

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TROY RICHARD WHITE,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 68632

FILED


JAN 20 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until February 16, 2016, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TROY RICHARD WHITE,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 68632

FILED


JAN 20 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until February 16, 2016, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney