And you want the --
             Ending balance. What was your ending balance?
20
21
             1,844,969.20.
              So even though you took out that $318,000, your
22
   balance actually went up about $10,000, wouldn't you agree?
23
             MR. DICKERSON: I'll stipulate to that.
24
```

| Q | And are you pleased with the professional services |
|----------|--|
| you have | received from Melissa Antanassio and and her |
| business | during the course of these proceedings? |

- A No doubt. Yes, absolutely.
- Q Can you explain to the Court where you believe you would be today with respect to knowledge of various transactions that -- that we've discussed here in this case had you not retained Joe Leauanae and Ms. Antanassio?

MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, objection. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can ask her. She paid a lot of money and they didn't testify and she said she got -- she thought she got value for it. So I think you can ask --

MR. DICKERSON: So the --

THE COURT: -- why she thinks she's got value for it or what she -- what they attributed to it.

THE WITNESS: It became clear and every day that I

-- since I have hired Joe and Melissa and Mr. Dickerson and
his law firm, it was very clear that Eric was not going to
come forth nor anybody in his office or anybody that's
associated with to allow me to know what has happened in my
world while I am -- have been married to Eric. And the only
way that I have ever had the opportunity to is to hire these
experts and to be in this courtroom to find out what exactly

```
happened in my world. And there's -- I -- I would be -- I
1
   would be under his -- I would be under his rule as I had been
2
3
   for some many years.
   BY MR. DICKERSON:
4
             By his, meaning who?
5
             THE COURT: You know --
6
             By his, meaning who?
7
8
             Mr. Nelson.
        A
             Now Lynita, you were questioned about getting a job.
9
   We went over this in 2010, but let's just briefly do it one
10
   more time.
H
12
        A
             Okay.
             When was the last time you worked outside the home?
13
             When I was pregnant with Amanda in 1986.
14
             And your job at that time?
15
              I was at -- I was at Stanwell Mortgage, a mortgage
        A
16
   company.
17
              Doing what?
18
        0
              I was a receptionist.
19
        A
              Do you recall what you were being paid?
20
        0
             Oh, I don't know.
21
        A
              Now your educational background, you did not finish
22
        0
   college, is that correct?
23
24
        A
             I have not.
```

Tell us about that. How far did you go in college? 1 0 Maybe about a year and a half. 2 A Why did you quit? 3 0 To come home and work and make money. 4 And is that when you started the job with Stanwell 5 Mortgage or did you work somewhere else? No, I believe I was -- oh, it's hard for me to 7 remember all that. I don't know. I -- I think I worked at Mervin's and then I worked at another mortgage company, Norwest Mortgage. And then I believe I went to Stanwell. 10 Okay. So what did you do at Mervin's after -- now 11 this is then after you dropped -- you went to BYU if I recall, 12 is that correct? 13 Oh, yeah. I don't know if I did that before or 14 T -after. 15 You went to BYU. Is -- do you recall that? 0 16 Oh, I remember that, yeah. I was just --17 Now after you quite --18 0 After high school I went to BYU. 19 And so after you left BYU, you believed you may have 20 worked for Mervin's? 21 Yes, I think so. 22 And what did you do at Mervin's? 23 During the summer. I just worked in one of the 24

this court if he found any? 1 I don't recall that's how he answered. Did you want 2 to know what I -- how I thought he answered? 3 No, that's okay. You've answered it. That's fine. 4 5 I don't --6 A Oh. -- need a narrative. 7 So that's a no. that's not -- that's not what he 8 9 said. How about your -- how about -- and it's been since 10 2009 you said, correct, since this divorce action began. 11 I'm sorry? 12 This divorce action began I believe we were 13 questioning whether it was May or June or 2009. 14 Yeah, your client filed, so --15 A That was your testimony, correct, May or June 2009? 16 17 Yeah, around there. A Okay. 18 0 Uh-huh. (Affirmative). 19 A So that's over three years, correct? 20 Yeah. 21 A Did you bother looking to go into school and 22 0 finishing your degree that you started at BYU in that amount 23 of time? 24

