ORTIZ, BENJAMIN VAANDERING, B. VERWEY, STEVE WASS, EDWARD WILLIAMS, T.	3625 Boulder Hwy., #3041, LV, NV LVMPD P# 13575 Unknown Address 133 Voltaire Ave., Henderson, NV LVMPD P# 3811
	£-
Table 10 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	*
	es e
5 DA#13F20476X/erg	
7 (TK12) 8 (TK12)	
×.	3

Electronically Filed 07/15/2014 12:41:59 PM

1	MOT PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER	Alun to Chum
2	NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 NANCY L. LEMCKE	CLERK OF THE COURT
Ĵ	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 5416	
4	CONOR M. SLIFE Deputy Public Defender	
5	Nevada Bar No. 11277 309 South Third Street, Suite #226	
6	Lus Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685	
7	Attorneys for Defendant	
8	DISTRICT COURT	
9	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
11	Plaintiff,	CASENO. C-14-296234-1
12	V.	DEPT. NO. V
13	LUIS PIMENTEL,	DATE: July 24, 2014
14	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m.
15		}
16	MOTION TO WITHDRAW	AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD
17	COMES NOW, the Defendant, LUIS PI	MENTEL, by and through NANCY L. LEMCKE
18	and CONOR M. SLIFE, Deputy Public Defend	ers, and moves this Honorable Court to allow the
19	Clark County Public Defender's Office to withdr	aw as attorney of record in the instant matter due to
20	a conflict of interest. This Motion is based τ	ipon all the papers and pleadings on file herein,
21	Memorandum of Points and Authorities in suppo	ort hereof, and any oral argument at the time set for
22	hearing this Motion.	
23	DATED this To of July, 2014.	
24	PHILIP J. KOHN	PHILIP J. KONN
25	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
26	A A	~ 100
27	By:	By: CONORM. SUIP. #11277
28	NANCY L. DRMCKE, 45416 Deputy Public/Desender	Deputy Public Defender
		$\langle \cdot \rangle$

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. Facts.

Ĺ

13.

In the early morning hours of December 2013, an argument ensued between Robert "Bobby" Holland, III, and his girlfriend, Amanda Lowe, at Arizona Charlies. After Bobby became physical with Amanda, hotel security escorted him from the property. PHT p. 75; 97. Instead of leaving, Bobby, having ingested lethal quantities of methamphetamine, paced back and forth in the parking lot, waiting for Amanda to leave the hotel. PHT p. 44-47; 65; 97.

While Bobby was pacing in the parking lot, his friend Timothy Hildebrand pulled up with Timothy's francée, Shannon Salazar. Since all were friends, Bobby asked Timothy and Shannon to find Amanda inside the casino and ask her to come out and speak with him. PHT p. 97; 64. Timothy and Shannon found Amanda playing keno the instant defendant, Luis "Lorenzo" Pimental. PHT 64-65; 97. Timothy explained to Amanda that Bobby was outside wanting to speak with her. PHT p. 65. Amanda eventually agreed to go outside and speak with Bobby. PHT p. 65; 100. While she was doing this, Timothy and Lorenzo went to Lorenzo's hotel room to gather his belongings. PHT p. 65-66. About the time the two men were ready to leave the room, Amanda showed up. PHT p. 103. They exited the hotel room to find Bobby outside being removed from the property by hotel security. PHT p. 103. At that point, Bobby and Lorenzo began "arguing back and forth about kicking each other's ass." PHT p. 103. According to Timothy, Lorenzo appeared as though he wanted to fight, and told Bobby "kind of meet me at my house." PHT p. 74. Timothy then went to get his car while Lorenzo checked out of the room, and the two men then left with Amanda and Shannon to leave. PHT p. 65-67. All four individuals drove to Lorenzo's apartment at a nearby Siegel-Suites. PHT p. 67.

Amanda and Shannon dropped Lorenzo and Timothy off at Lorenzo's apartment. PHT p. 68-70. After the girls drove off to a nearby bar, Lorenzo and Timothy noticed Bobby standing atop the stairs outside of Lorenzo's third floor apartment. PHT p. 68-71. Apparently, Bobby called his father, Robert Holland, III, and requested a ride from Arizona Charlie's to Lorenzo's apartment in order to find Amanda. PHT p. 129; 145. Bobby came down the stairs and approached Lorenzo. PHT p. 107. The two men started arguing. PHT p. 107. At some point during the verbal exchange,

1 Bi
2 PI
3 w
4 re
5 sh
6 Ti
7 B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22:

23

24

25

26

27

28

Bobby told Lorenzo he did not want to fight; then he drew back and punched Lorenzo in the face. PHT p. 77; 107; 110. Lorenzo staggered back and, according to Timothy, pulled a gun from his waist. PHT p. 77-78. Lorenzo pulled the trigger but the gun mistired. PHT p. 78. Bobby responded by threatening: "What are you gonna do, shoot me dude?" PHT p. 79. Lorenzo then shot Bobby in the stomach area. PHT p. 79. Bobby fell to the round. PHT p. 79. According to Timothy, Lorenzo then approached Bobby and fired a second shot into his backside. PHT p. 86. Bobby died as a result of his wounds. Based on the foregoing, prosecutors charged Luis Pimentel with Murder With Use of a Deadly Weapon.

Mr. Pimental retained attorney John Momot, Esq. to represent him through the preliminary hearing of this matter. Thereafter, Mr. Momot withdrew as counsel of record and the Court appointed the Clark County Public Defender's Office to represent Mr. Pimentel. In preparing the matter for trial, defense counsel learned that the CCPD's office has represented the decedent in the instant matter as well as several of the percipient witnesses. That representation is summarized as follows:

- Robert "Bobby" Holland, III in 2012 (Possession of a Controlled Substance charge ultimately resolved to a misdemeanor Possession of Dangerous Drugs Not to be Introduced into Interstate Commerce);
- Timothy Hilderbrand in 2005 (Possession of a Controlled Substance, negotiated to Possession of Dangerous Drugs Not to be Introduced into Interstate Commerce; a misdemeanor) and 2010 (same);
- Robert Holland, II in 2002 (Forgery/Theft, negotiated to Attempt Forgery, a gross misdemeanor) and 2007 (Possession of a Controlled Substance, negotiated to Possession of Dangerous Drugs Not to be Introduced into Interstate Commerce, a misdemeanor);
- Amenda Lowe in 2010 (Possession of a Controlled Substance, negotiated to Possession of Dangerous Drugs Not to be Introduced into Interstate Commerce, a misdememor) and 2011 (Possession of Stolen Vehicle, negotiated to Unlawful Taking of Vehicle, a gross unisdemeanor).

Upon learning the above, defense counsel notified the prosecutor that the instant Motion to Withdraw would be forthcoming. The trial of this matter is still over two months away, and defense counsel wanted to place the instant Motion on calendar as soon as practicable in order to allow this Honorable Court to adjudicate any potential conflict posed by the CCPD's representation of

ğ

Q

individuals mentioned above. Defense counsel has taken numerous steps to prepare the instant matter for trial, including preparing relevant pre-trial motions. Accordingly, any delay, if any, occasioned by aforementioned conflict(s) should be minimal. Notably, the currently-scheduled September trial of this matter represents the first trial setting.

B. Law.

A criminal defendant is entitled to effective assistance of counsel. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984). This includes a duty of loyalty, and a "duty to avoid conflicts of interest." Id. at 688 (emphasis added). Accordingly, counsel is presumed to be ineffective when burdened by an actual conflict of interest. Id. at 692 (citing Cuyler v. Sullivan, 446 U.S. 335, 345-350 (1980)).

Rule 1.7(a) of the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct ("NRCP") states that "a lawyer shall not represent a client if the representation involves a concurrent conflict of interest." A concurrent conflict of interest exists if "[t]here is a significant risk that the representation of one or more clients will be materially limited by the lawyer's responsibilities to another client, a former client or a third person..." Rule 1.7(a)(2). A concurrent conflict of interest may be waived if the lawyer reasonably believes that the lawyer will be able to provide competent and diligent representation to each affected client and each affected client gives informed consent, confirmed in writing. Rule 1.7(b)(1) and (b)(4). Additionally, lawyers shall not represent a person whose interests are materially adverse to a former client and about whom the lawyer had acquired confidential information protected by Rules 1.6 and 1.9(c).

Based upon the information known to defense counsel as of this writing, counsel may be forced to adopt positions antagonistic to one or more of the above-named CCPD clients, including the instant decedent. This may give rise to one or more conflicts of interest, as set forth in the NRPC rules outlined above. Should the Court determine that resolution of the instant matter requires disclosure of additional, otherwise privileged information about Mr. Pimentel's defense, defense counsel would request leave to provide that information via scaled affidavit.

27 111

28 ///

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Clark County Public Defender's Office respectfully requests that this Honorable Court review the instant matter to determine if any conflict of interest exists sufficient to warrant removal of the CCPD's Office as attorney of record.

DATED this Q V of July, 2014.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

NANCYL SEMCKE 5416
Deputy Public Defender

Į

2

3

S

ħ

7

8

ŋ

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27.

28

COMOR M. SKIFE, #112 Deputy Public Defender

*

1	NOTICE OF MOTION		
2	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff:		
3	YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the foregoing MOTION TO		
4	WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD will be heard on 2/3 day of July, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.		
5	in Department No. V of the District Court.		
6	DATED this 9th day of July, 2014.		
7	PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER		
8			
9	Ву: /// С		
10	NANCY L. LEMCKE #5416 Deputy Public Defender		
Lİ	Liebuth Litture		
12			
13	CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE		
14	I hereby certify that service of MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF		
15	RECORD, was made this 15 day of July, 2014, by Electronic Filing to:		
16	and the second results of the second results		
17	CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com		
18	SAMUEL BATEMAN, Deputy District Attorney		
19	E-Mail: samuel,bateman@clarkcountyda.com		
20	of Shark		
21	Sara Ruáno		
22	Secretary for the Public Defender's Office		
23			
24			
25			
26			

l	PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR #0556
2	309 S. Third Street CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Las Vegas, Neyada 89155 (702)455-4685
n d	Attorney for Defendant
5.	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-14-296234-1
10	vs. DEPT: V
	LUIS PIMENTEL,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	ORDER SHORTENING TIME
15	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, it is likitedy ordered that the time for hearing
16	on Defendant's Motion to Withdraw As Attorney of Record in the instant case be, and the same is
	hereby shortened to July 4, 2014, at 9:00 a.m.
17	DATED this//_day of July, 2014.
18	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
19	
20	DISTRICT JUDGE GAL
21	
22	SUBMITTED BY:
23	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
24	
25	NANCY L. LEMCKE, 45416
26	DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

Ĭ

ń.

Ő

I hereby certify that service of ORDER SHORTENING TIME, was made this <u>ISM</u> day of July, 2014, by Electronic Filing to:

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com

3y: C....

Secretary for the Public Defender's Office

1	ORDR PHILIP I, KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER Alim & Chunn	
2	NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Spite #226	
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
4	(702) 455-4685 Automosy for Defendant	
5	DISTRICT COURT	
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-14-296234-1	
9	DEPT. NO. V	
10	LUIS PIMENTEL,	
L)	Defendant.	
12		
13	ORDER	
14	The Petition of LUIS PIMENTEL submitted by NANCY L. LEMCKE, Deputy	
15	Public Defender, as attorney for the above-captioned individual, having been filed in the above-	
16	entitled matter,	
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that you, STEVE	
18	GRIERSON, Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the	
19	County of Clark, issue a Writ of Habeus Corpus.	
20	DATED AND DONE at Las Vegas, Nevada, this /5 of July, 2014.	
21		
22	DISTRICT/COURT JUDGE	
23	USTRIC QUEVAT 3019000	
24	Submitted By:	
25	PHILIP I. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER	
26		
27	By Assert Health	
28	NANCY L. LEMCKE, #5416 Deputy Public Defender	

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of ORDER, was made this 16TH day of July, 2014, by Electronic Filing to: . . CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com SAMUEL BATEMAN, Deputy District Attorney E-Mail: samuel.bateman@clarkcountyda.com

24.

Sara Ruano Secretary for the Public Defender's Office

Electronically Filed 07/18/2014 12:33:28 PM

1.	WRTH PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER Alun & Chium	
2 3	NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 CLERK OF THE COURT	
:4	(702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant	
5	DISTRICT COURT	
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
7.	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff, } CASE NO. C-14-296234-1	
	DEPT, NO. V	
10	LUIS PIMENTEL,	
11	Defendant.	
12		
13	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
14	To: Clark County Sheriff Clark County, Nevada	
15	Can County save and	
16	GREETINGS:	
17.	We command that you have the body of the above-captioned person, by you	
18	imprisoned and detained, as it is alleged, together with the time and cause of such imprisonment and	
19	detention, by whatever name said above-captioned person shall be called or charged, before the	
20	Honorable Carolyn Ellsworth, District Court Judge, at her chambers or her courtroom in the	
21	Regional Justice Center in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, on July 23,	
22	2014 at the hour of 9:00 a.m., to do and receive that which shall then and there be considered	
23	concerning the said above captioned person; and have you then and there this Writ.	
24	DATED AND DONE this of July, 2014,	
25	STEVE GRIERSON, COUNTY CLERK	
26		
27	By: JUL 17 2014	
28	JOSHUA RAAK	
ļ		

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE I hereby certify that service of WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, was made this 10 H 3 day of July, 2014, by Electronic Filing to: 3 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com Secretary for the Public Defender's Office 10 11 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE 12 A COPY of the above and foregoing Writ of Habeas Corpus was sent via facsimile to 13 the CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER at (702) 671-3763 on this / day of July, 2014. 14 15 16 Sara Ruano 17. Secretary for the Clark County Public Defender's Office 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Case Name: LUIS PIMENTEL 26 C-14-296234-1 Case No.: 27 Dept. No. 28

1	RET Stumb Stum
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney
3	Nevada Bar #001565 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764
5	L 200 Lewis Avenue
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada
7	
8	DISTRICT COURT
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
10	In the Matter of Application,
	in the watter of Application,
11	of Case No. C296234
12.	Dept No. V
13 14	LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, #1444838,)
15	for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.
16)
17	RETURN TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
18	DATE OF HEARING: 7/28/2014 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.
19	
20	COMES NOW, DOUG GILLESPIE, Sheriff of Clark County, Nevada, Respondent,
21	through his counsel, STEVEN B. WOLFSON, District Attorney, through SAMUEL G.
22	BATEMAN, Chief Deputy District Attorney, in obedience to a writ of habeas corpus issued
23	out of and under the seal of the above-entitled Court on the 18th day of July, 2014, and made
24	returnable on the 23rd of July, 2014, at the hour of 9:00 o'clock A.M., before the above-entitled
25	Court, and states as follows:
26	<i> </i>
27	
28	

w:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-RET-(Pimentel__Luis)-001.docx

- 1. The allegation(s) in Paragraph 1 of said Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus do not require admission or denial.
- 2. The Petitioner is in the actual or constructive custody of DOUG GILLESPIE, Clark County Sheriff, Respondent herein, pursuant to a Criminal Information, attached as an Exhibit to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 3. Respondent denies the allegations of Paragraph 3 of said Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 4. The allegations in Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 of said Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus do not require admission or denial.

Wherefore, Respondent prays that the Writ of Habeas Corpus be discharged and the Petition be dismissed.

DATED this 25th day of July, 2014.

Respectfully submitted, STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Samuel G. Bateman

SAMUEL G. BATEMAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008764

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES STATEMENT OF FACTS

Petitioner Luis Pimentel challenges the probable cause finding in this case as it relates to the theory of criminal liability that he engaged in a "challenge to fight" with the victim in this case, Robert "Bobby" Holland, and during this fight, became liable for First Degree Murder when he shot and killed Bobby. Consequently, the State will confine its recitation of the facts to those facts pertinent to one of the State's theories that the killing took placing as a result of Pimentel's challenge to fight Bobby.

According to the eyewitness, Tim Hildebrand, Bobby was looking for Amanda at the Arizona Charlies on December 22, 2013. (Grand Jury Transcript, 60, 73). When he encountered both Pimental and Bobby, it appeared Pimental wanted to fight Bobby. (GJT, 73). Hildebrand developed this opinion throughout the evening based on the fact that Pimentel "kept telling [Bobby] that [Pimentel] wanted to fight." (GJT, 74). Pimentel first told Bobby that Pimentel wanted to fight that night at Arizona Charlies. (GJT, 74). Hildebrand testified that Pimentel "kept telling [Bobby], kind of meet me at my house," which was at Siegel Suites. (GJT, 74). Pimentel told Bobby that Pimentel wanted to fight Bobby "like ten" times. (GJT, 74). In response, Bobby said "yeah, yeah, I'll meet you there, I'll meet you there." (GJT, 74-75).

Later that morning, at the Siegel Suites, Bobby confronted Pimentel. (GJT, 72). Specifically, Bobby told Pimentel that Pimentel was not going to have sexual relations with Bobby's girlfriend Amanda. (GJT, 72). Pimentel responded "the hell I'm not," and that Pimental planned on showing Amanda "what a real man is." (GJT, 72-73). After Pimentel told Bobby that Pimentel intended on having sex with Bobby's girlfriend and to show her "what a real man is," Bobby punched Pimentel in the face. (GJT, 76). Pimentel stumbled back and then lifted his shirt to pull out a firearm. (GJT, 77). Bobby stated "what are you going to do, shoot me dude?" (GJT, 79). Pimentel pointed the firearm at Bobby and pulled the trigger, but the gun misfired. (GJT, 77). Pimentel then shot Bobby. (GJT, 79).

DISCUSSION

I. CHALLENGE TO FIGHT FIRST DEGREE MURDER IS APPROPRIATELY PLED IN ONE COUNT OF OPEN MURDER

At the close of evidence at the preliminary hearing, which occurred on February 25, 2014, the State moved to amend its complaint to include a first-degree murder theory of "challenge to fight" pursuant to NRS 200.450. (GJT 147-48). The Justice of the Peace agreed that "there was testimony by Mr. Hildebrand, both at Arizona Charlie's, that Mr. Pimentel, the Petitioner was instigating or challenging Bobby to a fight ... that Lorenzo kept telling Bobby he wanted to fight." (GJT, 154). Petitioner now challenges the Information charging

Petitioner with one Count of Open Murder on the grounds that 1) the Information is improperly pled as it relates to one of the State's first-degree murder theories, specifically, "challenge to fight;" and 2) that insufficient evidence was adduced at the preliminary hearing to support either the theory or a separate charge of first-degree murder under NRS 200.450. The challenge to fight theory is properly encompassed in an open murder charge under NRS 175.501, and attendant NRS Chapter 200 jurisprudence, however, and theories of liability are not appropriately challenged by way of a pre-conviction petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

As noted, Petitioner is charged in Count 1 with one Count of Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon. The definition of Murder is identified in NRS 200.010, defining Murder as the "unlawful killing of a human being ... with malice aforethought, either express or implied" It further states that "the unlawful killing may be affected by any of the various means by which death may be occasioned." NRS 200.010. Thus, a killing with malice is "murder"—generally, considered Second Degree Murder—unless it was done in an enumerated manner as shown by the particular facts of an individual case, at which time it would be deemed a First Degree Murder as a matter of law.

The Nevada Supreme Court has long held that

'An information charging murder without specifying the degree is sufficient to charge murder in the first degree. Statutes which provide different punishments for first and second degree murder do not create two separate and distinct crimes-murder in the first degree and murder in the second degree-which must be pleaded accordingly. It is permissible to simply charge murder and leave

¹ Malice can be present in the absence of an express intent to kill and "as applied to murder does not necessarily import ill will toward the victim, but signifies general malignant recklessness of others' lives and safety or disregard of social duty." Thedford v. Sheriff, 86 Nev. 741, 744, 476 P.2d 25, 27 (1970). "[T]he presence of malice is a question of fact which bears directly on the guilt or innocence of a petitioner and upon the degree of the crime charged. It is not a question to be determined by the magistrate at a preliminary examination-it is a question to be determined by the trier of fact at the trial of the case." Thedford v. Sheriff, 86 Nev. 741, 476 P.2d 25 (1970)(citing State v. Acosta, 49 Nev. 184, 242 P.2d 316 (1926)); "Neither a preliminary hearing, nor a hearing upon a petition for a writ of habeas corpus is designed as a substitute for this function (a trial)." Id at 28 (quoting State v. Fuchs, 78 Nev. 63, 368 P.2d 869 (1962)).

23.

Howard v. Sheriff, 83 Nev. 150, 425 P.2d 596 (1967). Put more succinctly, "[a]n open murder complaint charges murder in the first degree and all necessarily included offenses." See Minor v. Lamb, 86 Nev. 54, 464 P.2d 451 (1970). First degree murder and second degree murder (or manslaughter) are not separate and distinct crimes which must be pleaded accordingly. See Thedford v. Sheriff, 86 Nev. 741, 476 P.2d 25 (1970); Howard v. Sheriff, 83 Nev. 150, 425 P.2d 596 (1967). Thus, there need not be evidence of first degree murder to support an open murder charge at the probable cause stage. See Wrenn v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 85, 482 P.2d 289 (1971).

