IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 350 DURANGO 104,

Appellant,

VS.

WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE A DIVISION OF WELLS FARGO BANK,

N.A.

Respondent.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., D/B/A AMERICAS SERVICING CO., Appellant,

VS.

ZAISAN ENTERPRISES LLC,

Respondent.

No. 68630

FILED

AUG 2 6 2016



No. 68647

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Respondent in Docket No. 68647, Zaisan Enterprises LLC, has filed a motion to consolidate these two appeals or to file an amicus curiae brief in Docket No. 68630. Zaisan argues that the cases present identical issues and should be consolidated for consistency. Alternatively, Zaisan asks this court to waive the applicable time requirements and allow it to file an amicus curiae brief in Docket No. 68630 in order to present a "more complete picture of the argument" and to offer "additional relevant authorities."

These appeals arise from different district court actions, see NRAP 3(b)(2), do not involve all of the same parties, and are at different stages of the appellate process. Additionally, the appeal in Docket No. 68630 has been adequately briefed, and oral argument is imminent. Therefore, we conclude that neither consolidation nor an amicus curiae

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

16-26668

brief is warranted, and we deny the motion. However, we note that these appeals shall be clustered based on any overlapping legal issues to ensure that they are resolved in a consistent and efficient manner. IOP 2(c)(2).

It is so ORDERED.



cc: Kim Gilbert Ebron
Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Ltd.
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Tucson
Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas
Wolfe Thompson