| 1 | А | Actually, I consider my schooling that I've had as | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | law 101. | | |
| 3 | Q | That was the question, ma'am. Did you look into any | |
| 4 | formal education? | | |
| 5 | А | I did. | |
| 6 | Q | Have you registered for classes? | |
| 7 | А | I did. | |
| 8 | Q | How many have you taken? | |
| 9 | А | I I was in a class for about two weeks. | |
| 10 | Q | It ended in two weeks or was it a class that went | |
| 11 | for two weeks or you dropped out? | | |
| 12 | А | I wasn't able to complete it. | |
| 13 | Q | And why? | |
| 14 | A | Because I was too busy working on the divorce. | |
| 15 | Q | And when was that that you attempted this class, | |
| 16 | ma'am? | | |
| 17 | A | Probably in 2010. | |
| 18 | | MS. FORSBERG: No further questions, Your Honor. | |
| 19 | | THE COURT: This is probably a good time | |
| 20 | | MR. DICKERSON: No questions. | |
| 21 | | THE COURT: to quit, it's about 10 to 5:00. Do | |
| 22 | you have | any other witnesses, Ms. Forsberg? | |
| 23 | | MS. FORSBERG: I do not, Your Honor. | |
| 24 | | THE COURT: And then you only have | |
| | | | |

the day open, so if you need more time or whatever, I'll keep

1 that open for you. 2 MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Judge. Thank you. We 3 will definitely be done on Wednesday. MS. FORSBERG: I don't think this Judge is going to 4 5 believe it until we all walk out of here. 6 (Off record) 7 THE COURT: Post-trial brief, because there will be 8 some redundancy of course. 9 MS. FORSBERG: My concern of course is Mr. -- Mr. 10 Solomon's position on that, Your Honor, but certainly it seems like we should try to live within the court rules which nobody 11 seems to when I get 2,000 pages of documents and didn't --12 13 THE COURT: I agree with you on that. And normally I would. That's why I do the rule. But I think the rule says 14 unless court ordered in a case like this it would probably 15 16 would justify --17 MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, as far as though, are we -- he's keeping them separate. He's going to address these 18 19 issues in a separate one. Is that -- I want to make sure 20 where we're at. 21 THE COURT: I -- yeah, I thought he did with the 22 trust. I thought he wanted the trust just with 50 pages and 23 then he said it was going to get incorporated, is that right, 24 Mr. --

21

23

24

D-09-411537-D NELSON 08/20/2012 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: But it would just be as to the trust and

I -- I'd be inclined to to let you do your separate briefing as to the domestic areas as to properties, spousal support, things like that as a separate brief under the local rules.

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. FORSBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.
(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 16:48:37)

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Adrian Medromo

Adrian N. Medrano

\$8,580.82. Do you agree with that number? 1 2 Yes. 3 And December, 30,767.02. Do you agree with that 0 4 number? 5 A Yes, I do. 6 For a total of 97,362.75 that your account increased as far as change of value. 7 8 A Okay. 9 Do you agree with that? Q 10 A That's what you have. 11 So you basically have an income off of that that were raised but you could have withdrawn an initial 97,362, is 12 that right? 13 14 Well, yeah. In my terminology, my investments made A 15 that that year. 16 0 Okay. 17 A So --18 So they made that that year. 19 MS. FORSBERG: I'd offer Exhibit 240, Your Honor. 20 MR. DICKERSON: Again, for the same purpose of showing what the increase in the value of her investments was? 21 22 MS. FORSBERG: Yes. 23 MR. DICKERSON: I have no objection. 24 THE COURT: hereby so admitted as number 240.

```
1
     short.
               We just -- actually -- okay. So let's go through
   2
     those if we could, okay?
   3
  4
          A
               Okay.
  5
               Can you tell the Court what your adjusted gross
     income was for 2010?
  6
  7
               I couldn't unless you -- well, no. Line 37?
  8
          0
               Correct.
  9
          A
               90,841.
