9	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF NEVADA)
6) ss.
7	CLARK COUNTY)
8	
9	
10	I, LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173, do hereby certify
11	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same
12	is true and correct as reflected by my original machine
13	shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the
14	Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge, presiding.
15	18
16	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
17	15th day of November, 2008.
18	•8C
19	
20	Lank P
21	- gul Juhr
22	LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173
23	
24	9
25	

dremmerth. ORIGINAL

FILED

DEC 5 11 15 AM '08

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, PRESIDING

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,

> V . NARCUS S. WESLEY. Defendant.

Case No. 07-C-232494-C Dept. 24 Volume II

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Jury Trial

COURTHOUSE

April 9, 10 and 11, 2008

Las Vegas, Nevada

Reported by:

Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173

001701

	S 32-48 C28 S-	
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	•	
3	For the State:	LISA LUZAICH, ESQ.
4		Deputy D. A. 200 Lewis Ave.
5	. 1	Las Vegas, NV. 89155
	. 1	and STACY KOLLINS, ESQ.
6		Deputy D. A. 200 Lewis Ave.
7	1 J	Las Vegas, NV. 89155
8		Magn. 1.2, 1.3,
9	Defendant present in court ou	it of custody.
10		
11	For the Defendant:	CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
CWA		309 South Third Street
12		Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV. 89101
13		and
14	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	JEFFREY BANKS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
15		309 South Third Street .
12	•	Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV> 89101
16		203 Vegas, NV 85101
17		
18	No other appearances	
19		
20	***	
21		
22		
23	*	2"
24		
25		
ŀ		

	A			
1	Index of Witnesses			
2	NAME OF WITNESS	Page		
3	Detective Curtis Allen Weske	297		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Luzaich Cross-Examination by Mr. Landis	7 40		
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Banks	60		
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Luzaich	68		
6				
_	Donna Lamonte			
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Landis	71		,,
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Luzaich	77		î
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Landis	87		经
9	Narviez Wesley			
10		SEREN		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Landis Cross-Examination by Ms. Kollins	89		
11		95		
	Angela Wesley			
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Landis	97		i.P
13	valVALuisaba heri dekoa dosto			
INCOME.	Narcus Wesley			葱
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Landis	99		
15		100		
553	After Jury Selection, the following witnesses	were	go11 od	
16	by the State on 4/11/08 as follows:	MCTR	Called	
17	Opening Statement by Ms. Luzaich	609		18
100000	Opening Statement by Mr. Landis	626		
18	DANIELLE BROWNING			ł
19	Direct Examination by Ms. Luzaich	639		ľ
39	Cross-Examination by Mr. Banks	678		3
20	Redirect Examination by Ms. Luzaich	696		
00000	Recross-Examination by Mr. Banks	701		Ī
21				1
22				327
23	.75			
23				Į.
24				1
25				
557.V/				ľ
į.				1

			-7/5
1	Index of Witnesses (page 2)		CONTRACTOR
2	Name of Witness	Page	
3	Justin Richardson		45
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins Cross-Examination by Mr. Landis	704 762	
5	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins Recross-Examination by Mr. Landis	784 798	
6			74
7	****		
8			
9			
10			
11	₩		
12			
13			
14			*
15	18 € 5		1
16			
17			
18			*
19	2		
20			į.
21			47
22			
23	*		
24	\$P		
25			
b			

I TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 3 (Whereupon, on April 10, 2008, all parties present, the following proceedings were had outside the presence of the jury panel:) 5 6 THE BAILIFF: You may be seated. Court is back 7 8 in session. 9 THE COURT: We are back on the record in the 10 State of Nevada v. Narcus Wesley. 11 Is there anything that we need to address before 12 we bring in the jury pool? 13 MR. LANDIS: Not from us, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. LUZAICH: Nothing. 16 THE COURT: Okay, that's fine. 17 THE BAILIFF: I am going to bring him in first 18 so we can --

(Whereuupon, all parties present, the following proceedings were had we are in the presence of the jury

THE COURT: . Kind of show him that he can kind

25 | panel:)

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: That's fine

THE BAILIFF: So he can --

of wheel in there after they have all gotten in.

THE BAILIFF: You are going to be right here. 1 2 JUROR KENIEUTUBBE: Oh, okay. THE COURT: Howard, you might want to come and 3 stay out until they all get in, and then you can just, you know --JUNOR KENIEUTUBBE: Oh, all right then. 7 THE COURT: Just wheel in there, okay? 8 THE BAILIFF: Do you want to give me the jury list? THE COURT: All right, Just have a seat. 10 We know the right order, if you are out of order, it is 11 12 not going to kill us, we will just figure it out, 13 THE BAILIFF: Please be seated. 14 THE COURT: This is a little confusing, coming 15 from that courtroom into this courtroom. 16 So if you are not exactly in the right order, it won't make much difference because we actually work 17 off of the list that was provided to us from the Jury 18 Commissioner's office that is in the correct order. 19 20 So, as we -- as we move people in and out, we will be moving them off of this list. So don't worry 21 about it if you are not exactly in the right order. It 22 23 is just kind of nice for you to know who is coming up 24 next. 25 We are still in the process of jury selection,

1	and the next person
2	THE CLERK: We need to do a roll call.
3	THE COURT: Oh yeah, we need to do a roll call
4	first, and being as this was overnight, and we have changed
5	the composition of the jury panel, we are going to have a
6	roll call to make sure that we are still on the right list
7	so answer present, or here, as you hear your name called.
8	Go ahead.
9	THE CLERK: Molly Magura.
10	JUROR MAGURA: Present.
11	THE CLERK: . Howard Kenieutubbe.
12	JUROR KENIEUTUBBE: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Debbie C. Deschaine.
14	JUROR DESCHAINE: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Gary Orta.
16	JUROR ORTA; Here.
17	THE CLERK: Maryjo Loretto.
18	JUROR LORETTO: Here,
19	THE CLERK: Eve Crossman-Keenan.
20	JUROR CROSSMAN-KEENAN: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Betty Fisher.
22	JUROR FISHER: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Nichole Dunmore.
24	JUROR DUNMORE: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Robert Franklin.
- 3	

1	JUROR FRANKLIN: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Christine Foresta.
3	JUROR FORESTA: Here.
4	THE CLERK: John Oberweis.
5	JUROR OBERWEIS: Here.
б	THE CLERK: Melida Wright.
7	JUROR WRIGHT: Here.
8	THE CLERK: John Eshelman.
9	MS. LUZAICH: He was excused yesterday.
10	THE CLERK: He was excused yesterday, right.
11	Wayne Daley.
12	JUROR DALEY: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Donna Bella.
14	JUROR BELLA: Present.
15	THE CLERK: Justin Gries.
16	JUROR GRIES: Here.
17	THE CLERK: 'Mark Snelling.
18	JUROR SNELLING: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Michael Baird.
20	JUROR BAIRD: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Denise Andalon.
22	JUROR ANDALON: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Everett Carney.
24	JUROR CARNEY: Here.
25	THE CLERK: John Little.
1	<u> </u>

- 1	
1.	JUROR LITTLE: Present.
2	THE CLERK: Elizabeth Martin.
3	JUROR MARTIN: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Kelly Russo-Winn.
5	JUROR RUSSO-WINN: Here.
6	THE CLBRK: Bonnie Huerta.
7	JUROR HUERTA: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Becky Hansen.
9	JUROR HANSEN: Here,
10	THE CLERK: Susan Gregg.
11	JUROR GREGG: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Aminne Menghisteab.
13	JUROR MENGHISTEAB: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Barbara Wagner.
15	JUROR WAGNER: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Robbie Holley.
17	JUROR HOLLEY: Here.
18	THE CLERK: .Stephanie Abernathy.
19	JUROR ABERNATHY: Present.
20	THE CLERK: Bonnie Brunson.
21	JUROR BRUNSON: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Joann Czerwinski.
23	JUROR CZERWINSKI: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Juaneta Gibson.
25	JUROR GIBSON: Here.
	<u>-</u> -

1	THE CLERK: Brenda Medeiros.
2	JUROR MEDEIROS: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Kelly Bethel.
4	JUROR BETHEL: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Kay McCary.
6	JUROR MC CARY; Here.
7	THE CLERK: Paula Socha.
8	JUROR SOCHA: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Greg Middleton.
10	JUROR MIDDLETON: Here.
11	THE CLERK: James Vaughn.
12	JUROR VAUGHN: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Madlyn Shook.
14	JUROR SHOOK: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Barbara anderson.
16	JUROR ANDERSON: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Richard Rehm.
18	JUROR REHM: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Nicholis Morales.
20	JUROR MORALES: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Salvatore Falcone,.
22	JUROR FALCONE: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Patrick Mitchell.
24	JUROR MITCHELL: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Bruce Leal.

1	JUROR LEAL: Present.
2	THE CLERK: Mario Barela.
3	JUROR BARELA: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Roy Fish, Jr.
5	JUROR FISH: Here.
6	THE CLBRK: Catherine Beta.
7	JUROR BETA: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Emie Trie.
9	JUROR TRIE: Here.
10	THE CLERK: David Zeamer.
11	JUROR ZEAMER: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Doc Wiener.
13	JUROR WIENER: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Gary Morris.
15	JUROR MORRIS: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Andrea Adams.
17	JUROR ADAMS: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Lawrence Kinsler.
19	JUROR KINSLER: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Maryann Russo.
21	JUROR RUSSO: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Robert Skinner, Sr.
23	JUROR SKINNER: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Robert Golechen.
25	JUROR GOLECHEN: Here.
1	

1	THE CLERK: Melissa Celeste.
2	JUROR CELESTE: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Ellen Mackey.
4	JUROR MACKEY: Here.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I will just remind all of
6	the members of the jury pool that you were sworn in
7	yesterday, and that still applies, you are still all
8	under oath as we go through this voir dire process.
9	And I do believe that we left off yesterday,
10	and our next juror to converse with is Even is it
11	Kennan-Crossman.
12	JUROR CROSSMAN-KEENAN: Crossman-Keenan.
13	THE COURT: Crossman-Keenan,
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR CROSSMAN-KEENAN
15	BY THE COURT:
16	Q. Eve, how long have you been here in Las
17	Vegas?
18	A. 32 years,
19	Q. Where are you? There you are.
20	32 years? You have been here just about your
21	whole life?
22	A. My whole life.
23	Q. Are you what do you do for a living?
24	A. I am a hairdresser.
25	Q. Where do you work?
ii.	

1	A. Madeline Salon.
2	Q. Are you married?
3	A. Yes,
4	Q. What does your husband do?
5	A. He is unemployed.
6	Q. When he is not employed, what does he do?
7	A. Food and beverage manager.
8	Q. Do you guys have children?
9	A. We have one daughter.
10	Q. How old?
11	A. Three years.
12	Q. Okay. Have you we will start, I guess,
1.3	with the important stuff.
14	Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
15	victim of or accused of a sexually related offense?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Have you or anybody close to you ever been
18	the victim of or charged with just any crime?
19	A. No
20	Q. Have you ever had any training in law
21	enforcement?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you or anybody close to you ever been
24	employed in law enforcement?
25	A. My brother-in-law works for Metro, and my
Ĺ	

1	brother is in the academy right now.
2	Q. Okay. Your brother-in-law, who is on the
3	Metropolitan Police Department, how long has he been on
4	the Police Department?
5	A. About a year,
6	Q. Okay. And do you ever discuss his work
7	with him?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Not really. Do you realize that any police
10	witnesses in this case are going to come from the Henderson
11	Police Department, not the Metropolitan Police Department?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you see any problem with having a
14	brother-in-law that's a police officer right now, and
15	you sitting on the jury listening to police officer's
16	testimony? •
17	A. No problem.
18	Q. Anything about that relationship that would
19	cause you to give the testimony of a police officer any
20	greater or lesser weight than any other non police officer
21	witness?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you ever been on a jury before?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Can you think of anything that I haven't
1	

1	A. Not embarrassing?
2	Q. Well, just because you said you said it
3	could be so I'm not trying to
4	A. (Interposing) I guess that depends on the
5	individual.
6	Q. Do you have any expectations of how a victim
7	should act?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Have you ever known anyone who has been the
10	victim of sexual abuse, sexual assault, friends, family
11	friends, anyone?
12	A. I found out many years later that my cousin
13	was molested when she was a child. I found out after she
14	was an adult.
15	Q. So was it disclosed when she was a child?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Was law enforcement ever involved in it?
18	A. I don't know. I don't think so.
19	Q. Okay. When you found out, did you find out
20	from her?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Was it difficult for her to speak to you
23	about that even though so many years had transpired??
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Anything that we should know about you,

1	anything else that the Judge hasn't asked you?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Anything distracting you from your service
4	over the next few days?
5	A. No.
6	MS. KOLLINS: Okay, thank you.
7	Pass for cause, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Landis?
9	MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR CROSSMAN-KEENAN
11	BY MR. LANDIS:
12	Q. Good morning.
13	What do you think about the burden of proof that
14	we have here in America, of beyond a reasonable doubt?
15	A. I believe in it.
16	Q. Do you think it's a fair burden? It
17	doesn't give the State too much work? It doesn't give
18	too big an advantage to the Defense?
19	A. I believe in the jury system.
20	Q. As I think we all do, and I definitely
21	do. Sometimes it doesn't work though, would you agree
22	with that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Sometimes people are wrongly convicted?
25	A. Sometimes.
11	

1	Q. And do you think that sometimes people who
2	are guilty get off the hook?
3	A. Sometimes.
4	Q. Do you have any reason to think that happens
5	in cases?
6	A. From lack of evidence.
7	Q. What do you think you would do in a case
8	if in your gut you feel that the person probably did it
9	but you don't think that the State met their burden?
10	A. Can you explain that just a little more?
11	Q. Sure, I mean, let's say you hear the case,
12	and you hear the witnesses, and through that evidence
13	something in your heart or in your gut, whatever you want
14	to call it, makes you feel that the person probably did
15	whatever it is they are accused of.
16	But at the same time, you don't think the State
17	has met their burden of proving it beyond a reasonable
18	doubt. There are some holes in their case, whatever it
19	may be. Some of their witnesses aren't strong. What do
20	you think you do in that situation?
21	A. Um, I guess
22	 And I know it's a hard question.
23	A. It would depend on the evidence
24	Q. Okay.
25	A given.

1	Q. Do you think it matters what kind of crime
2	it is? Let me give you an example.
3	Do you think it would matter if it's, let's say,
4	a forgery case versus a murder case?
5	A. I guess it would be each individual situation.
6	You would still go with the evidence and the proof.
7	Q. Do you think you would walk out of a courtroom
8	after a jury trial feeling comfortable if that was what you
9	felt, that the State didn't prove their case, but this person
10	probably did it, and your jury ended up acquitting a person.
11	Do you think you could walk out of the courtroom from those
12	facts?
13	A. I would definitely express myself and my
14	feelings.
15	Q. Do you think you would sleep that night?
16	A. Um
17	Q. Do you feel let me ask you this:
18	Do you feel like you would have done your job
19	as a juror in that situation?
20	A. I don't really know how to answer, but I
21	guess if I have that gut feeling, I would make it known
22	as, well, I mean, I would express myself and if I didn't
23	agree with the rest of the jury, I would let it be known.
24	Q. Do you think you could be convinced otherwise
25	by other members of the jury panel in the deliberation

1	room?
2	A. I have a fairly strong personality, and I
3	would
4	Q. Would it take a lot of doing?
5	A. Yeah.
6	MR. LANDIS: Thank you. Pass for cause, Judge.
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR FISHER
8	BY THE COURT:
9	Q. Betty Fisher?
10	A. Here.
11	Q. All right. Tell us a little about yourself.
12	How long have you been here?
13	A. Four years.
14	Q. And where did you come from?
15	A, California.
16	Q. Southern California?
17	A. Ranch Crest, in the Mohave Desert.
18	Q. Oh, okay. Well, do you work?
19	A. No, I am retired.
20	Q. What did you do before you retired?
21	A. I was an administrative assistant for the
22	Mohave Desert Resource Conservation and Development, and
23	the Family Resource Conservation District.
24	Q. What did you do for them?
25	A. I had have a board of directors, and I
1	

1	did everything.
2	Q. Are you married?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Does your husband still work?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What does he do?
7	A. He works for the U. S. Department of Labor
8	for the Mine Safety and Health Administration.
9	Q. And does he live here and do that from
10	here?
11	A. He inspects mines.
12	Q. Oh, okay.
13	A. Until December.
14	Q. Very good. Do you have grown children?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What do they do?
17	A. Well, my daughter works at a hardware
18	store, a feed store in Curren, California, (phonetically),
19	and my stepson and daughter-in-law work for the State
20	of Washington, for the state troopers.
21	Q. As a highway patrolman?
22	A. Well, he works in communications, and she
23	works as a liaison for the HEF, FBI.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. All of those
l	

1	Q. Kind of a joint task force thing?
2	A. Year.
3	Q. Okay. And those are the two, and one was
4	an in-law, I mean, one was your
5	A. One is my stepson and daugher.
6	Q. Oh, stepson, okay.
7	Have you ever been trained in any kind of law
8	enforcement?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Okay.
11	Anybody close to you employed or trained in
12	law enforcement besides well, those people that work
13	for the department in Washington, they are not really
14	they are not badge? They are
15	A. Yes, they are.
16	Q. They are. So they have a very specific
17	area of law enforcement that they are engaged in?
18	A. Right.
19	Q. A lot of which is communications?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do they ever discuss their work with you?
22	A. The work industry.
23	Q. Have you ever discussed their work with
24	them much?
25	A. Well, sometimes, but not real often.
- 1	

1	assume being the mother, you were intimately involved in
2	everything
3	A. Actually, they never allowed me in the
4	courtroom. I had to be out so other than being with my
5	daughter, I don't know.
6	Q. Okay. You actually weren't that involved
7	then
8	A. Right.
9	Q in what actually went on in the court
10	proceedings then?
11	A. Right.
12	Q. Okay. Well, still, that had to have been
13	a highly emotional experience?
14	A. Yes, it was.
15	Q. Even that many years ago, probably very
16	emotional, very traumatic?
17	A. Yes, it was.
18	Q. Probably very traumatic for your daughter?
19	A. Very.
20	 Is there anything about that whole experience,
21	that whole scenario, that would cause you difficulty and
22	difficulty to the point where it would almost be impossible
23	for you to be fair and impartial as a juror in this case?
24	A. No.
25	Q. You don't think can you leave all of that

1	outside?
2	A. I left that behind a long time ago.
3	Q. It is the kind of thing you just have to
4	leave behind?
5	A. You have to.
6	Q. Exactly. And do you think that you can do
7	that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And you have pretty much already done it?
10	A. Oh yes.
11	Q. And put it behind you?
12	A. Put it behind me.
13	Q. And you don't think that you would get in
14	the jury deliberation room, and start bringing up things
15	about that incident that happened?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Okay, Because all we want you to do is
18	be fair and impartial to both sides, and render a verdict
19	based strictly upon the evidence that you hear in this
20	case and the instructions on the law that I give you.
21	Can you do that?
22	A. Oh, yes.
23	Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a jury before?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. About how long ago?
11	♥

	THE KIRTS VARIABLES OF THE ARREST
1	A. In the '70's.
2	Q. In California?
3	A. In Los Angeles, yes.
4	Q. Okay. Was it a criminal or a civil case?
5	A. Civil.
6	Q. And you were on the actual jury? You were
7	on a civil case?
В	A. Yes,
9	Q. Did that jury deliberate?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Were you the foreman of that jury?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Without telling us what happened, did that
14	jury come back with a verdict?
15	A, Yes.
16	O. Is there anything about your experience
17	of being on that jury that would cause you difficulty being
18	on this jury?
19	A. No.
20	Q. To be fair and impartial to both sides?
21	A. Nothing.
22	Q. In other words, it's real important that,
23	first of all, two different kinds of cases. That was a
24	civil case, and this is a criminal case.
25	A whole lot of the instruction that you would

1 receive will be different. 2 Α. Yes. So what you need to do is just forget about, 3 0. besides that Judge may have been real smart, but them you 4 have got to forget whatever the instructions, whatever 5 6 happened in that case, leave that outside, and just render a verdict based upon the instructions that I give you, and 7 8 what you hear in this case, that's all. 9 A. Okay. 10 0. Can you do that? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Can you think of anything that I haven't asked you about that would cause you difficulty in being fair 14 15 and impartial to both sides in a case like this? 16 A. No. 17 Can you withhold forming an opinion about any aspect of this case, or any person having to do with 18 this case until after you have heard all of the evidence? 19 20 A Yes ' 21 Q. And heard the instructions? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Can you do that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Do you think that you have a healthy, Q.

1	neutral perspective towards your jury duty in a case
2	like this?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So that, if you were one of the litigants,
5	you would be comfortable with somebody with your frame of
5	mind being on the jury?
7	A. Definitely.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR FISHER
11	BY MS. LUZAICH:
12	Q. Ms. Fisher, and I'm so sorry, I am going
13	to ask you questions about your daughter just very briefly.
14	Was it a stranger or somebody she knew?
1.5	A. Someone she knew.
16	Q. A family member?
17	A. No.
18	Q. She, when you talked about being in court
19	and not being allowed in, she had to testify?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Two times, like in a probable cause hearing
22	and then again in a trial?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you feel that she was treated well by
25	the State, you know, the police, the D. A.'s, and what

1	not?
2	A. Definitely.
3	Q. Okay.
4	So you don't have any bad feelings towards police,
5	or the State, or anything like that?
6	A. No, I don't.
7	Q. Okay, thanks.
8	Would you consider yourself to be a fair and
9	open-minded individual?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	Q. You have common sense?
12	A. Oh yes.
13	Q. You would bring it into the courtroom.
14	You would not leave it outside?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. Okay.
17	Mr. Landis had asked the last juror about if you
18	had a gut feeling that the Defendant did what he is charged
19	with, but the State had not met its burden, I mean, you
20	understand that is possible. You can just have that
21	gut feeling, but maybe the State doesn't put on enough
22	evidence. That is possible, hopefully not, but it's possible?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. You understand that if that happened, you would have to find the Defendant not quilty?
40 I	WOULD HAVE ED TING THE DETENDENT NOT ON! TEV?

1	A. Right.			
2	Q. Because we have the burden of proof. We			
3	have the only burden of proof.			
4	A. That's right.			
5	Q. But now, on the other hand, if the State			
6	does meet the burden, as far as you are concerned, would			
7	you be able to say, guilty?			
В	A. Yes.			
9 .	Q. Nothing about you that would prevent you			
10	from being able to sit in judgment?			
11	A. Nothing.			
12	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.			
13	Pass for cause, Your Honor.			
14	THE COURT: Mr. Banks?			
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR JUROR			
16	BY MR. BANKS:			
17	Q. Hi, Ms. Fisher.			
18	A. Hi.			
19	Q. Nice to meet you.			
20	A. Nice to meet you.			
21	Q. You had said that you felt that there was			
22	that your daughter, and I guess your family was treated			
23	well through that horrible experience that			
24	A. Yes			
25	Q you all had to go through.			
1				

1	A. Yes.		
2	Q. This case is different than that case in		
3	a lot of ways, in just about every way?		
4	A. All right.		
5	Q. Okay. There may come a time in a case		
6	like this, I mean, these are these are some pretty		
7	serious accusations.		
8	Let me ask you, how did you feel when you heard		
9	sexual assault?		
10	A. Well, my first thought was, was it a boy,		
11	a girl, a woman, you know.		
12	Q. Okay.		
13	A. I had 15 questions.		
14	Q. Okay.		
15	A. That's all I thought.		
16	Q. Okay. So I guess in your mind, when		
17	you hear that, there is a lot of opens up a lot of		
18	avenues?		
19	A. Right.		
20	Q. Or questions that may pop into your mind,		
21	questions that may need to be asked, experiences, or		
22	whatever.		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. In a case like this, there may be some		
25	really tough questions that need to be asked of some of		

1	these witnesses, and we heard a good portion yesterday,		
2	and we heard a little bit today from, from these two		
3	prosecutors about expectations of an accuser, and do		
4	you expect them to act in a certain way, and this sort		
5	of thing, and I don't think anybody realistically expects		
6	a certain personnel.		
7	A. Everybody is different.		
8	Q. Right, okay. No black and white way to		
9	react		
10	A. Right.		
11	Q to some kind of a situation where there		
12	are some questions, shades of gray maybe.		
13	A. Yes, everyone is different.		
14	Q. Okay.		
15	A. And everybody is an individual. So you		
16	can't really put a box around that, I mean, someone is		
17	going to ask.		
18	Q. Okay. ·		
L9	A. I just have to listen to them.		
20	Q. Okay. If I if Mr. Landis or I have to		
21	ask some of those tough questions that are, you know, bottom		
2	line, that are necessary in cases like this, are you going		
23	to hold that against my, my client, Mr. Wesley here?		
4	A. No.		
5	Q. Okay. You understand that that's part of the		

job? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 At this table, to ask the tough questions? Q, 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Okay. 6 Sometimes the tough question gets a reaction out of a witness, and sometimes it's a reaction that you don't 7 expect. Are you -- are you going to hold that against 8 either side? 9 10 A. No. Okay. It depends on the circumstances? 11 0. 12 A. Yes. 13 0. Would you agree that stressful and traumatic situations might cause somebody to react maybe in a fashion 14 that if it wasn't so stressful or traumatic? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Okay. Everybody is different? 18 A. Yes. 19 0. And I appreciate your answers today. 20 But there is one thing that I wouldn't be doing my job if I didn't follow up on this a little bit because 21 22 that is up there, what happened in your family is up there with, you know, one of the worst things that can happen, 23 and, and it's not to pry, or to anything like that, but 24 again, I wouldn't be doing my job if I didn't ask, because 25

the Judge asked if you would be able to set that aside, 1 and I appreciate your answer that you have set it aside 2 3 long ago and moved on. But, and again, I have got to ask this because 4 sometimes -- sometimes folks in your situation may get the 5 impression that some of us here are looking for certain 6 answers, be it the Court, be it me or Landis, be it the 7 government table, and I just want to ask you again: B 9 This is -- you are not -- you are not giving that 10 answer because you think that's what the Court or somebody in this room might want to hear? 11 12 A. No, no, I would not. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. I wouldn't think that. 15 0. Okay. 16 I live myself with the guidance, you see --A, 17 That's the answer. You are probably right 0. 18 about that. 19 Thank you so much for your answers. 20 Judge, I will pass for cause. 21 THE COURT: Very good. 22 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DUNMORE BY THE COURT: 23 24 All right. It's Nichole Dunmore. Q. 25 A. Yes.

- 1			
1	Q. Nich	nole, how long have you been in Las	
2	Vegas?		
3	A. I'm	a native.	
4	Q. A na	ative, perfect. What do you do for a	
5	living?		
6	A. Food	service.	
7	Q. I'm	sorry?	
8	A. Food	service.	
9	Q. Okay	. And where do you work?	
10	A. Bell	agio.	
11	Q. Bell	agio. Are you married?	
12	A. Yes.		
13	Q. Do y	ou have any children?	
14	A. Yes.		
15	Q. How	old?	
16	A. Two.		
17	Q. Okay	, too young to be working. Have you	
18	ever been trained in law enforcement?		
19	A. Yeah	, Metro.	
20	Q. In w	hat capacity?	
21	A. Well	, I got to blue cap as a prison guard.	
22	Q. And	then you voluntarily decided that that	
23	wasn't for you?		
24	A. Righ	t.	
25	Q. How	long ago was that?	
4	<u> </u>		

1	A. Like two years ago.
2	Q. Two years ago. Anybody close to you in
3	law enforcement?
4	A. There were some people that have been there,
5	but not many.
6	Q. So there is nothing well, let me rephrase
7	that. Is there anything about your experience in training
8	that would cause you difficulty being on a jury and listening
9	to the testimony of police officer witnesses?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Would you be able to be treat their testimony
12	the same as any non police officer witness?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. You wouldn't give their testimony any greater
15	or lesser weight than if a non police officer was a witness?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Okay. How long were you actually in the
18	program?
19	A. For almost six months,
20	Q. Six months?
21	A. Yeah. Well, with the training, and everything.
22	Q. All right, we got it.
23	Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
24	victim of or charged with any kind of a sexually related
25	offense?

1	
1	A. No, not that I know of.
2	Q. Have you or anybody close to you
3	A. Not that I know of.
4	Q. I'm sorry.
5	A. Not that I know of.
6	Q. Just nobody that you know of?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. All right. Have you or anybody close to
9	you ever been charged with or convicted of just any kind
10	of a crime?
11	A. I have had a family members who has committed
12	crimes or
13	Q. Was charged with crimes?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Who, and what kind of crime?
16	A. My cousins.
17	Q. You have got cousins?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What type of crimes were they charged with?
20	A. Like getting into a business like that.
21	They did some things that, you know, you can't
22	Q. So you really don't you didn't too close
23	of attention of what was going on?
24	A. Yes. I didn't pay any attention.
25	Q. So is there anything about the fact that
l.	

you have some cousins that were -- now, these people, are 1 you talking about more than one cousin or just one cousin? 2 3 A couple of them. 4 A couple of cousins that were charged with Q. 5 criminal conduct? 6 A. Yes. 7 But you don't really know what --Q. 8 A. They went to jail for it so --9 0. They did? 10 A. Yeah. 11 Q. Okay. Did you -- you weren't paying too close attention to what was going on at the time? 12 13 Α. No, I wasn't. 14 0. Is there anything about the fact that you have cousins that were charged with crimes that would cause 15 you difficulty in being on a jury in a criminal case like 16 17 this? 18 A. No. 19 Do you still believe you can be on a jury in a case like this and be fair and impartial to both the 20 21 State and the Defense? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a jury before? 24 Α. But I want to do it now. I am being No. 25 honest.

1	and they were getting too personal, so that's why I decided
2	to quit.
3	Q. Okay. So that's when you made your decision
4	to leave?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. How, other than the polygraph portion of
7	the academy, and that decision to leave, how did you feel
8	about the job other than that?
9	A. It was interesting. It was cool, I just
10	I'm a personal person, and I don't like if they are too
11	nosy.
12	Q. Yeah, that whole law enforcement thing,
13	they are nosy, you know, they're nosy.
14	A. Yeah.
15	Q. Yeah, we are. Why don't you want to be
16	here?
17	A. I just don't feel comfortable doing it.
18	Q. Okay. What makes you uncomfortable about
19	it?
20	A. Well, just, you know, just the whole thing.
21	I don't what, you know, I don't know this man, and I don't
22	know, I wasn't there, and he did say something happened,
23	and you have witnesses that come to cry their way into
24	something, or something like that so I just don't feel
25	comfortable about the whole thing.

1	Q. What do you mean, a witness come to cry there
2	into doing this.
3	A. I am saying, when they were saying, something
4	about the rape, and all this other stuff, I just don't feel
5	comfortable with the whole thing.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. I just don't.
8	Q. When you were trying to be a Metro officer,
9	you were training to determine, you know, take information
10	from somebody and make a decision. That's something that
11	they were going to call upon you to do, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. If you were going to be a patrol officer,
14	a victim would be reporting an incident, and you would
15	have to take that information and assimulate it and make
16	a decision, right?
17	A. Right,
18	Q. Okay. So you weren't comfortable with it
19	then either?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay. Do you think that you can be fair in
22	this case?
23	A. Yeah, I'm a fair person. I am being honest.
24	Q. No, I mean
25	A. I am a-fair person, but this is just something,

1	you know, I don't like it.
2	Q. Okay. When your cousins got in trouble, were
3	they adults, or juveniles?
4	A. They were adults, I think they were around
5	18 years old.
6	Q. Did they go to jail or did anything to prison?
7	A. One went to prison.
8	Q. Did that happen here in Las Vegas?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay.
11	So that would have been here handled by this District
12	Attorney's office?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Anything you said you didn't know that
15	much about it, but just from what you do know about it,
16	anything about the way that that was handled, would it
17	cause you any angst against the prosecutor or police?
18	You told me you want to be honest so
19	A. I am being honest, but I am trying to say
20	it, you know, in a rightful manner.
21	Q. Well, say it however you want. Just say
22	it.
23	A. Like one of my cousins, he was on the news,
24	his face was on the news.
25	Q. Okay.
4	

1	A. For robbery.
2	Q. For Robin?
3	A. No, robbery.
4	Q. For robbery?
5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. And that was the first time he had ever did
8	it in his entire life. Never, I mean, from the time he was
9	arrested, I just didn't agree with that part, and with the
10	idea. There were other cases and
11	O. Well, and then
12	A. I am a fair person.
13	Q. Okay. Then again, I guess. my question to
14	you is:
15	If you felt the resolution of him committing
16	robberies, and his coming into the system, and coming through
17	this District Attorney's office was less than fair, are
18	you going to hold that against the State?
19	A. No, no; I wouldn't do that. That was a
20	different situation.
21	Q. Okay. What about the other cousin?
22	A. He was, you know, involved with Metro police
23	cars.
24	I don't know too much about that.
25	MS. KOLLINS: Pass for cause, Judge.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Landis, are you up?
2	MR. LANDIS: That's what they tell me.
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DUNMORE
4	BY MR. LANDIS:
5	Q. Where exactly do you work in the Bellagio?
6	A. Cafe Bellagio.
7	Q. Do you ever go to that place that's in the
8	front, that piano bar?
9	A. No, I don't think so.
10	Q. Oh, maybe the best drink in the world. Maybe
11	you should try it sometime.
12	About your cousin, and I am not prying, and I
13	don't mean to pry, you say he was on the news, or his face
14	was on the news.
15	What stage in the proceedings was that?
16	Was it after he was sentenced?
17	Was it as soon as he was arrested?
18	Was it when they were looking for him, if you
19	know?
20	A. I guess it was when they were looking for
21	him, and he was arrested.
22	Q. Did you hear any reactions from people,
23	family members, anybody, when you saw his face on the
24	news?
25	A. I don't, I mean, not really, because I don't

and they followed the customer in there, and then stole a 1 2 few candy bars. 3 MS. LUZAICH: You know, I'm sorry, Judge. These 4 are improper questions. 5 MR. LANDIS: Can we approach? 6 THE COURT: Yes, come here. 7 (Whereupon, a brief, informal discussion was had at the bench between the Court and counsel.) 8 9 BY MR. LANDIS: 10 You said, you don't want to be here, and we have heard this phrase a few times over the past couple 11 days, and I am not asking you this question but we have 12 13 heard this question: Do you feel comfortable standing in the judgment 14 15 of others or judging others? 16 Α. No, I don't, I really don't. 17 I think that's understandable, and I don't Ο. know if I would either. But that's kind of how we do 18 our business here in America. You would agree with that, 19 20 right? 21 I know that. Α. 22 0. Do you think that's a good way to do it? 23 Yeah, if that's what you want to do. I just Α. don't agree with the questioning. I went myself through 24 25 that, you know. I am doing it because you have to.

1	Q. Are you saying it's a good system but you're
2	just not the person to do it?
3	A. Right, it's fine, a little bit of
4	Q. Oh, a lot excuse me, I'm sorry to
5	interrupt you. A lot of other countries do it where it's
6	just the judge. There is no jury involved. Do you think
7	that's a better system?
8	A. Like I said, I mean, if that's the way you
9	guys do it, that's the way you do it. I'm just not going
10	to do it.
11	MR. LANDIS: I understand. Thank you for your
12	honesty.
13	I will pass, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR FRANKLIN
16	BY THE COURT:
17	Q. And then we have Robert Franklin, is that
18	correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Robert, how long have you been in Las Vegas?
21	A. Since 1978.
22	Q. Quite a while.
23	A. 30 years.
24	Q. What do you do for a living?
25	A. Public works inspector.
1	

- 11		
1	Q.	For who?
2	A.	For the City of Henderson.
3	Q.	Do you know any of the policemen out there?
4	A.	No, just, you know, sitting here today, where
5	we fill up ou	or gas, and coming and going. I don't know any
6	of them.	
7	Q.	Any possibility of being on a jury where there
В	is going to h	be some police officers from Henderson testifying
9	that would ca	nuse you problems?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	Okay. How long have you worked for the City
12	of Kenderson?	
13	A.	Since 1988.
14	Q.	Okay. And you do what kind of inspection
15	you say?	
16	A.	Public works inspector.
17	Q.	Oh, okay, okay. Are you married?
18	A .	Yes.
19	Q.	Does your wife work?
20	Α.	Not now, sir. She is at home.
21	Q.	What did she do?
22	Α.	She was in the dental business.
23	Q.	Okay. Grown children?
24	A,	Pardon?
25	Q.	Do you have grown children?
IT		
		001749

1	A. Yes, three grown children.
2	Q. What do they do for a living?
3	A. My oldest is at home out of work with one
4	granddaughter, and the other two boys work here in town.
5	Q. In what capacity?
6	A. One is a server at Bellagio, and one works
7	at Whole Foods.
8	Q. Okay. Nobody involved in law enforcement?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Okay. Have you ever been, you, or anybody
11	close to you ever been trained in any kind of law enforcement
12	activities?
13	A. No.
14	Q. No one employed?
15	A. Pardon?
16	Q. Nobody that is close to you have been employed
17	in law enforcement?
18	A. The father of my granddaughter is a Metro
19	police officer.
20	Q. Okay. How long has he been on the department?
21	A. I am going to guess at maybe several years,
22	plus or minus. I'm not sure, to tell you the truth.
23	Q. So this is your
24	A. The father of my granddaughter.
25	Q. Granddaughter, okay. Do you talk to him
Ú	

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And what were you employed as in San Francisco
3	before you came to Las Vegas?
4	A. I was working for Wells Fargo Financial as
5	the marketing manager in corporate.
6	Q. It indicates on here, it looks like you
7	have college education, or some college. Where did you
8	go to school?
9	A. San Pedro State.
10	Q. Did you receive your degree there?
11	A. Yes,
12	Q. What did you study there?
13	A. Psychology and negotiations, liberal arts
14	degree.
15	Q. Did you hear ask me ask Mr. Daley some
16	questions earlier about having expectations of a victim,
17	did you hear me asking those questions or
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any like preconceived idea of
20	what a victim should sound like on the stand?
21	A. Yes, I would think so because I have watched
22	a lot of movies and TV.
23	Q. Do you realize this is real life and not
24	movies?
25	A. This is real life.
J	

1	judgment of another?
2	A. In judgment of another?
3	I try not to judge, but if, of course, I have to
4	hear all if I need to, then I will have to.
5	Q. I guess that was a bad question, and my
5	apologies.
7	If called calls on to listen to the evidence in
8	this case and to sit through the few days of this trial,
9	and go back with, you know, your peers, and come to a
10	decision, can you do that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. If you feel that the State has proved their
13	case beyond a reasonable doubt, do you have any problems
14	with returning a verdict of guilty against the Defendant
15	for the crimes with which he is charged?
16	A. Not at all.
17	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you. Pass for cause.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Landis?
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR BELLA
20	BY MR. LANDIS:
21	Q. Good afternoon?
22	A. HI.
23	Q. A few times today, drinking and driving,
24	or driving under the influence has been mentioned, and
25	would you agree that in the past 10, 20 years, we have

kind of started taking that more seriously? ļ 2 Α. Yes. I have heard stories of how, you know, 3 in the early '80's, late '70's, almost impossible to 4 5 get arrested for it. A. Yes. 7 Now, it's kind of the opposite where I Q. think every time you get pulled over, you might get 8 9 arrested. 10 Let me give you some scenarios. 11 Let's say we are friends, I come up to your house to pick you up, we are going to go out for the 12 night, and you have realized that I have had some 13 14 drinks, and you get in the car with me. 15 Do you think you somehow should be responsible 16 if I then get pulled over. 17 MS. LUZAICH: Objection. We talked about that. 18 MR. LANDIS: Could we approach? 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 (Whereupon, a brief, informal discussion was 21 had at the bench between the Court and counsel.) 22 BY MR. LANDIS: 23 Q. I will be withdrawing that scenario. 24 Okay, fine. A. 25 Q. You said you spent some time in San Francisco,

1	right?
2	A. Yes, that's where I grew up.
3	Q. You have got some longtime friends from
4	there?
5	A. Oh, yes, family.
6	Q. Do you still spend some time with those
7	people from time to time?
8	A. I was up there last week.
9	Q. Okay.
10	And I am guessing you are like me, and when
11	you get together with old friends, the whole family, you
12	talk about old stories, things that happened in the past,
13	right? .
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And when, you know, you go over those
16	stories, a lot of times some people remember this, some
17	people remember that, and it kind of becomes a group
18	story?
19	A. Uh-huh,
20	Q. Would you agree with that?
21	A. Yes,
22	Q. Do you think those stories get more and
23	more accurate as time goes on, or do you think they
24	almost become a hybrid of what really happened?
25	A. Sometimes. Some people have better
	C 22 C 25

memory or recollection than others. I think that would be appropriate.

Okay. I think what I am getting at is:

 As you go back and think about, or something, that a bunch of your friends experienced, and you experienced 10, 20 years ago, and you've went over this story every time you see each other because it was a really great event or a really bad event, do you think the story over time is very accurate to what really occurred, or do you think it really becomes a creature of you guys telling the story over and over again?

- A. I wouldn't know. The situation is the same. Some people have a better memory than others.
- Q. Do you think if you were talking about something that happened maybe 20 years ago, and then you actually kind of take a time machine and go back there to what did actually happen, do you think it would be pretty accurate as you guys remembered it and as you told the stories over the years?
 - A. With some modifications, yes, but --
 - Okay, that's okay.

Di you, when you are telling those stories, when you guys are talking about things that happened in the past, do you think you kind of adopt as part of your memory things that other people remember, things that

1	other people say they remembered about what did actually
2	occur?
3	A. Yes, but my personal experience, obviously,
4	would be more explicit.
5	Q. Okay, okay. I will give you my favorite
6	jury question, and you don't get a pass;
7	Which one is worse, the innocent person getting
8	convicted or the guilty person not getting off?
9	A. Well, out of my heart, it would be the
10	innocent person getting convicted.
11	MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Miss Bella. Pass for
12	cause.
13	THE COURT: Very good.
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DESCHAINE
15	BY THE COURT:
16	Q. Debbie, is it Deschaine?
17	A. That's right.
18	Q. Debbie, how long have you been in Las
19	Vegas?
20	A. I was born out at Nellis.
21	Q. Really, my word. A native.
22	Have you lived here all of your life?
23	A. No, I have lived part of the time here,
24	and part in California.
25	Q. Okay. Are you employed?
- 1	

	₩
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What do you do for a living?
3	A. I'm an executive assistant.
4	Q. Okay. For, in the hotel industry or
5	A. Yes, the vice chairman of Harrah's
6	Entertainment.
7	Q. Okay. Are you married?
8	A. I'm divorced.
9	Q. Grown children?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What do they do for a living?
12	A. My daughter lives in California, works at
13	a winery, and my son lives here, and he is in construction.
14	Q. Okay. Have you or anybody close to you
15	ever been the victims of or accused of any kind of a
16	sexually related crime?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Have you or anybody close to you ever been
19	the victim of or accused of any kind of a crime?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What would that be?
22	A. My son, when he was younger, he was convicted,
23	and he actually served three years at Indian Springs.
24	Q. So that all occurred right here in Las Vegas?
25	A. Yes.
H	

1	Q. How many years ago was that?
2	A. He was 18. He is 29 now.
3	Q. Was there anything I assume that you
4	followed what was going on very closely?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Was there anything about that whole process
7	as it unfolded and occurred that you felt was unfair to
8	your son?
9	A. I will say I have had some negative experiences
10	with law enforcement.
11	Q. You mean related to that?
12	A. Uh-huh.
13	Q. To that incident?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And that whole little scenario?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. That was how many years ago did you say,
18	eight?
19	A. He was 18 at the time.
20	Q. Okay. So, is there anything about can
21	we ask this:
22	You realize that that was then?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. It was those people that were involved in
25	that matter.
1	

Is there anything about that experience that would cause you difficulty, difficulty from the point that it might be impossible for you to be able to sit on a jury in a case like this and be fair and impartial to both sides of this case?

- A. I think I could be fair.
- Q. Can you set aside that whole experience, leave it outside in the hallway, sit here and listen to the testimony, and the evidence that's presented, and not let anything that happened back then interfere with your process about evaluating the witnesses, and the testimony, and be able to be fair and impartial.

Can you still do that, do you think?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Nothing about the experiences with law enforcement, if you hear the testimony of a police officer as a witness, can you treat his testimony just like any other witness that wasn't a police officer?

Can you not give it any lesser weight or not give it any greater weight, just because he is a police officer?

Can you do that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.

If you were, the prosecution, which you said you

1	Q. Okay. How long ago was this?
2	A. 10 years.
3	Q. Okay. Obviously here in Clark County?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Okay. Well, when you lived in California,
6	where did you live?
7	A. Orange County.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything, questions?
9	MS. LUZAICH: Oh yes.
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DESCHAINE
11	BY MS. LUZAICH:
12	Q. Ma'am, when you said that you were excused
13	because of that incident, did you tell them that you could
14	be fair?
15	A. He didn't ask so
16	Q. Do you know, and probably this is over your
17	head, but there is a difference between a challenge for
18	cause and a peremptory challenge, I mean, do you know, did
19	they excuse you for cause before?
20	A. I don't remember.
21	Q. Okay. How long ago was that?
22	A. About 10 years.
23	Q. Oh, so it was right around the time of
24	was your jury service where you were excused around the
25	same time of your son's incident?

1	A. No, it was after.
2	Q. Okay. 'What was he convicted of?
3	A. He and another boy were in a car, and he
4	the driver, the other boy shot at another vehicle. They
5	were it was gang related with that.
6	Q. Was anybody hurt as a result of it?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did your son go to trial or did he enter
9	a plea?
10	A. He entered a plea, he got three years.
11	Q. Did the other kid also?
12	A. I don't know what happened to him.
13	Q. Was it a friend of his?
14	A. I guess.
15	Q. Okay. When your son was part of that
16	incident, the agency that investigated, was it Henderson
17	Police or Metro?
18	A. It was probably Metro.
19	Q. So it didn't happen
20	A. It happened on the freeway.
21	Q. Or potentially even NHP.
22	A. Uh-huh.
23	Q. All right. Well, in this case, there
24	aren't going to be any Metro officers, there aren't going
25	to be any NHP officers, it's just going to be Henderson
ı,	

1 Police.

Do you think that deep down inside, you might just kind of hold that against any of the officers that come in here?

- A. No.
- Q. Because he was prosecuted, somebody from the D. A.'s office would have handled it. That could have been us. I doubt it was, but do you think that deep down inside, you just might feel that you would have to hold anything like that against us or the State of Nevada?
 - A. No.
- Q. All right. And I have to ask these questions, you understand that?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Do you think that you might feel sorry for the Defendant because he is about the same age that your son was at the time your son went through the incident, that you may feel some sort of --
 - A. No.
 - Q. No connection with the Defendant?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And, really, all we want to do is make sure that everybody who sits on the jury and hears the testimony is fair and impartial because, you know, the people that are coming in to testify deserve that.

1	A. Yes.
2	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
3	Pass for cause, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Banks?
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DESCHAINE
6	BY MR. BANKS:
7	Q. Ms. Deschaine?
8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Q. If you picture in your mind, rolling, green
10	hills, and the kind of faint sound of the ocean, and as
11	the night turns into the morning, kind of a fog roles off
12	of that ocean onto that kind of on that green hill, and
13	the grapes on those green hills are just perfect, and they
14	get that is that kind of like the is that kind of
15	the winery that your daughter works at, or something like
16	that?
17	A. It seems immaculate so it's not like Napa,
18	no.
19	Q. So it's kind of like outside here?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. Okay, I am just I am just teasing you a
22	little. What did you think when you heard sexual assault?
23	A. That it was serious.
24	Q. Okay. I'm sure everybody agrees with you.
25	Anything beyond that, I mean, did it hit you on

1	a gut level, make you think one thing or another?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Not really?
4	A. No.
s	Q. What about the other charges, like the
6	robberies, and that stuff, is that how did that make
7	you feel?
8	A. It was serious.
9	Q. Do you think that people should be held
10	responsible for their actions?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And nothing more?
13	A. What do you mean by nothing more?
14	A. Well, I'm not
15	MS. LUZAICH: Objection.
16	BY MR. BANKS:
17	Q. More than your actions.
18	THE COURT: It's all right. Go ahead.
19	BY MR. BANKS:
20	Q. Do you think you should be held for more
21	than your actions?
22	A. Well, that, I don't understand what you
23	mean by that.
24	Q. Should you be held responsible above and
25	beyond your actions?
11	

1	A. In some cases, probably.
2	Q. In the right cases?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And circumstances differ, would you
5	agree with that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And recounting of an incident can
8	be affected by circumstances, would you agree with that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then I suppose it's common sense, how
11	we react to situations depends on the circumstances, fair?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I am going to use Mr. Landis's question,
14	and I am going to ask you:
15	How do you feel? Do you think it's more of a
16	tragedy when someone who is innocent gets convicted?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Why?
19	A. Absolutely.
20	Q. And why?
21	A. I don't know.
22	I would put that myself in that place of
23	that person, and I would just agree that you you have
24	to give the person the benefit of a doubt.
25	MR. BANKS: I think that's all I have.

1	Thank you.
2	Pass for cause, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR ORTA
5	BY THE COURT:
6	Q. Is it Gary Orta?
7	A. Yes, Your Honor.
В	Q. Gary, how long have you been in Las Vegas?
9	A. 40 years.
10	Q. What do you for a living?
11	A. Sir?
12	Q. What do you for a living?
13	A. Rural mail carrier, USPS.
14	Q. So you work for the Post Office?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. How long have you done that?
17	A. 12 years.
18	Q. Are you married?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Does your wife work?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. What does she do?
23	A. Nurse.
24	Q. With one of the hospitals?
25	A. Yeah, North Vista.

1	
1	Q. Have you got grown children?
2	A. One daughter.
3	Q. How old is she?
4	A. 16.
5	Q. So she is not working?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Okay.
8	Have you or anyone close to you each been the
9	victim of, or accused of a sexually related offense?
10	A. No, Your Honor.
11	Q. Have you or anybody close to you ever
12	been the victim of or accused of any kind of a criminal
13	offense?
14	A. Not that I know of.
15	Q. Have you ever been trained in any kind of
16	law enforcement?
17	A. No, Your Honor.
18	Q. Have you ever been employed anybody close
19	to you ever been trained or employed in law enforcement?
20	A, No.
21	Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a jury before?
22	A. Yes, Your Honor, three years ago.
23	Q. Three years ago?
24	A. I think it was three,
25	Q. Here in Clark County?
Ш	

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Was it a criminal or a civil case?
3	A. Civil case.
4	Q. Civil case?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you were actually on the jury?
7	A. I think I was a backup.
8	Q. Okay. So did you were you part of the
9	deliberations or not?
10	A. It never got to that point.
11	Q. Okay.
12	Did something happen the case got resolved before
13	then?
14	A. Yes, it ended up in a mistrial.
15	Q. Oh, okay. So, you didn't actually deliberate
16	on that case?
17	A. No, Your Honor.
18	Q. So you had a mistrial before you got a chance
19	to deliberate?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. Anything about that experience of
22	being on that jury that would cause you any kind of
23	difficulty being on this kind of a jury in this criminal
24	case?
25	A. No, Your Honor.
- 4	

0.

If you heard some teenagers on the witness

001671

And you are not going to let the fact

that you have a 16 year old daughter interfere with that

Q.

24

25

1	process?				
2	A. No, Your Honor.				
3	Q. Okay, all right.				
4	Can you think of anything that I haven't asked				
5	you about that would cause you difficulty in sitting as a				
6	juror in a case like this?				
7	A. No, Your Honor.				
8	Q. Okay.				
9	Would you be comfortable as the prosecutor or				
10	the Defendant in this case, if you were sitting over here				
11	with somebody that has your frame of mind being on the				
12	jury?				
13	A. Yes. Your Honor.				
14	THE COURT: Good. Go ahead.				
15	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Judge.				
16	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR ORTA				
17	BY MS. KOLLINS:				
18	Q. Mr. Orta, are you aware of what caused the				
19	mistrial in the previous case?				
20	A. Yes.				
21	Q. What was it, do you know?				
22	A. We had the lady was suing the company.				
23	She passed out.				
24	Q. Oh, in front of the jury?				
25	 And before the break, after four weeks, 				

and on her way out to the parking lot she passed out, and 1 2 they asked -- the defense asked for a new trial. Your wife is a nurse. What kind of nursing 3 4 does she practice? 5 A. Medical surgical. If you know, does she have any involvement ٥. 7 with sexual assault examinations? 8 A. No. 9 Ο. Do you discuss her job with her on a regular 10 basis? 11 Α. Yes. And if you heard a nurse testify in here you 12 realize you couldn't go home and get your wife's input on 13 whatever she had to say in here. 14 You have to just save your opinions on that 15 16 testimony for deliberations? 17 Yes. A 18 Do you think a victim should act one way or Q. 19 another? 20 Do you have any expectations of how someone who has been the victim of a crime should come in here and 21 22 apeak to you? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. Do you think everybody can have a different personalities when they are speaking in public? 25

1	A. Yes.			
2	Q. Mr. Landis asked some questions earlier			
3	about how you might adopt someone else's version of an			
4	event over time.			
5	A. Yes.			
6	Q. You know, everybody would kind of come			
7	to a consensus.			
8	A. Yes.			
9	Q. Do you think that everyone, if four people			
10	or five people witnessed this same thing, do you think			
11	they would describe it identically?			
12	A. No.			
13	Q. Based on things like their ability to			
14	articulate, their memory, all different kinds of factors			
15	like that?			
16	A. Yes.			
17	Q. Anything that would preclude you from			
18	sitting in judgment of another?			
19	A. No.			
20	Q. If you believe that the State has proved			
21	its case beyond a reasonable doubt against the Defendant,			
22	can you return a verdict of guilty?			
23	A. Yes.			
24	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.			
25	Pass for cause, Judge.			

