IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KAZUO OKADA,

Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

WYNN RESORTS LIMITED, A NEVADA CORPORATION,

Real Party In

Interest.

Electronically Filed Jul 27 2015 12:05 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Supreme Court Case No.: 68310

District Court Case No. A-12-656710-B

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TO ANSWERING BRIEF OF ELAINE P. WYNN TO KAZUO OKADA'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS VOL. I of I (EWAPP0001-0062)

JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY & LITTLE William R. Urga, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 1195 David J. Malley, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 8171 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy. #1600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Facsimile: (702) 699-7555 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

Ronald L. Olson, Esq.*
Mark B. Helm, Esq. *
Jeffrey Y. Wu, Esq. *
Soraya C. Kelly, Esq. *
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-1560

Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Real Party In Interest/Counterdefendant/ Counterclaimant/Crossclaimant Elaine P. Wynn

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TO ANSWERING BRIEF OF ELAINE P. WYNN

| Date | Description | Vol. No. | Page Nos. |
|------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|
| 12/16/2013 | Answer of Elaine P. Wynn to | I | EWAPP0001-0062 |
| | Aruze and Universal's Fourth | | |
| | Amended Counterclaim; Amended | | |
| | Counterclaim and Crossclaim of | | |
| | Elaine P. Wynn | | |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25, I hereby certify that I am an employee of Jolley Urga Woodbury & Little; that on the 21st day of July, 2015, I caused a copy of the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TO ANSWERING BRIEF OF ELAINE P. WYNN TO KAZUO OKADA'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS, VOL. I of I (EPWAPP0001-0062) to be delivered to, in a sealed envelope, as follows:

[X] VIA HAND DELIVERY:

Judge Elizabeth Gonzalez Eighth Judicial District Court of Clark County, Nevada Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155

[X] VIA ELECTRONIC AND U.S. MAIL:

Bryce K. Kunimoto, Esq.
Brian G. Anderson, Esq.
J. Stephen Peek, Esq.
Robert J. Cassity, Esq.
Holland & Hart LLP
9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Benjamin B. Klubes, Esq. Joseph J. Reilly, Esq. Buckley Sandler LLP 1250 24th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037

Attorneys for Kazuo Okada, Aruze USA, Inc. and Universal Entertainment Corp.

James J. Pisanelli, Esq.
Todd L. Bice, Esq.
Debra Spinelli, Esq.
Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq.
Pisanelli Bice, LLC
400 S. Seventh Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Paul K. Rowe, Esq.
Grant R. Mainland, Esq.
Bradley R. Wilson, Esq.
Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz
51 West 52nd Street
New York, NY 10019

Robert L. Shapiro, Esq. Glaser Weil, et al. 10250 Constellation Blvd., 19th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attorneys for Wynn Resorts, Limited Linda Chen, Russell Goldsmith, Ray R. Irani, Robert J. Miller, John A. Moran, Marc D. Schorr, Alvin V. Shoemaker, Kimmarie Sinatra, D. Boone Wayson and Allan Zeman

Donald J. Campbell, Esq. J. Colby Williams, Esq. Campbell & Williams 700 S. 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Stephen A. Wynn

and/or

[x] By the Court's electronic filing system through E-Flex.

An Employee of JOLLEY URGA
WOODBURY & LITTLE

27468535.1 4

| İ | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | CCAN William R. Urga, Esq. # 1195 | | | | | |
| 2 | Email: wru@juww.com Martin A. Little, Esq. #7067 | | | | | |
| 3 | Email: mal@juww.com JOLLEY URGA WIRTH & WOODBURY | | | | | |
| 4 | 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 16th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 | | | | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Facsimile: (702) 699-7555 | Electronically Filed 12/16/2013 03:22:14 PM | | | | |
| 6 | Danald I. Olaan Dag * | | | | | |
| 7 | Ronald L. Olson, Esq.* Email: Ronald.Olson@mto.com Mark B. Helm, Esq.* | Alm J. Elmin | | | | |
| 8 | Email: Mark.Helm@mto.com Jeffrey Y. Wu, Esq.* | CLERK OF THE COURT | | | | |
| 9 | Email: Jeffrey.Wu@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP | | | | | |
| 10 | 355 South Grand Avenue Thirty-Fifth Floor | | | | | |
| 11 | Los Ángeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 | | | | | |
| 12 | Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 * pro hac vice admitted | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | Attorneys for Counterdefendant/Counterclaima ELAINE P. WYNN | | | | | |
| 15 | DISTRI | CT COURT | | | | |
| 16 | CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED, a Nevada Corporation, | CASE NO. A-12-656710-B | | | | |
| 19 | | DEPT. NO: XI | | | | |
| 20 | Plaintiffs, | | | | | |
| 21 | VS. | ELECTRONIC FILING CASE | | | | |
| 22 | KAZUO OKADA, an individual, ARUZE USA, Inc., a Nevada corporation, | ANSWER OF ELAINE P. WYNN TO ARUZE AND UNIVERSAL'S FOURTH | | | | |
| 23 | UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation, | AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM OF | | | | |
| 24 | Defendants. | ELAINE P. WYNN | | | | |
| 25 | ARUZE USA, INC., a Nevada corporation, | <u>.</u> | | | | |
| 26 | UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION, a Japanese corporation, | | | | | |
| 1 | | · I | | | | |
| 27 | Counterclaimants. | | | | | |

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | VS. |
| 3 | WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED, a Nevada Corporation, STEPHEN A. WYNN, an |
| 4 | individual, KIMMARIE SINATRA, an individual, LINDA CHEN, an individual, |
| 5 | RAY R. IRANI, an individual, RUSSELL GOLDSMITH, an individual, ROBERT J. |
| 6 | MILLER, an individual, JOHN A. MORAN, an individual, MARC D. SCHORR, an |
| 7 | individual, ALVIN V. SHOEMAKER, an individual, D. BOONE WAYSON, an |
| 8 | individual, ELAINE P. WYNN, an individual, ALLAN ZEMAN, an individual, |
| 9 | Counterdefendants. |
| 10 | ELAINE P. WYNN, an individual, |
| 11 | Counterclaimant and Crossclaimant, |
| 12 | vs. |
| 13 | STEPHEN A. WYNN, an individual, |
| 14 | Crossdefendant, |
| 15 | ARUZE USA, INC., a Nevada Corporation, |
| 16 | Counterdefendant. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 2 | | | | Page |
|----|---------|-------|---|------|
| 3 | ANSWER | | | 1 |
| 4 | AMENDED | COUN | TERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM | 35 |
| 5 | I. | Intro | ductionduction | 36 |
| 6 | II. | The F | Parties | 37 |
| 7 | III. | Gene | ral Allegations | 37 |
| 8 | | A. | The Creation of Wynn Resorts | 37 |
| 9 | | B. | The April 2002 Stockholders Agreement | 38 |
| 10 | | C. | Mr. Wynn and Aruze Amend their Agreement | 39 |
| 11 | | D. | Mr. Wynn Divorces Ms. Wynn | 40 |
| 12 | | E. | The January 2010 Stockholders Agreement | 41 |
| 13 | | F. | The Wynn Resorts Board Redeems Aruze's Stock | 43 |
| 14 | | G. | Mr. Wynn Contends that Ms. Wynn Cannot Sell Stock Without His Consent | 43 |
| 15 | IV. | Clain | ns for Relief | |
| 16 | | _ | ACTION | |
| 17 | | | OF ACTION | |
| 18 | } | | ACTION | |
| 19 | | | OF ACTION | |
| 20 | | | RY TRIAL | |
| 21 | | | JEF | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |

27

ANSWER

Elaine P. Wynn hereby answers the Fourth Amended Counterclaim of Defendants and Counterclaimants Aruze USA, Inc. ("Aruze" or "Aruze USA") and Universal Entertainment Corporation ("Universal") (collectively, "Counterclaimants") in the above-captioned action.

Ms. Wynn denies all allegations in the headings (which are quoted here verbatim though they are denied), tables, and photographs of the Fourth Amended Counterclaim, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

Ms. Wynn is not required to respond, and does not respond, to the allegations that were not asserted against her, including: Count V by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts (paragraphs 210-219); Count VIII by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts (paragraphs 233-237); Count VIII by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts (paragraphs 238-245); Count IX by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts, Steve Wynn, and Kimmarie Sinatra (paragraphs 246-256); Count X by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts, Steve Wynn, and Kimmarie Sinatra (paragraphs 257-268); Count XII by Aruze USA against Steve Wynn and Kimmarie Sinatra (paragraphs 269-282); Count XII by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts, Steve Wynn, and Kimmarie Sinatra (paragraphs 283-292); Count XIII by Aruze USA against Steve Wynn (paragraphs 293-308); Count XIV by Aruze USA against Steve Wynn (paragraphs 309-324); Count XV by Aruze USA against Steve Wynn (paragraphs 335-345); Count XVIII by Aruze USA against Steve Wynn (paragraphs 346-355); Count XVIII by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts, Linda Chen, Russell Goldsmith, Ray R. Irani, Robert J. Miller, John A. Moran, Marc D. Schorr, Alvin V. Shoemaker, D. Boone Wayson, and Allan Zeman (paragraphs 356-364); Count XIX by Aruze USA against Wynn Resorts (paragraphs 365-372).