 10
              But you also had some tax exempt interest, didn't
          Q
    you, up -- up above on Line 8(b) of 31,784?
 11
 12
         A
              I would have to have you guide me, because --
 13
              8 (b).
 14
              -- I am not familiar with these.
15
              If you go up to 8(b) up above --
         0
16
         A
              Okay.
17
              -- 7, 8(a) --
         0
18
         A
              I see that.
19
         0
              -- and then 8(b).
20
              Uh-huh. (Affirmative).
         A
21
              You had some tax exempt interest of 31,784, is that
         Q
22
    correct?
23
              That's what it says, ma'am.
        A
24
        Q
             Return to 2009.
```

```
1
                Sure, Okay. I'm there.
   2
                It shows you had some tax exempt interest under 8(b)
     of 39,661, is that correct?
   3
  4
          A
                That's -- yes.
  5
               And you had additional income 21 -- adjusted gross
          0
     on Line 37 of 21,732, is that right?
  6
  7
          A
               Yes, ma'am.
  8
               Okay. You turn to 2008.
          0
  9
          Α
               I'm there.
 10
               You had some tax exempt interest of 24,125, is that
 11
     right?
 12
         A
              Yes, ma'am.
 13
              And then you had additional income of 59,808 under
    adjusted gross income, would you agree?
 14
15
         A
              Yes.
16
              Okay. In 2007, do you see that tax return?
         0
17
         A
              I do, ma'am.
18
              And you have tax exempt interest of 20,640, is that
         Q
19
    right?
20
        A
              Yes, ma'am.
21
              And you had some adjusted gross income, an
   additional 398,512 on Line 37, would you agree?
23
        A
              Yes, ma'am.
24
             MS. FORSBERG: I would ask that Exhibit 237 be
```

1 admitted, Your Honor. 2 MR. DICKERSON: Did you -- you didn't get to the '06 3 though. 4 MS. FORSBERG: Oh, I'm sorry. That little page turned to the side that you guys gave us. 5 6 MR. DICKERSON: Actually, if I may --7 MS. FORSBERG: Thank you. I will. 8 MR. DICKERSON: If I may, I have the entire exhibit of 2006 which is our quadruple S which I have questioned. I questioned Dan Gerety on it. I -- I forgot to move for the 10 admission of that. And -- and if you don't mind, the entire 11 -- the entire tax return '06 is quadruple S. 12 13 MS. FORSBERG: Quadruple S? 14 MR. DICKERSON: And I have no objection to these 15 exhibits. 16 MS. FORSBERG: Fine, we'll go through 2006 --17 MR. DICKERSON: 237, I would ask that quadruple S also be admitted. 18 19 THE COURT: Is it --20 MS. FORSBERG: No objection, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Four S or five S? 22 MR. DICKERSON: Four S. 23 THE COURT: Four S, just to make sure --24 MS. FORSBERG: Four S.

```
THE COURT: -- we're on that which --
   1
  2
               MS. FORSBERG: And --
  3
               THE COURT: -- is the entire return from 2006?
  4
               MR. DICKERSON: Yes, in 2006.
  5
               MS. FORSBERG: And --
  6
               THE COURT: It will be admitted to supplement 237
     which has the first two pages of the 2006, I believe.
  7
  8
                                  (Plaintiff's Exhibit 237 admitted)
  9
                                 (Defendant's Exhibit SSSS admitted)
 10
              MS. FORSBERG: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
 11
    BY MS. FORSBERG:
 12
              Ma'am, can we go over 2006? It shows your -- it's
    the one that's little on its sideways. You see that, don't
13
14
    you?
15
              I see it. Yes --
16
         Q
             Okay.
17
              -- I have it.
18
             Under 8(b), it shows you had tax exempt interest
         Q
   income of 6,235, would you agree? Besides your ordinary
19
   dividends of 4,879 below that. Do you see those?
20
21
             I -- I do see that. Uh-huh. (Affirmative).
        A
22
             Okay.
23
        A
             Yes.
24
             And then your adjusted gross income for 2006 was
```

MS. FORSBERG: No, they're not -- they're not all in 1 Larry Bertsch's report. They're also be in the minutes of where she received that into her account. 3 THE COURT: The -- her --4 MR. DICKERSON: The minutes don't show that though. 5 THE COURT: -- monthly disbursements with -- there 6 was a lot of minutes on there that show disbursements which --7 MS. FORSBERG: Correct. 8 THE COURT: -- were varied amounts going out there, 9 but I know there is some that authorized monthly disbursements. And if you ask what she got monthly, she may 11 12 be able to clarify that for you. MS. FORSBERG: That's what was I was trying to do, 13 Your Honor. So --14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know if I can. I -- I just 16 don't remember that kind of stuff. And then I don't know that 17 I went through the -- like I didn't look at the distribution 18 and then go check my bank account. I didn't do -- I didn't do 19 that myself. 20 BY MS. FORSBERG: 21 Were you aware of the \$15,000 transfers from the 22 Mellon account to your -- your bank? I remember that -- oh, let me think. The amounts 24

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

D-09-411537-D NELSON 08/20/2012 TRANSCRIPT (SEALED) VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: I think -- and if there was different

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 |

24

Okay. Let's talk about that. But now in your Schwab account you made 304,000 in 2009 though, correct? We just put up that --

A If that's what it says, yeah. If that's what --2 yeah. We just did. 3 MR. DICKERSON: And again, I have to object to the form of the -- that question. It indicates the entries and 4 5 value of the investments. MS. FORSBERG: Of course she can take out whatever she wants. It's an increase value you can use. Just like a 7 bank account. It goes up or it goes down. You can use it or 8 you don't use it. 10 MR. DICKERSON: Then you've got to sellout the 11 asset. 12 THE WITNESS: Then you lose money. MR. DICKERSON: This is not interest income coming 13 to it. That's the increased value of a particular stock --14 15 MS. FORSBERG: Of course, but if you're going to leave it at the same amount, you can take out from there and 16 17 leave --18 THE COURT: Well --19 MS. FORSBERG: -- it at the same amount or not. 20 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, you made -- made more or you may not. It's just a paper transaction until you sell it. 21 22 You don't know what it is. So what looks on paper may be a true value. It could be less, it could be more depending on 23 when you sell it and all those things on that. But it would

1

show that the investments on the paper did show for that year 1 2 the 304,000 --3 MS. FORSBERG: Right. 4 THE COURT: -- increase on that. 5 MS. FORSBERG: Exactly. 6 BY MS. FORSBERG: 7 Ma'am, did -- did you ever receive any deposits from Eric Nelson's trust to yours other than the due to, due froms 8 9 we've seen already? 10 MR. DICKERSON: Other than what, I'm sorry? MS. FORSBERG: Other than the due to, due froms 11 we've seen on Mr. Gerety's report. 12 13 MR. DICKERSON: Well, I -- can I --14 Do you recall any other deposits from Mr. Nelson to 15 you? 16 MR. DICKERSON: I've got to object --17 A I mean --18 MR. DICKERSON: -- to the -- the form of the question when she's saying any other other than these due to, 19 20 due froms. I mean --21 MS. FORSBERG: We can go through all the due to, due 22 froms. 23 MR. DICKERSON: That -- that's a litigation 24 intervention --

question.

2

1

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I answered that.

3

THE COURT: Okay. The next --

4

THE WITNESS: Right.

5

THE COURT: -- question was prior to that did the trust pay for those expenses to your knowledge prior to the

6 7

divorce being filed of Palmyra?

8

THE WITNESS: I understood they were paid out of a bunch of different accounts.

9

THE COURT: Okay.

11

THE WITNESS: It just depended where the money was.

12

He would -- he would -- I mean, he had a couple accounts that

13

were in my name that he had the girls manage. And I know that

14

sometimes if there wasn't enough in one account, he would pay

15

bills from another account. That's what he would tell me.

16

BY MS. FORSBERG:

17

Q Ms. Nelson, the other accounts that you had more than one account in the LSN Trust then, didn't you? You had

19

the Lindell account for instance, correct?