In Thedford, the Court upheld an Open Murder charge that included reference to NRS 200.010 and NRS 200.070, the "involuntary manslaughter" statute. Involuntary manslaughter is a lesser-included of Murder. Thus, a charge of Open Murder includes first degree, second degree murder and, if the evidence supports, voluntary manslaughter. Voluntary Manslaughter is defined in NRS 200.060. See Williams v. State, 99 Nev. 530, 531, 665 P.2d 260, 261 (1983) (holding that voluntary manslaughter as defined in NRS 200.040, 050, and 060, is a lesser-included offense of murder). Nevada statute support this conclusions as well. Specifically, NRS 175.501 states that a petitioner may be found guilty of an offense necessarily included in the offense charged.

In this case, Petitioner is charged with Open Murder which can include Murders of the First and Second Degree, and manslaughter. The Information identifies two theories in which, if the facts support at the time of trial, a Jury could find Petitioner guilty of First Degree Murder. First Degree Murder is defined in NRS 200.030. Two relevant definitions of First Degree Murder are the following:

- 1. Murder of the first degree is murder which is:
- (a) Perpetrated by means of poison, lying in wait or torture, or by any other kind of willful, deliberate and premeditated killing;
- (b) Committed in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of sexual assault, kidnapping, arson, robbery, burglary, invasion of

In this case, the Information alerts Petitioner that one theory of First Degree Murder that the State intends to pursue at trial is "willful, deliberate and premeditated" killing. NRS 200.030(1)(a). The State has also included another theory of why Petitioner's killing of Bobby in this case constitutes First Degree Murder. Pursuant to NRS 200.450, "[i]f a person, upon previous concert and agreement, fights with any other person or gives . . . a challenge verbally or in writing to fight any other person," and death is occasioned or ensues, that person "is guilty of murder in the first degree which is a category A felony and shall be punished as provided in subsection 4 of NRS 200.030." Petitioner argues, however, that a violation of this section that results in death constitutes a separate charge of First Degree Murder. Petitioner is correct that, short of death occurring, the plain language of the statute creates a crime for giving and/or accepting a challenge to fight where death is not occasioned. The plain language of the statute, read in conjunction with NRS 200.030, which is referenced in NRS 200.450, however, coupled with relevant case law in Nevada creates a theory of First Degree Murder from NRS 200.450 that can be included in an Open Murder count.

As noted, NRS 200.450(3) plainly states that someone who occasions death by a challenge to fight "is guilty of first degree murder." The only statutory provision defining what is First Degree Murder is NRS 200.030(1). Thus, this Court must read NRS 200.030 and NRS 200.450 together to determine whether First Degree Murder pursuant to NRS 200.450 is a stand-alone charge or can be included as a theory of mens rea under NRS 200.030. See Holmes v. State, 114 Nev. 1357, 1363-64, 972 P.2d 337, 341 (1998) (Commission of a felony and premeditation are merely alternative means of establishing the single mens rea element of first degree murder, rather than constituting independent elements of the crime). Indeed, it is this Court's obligation to construe statutory provisions in harmony with each other when possible. See Williams v. Clk. Co. Dist. Attorney, 118 Nev. 473, 485, 50 P.3d 536, 543 (2002).

So why should a challenge-to-fight killing be considered a theory of First Degree Murder as opposed to a separate count? Consider the practical effect of what Petitioner is

arguing. The alternative is to have two separate counts of, functionally, First Degree Murder in this case. Count one would be an Open Murder count in which the State will argue premeditation, deliberation, and willfulness is present and that Petitioner should be convicted of First Degree Murder. Count two would be a "Challenge to Fight – First Degree Murder" wherein the State would argue the killing took place as a result of a challenge to fight and subsequent fight itself. Potentially, Petitioner could be convicted of two separate counts of First Degree Murder in this case. The Court and parties would then be in the position of having to argue whether these counts are unconstitutionally duplicative and, if not, the statutory scheme contemplates two punishments under NRS 200.030 and NRS 200.450. See Jackson v. State, 291 P.3d 1274, 1277-78 (2012).

Given that NRS 200.030 defines First Degree Murder, the more appropriate course of action for the Court is to harmonize the statutes to the extent they conflict and determine that if a killing occurs in the course and scope of the conduct proscribed in NRS 200.450, that the killing becomes not an independent element of the crime but a means of establishing the single mens rea of First Degree Murder. See Holmes, supra. This would also allow implementation of the Nevada Supreme Court's long-standing jurisprudence that a jury need not be unanimous on theories of liability, such as in the case where a First Degree Murder is pled alternatively by enumerated means, premeditation and deliberation, or felony murder. See Crawford v. State, 121 Nev. 744, 749-50, 121 P.3d 582, 586 (2005).

The most sounds way of viewing the "challenge to fight" theory within NRS 200.030, is to deem it a functional equivalent to the felony murder rule in NRS 200.030(1)(b). Setting aside premeditation and deliberation for the moment, the various means aggravating a murder to First Degree include enumerated means in NRS 200.030(1)(a)—use of poison, lying in wait, and torture, are different from the felony murder rule in subsection (b), in that they do not denote actual crimes. See Collman v. State, 116 Nev. 687, 712-13, 7 P.3d 426, 442 (2000). Thus, murder must be established with both a killing and malice, and then if the facts demonstrate one of these enumerated means, the designation of the murder is First Degree by law. Id. Felony murder on the other hand imparts malice from the commission or attempted

.20

commission of the underlying dangerous felony. Id. Thus a killing, not necessarily even intentional, occurring in the course of the commission of the dangerous felony is deemed First Degree Murder. See Sanchez-Dominguez v. State, 130 Nev. Adv. Op 10, ___ Nev. ___, 318 P.3d 1068, 1075 (2014). The underlying felony need not even be pled as a charge to support a conviction of First Degree Murder. See Shaw v. State, 104 Nev. 100, 102, 753 P.2d 88, 102 (1988), overruled on other grounds, Alford v. State, 111 Nev. 1409, 906 P.2d 714 (1995).

The challenge to fight statute operates in the same manner as the felony murder rule. Because the challenge to fight statutes explicitly states that a challenge to fight murder is a First Degree Murder and because First Degree Murder is defined in NRS 200.030, this Court can simply treat challenges to fight that reach the level of a killing as a theory of the element of mens rea for First Degree Murder under NRS 200.030, and include said theory along with any other theories identified in the same statute in one count of Open Murder. This harmonizes the statutes and negates the need for further discussion as to whether the State must charge two separate counts of Murder, both potentially First Degree Murder, for the underlying acts in the case when there exists only one murder-victim.

II. SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE EXISTS TO SUPPORT THE THEORY OF CHALLENGE TO FIGHT EVEN THOUGH THE STATE NEED NOT SUPPORT THEORIES OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER AT A PROBABLE CAUSE HEARING

The State need not prove probable cause of First Degree Murder; the State must only prove probable cause exists for one count of Open Murder. See Howard v. Sheriff, 83 Nev. 150, 153, 425 P.2d 596, 597 (1967) ("Statutes which provide different punishments for first and second degree murder do not create two separate and distinct crimes—murder in the first degree and murder in the second degree—which must be pleaded accordingly."). Simply put, there need not be evidence of first degree murder to support an open murder charge. See Wrenn v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 85, 482 P.2d 289 (1971). Thus, Petitioner's claim that insufficient evidence exists to hold him to answer to this theory, assuming the Court agrees with the State's position that it should be a theory as opposed to a separate count, is legally meritless.

In any event, however, the State plainly admitted sufficient evidence to support the theory and to provide Petitioner notice of the theory. According to the eyewitness, Tim

Hildebrand, Bobby was looking for Amanda at the Arizona Charlies on December 22, 2013. (Grand Jury Transcript, 60, 73). When he encountered both Pimental and Bobby, it appeared Pimental wanted to fight Bobby. (GJT, 73). Hildebrand developed this opinion throughout the evening based on the fact that Pimentel "kept telling [Bobby] that [Pimentel] wanted to fight." (GJT, 74). Pimentel first told Bobby that Pimentel wanted to fight that night at Arizona Charlies. (GJT, 74). Hildebrand testified that Pimentel "kept telling [Bobby], kind of meet me at my house," which was at Siegel Suites. (GJT, 74). Pimentel told Bobby that Pimentel wanted to fight Bobby "like ten" times. (GJT, 74). In response, Bobby said "yeah, yeah, I'll meet you there, I'll meet you there." (GJT, 74-75). Bobby proceeded to the Siegel Suites where a fight ensued with Pimental and Bobby was shot and killed.

This testimony plainly demonstrates that Pimentel challenged Bobby orally to a fight, Bobby accepted the challenge, a fight occurred and Bobby's death ensued. Pursuant to NRS 200.450, this course of conduct constitutes a challenge to fight and is illegal. The evidence at the preliminary hearing is clear and unequivocal.

III. THE STATE SEEKS LEAVE OF COURT TO AMEND THE INFORMATION TO INCLUDE THE STATUTORY REFERENCE NRS 200.450; HOWEVER, OTHERWISE THE INFORMATION PLAINLY NOTICES PETITIONER OF THE STATE'S THEORIES OF FIRST DEGREE MURDER

The State concedes that the present Information improperly fails to include reference to NRS 200.450 in the Open Murder caption. This is both an oversight and in part a result of compliance with the recently enacted "NOC code" procedures. Nonetheless, the State seeks leaves of Court to amend the Information to properly reference the appropriate statute. This Court "may permit an indictment or information to be amended at any time before verdict or finding if no additional or different offense is charged and if substantial rights of the petitioner are not prejudiced." NRS 173.095(1). The Nevada Supreme Court has held that adding an alternative theory or principle of criminal liability does not amount to adding new charges or changing existing charges and does not prejudice the substantial rights of the petitioner, so long as the petitioner had adequate notice of the theory. See State v. Eighth Judicial District Court, 116 Nev. 374, 377-79, 997 P.2d 126, 129-30 (Nev. 2000).

w:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-RET-(Pimentel_Luis)-001.docx

The Petitioner has ample notice of the theory as it was presented and argued at the preliminary hearing. Petitioner obviously has notice based on the very filing of the pretrial Petition. Simply adding reference to the appropriate statute does not change or alter substantively the count of Open Murder and does not prejudice the Petitioner going forward.

The content of the Information, however, is sufficient to give Petitioner notice that the State is proceeding on theories of premeditation and deliberation and a challenge to fight. In Moore v. State, the Nevada Supreme Court upheld the following language as sufficiently descriptive to give the petitioner notice of the State's first degree felony-murder theory:

COUNT I—MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON ... [O]n or about April 24, 1994, petitioner ... did then and there, willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, with malice aforethought and premeditation and/or during the course of committing Robbery and/or Attempt Robbery, kill JIMMIE EARL WYANT with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm, during the commission of said crime....

Moore v. State, 116 Nev. 302, 305, 997 P.2d 793, 795 (2000). As in Moore, the State identifies the date, the victim, and the theories of liability. If the Court believes more specific allegations should be made, the State is entitled to amend the Information to so allege.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the State respectfully requests that the Writ of Habeas Corpus be discharged and the Petition be dismissed.

DATED this 25th day of July, 2014.

Respectfully submitted, STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Samuel G. Bateman

SAMUEL G. BATEMAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008764

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that service of Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus, was made this 25th day of July, 2014, by facsimile transmission to:

PUBLIC DEFENDER Fax # 702-384-1969

BY: /s/ Stephanie Johnson

Stephanie Johnson Secretary for the District Attorney's Office

13F20476X/SGB/saj/L-1

Electronically Filed 07/30/2014 04:17:35 PM

1	MDIS PHILIP I. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER	Ston to Laure
2	NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 NANCY M. LEMCKE	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Deputy Public Defender	
4	Nevada Bar No. 5416 CONOR M. SLIFE	
5	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11277	
б	309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
7	(702) 455-4685 Attorneys for Defendant	
8		T COURT
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-14-296234-1
12	v .	DEPT. NO. V
13	Luis Pimentel.	DATE: August 11, 2014 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
14	Defendant.	#11M15. Story datas
15		
16	MOTION TO COMPEL PRO	DOUCTION OF DISCOVERY
17	COMES NOW, the Defendant, CHARLE	ES REDD, by and through NANCY M, LEMCKE
18	and CONOR M. SLIFE, Deputy Public Defends	ers, and hereby requests that this Honorable Court
19	compet production of discovery as outlined below	% .
20	This Motion is made and based u	pon all the papers and pleadings on file herein and
21	oral argument at the time set for hearing this Mo	tion.
22	DATED thisday of July 20	014.
23	PHILLP J. KOHN	PHILIP J. KOHN
24	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
25		Mans
26	By:	By:/\//\//\//\/
27	NANCY EXEMOKE #5416 Deputy Postic Petender	CONOR M. SPSFEX#11277 * Deputy Public Defender
28		

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

In the early morning hours of December 2013, an argument ensued between Robert "Bobby" Holland, III, and his girlfriend, Amanda Lowe, at Arizona Charlies. After Bobby became physical with Amanda, hotel security escorted him from the property. PHT p. 75; 97. Instead of leaving, Bobby, having ingested lethal quantities of methamphetamine, paced back and forth in the parking lot, waiting for Amanda to leave the hotel, PHT p. 44-47; 65; 97.

3.

S

ij

X

While Bobby was pacing in the parking lot, his friead Timothy Hildebrand pulled up with Timothy's fiancée, Shannon Salazar. Since all were friends, Bobby asked Timothy and Shannon to find Amanda inside the casino and ask her to come out and speak with him. PHT p. 97; 64. Timothy and Shannon found Amanda playing keno the instant defendant, Luis "Lorenzo" Pimental. PHT 64-65; 97. Timothy explained to Amanda that Bobby was outside wanting to speak with her. PHT p. 65. Amanda eventually agreed to go outside and speak with Bobby. PHT p. 65; 100. While she was doing this, Timothy and Lorenzo went to Lorenzo's hotel room to gather his belongings. PHT p. 65-66. About the time the two men were ready to leave the room, Amanda showed up. PHT p. 103. They exited the hotel room to find Bobby outside being removed from the property by hotel security. PHT p. 103. At that point, Bobby and Lorenzo began "arguing back and forth about kicking each other's ass." PHT p. 103. According to Timothy, Lorenzo appeared as though he wanted to fight, and told Bobby "kind of meet me at my house." PHT p. 74. Timothy then went to get his car while Lorenzo checked out of the room, and the two men then left with Amanda and Shannon to leave. PHT p. 66-67. All four individuals drove to Lorenzo's apartment at a nearby Siegel Suites. PHT p. 67.

Amanda and Shannon dropped Lorenzo and Timothy off at Lorenzo's apartment. PHT p. 68-70. After the girls drove off to a nearby bar, Lorenzo and Timothy noticed Bobby standing atop the stairs outside of Lorenzo's third floor apartment. PHT p. 68-71. Apparently, Bobby called his father, Robert Holland, III, and requested a ride from Arizona Charlie's to Lorenzo's apartment in order to find Amanda. PHT p. 129; 145. Bobby came down the stairs and approached Lorenzo. PHT p. 107. The two men started arguing. PHT p. 107. At some point during the verbal exchange, Bobby told Lorenzo he did not want to fight; then he drew back and punched Lorenzo in

.

the face. PHT p. 77; 107; 110. Lorenzo staggered back and, according to Timothy, pulled a gun from his waist. PHT p. 77-78. Lorenzo pulled the trigger but the gun misfired. PHT p. 78. Bobby responded by threatening: "What are you gonna do, shoot me dude?" PHT p. 79. Lorenzo then shot Bobby in the stomach area. PHT p. 79. Bobby fell to the round. PHT p. 79. According to Timothy, Lorenzo then approached Bobby and fired a second shot into his backside. PHT p. 86. Bobby died as a result of his wounds. Based on the foregoing, prosecutors charged Luis Pimentel with Murder With Use of a Deadly Weapon.

II. POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. PROSECUTORS ARE REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE BOTH INCULPATORY AND EXCULPATORY INFORMATION PRIOR TO TRIAL.

1.Prosecutors must disclose inculpatory evidence.

Nevada Revised Statute 174,235 requires disclosure of:

- Written or recorded statements or confessions made by the defendant, or any
 written or recorded statements made by a witness the prosecuting attorney
 intends to call during the case in chief of the state, or copies thereof, within the
 possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or
 by the exercise of due diligence by become known, the prosecuting attorney.
- Results or reports of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests or scientific experiments made in connection with the particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the prosecuting attorney.
- 3. Books, papers, documents, tangible objects, or copies thereof, which the prosecuting attorney intends to introduce during the ease in chief of the state and which are within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the prosecuting attorney.

This includes medical data/imaging/films/reports and/or slides, histological, colposcopic, or otherwise. The Sixth Amendment's right to counsel guarantees obligate defense counsel to conduct "an adequate pre-trial investigation into [] medical evidence." Gersten v. Senkowski, 426 F.3d 588, 605 (2d Cir. 2005). This duty includes obtaining and reviewing pertinent medical imaging such as colposcopic slides, even when the State's medical expert has opined that the medical examination(s) reveal no significant findings or are otherwise "normal." [d] at 605, 607-10 ("If a medical examination of the alleged victim failed to reveal any evidence clinically indicative of sexual penetration, that failure would constitute strong affirmative evidence that forced sexual penetration did not occur."). Thus, the discovery obligation(s) set forth in NRS 174.215(2) require prosecutors to disclose otherwise invasive physical imaging and/or testing.

a. Prosecutors must disclose all inculpatory evidence, regardless of whether the material is intended for use in the government's case in chief.

Prosecutors may not lawfully withhold inculpatory material and information from the defense simply because they do not intend to present the material or information during the government's case in chief. State v. Harrington, 9 Nev. 91, 94 (1873); People v. Carter, 312 P.2d 665, 675 (Cal.1957); People v. Bunyard, 756 P.2d 795, 809 (Cal. 1988). Any holding to the contrary would allow prosecutors to engage in unfair surprise by withholding inculpatory material from the government's case in chief, only to surprise the defense by using it in rebuttal.

1:

Š.

ij.

b. NRS 174.235 requires disclosure of all statements made by a defendant, regardless of whether the statement(s) are reduced to writing and/or recorded.

Notably, while NRS 174.235 obligates prosecutors to disclose a defendant's written or recorded statements, fundamental fairness requires the statute extend to any unrecorded oral statement(s) and/or any statement(s) for which a defendant can be held vicariously liable. Course have recognized the "fundamental fairness" involved in "granting the accused equal access to his own words, no matter how the Government came by them." U.S. v. Caldwell, 543 F.2d 1333, 1353 (D.D.C. 1974). This fairness should extend not only to written or recorded statements, but timecorded oral statements as well as those for which a defendant can be held vicariously liable. Under NRS 51.035(3)(a)-(e), a defendant can be held vicariously liable for a statement made by a third party. See also Fields v. State, 220 P.3d 709 (2009) (evidence of defendant's silence following wife's complaint that she was in jail because of his conduct admissible as an adoptive admission). Thus, NRS 174.235 should be construed to include within the definition of a defendant's "statement" both unrecorded oral statements as well as those for which he/she can be held vicariously liable.

e. NRS 174.235 requires disclosure of any/all rough notes prepared in connection with the investigation of the instant matter.

² NRS 51.038(3)(b), which excepts from the definition of hearsay a "statement offered against a party" that is "[a] statement of which [the party against whom it is offered] has manifested his adoption or believe in its truth."

Raw notes made by any law enforcement officer or other prosecution agent in connection with the investigation of instant matter must be disclosed to the defense. Notably, this does not include information amounting to work product. In <u>Hickman v. Taylor</u>, 329 U.S. 495, 508-11 (1947), the U.S. Supreme Court recognized the privileged nature of discussions relating to the preparation of a case of trial. The 'work product doctrine' announced in <u>Hickman</u> shelters not only material generated by an attorney in preparation for trial, but by his/her agent, as well:

At its core, the work product doctrine shelters the mental processes of the attorney, providing a privileged area within which he can analyze and prepare his client's case. But the doctrine is an intensely practical one, grounded in the realities of litigation in our adversary system. One of those realities is that attorneys often must rely on the assistance of investigators and other agents in preparation for trial. It is therefore necessary that the doctrine protect material prepared by agents for the attorney as well as those prepared by the attorney as well as those prepared by the attorney himself. Moreover, the concerns reflected in the work-product doctrine do not disappear once trial has begun...

U.S. v. Nobles, 422 U.S. 225, 238-39 (1975).