As to the allegations against Ms. Wynn set forth in enumerated paragraphs in the Fourth Amended Counterclaim, Ms. Wynn responds in correspondingly numbered paragraphs as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Ms. Wynn admits that the Court has jurisdiction and that venue is proper in this Court. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 1, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 1 -

11

10

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

Ms. Wynn admits that this matter is properly designated as a business 2. matter and assigned to the Business Docket under EDCR 1.61(a). Ms. Wynn denies that any business tort was committed.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts filed a 3. complaint against Aruze USA shortly after the Board voted to redeem Aruze's stock at a meeting that took place on February 18, 2012. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation that Wynn Resorts understood Aruze USA would sue upon being sued and denies that allegation on that basis. Ms. Wynn admits the allegations of footnote 1. Except as expressly admitted or otherwise denied, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 3.
- Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts redeemed Aruze USA's shares at an 4. approximately 30% discount to the market price in exchange for a promissory note of around \$1.9 billion to be paid in 10 years. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts' complaint was filed on February 19, 2012. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 4, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 5. The allegations contained in paragraph 5 are legal conclusions which require no response. In the event these conclusions can be deemed allegations of fact, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 5.
- Ms. Wynn avers that she entered into the Amended and Restated 6. Stockholders Agreement dated January 6, 2010 ("January 2010 Stockholders Agreement") with Mr. Wynn and Aruze USA. Ms. Wynn avers that the Stockholders Agreement dated April 11, 2002 ("April 2002 Stockholders Agreement") and the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speak for themselves and that the quoted excerpts of those agreements have been taken out of context, and denies any allegations inconsistent with the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegations inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Mr. Wynn unilaterally amended the Articles of ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED

24

25

26

27

1

as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16, and denies the allegations on that basis.

of Nevada. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief

- 17. Ms. Wynn admits that Kimmarie Sinatra is the General Counsel, Secretary, and a Senior Vice President of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 18. Ms. Wynn admits that she is a director of Wynn Resorts and is Stephen Wynn's ex-spouse. Ms. Wynn admits that she is a resident of Nevada. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that she owns 9,742,150 shares of Wynn Resorts stock as of March 1, 2012.
- 19. Ms. Wynn admits that Linda Chen was a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 20. Ms. Wynn admits that Ray R. Irani is a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 21. Ms. Wynn admits that Russell Goldsmith was a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 22. Ms. Wynn admits that Robert J. Miller is a director and Chair of the Gaming Compliance Committee of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 22, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 23. Ms. Wynn admits that John A. Moran is a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 24. Ms. Wynn admits that Marc D. Schorr was a director and Chief Operating Officer of Wynn Resorts, and that Mr. Schorr had stepped down from the board. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 24, and denies the allegations on that basis.

| | 25. | Ms. | Wynn ac | imits th | at Alv | in V. | Shoem | naker | is a | directo | r of | Wynn | Reso | orts. |
|--------------|--------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|-------|-----------|------|----------|-------|-------|
| Except as | expressly | admitt | ted, Ms. | Wynn | lacks i | nform | nation | suffic | ient | to forn | ı a | belief a | as to | the |
| truth of the | e allegation | s of pa | aragraph | 25, and | l denie | s the a | allegati | ons o | n tha | at basis. | | | | |

- 26. Ms. Wynn admits that D. Boone Wayson is a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 27. Ms. Wynn admits that Allan Zeman was a director of Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 27, and denies the allegations on that basis.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

II. Kazuo Okada and Steve Wynn Launch Wynn Resorts

A. Turned Out By Mirage Resorts, Steve Wynn Turns to Kazuo Okada to Finance the New Wynn Project

- 28. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn developed Mirage Resorts, Inc., which owned and operated the Mirage, Treasure Island, and the Bellagio, and that Mr. Wynn ceased being Chief Executive Officer after Mirage Resorts, Inc. merged with MGM Grand, Inc. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 28, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 29. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn purchased the Desert Inn Casino and planned to build a new casino on that site, and that he contacted Mr. Okada about funding. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 30. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 30, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- 31. Ms. Wynn admits that Valvino Lamore, LLC ("Valvino") was a Nevada limited liability company used to develop the Desert Inn project. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze USA contributed \$260 million to Valvino in October 2000. Except as expressly admitted, Ms.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

21

24

25

26

2728

Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 31, in part because Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of those allegations.

32. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze USA contributed \$120 million to Valvino in April 2002. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 32, and on that basis denies those allegations.

B. The Stockholders Agreement

- 33. Ms. Wynn admits on information and belief that in 2002 steps were taken in anticipation of Wynn Resorts going public. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 33, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 34. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn, Aruze USA, and Baron Asset Fund entered into the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement dated April 11, 2002. Ms. Wynn admits that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement purported to establish certain restrictions on the sale of stock the signatories were to receive in "NewCo." Ms. Wynn admits that NewCo was a predecessor to Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 34, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 35. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement.
- 36. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself and that the quoted excerpts of that agreement have been taken out of context, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Ms. Wynn avers that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement.
- 37. Ms. Wynn admits that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement purported to establish certain restrictions on the transfer of shares of Wynn Resorts common stock held by the parties to that agreement. Ms. Wynn avers that Wynn Resorts share certificates speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the share certificates. Except as expressly ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN: AMENDED

A-12-656720-B

_

admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 37, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 38. Ms. Wynn denies that the Stockholders Agreement removed Aruze USA from the purview of later-adopted redemption provisions in Wynn Resorts' Articles of Incorporation. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Ms. Wynn further lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 38, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 39. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Ms. Wynn further lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 39, and on that basis denies those allegations. In addition, the allegations contained in the last sentence of paragraph 39 are legal conclusions which require no response. In the event those conclusions can be deemed allegations of fact, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of the last sentence of paragraph 39.

C. Wynn Resorts' Original Articles of Incorporation

- 40. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 40, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 41. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41, and on that basis denies those allegations.

D. The Contribution Agreement

- 42. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that the Valvino interests were converted to interests in the new Wynn Resorts entity, and that Aruze USA had contributed approximately \$380 million for its Valvino interests. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 42, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 43. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn avers that Wynn Resorts' public filings include a document that purports to be a Contribution Agreement among Mr. Wynn, Aruze, ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED

- 7 -

13

11

14

16

17

15

18

20

19

25

26

27 28 Baron Asset Fund, Kenneth R. Wynn Family Trust, and Wynn Resorts, the contents of which speak for itself. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 43, and on that basis denies those allegations.

44. Ms. Wynn avers that the Contribution Agreement speaks for itself and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Contribution Agreement. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 44, and on that basis denies those allegations.

After Securing Aruze USA's Contribution, Steve Wynn Unilaterally Amends E. the Articles of Incorporation

- 45. Ms. Wynn admits that the Articles of Incorporation contain a provision that allows Wynn Resorts to redeem stock under certain circumstances, and that Wynn Resorts and Mr. Wynn applied that provision to Aruze's stock in 2012. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Mr. Wynn added the redemption provision unilaterally without Aruze's consent. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 45, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and the 46. Contribution Agreement speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with those agreements. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the additional allegations of paragraph 46, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- Ms. Wynn admits that the Articles of Incorporation of Wynn Resorts 47. includes a provision that provides for redemption of stock held by unsuitable persons. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speaks for itself and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Mr. Wynn added the redemption provision unilaterally without Aruze's consent. Except as expressly admitted, denied, or averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 47, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 48. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and the Contribution Agreement speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with those ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED

A-12-656720-B

agreements. The remaining allegations of paragraph 48 are legal conclusions which require no response. To the extent the remaining allegations can be deemed allegations of fact, Ms. Wynn denies them in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

- 49. Ms. Wynn avers that the Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Ms. Wynn denies that she, Mr. Wynn, Wynn Resorts, and Wynn Resorts' individual directors "improperly applied" the redemption provision to Aruze's shares of Wynn Resorts stock in February 2012. Ms. Wynn also denies that by voting to redeem Aruze's shares of Wynn Resorts stock, she and Mr. Wynn breached, and that Wynn Resorts and the individual directors interfered with, the Stockholders Agreement. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Aruze was not and could not have been aware that the redemption provision could potentially be applied to Aruze. Ms. Wynn further denies the other allegations of paragraph 49, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 50. Ms. Wynn admits that in February 2012, Wynn Resorts redeemed Aruze's stock for a note of approximately \$1.936 billion, which reflected a discount of around 30% to the trading price. The remainder of the allegations contained in paragraph 50 are legal conclusions which require no response, and in the event they can be deemed allegations of fact, Ms. Wynn denies them.

