IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

O.P.H. OF LAS VEGAS, INC., Appellant, vs. OREGON MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY; DAVE SANDIN; AND SANDIN & CO.,

Respondents.

FILED AUG 1 5 2016 DERKK SA PERMENE COURT VIEWUTY CLERK

No. 68543

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file the reply brief. The motion is identical to the previous motion requesting an extension of time. Appellant represents that the parties are in ongoing settlement negotiations and wish to avoid incurring unnecessary legal expenses. Having failed to demonstrate extraordinary circumstances and extreme need, we deny the motion. See NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until August 24, 2016, to file and serve the reply brief. Failure to timely file the reply brief will be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Janlesta, A.C.J.

cc: McLetchie Shell LLC Hutchison & Steffen, LLC Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP/Las Vegas

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🛛