1	THE COURT: Mr. Landis?				
2	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR ORTA				
3	BY MR. LANDIS:				
4	Q. I heard you say you worked with the USPS.				
5	I had a hearing problem. Did you say you were a royal				
6	post officer?				
7	A. Rural.				
8	Q. Oh, rural.				
9	A. Yes, Post Office, rural.				
10	Q. Okay. I was excited to find out what that				
11	was. What's your route?				
12	A. I work in Henderson.				
13	Q. Okay. Where exactly?				
14	A. By Gibson and Rutledge.				
15	Q. That's my area. I am guessing as a postal				
16	officer, it's all about the route, right?				
17	The better the route, the better the job?				
18	A. I think they are all the same now.				
19	Q. They are?				
20	A. Yes.				
21	Q. Do you mind working in Pahrump?				
22	A. Oh yes.				
23	Q. Okay.				
24	As soon as after you and everyone else walked				
25	in here, maybe before the Judge even said it, you realized				

1	that it's a criminal trial?
2	A. Yes,
3	Q. And you realized that Narcus here is a
4	criminal Defendant?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What's the first thing that pops in your
7	head when you come to that realization?
8	A. Nothing really.
9	Q. No?
10	A. Why?
11	Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, before you came in
12	the door, you didn't know if this was a criminal or a civil
13	trial?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Were you hoping for one or the other?
16	A. No, I was in a jury pool for a lot of times.
17	I am already here today to show up.
19	Q. Not as lucky as some other people, okay.
19	A. I got the picture.
20	Q. On that last jury you talked about, where
21	the lady passed out, or you did say passed out, or whatever
22	it was. I think you did say passed out.
23	Were you happy or disappointed that that mistrial
24	was declared?
25	A. It was okay. I wasn't happy, but I wasn't

1	Q. You might be the only one. They will probably					
2	be calling you for jury service on that case.					
3	I will tell you, I always ask my favorite question.					
4	I give one person a pass.					
5	The second person I always ask my favorite question,					
6	and try not to give them a pass.					
7	The third person I tend to ask it, and then after					
8	they answer it, I surprise them with a why.					
9	Somebody stole my thunder today so I won't ask you					
10	my final question.					
11	Thank you for your time.					
12	Pass for cause, Judge.					
13	THE COURT: Very good.					
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR LORETTO					
15	BY THE COURT:					
16	Q. Maryjo Loretto, is that correct?					
17	A. Yes.					
18	Q. Mary					
19	A. It's Maryjo.					
20	Q. Maryjo, do you go by Jo or -+					
21	A. Do you go by Joe or?					
22	A. I go by Jo.					
23	Q. Jo, okay. All right, Jo. How long have					
24	you been in Las Vegas?					
25	A. 36 years.					
L						

3	
1	Q. Good for you. Do you work?
2	A. Yes. ,
3	Q. What do you do?
4	A. I am a third level tech. I work for a
5	company that resells software and hardware around the
6	world, and I take care of other property.
7	Q. So you are in an I T expert?
8	A. I don't know if I'm an expert but I know
9	my jab.
10	Q. Who do you work for?
11	A. Genesis.
12	Q. Okay. Do you actually write software?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. Are you married?
15	A. No
16	Q. Do you have grown people?
17	A. Yes, one daughter.
18	Q. And what does she do?
19	A. She works at Smith's as a bagger.
20	Q. Oh, okay. Have you or anyone close to you
21	ever been the victim of or accused of any kind of a sexually
22	related offense?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Do you or anyone close to you ever been the
25	victim of or accused of a crime?
Ш	

1	A. 1	No.			
2	Q. (Okay. Have you ever had any training in law			
3	enforcement?				
4	A. 1	No.			
5	Q. 1	Have you ever been employed or anybody close			
6	to you ever been	employed in the capacity of law enforcement?			
7	A. 3	es.			
В	Q. 1	ho is that?			
9	A. 1	y youngest nephew is a police officer. I			
10	have a nephew-in-law who is a police officer, and my other				
11	nephew works at the Courthouse.				
12	Q. I	lere? ,			
13	A. 3	'es.			
14	Q. I	colice officers, who do they work for? You			
15	have got two neg	hews?			
16	A. Y	es, a nephew-in-law, and my youngest nephew			
17	are police offic	ers at Metro.			
18	Q, E	oth Metro?			
19	A, Y	es.			
20	Q. A	nd how long have they been on the department?			
21	A. M	y youngest nephew has probably been 14			
22	years, and my ne	phew-in-law is probably two.			
23	Q. T	wo years?			
24	А. Т	wo.			
25	A .0	re you pretty close to these guys?			
į					

Are they -- they are both newphews so that -- are 1 you pretty close to these guys? 2 3 They are pretty close, yes. A. Do you see them and talk to them a lot? 4 0. 5 A. Yes. 6 0. Do you talk to them about their jobs? 7 We do have discussions, yes. A. B Now, do you understand that the police officers that are going to be testifying in this case aren't Metro 9 10 officers. 11 They are from the Henderson Police Department. But the fact that you have got two nephews that 12 13 you are pretty close to that are police officers, would that cause you any difficulty in seeing a police officer 14 from the Henderson Police Department on the witness stand? 15 16 Α. In no way. 17 Would you treat the testimony of a police 0. officer from Henderson any differently than any non police 18 19 officer witness? 20 Α. No. 21 You could look at him and realize that you 0. are going to have to treat him just like any other witness, 22 23 can you do that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Okay. Did I ask you if you have been on a

jury before? 1 2 I was -- I have been called before but I 3 wasn't picked. 4 You never actually got on the jury. You were 5 just in the jury pool? 6 A. Right. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Anything about that experience having been on a jury pool before that would cause you difficulty in sitting 9 10 on a jury in a case like this? 11 A. No. 12 0. Okay. 13 Can you think of anything that I haven't actually touched upon that might bear upon your ability to be fair 14 and impartial to both sides of this case, in this criminal 15 16 case? 17 Α. No. 18 0. Okay. . 19 Do you think that you have a healthy, neutral kind of mindset approach to your duty as a juror in this 20 21 case? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you think that you would be comfortable if you were the Defendant or the prosecution with somebody . 24 being on the jury that has your frame of mind being on the 25

1	jury?				
2	A. Yes.				
3	THE COURT: Okay. Good enough.				
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR LORETTO				
5	BY MS. LUZAICH:				
6	Q. Ms. Loretto, your daughter, how old is she?				
7	A. 17.				
8	Q. Does she work at Smith's while she goes to				
9	school or is she done with school?				
10	A. No, she is still at school. She graduates				
11	this June.				
12	Q. Congratulations. Is she going to go to				
13	college?				
14	A. Well, we are working on that one.				
15	Q. Well, hopefully?				
16	A. Yes.				
17	Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned you have two				
18	nephews in law enforcement, one is two years on, is he				
19	patrol?				
20	A. Yes.				
21	Q. Yes. And your nephew that's 14 years on,				
22	has he done more than patrol? Has he been in other types				
23	of service?				
24	A. Yes, he is applying right now for a sergeant.				
25	 But has he been in the detective bureau, 				

1 work like property crimes, sex crimes, major crimes, 2 anything like that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. Do you know which? Well, a little bit of everything. He is 5 A. kind of -- he is on TV a lot, too, so, I mean, he is the 6 one that goes out when there is a crime, and stuff, and 7 he gets everything ready for the reports, the reporters. 8 9 Like the PIO, the public information officer? 0. 10 Α. Right. 11 And then you also mentioned that you have 0. a close relative that works in the Courthouse? 12 13 A. Yes. 14 0. Would it be like a bailiff, like Joe is? 15 Α. Yes. 16 0. Do you know for which Judge? 17 A. No. 18 Are you allowed to know that? Q. 19 A. No. 20 Now, you know, of course, that you can't Q. talk to any of these guys about what's going on in here. 21 You can't ask them questions about what they are saying, 22 or anything like that, as hard as it might be, you can't 23 do that until it's done. Once it's done, you can talk 24 25 to whoever you want to.

Is that something that you think that you can 1 2 do or --3 A. Yes. All right. Do you think that different 4 0. people react differently to the same set of circumstances? 5 6 A. Yes. 7 That is not unusual. Q. And different people perceive the same set of 8 9 circumstances differently. 10 Would you agree with that, based on just perception and age, and life experiences, and things like that? 11 12 A. Yes. 13 Would you consider yourself to be the kind 0. of person who really carefully weighs everything you hear 14 or closer to somebody who might, you know, listen and maybe 15 16 jump to a conclusion? 17 I weigh things, I believe. Α. 18 Do you weigh everything? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you will do that here, listen to everything ٥. and not make any decisions until everything is all done 21 22 and submitted to you? 23 A Yes. 24 And if you are serving as a juror, and you Q. are back in the deliberation room, and everybody feels 25

1	one way, but you feel a different way, what will you do?
2	A. I would do it my way, and fight it.
3	Q. All right. Will you listen to what they
4	have to say?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Will you be open to at least the possibility
7	that maybe you had missed something?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And if that were actually shown to you, is
10	it possible that you could change your mind?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. But if you were sure, would you stick to
13	your grounds?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Now, is there anything that would
16	prevent you from being able to sit in judgment of someone?
17	A. No.
18	Q. And if you do serve, and you hear all
19	the testimony, and you see the evidence, if you are
20	convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant
21	committed the crimes he is charged with, would you be
22	able to say, guilty?
23	A. Yes.
24	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. Pass for cause.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Banks?

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR LORETTO			
2	BY MR. BANKS:			
3	Q. How do you do.			
4	A. Good.			
5	Q. Let me ask you:			
6	Did you walk in here today and say to yourself,			
7	oh, my God, they have got another innocent man being accused			
8	of a crime?			
9	A. No.			
10	Q. What did what did you think when you			
11	first walked in?			
12	A. Nothing.			
13	Q. But you didn't think that?			
14	A. No.			
15	Q. Do you think that sometimes do you think			
16	that just because somebody has been arrested, or accused,			
17	that they have necessarily done something wrong?			
18	A. No.			
19	Q. All right. What did you think of when you			
20	heard one charge, that it was a sexual assault charge?			
21	A. That it was bad.			
22	Q. Bad?			
23	A. Yes.			
24	Q. Yeah, it's one of our and like you heard			
25	me say, I'm sure everybody here agrees with that.			
- 31				

1 Anything beyond, wow, that's bad, that it turns your stomach, I mean, anything, any, any other kind of a 2 3 reaction? A. That's it, that's bad. 5 Did you ever talk about sex assault cases 0. with your nephews that maybe if --6 7 Not really, no. A. 8 No? Okay. That's not something that you just sit around and talk about and converse about everyday 9 10 I would imagine. 11 A. No. 12 ٥. Okay. 13 What do you see Mr. Landis's and my job in this whole process, what do you think our job is? 14 15 To prove him innocent. Α. 16 Do you feel that if Mr. Landis and I have 0. not proven him innocent that we wouldn't have done our job 17 18 you realize? 19 A Maybe so. 20 Ο. Okay. And you know, like I said before, there are no 21 22 right or wrong answers here. 23 And just like we have been talking about proceedings, situations, and decisions, and all that kind of stuff, and 24 25 even His Honor talked about we bring our everyday life

1	A. Yes.			
2	Q. Would you consider yourself to be an			
3	open-minded person?			
4	A. Uh-huh.			
5	Q. Are you somebody who hears somebody something			
6	and kind of jumps to conclusions or very precisely weighs			
7	out everything you say?			
8	A. I wouldn't jump to conclusions, no.			
9	Q. If you are, some people do. That's not a			
10	bad thing. I'm just trying to figure out where in the			
11	spectrum you are.			
12	And you also have a daughter, correct?			
13	A. I do.			
14	Q. How old is your daughter?			
15	A. She is 31.			
16	Q. Okay. So not anywhere near the age of			
17	anybody that is going to testify here.			
18	Is there anything about this that would prevent			
19	you from being able to sit in judgment of someone?			
20	A. No.			
21	Q. So if you do sit on this case, and you			
22	are convinced, after you hear all the testimony and seeing			
23	the evidence that you are convinced beyond a reasonable			
24	doubt the Defendant committed the crime he is charged with,			
25	would you be able to say guilty?			

1 (Discussion off the record.) MR. BANKS: Judge, can we approach? 2 3 THE COURT: Sure. (Whereupon, a brief, informal discussion was had at the bench between the Court and counsel.) 5 THE COURT: Okay. Come here while we are here. (Whereupon, another brief, informal discussion was had at the bench between the Court and counsel.) 8 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR LORETTO 9 10 BY THE COURT: (Continuing). 11 Okay. I have got a couple of things 0. to explain to you. 12 13 This applies to everybody, and it's just important, and when we go through this questioning process, sometimes 14 we get off on some philosophical areas, about what you think, 15 how you think about certain aspects of our criminal justice 16 system, and without having had any instruction, it is certainly 17 easy to have a variety of philosphies, but now I am going 18 to give you some instruction on the law on how it actually 19 works, and I want to see if you grasp it, and understand it, 20 21 and can bite into it, okay?. As I said earlier, as Narcus Wesley sits here, 22 he is the Defendant. He has only been accused. He is an 23 24 innocent man.

The whole purpose of this trial is to determine

whether the State can meet their burden of proof of proving that he is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

The Defense has certain privileges, one of which is they don't have to prove anything.

It's the State's obligation to prove the Defendant guilty.

It's not the Defense's obligation to prove the Defendant's innocence.

So at the end of the trial if the State puts on their case, and proves absolutely nothing, the jury will decide whether the State has proven the Defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and not one conclusion can be simulated, or drawn by the fact that the Defense put on not one witness.

That's the way the system works, and that's the way the instruction in some fashion will be explained to you.

Now, do you understand that?

A. Yes.

Q. So when you say in answer to these questions that it is Defense counsel's job is to prove the Defendant innocent, do you realize that in reality, the way it works in the real courtroom, they don't have to prove anything.

They don't have to prove he is innocent.

They don't have to prove one single, solitary

thing.

They can sit there and do nothing but poke holes in the State's case, and that's all they have to do, and that's all that they are required to do.

The fact that they may or may not have proven has absolutely nothing to do with what goes on in the trial itself. They are permitted and allowed to do that, just sit there.

Is that -- do you understand that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that okay with you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I mean, if I tell you that that's the law, and you just say, I don't think that's right, I mean, they have to do something.

Can you follow those instructions and understand them, and abide by them?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So all of that discussion when you first said to Mr. Banks, that, you know, their job was to prove him innocent, you do understand that under our system, they don't have to prove that he's innocent.

They don't have to prove a darn thing. Are you okay with that?

I'm okay with that.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any more questions, Mr. Banks, 2 that you want to ask? 3 I will give you a little leeway. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR BY MR. BANKS: 5 (Continuing) 6 But that is a belief that has evolved in your mind over several years that defense counsel's 7 obligation is to prove their client innocent? 8 9 A. Sure. 10 0. Okay. 11 And sometimes, you know, human nature, I mean, 12 it's easy to say, can you set that aside, but, I mean, if these are things that you've thought about, and values that 13 you held for years, should I be nervous for my client when 14 there is something that you, a thought that you had for 15 many years that you are able to say, you can just set it 16 aside and not consider and not think about it or do you 17 really think that you would be able to do that? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 MR. BANKS: Okay. No further questions. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We are going to take a break here. We are going to take an overnight break here. 22 It's is almost 10 after. So here is what we 23 are going to do, a couple of things. 24 25 First of all, I want everybody to make close

note where you are sitting because, and you know what, 1 2 3 4 5 6 Next door in Department 24. So just as best you can, see who you are sitting 8 next to, and in the morning, Joe will meet you outside the double doors and bring everybody in, and try the best you 9 can to because the jury box over there is completely different than it is here.

because tomorrow, we are not going to come back here. We are going to be next door, and it's going to be a little different, we have got enough jurors off that we have got enough room next door to reconvene in the morning, okay?

Just know where you are sitting, and who you are sitting between. And then Joe will get you all fixed up.

There is one more thing. We have gone through the process, and we are going to do this now, okay?

We have gone through the process, and I have a pretty good handle on the number of people that we have, and the number of people that we are going to need to have.

So I am going to have the following jurors in the pool excused. So when I call your names, just go down to the third floor, and let them know that you were excused off, and they will recycle you in three or four months back onto jury duty:

Frances Franklin, Prisilla Rivera.

THE CLERK: Wait, wait, Judge, I need their number,

24

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	(A)		
1	their bad	ge number.	
2	ļ	THE COURT:	Okay. Frances Franklin is number
3	250.		
4		THE CLERK:	258, right?
5	1	THE COURT;	I think 250.
6		THE CLERK:	Oh, I made a mistake, 258.
7		THE COURT:	258? Okay.
8		THE CLERK:	258.
9		THE COURT:	Priscilla Rivera is 449.
10		THE CLERK:	.449, okay.
11		THE COURT:	Romeo Samblero.
12		THE CLERK:	Number 384.
13		THE COURT:	384. Is it Cameron Sanchez?
14	d.	THE CLERK:	What number, Judge?
15		THE COURT:	372, all right.
16	Ŷ	JUROR SANCH	EZ: Carmen.
17			Carmen, Carmen, Carmen Sanchez.
18		THE CLERK:	We already let her go.
19			Oh, all right.
20		THE CLERK:	She is the one that had child care
21	issues.		
22		THE COURT:	Elisa Scarrone.
23		JUROR SCARRO	ONE. Scarrone.
24		THE COURT:	Scarrone. The number is 389.
25	8	You guys go	down to the third floor. We are going
3	[!

to have enough people, and I appreciate it. 1 2 Thank you very much. 3 JURORS: Thank you, Your Honor. (Above-referred to jurors excused at this time.) 5 THE COURT: All right. Now, as for the rest of us, we will be here tomorrow, but we are getting close. б We are making really very good process. This 7 won't take too much longer. 8 9 We are going to start at 10 o'clock in the morning. I have a calendar that starts at 8:30. I am sure 10 we will be done before ten o'clock. 11 12 So that having been said, when you leave, again, I am going to say this kind of casually, but I will repeat 13 14 this, and please, please pay close attention. Don't discuss this case with anybody, including 15 16 each other. 17 You are going to have family, friends, overnight recess, you are going to tell them that you are on a jury 18 pool, the jury is being picked, and that's it. 19 20 Don't say anything else. 21 Don't discuss the kind of case it is. 22 Don't discuss any of the facts that have been 23 disclosed in this early process. 24 Just leave what's going on, and if anybody says anything, just tell them that the Judge instructed us 25

repeatedly to not say anything to anybody about the case. 1 2 If you do that, we just can eliminate the possibility 3 of having problems. That having been said, you are admonished not to converse amongst yourselves, or with anyone else, on any s subject having to do with this trial, or any, including in 6 person, having had anything to do with this trial. 7 I am going to instruct you not to listen, listen 8 to any reports or commentaries on any aspect of this trial 9 through any medium of information, which includes radio, 10 11 television network, internet. 12 Don't form or express any opinions whatsoever on any aspect of this trial until such time as this case has 13 14 been finally submitted to the jury. That having been said, you are in overnight 15 16 recess. 17 Meet Joe outo in front of the doors tomorrow 18 morning about five till 10. 19 Again, please try to avoid contact with anybody that doesn't have one of those juror badges on. 20 Don't talk to anybody that might be a witness. 21 22 If you have a question, ask Joe. 23 A JUROR: What time? 24 THE COURT: About five till 10. 25 A JUROR: Five to 10 tomorrow morning?

THE COURT: Right. A JUROR: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you all. (Whereupon, a recess was taken until 10:45, Thursday morning, April 10, 2008. At that time, all parties present, the following proceedings were had in open court in the absence of the jury panel:)

1	I get no money. I have to work.
2	THE COURT: That's something that happens to a
3	lot of us.
4	Unfortunately, that's
5	JUROR RIVERA: (Interposing) I have to pay a
6	mortgage for next month.
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
8	JUROR RIVERA: I got to pay my mortgage for
9	next month.
10	THE COURT: It will only be a couple of days.
11	The next couple of days aren't going to make or break
12	anybody.
13	JUROR RIVERA: Did you say five days, Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
15	JUROR RIVERA: Didn't you say five days?
16	THE COURT: Well, not till Tuesday. That's the
17	latest.
18	JUROR RIVERA: That's five days, Your Honor,
19	That's hard.
20	THE COURT: You won't have to work Saturday and
21	Sunday. You will have to add that on.
22	JUROR RIVERA: No, My schedule is Tuesday through
23	Saturday.
24	THE COURT: Tuesday to Saturday.
25	Well, let's see how we are doing here because,
H.	

I mean, those reasons aren't normally good enough,

All right. Now, I am going to explain real quickly the function of a jury, and I am going to ask and make sure that everybody can do what I am explaining to you.

In jury trials, there are actually two judges. There is the jury that acts collectively as the judge of the facts of the case, and there is myself, who is the Judge that presides over the proceeding and instructs the jury on the law of the case.

So I need to make sure that anybody on this jury understands their role as a fact finder, and their responsibility to listen and follow the instructions on the law that the Court gives you.

So I need to know:

Is there anybody who could not follow those instructions, even if though those instructions differ from what you believe the law is or ought to be?

In other words, you have got to follow my instructions on the law even if you don't like them.

Is there anybody that would be unable to do that?

Okay, the answer is no.

There are a few principles of law in criminal cases that I am going to explain to you real quickly, and

I need to understand those principles, and you don't have 1 2 a problem with them. 3 The -- this is an information, right? THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor, second amended. 5 THE COURT: There is -- the information is the document that is used to charge a Defendant with a crime. 6 7 It is simply an accusation. 8 It is not evidence of guilt in any fashion. 9 The Defendant, as he sits here today, is innocent. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether or not 10 the State of Nevada can meet their burden of proof. 11 They must prove that the Defendant is guilty 12 13 beyond a reasonable doubt. As he sits here right now, no evidence has been 14 15 admitted. He is innocent. 16 Is there anyone in this jury pool who does not understand that concept or believe in those legal principles? 17 18 The answer is no. 19 Is there anybody that knows anything at all about 20 this case? 21 No. All right. We are going to start now what is a 22 23 little more pointed voir dire, voir dire, however you want to say it, and I will now start to ask persons here in the 24 25 jury box questions.

1 They will be the same questions. 2 Everybody is going to be asked the same questions. All right. 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR MAGURA BY THE COURT: 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 You are going to be the first one, and I 8 will give you freedom so that you kind of have a little bit of an idea of what I am going to be asking you. 9 10 I am going to ask you how long you have been in 11 Las Vegas, where you work, what kind of training you have, 12 if you are married, what your husband or your wife do, if 13 they work. 14 Do you have children, or do you have adult 15 children? 16 What do they do for a living? 17 Have you ever been trained in law enforcement? 18 I'll ask if you have ever been on a jury. I will ask if you have ever been on a jury. I 19 20 will ask if you have ever been the victim of or accused 21 of a sexual related crime, in fact, I am going to ask you 22 that first. 23 And then we will ask if you have ever been the victim of or charged with a crime in general. 24 25 Is there anything in your background that would

cause you to give the testimony of a police officer more 2 or less weight than anybody else? 3 If you can withhold forming an opinion about any aspect of this case until after you have heard all 4 of the evidence in the case, and one real important 5 question I am going to ask you, the very last question 6 7 will be this: 8 If you were sitting over here as the Defendant 9 or as a prosecutor, would you be comfortable with somebody 10 being on the jury that has your frame of mind, okay? 11 And I am going to ask everybody as we go those 12 same basic questions. 13 So, Molly, before we get into all of that other kind of stuff, have you ever been the victim of or accused 14 15 of a sexual related offense? 16 A. No. 17 0. Okay. How long have you been in Las Vegas? 18 A. Three years. 19 Q. Three or 30? 20 A. Three. 21 Q. Three years. Where did you come from? 22 Α. Ohio. 23 Ohio? 0. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Are you working?

	łi	
1	A.	Yes.
2	٥.	Where do you work?
3	A.	I work for the Clark County School District.
4	I am a teacher	
5	Q.	What about, are you married?
6	A.	No, divorced.
7	Q.	Do you have grown children?
8	Α.	Grown children.
9	Q.	So nobody is employed?
10	Α.	My children?
11	Q.	Yes,
12	Α.	They are all employed.
13	Q.	Oh, good. All right. What do they do?
14	A.	One works for the Department of Justice in
15	D. C.	
16	Q,	What does he do?
17	Α.	He is an antitrust economics counselor.
18	Q,	Not an attorney?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Okay. Who else?
21	Α.	My other son works in Asia. He is a
22	consultant for	computers.
23	My da	aughter is a librarian at Ashville, part of
24	the County, and	my other son is in Vancouver Washington.
25	He's a nurse.	s5
1	8	· ·

	-11	
1	Q.	Well, good for you.
2	Have	you ever had any kind of specialized training
3	in law enforce	ment?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	Anybody close to you?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Can you think of any reason in your background
8	that would make	e it difficult for you to give a police officer's
9	testimony any	greater or lesser weight than anybody else?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	And you would treat him just like any other
12	witness?	
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	No problem?
15	A.	No problem.
16	Q.	Would you have you ever been on a jury
17	before?	
18	Α.	Yes, actually, in the early '80's.
19	Q.	In Ohio?
20	A.	In Ohio.
21	o.	Where in Ohio are you from?
22	A.	Lima. I am not from there, I shouldn't say
23	that.	Ì
24	Q.	Okay.
25	A L.	I got stuck in Ohio for 36 years, sorry.

1	Q. So you were on a jury in the early '80's?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. In Ohio?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What kind of case, was it criminal or in
6	civil court?
7	A. It was criminal but it was
8	Q. Okay. Let me ask the question.
9	A. Okay,
10	Q. So it was a criminal trial?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Were you actually on the jury or were you
13	in like a jury pool?
14	A. No, I was on the jury.
15	Q. You were on the jury. Were you the foreman
16	of the jury?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did without telling us what happened,
19	did that jury deliberate and reach a verdict?
20	A. No, it was settled out of court.
21	Q. Okay, all right.
22	Is there anything about having participated in
23	that jury service that would make it difficult for you to
24	sit on a jury in this case and be fair and impartial to
25	both sides?
- 11	

1	A. No.
2	Q. I need to kind of put whatever the
3	instructions, whatever you remember about it, I need you
4	to kind of forget that.
5	A. Well, it was easy to forget.
6	Q. It was easy to forget?
7	A. Of course.
8	Q. All right. Well, that's good.
9	Let me see here. I think we pretty much got all
10	the good stuff here.
11	Can you withhold forming an opinion about anything
12	having to do with this case until you have heard all the
13	evidence, and I have instructed you on the law?
14	A. I can do that, yes.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you think that you have a nice, neutral
18	kind of frame of mind? You don't have any biases or
19	prejudice towards or against anybody, or anything, that
20	would cause you any different in sitting as a fair and
21	impartial juror to both sides?
22	A. Your Honor, I have no problem with that.
23	I could be fair.
24	Q. As I said, if you were sitting over here
25	as one of the litigants in this case, either for the

II	193
.	
1	prosecution or the defense, would you be comfortable
2	with a juror on the jury that has your frame of mind?
3	A. Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Questions?
5	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR MAGURA
7	BY MS. LUZAICH:
8	Q. Ms. Magura, how are you doing?
9	A. Fine.
10	Q. You said you were divorced. What does the
11	ex do?
12	A. He is retired.
13	Q. What did he retire from?
14	A. He was a university professor.
15	Q. Okay. So nothing to do with he wasn't
16	a police officer, or anything like that?
17	A. No.
18	Q. What did he teach?
19	A. Economics.
20	Q. Okay. And what you are a teacher here
21	in town?
22	A. Yes, I am.
23	Q. What grade do you teach?
24	A. I teach art from grades three through five.
25	Q. Okay.