20

A I don't -- I can't tell you about that. Eric -- Eric and the girls managed that. So I -- I never saw a

21

statement. I never -- I got a -- I got -- they transferred

23

the B of A account to me and told me I was going to start to

24

manage that and that that was mine. And at -- prior to that I

that when I went to go try to get --1 2 Ma'am, there's no question before you. Ms. Nelson, how about we go to -- to 36. And now we're right in the line 3 of where we were talking before. Do you see Exhibit 36 in the 5 Intervener's book? 6 I do. 7 0 Okay. 8 It's 4180 at the bottom? A 9 0 Correct. Correct. 10 A Okay. 11 And do you see this was a distribution out of LSN Trust to Lynita Nelson for a hundred thousand dollars? Do you 12 13 recall that? 14 I see that. 15 Okay. 16 I see -- do I recall what? 17 That's just Lynita -- the LSN Trust, not Eric L. Nelson Trust, is that correct? 18 19 A Yeah. 20 So all these distributions were made out of your own 21 trust too, is that correct? 22 MR. DICKERSON: Object to the form of the question. It's assuming distributions were made. I mean, those 23 documents mean nothing with respect to distributions actually 24

1 being made. 2 THE COURT: Right. He can say what it says on there as far as all the arguments. We've gone through a lot of 3 those things on it, but is -- does that reflect a 4 5 distribution? 6 THE WITNESS: Well, it's a piece of paper that says 7 that. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 THE WITNESS: It -- I mean, that's all it says. I didn't sign it, nobody ever talked to me about it. 11 BY MS. FORSBERG: 12 Ma'am, in --Q 13 A I mean --14 -- 2000 -- or in 2002 were you at anywhere in odds with -- with Ms. Martin? You were friendly with Ms. Martin in 15 16 2002 --17 I don't consider myself --A 18 0 -- correct? 19 -- at odds today with her. A 20 But in 2002 you certainly were not, is that correct? 0 21 Do you want to define at odds? Maybe we should A 22 start there. 23 That would be good. That would be good. Do you 0 24 have any reason to believe that she would fill this out

THE WITNESS: When I talked -- when I talked about my signature before, I felt that some of my signatures appeared to be my signature, but I -- I was concerned that some of them were copied. And so I thin it's important for me to state whether or not there's inconsistencies with them. So just because that appears to be my signature, there are some things that just don't look right with it. And I think it's important for me to clarify that. I don't know if you -- do you want me to do that, Judge, or --

THE COURT: Well, yeah, if you want.

MS. FORSBERG: Your Honor, I'm just asking yes or no questions. It's pretty simple.

THE COURT: Well, she can if you asked -THE WITNESS: Well, you asked if it was my
signature.

THE COURT: -- her if that's her signature and she's saying it kind of looks like it but she has concerns about to why or whatever it was, but --

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So if I say that it's my signature, does that mean that I'm saying that I signed this?