Codifying this, NRS 174.235(2) exempts from discovery by a criminal defendant:

- (a) An internal report, document or memorandum that is prepared by or on behalf of the prosecuting attorney in connection with the investigation or prosecution of the case.
- (b) A statement, report, book, paper, document, tangible object or any other type of item or information that is privileged or protected from disclosure or inspection pursuant to the constitution or laws of this state or the Constitution of the United States.

Accordingly, only raw notes generated by, or on behalf of, the prosecutor are exempted from disclosure. Any other raw note(s) compiled during the investigation of this matter must be turned

[&]quot;In performing his various duties, however, it is essential that a lawyer work with a certain degree of privacy, free from unnecessary intrusion by appearing parties and their counsel... Proper preparation of a client's case demands that he assemble information, sift what he considers to be the relevant from the irrelevant facts, prepare his legal facories and plan his strategy without undue and needless interference... This work is reflected, of course, in interviews, statements, memoranda, correspondence, briefs, mental impressions, personal beliefs, and countiess other tangible and intangible ways — apily... termed... as the 'work product of the lawyer.' Were such materials open to opposing counsel on mere demand, much of what is now put down in writing would remain unwritten. An attorney's thoughts, heretofore inviolate, would not be his own. Inefficiency, unfairness and sharp practices would inevitably develop in the giving of legal advice and in the preparation of cases for trial. The effect on the legal profession would be demoralizing. And the interests of clients and the cause of justice would be poorly served." Id.

5 6 7

 over pursuant to the disclosure obligation conferred by NRS 174,235 or, in the case of exculpatory material, <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, infra.

a. Prosecutors must disclose exculpatory evidence as required by the U.S. and Nevads Constitutions.

The United States and Nevada Constitutions require disclosure of all exculpatory evidence of which prosecutors are in actual or constructive possession prior to trial. U.S.C.A. V, VI, XIV; Nev. Const. Ari. 1, Sect. 8; Brady v. Maryland. 373 U.S. 83 (1963); Kyles v. Whitley. 514 U.S. 419, (1995); Limenez v. State. 112 Nev. 610, 618 (1996) ("It is a violation of due process for the prosecutor to withhold exculpatory evidence, and his motive for doing so is immaterial....The prosecutor represents the state and has a duty to see that justice is done in criminal prosecution."). This means prosecutors must turn over material evidence that is favorable to the defendant. U.S. v. Bagley. 473 U.S. 667, 675 (1985). Material evidence is evidence that is logically connected with the facts of consequences or the issues in the case. Wyman v. State, 217 P.3d 572, 583 (Nev. 2009). The rule applies regardless of how a prosecutorial agency structures its overall discovery process. Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, (1999).

1. 'Favorable evidence' includes impeachment information.

The Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments require that the State disclose "any information about its witnesses that could cast doubt on their credibility." <u>U.S. v.</u> <u>Jennings</u>, 960 F.2d 1488, 1490 (9th Cir. 1992); <u>see also U.S. v. Bagley</u>, supra, 473 U.S. 667 (1985). Accordingly, 'favorable evidence' includes impeachment information pertaining to any/all government witnesses. <u>Giglio v. U.S.</u>, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972); <u>Youngblood v. West Virginia</u>, 547 U.S. 867 (U.S. 2006); <u>U.S. v. Bagley</u>, supra, 473 U.S. at 676 (requiring disclosure of all impeachment evidence).

a. Cooperation agreements and benefits.

Impeachment evidence includes any/all cooperation agreement(s)b between a government witness and prosecutors. <u>Giglio v. U.S.</u>, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972) (requiring disclosure of cooperation agreement between government witness and prosecutors). It also includes benefits provided to a state witness, regardless of whether an explicit deal is outlined. <u>Browning v. State</u>.

120 Nev. 347, 369 (2004). It is the witness' own anticipation of reward, not the intent of the prosecutor, which gives rise to the required disclosure. Moore v. Kemp. 809 F.2d 702, 726, 729-30 (11th Cir. 1987), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1054 (1987); Duggan v. State, 778 S.W.2d 465, 468 (Tex. Crim. App. 1989) (Agreements need not be express or formal arrangements, and understanding merely implied, suggested, insinuated, or inferred to be of possible benefit to witness constitutes proper material for impeachment). And 'benefits' are not limited to agreement made in relation to the specific case at issue. Limenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610, 622-23 (1996). For example, prosecutors must disclose evidence that a witness acted as a paid informant on one or more occasions. State v. Bennett, 119 Nev. 589, 603 (2003).

Finally, 'benefits' can include, but are not necessarily limited to, travel and/or lodging benefits, as well as counseling, treatment, or other assistance, including immigration assistance of any kind, whether actual or anticipatory. This is relevant to issues regarding possible bias, credibility, and motive to lie, all of which constitute impeachment evidence. See Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308 (1974). Check cites.

b. Criminal histories.

Impeachment material includes evidence relating to a witness' criminal history. Briggs v. Raines, 652 F.2d 862, 865-66 (9th Cir. 1981) (under Brady, rap sheet useful to prove a witness' history or propensity for a relevant character trait should be produced). This encompasses information that is more than ten (10) years old. See Moore v. Kemp, 809 F.2d 702 (9th Cir. 1987) (entire criminal record should be disclosed). It further includes criminal history information maintained by law enforcement agencies other than the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department⁴, such as the federal government's National Crime Information Center ("NCIC") database. See also FN 2, supra.

⁴ Sec Odie v. 11.8., 65 F. Supp. 2d 1065 (N.D. Cat. 1999), rev'd on other grounds by Odie v. Woodford, 238 F.3d 1084 (9th Cir. 2001), (holding that "... knowledge may be imputed to the prosecutor, or a duty to search may be imposed in curses where a search for readily available background information is routinely performed, such as routine criminal background checks of witnesses," Id. at 1072 (citations omitted) (compassis added); <u>U.S. y. Perdono.</u> 929 F.2d 967 (3th Cir. 1991) (adopting 5th Circuit's rationale in requiring government to obtain complete criminal history on prosecution witness(es)); <u>Martinez v. Wainwright</u>, 621 F.2d 184, 187-89 (5th Cir. 1980) (defendant entitled to criminal records of state-government witnesses, including data obtainable from the FBI; prosecutor's lack of awareness of alleged victim's criminal history does not excuse duty to obtain and produce rap sheet); <u>U.S. v. Thornton</u>, 1 F.3d 149 (3th Cir. 1993) (prosecutor charged with producing impendiment evidence actually or constructively in his possession as "prosecutors have an obligation to make a thorough inquiry of all enforcement agencies that had a potential

e. Evidence contradicting statements of government witnesses.

Impeachment evidence encompasses prior statements and/or other evidence that contradicts government witnesses. Accordingly, prosecutors must disclose prior inconsistent statements by key government witnesses. <u>Lay v. State</u>, 116 Nev. 1185, 1199 (2000). Prosecutors must also disclose statements and/or evidence that contradict(s) the testimony of other government witness(es). Rudin v. State, 120 Nev. 121, 139 (2004).

d. Confidential records.

1 0

Ĵ

Ĵ.

A witness can be attacked by "revealing possible biases, prejudices, or ulterior motives of the witnesses as they may relate directly to the issues or personalities on the case at hand. The partiality of a witness is .. always relevant as discrediting the witness and affecting the weight of his testimony." Davis v. Alaska, supra, at 354; See also Lobato v. State, 120 Nev. 512 (2004) (discussing the "nine basic modes of impeachment.") Accordingly, impeachment evidence can derive from otherwise privileged and/or confidential material. When this occurs, the privileged and/or confidential nature of the material at issue must yield to a defendant's constitutionally secured right to confront and cross-examine those who testify against him. Davis v. Alaska, supra, at 356 (state's interest in maintaining confidentiality of juvenile records must yield to defendant's right to cross examine as to bias); see also U.S. v. Nixon, 418 U.S. 683, 713 (1974) (generalized assertion of privilege must yield to demonstrated, specific need for evidence in a pending criminal case). Thus, prosecutors must obtain and disclose privileged/confidential records pertaining to government witnesses when the records contain information bearing on witness credibility. 6

connection with the witnesses..."). But cf. U.S. v. Blood, 435 F.3d 512, 627 (6th Cir. 2006) (no Brady violation where prosecutor did not produce to the defense the printout of the NCIC check but disclosed that the witness in question had no erininal history, "the Government is only required to disclose its informant's criminal history if he bas one").

Federal law permits disclosure of NCIC information under circumstances such as that here. 28 C.F.R. Chapter I addresses the U.S. Dept. of Justice and Criminal Justice information Systems, 28 C.F.R. Sec. 20.33 sets forth the instances in which NCIC information may be disclosed. It provides for NCIC disclosure "...(1) To criminal justice agencies for criminal justice purposes..." 28 C.F.R. Sec. 20.3(g) defines criminal justice agencies as: "...(1) Courts; and justice metaltics set forth in that section]." Additionally, 28 C.F.R. Sec. 20.3 defines the "jajdministration of criminal justice" to include the "performance of any of the following scrivities ... adjudication" Therefore, the C.F.R. authorizes prosecutors to access and disclose NCIC data pursuant to Court order as part of a criminal case adjudication.

⁸ At a minimum, otherwise confidential or privileged material must be submitted to the Court for an in camera review. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39, 60 (1987) (absent statute prohibiling disclosure of records to prosecution,

This includes mental health records. See U.S. v. Lindstrom, 698 F.2d 1154, 1166-67 (11th Cir. 1983) (requiring disclosure of government witness' mental health records); U.S. v. Robinson. 583 F.3d 1265, 1271-74 (10th Cir. 2009) (requiring disclosure of material portions of confidential informant's mental health records); Wyman v. State, 125 Nev. 592, 607-08 (2009) (frial court abused discretion by denying defendant's request for certificate of materiality to obtain accuser's out-of-state mental health records); Burns v. State, 968 A.2d 1012, 1024-25 (Del. 2009) (defendant entitled to therapy records). It also includes Child Protective Services (or the functional equivalent) and school records. See Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39, 60 (1987) (defendant entitled to in camera review of Child and Youth Services records'); and State v. Cardall, 982 P.3d 79, 86 (Ut. 1999) (defendant entitled to complainant's school psychological records indicating she had propensity to lie and had fabricated prior rape allegations). it further includes parole/probation records, as well as jail/prison records. See U.S. v. Striffer, 851 F.2d 1197, 1201 (9th Cir. 1988), cert. denied, 489 U.S. 1032 (1989); Carriger v. Stewart, 132 F.3d 463, 479-82 (9th Cir. 1997) (requiring production of Department of Corrections file on principle government witness). And it includes juvenile records. Davis v. Alaska, supra, at 356. See also State v. Bennett, 119 Nev. 589, 603 (2003) (failure to disclose co-conspirator's juvenile records in penalty hearing amounted to Brady violation). Thus, prosecutors cannot lawfully refuse disclosure of impeachment information on the basis that the information is privileged and/or confidential.

e. Prior allegations of sexual misconduct and prior sexual knowledge.

In cases involving allegations of sexual misconduct, impeachment evidence includes evidence that a complaining witness made prior allegations of sexual misconduct. See Jackson v. State, 688 F.3d 1091, 1096-1101 (9th Cir. 2012) (defendant entitled to present evidence that complainant made prior contradicted and/or uncorroborated assault accusations against defendant as "such [extrinsic evidence] was highly relevant... to... witness's credibility and motive to lie and

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27 28 information.).

The <u>Riichic</u> Court held that the State cannot claim privilege to refuse disclosure of CPS records, unless there is a staintery scheme that forbids any use, including disclosure to a prosecutor, of such records. <u>Riichic</u>, supra, 480 U.S. 39, at 57-58 (1987). NRS 43213.290 allows for disclosure of such records to the prosecutor and to the court for in camera review.

defendant entitled to have trial court review Child and Youth Services records to determine if records contain material

bias, and its exclusion implicates a defendant's due process rights." (citing Crane v. Kentocky, 476 U.S. 683, 690 (1986)). Under Nevada law, prior false allegations of sexual misconduct amount to an exception to rape shield laws. Miller v. State 105 Nev. 497 (1989), which allows for such evidence as an exception to rape shield laws. NRS 432B.290 (3), states: "An agency which provides child welfare services shall disclose the identity of a person who makes a report or otherwise initiates an investigation pursuant to this chapter if a court, after reviewing the record in camera and determining that there is reason to believe that the person knowingly made a false report, orders the disclosure." See also Fowler v. Sacramento Co. Sheriff's Dept., 421 F. 3d 1027, 1032-33; 1040 (9th Cir. 2005) (error to exclude evidence of prior false sexual assault allegations as evidence "might reasonably have influenced the jury's assessment of [the complainant's] reliability or credibility... [and] 'the jurors were entitled to have the benefit of the defense theory before them so that they could make an informed judgment as to the weight to place on [the complainant's] testimony.") (quoting Davis v. Alaska, 415 U.S. 308, 317 (1974)).

Additionally, Nevada law authorizes the admission of prior sexual conduct to show sexual knowledge. Summitt v. State. 101 Nev. 159 (1985); See also Holley v. Yarborough. 568 F.3d 1091, 1099-1100 (9th Cir. 2009) (error to exclude evidence that complainant made comments to friends regarding a prior sexual encounter and claimed other boys expressed a desire to engage in sexual acts with her, as this evidence revealed complainant's "active sexual imagination," and, accordingly, may have altered jury's perception of the complainant's "credibility and reliability of her claims.")

f. Law enforcement personnel files.

Under <u>U.S. v. Flenthorn</u>, 931 F.2d 29, 31 (9th Cir. 1991), prosecutors must examine law enforcement personnel files when a defendant makes such a requests. <u>See also U.S. v. Cadet</u>, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984). A defendant is not required to make an initial showing of materiality before prosecutors must examine the files — the examination obligation arises solely from the defendant's request. <u>Henthorn</u>, 931 F.2d at 31. "Absent such an examination, [the State] cannot ordinarily determine whether it is obligated to turn over the files." <u>Id.</u> at 31. Once examined, prosecutors must "disclose information favorable to the defense that meets the appropriate

5

6 7 8

10

9

12 13

11

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

standard of materiality.... If the prosecution is uncertain about the materiality of the information within its possession, it may submit the information to the trial court for an in camera inspection and evaluation...." Henthorn, at 30-31 (quoting Cadet, 727 F.2d at 1467-68).

2. Pavorable evidence includes witnesses with exculpatory information.

Prosecutors must disclose the identity of witnesses possessing exculpatory information, as no legitimate interest is served by precluding the defense from calling such witnesses for trial.

<u>U.S. v. Eley, 335 F.Supp. 353 (N.D. Ga. 1972); U.S. v. Houston, 339 F.Supp. 762 (N.D. GA. 1972).</u>

3. Favorable evidence includes evidence of third-party guilt.

The U.S. Constitution guarantees a criminal defendant the right to present evidence of third-party guilt. See Holmes v. South Carolina, 547 U.S. 319 (2006) (holding that refusal to allow defendant to present evidence of third party guilt deprives him of a meaningful right to present a complete defense under the 14th and 6th Amendment of the US Constitution). Thus, prosecutors must disclose any/all evidence that another perpetrator committed the charged crime(s). Lay v. State, 116 Nev. 1185, 1195-96 (2000) (State's failure to disclose evidence of another perpetrator violated Brady). This includes evidence that another individual was arrested in connection with the charged crime. Banks v. Reynolds, 54 F.3d 1508, 1518 n.21 (10th Cir. 1995). It also includes evidence of investigative leads pointing to other suspects. Jimenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610, 622-23 (1996) (withholding evidence of investigative leads to other suspects, regardless of admissibility, constitutes Brady violation). Finally, prosecutors must provide the actual documents, evidence, and/or reports pertaining to evidence of third-party guilt; it is not enough for prosecutors to provide the defense with a summary of the information relating to other suspects. Mazzan v. Warden, 116 Nev. 48, 69 (2000) (summary of prosecutor's perspective on written reports relating to potential suspects were constitutionally landequate and reports should have been disclosed pursuant to Brady); Bloodworth v. State, 512 A.2d 1056, 1059-60 (1986).

4. Favorable evidence includes any/all evidence that may mitigate a defendant's sentence.

Favorable evidence also includes evidence which could serve to mitigate a defendant's sentence upon conviction. <u>Jimenez v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 610 (1996).

5. Any question as to what amounts to Brady material should be resolved in favor of disclosure.

Ultimately, prosecutors are tasked with a "broad duty of disclosure." Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 281 (1999); cf. U.S. v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97, 108 (1976) (finding that 'the prodent prosecutor will resolve doubtful questions in favor of disclosure"). As the Nevada Supreme Court has explained:

Due process does not require simply the disclosure of "exculpatory" evidence. Evidence also must be disclosed if it provides grounds for the defense to attack the reliability, thoroughness, and good faith of the police investigation, to impeach the credibility of the state's witnesses, or to bolster the defense case against prosecutorial attacks. Furthermore, "discovery in a criminal case is not limited to investigative leads or reports that are admissible in evidence." Evidence "need not have been independently admissible to have been material."

Mazzan v. Warden, 116 Nev. 48, 67 (2000) (citations omitted). Significantly, the government's disclosure obligation exists even "when the defendant does not make a <u>Brady</u> request." <u>Bagley</u>, supra at 680-82. Accordingly, any question as to whether certain material, information, and/or evidence falls within the purview of *Brady* should be resolved in favor of disclosure. <u>U.S. v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97, 108 (1976) ("[T]he prudent prosecutor will resolve doubtful questions in favor of disclosure."); <u>See also Kyles v. Whitley</u>, 514 U.S. 419, 439 (1995) ("[A] prosecutor anxious about tacking too close to the wind will disclose a favorable piece of evidence.").

23 1/17

O.

20.

24 1/1

However, a specific Brady request will result in reversal "if there exists a reasonable possibility that the claimed evidence would have affected the judgment of the trier of fact." Roberts v. State, 110 Nev. 1121 (1994); See also Jimenez v. State, supra; State v. Bennett, 119 Nev. 389 (2003). Absent a specific request, reversal is warranted, "if there exists a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed, the result of the proceeding would have been different." U.S. v. Hagley, supra, 473 U.S. at 667, 682, 685 (1985); Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39, 57 (1986). A "reasonable probability" is a probability sufficient to undermine confidence in the outcome, Bagley, 473 U.S. at 678, 685; Ritchie, 480 U.S. at 57." Roberts, supra, at 1129.

B.THE DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS SET FORTH ABOVE EXTEND TO ALL MATERIAL OF WHICH PROSECUTORS ARE IN ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE POSSESSION.

Ì

ŭ

"It is a violation of due process for the prosecutor to withhold exculpatory evidence, and his motive for doing so is immaterial." Jimenez supra at 618. Accordingly, prosecutors are responsible for disclosing evidence in their possession as well as evidence held/maintained by other government agents. Id at 620; See also State v. Bennett, 119 Nev. 589, 603 (2003) ("We conclude that it is appropriate to charge the State with constructive knowledge of the evidence because the Utah police assisted in the investigation of this crime..."). This constructive possession rule applies to evidence that is withheld by other agencies: "Even if the detectives withheld their reports without the prosecutor's knowledge, 'the state attorney is charged with constructive knowledge and possession of evidence withheld by other state agents, such as law enforcement officers:" Id (citation omitted) (emphasis added). "Exculpatory evidence cannot be kept out of the hands of the defense just because the prosecutor does not have it, where an investigative agency does." U.S. v. Zuno-Arce, 44 F.3d 1420, 1427 (9th Cir. 1995).

In fact, prosecutors have an affirmative abligation to obtain Brady material and provide it to the defense, even if the prosecutor is initially unarrare of its existence. "The prosecution's affirmative duty to disclose evidence favorable to a defendant can trace its origins to early 20th century strictures against misrepresentation and is of course most prominently associated with this Court's decision in Brady v. Maryland..." Kyles v. Whitley, supra, 514 U.S. at 432. This obligation exists even where the defense does not make a request for such evidence. Id. As the

U.S. Supreme Court explained:

This in turn means that the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police. But whether the prosecutor succeeds at fails in meeting this obligation (whether, that is, a failure to disclose is in good faith or bad faith), the prosecution's responsibility for failing to disclose known, favorable evidence rising to a material level of importance is inescapable. Since then, the prosecutor has the means to discharge the government's Brady responsibility if he will, any argument for excusing a prosecutor from disclosing what he does not happen to know about boils down to a plea to substitute the police for the prosecutor, and even for the courts themselves, as the final arbiter's of the government's obligation to ensure fair trials.

Q.

Kyles, supra, 514 U.S. at 437-38 (emphasis added) (citations and footnotes omitted). See also Carriger v. Stewart, 132 F-3d 463, 479-82 (9th Cir. 1997) (holding that "... the prosecution has a duty to learn of any exculpatory evidence known to others acting on the government's behalf. Because the prosecution is in a unique position to obtain information known to other agents of the government, it may not be excused from disclosing what it does not know but could have learned." (citations omitted) (emphasis added). Thus, the disclosure obligations outlined above extend not only to material directly in the possession of prosecutors, but material of which prosecutors are in constructive possession, as well.