1 Do you know anybody that had ever been either the victim of or accused of a sex offense? 2 3 Α. No. I don't. 4 Q. Do any of your kids have kids? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Grandkids? How many? A. 7 Five. 8 Q. Okay. If you sit on a case like this, the charges you heard involved robbery, but it also involved 9 10 sexual assault. Well, obviously, a girl is going to come in here 11 and talk about having been sexually assaulted. She is in 12 13 her, you know, mid to late teens. You have a daughter who is older than that, you 14 know, you will be able to put that aside, right? 15 16 A. Yes. 17 0. Okay. If you serve as a juror in this case, you are going to have to evaluate the testimony of all the 18 19 people who come in to testify. 20 Is that something that you feel comfortable doing? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Would you consider yourself to be a fair 23 and impartial person? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you have common sense?

1	A. Hope so.
2	Q. You will bring it into the courtroom with
3	you. You won't leave it outside just because there is a
4	Judge, and a robe, and a flag?
5	A. No, I won't.
6	Q. Knowing what you know about yourself, is
7	there anything that would prevent you from being able to
8	sit in judment of someone?
9	A. No.
10	Q. So, if you listen to all the testimony, see
11	any evidence, if you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt
12	that the Defendant committed the crimes he is charged with,
13	would you be able to say, guilty?
14	A. I would.
15	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. Pass for cause.
16	THE COURT: The Defendant, who is going to go
17	first?
18	Mr. Banks
19	MR. BANKS: Yes, sir.
20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR MAGURA
21	BY MR. BANKS:
22	Q. Ms. Magura?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. How do you do,
25	You said, and you said, and I don't want to
11	

1	misquote you here, but if I heard you, 36 years you were
2	stuck in Ohio?
3	A. I don't know, I don't know how I was but I
4	was in Ohio. I'm sorry.
5	Q. No, I my boss, I just, you know.
6	A. He said that's where we moved from. I like
7	the ocean.
8	Q. Okay. You are not a landlocked kind of
9	person?
10	A. Right.
11	Q. So you came right to Las Vegas, Nevada?
12	A. For a different reason.
13	Q. A different reason, and, I mean, I don't
14	mean to pry.
15	A. No.
16	Q. And there are no right or wrong answers to
17	any of this stuff, everybody, we are just trying to get
18	the most fair jurors for both sides.
19	What what was the situation that you left?
20	Why did you leave Ohio?
21	A. I retired from teaching in Ohio. I came
22	here. I'm a teacher. I thought I could make more money.
23	Q. And you are teaching here?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And how long did you teach in Ohio?

	H
1	A. I spent 21 years in Ohio, three years in
2	Massachusetts, two years in Pennsylvania.
3	Q. What ages did you teach generally?
4	A. I taught elementary.
5	Q. Okay, like one through six?
6	A. A through six except second grade.
7	Q. Okay. And you raised you raised your
8	kids yourself, and so you have had a lot of experience
9	with a lot of different kinds of kids?
10	A. I didn't raise them by myself. They were
11	in college when I worked.
12	Q. Not by yourself.
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. I meant, you yourself have raised children,
15	I'm sorry?
16	A. That's okay.
17	Q. I will be more clear. And you got a lot of
18	experience with a lot of kids over the years?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. A lot of different personalities with
21	those kids?
22	A. 29 years of teaching, yes.
23	Q. Okay. Some behavioral problems with some of
24	those kids?
25	A. That's for sure, some problems with some of

1	the kids.	
2	Q. What about bullying?	
3	A. Bullying?	
4	Q. Did you ever bullying? Was there ever	
5	bullies at school that you know of?	
6	A. There is usually a couple of bullies, yes.	
7	Q. Okay.	
8	A. We have bullies every day in school.	
9	Q. Is that here or is that in Ohio or is that	
10	A. That's here.	
11	Q. Really?	
12	A. Every classroom, yes, bullies in the classroom.	É
13	Q. Oh, wow, I didn't know that.	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. I guess because bullying is becomes so	
16	much of a problem that everybody is raising their hand saying	
17	I won't be a bully.	
18	A. No, it's not so much of a problem. It is just	
19	a problem with some of them.	
20	Q. Okay, okay. The kids that get bullied, not	
21	that they are weaker personalities, but maybe the bully is	
22	able to, I don't know, get them to do things, or they pick	
23	on them in certain ways, or scare them, or	
24	A. Yes, sometimes that happens. They pick on	
25	someone, things like that.	

1		Q.	All right. Have you ever been in a real
2	stressful	situ	ation?
3		A.	Such as?
4		Q.	Where you are really, really scared for you,
5	or for so	nebod	y else?
6		A.	You mean in my family?
7		Q.	Anywhere, just in your lifetime?
8		A.	I suppose I have. I am pretty old. There
9	is stress	out	there.
10		Q.	Yeah.
11		A.	But I don't what you are I don't know
12	exactly wh	nat y	ou mean, I mean, we have everyday stresses.
13	We have st	ress	es.
14		Q.	Okay. Well, let me ask you this.
15		I he	ard you say, I think I heard you say divorce.
16		A.	Yes
17		Q.	Was that kind of a stressful thing for you?
18		A.	Yes, it is.
19		Q.	Okay.
20		Have	you known other folks that have gotten
21	divorced o	ver	the years?
22		A.	Yes.
23		Q.	Okay. And that's a pretty stressful situation,
24	I would in	agin	e?
25		A.	Yes.

1	Q. Is everybody at their best in thinking
2	rationally and clearly in stressful situations like that?
3	A. Some people are, some people aren't.
4	Q. Okay.
5	It just kind of depends on perhaps what you
6	bring to the table, and the severity of the stress in the
7	situation, is that fair?
8	A. That's fair I would think.
9	MR. BANKS: I think that's all I have. Thank
10	you so much.
11	Pass for cause, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Wayne, is it
13	JUROR DALEY: Daley.
14	THE COURT: Daily.
15	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DALEY
16	BY THE COURT:
17	Q. Wayne, first of all, important question,
18	have you ever been THE victim of or accused of any kind
19	of a sexually related offense?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. Anybody close to you?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Okay. How long have you been in Las
24	Vegas?
25	A. Seven and a half years.

1	Q. Are you married?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Do you work?
4	A. Yes, I do for the Coast Casinos Bowling
5	Centers.
	Centers
6	Q. What about your wife? Does she work?
7	A. She is disabled.
8	Q. Okay. Do you have grown children?
9	A. No children at all. I have a stepson.
10	Q. Okay. Is he old enough to be to be employed?
11	A. Yes, he's 30.
12	Q. Okay. What does he do for a living?
13	A. He is an electrician for Fisk Electric here.
14	He works at the City Center.
15	Q. Okay. Have you ever received or been employed
16	in any kind of a law enforcement capacity?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. Have you received any training in law
19	enforcement?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Okay.
22	Can you think of anything that has happened to
23	you because of people that are close to you, family, friends
24	that are in law enforcement that would cause you to give
25	the testimony of a police officer any greater or lesser

1 weight than any other non police officer or witness? 2 A. No, Your Honor. 3 Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a jury before? 4 No, this the first time. 5 Q. Have you ever or anybody close to you ever been the victim of or accused of any kind of a crime? 6 7 A. No. 8 Okay. You are pretty easy. 9 Do you think you would have any trouble withholding 10 the formation of an opinion about anybody, or anything having 11 to do with this trial until after you have heard all the 12 evidence, and I have instructed you on the law? 13 A. No, sir. 14 0. Can you do that? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Do you think that you have a good, healthy, neutral frame of mind so that if you were one of the litigants, and 18 19 you were sitting over here at one of these tables either as 20 a prosecutor or a Defendant, would you be comfortable with somebody being on the jury that has the frame of mind that 21 22 you have? 23 Yes, I would. Α. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel? 25 MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Judge.

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DALEY
2	BY MS. KOLLINS:
3	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Daley.
4	A. Hi,
5	Q. Where did you come from before you came to
6	Las Vegas?
7	A. Southern California.
8	Q. What did you do when you lived in Southern
9	California?
10	A. I worked in the bowling center business
11	for about 37 years.
L2	Q. And when you say "the bowling center business",
13	in what capacity do you work there?
L4	A. As the coordinator, the public relations.
15	Q. Okay. Tournaments, stuff like that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. You have a stepson. Has he resided with
18	you have you been together with his mother since he was
19	small or
20	A. Since he was 16.
2.1	Q. Okay. So did he go to college or any technical
22	school when he lived with you or
23	A. Yeah, he moved up to Northern California to
24	he went to some college up there for like a semester. That
5	was it.

1	Q. Okay. And now he is back in Vegas?
2	A. Yeah, he moved from Pennsylvania to Vegas to
3	get work because of the weather out here so
4	Q. So much for that empty map, huh?
5	A. Yeah, really.
6	Q. You are going to hear from some kids here
7	in this case that went through some pretty traumatizing
8	events. Do you have any expectation of what, say, a victim
9	of sexual assault should sound like when they testify?
10	A. Not really, no.
11	Q. Do you think everyone reacts the same to
12	every situation?
13	A. Oh no.
14	Q. Would this person have to be crying for you
15	to find her credible?
16	A. No, not at all.
17	Q. You have never known anyone that has been
18	accused of a crime, arrested for a crime?
19	Never had any interaction with law enforcement,
20	managing bowling centers?
21	A. Well, yes, one of the guys I worked with
22	at the Orleans actually is a Metro police officer right
23	now.
24	Q. Okay. And a Metro police officer full-time
25	and works with you part-time?
2.00	E 68

1	A. No, he works with them full-time. He is
2	now with the Clark County School District.
3	Q. Do you socialize with him?
4	A. I talk to him about once every two weeks.
5	Q. Do you talk about what he does for a living,
6	what he does as a Metro officer, or anything like that?
7	A. We do every once in a while, but mostly we
8	are just really good friends.
9	Q. Okay.
10	Would you let your friendship with him in any
11	way influence what decision you might make in here?
12	A. No, I don't think so at all.
13	Q. You wouldn't feel a need to justify your
14	verdict to him one way or the other?
15	A. No.
16	Q. How did you feel when you got your jury
17	summons, having not ever served before?
18	A. I said I guess they finally caught up to
19	me after 56 years.
20	Q. Yeah, they found you. I know.
21	After you sit here, and you listen to the
22	evidence, and if you believe the Defendant is guilty as
23	charged, based beyond a reasonable doubt, based on
24	what you hear, can you return a verdict of guilty?
25	A. Yes I could

1	Q. Anything that prevents you from sitting
2	in judgment of another?
3	A. No, not really. I mean, I'm not really
4	I don't have a prejudice. I guess it would be called a
5	prejudice. I just don't like people that come into our
6	country and disrespect our country, not any certain, you
7	know, background, race, or anything, but anybody that
8	would come in here and disrespect what the United States
9	is built on.
10	Q. Okay. But that has nothing to do with
11	A. Nothing to do with, yeah.
12	Q with this case?
13	A. Right. No.
14	Q. So, in other words, it's not sitting in
15	judgment, just anybody that would commit a crime in the
16	United States, you have a problem with, not just I
17	guess I don't understand your response. Maybe it's my
18	question.
19	A. No, not as a crime like this, no.
20	Q. Do you have a problem sitting and receiving
21	evidence and
22	A. Oh no.
23	Q. And working with a group of your peers and
24	coming to a conclusion?
25	A. No. not at all.

1 MS. KOLLINS: All right, pass for cause. 2 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Landis? 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR DALEY BY MR. LANDIS . 4 5 Good afternoon, sir. 0. The Judge said, you are pretty easy, and I will 6 7 tell you a story. 8 When I was about 16 years old, I was with a 9 bunch of my friends, and we were in my parents' garage, 10 and we were talking about school, and we may have been talking about a certain female, and one of my friends may 11 have said something along the lines, well, she's easy. 12 13 Mom busts through the door, and she says, you never say, anybody is easy. So I am not going to repeat 14 15 that. 16 We talked a little bit about -- the Judge talked 17 a little bit about the standard of proof, and it's the same 18 standard of proof in every criminal trial in this country, and it's beyond a reasonable doubt. It's the highest standard 19 20 we have. 21 Α. Right. 22 Do you think that standard is a good measure? 23 Do you think it's too high, possibly too low? 24 No, I think it's good. A. 25 Q. Do you think that standard may make it too

1	hard for the State or prosecutors to sometimes convict
2	guilty people?
3	A. No.
4	Q. No?
5	A. No.
б	Q. Another principle we have in this case, and
7	in every case is criminal Defendants have the right to
8	remain silent.
9	A. Right.
10	Q. They have the right to not testify?
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q. Even beyond that, we as defense counsel don't
13	have any duty to present evidence to you?
14	A, Yes.
15	Q. You can hear the State's case, we could stay
16	silent, and not say a word, and if you don't think that
17	they've met that burden, it'ps your duty, as the instructions
18	will tell you, to acquit that person.
19	How do you feel about those things?
20	A. I think it's a given right, you know, like
21	you say, if he does not want to testify, and that they don't
22	think they can prove it beyond, you know, a doubt, we can
23	come to some type of verdict.
24	Q. I have never sat on a jury. I am not quite
25	as experienced as you. I hope I get to your age without

1	having to, but I don't know, I mean, sitting on a jury with
2	somebody sitting there hearing these accusations for day
3	after day.
4	A. Yeah.
5	Q. Is there something that ticks and says, why
6	doesn't this guy talk?
7	A. I, I don't know. Like I said, I have never
8	done this. I really couldn't tell you.
9	Q. Are you comfortable with that?
10	A. I think I am, yes.
11	Q. Okay. I will ask you my very favorite.
12	question for juries in the whole world.
13	Do you think it's worse if a guilty person gets
14	off or an innocent person gets convicted?
15	A. I really don't have an answer for that. I
16	Q. It's a tough question, I know.
17	A. It is, it is.
18	Q. No one ever wants to answer it. I will let
19	you off the hook, but nobody else is getting a free pass.
20	Thank you, sir.
21	A. You are welcome.
22	MR. LANDIS: I will pass for cause.
23	Pass for cause, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Very good.
25	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR KENIEUTUBBE

1	BY THE COURT:
2	Q. Is it Howard? And you are going to have to
3	help me out here. Is it Kenieutubbe?
4	A. Kenieutubbe.
5	Q. Kenieutubbe.
6	A. Uh-huh.
7	Q. Tell me about yourself, Howard.
8	A. All right. I have been in Vegas about 15
9	years. I am disabled.
10	Prior to coming here, I was I am from L. A.
11	Prior to my losing my leg, I was employed as a
12	sheet metal mechanic for aircraft and label ships, and
13	the only conviction I ever had was a DUI. That was back
14	in '78, I believe, and that's about it.
15	Q. Are you married?
16	A. No, I'm not, single.
17	Q. Do you have children?
18	A. No kids.
19	Q. No kids. How did you lose your leg?
20	A. Diabetis.
21	Q. Okay. How long ago was that?
22	A. Eight years ago.
23	Q. Have you ever were you ever employed in
24	any kind of law enforcement in your
25	A. No. I did serve four years in the Navy.

1	Q. Okay.
2	Have you ever been accused of or the victim of
3	any kind of a sexually related offense?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Anybody close to you?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Okay. You said you spent four years in the
8	Navy. You didn't have anything to do with the shore patrol,
9	did you?
10	A. I served a couple of times when we were in
11	port on shore patrol.
12	Q. Okay, But did they give you any kind of
13	special training in law enforcement?
14	A. Oh no, they just gave us a little helmet
15	and a baton.
16	Q. Gave you a helmet
17	A. And watch the boys.
18	Q. And then go hit them over the head.
19	A. Yeah, or get hit.
20	Q. Or get hit on the head?
21	A. Yes,
22	Q. All right. Have you ever been on a jury
23	before?
24	A. No, I haven't, no.
25	Q. Have you ever been the victim of or accused

1	of any kind of	a crime?	
2	Α.	Just that DUI.	
3	Q.	That DUI?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Other than that, nothing else?	
6	A.	No, nothing else.	
7	Q.	Okay.	
8	Have	you had an experience of any sort that you	
9	could think of	that would cause you to give greater or lesser	
10	weight to a po	lice officer witness as opposed to a non police	
11	officer witness	9?	
12	A.	No.	
13	Q.	Can you treat a police officer as a witness?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	Exactly the way you would treat any other	
16	non police off:	icer witness?	
17	Α.	Right.	
18	Q.	Okay. Do you have any friends in law	
19	enforcement?		
20	Α.	No.	
21	Q.	Can you think of anything that we haven't	
22	touched on that	would cause you to have difficulty in	
23	being fair and	impartial to both sides in a case like	
24	this?	> *	
25	A.	No.	

1	Q. Do you think that you have a nice, healthy,
2	neutral frame of mind that would make you an ideal juror
3	if you were sitting here as either as the defense or the
4	prosecution, would you be the kind of juror that you would
5	want to have, sitting on the jury?
6	A. Yes, I believe so.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Sounds good to me.
8	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR KENIEUTUBBE
10	BY MS. LUZAICH:
11	Q. So tell me, Mr. Kenieutubbe, what do you think
12	about yourself would make yourself a good juror?
13	A. I would treat everybody fair, and I always
14	listen to both sides whoever, you know, I listen to, you
15	know, and kind of pick my own decision about the matter,
16	whatever comes up.
17	Q. Okay.
18	As a juror, the Judge mentioned earlier that you
19	would be the judge of the facts. You get to decide what
20	happens in this case after hearing all the evidence and
21	testimony from the witness stand.
22	Do you think that you could be comfortable evaluating
23	people and making that determination?
24	A. I, not I would listen to the facts. I
25	would listen to the facts, and then make my determination

1	on that.
2	Q. Okay.
3	Well, sometimes when you listen to facts, they
4	conflict with each other. One person says, blue shirt, the
5	other person says green shirt?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. Or whatever. That's what I mean by weighing
8	conflicting testimony.
9	So do you think you would be able would you be
10	comfortable doing that?
11	A. Oh yes, uh-huh.
12	Q. Okay. You would listen to what everybody
13	has to say and see how it kind of comports to each other,
14	right?
15	A. Right.
16	Q. So when there is some conflicting testimony,
17	could it be as easy as maybe just somebody forgot something,
18	or was mistaken?
19	A. It is possible, yeah.
20	Q. But it's also possible that somebody was
21	being intentionally dishonest?
22	A. Right, that could arise, too, yeah.
23	Q. That wouldn't
24	A. No.
25	Q be out of the ordinary, right?

1	A. Right.
2	Q. Would it surprise you that it's possible
3	that somebody could come in here, raise their right hand,
4	swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, and then not tell the whole truth?
6	A. It's possible.
7	Q. Do you think that when people do that, it
8	is because they have a reason to?
9	A. They might, yeah.
10	Q. I mean, people don't come in and lie under
11	oath just for the hell of it, right?
12	A. Well, it's a human it's in the human.
13	To say where some people would kind of not tell the truth
14	just because it's they think it's true.
15	Q. The truth appears different to different
16	people?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. Based on perception?
19	A. Right
20	Q. Okay.
21	Do you think that if you are serving as a juror
22	in this case, and you go back into the deliberation room,
23	and 11 people feel one way, and you feel a different way,
24	what do would you do?
25	A. I would state my points on the matter and

1	kind of sit back and listen to them and as to why try
2	to figure out why they are looking at the matter in a
3	different way, and maybe I have to maybe I missed something
4	along the road, you know, that would convince me to change
5	my mind.
6	Q. So it's possible that if they pointed out
7	something, you would be able to change your mind?
В	A. Right.
9	Q. But if you were convinced of your point of
10	view, would you hang tough?
11	A. Right, I would.
12	Q. Okay. What if it's Friday, it's five to
13	five, and you have been here for a really long time, and
14	you want to go. Will you change your mind just because
15	of that?
15	A. Oh no, oh no, I will sit here all night.
17	Q. Okay. Well, hopefully, we won't make you
18	stay that long.
19	Is there anything about you that would prevent
20	you from being able to sit in judgment of someone?
21	A. No.
22	Q. So if you do listen to all the evidence
23	and testimony, and you are convinced beyond a reasonable
24	doubt that the Defendant committed the crimes he is
25	charged with, would you be able to say, guilty?

25

1	A. Yes.
2	MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
3	Pass for cause, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Banks, your turn?
5	MR. BANKS: Thanks, Judge.
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR KENIEUTUBBE
7	BY MR. BANKS:
В	Q. It's Mr. Kenieutubbe or tubbay?
9	A. Well, you can say Kenieutubbe.
10	Q. Kenieutubbe, okay, nice to meet you.
11	You were talking with Ms. Luzaich a little
12	bit about truth, and how somebody may think something
13	is the truth.
14	Would you agree that someone's version of
15	the truth really depends on a couple of things, their
16	perception of an event maybe?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. And what they bring to the table, their
19	life experiences. Would you agree with that?
20	A. It depends on what their life experiences
21	are.
22	Q. Okay. 'And that's a great point because
23	as we as we get older, and, you know, necessarily, we
24	have more life experiences?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Maybe more stressful situations that we've
2	kind of been through?
3	A. Right.
4	Q. To maybe draw on?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. In deciding, um, in deciding how we maybe
7	approach a situation?
8	A. Right, yeah, aspects of life.
9	Q. Okay. Do you want to serve?
10	A. Oh yeah,
11	Q. Okay.
12	What did you think when you heard sexual assault
13	with use of a deadly weapon?
14	A. That don't sound good to me.
15	Q. Why?
16	A. Because I think if someone is taking advantage
17	of someone against their will, and it's just my outlook on
18	life as, you know, that's criminal to me.
19	And on the other side of the coin is they also
20	have got to prove, you know, that he did it.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. And that's the reason I think I would be a
23	good on the jury because I have to listen to both aspects.
24	Q. Sure.
25	A. And, like the lady said, I'm assuming a
- 11	

little, but the innocent man and the guilty man went free 1 2 or the innocent man went to prison. 3 0. That was Landis. 4 A. I think that was a good question because he would have to really look at what was presented. 5 6 Like the prosecution is supposed to present the 7 facts and prove the facts, and to me, that's something 8 both sides would have to convince me of, of the party 9 being guilty of that fact. 10 Q. What do you see my and Mr. Landis's job be? What do you -- what do you perceive our job to be? 11 12 Α. Yours is to defend the innocent until 13 proven guilty is the basis of the Constitution that I 14 believe in. 15 I think a lot of what you said here today, 16 if everybody in the room would rely and probably agrees with a lot of what you've said here. 17 18 I don't want to ask, and I imagine, a lot of 19 people would agree with you. 20 Do you feel that people should be held responsible 21 for their actions? 22 A. Correct. 23 0. Okay. 24 Do you think that people should be held responsible for more than their actions? 25

1	A. No.
2	Q. And why not?
3	A. Because, to me, I don't want to say the
4	Defendant, but he is here on one matter, on that specific
5	matter, and that's it, no more and no less. He is here
6	for that one matter, and that's our job just to concentrate
7	on that matter and decide guilty or not guilty.
8	Q. Okay. And I guess it may let me expand
9	a little bit, life in general, I mean, somebody shouldn't
10	be on the hook for something
11	A. Right.
12	Q that maybe somebody else did?
13	A. Right.
14	MR. BANKS: Okay. Thank you for your honest
15	answers today.
1.6	Pass for cause, Your Honor.
17	MS. LUZAICH: Could we approach?
18	THE COURT: Sure.
19	(Whereupon, a brief, informal discussion was
20	had at the bench between the Court and counsel.)
21	THE BAILIFF: Anybody who needs to go to the
22	restroom can just go ahead and go.
23	THE COURT: No, no, Joe, we are going to take
24	a break here in just a second. Everybody just relax.
25	We are going to take a break.

THE BAILIFF: Hold on.

THE COURT: Okay. We are going to take a break for the next 10 minutes, give everybody a chance to go to the restroom, and I am going to admonish you, the first of about 500 times during the course of this trial.

We are going to take a 10 minute break, you can go outside, you can go to the restroom, meet outside, meet Joe outside, out here, and during the next 10 minutes, I don't want you to discuss with anybody, including amongst yourselves, anything having to do with this trial about anybody having to do with this trial.

I don't want you to watch, listen or read any reports or commentaries about anything connected with this trial through any medium of information, which includes newspapers, radio, television network, or internet.

Don't form or discuss any opinion on any subject relating to this trial until such time as this case has been submitted to the jury.

That having been said, you've got 10 minutes, and then meet Joe outside in 10 minutes.

There you go. We are on break. We are going to come back before the jury panel comes back in.

(Whereupon, a brief recess was had.

After recess, all parties present, the following

proceedings were had in open court in the absence of the 1 jury panel:) 2 3 4 THE BAILIFF: All right, remain seated. Court is 5 back in session. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MS. KOLLINS: Judge, when we left, we were at the bench, and we had lodged the objection that the line 8 9 of questioning to the jury as to on whether someone can be responsible for another's conduct is appropriate. 10 11 First of all, that's incorrect on the law. 12 You can be responsible for the actions or the actions of another can be is repeated to you either as a 13 coconspirator or an aider and abetter, and that is how 14 15 this case is charged. 16 And so, you know, if we are going to give them a primer on the law, then we are going to have to give 17 18 them a primer on the whole law. 19 Our position is they shouldn't be able to 20 prejudge the evidence, prejudge the case, and that line 21 of questioning was inappropriate for purposes of jury 22 selection.

THE COURT: I don't, you know, I don't -- I think that you are correct phraseology in terms of what somebody else does or that is -- it is probably not appropriate.

23

24

25

I think if you want to take that is appropriate to say, somebody tempted me, bullied, and if you want to take that kind of -- and so you are saying that somebody could be intimidated by somebody else, you can be bullied by somebody, blah, blah, blah, if you want to take that approach, I think that's fine.

I don't think there is anything wrong with questioning them if they understand the potential, have you ever known a bully, did they intimidate you, or did they do something that you wouldn't want to do, I think that that's fine, but I think that --

MR. BANKS: And the --

THE COURT: -- the legal concept of responsibility for somebody else's act is probably getting -- then we are going to have to start explaining all kinds of other things.

MS. LUZAICH: Well, not explaining, but they are asking him to prejudge the law, and prejudge the case, and when you look at the Eighth Judicial Court Rules, we are specifically prohibited from doing that.

THE COURT: Well, if we are not going to, then
I am going to have to start explaining all the other stuff
about, you know, when he said, if it is a conspiracy, to
aid and abet somebody, and blah, blah, blah, and, you know,
let's just not even get to that point.