THE COURT: No, you can just say basically if it looks like your signature, that's fine. Whether you signed it or not, that -- that some one else could have copied from somewhere in that. So the admission that it's inaccurate

unless you remember signing it or you remember not signing it. 1 2 THE WITNESS: So it appears --3 THE COURT: But it looks like your signature based on some concerns about the Y, is that what --4 5 THE WITNESS: It does, sir. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 BY MS. FORSBERG: 8 Ma'am, on this one, I show that it shows your expenses not to exceed 20,000 per month including all personal 9 expenses paid by the trust until our next meeting, do you see 10 11 that? 12 Let's see. Under -- that that was resolved? 13 0 Yes. 14 So I guess there was supposedly an agreement. A 15 Correct. Some discussion about it where -- where Ms. Martin approved that payment to your -- to you, is that 16 correct? And didn't you just testify earlier ma'am, that you 17 did receive different amounts from 5,000 to 20,000, is that 18 19 correct? 20 Well, yeah. Over the course of my marriage, yeah. A There was times where it didn't receive those amounts either. 21 I -- so yeah, what are you -- what are you asking me? 22 23 0 Is that a yes, ma'am? 24 Do you want to ask me again? A

1

1 went? 2 A Two. 3 Two and you, is that correct? 0 4 A Uh-huh. (Affirmative). 5 Okay. And you paid for their flights, right, ma'am? 6 I paid for -- yeah, one of their flights. So mine 7 and one of them. 8 And you paid for their hotels? 9 We all stayed in the same room. I paid for the hotel. Uh-huh. (Affirmative). 10 11 Okay. And -- and you paid for most of the food 12 while you were there? 13 A We took turns. 14 Let's turn to Mr. Bertsch's reports if you could. 15 Do you see those? 16 Okay. Where do you want me to go? 17 Mr. Bertsch did an amendment to your report. And I 18 believe it's 17. 19 A Tab number 17? 20 Yes, Tab 17, please. 21 A Okay. 22 Mr. Bertsch talks about some unknown deposits for \$576,000. And you had some cashier's check for that amount 23 that you had in your possession withholding, wasn't that 24

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

I never had cashier's checks. I think I've gotten two cashier's checks in the past six years and they are just to pay taxes with.

So can you explain why these unknown deposits for \$576,000 all of a sudden \$350,000 of them came back in?

Where are you at? And I'll try to see if I can remember.

It's 6742 is the page number under 17.

6742. There was one time where I was getting checks from -- I'm trying to think if this might be it. There were times where I was getting checks from like -- I don't want to call it a draw, but like a monthly check from Schwab. And so it could have even been from Mellon. What year does it say it was?

Well, it shows that you redeposited them in 2011 -or 2 --

It wouldn't have been '11. A

2010 statement, 2011. Shows that you had some cash 0 -- some unknown amounts that were withdrawals that you had, but then they got redeposited. Do you recall this, ma'am?

Depo -- deposits. I think that that's just my -- I think Larry had asked me about this and when my -- I have a direct deposit from Schwab. So in '11 -- in -- oh, it says --

```
1
               Can you turn to 6918, please? Oh, no. Let's just
     stay there on the 6915. That actually gives a summation of
  2
     that and we'll just short circuit that way if we could.
  3
  4
          A
               The -- the -- my --
  5
          0
               6915.
  6
          A
               Oh.
  7
          Ö
               Tab 10.
  8
               I don't have a 6908 under that one.
          A
  9
               6915.
 10
              Well, I heard that. I just didn't wanted to tell
 11
    you --
 12
              I said 18.
         Q
13
              -- I didn't have that.
14
              It was 18, but that's okay. Go ahead.
         0
15
              MR. DICKERSON: 6918.
16
         0
              6915.
17
         A
              Oh. Okay. I'm there.
18
              What does it show for the children payments that you
         Q
   paid for for the three and a half years?
19
20
              The total children payments is $58,452.93.
        A
21
              How about if you turn to --
         Q
22
             MS. FORSBERG: Sorry, Your Honor. One second.
23
        Q
             11, please.
24
             Okay. You want me to go to 11?
        A
```

```
1
                Yeah. I want to compare your amount with Mr.
      Nelson's amount if we could.
   2
   3
               Just if you could tell me the number of the page.
   4
          0
                6818.
   5
          A
               Okay.
  6
               Can you tell me what Mr. Nelson's children expenses
     were for the total?
  8
               Oh, just help me with this, will you?
  9
          0
               On the first column.
 10
               It's be -- it's underneath 11.
          A
 11
          0
              Yes.
 12
          A
              Is that right?
 13
          0
              11, Page 6818.
 14
         A
              Okay.
 15
              Can you tell me what the children expenses on his
 16
    were, please?
17
              MR. DICKERSON: The document speaks for itself, Your
    Honor. And the -- and the attachments to it --
18
19
              MS. FORSBERG: I still have a question to go with
20
    it, so --
21
              MR. DICKERSON: And the attachments to it reflect
   which children payments were formed.