C. AN 'OPEN FILE' POLICY DOES NOT OBVIATE THE DISCLOSRUE OBLIGATIONS OUTLINED ABOVE.

Historically, the Clark County District Attorney's Office has employed an 'open file' policy in which prosecutors allow defense counsel to review the discovery contained in the government's trial file. This does not vittate above-referenced disclosure obligations. Strickler v. Green, 527 U.S. 263, 283 (1999) (holding that a prosecutor's open file policy does not in any way substitute for or diminish the State's obligation to turn over *Brady* material). "If a prosecutor asserts that he complies with *Brady* through an open file policy, defense counsel may reasonably rely on that file to contain all materials the State is constitutionally obligated to disclose under *Brady*." Strickler, 527 U.S. at 283, n.23. See also Amando v. Gonzatez. No. 11-56420 at 27 (9th Cir. 2013). McKee v. State. 112 Nev. 642, 644, 917 P.2d-940, 944 (1996) (reversing a judgment of conviction based on prosecutorial misconduct where the prosecutor did not make available all relevant inculpatory and exculpatory evidence consistent with the county district atterney's open file policy), see also Furbay v. State. 116 Nev. 481, 998 P.2d-553 (2000) (discussing prosecution's duty to provide all evidence in its possession where it has promised to do so). Accordingly, if the defense relies on the government's assurance of an 'open file' policy, the defense is not required to hunt down information otherwise obtained and maintained pursuant to that policy.

26 /11

27 1/1

28 1/1/

į

9

12. 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Based upon the foregoing, the instant defendant requests that this Honorable Court enter an order directing prosecutors to disclose the following. General discovery

- 1. All statements, regardless of whether the statements were written or recorded, made by the defendant, including any comments made at the time of arrest or during transport to the detention center. This includes conversations, telephonic or otherwise, intercepted by any/all law enforcement agencies, including federal authorities. includes the substance of any statements made by the Defendant which the prosecution intends to use as evidence at trial, including but not limited to any conversations or correspondence overheard or intercepted by any jail personnel or other inmates which have not been recorded or memorialized.
- 2. Any and all statements of any/all potential witnesses in the case, including any audio and/or video recording of any form collected by investigating officers or any other law enforcement agent as part of the investigation of this matter, as well as any related matters. This includes any notes of interviews that were not later recorded, such as notes of patrol officers, or notes of phone calls made to potential witnesses, or attempts to contact such witnesses. This also includes any police reports, notes, or other documents that contain information pertaining to this case or any witnesses in this case, no matter what the form or title of the report, iscluding "Case Monitoring Forms", 911 recordings, relevant dispatch log(s), and/or any report of information related to the case given by anyone to any police department or crime tip organization such as Crime Stoppers, and any reward or benefit received for such tip. The aforementioned request includes, but is not limited to, interviews of Amanda Lowe, Shannon Salazar, Robert Helland, II, Timothy Hilderbrand, Benjamin Ortiz, Dyanne Carr, Edward Weiss, Kyle Weiss, Gary Hagen, Grace Anderson, Jeff Firrantello, John Gilber, Kris Momon, Rebecca Sepic, Sean Nelson, Tina Archangel, William Buchannan, James Tabele, Darlene Davis, Justin Osborne, Steven Verwey, Maria Aviles, Lynn Irwin, Gabrielle Manuel, Dagoberto Melara-Gomez, Lynn Wilding, and Alexandro Meza; and any other investigative official (including LVMPD Officers Pacifico #6511, Braun #12946, Miller #9142, Myrold #13064, Reed #7641, Morales #8788, Rotta #13422, Abdal-Karin #13724, Hibbetts #14320., McGuire #14067, Brambilla #13423, Cobb #14099, Oscar #14325, Kinman #14317, Eddington #14206, Brisendine #14003, Arciniega #14185, Moor #9003, Skimerton #8981, Mass #13015, Orizabal #8791, Jones #8876, Cruse #14299, Iacullio #7857, Eagan #7860, Pickerel #7940, Larsen #9630, Lynch #8284, Baker #6623, Spencer #4852, Kelly #3807, Flaherty, #4022, . Duke #13934; Detectives Jensen #3662, Fabian #3705, Steiber #3542, Bunn #4407, Ivie #6405, Dosch #7907, and Williams #3811, as well as any/all responding officers not otherwise specified in the discovery provided to date) involved in the instant matter and any related matter.

Significantly, this request is not in any way intended to be a substitute for the generalized duties described above.

- 3. Request, results and/or reports of any and all crime scene analysis, evidence collection and/or forensic testing performed in this case, including, but not limited to, any and all photographs, the results of any fingerpriat collection and comparison. AFIS (Automated Fingerprint Identification System) searches and/or results, DNA testing, CODIS (Combined DNA Index System) searches and/or results, toxicological analyses, footwear impressions, trace evidence analysis regardless of the outcome of such request. Neuropathological, toxicological, or other medical evaluations of the deceased, performed through this investigation. This includes the complete case file for any testing done, including, but is not limited to; raw data, photographs, rough notes, draft reports, recorded or otherwise memorialized notes relied upon by experts in rendering an opinion in this case. This request encompasses, but it not limited to, any work done by: CSA Smink, Vaandering, Charlton, Tucker, Szukiewicz, and Petersen, and any other individual, including those associated with the Clark County Medical Examiner's Office, tasked with investigating the instant matter.
- 4. Access to and preservation of any and all material collected in the investigation of this case to include but not limited to forensic material, raw data, video surveillance, photographic negatives, digital negatives, biological samples and toxicological samples.
- 5. Any and all intercepted electronic and/or oral communications and/or any and all communications sent to and from handset and/or telephone and/or computers pursuant to the investigation in this case, including but not limited to: Audio, Push to Talk, Data, Packet Data, electronic messaging encompassing Global System for Mobile Communications (GMS), Short Message Service (SMS), Multimedia Messaging Service (MMS), and Internet Relay Chat, File Transfer Protocol (FTP), Internet Protocol (IP), Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP), Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and electronic mail or other internet based communications, obtained by the any law enforcement agency, including federal authorities, via subpoens, interception or other means, pertaining to the instant matter, or any related matter.
- 6. Any and all data, recordings, reports and documentation of voice monitoring devices and/or geographic tracking devices and/or pen register and/or trap and trace device installed pursuant to interception, warrant or other means, as obtained by any law enforcement agency, including federal authorities, pertaining to the instant matter or any related matter.
- 7. Any and all 911 and 311 recordings to include, but not limited to, car-to-car audio communications, car-to-dispatch radio communications, and the Unit Log incident print out related to the event.
- 8. Any and all information which shows that the defendant did not commit the crime(s) alleged (including evidence showing/tending to show that the defendant acted in self-defense or that the killing was the result of an accident and/or mistake) or which shows the possibility of another perpetrator, co-conspirator, aider and abetter, or accessory after the fact, including the name(s) of those individual(s). This includes, but is not

limited to, any information concerning an arrest of any other individual for the charged crime and any information suggesting a possible perpetrator other than the defendant.

2

3.

4

5

6

7

X

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 9. All statements of identification, or witness interviewed who did not identify the Defendant as the perpetrator of the alleged crime to include: (1) any statements identifying another person as the perpetrator of this offense; (2) any prior statement by eyewitnesses who now identify my client as involved in this offense that they previously could not identify anyone; (3) a copy of all photographic lineups shown to any witnesses for the purposes of identifying suspects in this case, including lineups created without the Defendant in them; (4) other identification procedures, if any, used to identify suspects in this case. This request includes, but is not limited to, any showups, lineups, photographic lineups, single photo showups, photo compilations and composite drawings made or shown. This request further includes (1) the identity of each witness who was shown an identification procedure; (2) the date such procedure occurred; (3) the time such a procedure occurred; (4) the names of all persons who were present when the procedure took place; (5) instructions given to the witness prior to the procedure being conducted; (6) the results of the procedure, including as exact a rendition as possible of what the witness said, how long the witness took to make the identification if it was made, and any hesitancy or uncertainty of the witness in making the identification; and (7) whether or not the valuess before or after the procedure was informed that they had picked the suspect officers believed committed the crime.
- 10. All relevant reports of chain of custody, including reports of any destruction of any evidence in the case. 10
- 11. Any documents used to prepare State's witnesses for preliminary hearing or trial, including any and all notes and reports of any expert in the case, to include mental health workers. This includes any preliminary reports or notes, not included in a final report.
- 12. All updated witness contact Information, to include last known address and phone number. This includes the names/contact information for witnesses who may have information tending to exculpate the instant defendant.
- 13. Any and all records of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and any other law enforcement agency(ies) involved in the investigation of this or any related matter, including photocopies or other reproduction(s) of any and all handwritten or other notes. This includes, but is not limited to, any notes documenting alternate circumstances surrounding the alleged crime as well as alternate suspects, investigative leads that were not followed up on, or any other matter bearing on the credibility of any State witness.
- 14. Any and all information obtained by the use of confidential informants for any aspect of the investigation of this case. This includes, but is not limited to, informants who purportedly obtained information about this case while incarcerated, whether the

¹⁰ Destruction of evidence can result in dismissal of the case or a jury instruction stating such evidence is presumed favorable to the accused. Crockett v. State, 95 Nev. 859, 865 (1979); Sparks v. State, 104 Nev. 316, 319 (1988); Simborn v. State, 107 Nev. 399, 409 (1991).

General impeachment

ľ

ţ

Į

Š

22:

- 15. Disclosure of any and all compensation, express or implied, promises of favorable treatment or leniency, or any other benefit that any of the State's witnesses may of have received in exchange for their cooperation with this or any related prosecution. This includes but is not limited to: (1) any and all records and notes from the victim witness office of the District Attorney, including any/all records of any expectation of any benefit or assistance to be received, or already received by any witness in this case; (2) any monetary benefits received as well as any express or implied promises made to any witness to provide counseling and/or treatment and/or provide immigration assistance (including, but not limited to, U-Visa documentation) as a result of the witness' participation in this case; (3) the names of any and all agencies and workers or other referrals that were given to any witness and/or bis/her family member, relative or guardian in connection with this case or any related matter; (4) an estimate of future benefits to be received by any witness during or after the trial, including travel expenses.
- 16. Disclosure of any and all statements, tangible or intangible, recorded or unrecorded, made by any witness that are in any manner consistent or inconsistent with the written and/or recorded statements previously provided to the defense. This includes, but is not limited to, any oral statements made to any employee or representative of the District Attorney's office or any other government employee, local or federal, during pre-trial conferences or other investigative meetings.
- 17. Any and all impeachment information located in the personnel files of any police witness called to testify at trial or any pretrial hearing in this matter, including, but not limited to, any Statement of Complaint regarding the witness or this investigation, any Employee Notice of Internal Investigation, any Internal Affairs Investigative Report of Complaint, any witness statement, any Bureau Investigation Supervisory Intervention, and any other document maintained or generated by the Office of Internal Affairs, Critical Incident Review Panel, or other investigative agency.
- 18. Criminal history information on any witness, actual or potential, relating to specific instances of misconduct or from untruthfulness may be inferred and/or which could lead to admissible evidence, impeachment or otherwise. This includes, but is not fimited to, NCIC data, juvenile records, misdemeanors, out-of-state arrests and convictions, outstanding arrest warrants or beach warrants, and cases which were dismissed or not pursued by the prosecuting agency, and any other information that would go to the issue(s) of credibility and/or bias, or lead to the discovery of information bearing on credibility/bias, whether or the information is directly admissible by the rules of evidence. If a addition those witnesses, actual or potential,

The State usually is under the mistaken impression that they only must disclose felony convictions from the last 10 years that can be used as impeachment under NRS 50.095. However, in <u>Davis v. Alaska, supra</u>, the US Supreme Court found that a witness can be attacked by "revealing possible biases; prejudices, or ulterior motives of the witnesses as

1 4 3. Ġ. Š ø 7 Š 9 U. 11

12

13

14

5

16

17

18

known to the State or any law enforcement agency involved in the investigation of this or any related matter, the defense requests the above-referenced criminal history/impeachment information on the following individuals: Defendant, Amanda Lowe, Shannon Salazar, Robert Holland, II, Timothy Hilderbrand, Benjamin Ortiz, Dyanne Carr, Edward Weiss, Kyle Weiss, Gary Hagen, Grace Anderson, Jeff Errantello, John Gilber, Kris Momon, Rebecca Sepic, Sean Nelson, Tina Archangel, William Buchannan, James Tabele, Darlene Davis, Justin Osborne, Steven Verwey, Maria Aviles, Lynn Irwin, Gabrielle Manuel, Dagoberto Melara-Gomez, Lynn Wilding, and Alexandro Meza. In addition to any other requirements imposed by Brady, the defense requests that the District Attorney be required to run the aforementioned witnesses, in addition to any other lay witnesses prosecutors intend to call or upon whose testimony or statements the State will rely during either the guilt or renalty phases of trial, through an NCIC check and allow defense counsel to review the NCIC reports on those witnesses. The defense requests that the NCIC information be provided to defense counsel as soon as possible. If there is no NCIC record for a particular witness, the State can make that representation. While the defense is not insisting that prosecutors run NCICs expert or law enforcement witnesses, the defense requests that the State be ordered to comply with any Brady obligations with respect to these witnesses.

U-Visa and related information (if applicable)

- 19. Whether the any family member of the alleged victim, or any other qualifying person 12 has consulted with a representative or victim advocate, or any other person acting in a representative capacity, regarding obtaining a UVisa as a result of this case. 13
- 20. Whether any such person, or any other qualifying person, has applied for a U Visa as a result of this case."
- 21. All USCIS Form(s) I-918, Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status completed as a result of this case, including any supporting documentation filed with Form I-918.15

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 . 28 they may relate directly to the issues or personalities on the case at hand. The partiality of a witness is always relevant as discrediting the witness and affecting the weight of his testimony." Id. at 354. The court found that the State's policy interest in protecting the confidentiality of a juvenile offender's record must yield to the defendant's right to cross-examine as to bias. Id. at 156. See also Lobato v. State: 120 Nev. 512 (2004), discussing the "nine basic modes of impeachment." Therefore, juvenile records, misdemeanors and older criminal records may yield information relevant to many forms of impeachment other than that outlined in NR\$ 50.095

12 The U visa is available to an alleged victim; her unmarried children under the age of (wenty-one (21); her spouse; her parents, if she is under twenty-one (21); and unmarried siblings under eighteen (18) years old if the alleged victim is under age twenty-one (21). U Visa Law Enforcement Certification Resource Cuide for Federal, State, Local, Tribal Department Homeland Enforcement OF. Law http://www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/dhs ti visa certification guide.pdf at 5. Furthermore, when the principal alleged victim is under twenty-one (21) years old, her nonclitzen parent can apply for a U visa as an "indirect victim" regardless of whether the principal alleged victim is a U.S. citizen or noncifizen. Id. at 13.

¹⁵ Given the complexity of U visa petitions, petitioners often work with a legal representative or victim advocate," and, in fact, "is usually done with the assistance of an advocate." Id. at 2, 5.

**The U visa is an inunigration benefit that can be sought by victims of certain crimes who are currently assisting or

have previously assisted law enforcement in the investigation or prosecution of a crime, or who are likely to be helpful in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity. . . . The U visa provides eligible victims with nonimmigrant status in order to temporarily remain in the United States (U.S.) while assisting law enforcement. If certain conditions are met, an individual with U noninunigrant status may adjust to lawful permanent resident status." Id. at 1.

- 22. All <u>USCIS Form(s) I-918, Supplement B</u> (Form I-918B) completed by a law enforcement agency, the prosecuting attorney or representative; any judge, child and adult protective services, any other authority that has the responsibility for the investigation or prosecution of a qualifying crime or criminal activity, or any other certifying agency as a result of this case, ¹⁶ including any additional documents, attachments, or addenda submitted with Form I-918B. ¹⁷
- 23. The law enforcement agency's and the prosecutor's office's policies and procedures has established regarding U visa certification. 18
- 24. Whether an individual has requested a certifying agency fill out Form 1-918B, even if the certifying agency declined to fill out Form 1-918B. 19
- 25. Whether a certifying agency has refused to sign or complete <u>Form 1-918B</u>, and any information regarding that certifying agency's refusal to sign or fill out <u>Form 1-918B</u>.
- 26. Any evidence submitted to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCUS) as part of a U visa application by a certifying agency or the individual applying for the U visa. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, fingerprint check, the individual's criminal history, immigration records, security concerns, and other background information.²⁰

¹⁵This is the U visa form that must be completed by the individual seeking the U visa. Id. at 2.

¹⁶Form 1-918B is the certification document that a law enforcement or other certifying agency completes for the individual seeking a U visa. Without the certification, "the victim will not be eligible for a U visa," "In order to be eligible for a U visa, the victim <u>must</u> submit a law enforcement certification completed by a certifying agency. Certifying agencies include all authorities responsible for the investigation, prosecution, conviction or sentencing of the qualifying criminal activity, including but not limited to" law enforcement agencies, prosecutors' offices, judges, family protective services, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Federal and State Departments of Labor and "other investigative agencies." <u>Id.</u> at 2-3 (emphasis added), 9. After signing Form I-918B, the certification must be returned to the alleged victim or her representative. <u>Id.</u> at 6.

¹⁷A certifying agency may submit additional documentation, and if so, it must state "see attachment" or "see addeadum" on Form I-918B. <u>Id.</u> at 6.

Whether a certifying agency signs a certification . . [depends on the] policies and procedures it has established regarding U visa certification." Id. at 3; see also id. at 8 (discussing the best practices in U visa certifications and department policies); id. at 9 ("DHS encourages all jurisdictions to implement U visa certification practices and policies.")

policies.").

Phere is no statute of finitations on signing the law enforcement certification, and a law enforcement certification "can even be submitted for a victim in a closed case." Id. at 4, 19. As alleged victim 'may be eligible for a U visa based on having been helpful in the past to investigate or prosecute a crime. Id. at 10. Therefore, a certifying agency could wait until after the close of the case to fill out Form 1-918B and then argue that there is no discoverable immigration information or immigration benefit received as part of this case. However, if an individual has requested a certifying agency fill out Form 1-918B, that individual is therefore attempting to gain an immigration benefit as part of this case, which would be discoverable regardless of whether the certifying agency has or has not completed the certification.

²⁰The USCIS conducts a background check for all U visa petitioners. This includes a fingerprint check and name check. This also includes "[a]ny evidence that law enforcement and immigration authorities possess.... [which] includes, but is not limited to, the person's criminal history, immigration records, and other background information." Id. at 5. "If law enforcement believes USCIS should know something particular about a victim's criminal history, that information can be cited on the certification or with an attached report or statement detailing the victim's criminal history with that law enforcement agency or his or her involvement with the crime." Id. at 14.

25

26

- 27. Whether the USCIS has contacted the certifying agency regarding issues or questions based on the information provided in the certification, and what issues or questions the USCIS had for the certifying agency.²¹
- 28. Whether the USCIS has found the alleged victim or other qualifying person inadmissible and any information regarding the reason for the inadmissibility determination.²²
- 29. Whether the certifying agency contacted the USCIS regarding any later discovered information regarding the victim, crime, or certification that the agency believes the USCIS should be aware of or whether the agency contacted the USCIS to withdraw or disavow the certification, including withdrawal or disavowal based upon the alleged victim's failure to cooperate.²³ If the agency has notified the USCIS in writing regarding the withdrawal or disavowal, a copy of the writing is requested.²⁴
- 30. Whether the certifying agency has notified the USCIS that the alleged victim has unreasonably refused to cooperate in the investigation or prosecution of the crime. 25
- 31. Whether the USCIS has requested further evidence from the petitioner and/or certifying agency as part of the U visa process. Any and all information from the certifying agency that the USCIS suspects fraud in the U visa application.²⁶
- 32. Whether an alleged victim has self-petitioned for Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) relief as a part of this case, and if so, a copy of the completed <u>Form I-360</u> and corroborating evidence.²⁷
- 33. Whether any witness in the case has been granted Significant Public Benefit Parole (SPBP) in connection with this case.²³
- 34 Finally, the Defendant respectfully requests that this Court order the State to contact any agencies or agents acting on behalf of or working with the prosecution, or in any other way a part of the prosecution team, and to ascertain whether any of those agencies

²¹ USCIS may contact the certifying law enforcement agency if there are any issues or questions arise during the adjudication based on information provided in the law enforcement certification." Id. at 5, 9.

²²The USCIS may find the alleged victim or other qualifying person inadmissible when there are security related concerns, multiple or violent criminal strests, multiple immigration violations, or complicity or culpability in the criminal activity in which she claims the victimization occurred. Id. at 3, 14.

²⁴ If the alleged victim stops cooperating, the certifying agency can withdraw or disavow Form 1-918B, but must notify the USCIS Vermont Service Center in writing. Id. at 12.