1 Just kind concentrate on what you were doing, 2 which wsa if someone is intimidated on something, or coerced, or intimidated, or threatened, or whatever, 3 bullied. That's one thing. 4 MR. BANKS: And, you know, Judge, I was just 5 exploring the mindset of that particular juror as far as 6 how he feels about being held responsible for your actions, 7 8 and that, you know, and I, I heard the Court's ruling, and I will limit it as the Court has indicated. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay, bring them in. 11 MR. BANKS: I won't get into all the other people's 12 actions as to that. 13 THE COURT: Okay, perfect. 14 15 (Whereupon, all parties present, the following proceedings were had in the presence of the jury panel.) 16 17 THE BAILIFF: Please be seated. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 Now, before we get started again, are there any 21 empty seats that weren't empty before we left? So we don't have to go through the whole roll call again. 22 23 And will counsel stipulate to the presence of 24 the jury pool? 25 MS. LUZAICH: Yes, we will.

1	MR. LANDIS: Yes, Your Honor.
2	MR. BANKS: Yes, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR ESHELMAN
5	BY THE COURT:
6	Q. Is it John Eshelman?
7	A. Eshelman.
8	Q. Eshelman, Mr. Eshelman, how long have you
9	been here in Las Vegas?
10	A. Since 1974, about 32 years.
11	Q. Good for you. What do you do for a living?
12	A. I am retired.
13	Q. And what did you do before you retired?
14	A. When I first came to town, I was in the
15	printing industry for about 20 years, and then I went
16	into the food industry in sales. I was with Valley Food
17	Distributors for 18 years.
18	Q. Really. Are you married?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Does your wife work?
21	A. Yes, she does.
22	Q. Where does she work?
23	A. She works at Southwest Company.
24	Q. Okay. Do you have grown children?
25	A. Yes, I have got a 36 year old and a 28 year

1 old. 2 0. What do they do for a living? 3 Α. The 36 year old works for setting up the 4 convention and tearing them down, and the 28 year old son 5 works with a lawn service. 6 Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever 7 been accused of or the victim of any kind of sexual related В event? 9 Α. No. 10 Q. Have you or anybody close to you ever been 11 the victim or or accused of any kind of a crime? 12 Α. I have a friend that was convicted of dealing 13 in drugs some years ago. 14 Ο. Were you close to him? 15 A. I didn't know him at the time he was --16 Q. Oh, after all that happened? 17 Α. Right. 18 Q. Then you got to know him? 19 A. Right. 20 Anything about that that would cause you Q. 21 difficulty in sitting on a jury in a criminal case like this and being fair and impartial to both sides? 22 23 A. No. 24 Q. Do you think that you could handle it all 25 right?

1	A. I believe so.
2	Q. Okay. Have you ever had any training or
3	employment in law enforcement?
4	A, No.
5	Q. Anybody close to you?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Have you ever been on a jury before?
В	A. No.
9	Q. Have you ever had any kind of a relationship
10	with anybody in law enforcement such that it would cause
11	you to treat the testimony of a police officer differently
12	than the testimony of any non police officer witness?
13	A. Well, not really. I really don't have a
14	high regard for the police as far as that goes.
15	Q. That's what I am asking you.
16	Did something happen to you in your background
17	that causes you?
18	A. Well, there have been several incidents
19	where I never thought that I was ever treated with any
20	respect from a police officer.
21	Q. Well, like traffic stops?
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. Or things like that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Can you set aside those feelings, like a
1	

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police officer may have a good day, a bad day, and you may find that they --

> Α. It would be very hard.

There was an the incident that happened at my home some years ago when the police was looking for my older son, and I offered them to come into the house. and my younger son ended up standing in handcuffs out in front of the neighbors on a Sunday morning, and for no reason at all.

- Q. How long ago was that?
- Oh, probably 18 years ago, or so. A.
- But that's a long time -- a long time ago. Ο.

You don't think that enough time has passed that you can kind of let that go and --

- Well, there have been other instances where Α. I just don't hold the police in high regards.
 - Here is what we are really getting at. Ο.

Can you, because the police testimony in this makes up only a portion of the testimony that is going to be heard. There is a lot of non police officer witnesses testifying.

Can you set aside any prejudices that you might have against policemen from what happened 18 years ago, can you leave that stuff out in the hallway and just look at the evidence and, in particular, a police officer witness,

and say, look, all right, you know, he has nothing to do 1 2 with anything that has happened to me over the years. 3 I am going to judge his credibility based strictly on what I see and hear right here in the courtroom, and 4 5 I am not going to attach any preconceived prejudices, or anything else, just because he is a police officer. 6 7 Do you think that you can do that? 8 It would be tough. 9 MS. LUZAICH: Challenge. 10 MR. BANKS: Submit it. THE COURT: There is a lot of litigation going 11 on in this Courthouse that doesn't have any police officers 12 13 involved in it. 14 Why don't we send you down to the third floor, and they will reassign you in another kind of case, how's 15 16 that, okay? 17 JUROR ESHELMAN: Okay. 18 (Juror Eshelman excused.) 19 THE COURT: We are going to replace Mr. Eshelman 20 with? 21 THE CLERK: Donna Bella, badge 196. 22 JUROR ESHELMAN: Where do I go? 23 THE BAILIFF: Third floor, Jury Commissioner's office. 24 25 THE COURT: Donna, if you will take that fourth

1	seat right the	re, please?
2	JUROI	R BELLA: Okay.
3	THE	COURT: All right.
4	,	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR BELLA
5	BY THE COURT:	
6	Q.	Donna, have you been paying attention?
7	Α.	Yes, sir.
8	Q.	All right, good.
9	Tell	us a little about yourself. How long have
10	you been in Las	Vegas?
11	Α.	Five years as of May.
12	٥.	And where did you come from?
13	Α.	San Francisco.
14	Q.	San Francisco. Do you work?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	What do you do for a living?
17	Α.	I own a marketing consulting agency, and
18	working out of	the home.
19	Q.	Oh, you work out of your home?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Okay. Are you married?
22		No.
23	.52	Do you specialize in any particular kind
24	of marketing?	
25	Α	Specific, well, technology, but a lot of
	50 30	

1	local districts are here so like real estate, and we also
2	do rentals, advertising for rentals.
3	Q. Oh, okay, all right. What did you say your
4	husband did? Did you say you were married?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Oh, okay. How about children?
7	A, No children.
8	Q. Okay.
9	Have you or anybody close to you ever been the
10	victim of or accused of any kind of a sexually related
11	offense?
12	A. Not that I know of.
13	Q. Okay.
14	Have you or anybody close to you ever been
15	the victim of or accused of just any kind of a criminal
16	offense?
17	A. Not that I know of.
18	Q. Okay. Have you ever been on a have
19	you ever had kind of special training in law enforcement?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Anybody close to you ever been trained
22	or employed in law enforcement?
53	A. No.
24	Q. Have you ever been on a jury before?
25	A. First time.
ğ	

1 0. First time. 2 Can you think of anything in your background that would make sitting as a juror in a case like this 3 difficult in listening to the testimony and being fair 4 5 and impartial to both sides, anything difficult about any of that? 6 7 Α. Sometimes attention span, but I will try 8 when I focus, and it is not a problem. 9 Is there anything that would cause you 10 difficulty in focusing on the evidence as it's produced 11 over three or four days? 12 Well, no, Judge. I know that all would be Α. 13 crucial. 14 0. Okay. 15 A. Right. 16 Q. So you can stay focused on what's going on 17 here? 18 A. Yes. 19 Okay, all right. Can you think of any special circumstances that would cause you to give the 20 21 testimony of a police officer greater or lesser weight than any other police officer witness? 22 23 A. Not really. I will give it the same weight 24 as anybody else.

Okay.

Q.

25

1 Can you think of any reason why you would be 2 unable to withhold forming an opinion about anything having to do with this trial, or anybody having to do 3 with this trial until you have heard all the evidence, 4 5 and I have instructed you on the law. 6 Can you do that? 7 Α. I can do that. 8 Q. Do you think you have a healthy, neutral kind of mindset towards approaching your duty as being 9 10 a juror in a case like this? 11 Α. Yes, I would. 12 0. Not lean toward one side or the other 13 side? 14 A. I will remain centered all the time. 15 Would you be comfortable if you were the Defendant or the prosecution in this case, if you were 16 sitting over here, and somebody that has your mindset 17 18 was on the jury, would you be comfortable with that? 19 Most comfortable, yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay, very good. 21 MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Judge. 22 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF JUROR BELLA 23 BY MS. KOLLINS: 24 Q. Ms. Bella, did you live most of your adult

life in San Francisco?

25

MR. BANKS: Yeah, I've got a child care thing 1 2 but 5:30 works great. 3 (Whereupon, a brief recess was had. After recess, all parties present, the following 5 proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury panel.) 7 8 9 THE COURT: There you go, perfect. 10 You guys, as soon as get to your chair, go ahead and sit down. Everyone have a seat. There shouldn't be 11 12 any extras. 13 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you are in the Eighth Judicial District Court for the County of 14 15 Clark, this is Department 24. My name is James Bixler, and I am the presiding 16 17 Judge in this Department. There is a little confusion, we are actually 18 19 in Department 23. 20 24 is my Department next door, but because of the large jury panel involved here, we are using this 21 22 courtroom just for the purposes of seclection, of jury 23 selection. 24 So when the jury is selected, we will be moving

001550

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

next door, into Department -- actually Department 24.

25

702-804-6167

This is the State of Nevada v. Narcus Wesley, this is Case Number C*232494.

Ladies and gentlemen, what we are about to engage in is the process of selecting a jury, and a couple of bits of information to try to help you figure out where we are, and what we are going to be doing for the next period of time.

It's going to take a little while to pick this jury so we will be taking numerous breaks during this process.

If anybody has to go to the restroom, tell Joe, and go ahead and check out and come back.

I need to give you a little basic information about how this works until we get down to our actual jurors. A few bits of information.

Number one, we are going to explain to you in just a second what the case is all about, but I want you to pay close attention to where you are sitting. You have been placed in a strategic numerical order, having been assigned to you from the Jury Commissioner's office.

It is important that you stay in the order that you were assigned the numbers from the Jury Commissioner's office. That way everybody knows who is where without having to repeatedly ask you.

So we ask you that every time we take a break,

-

 make sure you note where you are sitting, and then you will get back to the same spot, and then we'll be all right.

We can also easily tell if somebody is missing, and we will tell who it is if you are in numerical order.

The second thing about the breaks.

When we take breaks, until we actually get a jury, you will be going in and out of the front doors here. This is important. This is the most important thing which during the trial, I will repeat to the actual jurors many, many times.

It is imperative that you do not discuss with anybody anything having to do with this trial, and that includes, most importantly, with each other.

Do not discuss this trial, anybody having to do with this trial, any aspect of this trial with anybody including each other throughout the entire course of this trial. To do so you could compromise a jury's verdict, which would result in the possibility of a case having to be tried again. So don't do it.

It's primarily one of the major causes of having to have retrials.

So just follow that instruction carefully to the letter. We won't have a problem.

There will be in the early stages of this process of selecting a jury, you will be going in and out.

It's possible that you might see witnesses during this case. Do not engage anybody in any subject matter having to do with this trial, in fact, the best rule is don't engage anybody outside, including the court personnel with anything, anything. Don't discuss, don't talk to them.

If you overhear somebody outside saying anything about this case, or about anybody having to do with this case, vacate yourself from that area. Do not listen or overhear any discussions because that's a possibility of the people we don't have control over. They may say something out in the hallway.

Don't listen to any conversations that you overhear, and if you do hear it, come and report it to the bailiff, okay?

It's important that we separate the jury and the jury pool from witnesses and spectators, and the court personnel.

I am going to start off with a quick introduction of the people that are here that work for the Court, and I am going to have counsel introduce themselves. Give you a little, brief statement, explanation about what their case is about, and they can also introduce their witnesses.

It's important that you pay close attention

22

23

24

25

because in a few minutes. I am going to then come back and ask you if you know anybody.

As counsel has introduced themselves, or their witnesses are disclosed, pay attention to who they are so you can tell us if there is anybody there that you are

This is Lee Bahr right here in front of me. is the court reporter. Lee's job is to make a verbatim record of everything that is said during this trial

In order to do that, he has to hear everything that is said in the courtroom. He has to hear a question. He has to hear an answer.

During this jury selection process, I will be doing a lot of the questions. So will counsel.

So there will be questions. There will always be questions and answers throughout this whole process.

You may hear him stop, he may even have to yell at some point if counsel may be talking on top of each other. He may be the one that you will hear yelling, stop,

He is not being rude. He is just doing his job. So don't hold that against him if you see that happen.

> Teri Lee is right here. She is the Court Clerk. Her function is to swear in the witnesses. She

will mark and take care of the exhibits as they are admitted 2 into evidence. She also makes the official minutes of what goes 4 on throughout the court proceedings. S Here is Joe, Joe Downing, he is the bailiff. He is the actual only person that the jurors 6 7 are allowed to converse with. You are not allowed to converse with the attorneys, 8 or with the parties, with the witnesses, or with me, or 9 10 with Lee, or with Teri. Nobody. 11 Joe is the only one that jurors are allowed to 12 converse with. 13 So, keep that in mind. All these instructions 14 that I give you, if you have questions, if you have problems, if you have issues of any sort, you raise your hand, you 15 notify Joe, and Joe passes that information onto us, okay? 16 17 All right. Now, at this point, I am going to have the State introduce themselves, their witnesses, and 18 then we will do the same thing with Mr. Landis and Mr. 19 20 Banks. 21 Go right ahead. 22 MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 24 My name is Stacy Kollins. I'm the Chief Deputy District Attorney here with the Clark County District 25

1

Attorney's office.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is my co-counsel, Chief Deputy District Attorney, Lisa Luzaich. We have been assigned to prosecute the matter of State of Nevada v. Narcus Wesley.

These crimes occurred in February, of 2007, here in Henderson, Clark County, Nevada.

Mr. Wesley is charged with a series of crimes, and I am going to read those to you as well and a list of witnesses that will participate, you will hear from or hear about.

The list is rather long. We won't necessarily call all of these people, but it's our duty to inform you of anyone you might be aware of or have any relationship outside this case with.

On February 18, of 2007, Mr. Delarian Wilson was charged with committing the crimes of conspiracy to commit burglary, conspiracy to commit robbery, burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, robbery with the use of a deadly weapon, sexual assault with the use of a deadly weapon, as well as first degree kidnapping with a deadly weapon.

Thank you, Ms. Luzaich.

The victim in this -- the named victims in this case are Danielle Browning, Justin Richardson, Aitor Eskandon, Justin Foucault, Ryan Tognotti, and Clinton

001556

Tognotti.

The additional witnesses that the State anticipates you will hear from or about in this case, and again, this is kind of long, so bear with me:

The Henderson Police Department Officer Troy

Herron (phonetically), Henderson Police Department Officer

Rand Allison, Henderson Police Crime Scene Analyst Jennifer

Ayers, Henderson Police Department Officer David Barnes,

Henderson Police Department Officer Bakalas, Henderson

Police Department Detective June Castro.

The custodian of records from three establishments, businesses here in town, Alta Wireless, Circus Circus Hotel and Casino, as well as Nevada Power Company.

Additionally, you may hear from or about Henderson Police Sergeant Brian Dunaway, sexual assault nurse examiner, Linda Ebbert, Detective, Henderson Police Department Detective Bryan Hartshorn, Henderson Police Detective Itzhak Henn, a resident of Henderson, Nevada, Grant Hieb, Henderson Police Sergeant Michael Johnston, Henderson resident Michael -- excuse me, Victor Michalak, Henderson Police Department Detective Anthony Niswonger, security from the Wells Fargo Bank, Walter O'Neal, Henderson Police Department Detective Rodrigo Pena, Circus Circus Hotel Security, Gerald Piro, Henderson resident Brandon Preston, Henderson Police Department Detective Craig Ridings, Henderson Police Crime

Scene Analyst Joy Self, Joy Self, S-e-l-f, Wells Fargo 1 2 Security, Veronica Silva, Henderson Police Department Officer Kevin Slattery, Henderson Police Department Amber Swartwood, 3 Henderson Police Department Havon Tillmon, Henderson Police 5 Department Fingerprint Analyst Kent Timothy, Henderson -or, excuse me, Tricia Vincente, Henderson Police Department Detective Curtis Weske, and Delarian Wilson. 7 8 Thank you. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. 10 Mr. Banks, Mr. Landis, which one? 11 MR. BANKS: Hi everybody. 12

My name is Jeff Banks. This is Mr. Wesley.

This is my co-counsel, Casey Landis.

There is a lot of names on that list that was just read to you all, and everybody please listen carefully to those names because if the State chooses not to call them, so please, please take note of those names, and I have got a few more that the detective may call.

The first one is Narviez Wesley, Mel Washington, Brian Murray, Randy Morgan, Carlos Diaz, William Harrington, and Carolyn Merit (phonetically).

Thank you very much.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thanks.

All right. The next step in this process is to have a roll call, and so I am going to have Teri Lee call

through the roll call of the jury pool that was sent to 1 us from the Jury Commissioner's office, and as I said 2 earlier, you are going to notice that it's in order. 3 I need for you to answer loud enough and clear enough, here, present, whatever you feel is appropriate, 5 so that Lee can record on the record everyone's presence. 6 7 Teri? 8 THE CLERK: I apologize if I mispronounce your 9 name. 10 Molli Magura. 11 JUROR MAGURA: Present. 12 THE CLERK: Eric Butler. 13 JUROR BUTLER: Here. THE CLERK: Howard Kenieutubbe. 14 15 JUROR KENIEUTUBBE: Here. THE CLERK: Ronald Polk. 16 17 JUROR POLK: Present. 18 THE CLERK: Debbie C. Deschaine. 19 JUROR DESCHAINE: Here. 20 THE CLERK: Gary Orta. 21 JUROR ORTA: Here. 22 THE CLERK: Mary Jo Loretto. 23 JUROR LORETTO: Here. 24 THE CLERK: Eve Crossman-Keenan. 25 JUROR CROSSMAN-KEENAN: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Betty Fisher.
2	JUROR FISHER: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Nichole Dunmore.
4	JUROR DUNMORE: Here,
5	THE CLERK: Robert Franklin.
6	JUROR FRANKLIN: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Christine Foresta.
8	JUROR FORESTA: Here.
و	THE CLERK: John Oberweis.
10	JUROR OBERWEIS: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Melinda Wright.
12	JUROR WRIGHT: Here.
13	THE CLERK: John Eshelman.
14	JUROR ESHELMAN: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Wayne Daley,
16	JUROR DALEY: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Donna Bella.
18	JUROR BELLA: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Justin Gries.
20	JUROR GRIES: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Mark Snelling.
22	JUROR SNELLING: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Kim Chapkis.
24	JUROR CHAPKIS: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Michael Baird.
ı.	

	II
1	JUROR BAIRD: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Denise Andalon.
3	JUROR ANDALON: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Jesus Gonzalez.
5	JUROR GONZALEZ: Here.
6	THE CLERK: C. Armone.
7	JUROR ARNONE: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Everett Carney.
9	JUROR CARNEY: Here.
10	THE CLERK: John Little.
11	JUROR LITTLE: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Elizabeth Martin.
13	JUROR MARTIN: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Robert Hoffman.
15	JUROR HOFFMAN: Present.
16	THE CLERK: -Julie Gleason.
17	JUROR GLEASON: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Kelly Russo-Winn.
19	JUROR RUSSO-WINN: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Danielle Martin or Daniel Martin.
21	JUROR MARTIN: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Bonnie Huerta.
23	JUROR HUERTA: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Frances Franklin,
25	JUROR FRANKLIN: Here.
- 11	

- 1	8
1	THE CLERK: Emily Guido.
2	JUROR GUIDO: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Becky Hansen.
4	JUROR HANSEN: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Susan Gregg.
6	JUROR GREGG: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Aminne Menghisteab.
8	JUROR MENGHISTEAB: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Barbara Wagner.
10	JUROR WAGNER: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Robbie Holley.
12	JUROR HOLLEY: Here.
13	THE CLERK: .Stephanie Abernathy.
14	JUROR ABERNATHY: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Bonnie Brunson.
16	JUROR BRUNSON: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Joann Czerwinski.
18	JUROR CZERWINSKI: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Juaneta Gibson.
20	JUROR GIBSON: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Brenda Medeiros.
22	JUROR MEDEIROS: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Sheila Rivera.
24	JUROR RIVERA: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Kelly Bethel.
1	ÿ

1	JUROR BETHEL: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Kay McCary.
3	JUROR MC CARY: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Kyu Choe.
5 .	JUROR CHOR: Here.
6	THE CLERK: Paula Socha.
7	JUROR SOCHA: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Greg Middleton.
9	JUROR MIDDLETON: Here.
10	THE CLERK: James Vaughn.
11	JUROR VAUGHN: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Madilyn Shook.
13	JUROR SHOOK: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Barbara Anderson.
15	JUROR ANDERSON: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Richard Rehm.
17	JUROR REHM: Here.
18	THE COURT: Carmen Sanchez.
19	JUROR SANCHEZ: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Nicholis Morales.
21	JUROR MORALES: Here.
22	THE CLERK: S. Falcone.
23	JUROR FALCONE: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Patrick Mitchell.
25	JUROR MITCHELL: Here.
- 11	

		148
ı	THE CLERK: Romeo Samblero.	
2	JUROR SAMBLERO: Here.	
3	THE CLERK: Elisa Scarone.	
4	JUROR SCARONE: Here.	3
5	THE CLERK: Bruce Leal.	e#
6	JUROR LEAL: Present.	.1
7	THE CLERK: Alice Barnes.	
8	JUROR BARNES: Here.	(F
9	THE CLERK: Mario Barela.	
10	JUROR BARELA: Here.	
11	THE CLERK: Roy Fish, Jr.	
12	JUROR FISH: Here.	
13	THE CLERK: Catherine Beta.	
14	JUROR BETA: Present.	1/2
15	THE CLERK: Emei Trie.	
16	JUROR TRIE: Here.	
17	THE CLERK: Timothy D. Thompson.	9
18	JUROR THOMPSON: Here.	1
19	THE CLERK: David Zeamer.	ì
20	JUROR ZEAMER: Here.	
21	THE CLERK: John Scrutchens.	
22	JUROR SCRUTCHENS: Here.	
23	THE CLERK: Doc Wiener.	
24	JUROR WIENER: Here.	
25	THE CLERK: Gary Morris.	9

1	JUROR MORRIS: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Andrea Adams.
3	JUROR ADAMS: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Diana Henry.
5	JUROR HENRY: Here.
6	THE CLERK: Lawrence Kinsler.
7	JUROR KINSLER: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Maryann Russo.
9	JUROR RUSSO: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Robert J. Skinner, Sr.
11	JUROR SKINNER: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Robert Golechen.
13	JUROR GOLECHEN: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Priscilla Rivera.
15	JUROR RIVERA: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Melissa A. Celeste.
17	JUROR CELESTE: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Ellen Mackey.
19	JUROR MACKEY: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Mackey, I'm sorry. They are all
21	here.
22	THE COURT: Is there anybody present whose name
23	was not called? I don't know how that could happen. We've
24	got every seat full.
25	All right. 'We are about to start the process of

jury voir dire questions to test for qualifications to see if you are going to be able to serve as a juror.

It's important that you understand that it's imperative you be truthful and you be honest, and you be open about this, the questions -- the answers to the questions that I am about to ask you.

We are not prying into your personal lives, but it's important for everybody -- the State, the Defense, the Court, that we determine whether or not there are such a bias or prejudice that you may harbor from things that have happened to you in the past that you would not be able to sit as a fair and impartial juror, which is the only thing that we are trying to find.

We are trying to find persons who can be fair and impartial both to the Defense and the State in this case.

We realize that everyone comes here with life experiences. You can'call them whatever, baggage, however you want to approach it, but we don't live in a bubble, we realize that we all come with a certain amount of life experiences.

But what we want to know is if something so traumatic, dramatic has occurred in your past, if there is something about your background that you simply cannot leave out in the hallway, and approach your job as a juror

fairly and impartially, that's all we are trying to do is to find out.

So, with that in mind, as we say repeatedly, we want you to leave all of those things out in the hallway, and we want you to bring your good common sense here in your service as a juror.

Now, with that in mind, we do this questioning, this voir dire under cath.

So the first -- the next step is I am going to have everybody stand, raise your right hand, and Teri Lee is going to swear you all in as members of this prospective jury pool.

(Jury panel duly sworn.)

THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.

THE COURT: ·Later on, at some point during the selection process, there will be what is referred to as challenges that each side in the case are allowed a certain number of exemptions.

They just simply say for whatever reason they ask a particular juror to step down, and they will be replaced.

I don't want you, when we get to that point, I don't want anybody to get offended because you are just asked to step down. It is just a part of the selection process to make sure that everybody is treated fairly.

1 So don't get -- get upset if you sat through here for hours, and then you get towards the end, and somebody 2 says, just please step down for no reason. That's just 3 the way the system works. 5 I am going to ask everybody in the jury pool a certain number of basic foundational, qualifying questions. 6 7 It's important that we make a record of these В questions and answers. If there is nobody that raises their hand, first 10 of all, if your answer is affirmative, yes, I want you to raise your hand and just kind of hold it until I get to you. 11 When I get to you, we will start from your right, 12 my left in the back row, and we will kind of sweep around 13 14 the room in this direction until I have gotten to everybody, 15 all right? 16 If there is no affirmative answer by anybody, then I will make the answer so the answer is complete and 17 will say that there was no answer and the answer was no, 18 19 all right? Here are the basic under foundational, qualifying 20 21 questions. 22 Is there anyone here in this jury pool who has 23 ever been convicted of a felony? 24 Okay. All right. In the middle. I need you 25 to stand up, identify yourself by name, and then the last

1	three numbers of your jury badge.
2	Okay.
3	JUROR HOFFMAN: My name is Robert Hoffman,
4	242.
5	THE COURT: What were you convicted of?
6	JUROR HOFFMAN: A DUI.
7	THE COURT: A felony?
8	JUROR HOFFMAN: I do believe, in 1990.
9	THE COURT: Where, here in Clark County?
10	JUROR HOFFMAN: Here in Vegas.
11	THE COURT: Was it a third offense or what
12	made it a felony?
13	JUROR HOFFMAN: They just charged me with a
14	felony.
15	THE COURT: Was somebody was there an
16	accident and somebody hurt?
17	JUROR HOFFMAN: No.
18	THE COURT: Was it a third
19	JUROR HOFFMAN: No accident.
20	THE COURT: Was it a third offense?
21	JUROR HOFFMAN: Well, I have had several of
22	these DUI's in the past so
23	THE COURT: Okay. That's part of what happened
24	in Clark County. And you have never had your civil rights
25	reinstated or restored in any fashion?