22
23
              THE COURT: Do you see -- overruled. This isn't --
   do you see where he's asking?
24
```

```
1
               THE WITNESS: Well, is it under --
   2
               THE COURT: Do you see it?
  3
               THE WITNESS: -- applications?
  4
               MS. FORSBERG: Yes.
  5
               THE COURT: Under applications, the one, two, three
     -- the fifth one down.
  6
  7
               THE WITNESS: Yeah.
  8
     BY MS. FORSBERG:
  9
             And how much does --
 10
         A
              So --
 11
              -- does Mr. Bertsch show Mr. Nelson paid for the
 12
    children's expenses?
 13
              Let me see. Well, it says a hundred and thirty-nine
    363, but I don't know if that's for minor children or the
 14
15
    adult children as well.
16
         Q
             I am showing --
17
         A
              Does mine --
18
              -- on Page 6818 showing $407,392. Do you see that?
19
              Oh, yeah. That's not the minor children I'll tell
        A
20
    you that.
21
             I'm sure it's not, but is that what you see there?
        Q
22
             I see that, yeah, but it's not for Carli and Garret.
23
             And that doesn't include -- and my question was that
   doesn't include the health insurance, does it, ma'am?
24
```

| | 340 | | | | | |
|----|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 A | I how I don't know. How would I know that? | | | | |
| 9 | 2 Q | Perhaps looks down further. There's a health | | | | |
| 9 | 3 insurance | e line further down. | | | | |
| 2 | 4 A | Okay. I see that. | | | | |
| | 5 Q | Okay. | | | | |
| (| 6 A | But yeah, I think I think he breaks it out | | | | |
| 7 | 7 separatel | separately as to which children it is, because he hasn't paid | | | | |
| 8 | for any c | for any of their health, that I've been paying for it, so | | | | |
| 9 | | Okay. Let's go to those if we could. | | | | |
| 10 | A | Okay. Will you will you direct me where that is? | | | | |
| 11 | Q | You bet. | | | | |
| 12 | A | Okay. | | | | |
| 13 | Q | If you can turn to 6828, we'll start there. | | | | |
| 14 | A | 6828. Okay. I'm not there yet. Okay. I'm here. | | | | |
| 15 | Q | Do you see that three inches up it says it starts | | | | |
| 16 | listing yo | listing your children. Do you see that, ma'am? | | | | |
| 17 | A | Hold on. | | | | |
| 18 | Q | And this is 2009. | | | | |
| 19 | А | Oh, from the bottom here. Okay. I do see that. | | | | |
| 20 | Q | Okay. And which one are the minor children? | | | | |
| 21 | A | Garret and then Carli. | | | | |
| 22 | Q | And so for 2009, it's 14,000 on Carli and another | | | | |
| 23 | | arret, is that correct? | | | | |
| 24 | A | Hold on. Just a minute. Yeah, 14,000. Uh-huh. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

```
1
               MR. DICKERSON: But all -- see, these are -- these
     are not statements that reflect the amount. These are --
   2
   3
               THE COURT: So you can use that to refresh memory.
               THE WITNESS: I -- I'm sorry.
   4
  5
               THE COURT: But does that refresh your memory of
  6
     reading those?
  7
               THE WITNESS: It -- it does. Uh-huh.
     (Affirmative). I just actually called today on the phone for
  8
     a couple of them. So I wrote them down on here.
 10
     BY MR. DICKERSON:
 11
              What is the current balance on the Charles Schwab
 12
    account?
 13
         A
              200,000, 16 --
 14
         0
              200,000 --
 15
         A
              16,702.90.
 16
              How much is in the Silver State Credit Union
17
    account?
18
              Silver State is -- I just have -- I didn't -- oh,
19
    1,888.96.
20
         0
              And how much is in --
21
             That includes like the $5. You have to leave $5 in
   there. I know that includes that too, but --
22
23
             And how much is in the Credit Union 1 account?
        Q
24
             Credit Union 1 -- I don't know if that -- let's see.
```

```
MS. PROVOST: What is it part of?
   1
   2
               MR. DICKERSON: I think it's another one.