²⁶If USCIS suspects fraud in a U visa petition, USCIS may request further evidence from the petitioner and may also reach out to the law enforcement agency for further information." <u>Id.</u> at 15. The USCIS has a dedicated fraud detection unit called the Fraud Detection and National Security unit. <u>Id.</u>

²¹The VAWA visa allows an alleged victim to self-petition for relief without a law enforcement certification. This relief applies equally to men and women and is available to the abused spouse or former apouse of a U.S. citizen or Lawful Permanent Resident, or the abused parent of a U.S. citizen or Lawful Permanent Resident, or the abused parent of a U.S. citizen.

²⁸SPBP allows a witness, defendant, cooperating source, and immediate family members into the United States for up to one year. <u>1d</u> at 16.

or agents possess or know of any material information that would tend to exculpate the Defendant, impeach a prosecution witness, or mitigate the Defendant's possible punishment.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the instant motion, and order the timely disclosure of the material sought herein. NRS 174.235; Brady v. Maryland; 373 U.S. 83 (1963); U.S.C.A. V, VI, XIV; and Nev. Const. Art. 1 § 8. Further, Mr. Pimentel requests that this Court preclude prosecutors from admitting at trial any discovery/evidence not timely produced. See NRS 174.295 ("If at any time during the course of the proceedings it is brought to the attention of the court that a party has failed to comply with the provisions of NRS 174.235 to 174.295, inclusive, the court may order the party to permit the discovery or inspection of materials not previously disclosed, grant a continuance, or prohibit the party from introducing in evidence the material not disclosed, or it may enter such other order as it deems just under the circumstances.") (emphasis added).

DATED this $\mathcal{J}Q^{\dagger b}$ day of July 2014.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By: NANCY L. LEMCKE, #5416

Deputy Public Deserter

By:

CONOR M. SLIPS, #41277 Deputy Public Defender

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff:

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the above and foregoing Motion To Compel Production of Discovery on for hearing before the Court on the 11th day of August, 2014, at 9:00 a.m., in District Court Department V.

DATED this 29th day of July 29, 2014.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By:

NANCY M. LIXYICKE, #54to

Deputy Public Defender

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTON OF DISCOVERY, was made this 30TH day of July, 2014, by Electronic Filing to:

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com

SAMUEL BATEMAN, Deputy District Attorney E-Mail: samuel bateman@clarkcountyda.com

B∳:___

Sara Ruano

Secretary for the Public Defender's Office

26

2

3.

4

5

6

7

ŷ.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

1 2 3 4 5 6	RPLY PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO, 0556 NANCY L. LEMCKE Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 5416 CONOR M. SLIFF Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11277 309 South Third Street, Suite #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 Attorneys for Defendant	CLERK OF THE COURT		
.8⊹	Dist	RICT COURT		
9	CLARK C	OUNTY, NEVADA		
-10	In the Matter of the Application of,			
11		CASENO. C-14-296234-1		
12	Luis Pimentel,	DEPT. NO. V		
13	for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.	DATE: August 11, 2014 TIME: 9:00 a.m.		
14 15	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PETT	ION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS		
16	COMES NOW Petitioner, Luis Pime	ntel, by and through Nancy M. Lenicke, and Conor		
17:	Slife, Deputy Clark County Public Defender	s, and hereby submits the instant Reply in Support of		
18	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. This Re	ply is based upon the points and authorities set forth		
19	herein as well as the original Petition, and any oral argument at the hearing of this matter.			
20	DATED this 5th day of August, 2014.			
21				
22	PHILIP J. KOHN	PHILIP J. KÖHN		
23	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER		
24	70//	V 1 Gar		
25	By: NANCY L. MICKE-115416	By: CONOR SK SLIEF, #11277		
26	Deputy Public Defender	Deputy Public Defender		
2,7	Minne			
		•		

8.

13.

20:

 ARGUMENT

The prosecutor urges this Court to conflate NRS 200.030 and NRS 200.450, two entirely separate crimes. Indeed, the prosecutor asks this Court to do what the legislature specifically declined to do – adopt 'challenge to fight' as one of the enumerated means of establishing First Degree Murder. If the legislature intended that 'challenge to fight' amount to a First Degree Murder liability theory, the legislature would have incorporated that language into NRS 200.030. The conspicuous absence of any 'challenge to fight' language in NRS 200.030 belies the prosecution's contention that the two statutes should be "harmonized" in such a way as to make 'challenge to fight' the one-and-only un-enumerated means of establishing First Degree Murder under NRS 200.030.

As it currently exists, 'challenge to fight' is a separate criminal offense with separate elements. The only mention of NRS 200.030 within the Challenge to Fight statute is where punishment is concerned – the punishment for a violation of NRS 200.450, Challenge to Fight, depends upon whether death results. Otherwise, NRS 200.450 bears no relation to, nor is any mention made of, NRS 200.030.

So NRS 200.450 has elements entirely separate and distinct from NRS 200.030. Amongst other things, NRS 200.450 requires a "previous concert and agreement to fight." But plead as a "challenge to fight liability theory, the Information omits these material elements. Thus, alleging "challenge to fight as a liability theory rather than a separate crime allows prosecutors to avoid proving beyond a reasonable doubt all of the elements of NRS 200.450.

The prosecution suggests that charging Challenge to Fight as a separate crime is impractical and may amount to duplications charging. Like it or not, the only way to present a 'challenge to fight' allegation is to charge it as the separate crime that the legislature intended it to be. And there is no doubt that such a charge, i.e., Challenge to Fight Resulting in Death, if charged with a count of Pirst Degree Murder based upon the same conduct, would amount to duplications charging warranting dismissal of one of the counts. Since the proscribed punishment for each is the same, it is highly unlikely that any prosecutor would object to the eventual dismissal of one of two charges. Accordingly, the prosecution's impractical/duplicative charging argument amounts to little more

than an attempt to obscure the mechanics and implication(s) of charging Challenge to Fight as a separate crime.1

Finally, the Information, incorporating only part of the language of NRS 200.450, fails to set forth a factual predicate for liability. As this Court is aware, a charging document must "... be definite enough to prevent the prosecutor from changing the theory of the case." Husney v. O'Donnell, 95 Nev. 467, 469 (1979). The mere 'challenge to fight' allegation, in the absence of supporting facts, provides the prosecutor enough leaway to change theories in the middle of trial based on the evidence and, as a result, does not provide Petitioner with sufficient notice to properly defend against the charged crime. The key factor in determining whether Petitioner is liable under a 'challenge to fight' theory is whether there was a "previous concert and agreement" between Petitioner and the alleged victim to enter into mutual combat. NRS 200.450. By failing to identify the factual predicate for the "previous concert an agreement" element, the prosecution is free to change theories during trial as to when, where, and under what conditions the parties arrived at a "previous concert and agreement" to fight. Accordingly, the 'challenge to fight' allegation currently charged in the Information cannot stand.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above and in Petitioner's moving papers, Petitioner LUIS PIMENTEL respectfully requests that the instant Writ issue, and that he be discharged from the Information as currently constituted.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2014.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

NANCA/L LEMCKE/#5416 Deputy Public Defender

Deputy Public Defender

CONORM: SMFE, #14277

27

28

26

1

2

3.

4

5.

6

7

8.

10

11

12

0

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While Peritioner maintains that Challenge to Fight amounts to a separate crime whranting a separate charge, Petitioner does not concede that the prosecution can amend the instant information to include such a count at this point in the proceedings.

By:

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

ó

1.4

I hereby certify that service of REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, was made this Way of August, 2014, by Electronic Filing to:

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com

SAMUEL BATEMAN, Deputy District Attorney E-Mail: samuel.bateman@clarkcountyda.com

By:

Sara Runho

Secretary for the Public Defender's Office

Electronically Filed 08/07/2014 12:16:12 PM

1	REQT PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA RAP NO 0556					
2	309 South Third Street, Strite #226 CLERK OF THE COURT					
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685					
4	Attorney for Defendant					
5	DISTRICT COURT					
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
8	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-14-296234-1					
9	DEPT, NO. V					
10	EUIS PIMIENTEL,					
11	Defendant.					
12:						
13	**************************************					
14	REQUEST TO FILE AFFIDAVIT UNDER SEAL					
15.	Upon the request of the above-named Defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL, by and through					
16.	NANCY L. LEMCKE, Clark County Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing therefore,					
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon request of this Court, that NANCY L. LEMCKE,					
18	Deputy Public Defender, may file an Affidavit under seal.					
19	DATED 1/4 day of August, 2014.					
20	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE					
21	TAO EXICAL ACTUAL ACTUA					
22	Submitted by:					
23	PHILIP J. KOHN					
24	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER					
25						
26	By NANCY E LEMCKE 45416					
27	Deputy Public Defender					
28						

1 **RSPN** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN 3 Electronically Filed Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764 08/07/2014 12:39:14 PM 4 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 CLERK OF THE COURT 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: C-14-296234-1 12 DEPT NO: 13 LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, 14 #1444838 Defendant. 15 16 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DISCOVERY 17 DATE OF HEARING: August, 11, 2014 18 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 AM COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County 19 District Attorney, through SAMUEL G. BATEMAN, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and 20 hereby submits the attached Points and Authorities in Response to Defendant's Motion to 21 Compel Production of Discovery. 22 This Response is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 23 attached Points and Authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if 24 deemed necessary by this Honorable Court. 25 26 27 28

w:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-RSPN-(Pimentel_Luis)-001.docx

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

THE STATE IS AWARE OF ITS STATUTORY AND CONSTITUTIONAL DISCOVERY OBLIGATIONS

Defendant has made a number of general and specific discovery requests which are purportedly based upon case law within and without the State of Nevada. The State intends to comply with all the requests that are within the ambit of either the discovery statutes of Nevada and/or the constitutional requirements imposed by <u>Brady</u> and its progeny. The State does not intend to comply; and, furthermore, the State objects to all requests that fall outside of those legal requirements.

A.

DISCOVERY REQUIRED BY STATUTE

The State has no objection to a strict compliance with the provisions and requirements outlined in the criminal discovery statutes. <u>See</u>, NRS 174.233, et seq.

B.

DISCLOSURE REQUIRED BY BRADY V. MARYLAND

The State recognizes, and readily accepts, its continuing disclosure obligations as defined in <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 83 S. Ct. 1194 (1963), and its interpretive progeny. Pursuant to <u>Brady</u>, the State is required to disclose evidence that is favorable to the defense if it is material either to guilt or punishment. <u>Lay v. State</u>, 116 Nev. 1185, 1194, 14 P.3d 1256, 1262 (2000). The State's failure to do so violates the Defendant's due process rights, regardless of the State's motive. <u>Id.</u> Following a specific discovery request, evidence is deemed material if there is a reasonable possibility that the evidence would have affected the outcome, i.e. it undermines the confidence of the outcome in the proceeding. <u>Id</u>.

///

26 ///

27 | ///

12

13

14

15 16 17

19 20

. 18

21 22

23

24 25

26

2728

"The character of a piece of evidence as favorable will often turn on the context of the existing or potential evidentiary record." Id. Furthermore, it is the prosecutor's responsibility to determine whether evidence is material and should be disclosed. Id. (citing Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 439-440, 115 S.Ct. 1555 (1995)). As such, a prosecutor who is "anxious about tacking too close to the wind will disclose a favorable piece of evidence." Id. And, this is as it should be because such disclosure serves to justify trust in the prosecutor as "the representative of a sovereignty...whose interest...in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done." Id. However, Brady does not impose upon the State an obligation "to disclose evidence which is available to the Defendant from other sources, including diligent investigation by the defense." Steese v. State, 114 Nev. 479, 495, 960 P.2d 321, 331 (1998).

In addition, the State acknowledges that its Brady obligations not only apply to materials in its possession, but also extends to materials in the hands of its agents. Nevertheless, the State maintains that rather than being accountable for all evidence in the hands of all State agencies, it is only accountable for that evidence in the hands of State agencies who are actually acting on its behalf in the investigation and prosecution of the case. See, Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 437, 115 S.Ct. 1555, 1567 (1995)("This in turn means that the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police."); Carriger v. Stewart, 132 F.3d 463, 479 (9th Cir. 1997)("[T]he prosecution has a duty to learn of any exculpatory evidence known to others acting on the government's behalf."). Moreover, "[w]hile the prosecution must disclose any information within the possession or control of law enforcement personnel,...it has no duty to volunteer information that it does not possess or of which it is United State v. Hsieh Hui Mei Chen, 754 F.2d 817, 824 (9th Cir. 1985). Additionally, the State has no "duty to compile information or pursue an investigative lead simply because it could conceivably develop evidence helpful to the defense..." Evans v. State, 117 Nev. 609, 627, 28 P.3d 498, 511 (2001).

Furthermore, while the State acknowledges its discovery obligations under Brady and the applicable rules of discovery, the State submits that its obligations under Brady and the rules of discovery are not without limitation. See, e.g., Weatherford v. Bursey, 429 U.S., 545, 559, 97 S.Ct. 837, at 845-846 (1977)(There is no general constitutional right to discovery in a criminal case and Brady did not create one;... 'the Due Process Clause has little to say regarding the amount of discovery which the parties must be afforded...'). In addition, Courts are limited in their authority to order the disclosure of evidence beyond what is statutorily mandated. See, Franklin v. District Court, 85 Nev. 401, 402-403, 455 P.2d 919, 920-921(1969)("The new criminal code [deals] with criminal discovery...and those provisions represent the legislative intent with respect to the scope of allowable pre-trial discovery and are not lightly to be disregarded.").

More specifically, in the case of <u>Riddle v. State</u>, 96 Nev. 589, 613 P.2d 1031 (Nev. 1980) the Nevada Supreme Court reaffirmed the strictures of the provisions of our discovery statutes by making the following statement:

The trial Court is vested with the authority to order the discovery and inspection of materials in the possession of the State. The exercise of the Court's discretion however is predicated on a showing that the evidence sought is material to the presentation of the defense and the existence of the evidence is known or, by the exercise of due diligence may become known to the District Attorney.

Id. at 390 (emphasis added).

In Mazzan v. Warden, 116 Nev. 48, 993 P.2d 25 (2000), the Nevada Supreme Court stated:

Brady and its progeny require a prosecutor to disclose evidence favorable to the defense when that evidence is **material** either to guilt or to punishment. See <u>Jimenez v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 610, 618-19, 918 P.2d 687, 692 (1996). In other words, evidence is material if there is a reasonable probability that the result would have been different if the evidence had been disclosed. <u>Id</u>.

Id. at 66, 36 (emphasis added).

In determining its materiality, the undisclosed evidence must be considered collectively, not item by item. <u>Kyles v. Whitley</u>, 514 U.S. at 436, 115 S.Ct. 1555. "[T]he character of a piece of evidence as favorable will often turn on the context of the existing or potential evidentiary record." <u>Id</u>. at 439, 1555.

Id. at 66-67, 36.

2 3

1

4

5

6 7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 In sum, there are three components to a Brady violation: the evidence at issue is favorable to the accused; the evidence was withheld by the state, either intentionally or inadvertently; and prejudice ensued, i.e., the evidence was material. Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936, 1948, (1999).

Id. at 67, 37 (emphasis added).

Based upon the foregoing, this Court is respectfully requested to continue to adhere to the clear legislative scheme regarding criminal discovery embodied in Nevada's statutes, the interpretation thereof by the Supreme Court of this State, and the opinions of the United States Supreme Court in this area.

II.

RESPONSES TO THE DEFENDANT'S REQUESTS

Defendant's present Motion from pages 3-14 appears to set forth legal precedents. Pages 15-22 appears to include more specific requests. From a starting point, the State objects to these types of motions wherein the Defense asks for a Court order requiring the State to generally comply with NRS 174.235 and other discovery-related legal authority, under which the State has existing legal obligations. In other words, the State objects to the Court ordering the State to comply with the law; the State already has to comply with the law.

With regard to Defendant's reference to the disclosure of Inculpatory Evidence, the State will comply with NRS 174.235. It is the State's position that the Court need not issue a formal "order" requiring the State to comply with a statute that the State clearly must comply with. Such an "order" would be redundant and unnecessary.

The State objects to the request for a Court order to compel the State to disclose all potential evidence in the case regardless of whether it is solely inculpatory, whether it is even in the State's possession and, whether the State intends to use it or not. It is usually a defense tactic to obtain such an overbroad order and then complain later that they did not receive something that the State had not legal duty by statute or case law to disclose. Obtaining discovery from any and all locations where discovery may or may not exist is not a perfunctory

act on the part of the State. It is time intensive and not easily done with the limited resources that exist in the District Attorney's office. Deputies do their best to obtain discovery from the law enforcement agency directly and any other location that naturally arises from a review of said discovery. The State cannot be held accountable for obtaining and divulging any and all discovery that is both inculpatory and not likely to be utilized at trial. It is not reasonable or necessary to issue such an order.

REQUESTS:

- 1) Statements of Defendant: NRS 174.235 requires the State to disclose upon request "written or recorded" statements. The State is clear on its face. Defendant's request is not grounded in law and, as such, the State objects to an order requiring the State to do something more than the Statute requires.
- 2) Statements of Witnesses: NRS 174.235 requires the State to disclose upon request "written or recorded" statements. The State is clear on its face. Defendant's request is not grounded in law and, as such, the State objects to an order requiring the State to do something more than the Statute requires.
- 3) Crime Scene Analysis: The State will comply with what is required in NRS 174.235. The entirety of Defendant's request as stated is overly-burdensome and not explicitly authorized in NRS 174.235. If Defendant has more specific requests, such as raw-data associated with testing, the Defendant should address the specific request at the time with the Court, assuming the State is not willing to divulge the information.
- 4) Access to/Preservation of Evidence: Again, this is broad and non-specific. Defendant should be required to identify with specificity what evidence it wants access to, whether he has been denied access, and what evidence he wants preserved that is in danger of spoliation.
- 5) Intercepted telecommunications: There is no authority associated with this request. It is overly broad and non-specific. It should be denied until such time as a specific issue arises that cannot be resolved between the parties.

- 6) Voice Monitoring Devices: There is no authority associated with this request. It is overly broad and non-specific. It should be denied until such time as a specific issue arises that cannot be resolved between the parties.
- 7) 911 Calls: While this may or may not be required under NRS 174.235 to be preserved and disclosed to the Defendant, the State will provide to the Defense any 911/311 calls associated with the subject event number. There is no need for a Court Order.
- 8) Exculpatory Information: The Defense is asking for a Court Order ordering the State to comply with existing obligations under *Brady*. It is unclear what case imparts legal authority on the District Court to issue orders in blanket fashion compelling the State to divulge unknown exculpatory evidence based on legal authority that creates a <u>post-trial</u>, <u>post-conviction</u> remedy. *See Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). The State objects unless there is a specific discovery dispute regarding known exculpatory evidence that the State has decided to refuse to disclose.
- 9) Identification Witnesses: Unless the Defendant suggests otherwise, this is not an identification case. The State will comply with NRS 174.235. There is no need for a Court order.
- 10) Chain of Custody Reports: The State is unclear as to what would be included in this request. Certainly the State will comply with NRS 174.235 and *Brady* if the evidence is deemed by the State to be "material."
- 11) Documents used to prepare witnesses for trial: There is no authority for this request and notes are not included in NRS 174.235. The State asserts work-product/deliberative process privileges as well. This request should be denied unless an actual and specific discovery dispute arises.
- 12) Witness Contact Information: The State will comply with notice statutes. There is no need for a Court order.
 - 13) LVMPD Reports: The State will comply with NRS 174.235.

///

- 14) Confidential Informants: The State will comply with NRS 174.235. The State is unaware of confidential informants in this case and objects to the breadth of the request.
- 15) Statutorily Authorized Victim Compensation: Defense asks the State to prove comprehensive information regarding witness fees, travel expenses, and the like for all witnesses. The State assumes this information is being sought as "impeachment" evidence. It is the State's position that such evidence is not "material" and will not be provided. The defense is free to inquire on cross-examination subject to the Court's allowance about any compensation provided to the witness.
- 16) Inconsistent Statements: The State will provide written or recorded statements under NRS 174.235, and will comply with its *Brady* obligations. There is no need for an order requiring the State to do what it is obligated to do.
- 17) Impeachment Information from LVMPD Personnel Files: The State will determine materiality of any information in personnel files and comply with *Brady* obligations. There is no need for a Court order.
- NCICs. Under NRS 50.095, evidence that a witness has been convicted of a crime (if it is punishable by more than one year) is admissible to impeach the credibility of that witness. Evidence of the conviction is admissible if a period of ten years has not passed from the date of release of the witness from confinement or the expiration of the period of his parole, probation or sentence, whichever is the later date. See NRS 50.095(1)(2). This statute makes it clear that if a witness has been convicted of a felony within the past ten years such conviction is admissible because it may affect their credibility as a witness. The statute does not make admissible a witness' prior arrests that did not result in a conviction or an arrest and conviction of a crime that is merely a misdemeanor. Nevada case law has also allowed questioning of a witness in relation to convictions for crimes not amounting to felonies which bear on the moral turpitude of a witness. However, no statute or case law in the jurisdiction permits unlimited questioning of a witness in regard to his/her criminal background. The State will provide

relevant information which it is legally required to disclose pertaining to the State's witnesses in this case. Also, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §20.33(b) as codified under 28 U.S.C.A. § 534 (2002), criminal history information contained within an NCIC, may only be disseminated to law enforcement agencies, those hired by law enforcement agencies and to those who have entered into signed agreements for the specific and authorized use of criminal background information. Pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §20.25,

Any agency or individual violating subnart B of these regulations shall be subject to a civil nenalty not to exceed \$10.000 for a violation occurring before Sentember 29, 1999, and not to exceed \$11,000 for a violation occurring on after September 29, 1999.