JUROR HOFFMAN: Not that I know of, no. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Go on back down to the third floor to the Jury Commissioner's office and let them know 3 that, okay? 5 JUROR HOFFMAN: Okay. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 6 7 JUROR HOFFMAN: Thank you. 8 (Juror Hoffman excused.) 9 THE COURT: And then beside him, I saw another 10 hand? 11 JUROR CARNEY: Yeah, Everett Carney, juror number 12 232. 13 THE COURT: Okay, What were you convicted of? 14 JUROR CARNEY: You name it, other than killing 15 somebody. I'm serious, armed robbery, burglary, and grand 16 theft auto. As a juvenile, in the State of California, 17 I was expunged, and I worked with kids in the system for 18 19 many years. THE COURT: Did you have your civil rights 20 21 restored? JUROR CARNEY: That's the expungement I believe 23 took care of that. 24 THE COURT: 'Well, not exactly. Expunging your record just simply means that it is sealed, but there is 25

1 a different process to have, when you actually have your 2 civil rights reinstated. JUROR CARNEY: I believe they were. I had a 3 paper made. This was 40 some years ago, I mean, it was 4 so far -- I had a wife and kid. I'm a decent and knowing 5 citizen. That's all I said. I sat on jury duty in this 6 7 County before. 8 THE COURT: You have? 9 JUROR CARNEY: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: And they -- you told them what you 11 just told us? 12 JUROR CARNEY: Yes, sir. 13 THE COURT: Have a seat. 14 JUROR CARNEY: I told them when they called me this time so, yeah, I asked them every time because I don't 15 16 want to mess up anybody's time. 17 THE COURT: Okay. You are all right then. 18 JUROR CARNEY: Okay? 19 THE COURT: Anybody else? No. Oh, I see another 20 hand. 21 JUROR SAMBLERO: It's Romea Samblero, it's 384. 22 THE COURT: All right. What were you convicted 23 of? 24 JUROR SAMBLERO: I got a DUI in '91, and this is the first time. That's the only thing I had. 25

1,	THE COURT: What happened to you as a result of
2	your DUI?
3	JUROR SAMBLERO: There was an accident that I hit
4	a pole. 19
5	THE COURT: Did you get fined?
6	JUROR SAMBLERO: I got fined. I went to classes.
7	THE COURT: You went to DUI classes?
8	JUROR SAMBLERO: Yes.
9	THE COURT: AND you got fined?
10	JUROR SAMBLERO: Yes.
11	THE COURT: You're all right.
12	Anybody else?
13	Okay. Is there anybody in this jury pool who
14	is not a citizen of the United States?
15	All right. There is no responses. The answer
16	is, no.
17	Is there anyone here who has such a sympathy,
18	or a prejudice, or a bias that relates to age, race,
19	religion, gender, national origin that they feel it would
20	affect their ability to be open-minded, and fair and
21	impartial to both sides in this case?
22	No, there is no response. So the answer is
23	no.
24	Are there any persons in this jury pool who
25	know any of the attorneys, any attorneys for the State?

1	No.
2	Any of the attorneys for the Defense?
3	No.
4	The Defendant?
5	No.
6	Any of the witnesses that were named by the State
7	or the Defense?
8	We have a couple of hands here.
9	All right. Them next, stand and identify yourself
10	with your juror number.
11	JUROR WAGNER: Barbara Wagner, and my number is
12	275.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Who did you know?
14	JUROR WAGNER: If IT'S Brian Murray that was
15	a coach at Western High School, I worked at Western High
16	School with him, and my kids have also.
17	THE CLERK: I can't hear you. You have to speak
18	up, I'm sorry.
19	JUROR WAGNER: I'm sorry, Brian Murray, who was
20	on the Defense list, he was a teacher at Western High School,
21	a coach. I don't know if it is the same Brian Murray or
22	not.
23	MR. LANDIS: It is, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Did you know him do you know him
25	personally, I mean,

1 JUROR WAGNER: Well, I worked at the school with 2 him. 3 THE COURT: Did you -- was he a personal friend 4 of yours? Did you socialize with him. THE COURT: No not out of school. 5 6 THE COURT: But was there -- is there anything 7 about the fact that you know him, I mean, did you know him 8 so well that if you saw him here on the witness stand, you, 9 I mean, you may have had a pleasant experience knowing him, 10 or maybe unpleasant, but is there anything about your experience of knowing him if you saw him on the witness 11 12 stand you would give his testimony any greater or lesser weight than any other witness? 13 JUROR WAGNER: I don't think so. 14 THE COURT: That's fine. You're fine. There was 15 16 another hand that I saw. 17 Yes? 18 JUROR FALCONE: Salvatore Falcone, 377. 19 There were two next door neighbors who were with 20 the Henderson Police Department. One was an officer and 21 the other one is a detective. 22 THE COURT: Do you remember their names? 23 JUROR FALCONE: I don't remember their names. 24 I might remember their faces, but they moved about a year 25 and a half ago. I met them at a few neighborhood community

1 associations, but that's about all. THE COURT: Well, my question to you is the same 2 3 basic question. You don't know their names, but you might recognize them if they would walk in, and you see them get on the witness 5 stand? 6 JUROR FALCONE: Yes. THE COURT: You might recognize them? 8 9 JUROR FALCONE: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Again, not knowing if you had pleasant experiences or unpleasant experiences with them, if you saw 11 one or both of them on the stand, and it turns out that they 12 were people that you actually recognized, as being a neighbor, 13 would you treat their testimony any differently than anybody 14 15 else's testimony that you didn't know? 16 JUROR FALCONE: No. 17 THE COURT: Would you give it more or lesser weight than to anybody else? 18 19 JUROR FALCONE: No. 20 THE COURT: . Okay, good enough. Anybody else? 21 Okay, that was it for that. 22 THE COURT: All right. Before I ask this next question, I need to kind of give you a little explanation. 23 24 This trial is expected to last the rest of this 25 day, Thursday, Friday, into Monday and then Tuesday, but

1,6

it won't go any further than that, and it may only be a little bit of Tuesday, as a matter of fact.

So, in the big picture of what goes on in this Courthouse, this trial isn't going to last too long.

Some trials last weeks. Unfortunately, some of them last longer than that.

So the next thing I am going to ask you that I want you to be careful about bailing out of here too easily or too quickly because if you got something that you absolutely have to do that you can't get out of over the next five days, then I will consider letting you off. But be careful what you ask for because you might get recycled onto a real long trial. So like I say, be careful what you ask for.

Now, with that having been said, this case is going to last for the next five days possibly.

Is there something in your life over the next five days that is of such an emergency type of a matter that would prevent you from being able to serve as a juror over the next five days, from now until possibly Tuesday, and the kinds of things that I am referring to.

If you have a paid ticket to someplace that you cannot get a refund on, and if you miss, you are going to be out a bunch of money, or if you have a doctor's appointment that you have been waiting six months or eight months for, and it will take another six or eight months if you have to

reschedule it.

If you have something of that nature that you simply cannot make arrangements to reschedule, or to move, and you just can't serve, because what will happen is if you are in that category, if it qualifies, I will excuse you and send you down to the third floor, but again, they will recycle you, and you don't get out. You just get moved.

Economic reasons, economic hardships are in and of themselves not enough to excuse somebody.

Almost everybody here will in some fashion or another incur some kind of an economic hardship from serving on a jury.

We try to minimize that by paying you a lot of money to be here.

Sometimes it's not enough, but I just want you to know, economic hardship reasons alone are not going to get you off, okay?

Now, who has something of an emergency nature between now and next Tuesday that would absolutely prevent them from being able to serve as a juror?

All right, Stand up.

JUROR POLK: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Who are you, and what is your badge

number?

	13
1	JUROR POLK: Badge number 0077.
2	THE COURT: What's your name?
3	JUROR POLK: Ron Polk.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	JUROR POLK: I don't know if this is important
6	enough, but I work for the Department of Defense out at
7	Nellis Air Force Base, and we have Pentagon inspection
8	next week.
9	THE CLERK: I can't hear him.
10	THE COURT: He works for the Department of
11	Defense. He has a Pentagon inspection.
12	JUROR POLK: Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: And when is it, is that over the
14	weekend?
15	JUROR POLK: It starts Friday, Saturday, Sunday,
16	Monday and Tuesday.
17	THE COURT: But you are not in the Air Force?
18	You are just a contractor?
19	JUROR POLK: I work for the Department of Defense.
20	THE COURT: 'Oh, okay, all right.
21	Actually, that's probably just exactly what I'm
22	talking about. They probably don't come out very often.
23	JUROR POLK: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Here's the deal.
25	You need to, when you go down to the third floor,

let them know that you are off because you got a Department 1 2 of Defense inspection, and give them a better time frame. 3 I don't know if you have them, what, every six months or 4 60? 5 JUROR POLK: Sure, every six months. 6 THE COURT: All right. Well, tell them when your next one is scheduled and say, you know, can you recycle 7 8 me in like 90 days, or something. 9 JUROR POLK: Sure. 10 THE COURT: And they will fix you up, okay? 11 JUROR POLK: Thank you sir. 12 THE COURT: 'All right. 13 (Juror Polk excused.) 14 THE COURT: Now, anybody else. 15 THE CLERK: We need to replace Mr. Polk. 16 THE COURT: Yeah, and we are going to replace --17 he is in the number four position. 18 THE CLERK: Yeah, and that will be John Eshelman, 19 badge 190. 20 THE COURT: John, you are coming in the one odd 21 seat right there so you go shead and take that fourth seat. 22 We are going to have somebody try and keep everybody 23 straight. 24 Okay. Now, anybody else? And we will start 25 over here, you, sir.

1 JUROR ARNONE: Charles Arnone, 228. 2 THE COURT: Okay. JUROR ARNONE: I have litigation settlement Friday 3 4 afternoon at one o'clock. 5 THE COURT: A civil litigation settlement? 6 JUROR ARNONE: Correct. 7 THE COURT: You are with your attorney, or something, on Friday afternoon? 8 9 JUROR ARNONE: Well, we are settling a class action 10 lawsuit, and all the people are receiving their checks that 11 we have coming. 12 THE COURT: Well, you have got to be able to get 13 your check. 14 JUROR ARNONE: It's a big check. 15 THE COURT: All right, that's fine. 16 That would be right in the middle of what we are doing, and that is probably going to be too distracting, 17 so that's fine. 18 19 When you go down to the third floor Jury 20 Commissioner's office, you let them know, and they will 21 recycle you. Just tell them when it is going to be convenient because then they have -- the pools are coming in every 22 single week, you know, so tell them 90 days, whatever, 23 24 okay? 25 JUROR ARNONE: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Okay?
2	JUROR ARNONE: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
4	JUROR ARNONE: Thank you.
5	(Juror Arnone excused.)
6	THE CLERK: There's a hand way in the back.
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay, sorry.
8	JUROR Special occasions.
9	THE COURT: Well, like your anniversary?
10	JUROR well; not quite. It's my little brother's
11	first birthday, and I got a couple of rooms reserved in
12	Laughlin, and if I don't make the reservation, it will
13	be charged to my card.
14	THE COURT: , When is it?
15	JUROR BUTLER: It's tonight, Thursday and Friday.
16	THE COURT: No, go ahead and go.
17	JUROR BUTLER: Thank you,
18	THE COURT: What is your name?
19	JUROR BUTLER: Eric Butler.
20	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and go down.
21	Again, let them know what a good time frame would
22	be, and they will recycle you back in, say, like anywhere
23	from 90 to 180 days, okay?
24	JUROR BUTLER: All right. Thanks.
25	(Juror Butler excused.)
1	

THE CLERK: And Wayne Daley, badge 192 to take that chair, please.

THE COURT: Mr. Daley, if you will take that second chair right there, please.

Okay, there was somebody in that -- we'll take the first row. Right there, you are up, ma'am.

JUROR CHAPKIS: Kim Chapkis, badge 209. I have child care issues. I have to pick up my child home from school.

My husband cannot do it because he is in a one person office. He works solely on commission, and my husband leaves for work at 5:30 every morning until 4:30 in the afternoon.

THE COURT: Here is how we kind of do this. Do you have any other family in town?

JUROR CHAPKIS: No.

off once, but the next time that you get subpoensed down here, you are going to have enough of a lead time, and I will be telling you right now, make some arrangements because this is one of those things that a lot of women have, whether they are married or single, if they are single, obviously, it's different, but if they are married, you have got a husband that works, the child care issues that are very touchy and difficult. But they will have

1 to have some kind of arrangements made. 2 So the next time, ask them to give you enough lead time so that you can make some other arrangements to 3 4 handle that. 5 JUROR CHAPKIS: Okay. 6 THE COURT: Because, like I say, it would only be for a few days so it won't be impossible or difficult 7 8 to make arrangements for a few days. 9 So let them know. Go ahead and go on down to 10 the third floor, and then tell them that you will need 11 enough lead time next time so that you can make some 12 arrangements. 13 JUROR CHAPKIS: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Okay? 15 JUROR CHAPKIS: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 (Juror Chapkis excused.) 18 THE COURT: All right. We were in the first row. 19 All right. In the second row, let's go, start 20 with the end. Did somebody down at the end have their 21 hand up? JUROR FRANKLIN: Hi, my name is Frances Franklin. 22 23 and my number is 258. 24 THE COURT: What's wrong, Frances? 25 JUROR FRANKLIN: I'm up here because one of the

reasons you said that I could be up here, but I really 1 2 cannot afford to miss that many days from work. I live by myself, and I live from paycheck to 3 paycheck, and today I am not getting paid. 4 5 THE COURT: Where do you work? 6 JUROR FRANKLIN: The silver Nugget. 7 THE COURT: What do you do there? 8 JUROR FRANKLIN: Work in the bowling alley. 9 THE COURT: And like I said, let's do this, 10 because we are not supposed to let you go because, you 11 know, you are off work and don't get paid. There is a lot of people that fall into that category. 12 13 Let's, let's see how we are doing. If we run 14 out of people, then we got to start this whole thing all 15 over again. So let's just kind of put you on hold here. See how far we get, okay? 16 17 JUROR FRANKLIN: Okay. Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Somebody right next to her. Yes, 18 19 sir, you. 20 JUROR MARTIN: Daniel Martin, 250. 21 I'm a student, and I have class tomorrow and 22 classes all next week, and it would be very difficult to 23 reschedule those lectures. 24 THE COURT: What -- where do you go to school? 25 JUROR MARTIN: UNLV.

THE COURT: They are not finals yet. Finals don't 1 start until another month. 2 JUROR MARTIN: Yeah, but that's tomorrow, if 3 4 I miss the lectures, they are hard of difficult to make 5 up. 6 THE COURT: Well, that's probably true. Are you 7 out of school in the summer? JUROR MARTIN: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: All right. Go on down foot to the 9 10 Jury Commissioner's office and tell them to reschedule you, 11 say, in about 60 days when you will get out of school, and you won't have that problem. 12 13 THE CLERK: Is your badge 210, sir? 14 JUROR MARTIN: 250. 15 THE CLERK: 2? 16 JUROR MARTIN: 250. THE CLERK: Thank you. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 (Juror Martin excused.) 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 JUROR GLEASON: I'm Julie Gleason, 247. I also have child care issues. 22 23 My husband is out of the country so I am kind 24 of a single mom for the next couple of weeks. 25 THE COURT: How old are your kids?

1	JUROR GLEASON: They are 10 and 12, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Well, you don't pick them up and
3	take them to school, do you?
4	JUROR GLEASON: Oh yeah, I do. We they go
5	to a private school, and I don't live close to the school,
6	and they have after-school activities, and things that
7	go on, so they get picked up at different times and
8	THE COURT: When are they out of school?
9	JUROR GLEASON: One is out in
10	THE COURT: . No, no, I mean, they are not in
11	year around school at that age, are they?
12	JUROR GLEASON: No, they are not.
13	THE COURT: Are they off for the summer?
14	JUROR GLEASON: Yeah, and I can do it at another
15	time. I just don't have any help right now.
16	THE COURT: Then we will do that. We will schedule
17	you we will go ahead and I'll excuse you, and let them
18	know and say, please recycle me during June, July, August,,
19	something like that, okay?
20	JUROR GLEASON: Okay.
21	THE CLERK: Is that badge 247?
22	JUROR GLEASON: Yes.
23	THE COURT: YES.
24	THE CLERK: Thank you.
25	JUROR GLEASON: Thank you.

2 (Juror Gleason excused.) THE COURT: Okay. That's the second row. Third 2 row, right down at the end. Yes, you? 3 JUROR GUIDO: My name is Emily Guido. My number 4 5 is 259. 6 THE COURT: What's wrong? JUROR GUIDO: It's my birthday tomorrow, and my 7 sister already made an arrangement for starting tomorrow 8 into the whole weekend for us to go away to California. 9 THE COURT: Again, Joe will make you a birthday 10 cake. Okay, that's fine. 11 12 JUROR GUIDO: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: No, that's fine, that's fine. 14 Just go down, just tell them that it's your birthday tomorrow, and that we said you couyld come back 15 16 and recycle later. 17 JUROR GUIDO: Okay. THE COURT: That's fine. 18 19 JUROR GUIDO: Thank you, Your Honor, 20 (Juror Guido excused.) 21 THE COURT: There was another hand in that row 22 right there. 23 Okay. Yes, sir, and I will come back to you in 24 just a second. 25 Yes, sir.

1	JUROR GONZALEZ: My name is Jesus Gonzalez, 227.
2	I got to take care of my kids.
3	THE CLERK: Take care of who?
4	THE COURT: You have to take care of who?
5	JUROR GONZALEZ: My kids. I have got two.
6	THE COURT: Where is your wife?
7	JUROR GONZALEZ: She works swing shift.
8	THE COURT: What time is that shift, what time
9	does she have to work?
10	JUROR GONZALEZ: She works from 12 o'clock to
11	ten o'clock.
12	THE COURT: And where are your kids that you have
13	to
14	JUROR GONZALEZ: My
15	THE COURT: You will be home by 5, 5:30 every
16	day, I can promise you.
17	JUROR GONZALEZ: Okay, but I need to take my
18	kids to the training yet. I don't know if I can explain.
19	THE COURT: Well, if I let you go this time,
20	are you going to be able to make arrangements for the,
21	say, in about three months?
22	JUROR GONZALEZ: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I will let you go, but you
24	need, the next time you get subpoenaed, let them know
25	that like maybe over the summertime, and then you tell

The state of the s
them you need a little time to make some arrangements,
okay?
JUROR GONZALEZ: No problem, I can do that.
THE COURT: All right. Go on down to the third
floor.
JUROR GONZALEZ: Okay, thank you.
(Juror Gonzalez excused.)
THE COURT: The last row back there.
JUROR RIVERA: My name is Sheila Rivera, my
badge number is 301.
I am raising my granddaughter. She is 11. She
has been with me since she was five years old.
My husband is not healthy, so I have to take
her to activities after school. I do take her to school
in the morning, but I am willing to serve in June or July
when she is off to her other grandmother's house.
THE COURT: All right. Go down and let them
know that you have got a time frame, a window that you
don't have that problem through the summer, okay?
JUROR RIVERA: Yes, thank you.
(Juror Rivera excused.)
THE COURT: . And then two over. Yes? I don't
mean to be pointing.
JUROR GIBSON: I don't mind you pointing.
THE CLERK: We need you to speak up.

1	JUROR GIBSON: Okay. Badge number 9 or 298,
2	okay. I also have a child care issue but mainly, my husband
3	is going to go ballistic if you say like only four days,
4	how early do you have to be here in the morning?
5	THE COURT: We won't be starting before ten
6	o'clock.
7	JUROR GIBSON: Oh, okay. He can handle either
8	taking them in or taking them out. That would be fine.
9	THE COURT: One or the other he can handle?
10	JUROR GIBSON: But I think he will growl at
11	the picking up, but that should work.
12	THE COURT: Okay, all right.
13	And so you know, we have matters that start
14	like before the trial starts. So the trial really won't
15	ever start before 10, and we try to be done by 5, 5:30, and
16	so that's kind of the time frame.
17	JUROR GIBSON: I don't have that much because
18	they can't even get into their school until 8:40 because
19	of the law now
20	THE COURT: That won't be a problem.
21	JUROR GIBSON: Thank you.
22	(Juror Gibson excused.)
23	THE COURT: Did I see somebody else back there
24	or not?
25	Okay. Are we on this side? The front row.

1	You, sir.
2	JUROR CHOE: My name is Kyu Choe my badge number
3	is 332. I have a problem.
4	THE COURT: What's your number again?
5	THE CLERK: 332.
6	JUROR CHOE: 332, sir. I have a problem with
7	the English, sir.
8	THE COURT: You have a problem with what?
9	THE CLERK: English.
10	JUROR CHOE: I just speak little English, but I
11	cannot, you know, documents, and I don't understand.
12	THE COURT: 'There won't be any technical testimony
13	involved here.
14	MS. LUZAICH: Sexual assault exam. Fingerprints.
15	Sorry.
16	THE COURT: . Well, do you think that that language
17	barrier would cause a problem?
18	MR. BANKS: We have no objection to his being
19	excused.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	Maybe, maybe for purposes of this, there is going
22	to be some technical information that might be difficult
23	to grasp.
24	All right. Go down there.
25	They have got plenty of litigation going on.

1 Go down to the third floor and tell them what happened, and they will recycle you back into another kind 2 3 of a case, okay? 4 JUROR CHOE: Yes. Thank you. 5 (Juror Choe excused.) THE COURT: All right. First row, I am looking 6 7 at. Yes, you right there. 8 JUROR SANCHEZ: Carmen Sanchez, badge number 272. 9 I have the same issue with child care. I don't have anybody to pick up my daughter and take her. My husband 10 11 works at --12 THE CLERK: Did you say 272? 13 JUROR SANCHEZ: 272, I'm sorry, 372. THE CLERK: I was going to say, I don't have a 14 15 272. Okay, thank you. JUROR SANCHEZ: My husband works at night, and 16 I work during the day so I would be able to serve on a 17 jury in the summertime when my husband works in the morning 18 as well as I do, then he could take our daughter and pick 19 20 her up. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Again, you will need to tell them. 22 23 I will let you go, and you will need to tell them that you have some time periods during the summertime 24 25 where you won't have this particular issue.

Otherwise, if they can't get you back in the 1 2 next time you have to tell them, I need enough lead time to get some other arrangements made, okay? 3 4 JUROR SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. 5 THE COURT: All right. 6 (Juror Sanchez excused.) 7 THE COURT: In the second row, let me go this 8 way. Third down. You, sir. JUROR SAMBLERO: Romea Samblero, 384. 9 10 I really got two issues. 11 The first issue is I work with six employees, and I am, and where I am behind right now with my car 12 payment for one month, and secondly, I turned 50 yesterday, 13 and the celebration at my house. I don't know if I can 15 have another 50, or so. 16 THE COURT: You probably only get one of those 17 but when is the party? 18 JUROR SAMBLERO: Actually, my wife started cooking this morning, and I have got a lot of friends from out of 19 20 town and everyone is coming over. 21 THE COURT: Will you be able to -- let me do 22 this. We are getting rid of a lot of a lot of people 23 here. 24 Let's wait and see how this goes, see how many people we have got left. We are going to be getting a 25

1 little short here. 2 Yes, right next to him. 3 JUROR SCARRONE: My name is Elisa Scarrone. My 4 badge number is 389. 5 I have issues with my parents. My father just had a heart attack, and I have to go back to work to take 6 7 care of him. 8 THE COURT: What about your mom? 9 JUROR SCARRONE: And when you mentioned 5:30, 10 it's a bit late. 11 THE COURT: Well, 5:30 is the latest. Most of the time, we are out of here by five o'clock. Is that going 12 13 to be impossible? 14 JUROR SCARRONE: Well, I finish work at three, and I always go over there after three and help them out. 15 THE COURT: Can you make some other arrangements 16 17 for a couple days? Who does it when you --18 JUROR SCARRONS: It's pretty hard. I have brothers, but they work. Everybody works. I have nobody going over 19 there. I'm the only one that can go over there. 20 21 THE COURT: What about your mom? 22 JUROR SCARRONE: My mother? She works, too. 23 THE COURT: Well, again, just -- we are getting kind of borderline here only because there are some other 24 people for a few days, you might have to just have to make 25

1 some arrangements. It's only for an hour or two. Let's 2 see -- let's see how we are doing here. 3 JUROR SCARRONE: Okay. 4 THE COURT: We are getting -- we are starting 5 to get kind of thin here on the number of jurors. 6 JUROR SCARRONE: All right, whatever. 7 THE COURT: Yes? 8 JUROR BARNES: I'm Alice Barnes, 402, 9 I work every Tuesday morning. I'm a manicurist. I have a large convention coming in tomorrow, and people 10 coming, and they are doing an investigation on my assistant 11 12 manager. So I can't miss. 13 THE COURT: Is this something you have to be there for? Well, all right. I will let you go but you need to --14 you are going to be recycled fairly quickly. 15 16 JUROR BARNES: Yes, I can understand that. THE COURT: All right. You know, let them know 17 so you have got something outside from work that you can't 18 19 get away from. 20 All right. Let them know if you want like 30 days, 90 days, whatever, and they will recycle you. 21 JUROR BARNES: Okay. They might arrest her 22 23 tomorrow. That's the only thing. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I understand. 25 (Juror Barnes excused.)

1 THE COURT: All right. Down that same row. 2 The row behind you, there on the end. Yes. JUROR THOMPSON: Timothy Thompson, 412. 3 4 My daughter goes to Las Vegas Academy, and at 5 two in the morning, she is going to a college fair in San 6 Diego, and I have to take her, and I have to pick her up 7 at two o'clock tomorrow night. 8 I am not going to get any sleep. I am also in 9 commission sales. I have a lot of appointments Friday. 10 If I miss those, I could lose thousands of dollars. 12

THE COURT: Well, again, that's -- the economic part is something that we are just not -- we can't do anything about, I mean, grin and take it, but the fact that you need to take somebody to --- is it like two in the morning?

JUROR THOMPSON: Yes.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: 'The next day at two in the morning? JUROR THOMPSON: Two at night tonight, in the morning, gets back tomorrow night at two in the morning. It could be later. I could be sitting there, depends on her bus and the traffic, I could be sitting there until four in the morning.

THE COURT: Your wife can't do it?

JUROR THOMPSON: No, she is medically ill. She just had to take a medical retirement from the City of

1 Las Vegas. 2 THE COURT: All right. This is probably not something that comes up very often. 3 JUROR THOMPSON: This does not come up very 4 often. This is the first one, 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Again, go ahead and go down to the third floor, but make sure you don't -- you understand, 7 they are going to recycle you probably on a very short 8 9 basis in only 30 to 60 days. JUROR THOMPSON: That's fine, the timing of it was 10 11 everything. 12 THE COURT: Yeah, all right, all right. 13 JUROR THOMPSON: Thank you. 14 (Juror Thompson excused.) 15 THE COURT: All right. And then next to him, I 16 saw another hand. 17 JUROR SCRUTCHENS: John Scrutchens, 419. 18 I'm like the first gentleman. I work out at Nellis Air Force Base, and we are being inspected. I'm 19 the ad-com trainer and the hazardous manager monitor. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. I will let you guys, I mean, I understand when you have those kind of inspections. 22 There is not too much you can do about it. 23 24 But let them know downstairs so you don't run 25 into a conflict.

