IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TROY RICHARD WHITE,

Appellant,

vs.


THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 68632

MAR 3 0 2016

ORDER GRANTING MOTION



Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until May 17, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.



cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

16-09958