In addition, pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §20.38,

Access to systems managed or maintained by the FBI is subject to cancellation in regard to any agency or entity that fails to comply with the provisions of subpart C of this part.

If the State is forced to disseminate such information to the defense in this matter, the State and/or the individual who actually provides the NCIC information runs the risk of civil penalties and loss of future access to the NCIC system.

As a user of the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) database, the State is prohibited from disseminating criminal history information to non-criminal justice agencies as defined by Title 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)§ 20.3, which describes a criminal justice agency as: (1) Courts; and (2) a government agency or any subunit thereof which performs the administration of criminal justice pursuant to a statute or executive order, and which allocates a substantial part of its annual budget to the administration of criminal justice. Unless specifically authorized by federal law, access to the NCIC/III for non-criminal justice purposes is prohibited.

A 1989 United States Supreme Court case looked at this issue from the standpoint of an invasion of privacy and ruled accordingly:

///

27 | ///

///

Accordingly, we hold as a categorical matter that a third party's request for law enforcement records or information about a private citizen can reasonably be expected to invade that citizen's privacy, and that when the request seeks no "official information" about a Government agency, but merely records that the Government happens to be storing, the invasion of privacy is "unwarranted."

United States Department of Justice v. the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 109 S.Ct. 1468, 1485 (1989).

Criminal defense attorneys, public or private, are not within the definition of "criminal justice agency," nor is the criminal defense function considered a "criminal justice purpose." If the District Attorney has not run an NCIC report already, it is a violation of FBI regulations to run it on request of defense counsel, or Court order.

In short, if the State already has it, the State then decides, pursuant to its obligations under Brady and Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 92 S.Ct. 763, 31 L.Ed. 2d 104 (1972), whether or not to divulge any information contained in the NCIC report. If the State does not have the NCIC report in its file, the defense has to follow FBI-outlined procedures to obtain the report. Basically, the defense must obtain an order from the Judge directed to the FBI describing specifically what they need. The FBI then reviews the Judge's order and if they agree to release the NCIC report, the FBI sends the NCIC report to the Judge, not to defense counsel. It is the obligation of the Judge to then review the information and to decide on its admissibility before turning anything over to the defense.

Thus, the State opposes the Defendant's request as to the complete criminal history of all State witnesses as he has failed to provide that the requested information is material or that its dissemination is reasonable. As such, this request should be denied.

19-34) U-Visa/Immigration-related information: The State wholly unaware of any immigration issues with its witnesses in this case and objects to any orders in this regard. The State will comply with its obligations to provide material, impeaching information to the Defense of witnesses the State intends to call at trial.

PURSUANT TO NRS 174.245, THE STATE REQUESTS THAT DEFENSE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING TO THE STATE PRIOR TO TRIAL:

(a) Written or recorded statements made by a witness the Defendant intends to call during the case in chief of the Defendant, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the Defendant, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the Defendant;

(b) Results or reports of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests or scientific experiments that the Defendant intends to introduce in evidence during the case in chief of the Defendant, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the Defendant, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the Defendant; and

11 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

12 | ///

13 | ///

14 | ///

15 | ///

16 ///

17 | ///

18 | ///

19 | ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 | ///

23 ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28

(c) Books, papers, documents or tangible objects that the Defendant intends to introduc				
in evidence during the case in chief of the Defendant, or copies thereof, within the possession				
custody or control of the Defendant, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise o				
due diligence may become known, to the Defendant.				
DATED this 7th day of August, 2014.				
Respectfully submitted,				
STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565				
SAMUEL G. BATEMAN Chief Deputy District Attornay				
Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764				
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION				
I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made this 7th day of August,				
2014 by facsimile transmission to:				
CONOR M SLIFE, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER				
(702) 366-9370				
NANCY M. LEMCKE, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER (702) 383-6602				
BY /s/ E. Goddard E. Goddard				
Secretary for the District Attorney's Office				
i. Linguista esta esta esta esta esta esta esta e				
13F20476X/erg/L-1				
12				

w:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-RSPN-(Pimentel__Luis)-001.docx

08/07/2014 11:18AM

* Pa 1/1

Sent Successfully

To: CONOR M. SLIFE, DPD at 702-366-9370

User ID: GODDARE

000, 121 0000

TO: Name: CONOR M. SLIFE, DPD

Company:

Fax Phone Number: 702-366-9370

Contact Phone Number:

Info Code 1: C296234

Info Code 2: LUIS PIMENTEL

Sent to remote !D:+
Sent at:Thu Aug 07 11:18:54 2014
Sent on channel 7
Elapsed Time: 6 minutes, 33 seconds
Transmission Status (0/339;0/0): Successful Send
Page Record: 1 - 12.

Sent Successfully

To: NANCY M. LEMCKE, DPD at 702-383-6602

08/07/2014 11:19AM

Pg 1/1

User ID: GODDARE

TO: Name: NANCY M. LEMCKE, DPD

Company:

Fex Phone Number: 702-383-6602

Contact Phone Number:

Info Code 1: C296234

Info Code 2: LUIS PIMENTEL

Sent to remote ID:702 383 6602
Sent at:Thu Aug 07 11:19:22 2014
Sent on channel 3
Elapsed Time: 6 minutes, 6 seconds
Transmission Status (0/339;0/0): Successful Send
Page Record: 1 - 12.

	1 REQT PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 Aun b. Chuin					
•	309 South Third Street, Suite #226 CLERK OF THE COURT Las Vegas, Nevada 89155					
	4 (702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant					
	8					
:	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
	7 THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
- (3 (CASE NO. C-14-290234-1					
10	DEPT. NO. V					
11						
12	Defendant.					
13						
14	REQUEST TO FILE ORDER FOR TRANSPORT UNDER SEAL					
15	Upon the request of the above-named Defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL, by and through					
16	NANCY L. LEMCKE, Clark County Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing therefore,					
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon request of this Court, that NANCY L. LEMCKE,					
1.8	Deputy Public Defender, may file an Order for Transport under seal.					
19	DATED SA day of August, 2014.					
20	Land Canal					
21	DISTRICY COURT JUDGE					
22	Submitted by:					
23	PHILIP J. KOHN					
24	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER					
25						
26	NANCY L. LEMEKES #5416					
27	Deputy Public Defender					
28						
-						
-61	\mathbf{I}_{\cdot}					

ORIGINAL

FILED

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

EXPR PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite 226

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7

Plaintiff,

LUIS PIMENTEL, 10

Defendant.

CASE NO. C-14-296234-1

DEPT. NO. V

C - 14 - 296234 - 1 EXPR Ex Paris Order 4117447

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

RECEIVED

EX PARTE ORDER FOR TRANSPORT

Upon the ex parte application of the above-named Defendant, by and through NANCY L. LEMCKE, Clark County Public Defender, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clark County Detention Center transport the Defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL, I.D. No. 1444838, to the Clark County Public Defender's Office, 309 S. Third St. 2nd Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89155 on Wednesday, August 13, 2014 from 10:00 am to 5:00 pm for the purpose of a psychological evaluation with Expert Witness. That the Public Defender's Office has already arranged the date and time with the Clark County Detention Center and it does not present an undue burden to them. That the Order be sealed, and shall not be opened to inspections except by their attorney, or when required as evidence in another action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all of the Detention Center rules, regulations and protocol will be followed in regards to the number of transport officers and the presence of the officers directly outside the secured room used for the evaluation. The secured room will be inspected and approved by corrections officers. The officers shall approve and follow their protocol of securing the inmate at all times both during transportation and during the evaluations itself to ensure the safety of civilians present at the office during the time of the appointment, this

CLERK OF THE COURT

will include random physical/visual checks by the officers which may involve opening the door of the examination room if said room does not have a window in the door.

DATED this Su day of August, 2014.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

NANCY L. LEMICKE, #5416 Deputy Public Defender

1	EXPR PHILIP I. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER Alm & Lemm					
2	NEVADA BAK NO. 0336 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 CLERK OF THE COURT					
3.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685					
4	Attorney for Defendant					
5	DISTRICT COURT					
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA					
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
8	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C-14-296234-1					
9	DEPT. NO. V					
1,0	LUIS PIMENTEL,					
11	Defendant.					
12						
13	EX PARTE ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT					
14	Upon the ex parte application of the above-named Defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL,					
15.	by and through, NANCY L. LEMCKE, Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing					
16	therefor,					
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the certified Court Recorder LARA CORCORAN,					
18	prepare at State expense, a transcript of the proceedings for case C-14-296234-1 heard on August					
19	11, 2014 in District Court Department 5.					
20,						
[21]	DATED this 18 day of August, 2014.					
22	11 h some and					
23	DISTRICTCOURT JUDGE					
24	Submitted by: PHILIP J. KOHN					
25	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER					
26						
27	By NANCY I. LEMSKE #5416					
28	NANCY L. LEMEKE, #5416 Deputy Public Defender					
- 1						

			•		
1	NWEW				
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565	·	Electronically Filed		
3	SAMUEL G. BATEMAN	•	08/20/2014 11:46:57 AM		
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764		Alun & Chum		
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	,	CLERK OF THE COURT		
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		•		
7	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA				
8	CLARK COU	NII, NEVADA			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,				
10	Plaintiff,				
11	-VS-	CASE NO:	C-14-296234-1		
12	LUIS PIMENTEL, aka,	DEPT NO:	V		
13	Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, #1444838				
14	Defendant.				
15	NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES				
16	[NRS 174.234(2)]				
17	TO: LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, Defendant;				
18	and				
19	TO: NANCY L. LEMCKE, DEPUT	Y PUBLIC DEFE	NDER, Counsel of		
20	Record:				
21	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL	PLEASE TAKE N	OTICE that the STATE OF		
22	NEVADA intends to call the following expert witnesses in its case in chief:				
23	FRIED, JONATHAN, LVMPD #8174, is a Forensic Scientist II or Designee, with the				
24	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He is an expert in the area of firearm/toolmark				
25	analysis, Gun ID, ballistics, burn stippling and muzzle flash and will give opinions related				
26	thereto. He is expected to testify regarding evidence collected from the crime scene.				
27	MACEO, ALICE V., LVMPD #7828, is a Forensic Laboratory Manager or Designee,				
28	with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dep	artment. She will	testify as an expert as to the		

W:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-NWEW-(PIMENTEL__LUIS)-001.DOCX

These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information or Indictment and any other witnesses for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert The substance of each expert witness' testimony and a copy of all reports made by or A copy of each expert witness' curriculum vitae, if available, is attached hereto. Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 / Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764 I hereby certify that service of Notice of Witnesses was made this 20th day Nancy L. Lemcke, Deputy Public Defender lemckenl@ClarkCountyNV.gov Employee of the District Attorney's Office 2

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

						Date:	02-22-11		
Name: Jonathan A. Fried	P	#:	8174	Clas	sification:	Forens	sic Scientist T	raine	e
Current Discipline of Assignment: Firearn	ns/Toolm	narks		-					
EXPERIENC	E IN TH	E FO	LLOWIN	G DI	SCIPLINE(S	3)			
Controlled Substances			Blood	Alcoh	01				
Toolmarks			Breath	Alcol	nol				
Trace Evidence			Arson	Analy	sis				
Toxicology			Firearr	ns		·			
Latent Prints			Crime	Scen	e Investigati	ions			X
Serology			Clande	estine	Laboratory	Respons	e Team		
Document Examination			DNA A	nalys	is				
Quality Assurance			Techn	ical S	upport /				
		EDUC	ATION						
Institution	Da	ites A	Aftended			Major	-		egree npleted
University of New Haven	1999 –	2001			Forensic S Investigati		Advanced	M.S	
Sacred Heart University	1994 – 1998				Computer Science – Information Systems		B.S.		
ADD	ITIONAL	TR/	AINING /	SEM	INARS				
Course / Seminar					Locatio	on		Dates	
Basic Shooting Reconstruction Course				Las	Vegas, NV		01/24/11	-01/2	3/11
ATF Serial Number Restoration Course				Las Vegas, NV 9/27-		-9/29/2010			
Colt .45/Model "O" 1911 Armorer's School					Las Vega	s, NV	^y 8/5	-6/20	10
Colt M16/AR-15 Rifle Armorer's School					Las Vega	s, NV	8/2	-4/20	10
LAR Manufacturing Factory Tour					West Jorda	an, UT	7/	14/20	10
North American Arms Factory Tour					Provo,	UT	7/	13/20	10 .
Barnes Bullets Ammunition Factory Tour					Mona,	UT	7/	13/20	10
Sig Sauer Classic Pistols Armorer's School					Las Vega	s, NV	7/7	-8/20	10

Page:	2

ADDITIONAL TRAINING / SEMINARS				
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates		
Sturm Ruger Firearms Factory Tour	Prescott, AZ	6/19/2010		
Dillon Precision Reloading Factory Tour	Scottsdale, AZ	6/18/2010		
Schneider Rifle Barrels Factory Tour	Payson, AZ	6/18/2010		
NIBIN Entry	LVMPD-Criminalistics	6/24/2010		
Benelli M1, M2, M4 Armorer's School	Las Vegas, NV	6/16-17/2010		
Beretta 90 Series and Px4 Armorer's School	Las Vegas, NV	6/14-15/2010		
AFTE Annual Training Seminar	Henderson, NV	5/2-7/2010		
Innov-x XRF Safety and Operator Training	LVMPD-Criminalistics	4/8/2010		
ATF IBIS Data Acquisition Training	Largo, FL (FTI)	6/24-29/2010		
Glock Armorer's School	Las Vegas, NV	1/20/2010		
2009 Clan Lab Recert	LVMPD-Criminalistics	5/27/2009		
Detecting Staged Crime Scenes	LVMPD-ISD	5/5/2009		
Basic Instructor Development	LVMPD-Advanced Training	4/2009		
Integrated Ballistic Identification System	West Virginia University Extended Learning	4/17/2009		
Introduction to Firearms and Toolmarks	West Virginia University Extended Learning	4/17/2009		
Hexagon OBTI Blood Test	Las Vegas, NV	3/11/2009		
Crime Scene and DNA Basics for Forensic Analysts	Las Vegas, NV	3/4/2009		
What Every Law Enforcement Officer Should Know About DNA Evidence: Investigators and Evidence Techs	Las Vegas, NV	3/4/2009		
Communication Skills, Report Writing, and Courtroom Testimony for Forensic Analysts	Las Vegas, NV	3/4/2009		
Collecting DNA Evidence at Property Crime Scenes	Las Vegas, NV	2/28/2009		
EEOC Basics Class	Las Vegas, NV	2/25/2009		
NCIC Recertification	Las Vegas, NV	1/29/2009		
Nevada Workplace Safety Rights and Responsibilities	Las Vegas, NV	1/20/2009		
Advanced Forensic Investigations for Hazardous Environments Performance Level	Las Vegas, NV	10/3/2008		
IS-00200 ICS for Single Resources and Initial Action Incidents	Las Vegas, NV	7/30/2008		

ADDITIONAL TRAINING	SEMINARS	,
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
IS-00700 National Incident Management System	Las Vegas, NV	7/30/2008
Introduction of the Incident Command System 1-100 for Law Enforcement	Las Vegas, NV	7/30/2008
Major Case Prints	Las Vegas, NV	5/14/2008
Forensic Entomology Evidence Recovery Techniques	Las Vegas, NV	3/3-4/2008
Ground Penetrating Radar	Las Vegas, NV	1/23-24/2008
Use of Force (Civilians)	Las Vegas, NV	1/2/2008
Finding Latent Evidence with Chemistry & Light	Henderson, NV	12/11-14/2007
Bomb Investigations	Las Vegas, NV	12/5-7/2007
Clandestine Laboratory Safety Re-Certification	Las Vegas, NV	10/24/2007
Forensic Entomology Evidence Collection Protocol	Las Vegas, NV	8/2007
(RT02) NCIC Recertification Phase II	Las Vegas, NV	5/23/2007
ROFIN Polilight PL500 (Instructor: Sheree Norman)	Las Vegas, NV	5/2/2007
Bloodstain Pattern Analysis (10-hour refresher course)	Las Vegas, NV	2/20/2007
Death in Infancy & Childhood - Investigation & Pathology Seminar (Instructor: Dr. Tracy Corey, Chief Medical Examiner for the State of Kentucky)	Las Vegas, NV	11/16-17/2006
Diversity Training	Las Vegas, NV	11/1/2006
Forensic Medical Investigation	Las Vegas, NV	8/16-18/2006
How to be the Best Expert Witness You Can be	Las Vegas, NV	8/9/2006
Presumptive Standards (Instructor: Shannon Merges)	Las Vegas, NV	7/19/2006
Bloodstain Pattern Recognition and Examination of Bloodstained Clothing Workshop	Las Vegas, NV	5/11/2006
Shooting Incident Reconstruction (Basic)	Las Vegas, NV	4/5-7/2006
Bloodstain Pattern Recognition - Instructor: Craig C. Moore, Niagara Regional Police Service (Canada)	Las Vegas, NV	1/120/2006
Basic Bloodstain Pattern Recognition	Las Vegas, NV	1/16-20/2006
Terrorism and Travel Documents	Las Vegas, NV	12/13/2005
Merging Digital Photography/Crime Scene Investigations	Las Vegas, NV	12/7/2005
Completion of Proficiency Exercise - "Recording Major Case Prints"	Las Vegas, NV	11/16/2005

Statement of Qualifications Name: Page: 4

ADDITIONAL TRAINING	/ SEMINARS	
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
-ATS Training	Las Vegas, NV	10/5/2005
National Incident Management System (NIMS) -IS-00700	Las Vegas, NV	9/6/2005
Digital Workstation Training	Las Vegas, NV	7/5/2005
Homicide Issues: A Prosecutor's Perspective on Presenting Cases to a Jury	Las Vegas, NV	3/23/2005
Nightime Photography Techniques	Las Vegas, NV	3/23/2005
Excited Delirium and Restraint Deaths	Las Vegas, NV	3/23/2005
Crime Scene Technology 2: A Crime Scene Practicum	North Las Vegas, NV	3/14-18/2005
Practical Homicide Investigation	Las Vegas, NV	3/7-9/2005
Clandestine Laboratory Safety Certification	Las Vegas, NV	2/23-25/2005
Forensic Science Program 101 - Certified	Las Vegas, NV	10/6/2004
Brooke Lee West Case - "Coffin Flies"	Las Vegas, NV	4/7/2004
Bite Marks	Las Vegas, NV	4/7/2004
Nighttime Photographic Techniques	Las Vegas, NV	4/7/2004
Criminalistics Bureau - Field Training	Las Vegas, NV	3/31/2004
Civilian Use of Force & Firearm Training	Las Vegas, NV	2/3-5/2004
Defensive Tactics - CSA's	Las Vegas, NV	2/2/2004
Orientation for Civilian Employees - Advanced Training	Las Vegas, NV	1/22-30/2004
Crime Scene Analyst Academy	Las Vegas, NV	1/15/2004
Testifying in Court	Las Vegas, NV	12/11/2003
WordPerfect 8 - Basic	Las Vegas, NV	12/9/2003
Understanding Death & Grief Issues	Las Vegas, NV	12/8/2003
L.E. Response to Alzheimer Victims, Abuse/Neglect	Las Vegas, NV	12/4/2003
Driver Training	Las Vegas, NV	12/1/2003
Dilver Hailing		
COURTROOM EX		A4
Court	Discipline	Number o Times

Statement of Qualifications Name:

Page: 5

COURTE	ROOM EXPERIENCE		
Court	Discipline	Number Times	
District Court, Justice Court, Grand Jury	Crime Scene Investigations	12-15	
Federal Court	Crime Scene Investigations	1	
EMPLO	DYMENT HISTORY	•	
Employer	Job Title	Date	
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Forensic Scientist Trainee	10/2009-Presen	
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Crime Scene Analyst (I, II, Senior)	11/2003 –10/20	
Stratford Police Department	4/000		
PROFESS	IONAL AFFILIATIONS	<u>.</u>	
Organizatio	on	Date(s)	
Member of International Association for Identification		Current	
PUBLICATIO	ONS / PRESENTATIONS:	. :	
Poster Presentations: "Evaluation of the Forensics Source Short Length Bal "Remington HD Ultimate Home Defense 12 Gauge Si "Sub-Caliber Shenanigans," and "Proof of Concept (F Chronograph Velocities Using Hi-Speed Video Cam Annual Training Seminar, May 2010, Henderson, N	not Shell Ammunition, Preliminary) Results on a Method to Cross C era," Association of Firearm and Tool Mark	Check Examiners	

