

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF THE BEATRICE
B. DAVIS FAMILY HERITAGE TRUST,
DATED JULY 28, 2000, AS AMENDED
ON FEBRUARY 24, 2014.

No. 68542 ✓

CHRISTOPHER D. DAVIS,
Appellant,

vs.

CAROLINE DAVIS; DUNHAM TRUST
COMPANY; STEPHEN K. LEHNARDT;
TARJA DAVIS; WINFIELD B. DAVIS;
ACE DAVIS; AND FHT HOLDINGS
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY,
Respondents.

FILED

OCT 2 2 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

CHRISTOPHER D. DAVIS,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE
GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
CAROLINE DAVIS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 68948

ORDER

The appeal in Docket No. 68542 and the original petition in
Docket No. 68948 challenge the same district court order that, in part, (1)

granted a petition to assume jurisdiction over Christopher D. Davis as an investment trust advisor, without prejudice; (2) confirmed Dunham Trust Company as directed trustee; (3) granted a petition for disclosure of documents and information from Christopher; and (4) denied Christopher's motion to dismiss the petition to assume jurisdiction over him.

There are several requests pending before this court. In both matters, Christopher has requested a stay of the district court proceedings.¹ In the appeal, respondent Caroline Davis has opposed the motion for stay and also filed a motion for remand under *Huneycutt v. Huneycutt*, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.3d 585 (1978), and *Foster v. Dingwall*, 126 Nev. 49, 228 P.3d 453 (2010). Attached to the motion for remand is copy of a certification indicating that the district court is inclined to modify the order being challenged on appeal (and thus the order being challenged in the writ proceeding, as well).


Having reviewed the documents on file herein, we conclude that a temporary stay is warranted pending our consideration of the supplemental filings directed below. *See* NRAP 8(c). Accordingly, we stay the district court proceedings pending further order of this court. Within 11 days from the date of this order, Caroline shall file a supplement to the motion for remand addressing the effect any remand would have on the writ proceeding.² Within 11 days from service of such supplement,

¹To the extent Christopher requests "affirmative relief" related to the merits of the appeal, such relief is denied at this time.


²The certification attached to the motion for remand does not contain the file-stamp of the district court clerk; Caroline shall attach a file-stamped copy of the certification to her supplement.

Christopher shall file a single response to the motion for remand and the supplement thereto.

It is so ORDERED.



Saitta J.



Gibbons J.



Pickering J.

cc: Hon. Gloria Sturman, District Judge
Anthony L. Barney, Ltd.
Roland Law Firm
Ace Davis
Lee, Hernandez, Landrum, Garofalo
Clear Counsel Law Group
Solomon Dwiggins & Freer, Ltd.
Tarja Davis
Winfield B. Davis
Eighth District Court Clerk