1 JUROR SCRUTCHENS: Yeah, I'll tell them. 2 THE COURT: 30 to 90 days down the road, you won't have that problem. 3 JUROR SCRUTCHENS: Right. 5 THE COURT: All right, go on. 6 JUROR SCRUTCHENS: Thank you, sir. 7 (Juror Scrutchens excused.) 8 THE COURT: All right. In that third row, same 9 row, in this last row. 10 JUROR HENRY: My name is Diana Henry. My number is 432, and my husband is across the street in the Detention 11 Center, and he goes to court in the morning. 12 13 I don't know if they are going to send him back to California or not. I have two kids, and I am the only 14 one working right now since he is being prosecuted. 15 16 THE COURT: You mean that he's --17 JUROR HENRY: He was arrested two days ago because he bailed in California, we lived in California, 18 but we moved here, we moved here two years ago, and he 19 20 has been fine here, but we just failed to tell them, and 21 so they picked him up at work, and he has court tomorrow 22 morning. 23 THE COURT: You have got -- you have got other 24 kinds of problems. I am going to let you go but you are 25 a resident of Nevada?

1 JUROR HENRY: Yeah, we are residents, and he registered here for two years, but he just failed to tell 2 California that we came out here. So that's why they 3 arrested him. 4 So I don't know if he will be sent back to California 5 6 tomorrow or they have --7 THE COURT: No, he won't be sent back to California tomorrow, but they are going to start the process of doing 8 something with him. I am going to let you go because I can 9 see that you have got something, you are going to be a little 10 11 distracted here. 12 JUROR HENRY: Yes, correct. THE COURT: Go back downstairs, let them know on 13 the third floor. They will recycle you to some other day. 14 15 You better tell them you need about six months, 16 all right? 17 JUROR HENRY: Yes. Thank you. 18 (Juror Henry excused.) 19 THE COURT: Those of you -- yeah, anybody else in 20 that back row? 21 Did I see another hand there? 22 JUROR RIVERA: My name is Priscilla Rivera, badge number 449. It has nothing to do with economics, but I do 23 own a house, and I am the only one working at home, and I 24 25 sell time shares for a living so when I don't go to work

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DELARIAN K. WILSON. Appellant, vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

THE STATE OF NEVADA Respondent. Supreme Court No.:

District Court Case Electronically Filed
Nov 12 2015 10:17 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME VII - PAGES 1500-1749

MATTHEW D. CARLING 51 East 400 North, Bldg. #I Cedar City, Utah 84720 (702) 419-7330 (Office) Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Counsel for Respondent

26

27

INDEX Wilson, Delarian

Document	Page
Information filed on 04/20/07	0001-0009
Criminal Bindover filed on 04/25/07	0010-0103
Defendant's Motion for Release of Own Recognizance or in the	
Alternative Set Reasonable Bail filed on 05/17/07	0104-0126
Bond filed on 06/08/07	0334-0337
Bond filed on 06/08/07	0338-0341
Bond filed on 06/08/07	0342-0345
Ex Parte Motion to Appoint Investigator and for Fees in Excess of Statutory Limit filed on 08/16/07	0346-0349
Ex Parte Order filed on 08/29/07	0350-0357
Motion to Sever Defendants filed on 03/06/08	0358-0364
Motion to Suppress Fruits of Illegal Search filed on 03/11/08	0365-0392
Defendants Joinder in Co-Defendant Narcus Wesley's Motion to Sever Defendants filed on 03/11/08	0393-0394
Defendant's Motion to Suppress Statement filed on 03/17/08	0395-0434
State's Opposition to Defendant Wilson's Motion to Suppress Statement filed on 03/24/08	0435-0456
State's Opposition to Defendant Wesley's Motion to Suppress Fruits of Illegal Search filed on 03/24/08	0457-0478
Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial filed on 03/25/08	0479-0481
Guilty Plea Agreement filed on 03/28/08	0482-0490
Amended Information filed on 03/28/08	0491-0493
Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed on 03/28/08	0494-0498
Defendant's Notice of Witnesses Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed on 04/07/08	0519-0521
Second Amended Information filed on 04/10/08	0522-0531
District Court Jury List filed on 04/10/08	0532
Amended Criminal Jury List filed on 04/14/08	0649
Instructions to the Jury filed on 04/18/08	0650-0706
Verdict filed on 04/18/08	0707-0712
Order for Expedition of Transcript filed on 04/24/08	0723
Defendant Wesley's Motion for New Trial 04/28/08	0724-0731
Motion for Withdrawal of Counsel filed on 04/28/08	0732-0734
Motion for Extension of Time filed on 04/28/08	0735-0738
Notice of Motion filed on 04/28/08	0739-0742
Motion for Bail Pending Sentencing Appeal filed on 05/01/08	0743-0747
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Motion for New Trial filed on 06/05/08	0754-0759
Receipt of Copy filed on 06/10/08	0760
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed on 06/18/08	0761-0765

Sentencing Memorandum filed on 07/03/08	0787-0820
Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty) filed on 07/16/08	0821-0822
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed on 07/18/08	0823-0827
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/18/08	0828-0829
Case Appeal Statement filed on 07/21/08	0830-0831
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/22/08	0832-0833
Case Appeal Statement filed on 07/24/08	0834-0836
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/24/08	0837-0838
Case Appeal Statement filed on 07/24/08	0839-0840
Case Appeal Statement filed on 07/24/08	0841-0843
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/24/08	0844-0846
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/25/08	0847-0848
Notice of Appeal filed on 08/05/08	0849-0851
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/06/08	0852-0853
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/14/08	0854-0856
Notice of Motion and Motion to Correct Illegal Sentence filed on	0878-0881
09/05/08	00/0-0001
Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed on 09/10/08	0882-0885
Order for Production of Inmate Narcus Samone Wesley filed on 09/13/08	0886-0887
Ex Parte Application to Appoint Attorney of Record to Represent	V660-V667
Defendant Narcus S. Wesley During Appeal Process filed on 09/15/08	0888-0892
Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed on 09/17/08	0893-0896
Request for Transcript of Proceedings filed on 10/07/08	0897-0899
Amended Judgment of Conviction filed on 10/08/08	0900-0905
Certificate of Delivery to the Supreme Courthouse filed on 12/05/08	1996-1997
Certificate of Delivery to the Supreme Courthouse filed on 12/09/08	1998-2000
Clerk's Certificate Judgment Affirmed filed on 08/07/09	
Clerk's Certificate Judgment Affirmed filed on 04/12/10	2021-2027
Attorney Time and Costs filed on 06/28/10	2028-2034
Motion for Order Instructing the Attorney of Record to Provide petitioner	2035-2039
With a Complete and Copy of the Case in the Above Entitled Case Number filed on 08/05/10	2040-2052
Dertificate of Mailing filed on 08/20/10	2053
Request for Transcripts/Court Proceedings filed on 10/01/10	2054-2071
state's Opposition to Defendant's Request for Transcripts/Court Proceedings filed on 10/13/10	2072-2075
Defendant's Motion to Appoint Post-Conviction Relief Counsel filed on 0/27/10	2076-2081
Order Denying Defendant's Request for Transcripts/Court Proceedings iled on 11/01/10	2082-2083
state's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Appoint Post-Conviction Relief Counsel filed on 11/23/10	2084-2088
Receipt filed on 12/17/10	2089
Notice of Change of Hearing filed on 06/15/11	2090

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 10/10/11	2091-2104
Application and Order for Transcripts filed on 10/13/11	2105
Application and Order for Transcripts filed on 10/13/11	2106
Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-	2107-2115
Conviction) and Motion to Dismiss filed on 11/01/11	
Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas	2121-2122
Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to Dismiss filed on 12/05/11	
Findings of Fact. Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 01/06/12	2123-2130
Notice of Entry of Decision and Order filed on 01/18/12	2131-2139
Motion for Clarification and/or Reconsideration of Denial of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 01/30/12	2140-2141
Order Staying the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 02/21/12	2142
Notice of Appeal filed on 02/21/12	2143
Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Clarification and/or	2149-2150
Reconsideration of Denial of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 02/24/12	9 50402
Order for Production of Inmate Delarian Kameron Wilson filed on	2163
03/01/12	2103
Motion to Place on Calendar filed on 05/23/13	2164
Order for Transcripts filed on 08/06/13	2197
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 11/12/13	2205
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 11/19/13	2206-2215
Order for Transcript filed on 11/21/13	2216
Notice of Appeal filed on 12/10/13	2217
Case Appeal Statement filed on 12/10/13	2218-2220
Motion to Withdraw Due to Conflict filed on 08/06/14	2221-2223
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	2224-2265
State's Response and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's "Supplemental" Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 03/06/15	2266-2276
Stipulation to Enlarge Briefing Schedule and Order filed on 03/26/15	2277-2278
Reply to State's Response and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's	2279-2296
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 04/20/15	14400 =======
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 07/22/15	2297-2303
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 07/24/15	2304-2311
Notice of Appeal filed on 08/04/15	2312-2313
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/04/15	2314-2316
Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 08/04/15	2317-2319
	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

TRANSCRIPTS

Document	Page No.
Transcript - Preliminary Hearing filed on 05/23/07	0127-0201
Transcript - Preliminary Hearing filed on 05/23/07	0202-0333
Transcript - Change of Plea as to Defendant Wilson filed on 04/01/08	0499-0518
Transcript - Defendant Wesley's Motion to Suppress filed on 04/11/08	0533-0648
Transcript - Opening Statement of Mr. Landis filed on 04/21/08	0713-0722
Transcript - Judgment and Sentencing and filed on 06/03/08	0748-0753
Transcript - Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Bail Pending Sentencing/Appeal Defendant's Motion for New Trial filed on 07/03/08	0766-0786
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 09/03/08	0857-0877
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 11/12/08	0906-0988
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 11/12/08	0989-1029
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 11/12/08	1030-1034
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 11/12/08	1035-1040
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 11/12/08	1041-1110
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 11/12/08	1111-1131
Transcript - Jury Trail filed on 11/12/08	1132-1171
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 11/12/08	1172-1192
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 12/05/08	1193-1415
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 12/05/08	1416-1700
Transcript - Jury Trial filed on 12/05/08	1701-1995
Transcript - Change of Plea as to Defendant Wilson filed on 12/09/08	2001-2020
Transcript - Status Check filed on 11/17/11	2116-2120
Transcript - Hearing filed on 09/27/12	2151-2156
Transcript - Hearing filed on 09/27/12	2157-2162
Transcript - Writ filed on 09/23/13	2165-2196
Franscript -	

the police officer on the telephone, would it be possible, unlikely, likely, that if you look under here, you say, well, detective, the address and the name that you've given me are no longer active, but there is an active address and a different address with that name, maybe with a different Social Security number, or whatever?

THE WITNESS: That isn't something that I would

THE WITNESS: That isn't something that I would be able to instantly see with a different Social Security number. When I'm pulling up a record, it's just a unique record about that Social Security number. I would be able to see if that Social Security moved to a different address.

I would not be able to see someone else's Social Security number onto that person's record, like any of these record lack a Social Security number.

THE COURT: Okay. So the base then to track this information is primarily the Social Security number?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Okay. And so, but you could while you are on the telephone say, well, that power that you have given me was shut off on that particular date, but I am seeing that the power on that same date was turned on at a different address with the same name?

THE WITNESS: Like a customer moved?

THE COURT: Right.

THE WITNESS: Correct, that's correct.

THE COURT: And you would be able at that -- and 1 would that be -- if somebody said that that is what they 2 were told on the phone, is there any reason to believe to 3 say that that's true or not true, I mean, is that entirely 5 possible or is it highly unlikely? THE WITNESS: If a customer moved? б 7 THE COURT: Yes, if you are talking to a police officer, and the police officer said that's what I said on 8 9 the phone, is that -- is that likely? 10 THE WITNESS: It could be likely, and the subpoena was coming over, and it was an emergency situation, it could 11 12 have come, that's fine. THE COURT: Actually, what we are more concerned 13 14 with here is the actual conversation that you had. 15 Is that information so readily available to you 16 that that if the police officer said, that's what I was 17 told that that it's probably accurate? 18 THE WITNESS: Probably accurate. 19 THE COURT: Okay. BY MS. LUZAICH: 20 (Continuing) 21 Just in simple terms: 22 If a police officer calls you and says, I am 23 looking for John Smith's power. I believe it is at Adams Drive, and you look up John Smith, and you say, yes, 24

25

Officer, John Smith has power, but it was turned off on

Adams Drive on January 1 and turned on on Washington 1 Drive on January 2, I mean, is that something that you 2 3 could determine quickly? A . Yes. 5 And, Officer, John Smith's Social Security number is 123456789, or whatever, so that you can put that on the subpoena when you send it to me as soon as you hang 7 8 up the telephone? 9 Normally under normal circumstances, the officer gives me a Social Security number. 10 1.1 But if they don't have it? **Q** . 12 If they don't have it, a common name would 13 be too hard to --14 (Interposing) Well, no, I am just using Q. John Smith, but if you see it there, you are looking at 15 the screen, and it says John Smith has power on Washington 16 17 Drive, his Social Security number would be on the screen 18 slso, correct? 19 Α. If they gave me one, yes. 20 Q. If they gave you one? 21 A. Correct. 22 So, during your conversation with the detective, so that he would get you the correct information 23 24 on the subpoena, is it possible that you would have told him, yes, John Smith has power at Washington and his Social 25

Security is 132456, so that he can give you the correct 1 2 information to make it easier to comply with the subpoena because it is easier for you to comply with the subpoens 3 if you have the Social Security number, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. MS. LUZAICH: Okay, thank you. 7 THE COURT: Anything else? MR. LANDIS: Yeah, briefly, Judge. 8 9 THE COURT: Go shead. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. LANDIS: 12 As an investigator for Nevada Power, you have been trained in the importance of details? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Is it important that you pay attention to like numbers in the Social Security number? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. Or names? 19 Α. Yes. 20 If an officer called you and said I want Ο. 21 to know if John Smith has power at 444 Red Street, and 22 you pull up that record, and it turns out that there was 23 power at 444 Red Street, however, it wasn't John Smith, 24 it was James Smith that had power. 25 Based on your training and experience, would

you notice that difference when you are talking to the 1 officer? 3 Α. Yes, I would. 0. And would you inform the officer of that? Α. Yes. Is it normal practice or we are talking Б about possibilities, I want to talk about what you do. 7 8 Do you give Social Security numbers to police 9 officers when they ask for them without a subpoena actually 10 hitting your desk? 11 If it's an emergency situation, and the 12subpoena is on the way, information can be communicated, 13 in an emergency, an extreme emergency situation. 14 Subpoenas need to be provided in order to obtain 15 the information. 16 MR. LANDIS: The Court's indulgence. Nothing 17 further, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony. I appreciate it. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 (Witness excused.) 22 THE COURT: Do you want to call somebody else? MR. LANDIS: I call Narviez Wesley. 23 24 MS: LUZAICH: Who? 25 MR. LANDIS: Narviez Wesley.

1 THE CLERK: Come forward, please, sir, take the witness stand, remain standing and raise your right hand. 2 3 Whereupon, NARVIEZ WESLEY, called as a witness herein by the Defendant, having been 5 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: б THE CLERK: Thank you very much. You may have 8 a seat. 9 State your name, spell your first name and last 10 name for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Narviez Wesley. The 11 first name is N-a-r-v-i-e-z, and the last name, Wesley, 12 13 W-e-s-1-e-y. 14 THE CLERK: Thank you. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. LANDIS: 17 Q. Mr. Wesley, where did you reside in February, 18 of 2007? 19 A. 4232 Gay Lane, Las Vegas, Nevada. 20 Q. Do you know this guy? 21 Yes, I do. A. 22 Q. Who is this? 23 Α. My son. 24 MR. LANDIS: Let the record reflect Mr. Wesley 25 has I D'd the Defendant.

1 THE COURT: The record will so show. 2 BY MR. LANDIS: 3 Were you home in February, of 2007 when Q. Henderson SWAT entered your home? 5 Α. Yes, I was. ٥. Who was at at that time? 7 A. My wife, myself, and my son. 8 Q. Narous? 3 Α. Yea. 10 During the course of their search of your Q. premises, did you have any conversations with members 11 of either SWAT or the Henderson Police Department? 12 13 A Yes, I did. 14 Q. What were those conversations? 15 When they came into our home --16 MS. LUZAICH: Objection, foundation, I mean, who 17 are we talking about? 18 THE COURT: We are talking about the witness 19 and the --20 MS. LUZAICH: Any officer in his home? 21 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Did you ever 22 get anybody's name of any of the SWAT as they came in? 23 THE WITNESS: No. sir. 24 THE COURT: Was there one particular person that appeared to be in charge? 25

THE WITNESS: Not at the moment.

We were asleep, and when they came in, they had guns in our face and lights shing, and they told me and my wife to put our hands up, and sit there, and we just sat there with our hands in the air, and then we asked them what's going on, and nobody said anything.

THE COURT: You don't know who you were talking to?

THE WITNESS: No, because they had on their helmets and everything so we didn't even see any faces on them.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

BY MR. LANDIS:

- Q. Could you tell the difference between the initial SWAT officers that entered your house and other members of that Police Department?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How could you tell that difference?
- A. The SWAT officers were all dressed with helmets, guns and the lights on, with the lights shining, and the other officers, the first officer that we really saw after they made us go in the living room, he had on just some regular clothes, like a pair of slacks and a shirt. He wasn't dressed up, or anything.

And then it was dark in the room so then another

officer came in, and they talked.

Then a few minutes later, a lady officer come in with another man, like four or five different officers. but they didn't have on any uniforms. They had on regular street clothes.

- Q. Have you seen one of those officers who was wearing civilian clothes that day in the hallway of this Courthousetoday?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. I want to first talk about the SWAT people who came into your house.

Did you have any direct conversations with them?

A. The only thing, no, well, we asked them what was going on, you know, what was happening because we didn't know.

And they said, just to be still, and then they sit there for a minute, and they went in Marcus's bedroom, got him and brought him out.

Then they brought us back up in the living room and set us down on the couch.

Then the SWAT team went outside with Narcus at first, and the Officer Weske, I remember him because he told us who he was, stood there in the living room with us in front of us, and wouldn't let us move. So we were just sitting there on the couch for like almost an hour,

Q. Bid you have any conversations with any of those officers regarding the existence of a search warrant?

Yes, we did.

My wife asked them first, where was the search warrant?

And this Officer Weske told my wife that the search warrant was outside. He would go out and get it and bring it back.

So 10,15 minutes went by while the other officer entered the bedroom, searching all the back part of my house, and my wife asked him again for the search warrant. He said, don't worry about it. We have got it. We are going to go get it.

Then, I guess, about 30, 45 minutes later because we sat there a long time. My wife asked him, where is the search warrant? And he said something, and he then just kind of turned it off.

So I think it was about that time, I asked him, could I call my family attorney because we use one attorney for the whole family for certain, you know, little stuff.

MS. LUZAICH: Judge, can he answer the question?

I am going to object as non responsive. Can he answer the question, did you review the search warrant?

THE WITNESS: Okay. I --1 THE COURT: Go shead and answer the question. BY MR. LANDIS: 3 4 Q. About that attorney issue, who was present, 5 what members of your family were present at that point in time? MS. LUZAICH: Objection, relevance, as to --THE COURT: Overruled. Let's get through this. 8 9 Let's get through this hearing. C'mon. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: All three of us, my wife, my wife --10 11 I was sitting here, my wife was in the middle, and Narcus was alongside when I asked about the attorney. So all 12 13 three of us were there in the room. 14 BY MR. LANDIS: 15 And what did you ask him? 16 I asked him could I call my family attorney 17 because we wanted an attorney present because they wouldn't 18 tell us nothing. 19 So I know that the law says you have the right 20 to an attorney to be there or at least advised by an 21 attorney. They told me that we didn't need an attorney 22 there because he wasn't under arrest. 23 Who told you that? ٥. Officer Weske. 24 A. 25 MR. LANDIS: Nothing further.

1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 MS. LUZAICH: The Court's indulgence. THE COURT: Okay. 3 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. KOLLINS: 5 6 Q. Mr. Wesley, you have been at least twice convicted of felonies in this jurisdiction, is that correct? 3 8 Yes, but that doesn't have anything pertaining to this case. 9 10 Q. Well, that's not for you to decide. That's for the Court to decide. 11 12 Α. Yes, I have been convicted of a felony, yes. 13 Yes, I have. Assault with a deadly weapon and attempted 14 murder, yes. 15 Q. Your son was escorted outside by Detective 16 Weske? 17 A. No. 18 Q. By SWAT? 19 A. Yes. 20 0. And then talked to by Detective Weske 21 outside? 22 No. Detective Weske was in the house when 23 they brought our son back into the house because they 24 said it was cold outside so they brought him back into 25 the house and set him down.

1	Q. Okay. And eventually your whole family was
2	taken out of zip ties, correct?
3	A. No, we were never we were never tied
4	up, no. We were just held that date. I guess you know
5	they had guns right there so we couldn't move.
6	MS. KOLLINS: No more questions.
3	THE COURT: Is that it?
8	MR. LANDIS: Nothing further, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your
10	testimony, Mr. Wesley, I appreciate it.
11	(Witness excused.)
12	THE COURT: 'Is that going to be it?
13	MR. LANDIS: The Court's indulgence.
14	I call Angela Wesley, and while we are getting
15	her, Judge, for the record, I do intend to call my client
16	as to issues pertaining to this search warrant.
17	I do think the case law is clear, but I want to
18	make sure we are all on the same page.
19	He can testify as to issues pertaining to his
20	rights of counsel, and the search warrant.
21	The State, of course, has the right to cross
22	him as to that, but subsequent issues dealing with the
23	case cannot be inquired into, and further, it does not
24	impact anything that would happen at the jury trial later
25	today.

1	THE COURT: That's my understanding, just to
2	make it quite clear.
3	MR. LANDIS: I just wanted to make sure before
4	we had him take the stand.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	THE CLERK: Would you come step forward, please
7. E	ma'am, take the witness stand.
8	Remain standing, and raise your right hand,
9	please.
10	Whereupon,
11	ANGELA WESLEY,
12	called as a witness herein by the Defendant, having been
13	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
14	THE CLERK: Thank you very much, you may be
15	seated.
16	Please state your name and spell your first and
17	your last name for the record.
18	THE WITNESS: Angela, A-n-g-e-l-o, the last name,
19	Wesley, W-e-s-1-e-y.
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. LANDIS:
23	Q. Ma'am, where did you live in February, of
24	2007?
25	A. At 4232 Gay Lane.

2	Q. Did you live there with your husband?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And what's his name?
4	A. Narviez Wesley.
5	Q. Were you home in February, of 2007, when
6	the Henderson Police Department SWAT served a search warrant
7	at that residence?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. As part of that execution, did there come
10	a time when the three of you, and by the three of you,
11	I mean Narviez, yourself and Narcus were in the living
12	room?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you hear any communication between Narviez
15	and members of the Henderson Police Department concerning
16	a right to his intent to call his attorney?
17	A. To call our family attorney, yes.
1,8	Q. What was said?
19	A. They told us that we didn't need one at that
20	time because Narcus was not under arrest.
21	Q. Slow down. What did Narviez say?
22	A. Narviez said could he call his family lawyer?
23	Q. And did one of the Henderson Police Department
24	officials respond to that request?
25	A. Yes, he did.

1	Q. And what did they say?
2	Committee of the commit
	A. That he didn't need a family attorney because
3	Narviez wasn't under arrest or Narcus wasn't under arrest.
4	MR. LANDIS: Nothing further, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Cross?
6	MS, LUZAICH: Nothing.
7	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
8	Thank you for your testimony. I appreciate it.
9	(Witness excused.)
10	MR. LANDIS: We will call lastly, Judge, Narcus
11	Wesley.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	THE CLERK: Come forward, please, take the witness
14	stand, remain standing, and raise your right hand.
15	Whereupon,
16	NARCUS WESLEY,
17	called as a witness herein by the Defendant, having been
18	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
19	THE CLERK: Thank you very much. You may be
20	seated.
21	State your name and spell your first and last
22	name for the record.
23	THE WITNESS: Narcus, N-a-r-c-u-s, Wesley,
24	W-e-s-1-e-y.
25	THE CLERK: Thank you.
V	

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LANDIS:
ā	Q. Were you at that Gay Lane address we have
4	heard about a few times today in February, of 2007 when
5	a search warrant was executed?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did there come a time when your father,
8	your stepmother, and yourself were in the living room
9	of that residence?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Could you hear everything that was being
12	said amongst your family members and members of the
13	Henderson Police Department?
14	A. Yes, because we were in the living room.
15	Q. Did you hear your father at any point
16	talk to the Henderson Police Department regarding an
17	attorney being present?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What did he say?
20	A. My dad asked him, he said, well, and he
21	said, what's going on, and he said, we are going to call
22	our family attorney, and the cop say, nobody is under
23	arrest, so you guys don't need that.
24	Q. And you heard that at that time?
25	A. Yes.

1	MR. LANDIS: The Court's indulgence.
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	MR. LANDIS: Nothing further, Judge.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. LUZAICH:
6	Q. Well, in fact, you were not under arrest
7	at that point, is that correct?
8	MR. LANDIS: Objection, legal conclusion.
9	BY MS. LUZAICH:
10	O. Well, did anyone tell him
11	THE COURT: Go ahead, overruled. Go ahead.
12	BY MS, LUZAICH:
13	Q. Okay. Is that correct? Did anybody tell
14	you you were under arrest yet?
15	A. Uh-uh.
1.6	Q. Would that be a "no"?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay. And, in fact, you were at that house
19	that day, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Were you sleeping when SWAT got there?
22	A. I really can't recall because
23	Q. Where were you when SWAT got there?
24	A. I was in my bedroom.
25	Q. So you have a bedroom there?
1.0	

1	A. Uh-huh.
2	Q. And you were in that is that a yes?
3	A. Yes, yes.
4	Q. You always have to say yes or no, because
5	our really nice court reporter here has a yes button, a
6	no button but no uh-uh button?
7	A. Yes, ma'am,
8	Q. Is that fair? So you were at the house
9	at least for a significant period of time that day,
10	right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And you drive that white Chrysler 300?
13	A. Yes, I bought it.
14	Q. And that was in the driveway that day?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And evening?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And you did, in fact, speak with police
19	officers, just you and Detective Weske and Detective
20	Hartshorn, correct?
21	A. No, not exactly.
22	Q. So you never talked to them?
23	A. Yes, I talked to them, but it wouldn't
24	just go to that.
25	Q. Okay. Were you tape recorded?
1	

1	A. I would assume. I didn't know I was being
2	tape recorded.
3	Q. Did they remove did you have ties on
4	your wrists when you talked to them?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And did they try and get the ties off?
4	A. Well, yes, but it certainly took a while.
8	Q. And it was hard, right?
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. Okay. Did they is that a "yes"?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. But they did try
13	A, Yes.
1.4	Q. They did try to help you out because it
15	was uncomfortable?
16	A. I guess.
17	Q. And they well, was it uncomfortable?
18	A. Yes, it was uncomfortable. I still have
19	the marks on my hands:
20	Q. And they tried to
21	MR. LANDIS: (Interposing) Well, that is
22	speculation, Judge. He doesn't know what they were trying
23	to do.
24	MS. LUZAICH: Well, he knows that they were trying
25	to take them off.