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

						Date:	06/	/24/10) 	
Name: Alice V. Maceo		P#:	7828	Clas	sification:	Forensio	Lab Man	ager		
Current Discipline of Assignment: Mana	gement	of the	Latent Pr	int De	etail					
EXPERIEN	CE IN T	HE FO	DLLOWIN	IG DI	SCIPLINE(S	·	,	٠.		
Controlled Substances			Blood A	Alcoh	ol					
Toolmarks			Breath	Alcor	ıol					
Trace Evidence			Arson A	Analy	sis				·	
Toxicology			Firearm	18						
Latent Prints		Х	Crime 8	Scene	Investigation	ons			Х	
Serology			Clande	stine	Laboratory l	Response	Team			
Document Examination			DNA Ai	nalysi	s	,				
Quality Assurance			Technic	cal Su	pport /					
		EDUC	CATION							
Institution	Da	ates A	kttended			Major			egree npleted	
University of Alaska, Anchorage	1/92 -	8/94			Biology			BS		
St. Mary's College of Maryland	9/90	- 12/9	91		Biology		-			
ADD	ITIONA	L TRA	UNING / S	SEMI	IARS ·					
Course / Seminar				Lo	cation		I)ates		
Leadership Development		La	s Vegas	s, NV	<u> </u>		1/5 1/	/6/10		
Diversity		L.a	s Vegas	s, N ∨	1		12/16/0	19		
ASCLD/LAB-International Preparation	n	Нє	endersor	1, N\			12/1 –	12/3/	09	
Leadership Development		La	s Vegas	, NV			11/17 –	- 11/1	8/09	
Introduction to Mathematical Statistic	S	Ca	alifornia	State	Universit	y – LB	8/3 - 9/	9/09		
IAI 94th Educational Conference		Ta	mpa, FL	-	1		8/17 8	Dates /5 - 1/6/10 2/16/09 2/1 - 12/3/09 1/17 - 11/18/09 /3 - 9/9/09 /17 - 8/21/09		

ADDITIONAL TRAINING / SEMINARS				
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates		
Fingerprints and Probability	Nokesville, VA	7/13 - 07/17/09		
Introduction to Statistics	UNLV, Las Vegas, NV	6/1 - 7/2/09		
HFACS/HFIX Super-user Training	Las Vegas, NV	6/12/09 ·		
HFACS/HFIX Two-day Basic Training	Las Vegas, NV	6/10 - 6/11/09		
Forensic Science for the 21st Century, ASU	Tempe, AZ	4/3/09 - 4/4/09		
IAI 93 rd Educational Conference	Louisville, KY	8/18 - 8/22/08		
Application of Statistics to Ridgeology And ACE-V Methodology	Las Vegas, NV	03/31 - 04/04/08		
Forensic Imaging Techniques	Las Vegas, NV	01/08		
The Management Conference	Las Vegas, NV	12/13/07		
The Women's Conference	Las Vegas, NV	10/22/07		
Interpersonal Communication Skills for Business Professionals	Las Vegas, NV	10/9 - 10/10/07		
The Biometric Consortium Conference	Baltimore, MD	9/11 - 9/13/07		
Managing Emotions Under Pressure	Las Vegas, NV	9/10/07		
IAI 92 nd Educational Conference	San Diego, CA	7/23 - 7/27/07		
Indiana University Expert Cognitive Psychology Study	Bloomington, Indiana	5/17 - 5/20/07		
The Paradigm Shift in Forensic Sciences	Las Vegas, NV	11/9 - 11/10/06		
ASCLD Meeting	San Francisco, CA	10/2 - 10/5/06		
Management Problems of the Technical Person in a Leadership Role	Las Vegas, NV	9/11/06		
Forensic Digital Imaging	Thornton, CO	7/24 - 7/26/06		
IAI 91st Educational Conference	Boston, MA	7/3 - 7/7/06		
International Symposium on Fingerprints	Interpol Headquarters, Lyon, France	5/17 - 5/18/06		
Indiana University Latent Print Research Consulting Meeting	Bloomington, Indiana	5/15 - 5/16/06		

Pao	e:	3

ADDITIONA	L TRAINING / SEMINARS	
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
NIST Latent Testing Workshop	Gaithersburg, MD	4/5 - 4/6/06
Workshop on Ethical and Social Implications of Biometric Identification Technology: Towards and International Approach	European Commission on Science and Society, Brussels, Belgium	12/15 -12/16/05
New England Division IAI Educational Conference	Burlington, VT	11/2/05 - 11/405
ANSI/NIST Fingerprint Standard Update	Gaithersburg, MD	4/26 - 4/28/05
Fingerprint Society Lectures 30 th Annual Conference	Brighton, England	3/18 - 3/20/05
Daubert and The Comparative Sciences	Las Vegas, NV	10/29 - 10/30/04
Team Building is for Everyone	Las Vegas, NV	9/28/04
IAI 89th Educational Conference	St. Louis, MO	8/23 - 8/2704
Problem Solving, Independent Decision Making Alt	Las Vegas, NV	8/12/04
Indiana University Expert Cognitive Psychology Study	Bloomington, Indiana	12/15/03
Indiana Division IAI Training Conference	Indianapolis, Indiana	10/21 -10/23/03
IAI 88th Educational Conference	Ottawa, Ontario Canada	7/7 - 7/11/03
Driver's Training	Las Vegas, NV	4/17/03
28 th Annual Educational Conference of Fingerprint Society	Oxford, England	3/7 - 3/9/03
FW21 and LEXS Upgrade User Methods and Operations	NEC, Las Vegas, NV	2/5/03
Southern California Association of Fingerprint Officers (SCAFO) Meeting	West Covina, CA	10/11- 10/12/02
IAI 87th Educational Conference	Las Vegas, NV	8/4 - 8/10/02
"The Daubert World: Past, Present, and Future"	Las Vegas, NV	6/21 - 6/23/02
Physical Fracture Match Workshop	Arlington, TX	12/01

ADDITIONAL	TRAINING / SEMINARS	
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
The Fingerprint Society Centennial Conference on Identification	London, England	6/25 - 6/29/01
Crime Scene Reconstruction	Miami, FL	9/00
IAI 85th Educational Conference	Charleston, WV	7/23 - 7/29/00
Latent Print Searches in AFIS 21	Anchorage, AK	6/21 - 6/22/00
Combined Advanced Ridgeology, Demystifying Palm Prints and Comparison Techniques: Research and Graduate Assistant	Salem, Oregon	10/18 - 10/29/99
IAI 84th Educational Conference	Milwaukee, WI	7/11 - 7/17/99
Investigative Photography - Intermediate Level	Anchorage, AK	4/99
Administrative Advanced Latent Fingerprint School	Quantico, VA	3/8 - 3/26/99
Bloodstain Pattern Analysis	Miami, FL	12/98
Combined Advanced Ridgeology, Demystifying Palm Prints and Comparison Techniques	Meridian, Mississippi	10/26 - 11/6/98
Crime Scene Investigation II	Miami, FL	8/98
Advanced Ridgeology Comparison Techniques	Mesa, AZ	5/4 - 5/8/98
Mastering Expert Testimony	Mesa, AZ	4/27 - 5/1/98
Crime Scene Investigation	Miami, FL	2/98
Methods of Instruction	Anchorage, AK	1/12 - 1/16/98
Photography	Anchorage, AK	11/13/97
Latent Fingerprint Development	Anchorage, AK	6/3 - 6/5/97
Uniform Investigator Training	Anchorage, AK	5/19 - 5/22/97

, co	URTROOM	EXPERIENCE			
Court	Court Discipline				
District Court of Clark County	Late	ent Print Examination		24	
Nevada Grand Jury	Late	ent Print Examination		12	
Nevada Justice Court	Late	ent Print Examination		11	
Nevada Federal Court	Late	ent Print Examination		8	
Alaska Superior Court	Late	ent Print Examination		5	
Alaska Grand Jury	Late	ent Print Examination		2	
	EMPLOYME	NT HISTORY			
Employer		Job Title		Date	
Self-employed Forensic Instructor				12/06 - present	
LVMPD Forensic Laboratory Forensic Lab Manager			7/06 - present		
LVMPD Forensic Laboratory	Latent Print Examiner II	9/02 - 6/06			
Henderson Police Department Crime Scene Analyst II			8/01 - 7/02		
Henderson Police Department		Forensic Consultant	5/01	5/01 - 8/01	
State of Alaska Crime Laboratory Latent Print Examiner III			4/97	4/97 - 4/01	
	OFESSIONA	L AFFILIATIONS			
Orga	anization			Date(s)	
Expert Working Group on Human Fact	ors in Late	nt Print Analysis	200	2008 - present	
Journal of Forensic Identification Editorial Review Board				2007 - present	
General Forensics Technology Working Group				7 - 2009	
The Fingerprint Society, Fellow				2002 - 2007	
Scientific Working Group on Friction R (SWGFAST)	idge Analy	sis, Study and Technology	200)1 - present	
International Association for Identificat	ion (IAI), D	istinguished Member 2004	199	7 - present	

Publications:

Maceo, Alice. "Qualitative Assessment of Skin Deformation: A Pilot Study", *Journal of Forensic Identification*, 59(4): 390-440.

Maceo, Alice. "Friction Ridge Skin - Morphogenesis and Overview" *Criminalistics Section of the Wiley Encyclopedia of Forensic Science*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Editors-in-Chief Allan Jamieson and Andre Moenssens; Volume 3:1322-1331.

Maceo, Alice. "Documentation of Friction Ridge Impressions: From the Scene to the Conclusion" Chapter 11 of the Friction Ridge Source Book, *West Virginia University*, In press 2010.

Maceo, Alice. "Anatomy and Physiology of Adult Friction Ridge Skin" Chapter 2 of the Friction Ridge Source Book, *West Virginia University*, In press 2010.

Maceo, Alice. "Scars in Friction Ridge Skin", Evidence Technology Magazine, July 2005, p.26-28.

Maceo, Alice. "The Basis for The Uniqueness and Persistence of Scars in the Friction Ridge Skin", *Fingerprint Whorld*, 2005, 31(121):147-161.

Maceo, Alice. "The Biology of Skin", Journal of Forensic Identification 2003, 53(5):585-595.

Wertheim, Kasey and Maceo, Alice. "The Critical Stage of Friction Ridge and Pattern Formation", Journal of Forensic Identification, 2002, 52(1):23-73.

Maceo, Alice and Wertheim, Kasey. "Use of Ninhydrin in the Recovery of Latent Prints on Adhesive Surfaces Attached to Porous Surfaces", *Journal of Forensic Identification*, 2000, 50(6):581-594.

Presentations and Courses:

5/13 - 5/14/10 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Michigan State Police, Detroit, MI

4/15 – 4/16/10 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", California Criminalistics Institute, Sacramento, CA

3/25/10 "Careers and Internships in Forensic Science" UNLV College of Sciences, Las Vegas, NV

3/23/10 "Admissibility of Latent Print Evidence", UNLV Law School, Las Vegas, NV

3/5 - 3/6/10 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Colorado Bureau of Investigation, Denver, CO

12/10 – 12/11/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Northern Colorado Regional Crime Laboratory, Ft. Collins, CO

10/15 - 10/16/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Indiana IAI, Greenwood, IN

8/21/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 94th IAI Educational Conference, Tampa, FL

Page: 7

PUBLICATIONS / PRESENTATIONS:
8/19/09 Panel Discussion: Potential Effects of Bias in Latent Print Examination
8/19/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 94th IAI Educational Conference, Tampa, FL
8/18/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 94 th IAI Educational Conference, Tampa, FL
4/15 – 4/17/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Los Angeles, CA
3/19 - 3/20/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" San Bernardino County Sheriff's Office, San Bernardino, CA
2/19 - 2/20/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" Oregon State Police, Clackamas, OR
2/9 – 2/10/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" LVMPD, Las Vegas, NV
1/22 – 1/23/09 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" California Criminalistics Institute, Sacramento, CA
8/22/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 93rd IAI Educational Conference, Louisville, KY
8/21/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 93rd IAI Educational Conference, Louisville, KY
8/19/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 93rd IAI Educational Conference, Louisville, KY
6/26 – 6/27/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" Minnesota BCA, St. Paul, MN
6/13/08 "Introduction to Forensic Lab Services" Nevada DPS, Las Vegas, NV
5/29 – 5/30/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" FBI Laboratory, Quantico, VA
2/22/08 "Daubert and Pattern Evidence" - Panel Discussion, American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Washington DC
1/24 - 1/25/08 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints" Ohio Bureau of Criminal Identification, London, OH
1/16/08 "Introduction to Latent Print Collection", LVMPD Laughlin Substation, NV
11/8/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", NEDIAI, Newport, RI
9/13/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Maryland State Police, Pikesville, MD
8/9 - 8/10/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, San Dimas, CA
7/27/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 92nd IAI Educational Conference, San Diego, CA
7/25/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 92 nd IAI Educational Conference, San Diego, CA
7/24/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", 92 nd IAI Educational Conference, San Diego, CA

7/23/07 "Moving Forward with Objectivity" - Panel Discussion, 92nd IAI Educational Conference, San Diego, CA

6/18/07 "Latent Print Evidence", LVMPD CSA Academy, Las Vegas, NV

6/6/07 "Introduction to Forensic Science: Latent Prints", Clark County District Attorney's Office, Las Vegas, NV

5/31 - 6/1/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Contra Costa County Sheriff's Office, Martinez, CA

5/23/07 "Introduction to Latent Print Collection", LVMPD Laughlin Substation, NV

5/14 - 5/15/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", LVMPD, Las Vegas, NV

5/3 - 5/4/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", New York Department of Criminal Justice, Albany, NY

3/19 - 3/20/07 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Arizona Identification Council, Mesa, AZ

12/18 - 12/19/06 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Dutch National Police, Zoettermeer, Netherlands

12/15/06 "Forensic Science Series: Latent Prints", Clark County District Attorney's Office, Las Vegas, NV

11/10/06 "Error Rates in Non-Forensic Disciplines", ABFDE Daubert Symposium, Las Vegas, NV

10/9/06 "Error Rates: Method, Theory, and Practice", Indiana IAI Division 13th Annual Educational Conference of Forensic Investigation, Examination and Identification, Indianapolis, IN

10/9/06 "Aging and Wound Healing of the Friction Ridge Skin", Indiana IAI Division 13th Annual Educational Conference of Forensic Investigation, Examination and Identification, Indianapolis, IN

9/29/06 "Persistence of Scars in Friction Skin", SCAFO 15th Annual Forensic Training Seminar, Diamond Bar, CA

9/29/06 "Friction Ridge Skin Distortion", SCAFO 15th Annual Forensic Training Seminar, Diamond Bar, CA

8/24/06 "Biology of Friction Ridge Skin", NSDIAI Tri-State Educational Conference, Las Vegas, NV

7/7/06 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", IAI 91st Educational Conference, Boston, MA

7/5/06 "Error Rates: Method, Theory, and Practice", IAI 91st Educational Conference, Boston, MA

7/4/06 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", IAI 91st Educational Conference, Boston, MA

7/4/06 "Aging and Wound Healing in the Friction Ridge Skin", IAI 91st Educational Conference, Boston, MA

7/3/06 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", IAI 91st Educational Conference, Boston, MA

5/17/06 "Analysis of Distortion in Fingerprints", International Symposium on Fingerprints, Interpol Headquarters, Lyon, France

12/16/05 "Biometrics: Gold Mine or Land Mine For Law Enforcement", Workshop on Ethical and Social Implications of Biometric Identification Technology: Towards an International Approach organized by the European Commission on Science and Society, Brussels, Belgium

10/21/05 "Biological Basis of Uniqueness and Persistence of the Friction Ridge Skin", Arizona Identification Council Conference, Maricopa, Arizona

10/5/05 "Analysis of Distortion in Latent Prints", Midwestern Association of Forensic Scientists, St. Louis, Missouri.

3/31/05 "Biological Basis of the Uniqueness, Persistence and Pattern Formation", Wisconsin IAI Educational Conference, Madison, WI

3/21/05 "Biological Basis of the Uniqueness, Persistence and Pattern Formation", Dutch National Police, Ridgeology Workshop, Zoettermeer, Netherlands

3/20/05 "Aging and Wound Healing of the Friction Ridge Skin", Fingerprint Society Lectures, Brighton, England

2/22/05 "Biological Basis of the Uniqueness, Persistence and Pattern Formation", Toronto Police Identification Conference, Toronto, Canada

1/13/05 "Introduction to Physical Evidence", Nevada Department of Wildlife, Las Vegas, Nevada

12/15/04, "A Friction Ridge Story", Phoenix Police Department, Phoenix, AZ

11/18/04, "The impact of Check 21 on Latent Print Examinations", International Association of Financial Crimes Investigators, Las Vegas, NV

10/30/04, "A Friction Ridge Story", Daubert and the Comparative Sciences, Las Vegas, NV

9/21/04, "Crime Scene Chemicals", American Bio-Recovery Association, Las Vegas, NV

8/25/04, "A Friction Ridge Story", IAI 89th Educational Conference, St. Louis, Missouri

5/12/04, "A Friction Ridge Story", California State Division IAI Conference, Sacramento, California

4/8/04, "A Friction Ridge Story", Nevada State Division IAI Conference, Las Vegas, Nevada

3/9/04, "CSI: The 9 Part Series - Session 3: Latent Print Examinations", Clark County Bar Association, Las Vegas, Nevada

10/23/03, "Scientific Working Groups Update", Indiana Division IAI Training Conference

10/21/03, "Pattern Formations in Nature and Fetal Ridge Formation", Indiana Division IAI Training Conference

7/8/03, "Patterns in Nature: Natural Selection vs. Physics", IAI 88th Educational Conference, Ottawa, Canada

3/9/03 "Biology of Friction Ridge Skin", 28th Annual Educational Conference of Fingerprint Society, Oxford, England

10/11/02 "Biology of Friction Ridge Skin", Southern California Association of Fingerprint Officers (SCAFO) Meeting, West Covina, CA

6/29/01 "Friction Ridge Skin and Pattern Formation During the Critical Stage: Fact and Theory", Centennial Conference on Identification - London, England

7/25/00 "Friction Ridge Skin and Pattern Formation During the Critical Stage: Fact and Theory", IAI 85th Educational Conference - Charleston, West Virginia

7/14/99 "Use of Ninhydrin in the Recovery of Latent Prints on Adhesive Surfaces Attached to Porous Surfaces", IAI 84th Educational Conference, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

OTHER QUALIFICATIONS:

Certified Latent Print Examiner by the International Association for Identification (IAI) 2001 to present

Certified Crime Scene Analyst by the International Association for Identification (IAI) 2000 - 2003

Statement of Qualifications Name:

Page: 1

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

			L-	,aie	001	20/10	
Name: Carol J. Retamozo	P#: 1	4280 Cla	ssification:	Forensio	Scientist l	<u> </u>	
Current Discipline of Assignment: Biolog	gy/DNA		<u> </u>	•			
EXPERIEN	ICE IN THE FOLL	OWING DI	SCIPLINE(S)				
Controlled Substances	E	3lood Alcoh	iol				,
Toolmarks	E	3reath Alco	hol	:			
Trace Evidence		Arson Analy	/sis	·			
Toxicology	F	irearms					
Latent Prints	(Crime Scen	e investigations	s			•
Serology	Х	Clandestine Laboratory Response Team					
Document Examination		DNA Analysis				Х	
Footwear Impressions	1	Fechnical S	upport /		<u>-</u>		
Quality Assurance							
	EDUCAT	riọn.					
Institution	Dates Atte	nded	1	Major			egree npleted
University of Central Florida	01/08 – 0	8/08	Forensic Science Minor: Chemistry			вѕ	
University of Central Florida 05/01 –		2/03	Molecular E Microbiolog		and .	BS	
ADI	DITIONAL TRAIN	ING / SEM	INARS				
Course / Seminar			Location		·	Dates	
FDLE Crime Laboratory Analyst Training Progra		Orlando, FL Dec. 200 Feb. 201) 		
Applied Biosystems HID University Future To in Forensic DNA Technology Seminar Series		Orlando, FL Oct. 20		Oct. 20	09	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Advanced DNA Training		Huntington, WV		Dec. 2009			

Forensic Rev. [05/10]