F. Wynn Resorts Goes Public

- 51. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada became a board member of Wynn Resorts in October 2002. Ms. Wynn admits that the LLC interests of Valvino were contributed to Wynn Resorts in September 2002. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 51, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 52. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that on October 25, 2002, Wynn Resorts conducted an initial public offering on NASDAQ at \$13 per share, and that shortly thereafter, Mr. Okada became Vice Chairman of Wynn Resorts' Board of Directors. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn further admits that Aruze made an additional investment in or ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED

provided further funding to Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 52, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 53. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Las Vegas, Wynn Macau, Encore Las Vegas, and Encore Macau have been successful. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada has contributed financially to the casinos' success. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 53, and on that basis denies those allegations.
 - 54. Ms. Wynn admits the allegations of paragraph 54.

G. The Close and Trusting Relationship of Steve Wynn and Kazuo Okada

- 55. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn considered Mr. Okada a close friend and a partner. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 55, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 56. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 56, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 57. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 57, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 58. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn avers that, in 2006, Mr. Wynn asked Mr. Okada and Aruze to enter into an Amendment to the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement. Ms. Wynn avers that the Amendment dated November 8, 2006 ("2006 Amendment") speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that amendment. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 58, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 59. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 59, and on that basis denies those allegations.

III. Universal Discloses and Ultimately Pursues Foreign Development Projects

28

27

24

25

- 11 -

nd near Manila Bay. On information and ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Universal complied with the laws of the Philippines regarding citizenship for landholding. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 69, and on that basis denies those allegations.

70. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 70, and on that basis denies those allegations.

C. Steve Wynn and Elaine Wynn Divorce

March 2009. Ms. Wynn admits that by early 2010, Ms. Wynn and Mr. Wynn had reached an agreement regarding division of their community assets, including the Wynn Resorts stock then held in Mr. Wynn's name. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze was Wynn Resorts' largest shareholder after the division of assets between Mr. Wynn and Ms. Wynn. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 71, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

- 72. Ms. Wynn admits that she, Mr. Wynn, and Aruze entered into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. Ms. Wynn avers that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Except as expressly admitted or averred, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations in paragraph 72, because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 73. Ms. Wynn avers that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 73, because she lacks information sufficient to for a belief as to the truth of the allegations.
- 74. Ms. Wynn avers that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with that agreement. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 74, because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations.

75. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 75, and on that basis denies those allegations.

D. Steve Wynn and Kazuo Okada Visit the Philippines in 2010, as Wynn Resorts Considers Involvement with the Philippine Project

- 76. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 76, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 77. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 77, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 78. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 78, and on that basis denies those allegations.

E. Over Kazuo Okada's Objection, Wynn Resorts Makes an Unprecedented \$135 Million Donation for Wynn Macau

- 79. Ms. Wynn denies that the duration of Wynn Resorts' donation to Macau is "suspiciou[s]." On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits the other allegations of paragraph 79.
- 80. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada, in his capacity as a Wynn Resorts director, voted against the donation to the University of Macau Development Foundation. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada raised objections to the size and the term of the donation. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 80.
- 81. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of and therefore denies the allegation that the alleged fact is "[n]otabl[e]," and avers that she believes she was unaware of the alleged fact at the time. Ms. Wynn admits that the head of Macau's government is also the chancellor of the University of Macau. Ms. Wynn lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to whether that individual has "ultimate oversight of gaming matters," and therefore denies that allegation. Ms. Wynn avers that Wynn Resorts' SEC filings speak for themselves and deny any allegation regarding the contents of those filings that is inconsistent with the filings themselves. Except as expressly admitted and averred, Ms. Wynn

28

24

25

26

6

11

13

12

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

on that basis denies those allegations.

82. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts received a legal opinion that

lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 81, and

admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations

sanctioned the donation to the University of Macau Development Foundation. Except as expressly

of paragraph 82, and on that basis denies those allegations.

83. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts has received a letter from the Securities Exchange Commission regarding its Macau donation and that the SEC has made inquiries. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn avers that a regional office of the SEC has notified Wynn Resorts that the investigation had been completed with the office not intending to recommend any enforcement action against Wynn Resorts. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 83, and on that basis denies those allegations.

F. Steve Wynn and Kimmarie Sinatra Fraudulently Promise Kazuo Okada Financing for the Philippine Project

- April 2011. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn avers that Mr. Wynn contacted Mr. Okada regarding a potential sale of Ms. Wynn's stock. Except as expressly admitted or averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 84, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 85. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that, sometime in 2011, Mr. Wynn asked Mr. Okada to consent to a transfer of Ms. Wynn's shares. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 85, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 86. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada was amenable to allowing Ms. Wynn to transfer her stock. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 86, and on that basis denies those allegations.

3

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

allegations of paragraph 96, and on that basis denies those allegations.

Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

EWAPP0018

allegations of paragraph 103, and on that basis denies those allegations.

28

26

27

Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

| 2 | allegations of paragraph 104, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
|--|--|
| 3 | 105. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 4 | allegations of paragraph 105, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 5 | 106. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 6 | allegations of paragraph 106, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 7 | 107. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 8 | allegations of paragraph 107, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 9 | 108. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 10 | allegations of paragraph 108, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 11 | 109. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 12 | allegations of paragraph 109, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 13 | 110. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 1 / | allegations of paragraph 110, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 14 | anegations of paragraph 110, and on that easis defines are see an egations. |
| 15 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, |
| | |
| 15 16 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, |
| 15 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing |
| 15 16 17 18 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 15 16 17 18 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 15 16 (| B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. 113. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. 113. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 113, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. 113. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 113, and on that basis denies those allegations. 114. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. 113. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 113, and on that basis denies those allegations. 114. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 114, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | B. Steve Wynn and Kim Sinatra Try to Intimidate and Threaten Kazuo Okada, While Hiding Supposed Evidence of Wrongdoing 111. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 111, and on that basis denies those allegations. 112. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 112, and on that basis denies those allegations. 113. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 113, and on that basis denies those allegations. 114. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 114, and on that basis denies those allegations. 115. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to what |

Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

F.

22276790.2

21

1

Kazuo Okada Seeks More Information Regarding Wynn Macau

| 1 | |
|----|--------|
| 2 | action |
| 3 | actio |
| 4 | Ms. V |
| 5 | parag |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | endo |
| 10 | respo |
| 11 | later; |
| 12 | inten |
| 13 | averr |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | alleg |
| 18 | |
| 19 | alleg |
| 20 | |
| 21 | alleg |
| 22 | |
| 23 | alleg |

123. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada has filed an action in Nevada state court to seek access to Wynn Resort's records. Ms. Wynn denies that any actions by the Board were "highly suspicious." Except as expressly admitted or denied, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 123, and on that basis denies those allegations.

G. Aruze USA Nominates Directors, But Steve Wynn Refuses to Endorse Them Despite His Obligation to Do So

124. Ms. Wynn denies the allegation that Mr. Wynn "refused" Aruze's request to endorse its slate of directors, but avers on information and belief that written communications in response to Aruze declined to take a position on the slate and said the subject would be addressed later; she further avers that Mr. Wynn indicated at the time behind the scenes that he had no intention of supporting the Aruze slate and did not endorse it. Except as expressly denied or averred, Ms Wynn admits the allegations of paragraph 124.

H. The Freeh Investigation Proceeds Without Seeking Any Input From Kazuo Okada

- 125. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 125, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 126. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 126, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 127. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 127, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 128. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 128, and on that basis denies those allegations.

I. Freeh Sporkin Refuses to Provide Meaningful Information Regarding the Investigation to Kazuo Okada

129. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 129, and on that basis denies those allegations.

28

22276790.2

24

25

26

140. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 140, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 141. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 141, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 142. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 142, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 143. Ms. Wynn admits that the Board voted to redeem Aruze's shares, at a valuation that reflected a discount to the trading price, on the day the directors received the Freeh Sporkin report. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 143, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.
- 144. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 144, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

L. Steve Wynn Hurriedly Schedules Board of Directors Meeting

145. Ms. Wynn admits that a board meeting of Wynn Resorts took place on Saturday, February 18, 2012, and that the Freeh Sporkin report was on the agenda. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Freeh Sporkin interviewed Mr. Okada on February 15, 2012. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 145, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

M. Steve Wynn Tries to Use the Threat of Redemption to Buy Aruze USA's Stock at a Substantial Discount

- 146. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts redeemed Aruze's shares of Wynn Resorts stock at a valuation that reflected a discount to the trading price. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 146, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 147. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn avers that Mr. Doumani had invested in one of Mr. Wynn's properties, and that Mr. Wynn had expressed concern about Mr. Doumani's association with certain individuals. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn denies the

| 1 | allegations of paragraph 147, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to |
|----|--|
| 2 | their truth. |
| 3 | V. Wynn Resorts' Unfounded and Unprecedented Redemption of More Than \$2.9 |
| 4 | Billion of Aruze USA's Shares |
| 5 | A. Wynn Resorts Publicly Asserts That the Value of Aruze USA's Stock Is \$2.9 |
| 6 | Billion |
| 7 | 148. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 8 | allegations of paragraph 148, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 9 | 149. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 10 | allegations of paragraph 149, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 11 | B. The Board Hurriedly Meets and Rushes to Redeem Aruze USA's Stock |
| 12 | 150. Ms. Wynn avers that Mr. Okada's counsel purportedly sent a letter dated |
| 13 | February 17, 2012 to a representative of Wynn Resorts. Ms. Wynn avers that the letter speaks for |
| 14 | itself and denies any allegation inconsistent with the letter. |
| 15 | 151. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the |
| 16 | allegations of paragraph 151, and on that basis denies those allegations. |
| 17 | 152. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn yelled at Mr. Okada's counsel when he |
| 18 | introduced himself. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn said that Mr. Okada's counsel should not be |
| 19 | present. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada was told that he needed to enter into a nondisclosure |
| 20 | agreement in order to receive a copy of the Freeh Sporkin report. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr |
| 21 | Okada did not agree to enter into a nondisclosure agreement. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. |
| 22 | Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 152, in part because she lacks information sufficient to |
| 23 | form a belief as to their truth. |
| 24 | 153. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that a copy of the Freeh |
| 25 | Sporkin report is attached to Wynn Resorts' Complaint. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn |
| 26 | lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 153, and |
| 27 | on that basis denies those allegations. |

4

9

11

10

12

13

15

14

16

18

17

19

20

21

23

2425

26

2728

154. Ms. Wynn admits that there were translation problems during the Board meeting. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada requested that the translation be provided sequentially rather than simultaneously, and that the request was denied. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 154, and on that basis denies those allegations.

155. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Freeh made a presentation in English. Ms. Wynn admits that after Mr. Freeh completed his presentation, the Board asked if Mr. Okada had any questions. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Okada asked the Board to delay making any resolutions. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 155, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

156. Ms. Wynn avers that there were technical difficulties during the Board meeting. Ms. Wynn admits that the connection with Mr. Okada was lost at some point during the meeting, and that no other contact was made with Mr. Okada. Except as expressly admitted or averred, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 156, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

157. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts gave Aruze notice that Aruze's stock was redeemed for a note of approximately \$1.936 billion, which reflected a discount of around 30% to the trading price. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 157, and on that basis denies those allegations.

- 158. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 158, and on that basis denies those allegations.
- 159. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts filed a complaint that attached a copy of the report without exhibits but is without information sufficient to form a belief about the timing and form of the filing and on that basis denies those allegations of paragraph 159.
- 160. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 160, and on that basis denies those allegations.

C. Aruze USA Disputes That Redemption Has Occurred

161. Ms. Wynn admits that the redemption has taken place, and that Wynn Resorts has so stated. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze disputes the validity of the redemption. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 161.

D. The Board Redeems on False Premises

- 162. Ms. Wynn avers that Aruze is bound by the redemption provision, and admits that Aruze disputes that it is bound by the redemption provision. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation.
- 163. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze had been found previously to be "suitable" by the Nevada Gaming Commission as a shareholder of Wynn Resorts and that she did not understand the redemption to be based on a finding of unsuitability by a gaming authority. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 163, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 164. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts and its affiliates have not lost, and have not been threatened by a gaming authority with the loss of, a gaming license, and that she did not understand the redemption to be based on such a loss or threatened loss. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 164, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- 165. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. Except as expressly averred, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 165, and denies those allegations on that basis.
- 166. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 166, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

E.

Even if Aruze USA Were Subject to the Redemption Provision (Which it is Not), the Wynn Parties are Still Liable for Breaching and/or Tortiously Interfering with the Stockholders Agreement and Amended Stockholders Agreement

January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with those agreements. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Mr. Wynn unilaterally amended the Articles of Incorporation without Aruze's consent. Except as expressly averred or otherwise denied, Ms. Wynn denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 167.

F. Even if Aruze USA Was Subject to the Redemption Provision (Which it is Not), the Unilateral Blanket 30% Discount that Wynn Resorts Applied to the Stock Is Erroneous and the Promissory Note is Unconscionably Vague, Ambiguous, and Oppressive

amount of approximately \$1.9 billion. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that the price reflected an approximately 30% discount to the trading price of Wynn Resorts stock on NASDAQ at or around the time of the redemption. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts issued a press release on February 19, 2011 regarding the redemption. Ms. Wynn avers that the press release speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the press release. Ms. Wynn denies that the Stockholders Agreement precludes the redemption of Aruze's stock. Ms. Wynn denies that she and Mr. Wynn breached the Stockholders Agreement by voting to redeem Aruze's shares of Wynn Resorts stock. Ms. Wynn admits that some of the purported contractual transfer restrictions could be found to constitute unreasonable restraints on alienability. Ms. Wynn denies that contractual transfer restrictions could not "legitimately impact" the value of Aruze's shares at the time the redemption occurred. Except as expressly admitted, averred, or

otherwise denied, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 168, and denies those allegations on that basis.

169. Ms. Wynn avers that the press release speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the press release. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn denies that Mr. Wynn unilaterally added the redemption provision to the Articles of Incorporation without Aruze's consent. Except as expressly averred or denied, Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 169, and on that basis denies those allegations.

170. Ms. Wynn admits that the Board of Wynn Resorts considered a valuation opinion from Moelis & Company. Ms. Wynn admits that Moelis & Company had done business with Wynn Resorts in the past. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 170.

171. Ms. Wynn admits that Mr. Wynn has a long-standing professional relationship with Mr. Moelis. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 171, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

172. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits that Moelis & Company opined that a 30% discount was appropriate. Ms. Wynn avers that the Stockholders Agreement speaks for itself, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Stockholders Agreement. Except as expressly admitted or averred, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 172, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth.

bears 2% interest per annum and is subordinate to other Wynn Resorts debt obligations as set forth in the promissory note. Ms. Wynn avers that the promissory note speaks for itself and denies any allegation inconsistent with the promissory note. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and denies any allegation inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. Ms. Wynn admits that Wynn Resorts issued notes in March 2012 with principal amount of approximately \$900 million and bearing interest at 5.375%. Ms. Wynn avers that Mr. Okada did not participate in the Board's discussion of the terms of the promissory note during the

24

26

| 1 | Board meeting of February 18, 2012. Except as expressly admitted or averred, Ms. Wynn den | ies |
|----|--|-----|
| 2 | the allegations of paragraph 173, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a beli | iei |
| 3 | as to their truth. | |
| 4 | G. The Timing of the Redemption Demonstrates that Wynn Resorts Redeemed | |
| 5 | Aruze USA's Shares Based on Material, Non-Public Information that Was N | ot |
| 6 | Incorporated Into the Redemption Price | |
| 7 | 174. On information and belief, Ms. Wynn admits the allegations of paragra | ph |
| 8 | 174. | |
| 9 | 175. Ms. Wynn avers that the Form 8-K speaks for itself and denies at | ny |
| 10 | allegation inconsistent with that document. | |
| 11 | 176. Ms. Wynn lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the | he |
| 12 | allegations of paragraph 176, and denies those allegations on that basis. | |
| 13 | 177. Ms. Wynn avers that the Form 8-K speaks for itself and denies as | ny |
| 14 | allegation inconsistent with that document. | |
| 15 | 178. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 177, in part because she lack | ks |
| 16 | information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. | |
| 17 | CLAIMS FOR RELIEF | |
| 18 | <u>COUNT I</u> | |
| 19 | Declaratory Relief | |
| 20 | (By Aruze USA and Universal Against Wynn Resorts and the Wynn Directors) | |
| 21 | 179. Ms. Wynn reasserts her responses to paragraphs 4 through 178 above, as | if |
| 22 | fully set forth below. | |
| 23 | 180. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze and Universal are purportedly seeking | a |
| 24 | judicial declaration. Ms. Wynn denies that the declaration Aruze and Universal seek | is |
| 25 | appropriate. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 180. | |
| 26 | 181. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze and Universal are purportedly seeking | a |
| 27 | judicial declaration. Ms. Wynn denies that the declaration Aruze and Universal seek | is |
| 28 | appropriate. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 181. ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B | |
| • | | |

| 1 | 182. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze and Universal are purportedly seeking a |
|----|---|
| 2 | judicial declaration. Ms. Wynn denies that the declaration Aruze and Universal seek is |
| 3 | appropriate. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 182. |
| 4 | 183. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze and Universal are purportedly seeking a |
| 5 | judicial declaration. Ms. Wynn denies that the declaration Aruze and Universal seek is |
| 6 | appropriate. Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 183. |
| 7 | 184. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze and Universal are purportedly seeking a |
| 8 | judicial declaration. Ms. Wynn admits that the valuation opinion Mr. Moelis presented to the |
| 9 | Board did not consider whether the transfer restrictions were valid as to Aruze. Ms. Wynn denies |
| 10 | that the declaration Aruze and Universal seek is appropriate. Ms. Wynn denies that she and Mr |
| 11 | Wynn breached the Stockholders Agreement by voting for the redemption of Aruze's shares of |
| 12 | Wynn Resorts stock. Except as expressly admitted and otherwise denied, Ms. Wynn denies the |
| 13 | allegations of paragraph 184, in part because she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to |
| 14 | their truth. |
| 15 | 185. The allegations of paragraph 185 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 16 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 17 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 18 | 186. Ms. Wynn admits that an actual controversy exists between the parties, and |
| 19 | that the dispute is ripe for adjudication. Ms. Wynn denies that Wynn Resorts acted unlawfully |
| 20 | when it redeemed Aruze's stock. |
| 21 | 187. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 187. |
| 22 | <u>COUNT II</u> |
| 23 | Permanent Prohibitory Injunction |
| 24 | (By Aruze USA Against Wynn Resorts and the Wynn Directors) |
| 25 | 188. Ms. Wynn reasserts her responses to paragraphs 4 through 178 above, as is |
| 26 | fully set forth below. |
| 27 | 189. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze is purportedly seeking a permanent injunction |
| 28 | Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 189. ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED - 28 - COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM |

A-12-656720-B

| 1 | 190. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 190. |
|----|---|
| 2 | 191. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 191. |
| 3 | 192. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 192. |
| 4 | 193. The allegations of paragraph 193 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 5 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 6 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 7 | 194. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 194. |
| 8 | <u>COUNT III</u> |
| 9 | Permanent Mandatory Injunction |
| 10 | (By Aruze USA Against Wynn Resorts and the Wynn Directors) |
| 11 | 195. Ms. Wynn reasserts her responses to paragraphs 4 through 178 above, as if |
| 12 | fully set forth below. |
| 13 | 196. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze is purportedly seeking a permanent injunction. |
| 14 | Except as expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 196. |
| 15 | 197. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 197. |
| 16 | 198. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 198. |
| 17 | 199. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 199. |
| 18 | 200. Ms. Wynn admits that Aruze is purportedly seeking damages. Except as |
| 19 | expressly admitted, Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 200. |
| 20 | 201. The allegations of paragraph 201 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 21 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 22 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 23 | 202. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 202. |
| 24 | <u>COUNT IV</u> |
| 25 | Breach of Contract in Connection with Wynn Resorts' Involuntary Redemption |
| 26 | (By Aruze USA Against Steve Wynn and Elaine Wynn) |
| 27 | 203. Ms. Wynn reasserts her responses to paragraphs 4 through 178 above, as if |
| 28 | fully set forth below. ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED |
| | - 29 - COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM |

A-12-656720-B

| 1 | 204. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and the |
|----|---|
| 2 | January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speak for themselves, and denies any allegations |
| 3 | inconsistent with those agreements. |
| 4 | 205. Ms. Wynn avers that the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and the |
| 5 | January 2010 Stockholders Agreement speak for themselves and that the quoted excerpts of those |
| 6 | agreements have been taken out of context, and denies any allegations inconsistent with those |
| 7 | agreements. Ms. Wynn denies that those agreements prohibit the redemption of Aruze's stock. |
| 8 | 206. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 206. |
| 9 | 207. The allegations of paragraph 207 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 10 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 11 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 12 | 208. The allegations of paragraph 208 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 13 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 14 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 15 | 209. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 209. |
| 16 | COUNT VI |
| 17 | Breach of Fiduciary Duty |
| 18 | (By Aruze USA Against the Wynn Directors) |
| 19 | 220. Ms. Wynn reasserts her responses to paragraphs 4 through 178 above, as if |
| 20 | fully set forth below. |
| 21 | 221. The allegations of paragraph 221 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 22 | response. |
| 23 | 222. The allegations of paragraph 222 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 24 | response. |
| 25 | 223. Ms. Wynn avers that the Articles of Incorporation speak for themselves, and |
| 26 | denies any allegations inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation. |
| 27 | 224. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 224. |
| 28 | 225. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 225. ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED - 30 - COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B |

| 1 | 226. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 226. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 227. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 227. |
| 3 | 228. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 228. |
| 4 | 229. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 229. |
| 5 | 230. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 230. |
| 6 | 231. The allegations of paragraph 231 are legal conclusions that do not require a |
| 7 | response. In any event, Ms. Wynn denies those allegations to the extent they constitute allegations |
| 8 | of fact, on the ground that she lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to their truth. |
| 9 | 232. Ms. Wynn denies the allegations of paragraph 232. |
| 10 | <u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u> |
| 11 | Ms. Wynn asserts the following affirmative defenses: |
| 12 | <u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> |
| 13 | (Failure to State a Claim) |
| 14 | Each of Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn fails to state a claim upon which |
| 15 | relief can be granted. |
| 16 | SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 17 | (Unclean Hands) |
| 18 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part due to their |
| 19 | unclean hands, including but not limited to their conduct and the conduct of their affiliates in the |
| 20 | Philippines and Korea. |
| 21 | THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 22 | (Estoppel) |
| 23 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine |
| 24 | of estoppel. |
| 25 | FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 26 | (Laches) |
| 27 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine |
| 28 | of laches. ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED - 31 - COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM |

22276790.2

A-12-656720-B

| 1 | <u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> |
|----|--|
| 2 | (Waiver) |
| 3 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine |
| 4 | of waiver. |
| 5 | SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 6 | (Election of Remedies) |
| 7 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine |
| 8 | of election of remedies, because inter alia Counterclaimants seek inconsistent remedies with |
| 9 | respect to the Stockholders' Agreement. |
| 10 | SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 11 | (Limitation on Liability) |
| 12 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because Ms. |
| 13 | Wynn's liability, if any, is limited by Wynn Resorts' Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, and |
| 14 | Nevada law, including N.R.S. § 78.138. |
| 15 | EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 16 | (Authorization by Articles of Incorporation) |
| 17 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because Ms. |
| 18 | Wynn's actions are authorized by and comport with Wynn Resorts' Articles of Incorporation, |
| 19 | Bylaws, and Nevada law. |
| 20 | NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 21 | (Ratification) |
| 22 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because |
| 23 | Counterclaimants and Mr. Okada ratified the Counterdefendants' actions, including amendments |
| 24 | to the Articles of the Incorporation. |
| 25 | TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 26 | (Statute of Limitations) |
| 27 | Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the |
| 28 | applicable statute(s) of limitations. |
| | ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED - 32 - COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM |

A-12-656720-B

| 1 | ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
|-----|--|
| 2 | (Adequate Remedy at Law) |
| 3 | Counterclaimants' claims for injunctive relief against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in |
| 4 | part by the availability of adequate remedies at law. |
| 5 | TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 6 | (Consent) |
| 7 | Counterclaimants' claims are barred in whole or in part because Mr. Okada consented to |
| 8 | the Counterdefendant's actions, including amendments to the Articles of Incorporation. |
| 9 | THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 10 | (Privilege) |
| 11 | The alleged acts or omissions of Ms. Wynn that allegedly give rise to liability herein, if |
| 12 | any such acts or omissions occurred, were legally privileged and cannot give rise to any liability |
| 13 | on the part of Ms. Wynn. |
| 14 | FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 15 | (Justification) |
| 16 | The alleged acts and omissions of Ms. Wynn that allegedly give rise to liability herein, if |
| 17 | any such acts or omissions occurred, were legally justified and cannot give rise to any liability on |
| 18 | the part of Ms. Wynn. |
| 19 | FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE |
| 20 | (Punitive Damages Are Unconstitutional) |
| 21 | If and to the extent Counterclaimants seek punitive damages against Ms. Wynn, Nevada's |
| 22 | laws and statutes for punitive damages are unconstitutional because they are void for vagueness, |
| 23 | violates equal protection, violates due process, violates freedom of contract, and impose an undue |
| 24 | burden on interstate commerce. |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | |
| 28 | /// |
| - 1 | ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED |

22276790.2

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Limitations on Punitive Damages) 2 If and to the extent Counterclaimants seek punitive damages against Ms. Wynn, punitive 3 damages in this case are barred in whole or in part by constitutional limitations, including but not limited to due process, and are barred to the extent they duplicate other damages. SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 (Lack of Standing) 7 Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because they lack standing to assert some or all of their claims. EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Release and Indemnification) Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because Counterclaimants are required under the Articles of Incorporation to indemnify and hold harmless Wynn Resorts for any losses, including attorney's fees, resulting from their conduct. NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Contributory Negligence) 16 Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by their and Mr. Okada's own actions, omissions, negligence, and/or malfeasance. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Comparative Negligence) Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part because Counterclaimants' damages, if any, were caused by Counterclaimants' and Mr. Okada's own negligence, and such negligence was greater than any negligence, which is expressly denied, on the part of Ms. Wynn. TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Res Judicata)

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine

of res judicata.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Collateral Estoppel) 2 Counterclaimants' claims against Ms. Wynn are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine 3 of collateral estoppel. 4 **RESERVATION** 5 Ms. Wynn reserves the right to amend her answer to plead additional affirmative defenses 6 as they become known and appropriate during the course of this litigation. **JURY DEMAND** 8 Ms. Wynn demands trial by jury on all issues so triable. 9 WHEREFORE, Ms. Wynn prays that judgment be entered as follows: 10 1. that Counterclaimants take nothing from Ms. Wynn by virtue of their Fourth 11 Amended Counterclaim; 12 2. that the Fourth Amended Counterclaim and each purported cause of action set forth 13 therein against Ms. Wynn be dismissed with prejudice; 14 3. that Ms. Wynn be awarded her costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein 15 as allowed by law; and 16 4. for such further relief is deemed just and equitable. 17 18 19 20 21 24 25 26 27