THE COURT: Overruled, c'mon. Did they finally 1 2 get those slip-ons --MS. LUZAICH: Zip ties. 3 THE COURT: -- those ties, zip ties, did they get 4 5 those finally off? THE WITNESS: Yes, but they put cuffs on after. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 BY MS. LUZAICH: 9 Okay. And they read you your rights, correct? 10 A I don't recall that. 11 Ο. So if there is a tape recording of your rights being read to you, and you say you understand them, 12 13 that was justly magically produced? 14 Well, if it's on the paper, I guess so, but Α. 15 at the time when they came in, I was half asleep and telling me a whole bunch of things, and I was just saying uh-huh, 16 17 uh-huh, okay. 18 Q. But you remember your dad asking for a 19 lawyer? 20 A. Yes, because we were standing there. 21 MS. LUZAICH: Okay, nothing further. 22 THE COURT: Anything else? 23 MR. LANDIS: No. Judge. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate very much your 25 testimony. Go ahead and sit by counsel.

1 THE DEFENDANT: Okav. 2 (Witness excused.) THE COURT: Does that conclude our evidentiary portion of our hearings. 5 MR. LANDIS: Yes, the Defense has no further witnesses. THE COURT: All right. Let's wrap up your 8 arguments here. 9 Any supplements to your written motion and 10 opposition, this should be the time to wrap it up. 11 MR. LANDIS: Judge, contrary to what the State 12 says two times in their opposition, the information provided by Detective Weske in his search warrant affidavit concerning 13 the Nevada Power records which we heard about today, they 14 15 did not concern statements made by codefendant concerning where this guy lived or that he lived with his parents. 16 17 They also concern the fact that he had a 300-M Chrysler that was registered to him. 18 19 So, in effect, it was those two facts and those two facts alone that the detective purported to tie Mr. 20 21 Wesley to that residence. 22 The detective couldn't give good answers as to 23 where he got that Social Security number from. 24 He couldn't give good answers as to why he didn't 25 point out that information in the search warrant.

I think Donna Lamonte made it pretty clear that if he would have requested that name or even if he requested that name and that address if there was a discrepancy, she would have communicated that to him

1.1

over the phone.

Further, as to how he got that Social Security number, which clearly was not Narcus's, he knew it wasn't Narcus's because he put Narcus's correct Social Security number throughout the same portion of the case he testified in putting on the search warrant itself.

I think it's pretty clear that he knew at the time he drafted that search warrant that Narcus Wesley could not have power at that address, that it was in fact Narviez Wesley, and he knew that sending that subpoena to that house with that Social Security number would get him information that he put in the search warrant.

That is, if not a knowingly false statement, it shows a reckless disregard, Judge. Those facts are important.

Further, as to the Chrysler as to where it was registered to, he said he got information concerning the fact that it was registered to Narcus, but if he would have gotten that information, he would have also found out that it was registered to Narcus at a different address.

He did not include that information in the search warrant.

Those two statements, which are the only basis for probable cause in that search warrant have some issues regarding their veracity.

As I say, Judge, we don't have to show that they were knowingly false. A reckless disregard for the truth is also as doubt.

What this Court needs to do is take out those statements made by Detective Weske concerning probable cause that you believe meet those standards, and they know will, there is no deference due to the magistrate in this situation, determine whether or not what is in there, which was not false, which was not submitted without reckless disregard for the truth, whether those statements afford a probable cause, Judge, and they just don't. They just don't.

Even if, even if you believe that he did not have the information regarding the car that he chose not to put in the search warrant, the fact that an individual has a car parked in front of the house is not enough to support probable cause to search that house.

If we are talking about an arrest warrant, that's one thing.

But Lord knows any time the cops sees a car

1 parked in front of the house, that does not give him 2 probable cause to search that house for evidence of a 3 crime. 4 That alone is not basis for probable cause, 5 Judge. This was a bad search warrant. 6 You heard what they said. They were in a hurry. 7 He cut corners, and he did not do a complete job. 8 Second, as to his confession, there is issues 9 regarding right to counsel. 10 On behalf of his family with my client sitting 11 there, his father asserted, at least asked if they could 12 call their family attorney. 13 The answer was: 14 You don't need an attorney, he is not under 15 the arrest. 16 That's not the law, and that's not the standard. 17 If an individual wants to have an attorney present for 18 questioning, or for that matter during the execution of 19 a search warrant, they have that right. 20 With my client sitting there and hearing that. 21 the reasonable inferences, the inferences he drew was that he could not have an attorney there at that time. 22 23 Then questioning begins. As to the Miranda warning, they were in quick 24 succession if you look at the transcript, and the only 25

questions:

"Do you understand that?"

"Uh-huh, Uh-huh."

"Do you understand that?"

Detective Weske never went to the second step of

asking:

.020

Do you want to waive those rights and talk to us?

That's an important step in Miranda, and it's an important thing for a potential Defendant to hear before the further questions.

Based on that, we ask that you suppress the entire search, including the statement based on the search warrant alone.

If the Court is not inclined to do it, we would ask this that you suppress his statement for violation of Miranda.

MR. BANKS: And, Judge, I wanted just to add to that that there was no indication by Detective Weske that Narcus had a right to counsel during questioning, and I am relying on the case of Pope, P-o-p-e, v. Zenon, Z-e-n-o-n. That's at 69 F.3d 1018, page 1023.

That's a Ninth Circuit 1995 case, which indicates that before interrogation, police must make it clear to the suspect that he has a right to talk with an attorney before questioning and to have that attorney present during the

interrogation.

With that, we will submit it to the Court.

THE COURT: Your turn.

MS. LUZAICH: First of all, there is no evidence whatsoever to suggest that Detective Weske either intentionally misled the reviewing Judge, or that he was reckless in his disregard for the truth.

The way he described it, and the way that is very much more likely that it occurred, these guys had been up for two and a half days basically by the time he called Donna Lamonte, and he calls her and says that he has -- he is looking for power under the name of Narcus Wesley at Valley Lane, Valley Drive, whatever, and she looks it up, and she says, well, there is a Narcus Wesley, but it's not -- it was turned off at Valley, it's at Gay Lane.

Wilson had told him that Narcus drives the Chrysler 300, that's in the search warrant.

He goes to that address, and he immediately as soon as he hangs up the phone with her, he goes to that address to corroborate, and sure enough, the white Chrysler is in the driveway, and he goes, and he writes the search warrant and gets it done.

It's not until the next day after he hears from Narcus's dad about the power thing that he goes back, and he sees the subpoena, and it says:

"Please note. The individual's first name is different from your request."

And the note on here was indicating that she did not notice when she was on the phone with him that the name was different.

He knew that the person who committed the offense with Wilson was Narcus. Narcus's photograph was pointed out by Wilson. The vehicle is the same, I mean, under the case law, if there is an intentional misrepresentation, or reckless disregard, you re-review it, taking that out, and I think that even without that information, there is still enough.

But there is no evidence that it was an intentional misrepresentation or that it was reckless.

I think there is certainly sufficient probable cause for the search warrant, and he did everything in good faith.

When you think about it, they had two guys that commit this -- I mean, forcible guns into the house, kids on the ground, takes one to the ATM with a gun, with the other one staying with the others at gunpoint.

Then they gratuitously for no reason whatsoever cause the SA, the sexual assault to occur, and then this one actually sexually assaults the girl with the gun.

So that is something that they are dire trying

to find the individuals that committed the offenses. L 2 These guys were working around the clock for 3 two and a half days, and it is kind of a miracle that 4 they were able to find the guys. 5 So I think that their intent is absolutely in 6 good form. 7 So I think that as far as the search warrant, 8 they're fine. 9 But as far as the Miranda, and invoking, first 10 of all, daddy can't invoke on behalf of Narcus, absolutely, 11 positively, the case law says that. 12 Even if he were a juvenile, daddy can't invoke on his behalf, but he's an adult so daddy can't invoke on 13 14 behalf of him. 15 Furthermore, it was very clear. He read from 16 the transcript. They read him his Miranda rights, and he 17 understood them, and he chose to speak with them. 18 And then finally, you know, the case law says there is no telecnomic phrases that have to be put forth. 19 20 He just has to understand that he has got the 21 right to an attorney, and he has got the right to an 22 attorney now. He doesn't have to wait until he gets to 23 court. 24 And the fact that they said that you have the

right before questioning, dah, it means during questioning

as well.

-11.51

What the Court is concerned about is when they don't say when the right attaches that people, even though they watch TV, think that they don't have the right until they get to court.

It was very clear that they told him that he has got the right to an attorney right then and there.

So there is no reason for the Court to suppress anything.

MR. LANDIS: Very briefly, Judge, two points.

Donna Lamonte made it very clear that she called with the address and the name and if the name was different, she would have let them know that.

She did not mix her words when she said that. Judge.

And, secondly, to say that Detective Weske didn't lie, has no reason to lie, we all know very well that if he loses this motion because of bad police work, he is going to get in trouble for it.

To say that he doesn't have something to gain by getting out there and trying to fix what he did that day is a joke.

We all know in a case this serious, this guy has to to make sure the search warrant sticks or he is going to hear about it back at the station.

There is case law after case law where individual judges who had set against the exclusionary rule talk about how it support perjury. It puts officers in a spot where they have to lie to keep evidence in court. This is that case.

S

And, lastly, Judge, they say it is a miracle, they say it's a miracle that these people were found.

I don't think it's a miracle that these people were found when constitutional rights are violated.

THE COURT: All right. Here is my take, and I don't think it is very surprising.

First of all, I don't think that any of the -there is no question that there was some misinformation on
that application, as I see it, and everybody understands
it.

All right. The question is whether it was done intentionally or recklessly.

My conclusion is no, I don't think so at all under these circumstances. I think it's quite clear, the father's name, the son's name. I don't think there was by any stretch, by any stretch that it was done intentionally.

I think it was all business. If it had been noticed, I think that even just a minor explanation would have sufficed, and even if it was, which I don't believe

for an instant that it was, I think that the rest of the information still reaches the level of probable cause, and I think that it's the test that the Court applies is a substantial basis for concluding probable cause exculpatory was a small part of accuracy and clarity is going to be.

Just as importantly, let me address the Miranda warnings.

I am not aware that the complicity, the status of the law is such that you have to inform the Defendant not only of their right to an attorney before questioning. but that you have to go on to a further explanation that includes specifically the right to have an attorney present during questioning.

He did say that he could stop at any time that he directed him to stop.

The Defendant acknowledged that each one of those questions was clear.

There is nothing wrong with that argument, and the motion to suppress is denied.

Now, it's going to take about 10 minutes to get them -- we are going to move the courtroom, okay? We do have the courtroom available.

THE BAILIFF: It will take approximately 15 minutes to get it ready.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 So it will take about 15 minutes to get the jury pool up. 3 Now, let's discusss a few things here before we 4 5 get the jury pool in here. 6 We are going to go back to the original process 7 of putting 12 in the box, plus are we going to have two or four? 8 9 MS. LUZAICH: Alternates? 10 THE COURT: Yeah. 11 MS. LUZAICH: Two is fine. 12 THE COURT: Are you sure? MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. 13 14 THE COURT: We can do that. 15 All right. So we are going to have 14 in the 16 box, okay? 17 Everybody understands, it's like we have gone through this several times at least we are going to know 18 exactly who, 13 and 14 are the two alternates. 19 20 We are not going to bring that up. The first 12 are the jurors, 13 and 14 are the alternates. The 21 22 alternates do not replace any of the first 12 if they get excused for whatever reason they have. 23 24 So 13 and 14 are just handled separately, okay? 25 You get your eight, you get your eight, and you

1 get your two. 2 MS. LUZAICH: One each for the alternates. 3 THE COURT: Just one each, and then because of 4 the nature of the charges. 5 MS. LUZAICH: No, if there is a third and fourth 6 alternate you get a second preempt. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. LUZAICH: But not if we have two alternates. 9 THE COURT: Are there any -- are there any other aspects because of the potential life sentence that I am 10 11 not thinking of right at the top of my head? 12 MS. LUZAICH: The jurors cannot know about the 13 penalty --14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. LUZAICH: -- at all. 16 THE COURT: Yeah, we will be real -- we will be real careful. We have already had one faux pah in regards 17 18 to that. 19 The questioning, I would like for you to let me 20 do as much as possible. I am not going to deprive you of 21 your right to, you know, voir dire the jury, but I would like to do as much of it as I can. 22 2.3 Is there anything in particular that you think 24 needs to be queried, I mean, if we are going to go through 25 their exposure to jury duty, the criminal -- there is the

charge, if they have ever been the victim, anybody close 1 2 to them, all that kind of stuff. 3 MS. LUZAICH: Right, you know, I always ask the Court to ask anybody whether they have ever been the victim 4 5 of a sexual offense. 6 THE COURT: The victim of or the --7 MS. LUZAICH: The victim or accused of --8 THE COURT: -- or accused of a sexual offense. 9 MS. LUZAICH: -- of a sexual offense. 10 But, you know, sometimes the Court gets kind of bored, and doesn't ask that question until the very end. 11 12 So we talk to a juror for seven minutes before discovering that there is no way they can serve. 13 14 THE COURT: Well, that will be the first question 15 I ask them. 16 MS. LUZAICH: So maybe if you can ask that earlier 17 on in your questioning. 18 THE COURT: I will ask that question immediately. MR. BANKS: It would be the Defense request that 19 20 if you ask that and hands go up, that whoever's hand goes 21 up can approach the bench with the lawyers and explain the 22 situation because I have been burned too many times with 23 poisoning the well with the jury pool. 24 THE COURT: We will see 25 If it becomes -- if they say something that, you

know, if they themselves, have personally been the victims 1 of a sexual assault, I will probably stop right there and 2 have them approach, but I don't think --3 4 MS. LUZAICH: Then just -- is there any reason. 5 based on that, is there any reason that you can't be fair? 6 Because if they say they can be fair, you don't 7 just necessarily not pick them, I mean, people don't get 8 picked just because they have been the victim. 9 THE COURT: I am going to tell you something that 10 I don't want us to take so long picking this jury because 11 we are trying to rehabilitate a juror. 12 We will all spend a whole bunch of time jerking around with jurors who have problems. I am much more 13 14 inclined to just excuse them rather than screwing around 15 and trying to make them -- rehabilitate them. 16 MS. LUZAICH: Yeah, but you know what? Then 17 your -- then the Defense gets 27 peremptories instead of 18 seven or eight. 19 THE COURT: How many victims of sexual assault 20 are going to be in the jury pool? 21 MS. LUZAICH: One never knows. Sometimes one or two, sometimes 15 or 20. You just are going to have to 22 23 ask them. 24 THE COURT: Well, realistically, they are never 25 going to end up on the jury.

1 MS. KOLLINS: That's not true. 2 MS. LUZAICH: That's not true though. 3 THE COURT: I understand. MS. LUZAICH: The last jury trial, your jury 4 5 trial, I tried that case. 6 THE COURT: Okay, all right. 7 Look, we'll play it by ear, but I am telling 8 you that I am not going to take an hour to rehabilitate a juror who has been a serious victim of a sexual assault 9 when we --10 MS. KOLLINS: (Interposing) But that's like 11 12 saying now on the State's behalf, every person that gets up there and says, I mistrust the police and hate the 13 14 police is automatically gone unless we exercise our 15 peremptory. 16 THE COURT: No, that's completely different. 17 MS. KOLLINS: No, really. 18 THE COURT: That is completely and entirely 19 different. 20 MS. KOLLINS: No. I --21 THE COURT: I mean, those kind of people are 22 just being jerks, I mean, they were just being jerks 23 because they want to make something up to let them go 24 and don't have to serve jury duty. 25 Anything else?

1 I will move that up, and I will ask it, I will ask it right off at the get-go so that we can 2 tell. 3 MR. BANKS: There is one other thing. 4 5 It's not really related to the jury selection, you know, jury selection. 6 7 THE COURT: That is one of the things right 8 now, but go ahead, hurry up. 9 MR. BANKS: There is a toxicology report that's 10 still outstanding. 11 The State is in the process of getting us copies of that. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BANKS: And we should be getting that fairly 15 soon. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. BANKS: There are also some jail phone calls from -- that are referenced in the police reports 18 19 and from what I have seen in the police report, it's our 20 client making phone calls, I believe, from the Clark County 21 Detention Center. 22 MS. LUZAICH: Henderson. 23 MR. BANKS: Henderson, I'm sorry, to family 24 members. I'm not exactly sure who. 25 We've requested those.

It's my understanding that whether -- the State is going to have to make an offer of proof as to why they are not available.

It is just my understanding that they are not available.

In light of the Court's ruling today, and I don't want to divulge any client confidences, but I believe that in light of the Court's ruling today, and in light of our theory of defense, that what's contained in those jail calls is some exculpatory information, and I --

THE COURT: (Interposing) Well, I want the Clerk -I don't know that I have been put in a position to have
to examine the contents of any jailhouse calls that have
occurred.

Is this any information that you are aware of?

Have you looked at any calls that were made
to or from the Defendant from the Henderson Jail that
are transcripts or recordings provided to you that have
examined?

MS. LUZAICH: Well, we would have loved to.

Unfortunately, back when this happened both this Defendant and the Codefendant made phone calls to their families, and what the detectives told us is that both this Defendant and the Codefendant made serious admissions to their families.

They immediately had downloaded the codefendant's phone calls and put them on a disk.

For some reason, this Defendant's phone calls were not downloaded.

We have been trying all for the last two weeks to go back to the system and get them downloaded, but it's too late. They are gone.

MR. BANKS: And our position is that they have been in the possession of a State agency from the beginning.

What we have is an excerpt from a police report, a police officer's perception of what's contained in those phone calls, and while I respect law enforcement, I don't necessarily, I mean, I know what they are putting in the police report.

They are not putting the good stuff that we can use, they are putting stuff to lay a foundation for probable cause.

I think there is a whole -- I think there is probably two sides to the story in those phone calls, and I think the side that we are not getting, which is not contained in the police report is the exculpatory side, and that's based upon my investigation of the case, and my conversations with my client.

I'm kind of like, say, hesitant to divulge any confidences, but I feel comfortable making that record.

I think that we are -- I think there is some exculpatory stuff out there that's in the hands of the State agency that is now not available to my client, which could be used for his benefit under his theory of defense, and I am afraid to go on because I think it's going to impact his ability to get a fair trial.

I think it's going to impact his ability to confront under the Sixth Amendment the evidence that's -- that can be used against him.

It's a good thought --

THE COURT: These are statements that were made by the Defendant on the telephone?

MR. BANKS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And you haven't been able to extract from your client those exculpatory statements?

MR. BANKS: Maybe I have, maybe I haven't, but if I have, I am not prepared to divulge those in open court. I am just --

THE COURT: Well, let me, just out of curiosity, sometimes I get lost and maybe I am not looking at it the same way somebody else is, but if these are exculpatory statements made by your client on the telephone, how does it deprive you of an opportunity to produce, discover, or whatever, the subject of those exculpatory statements if your client is the one who made them, and all of a sudden

<u>_1</u>	for whatever reason, technology wise, they have been lost.
2	but your client certainly still he's the one that made
3	them.
4	MR. BANKS: And I appreciate that, Judge.
5	I don't want exculpatory evidence to be lost
6	and have it impact my client's right to remain silent.
7	As a practical matter, the State is going to
8	introduce what they have dubbed a confession of my client,
9	and I think what's contained in those jail phone calls
10	will directly rebut.
11	THE COURT: Are you going to introduce five
12	witnesses?
13	MR. BANKS: Am I going to introduce five witnesses?
14	THE COURT: Are they going to introduce five
15	eyewitnesses?
16	MR. BANKS: Five eyewitnesses?
17	MS. LUZAICH: Six.
18	THE COURT: Six.
19	MR. BANKS: That can identify my client? I'm not
20	so sure.
21	THE COURT: I have no idea. You know more about
22	the contents than I do. I'm just
23	MR. BANKS: My guess is no, but in light of the
24	Court's ruling today, the exculpatory nature, and the defense
25	that we will be presenting in light of the Court's ruling

today, makes the contents of those phone calls that much more important, and gives his defense that much more ability to protect his rights without compromising his right to remain silent on the stand.

I guess the question is:

Well, gee, can't your client get up and just testify to what he said in the jail?

THE COURT: No. I mean, I certainly can understand that, but I don't understand that if the content of these statements is that which was made by your client, that your having been deprived of any of that informationi, because what you really want is an exculpatory evidence and your inability to produce or discover that kind of exculpatory evidence depriving your client and the right of a particular defense, or some aspect of the defense.

But I still find it hard to grasp that if that -if that is the subject matter of your client's own statements,
how you can be deprived of any of that inasmuch as he should
be tell tell you.

MR. LANDIS: Judge, it's not our argument that the statements made in the jail would open avenues and give us relative discovery or find evidence, the statements themselves.

THE COURT: Well, they are going to be hard pressed to introduce them, and I doubt seriously that they will.

1 MS. LUZAICH: No, we are not, I mean, I recognize 2 them because I don't have the tape --THE COURT: But you can't --3 MS. LUZAICH: -- I lose the benefit of all of 4 5 those admissions, which I am not going to offer. THE COURT: As I was going to say, the way it 5 7 looks to me is that the State is the one that has been deprived of that valuable evidence, but who knows, I mean, 8 that's their perspective and your perspective is it was 9 exculpatory, but we don't have them anyway so what difference 10 11 does it make? 12 MR. BANKS: Well, the difference makes it --13 the difference now is, I've got to say look, Narcus, we 14 are getting hosed here because we can't present your defense 15 without you having to testify. What it does is it impinges my client and puts 16 17 him in a corner, and makes it a lot more difficult decision as to whether to maintain his right to remain silent or 18 19 not. 20 MS. LUZAICH: Actually, I completely disagree 21 with that. 22 It's my understanding that the theory of the 23 defense, based on the Court's ruling today is direct,

that he did all these things because he had to because

the codefendant -- he was so scared of the codefendant,

24

1 or something along those lines. 2 In order for him to propound that defense, he has to take the stand. 3 MR. BANKS: Does he? 4 5 MS. LUZAICH: Yeah, he does. There is no other 6 way --7 THE COURT: We are not going to --8 MR. BANKS: (Interposing) Why can't I play the 9 phone calls that may get that defense on? 10 MS. LUZAICH: That would be hearsay. The Defense 11 can't play the Defendant's statements. 12 MR. BANKS: Why can't I -- why can't I play phone 13 calls to rebut the statement that the State is going to put 14 in, which is my client's. 15 It's an inconsistent statement that is not hearsay. 16 It is absolutely not hearsay. 17 It's to -- it's to confront the confession that 18 they are going to put into evidence. 19 Now, I've got a goose egg because the State lost 20 It's gone. Now, I have got nothing except putting my 21 client on which my heart goes like (indicating) -- I mean, 22 there is, if you --- there is things that I am going to

have to advise him of in this life sentence case, and there

is a huge, huge right that he is going to have to decide

whether to invoke or to waive, and that's his right to

001544

23

24

l remain silent.

б

THE COURT: Well, this will be a strategic decision. I will make a ruling when it comes up.,

MR. BANKS: And we just heard the prosecutor -and and I don't mean to interrupt the Bench, but we just
heard the prosecutor say, hey, get that defense on, the
guy has got to testify.

MS. LUZAICH: We were going to ask for an offer of proof regarding --

MR. BANKS: What kind of choise is that?

MS. LUZAICH: -- The duress defense anyway because the defense is I was scared of him, and, therefore, I did this.

It has got to be his own personal knowledge, I mean, if, for example -- and this is not true but just for purposes of argument -- if the Defendant had been arrested two years earlier for robbing -- I'm sorry, the codefendant, sorry, for robbery, but this Defendant did not know that personally at the time, he can't use that robbery as a defense to duress.

THE COURT: I understand.

MS. LUZAICH: Because it has got to be his personal knowledge.

So we were actually going to ask for an offer of proof from the Defense before they present that defense.

What are they going to show?

And I believe that the Defendant needs to take the stand because it needs to be his own personal knowledge, and the only person that can testify to his own personal knowledge is himself.

Unless the codefendant were to get on the stand and say, yeah, I told Narcus that I was convicted of robbery two years ago, which he wasn't, and he is not testifying so --

MR. BANKS: 'Whether he knew about the prior robbery is not the issue.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BANKS: The issue is what was the state of mind at the time, and those phone calls would give the context to that.

THE COURT: Okay. Here is -- here is the reality.

You don't have them. They are not going to introduce them.

You will have to at some point, if you are going to use this as the basis of your Defense, or you have to make some kind of a record, possibly even have to do it by way of a motion.

If the Defendant gets convicted with the basis of an appeal, you certainly are going to have to do a lot more than this, but just say, well, there is a possibility

of this and that, and we are being deprived because they lost it.

That will have to have a whole -- a whole foundation, an inclination that we will probably are never going to get to before this trial because this trial is starting in five minutes.

So we will keep that in mind but -- and you have made a record, and I am sure it will require that you make more of a record at some other point.

But we will just muddle through with what we have got?

Anything else?

MS. LUZAICH: I actually do have an issue, and this is more because of the area in which your court, Judge, is located.

Everything is very close and confined here.

The Defendant has a lot of family here, some outside as well, and I am going to ask the Court to admonish the family that one, they not have any contact with any of the jurors, but two, that they not speak about the Defendant, the family, the case, anything, anywhere in the vicinity of the jurors.

There was problems in Justice Court, I mean,

I am not going to rehash that, but I would just ask the

Court to admonish the Defendant and his family that that

can't happen.

THE COURT: And which I will do with everybody anyway just so that everyone understands this so that I'm not picking on you, I will tell everybody.

I am going to tell the jury just about a thousand times before this case is over with, not to have any contact with you, not to have any contact with you or with your family, or with anybody else that's in this courtroom, including court personnel, and especially any of the witnesses.

I will be advising you, I am going to ask you to make sure your family understands that, too, because violations of that only will cause you problems, I mean, you are the one who is on trial.

So if you have problems in that respect, you are the one who ends up, you know, who pays the bill for it. So don't -- don't let it happen.

MS. LUZAICH: Not only not have contact with but not talk about anyone or anything --

THE COURT: Don't talk about -- what this means is don't talk about the case --

MS. LUZAICH: -- anywhere near the jurors.

THE COURT: -- in front of anybody out there, who is not a community --

MS. LUZAICH: Or about the Defendant, and what

a great guy he is, or anything, I mean, just --1 THE COURT: Anything, anything in that respect. 2 MS. LUZAICH: Yes, and --3 THE COURT: Just one thing, that -- exactly. 5 The fact that we have mentioned outside the presence of the jury the seriousness of this and involves 6 the potential for a life sentence is something that is not, not, not going to be mentioned anywhere close to 8 9 any of the potential jurors. 10 That is something that they are not concerned with, and they are instructed that they are not to be 11 concerned with, with any aspect involving the sentencing 12 in this case so that is not to be mentioned in any 13 14 respect. 15 All right. Let's take about five minutes, and we will reconvene next door in Department 23. 16 17 See you there in just a minute. 18 MR. BANKS: Judge, how long are we going to 19 go tonight? 20 THE COURT: We will probably go maybe to 5:30, 21 no longer than that. 22 MR. BANKS: Okay. 23 THE COURT: 5:30 tonight, that's the latest. 24 MR. BANKS: It's just 5:30 is --25 THE COURT: Is that all right?