  3
               MS. PROVOST: Yeah.
  4
               MR. DICKERSON: I think it's Tab 1.
  5
               MS. PROVOST: It is.
  6
               MR. DICKERSON: Yes, it is. Under Tab 1.
  7
               THE WITNESS: Oh.
  8
               MR. DICKERSON: Bates Stamp 6657?
  9
              MS. FORSBERG: Are we under Mr. Bertsch's report,
 10
     counsel?
              Sorry.
 11
              MR. DICKERSON: Under Bertsch's, I'm -- I'm looking
 12
    at --
 13
              MS. FORSBERG: I lost the --
 14
              MR. DICKERSON: -- Bertsch's, that page, and then
15
    also your Exhibit 241.
16
              THE WITNESS: Okay. You said 6657, correct?
17
             MR. DICKERSON: Yes, ma'am. Yes.
18
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
             THE WITNESS: And then you want me at 241.
20
             MR. DICKERSON: Right.
21
             THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm there.
   BY MR. DICKERSON:
23
             Now if you can compare those two, if you take a look
   at where it says approximate cash, Mr. Bertsch has $1,071,035
24
```

as of March 31st of 2011. I'll -- approximately -approximately a year and a half ago. Is that an accurate amount that was undeposited at that time? 3 4 That's from 241? A 5 Q Yes. 6 Yes, sir. 7 Okay. Well, actually, what was from Mr. Bertsch's 8 6657. 9 A Yes. 10 Q Correct? 11 A Yes. 12 And then you see what Mr. Nelson has done as he Q simply transposed that amount over on his Exhibit 241, is that 13 14 correct? 15 A Yes. 16 So in light of your testimony here today, is it your position that the approximate cash that you have available to 17 you after the payment of that credit card is roughly \$215,000? 18 19 It would be about that, yes. 20 Now take a look at Mr. Bertsch's report. Do you have that in front of you? 21 22 I think this is his book right here. Yes. 23 Turn to -- under Tab 10. 24 A Okay.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MATT KLABACKA,
DISTRIBUTION TRUSTEE OF THE
ERIC L. NELSON NEVADA TRUST
DATED MAY 30, 2001,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,

Vs.
ERIC L. NELSON, INDIVIDUALLY,
AND IN HIS CARACITY AS

Supreme Court No. 66772 Suprem

Consolidated With: Supreme Court Case No. 68292

ERIC L. NELSON, INDIVIDUALLY, AND IN HIS CAPACITY AS INVESTMENT TRUSTEE OF THE ERIC L. NELSON NEVADA TRUST DATED MAY 30, 2001, Respondent/Cross-Appellant,

12

and

9

10

11

1

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28 LYNITA SUE NELSON, INDIVIDUALLY, AND IN HER CAPACITY AS INVESTMENT TRUSTEE OF THE LSN NEVADA TRUST DATED MAY 30, 2001, Respondent

RESPONDENT /CROSS-APPELLANT RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME 2

RHONDA K. FORSBERG, ESQ
Nevada State Bar No. 009557
RHONDA K. FORSBERG, CHARTERED
64 North Pecos Road, Suite 800
Henderson, Nevada 89074
Attorney for Respondent/Cross-Appellant

Supreme Court Case 66772 Consolidated with 68292 In the Matter of: Klabacka v. Nelson

INDEX

| VOLUME | PAGE NUMBER |
|--------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 – 200 |
| 2 | 201 – 290 |
| 3 | 291 - 510 |

Supreme Court Case 66772 Consolidated with 68292 In the Matter of: Klabacka v. Nelson

INDEX

| VOLUME | DATE | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
|--------|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | 8/20/12 | Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial | 1 – 200 |
| 2 | 8/20/12 | Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial | 201- 290 |
| 2 | 8/22/12 | Trial Transcript Re: Non-Jury Trial | 291-347 |
| 3 | 8/22/12 | Transcript Re: Telephonic Hearing | 348 – 358 |
| 3 | 4/24/14 | Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause | 359 – 510 |