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM

I. Introduction

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

20

21

23

- 1. This is an action seeking a declaration that a stockholders agreement imposing onerous restrictions on Elaine P. Wynn's ability to sell her stock in Wynn Resorts, Limited ("Wynn Resorts") is invalid. The central premise and motivating reason for the restrictions were that Kazuo Okada (through Aruze USA, Inc.) was a significant stockholder whose shares were similarly restricted but that those restrictions could not continue unless Ms. Wynn's shares were restricted as well. Now that the shares held by Mr. Okada's company have been redeemed, the purpose of the stockholders agreement has been frustrated, and the basis for enforcing the agreement's restrictions has been eliminated.
- 2. In addition, to the extent the stockholders agreement purports to impose certain sale restrictions beyond a right of first refusal, those restrictions lack the reasonable basis they are required by law to have. They do not serve a legitimate corporate purpose but rather are intended to maintain the controlling position of Stephen A. Wynn and Mr. Okada. As such they are an impermissible and unlawful restriction on the alienability of Ms. Wynn's shares and are also invalid on this alternative basis.
- 3. Ms. Wynn seeks to invalidate these unlawful restrictions for good and valid reasons. First, having had a long history of involvement in charitable and community endeavors, she desires to realize the value of her stock so that she may provide significant assistance to various important causes she has supported over the years and may support in the future. Second, Ms. Wynn seeks to invalidate these restrictions so that she may implement estate planning measures that will protect the value of her investment for her children.
- 4. This matter is properly designated as a business court matter and assigned to the Business Docket under EDCR 1.61(a) as the claims alleged herein are based on or will require decision under Chapter 78 of the Nevada Revised Statutes or other similar statutes, and arise from a stockholder's right to engage in the purchase or sale of the stock of a business.

- 36 -

27

28 || /

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

II. The Parties

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

- 5. Counterdefendant, counterclaimant, and crossclaimant Elaine P. Wynn is, at all relevant times, a citizen of Nevada.
- 6. Counterdefendant and crossdefendant Stephen A. Wynn is, at all relevant times, a citizen of Nevada.
- 7. Defendant, counterclaimant, and counterdefendant Aruze USA, Inc. ("Aruze") is a company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada. On information and belief, Aruze is controlled by Kazuo Okada at all relevant times, and is the entity Mr. Okada used to hold shares in Wynn Resorts.

III. General Allegations

- 8. Ms. Wynn is the former spouse of Mr. Wynn. Ms. Wynn married Mr. Wynn in 1963. They divorced in 1986, remarried in 1991, and then divorced a second time in 2009.
- 9. Ms. Wynn made significant contributions to the success of Wynn Resorts. She has played important roles in many aspects of the company's operations, including personnel management, public relations, and design of the ambience and customer experience at Wynn Resorts properties.
 - 10. Between 1977 and 2000, Ms. Wynn served as a director of Mirage Resorts.
 - 11. Ms. Wynn has served as a director of Wynn Resorts since October 2002.

A. The Creation of Wynn Resorts

- 12. In 2000, Mr. Wynn purchased the Desert Inn in Las Vegas. The Desert Inn site would eventually be rebuilt as the casino resort Wynn Las Vegas. The entity Mr. Wynn used to hold the Desert Inn property was the Nevada limited liability company Valvino Lamore, LLC ("Valvino"), which Mr. Wynn formed in April 2000.
- 13. Mr. Wynn turned to Mr. Okada to help finance this new project. In October 2000, Aruze contributed \$260 million to Valvino and became a member of Valvino.
 - 14. In April 2002, Aruze contributed a further \$120 million to Valvino.

- 24. On information and belief, the objective of the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement was to secure and implement an alliance between Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada to control Wynn Resorts. The April 2002 Stockholders Agreement was not intended to benefit Wynn Resorts or its other shareholders.
- 25. Because Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada (through Aruze) were Wynn Resorts' largest shareholders, by using the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement to maintain their positions, they could effectively control Wynn Resorts. On March 15, 2005, Wynn Resorts disclosed in its Form 10-K filing that "Mr. Wynn and Aruze USA, Inc. each own approximately 25% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, Mr. Wynn and Aruze USA, Inc., to the extent they vote their shares in a similar manner, effectively are able to control all matters requiring our stockholders' approval, including the approval of significant corporate transactions."
- 26. In the same Form 10-K, Wynn Resorts further disclosed: "[i]n addition, Mr. Wynn and Aruze USA, Inc, together with Baron Asset Fund, have entered into a stockholders' agreement. Under the stockholders' agreement, Mr. Wynn and Aruze USA, Inc., have agreed to vote their shares of our common stock for a slate of directors, a majority of which will be designated by Mr. Wynn, of which at least two will be independent directors, and the remaining members of which will be designated by Aruze USA, Inc. As a result of this voting agreement, Mr. Wynn, as a practical matter, controls the slate of directors to be elected to our board of directors."
- 27. Aruze's status as a substantial stockholder was a basic assumption upon which the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement was based.

C. Mr. Wynn and Aruze Amend their Agreement

- 28. On information and belief, in 2006, in order to prevent Mr. Okada from selling Aruze's Wynn Resorts stock, Mr. Wynn asked Mr. Okada to agree to further restrictions on Mr. Okada's ability to sell Wynn Resorts stock.
- 29. On November 8, 2006, Mr. Wynn and Aruze executed an Amendment to Stockholders Agreement ("2006 Amendment").

- 39 -

22276790.2

4

11

21

24

22276790.2

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

Affiliates shall be deemed to make any agreement or understanding herein in a capacity other than

that as stockholder of Wynn [Resorts]."

21

- 46. Section 2(b) of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement provides that, with certain exceptions, "none of [Ms. Wynn], [Mr. Wynn] or Aruze (nor any of their respective Permitted Transferees) shall Transfer, or permit any of their respective Affiliates to Transfer, any Shares Beneficially Owned by such Person without the prior written consent of each of the others." This provision essentially continued the consent restriction agreed to by Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada's company in the 2006 Amendment. Like its predecessor, Section 2(b) was intended to maintain and support the existing alliance between Mr. Wynn and Okada.
- 47. Section 9 of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement is a right-of-first-refusal restriction on stock transfers. Generally, Section 9 provides that each contracting party who wishes to sell stock must, with certain exceptions, provide notice of the proposed terms of sale to the other parties to the agreement, and that each other party would have the right to purchase the offered shares according to a specified procedure.
- 48. Section 5 of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement states that "each Stockholder acknowledges that [Mr. Wynn] may instruct [Wynn Resorts] to not register the transfer (book-entry or otherwise) of any certificate or uncertificated interest representing any of such Stockholder's Shares that are transferred in violation of this Agreement."
- 49. Section 4 of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement states that "Shares may not be transferred or sold by the Designated Stockholder unless the transferee ... both executes and agrees to be bound by" the agreement.
- 50. The shareholder status of Mr. Okada's company, Aruze, was a fundamental premise of Ms. Wynn's decision to enter into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. Indeed, all parties to the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement entered into it solely in their capacities as stockholders. Ms. Wynn would not have agreed to give her ex-husband the potential ability to restrict the market for her stock if not for her desire to support and not undermine the existing alliance between Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada.
- 51. Aruze's participation in the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement was also a fundamental premise of Ms. Wynn's decision to enter into it. Ms. Wynn would not have entered into the agreement if Aruze had not been a party to it, or if Aruze had not been bound by it.

The Wynn Resorts Board Redeems Aruze's Stock F. Wynn Resorts' Compliance Committee retained Louis Freeh, former 52. 2 Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigations, to investigate Mr. Okada's activities overseas, 3 including his activities in the Philippines. 4 On February 18, 2012, Mr. Freeh made a presentation to the Board of Wynn 53. 5 Resorts regarding Mr. Okada's activities overseas. 6 Following Mr. Freeh's presentation, the Board of Wynn Resorts adopted a 7 54. resolution finding Aruze, Mr. Okada, and Universal Entertainment Corporation to be Unsuitable 8 Persons under Wynn Resorts' Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation 9 ("Articles"), and redeemed Aruze's shares in Wynn Resorts in accordance with the provisions of 10 the Articles. 11 Mr. Wynn Contends that Ms. Wynn Cannot Sell Stock Without His Consent G. 12 Following the redemption, Aruze is no longer a shareholder of Wynn 55. 13 Resorts. Accordingly, the premise of and motivating reason for Ms. Wynn's agreement to the terms of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement (and indeed of other parties' reasons to enter 15 into its predecessor agreements) has disappeared. 16 Nonetheless, Mr. Wynn continues to contend that Ms. Wynn's ability to sell 17 56. her shares is still restricted by the terms of that agreement. Among other things, he contends that 18 Ms. Wynn may not sell her shares without his consent. Ms. Wynn's counsel has informed Mr. Wynn of her view that the January 57. 20 2010 Stockholders Agreement is invalid. 22 IV. Claims for Relief 23 <u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u> **DECLARATORY RELIEF** 24 (Discharge and/or Rescission for Frustration of Purpose) 25 Ms. Wynn re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 57 above. 26 58. 27 28 /// ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED - 43 -COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B 22276790.2

| | 59. | An actual controversy exists among Ms. Wynn, Mr. Wynn, and Aruze wit | th |
|----------------|----------|---|----|
| respect to the | validity | y and/or enforceability of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. The | ıe |
| controversy is | ripe for | adjudication. | |

