## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KAZUO OKADA,

Petitioner.

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY; THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, DEPT. 11,

Respondent,

and

WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED.

Real Party in Interest.

Case No. 68310

Electronically Filed Aug 06 2015 08:41 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

## RESPONSE TO OBJECTION TO SUGGESTION OF RECUSAL

Wynn Resorts, Limited ("Wynn Resorts") appreciates the aggressive advocacy by Petitioner Kazuo Okada ("Okada") in his Objection to its simple notice to Justices Parraguirre and Pickering. It underscores and proves Wynn Resorts' point. Filed by the same counsel that represents Sands China, Ltd. and its director, David Turnbull, in the writ proceeding, *Sands China, Ltd. v. Jacobs*, Case No. 68275, it confirms that the cases involve the same issue that will be argued on the same day. Nonetheless, the Objection urges the Justices to focus upon and accept the dissimilar facts as the basis for decision – ignoring the common and overlapping facts – so as to participate in this case while nonetheless disqualified in *Jacobs*. And that is precisely the problem.

To avoid any appearance of impropriety and any suggestion of indirectly participating in a disqualified case, any reasonable jurist would be encouraged – just as Okada bluntly argues – to focus upon the facts that purportedly differentiate the matters to the exclusion of those establishing their commonality. Deciding this

case based upon, or even focusing upon, the similar or overlapping facts would create the appearance of impropriety.

That is precisely why Wynn Resorts believes it appropriate to bring this matter to the Justices' attention, as they are not participating in the *Jacobs* case and would not know its facts. But now, Okada has confirmed the interrelationship between these cases, interjecting the heretofore unknown facts and advocates for an emphasis on certain differentiating facts, while downplaying the overlap of others. Okada thus confirms that to participate in this case, the Justices must walk the intellectual tightrope of focusing exclusively on certain non-overlapping facts while "ignoring" others, assuming that is conceptually even possible.

The fact of the matter is, there are many common facts involving the same ultimate issue that is in front of the Court in the *Jacobs* matter.<sup>1</sup> Like Okada, Turnbull claims to be extremely busy and to have minimal travel to the United States. But at the same time, Turnbull knowingly volunteered to be a director of an entity which, as the District Court has found, is controlled, directed and operated in Nevada. In legal parlance, Nevada is that entity's true "home." Merely incorporating in a different jurisdiction so as to access a foreign credit market did not alter that long-standing reality. And like Okada, Turnbull participated in Board meetings by teleconference and they personally attended countless meetings with the people running their businesses with "boots on the ground" in Nevada.

The desire of common counsel for Okada and Sands China to downplay those facts is understandable, but confirms the problem of deemphasizing the common facts, as to avoid appearance issues, which then necessarily influences the merits decision. Ultimately, the issue to be decided in these two cases is the same - a

The Court appears to recognize this reality, setting oral argument for the two matters on the same day. Any suggestion by Okada or Sands China that these matters will not as a practical matter be argued simultaneously – with reference to each other, and the similar and dissimilar facts – is simply not serious.

District Court's authority and discretion to compel a foreign-based director of a defendant corporation, one subject to jurisdiction in Nevada, to appear on United States soil and in a location where the court can actively supervise the deposition.

The briefing in these two matters confirms that they involve the same legal question with certain common-core facts. To be sure, there are factual differences, as there are in most any case. But arguing that the dissimilar facts should be accepted and elevated as the basis for decision – so as to avoid questions of appearances – only confirms the basis for Wynn Resorts' suggestion.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2015.

## PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By /s/ Todd L. Bice
James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027
Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Debra Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Wynn Resorts, Limited

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC, and	
3	that on this 5th day of August, 2015, I	electronically filed and served a true and
4	correct copy of the above and forego	ing RESPONSE TO OBJECTION TO
5	NOTICE OF SUGGESTION OF	RECUSAL properly addressed to the
6	following:	
7		D '10 W 1 CC E
8	J. Stephen Peek, Esq. Bryce K. Kunimoto, Esq. Robert J. Cassity, Esq.	David S. Krakoff, Esq. Benjamin B. Klubes, Esq. Joseph J. Reilly, Esq.
9 10	Brian G. Anderson, Esq. HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor	BUCKLEY SÁNDLER LLP 1250 – 24th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037
11	Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Petitioner-Defendant	Attorneys for Petitioner-Defendant Kazuo Okada
12	Kazuo Okada	
13	Donald J. Campbell, Esq. J. Colby Williams, Esq. CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS	William R. Urga, Esq. Martin A. Little, Esq. JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY
14	700 South 7th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101	& LITTLE 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
15 16	Attorneys for Stephen A. Wynn	16th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn
17	Ronald L. Olson, Esq. Mark B. Helm, Esq.	Robert L. Shapiro, Esq.
18	Mark B. Helm, Esq. Jeffrey Y. Wu, Esq. MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP	GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD AVCHEN & SHAPIRO, LLP
19	355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor	10250 Constellation Boulevard 19th Floor
20	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Attorneys for Elaine P. Wynn	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Attorneys for Wynn Resorts, Limited
21		
22	SERVED VIA HAND-DELIVERY The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez	
23	Eighth Judicial District court, Dept. XI Regional Justice Center	
24	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
25	Las vegas, inevada 67133	
26		/s/ Kimberly Peets
27	An employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC	