

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MIRIAM PIZARRO-ORTEGA, AN  
INDIVIDUAL,  
Appellant,  
vs.

CHRISTIAN CERVANTES-LOPEZ, AN  
INDIVIDUAL; AND MARIA AVARCA,  
AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondents.

No. 68471

**FILED**

SEP 28 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING REHEARING*

Having considered appellant's petition for rehearing, we are not persuaded that rehearing is warranted. NRAP 40(c). We clarify that counsel's comments at oral argument did not affect our analysis of the substantive issues raised on appeal. Because we concluded that the issues pertaining to future medical expenses did not materially affect appellant's substantial rights so as to warrant a new trial, appellant's request for remittitur likewise was properly denied. See NRCP 61 ("The court . . . must disregard any error or defect . . . which does not affect the substantial rights of the parties."). Thus, it was unnecessary for us to address or comment on appellant's alternative request for remittitur.

It is so ORDERED.

Hardesty, J.  
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.  
Parraguirre

Stiglich, J.  
Stiglich

cc: Hon. Stefany Miley, District Judge  
Rogers, Mastrangelo, Carvalho & Mitchell, Ltd.  
Simon Law  
Eighth District Court Clerk