- 60. The unforeseeable redemption of Aruze's stock has substantially frustrated the principal purpose of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement as well as its predecessor agreements (*i.e.*, the April 2002 Stockholders Agreement and the 2006 Amendment).
- 61. Ms. Wynn's principal purpose in entering into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement was to support and avoid undermining the existing alliance and agreement between Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada an alliance and agreement that presupposed the substantial holding of Wynn Resorts stock by Mr. Okada's company, Aruze. On information and belief, Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada's company shared that same principal purpose in entering into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement and its predecessor agreements.
- 62. Following the redemption, Mr. Okada (through Aruze) no longer holds Wynn Resorts stock, and there is no longer an alliance or a need for an alliance between Mr. Okada's and Mr. Wynn's stockholdings. Therefore, the principal purpose of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement and its predecessor agreements has been substantially frustrated, and a basic assumption on which the contract was made has been fundamentally changed.
- 63. For all of the foregoing reasons, performance by other parties of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement has become valueless for Ms. Wynn.
- 64. Ms. Wynn bore no fault for the events that gave rise to the unforeseeable redemption. She did nothing in her capacity as a director or otherwise that was a but for cause of the redemption. Nor did she take any action with respect to the redemption as a result of any purpose or desire to affect the obligations under any stockholders agreement; any actions she took in that regard resulted from the discharge of her fiduciary duties in the best interests of the corporation.
- 65. Accordingly, Ms. Wynn seeks a declaration that all of Ms. Wynn's contractual duties under the January 2010 Agreement are discharged, or alternatively, that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement is subject to rescission and is rescinded.

2

3

4

56

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

24

25

26

27

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Unreasonable Restraint in Violation of Public Policy)

- 66. Ms. Wynn re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 57 above.
- 67. An actual controversy exists among Ms. Wynn, Mr. Wynn, and Aruze with respect to the validity and/or enforceability of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. The controversy is ripe for adjudication.
- 68. The January 2010 Stockholders Agreement contains unreasonable and onerous restrictions on the alienability of Ms. Wynn's stock (the "Challenged Restrictions"), including:
- (a) Section (2)(b), which continued the consent restriction set forth in the 2006 Amendment; and
- (b) Section 4, which purports to require transferees of stock to be bound by provisions of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement.
- 69. To the extent they apply to sales of stock by Ms. Wynn above and beyond the requirements of a right of first refusal, the Challenged Restrictions are unenforceable: they are without a reasonable purpose, and unduly interfere with the alienability of Ms. Wynn's shares.
- (a) The Challenged Restrictions were intended to maintain the controlling positions of Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada's company. They were not intended to benefit Wynn Resorts or its shareholders generally, and are not reasonably related to a legitimate corporate purpose.
- (b) Furthermore, the Challenged Restrictions lack a reasonable purpose after the redemption of Aruze's shares, because the continued ownership of those shares was a fundamental purpose for imposing the Challenged Restrictions.
- 70. For these reasons, Ms. Wynn seeks a declaration that the Challenged Restrictions are unenforceable as an unreasonable restraint on alienation in violation of public policy, or that they should be construed as inapplicable to a sale by Ms. Wynn of shares as to which she offers a contractual right of first refusal to the parties to the agreement.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

DECLARATORY RELIEF

(Discharge By Aruze)

- 71. Ms. Wynn re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 57 above.
- 72. An actual controversy exists among Ms. Wynn, Mr. Wynn, and Aruze with respect to the validity and/or enforceability of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. The controversy is ripe for adjudication.
- 73. In this action, Aruze has filed claims against Mr. Wynn (Counts XV and XVI of Aruze's Fourth Amended Counterclaim) alleging breach of contract and seeking to be excused and completely discharged from any further performance of its obligations with respect to the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement. In those claims, Aruze asserts that the entire purpose of the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement has been frustrated.
- 74. Ms. Wynn's principal purpose in entering into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement was to support and avoid undermining the existing alliance and agreement between Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada's company. On information and belief, Mr. Wynn and Mr. Okada's company shared that same principal purpose in entering into the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement and its predecessor agreements.
- 75. If Aruze successfully obtains a discharge of its obligations under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement and is no longer bound thereby, then the purpose of that agreement would be substantially frustrated. Ms. Wynn would not have entered into the agreement if Aruze was not bound by it.
- 76. Accordingly, if Aruze successfully obtains a discharge of its obligations under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement, Ms. Wynn seeks a declaration that all of her contractual duties under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement are likewise discharged, or alternatively, that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement is subject to rescission and is rescinded.

EWAPP0049

2

4

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

25

24

26

27

28

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 77. Ms. Wynn re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 to 57 above.
- 78. To enforce the judicial declarations Ms. Wynn seeks in paragraphs 58 to 76 and to secure her rights declared thereunder, Ms. Wynn further seeks an injunction that enjoins Mr. Wynn from instructing Wynn Resorts to not register shares sold or transferred by Ms. Wynn, as well as other injunctive relief against Mr. Wynn and/or Aruze the court deems necessary and appropriate to enforce the declaratory relief granted.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Ms. Wynn hereby demands trial by jury pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Ms. Wynn demands judgment against Mr. Wynn and Aruze as follows:

- A declaration that all of Ms. Wynn's contractual duties under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement are discharged, or alternatively, that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement is subject to rescission and is rescinded;
- 2. A declaration that the Challenged Restrictions are unenforceable as an unreasonable restraint on alienation in violation of public policy or that they should be construed as inapplicable to a sale by Ms. Wynn of shares as to which she offers a contractual right of first refusal to the parties to the agreement;
- 3. If Aruze successfully obtains a discharge of Aruze's obligations under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement, a declaration that all of Ms. Wynn's contractual duties under the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement are discharged and/or that the January 2010 Stockholders Agreement is subject to rescission and is rescinded;
- 4. A preliminary and/or permanent injunction as the court deems necessary and appropriate to enforce the declarations prayed for, including an injunction that prohibits Mr. Wynn from instructing Wynn Resorts to not register shares sold or transferred by Ms. Wynn;

5. Costs of suit and such other relief as the court deems just and proper. 1 Dated: December 16, 2013 JOLLEY URGA WIRTH & WOODBURY 2 3 4 WILLIAM R. URGA, ESQ. #1195 5 Email: wru@juww.com MARTIN A. LITTLE, ESQ. #7067 Email: mal@juww.com 6 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, 16th Floor 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Facsimile: (702) 699-7555 8 9 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP RONALD L. OLSON, ESQ.* MARK B. HELM, ESQ.* 10 JEFFREY Y. WU, ESQ.* 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor 11 Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 *Pro hac vice admitted 12 13 Attorneys for Counterdefendant/ Counterclaimant/Cross-claimant 14 ELAINE P. WYNN 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

- 48 -

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

27

| 1 | CERTIFICA | TE OF SERVICE |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | I hereby certify that on the 16th day of | December, 2013, I caused the foregoing ANSWER |
| 3 | OF ELAINE P. WYNN TO ARUZE | AND UNIVERSAL'S FOURTH AMENDED |
| 4 | COUNTERCLAIM; AMENDED COUNTER | RCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM OF ELAINE P. |
| 5 | WYNN to be served as follows: | |
| 6 | [X] by the Court's ECF System through W: | iznet: |
| 7 | | amuel L. Lionel, Esq. |
| 8 | Todd L. Bice, Esq. P. | aul R. Hejmanowski, Esq. harles H. McCrea, Jr., Esq. |
| 9 | Jarrod L. Rickard, Esq. S | teven Anderson, Esq. ionel Sawyer & Collins |
| 10 | 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 800 | 00 S. Fourth Street, #1700 as Vegas, Nevada 89101 |
| 11 | Las vegas, Nevada 69109 | as vegas, inevada 69101 |
| 12 | | aul K. Rowe, Esq. Frant R. Mainland, Esq. |
| 13 | 10250 Constellation Blvd., 19 th Floor B | radley R. Wilson, Esq. Vachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz |
| 14 | 5 | Wachten, Epton, Rosen & Ratz 1 West 52 nd Street Yew York, NY 10019 |
| 15 | | hristopher J. Banks, Esq. |
| 16 | Campbell & Williams R | ollin B. Chippey, II, Esq. enjamin P. Smith, Esq. |
| 17 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Jo | oseph Floren, Esq. Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP |
| 18 | II. | Market Street, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105 |
| 19 | Morgan Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market Street | San Tanoisco, Chi 7 1103 |
| 20 | Philadelphia, PA 19103 | |
| 21 | [x] By Facsimile and U.S. Mail Only | |
| 22 | Daniel G. Bogden, Esq. | |
| 23 | Eric Johnson, Esq. Roger Wenthe, Esq. | |
| 24 | United States Attorney Office | |
| 25 | 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, NV 89101 | |
| 26 | Facsimile: (702) 388-6787 | |

28

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

| | · | |
|-----------|---|---|
| 1 | [X] By Email and U.S. Mail Only | |
| 2 | Aisling O'Shea | Toro M. Hointz, Egg |
| 3 | Laura Perkins U.S. Department of Justice | Tera M. Heintz, Esq. Sharon R. Smith, Esq. Matthew J. Poole, Esq. |
| 4 | 1400 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Email: aisling.o'shea@usdoj.gov | Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1 Market Street, Spear Street Tower |
| 5 | laura.perkins@usdoj.gov | San Francisco, CA 94105 Email: theintz@morganlewis.com |
| 6 | | srsmith@morganlewis.com mpoole@morganlewis.com |
| 7 | | inpoore(w)morgamewis.com |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | Junda Schone_ |
| 10 | | An Employee of JOLLEY URGA WIRTH |
| 11 | | WOODBURY & STANDISH |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| <i>-1</i> | | |

ANSWER OF ELAINE WYNN; AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM A-12-656720-B

Linda Schone

From: Linda Schone

Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 2:48 PM

To: 'laura.perkins@usdoj.com'; theintz@morganlewis.com; srsmith@morganlewis.com;

mpoole@morganlewis.com; 'aisling.o'shea@usdoj.gov'

Cc: William Urga (wru@juww.com); David J. Malley; Agnes Wong; Jeffrey.Wu@mto.com;

soraya.kelly@mto.com

Subject: Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710) **Attachments:** EPW Ans 4ACC (email to counsel).pdf

Good Afternoon Counsel,

Attached to this email is Answer of Elaine P. Wynn to Aruze and Universal's Fourth Amended Counterclaim; Amended Counterclaim and Crossclaim of Elaine P. Wynn which will be submitted for e-filing this afternoon.

Linda Schone

Legal Secretary to William R. Urga, Esq. Jolley Urga Wirth & Woodbury 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Wells Fargo Tower, Sixteenth Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Phone: (702) 699-7500 Fax: (702) 699-7555

E-mail: <u>ls@juww.com</u>

Information contained in this electronic transmission (e-mail) is private and confidential and is the property of Jolley Urga Wirth & Woodbury. The information contained herein is privileged and is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that any unauthorized disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this (e-mail) electronically transmitted information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this (e-mail) electronic transmission in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and delete the e-mail from your computer. You may contact Jolley Urga Wirth & Woodbury at (702) 699-7500 (Las Vegas, NV)



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Delivery Report Page 1 of 1

Delivery Report

Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710)

From: Linda Schone

laura.perkins@usdoj.com; the intz@morganlew is.com; srsmith@morganlew is.com; mpoole@morganlew is.com; and interpretable in the introduction of the interpretable in the introduction of
Sent: 12/16/2013 2:48 PM

| | | Select users. |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Го | \$0. 0. \$0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0 | Status |
| laura.perkins@usdoj.com | Pending | 12/16/2013 2:49 PM |
| William Urga | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| David J. Malley | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| Agnes Wong | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| theintz@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| srsmith@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| mpoole@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| E-Mail This Report | | |

Delivery Report Page 1 of 1

Delivery Report

Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710)

From: Linda Schone

Fo: laura.perkins@usdoj.com;theintz@morganlewis.com;srsmith@morganlewis.com;mpoole@morganlewis.c...

Sent: 12/16/2013 2:48 PM

| | entra de la companya | Select users |
|---|--|--------------------|
| Γο | | Status |
| aura.perkins@usdoj.com | Pending | 12/16/2013 2:49 PM |
| William Urga | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| David J. Malley | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| Agnes Wong | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| the intz@morgan lew is.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| srsmith@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| mpoole@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| E-Mail This Report | | |
| E-Mail This Report Delivery Report for mpoole@morganlewis.co | m (mnoole@morganlowic.com | |

Delivery Report Page 1 of 1

Delivery Report

Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710)

From: Linda Schone

laura.perkins@usdoj.com; the intz@morganlew is.com; srsmith@morganlew is.com; mpoole@morganlew is.c...

Sent: 12/16/2013 2:48 PM

| And the state of t | | Select users. |
|--|-------------|--------------------|
| То | | Status |
| laura.perkins@usdoj.com | Pending | 12/16/2013 2:49 PM |
| William Urga | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| David J. Malley | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| Agnes Wong | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| theintz@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| srsmith@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| mpoole@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| E-Mail This Report | | |

Delivery Report Page 1 of 1

Delivery Report

Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710)

From: Linda Schone

Fo: laura.perkins@usdoj.com;theintz@morganlewis.com;srsmith@morganlewis.com;mpoole@morganlewis.c...

Sent: 12/16/2013 2:48 PM

| | | Select users. |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| То | | Status |
| laura.perkins@usdoj.com | Pending | 12/16/2013 2:49 PM |
| William Urga | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| David J. Malley | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| Agnes Wong | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| the intz@morganlew is.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| srsmith@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| mpoole@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| E-Mail This Report | | |

Delivery Report Page 1 of 1

Delivery Report

Wynn/Okada (Case No. A656710)

From: Linda Schone

To: laura.perkins@usdoj.com;theintz@morganlewis.com;srsmith@morganlewis.com;mpoole@morganlewis.c...

Sent: 12/16/2013 2:48 PM

| All Market and All Ma | N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N . | Select users |
|--|---|--|
| То | | Status |
| William Urga | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| David J. Malley | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| Agnes Wong | Delivered | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| laura.perkins@usdoj.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:51 PM |
| the intz@morganlew is.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| srsmith@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| mpoole@morganlewis.com | Transferred | 12/16/2013 2:48 PM |
| E-Mail This Report | | THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF TH |

JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
3800 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY
SIXTEENTH FLOOR
WELLS FARGO TOWER
LAS VEGAS.NEVADA 89169
TELEPHONE(702) 699-7500
FACSIMILE (702) 699-7555

Facsimile Transmission

| Addressee: | Daniel Bogden/Eric Johnson/Roger Wenthe | Fax No.: | 388-6787 |
|---|--|--|--|
| | (name) U.S. Attorney's Office (company) | Telephone No.: | |
| From: | William R. Urga, Esq. | Date: | December 16, 2013 |
| Pages (includ | ling this page): | nt/Matter: | 11585-02001 (Wynn/Okada) |
| Origina | l document(s) will not follow | | |
| Origina | l document(s) will follow by: Regular Mail x | FedEx | |
| | Certified Mail | Runner | |
| Message: | E-Mail | Other | |
| re: Case No. A | -12-656710-B | | |
| | ine P. Wynn to Aruze and Universal's land of Elaine P. Wynn will follow. | Fourth Amended | Counterclaim; Amended Counterclaim |
| privileged and is interdisclosure, copying, d | nded only for the use of the individual(s) or entity(ies) r | named above. If you are contents of this telecopie | y of the sender. The information contained in the material is not the intended recipient, be advised that any unauthorized ad information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this rwarded documents to us. |

P. 1 * * * Communication Result Report (Dec. 16. 2013 3:08PM) * * * Fax Header) Date/Time: Dec. 16. 2013 2:51PM File Page Destination Pg (s) No. Mode Result 106*7023886787 P. 61 0456 Memory TX OK Reason for error
E. 1) Hang up or line fail
E. 3) No answer
E. 5) Exceeded max. E-mail size E. 2) Busy E. 4) No facsimile connection JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH SIXTEENTH FLOOR **WELLS FARGO TOWER** LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89189 TELEPHONE(702) 699-7500 FACSIMILE (702) 699-7555 Facsimile Transmission

| Addressee: | Daniel Bogden/Eric Johnson/Roger Wenthe | Fax No.: | 388-4787 |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | (name) U.S. Attorney's Office | Telephone No.: | |
| • | (company) | _ resolutions 140 | |
| From: | William R. Urga, Esq. | Date: | December 16, 2013 |
| Pages (includ | ling this page): # 60 Clies | st/Matter: 1 | 1585-02001 (Wynn/Okada) |
| Origina | il document(s) will not follow | | |
| Origina | al document(s) will follow by: | | |
| | Regular Mail X | FedEx | |
| | Certified Mail | Runner | |
| Message: | E-Mail | Other | |
| Answer of Ela | A-12-656710-B inc P. Wynn to Aruze and Universal's: n of Elsine P. Wynn will follow. | Pourth Amended (| Counterclaim; Amended Counterclaim |
| | | | • |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | • |
| distance, copying, | 400 GEV IZ 등 40 G IS STANSON (C 2017(18)) | MTMAI ABOVO. IT you ape o | of the render. The information equivipled in the meterial is of the fathward exclusion, he advised first say wanglesized information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this said discussion is us. |
| ATTENTION | RECIPIENT: If you do not receive a | ll pages, picase cal | l Linda Schone at (702) 699-7500. |