ADDITIONAL	TRÀIN	IING / SEMINARS		raye. z
Course / Seminar		Location		Dates
FDLE Biology/DNA Section Discipline Meeting		Largo, FL	May 2	2008
Beckman Coulter Basic Biomek 3000 Custor Training	ner	Orlando, FL	Jun. 2	2008
Hair Examination for the DNA Analyst		Morgantown, WV	Aug.	2007
Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR System: Quantifiler Kits and Standard Opera Training	tor	Orlando, FL	Oct. 2	2006
FDLE Screening Forensic Technologist Trair Program	ning	Orlando, FL	Oct. 2 Nov. 2	2006 to
National Forensic Science Technology Center Pre-Screening Workshop	er	Largo, FL	May 2	006
FDLE Forensic Technologist Training Progra	am Orlando, FL		Dec. 2005 to Jun. 2006	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting		Atlanta, GA	Feb. 2002	
COURTE	ROOM E	XPERIENCE		,
Court		Discipline		Number of Times
Florida Circuit Courts: 5 th Circuit, 7 th Circuit, 9 th Circuit (2), 18 th Circuit and 19 th Circuit	Serol	ogy		6
EMPLO)YMEN	T HISTORY		·
Employer		Job Title		Date
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Forensic Scientist		06/21/10 to Pres	
Florida Department of Law Enforcement	Crime Laboratory Analyst – Biology/DNA Section		12/2008 to 05/201	
Florida Department of Law Enforcement	Screening Forensic Technologist – Biology/DNA Section		11/2006 to 12/2008	
Florida Department of Law Enforcement		ensic Technologist – ogy, DNA Section	12/200	5 to 11/2006

Statement of Qualifications Name: Page: 3

EMPLO	YMENT HISTORY	r ago.	
Employer	Job Title	Date	
Florida Department of Law Enforcement	Intern - Toxicology Section	07/2004 to 12/2004	
PROFESSI	ONAL AFFILIATIONS		
Organization		Date(s)	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences, Ass Section	2002 to Present		
PUBLICATION	NS / PRESENTATIONS:		
University of Central Florida, Introduction to F Orlando, FL March 2010	orensics Class, "Forensic Biolog	y" (Serology),	
Florida Department of Law Enforcement, Stetson University Forensics Class, "Forensic Biology," Orlando, FL April 2009			
OTHER (QUALIFICATIONS:		

1 **NWEW** STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C-14-296234-1 11 -VS-12 LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, DEPT NO: Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, 13 #1444838 14 Defendant. 15 SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)] 16 TO: LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, Defendant; 17 and 18 NANCY L. LEMCKE, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of TO: 19 Record: 20 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 21 NEVADA intends to call the following expert witnesses in its case in chief: 22 *Indicates additional Expert Witness 23 FRIED, JONATHAN, LVMPD #8174, is a Forensic Scientist II or Designee, with the 24 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He is an expert in the area of firearm/toolmark 25 analysis, Gun ID, ballistics, burn stippling and muzzle flash and will give opinions related 26 thereto. He is expected to testify regarding evidence collected from the crime scene. 27 /// 28

W:\2013F\204\76\13F20476-NWEW-(PIMENTEL__LUIS)-002.DOCX

*DR L. GAVIN, CCME #0086 – is a medical examiner with the Clark County Coroner's Office and will testify regarding the mechanics and effects of strangulation and other related matters

MACEO, ALICE V., LVMPD #7828, is a Forensic Laboratory Manager or Designee, with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. She will testify as an expert as to the procedures, techniques and science employed in fingerprint analysis, all procedures employed in this case and reports provided.

RETAMOZO, CAROL, LVMPD #14280, is a Forensic Laboratory Scientist II or Designee, with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. She will testify as an expert as to the procedures, techniques and science employed in DNA analysis, all procedures employed in this case and reports provided and reports provided.

These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information or Indictment and any other witnesses for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses has been filed

The substance of each expert witness' testimony and a copy of all reports made by or at the direction of the expert witness has been provided in discovery.

A copy of each expert witness' curriculum vitae, if available, is attached hereto.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Samuel G. Bateman
SAMUEL G. BATEMAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008764

CERTIFICATE OF E-MAIL TRANMISSION

I hereby certify that service of the Supplemental Notice of Witnesses was made this 22nd day of August, 2014, by e-mail communication to:

Nancy L. Lemcke, Deputy Public Defender lemckenl@ClarkCountyNY,gov

BY /S/Stephanie Johnson Employee of the District Attorney's Office

13F20476X/saj/L-1

Lisa Gavin, M.D., M.P.H.

1704 Pinto Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89106 ◆ 702.455.3210 ◆ LGavin@ClarkCountyNV.gov

Current Position Medical Examiner, 2009 to present

Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner, Clark County, Las Vegas, Nevada

Training & Education

Forensic Pathology Fellowship, 2008 to 2009

Office of the Chief Medical Investigator, Albuquerque, New Mexico

Surgical Pathology Fellowship, 2007-2008 Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut

Anatomic & Clinical Pathology Residency, 2002 - 2007

Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut

Post-Sophomore Fellowship in Pathology, 2001 – 2002

University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, Connecticut

Medical Degree, 2001

University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, Connecticut

Master Degree of Public Health, 1994

Columbia University School of Public Health, New York, New York

Bachelor of Arts, 1991

Mount Holyoke College, South Hadley, Massachusetts

Current & Previous Work Experience

Adjunct Professor, 2011 - present

Touro University School of Medicine, Henderson Nevada

Teacher of "Correlated Medical Problem Solving" Course, 2001 – 2002 University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington Connecticut

Manager of South Marshal Street Pediatric Clinic, 1995 – 1997

Salvation Army, South Marshall Street, Hartford Connecticut

Administrative Assistant to the Director of Admissions & Career Development

and to the Director of Academic & Student Affairs, 1992 - 1994 Columbia University School of Public Health, New York, New York

Tutor and Evaluator of children with learning disabilities, 1988 - 1994 & 1996 - 1997

Milford, Connecticut & Farmington, Connecticut

Coordinator of Infant Registration Project, 1991 - 1992

New York City Department of Health: Office of Child Health Planning, New York, New York

Memberships

American Academy of Forensic Sciences, 2009 - present

American Society of Clinical Pathology, 2003 - 2008, 2010 - present

National Association of Medical Examiners, 2010 - present

International Association of Coroners & Medical Examiners, 2010 - present

Medical License

State of Nevada, 2009 - present

State of New Mexico, 2008 – 2010

Next Page >>>

Lisa Gavin, M.D., M.P.H.

1704 Pinto Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89106 ◆ 702.455.3210 ◆ LGavin@ClarkCountyNV.gov

Service Work

- Annual presentor for Bring Your Child to Work day at Clark County Coroners/Medical Examiners Office.
- Annual Host for summer high-school student tours of Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology
- Education of Medical Students & Residents on rotation in Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology
- Guest speaker for Public Relations Department at Hartford Hospital for local middle-school children
- Guidance to Medical Technician Students interested in future careers in Medicine
- · Editor of personal statements and resumes

Resident & Fellow Topics

Two Unusual Neuropathology Cases, January 2008

Testicular Germ Cell Tumors, October 2007

Waldenstroms Macroglobulinemia, October 2005

Minimal Change Disease & Focal Segmental Glomerular Sclerosis, October 2004

Crescentic Glomerulonephritis or Rapidly Progressive Glomerulonephritis, January 2004

Mitral Valve Prolapse and Sudden Death, July 2003

Research Experience

Polyoma Virus Hemorrhagic Cystitis in an Otherwise Normal Child, 2008

Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology & Department of Pediatric Infectious Disease

Metastatic Testicular Choriocarcinoma in a Young Male with Abdominal Pain, 2007

Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology & University of Connecticut

Department of Internal Medicine

Inter-observer Variability in Diagnosing Colon Biopsies as Indefinite for Dysplasia, 2006

Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology

Susceptibility of Streptococcus Pneumoniae to Moxifloxacin and

Other Antimicrobial Agents, 2004

Hartford Hospital Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine

Awards & Scholarship

Dr. Beckett Book Award, 2007

Martin Berman Immunopathology Award, 2007

Bloomberg Award for Psychiatry, 2001

Certification

Eligible For Anatomic Pathology,

Clinical Pathology and Forensic Pathology Boards

Electronically Filed 08/25/2014 11:23:01 AM

NWEW STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLERK OF THE COURT Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 3 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764 4 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. Plaintiff. 10 CASE NO: C-14-296234-1 11 DEPT NO: 12 LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, 13 #1444838 14 Defendant. 15 NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(a)] 16 17 LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, Defendant; and TO: NANCY L. LEMCKE, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record: 18 TO: YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 19 NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses in its case in chief: 20 **NAME ADDRESS** 21 22 LVMPD # 13724 ABDAL-KARM, S. 23 ANDERSON, GRACE 3625 Boulder Hwy, #B2038, Las Vegas, NV Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) Keolis Transit America 24 ARCHANGEL, TINA Bus Driver 25 26 LVMPD # 14185 ARCINIEGA, D. 27 3625 Boulder Hwy. #3028, Las Vegas, NV AVILES, MARIA 28 LVMPD # 6623 BAKER, SGT. T.

1	BARLEY	CCFD, ENG. 27
2	BATTELINI, DEBBIE	3822 Don Carlos Dr., LV, NV
3	BRAMBILLA, J.	LVMPD # 13423
4	BRAUN, L.	LVMPD # 12946
5	BRISENDINE, D.	LVMPD # 14003
6	BUCHANAN, WILLIAM	3625 Boulder Hwy. #3026, Las Vegas, NV
7	BUNN, C.	LVMPD # 4407
8	CARR, DYANNE	3625 Boulder Hwy. #1049, Las Vegas, NV
9	CHARLTON, N.	LVMPD # 13572
10	CHAVEZ, P.	CLARK COUNTY CORONER'S OFFFICE
11	COBB, B.	LVMPD # 14099
12	CRUSE, C.	LVMPD # 14299
13	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	Clark County Detention Center, 330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
14 15 16	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	Clark County Detention Center, Communications 330 S. Casino Center Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
17 18	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	LVMPD Communications, 400 E. Stewart Las Vegas, NV
19 20	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS OR DESIGNEE	LVMPD Records, 400 E. Stewart Las Vegas, NV
21	DOSCH, M.	LVMPD # 7907
22		INVESTIGATOR
23	DOUGHERTY, Ed OR DESIGNEE	C.C. DISTRICT ATTORNEY
24	DUKE, J.	LVMPD # 13934
25	EAGAN, L.	LVMPD # 7860
26	EDDINGTON, M.	LVMPD # 14206
27	FABIAN, SGT. P.	LVMPD # 3705
28	FIRRANTELLO, JEFFERY	3625 Boulder Hwy. #3038, Las Vegas, NV
		?

1	FRANKLIN	CCFD, RESCUE 227
2	GAVIN, LISA DR.	CLARK COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE
. 3	GILBERT, JOHN	3625 Boulder Hwy. #B2034, Las Vegas, NV
4	HAGEN, GARY ALLEN	3625 Boulder Hwy. #B2038, Las Vegas, NV
5	HENDERSON	CCFD, ENG. 27
6	HIBBETTS, K.	LVMPD # 14320
7	HIGGS	CCFD, ENG. 27
8	HILDEBRAND, TIM	5600 Boulder Hwy. #1575, Las Vegas, NV
9	HOLLAND, ROBERT II	C/O DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
10	IACULLIO, M.	LVMPD # 7857
11	IRWIN, LYNN	Allied Barton Security Services
12	RTC Security Officer	
13	IVIE, T.	LVMPD # 6405
14	JENSEN, B.	LVMPD # 3662
15	JONES, R.	LVMPD # 8876
16	KELLY, CPT. T.	LVMPD # 3807
17	KINMAN, C.	LVMPD # 14317
18	LARSEN, SGT. A.	LVMPD # 9630
19	LOWE, Amanda	1302 Hopewell Ave, Henderson, NV
20	LYNCH, SGT. M.	LVMPD # 8284
21	MAAS, S.	LVMPD # 13015
22	MANUEL, GABRIELLE	3625 Boulder Hwy. #3026, Las Vegas, NV
23	MCGUIRE, J.	LVMPD # 14067
24	MELARA-GOMEZ, DAGOBERTO	Keolis Transit America
25	Safety Surveillance Supervisor	
26	MEZA, ALEXANDRO	2098 Winterwood Blvd., Las Vegas, NV
27	MILLER, S.	LVMPD # 9142
28	MOMON, KRIS	8600 Crest Hill Ave., Las Vegas, NV

1	MOORE, W.	LVMPD # 9003
2	MORALES, C.	LVMPD # 8788
3	MYROLD, E.	LVMPD # 13064
4	NELSON, SEAN	3625 Boulder Hwy. #B1024, Las Vegas, NV
5	ORIZABAL, S.	LVMPD # 8791
-1	ORTIZ, BENJAMIN	3625 Boulder Hwy., #3041, LV, NV
6 7	OSCAR, S.	LVMPD # 14325
	PACIFICO, V.	LVMPD # 6511
8	PACIFICO, V. PATTERSON	MEDIC WEST AMBULANCE, UNIT # 657
9	PETERSEN, A.	LVMPD # 13579
10	PICKEREL, SGT. D.	LVMPD # 7940
11		LVMPD # 7641
12	REED, R.	CCFD, ENG. 27
13	REYEDES, CPT.	LVMPD # 13422
14	ROTTA, R.	5600 Boulder Hwy. #1575, Las Vegas, NV
15	SALAZAR, SHANNON	3625 Boulder Hwy. #B2036, Las Vegas, NV
16	SEPIC, REBECCA	MEDIC WEST AMBULANCE, UNIT # 657
17	1	LVMPD # 8981
18	_	LVMPD # 6556
19		LVMPD # 4852
20	\$	LVMPD # 3542
21	\	3625 Boulder Hwy.#1040, Las Vegas, NV
22		LVMPD # 14402
23	THE ENDROPHIC P	LVMPD # 13575
24	THE STREET COUNTY OF THE VIEW	3625 Boulder Hwy. #2049, Las Vegas, NV
2	THE POWARD I	133 Voltaire Ave., Henderson, NV
2	TANK E P	Address unknown
2	THE TANK	3625 Boulder Hwy. # 3032, Las Vegas, NV
2	WILDING, LYNN	

li li		
1	WILLIAMS, T. LVMPD # 3811	
2	WYATT CCFD, RESCUE 227	
3	These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information of	r
4	Indictment and any other witness for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Exper	t
5	Witnesses has been filed.	
6	STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY	
7	Nevada Bar #001565	
8	BY /s/ Samuel G. Bateman	
9	SAMUEL G. BATEMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764	-
10	Nevaga Bar #000704	
11		
12		
13	CONTRACTOR OF E MAIN TRANSMICCION	
14	CERTIFICATE OF E-MAIL TRANMISSION I hereby certify that service of the Notice of Witnesses was made this 25th day or	f
15	Į	-
16	August, 2014, by e-mail communication to:	
17 18	Nancy L. Lemcke, Deputy Public Defender lemckenl@ClarkCountyNV.gov	
19		
20		
21	BY /S/Stephanie Johnson Employee of the District Attorney's Office	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	13F20476X/saj/L-1	

. 1 **NWEW** STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney **CLERK OF THE COURT** Nevada Bar #001565 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN 3 Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 CASE NO: C-14-296234-1 12 LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, DEPT NO: Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, 13 #1444838 14 Defendant. 15 SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)] 16 TO: LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, Defendant; 17 and 18 NANCY L. LEMCKE, DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of TO: 19 20 Record: YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 21 NEVADA intends to call the following expert witnesses in its case in chief: 22 *Indicates additional Expert Witness 23 FRIED, JONATHAN, LVMPD #8174, is a Forensic Scientist II or Designee, with the 24 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He is an expert in the area of firearm/toolmark 25 analysis, Gun ID, ballistics, burn stippling and muzzle flash and will give opinions related 26 thereto. He is expected to testify regarding evidence collected from the crime scene. 27 28 ///

DR L. GAVIN, CCME #0086 – is a medical examiner with the Clark County Coroner's Office and will testify regarding the mechanics and effects of strangulation and other related matters.

*GOULDTHORPE, DAVID P#13317, Forensic Scientist II or Designee - Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; expert in the field of chemical analysis of controlled substances; will testify to the science, techniques and procedures employed in chemical analysis of controlled substances, techniques employed in this case, results of those tests and any reports therefrom, including the weight of the questioned substances.

MACEO, ALICE V., LVMPD #7828, is a Forensic Laboratory Manager or Designee, with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. She will testify as an expert as to the procedures, techniques and science employed in fingerprint analysis, all procedures employed in this case and reports provided.

RETAMOZO, CAROL, LVMPD #14280, is a Forensic Laboratory Scientist II or Designee, with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. She will testify as an expert as to the procedures, techniques and science employed in DNA analysis, all procedures employed in this case and reports provided and reports provided.

These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information or Indictment and any other witnesses for which a separate Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses has been filed

The substance of each expert witness' testimony and a copy of all reports made by or at the direction of the expert witness has been provided in discovery.

A copy of each expert witness' curriculum vitae, if available, is attached hereto.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Samuel G. Bateman
SAMUEL G. BATEMAN
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008764

CERTIFICATE OF E-MAIL TRANMISSION

I hereby certify that service of the Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses was made this 25th day of August, 2014, by e-mail communication to:

Nancy L. Lemcke, Deputy Public Defender lemckenl@ClarkCountyNV.gov

BY /S/Stephanie Johnson Employee of the District Attorney's Office

13F20476X/saj/L-1

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

				Date:	01/31	/11
Name: DAVID K. GOULDTHORPE	P#	: 1331	7 Classification:	FORENSIC	SCIENTI	ST II
Current Discipline of Assignment: CON	TROLLED S	SUBSTAN	ICES/CLANDESTIN	E LABS		
EXPERIEN	ICE IN THE	FOLLOV	VING DISCIPLINE(S	5)		
Controlled Substances	>	(Bloc	od Alcohol			
Toolmarks		Brea	ath Alcohol			
Trace Evidence		Ars	on Analysis			
Toxicology		Fire	arms			
Latent Prints			ne Scene Investigat			X
Serology		Cla	ndestine Laboratory	Response Tea	am	X
Document Examination		DN.	A Analysis			
Quality Assurance		Tec	hnical Support		moderne myster	
	E	DUCATIO	N			
Institution	Dates A	ttended	Λ	1ajor		Degree Completed
Arizona State University	1993-19	98	Biochemistry			BS
Rio Salado Community College	1996-20	02	Water/Wastewate	r Technology		AAS
Chandler-Gilbert Community College	2002-20	03	Crime and Intellig	ence Analysis		Certificate
AD	DITIONAL	TRAININ	G / SEMINARS			
Course / Seminar			Locati	on		Dates
Hazard Communications			Las Vegas, NV		10/21/1	0
First Aid Training			Las Vegas, NV		10/20/1	0
Southwestern Association of Forensic Scientific Scienti	entists (SWA	AFS)	Grapevine, TX		09/20/1	0-09/24/10
Hazardous Waste Operator and Emergence (HAZWOPER)			Las Vegas, NV		07/19/1 7/22/10	0-07/20/10;)
Portable Gas & Vapor Identifier			Las Vegas, NV		06/30/	10

Page	•	2

ADDITIONAL TRAINING	G/SEMINARS	
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
X-Ray Fluorescence	Las Vegas, NV	04/08/10
HAPSITE-Portable Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometer	Las Vegas, NV	03/11/10
Radical Islam and Improvised Explosive Devices	Las Vegas, NV	02/22/2010
Diversity Training	Las Vegas, NV	12/16/09
ASCLD-LAB 17025 Prep Course	Henderson, NV	12/01/09-12/03/09
HIDTA Southwest Meth & Pharmaceutical Initiative	Las Vegas, NV	11/05/09
Clandestine Laboratory Recertification	Las Vegas, NV	09/2009
Forensic Mass Spectroscopy	Las Vegas, NV	06/15/09-06/16/09
The Rules & regulations of Workplace Safety and OSHA Compliance	Las Vegas, NV	03/04/09
Hazardous Waste Management and Mitigation	Las Vegas, NV	02/24/09
First On Scene Meth Awareness	Las Vegas, NV (online course)	10/22/08
Officer Safety Training for Methamphetamine Labs	Las Vegas, NV (online course)	10/23/08
What Every Law Enforcement Officer Should Know About DNA Evidence: First Responding Officers	Las Vegas, NV (online course)	10/03/08
Heavy Equipment theft and Investigation	Las Vegas, NV	09/15/08
Explosives, Components and Improvised Explosive Device Recognition Module II	Las Vegas, NV	09/09/08
Graffiti Investigations	Las Vegas, NV	08/27/08
Gang Crimes	Las Vegas, NV	08/19/08
Innov-X Systems Level 1 Training	Las Vegas, NV	08/11/08
Controlled Substance Analysis	Las Vegas, NV	07/01/08
Biological Terrorism	Las Vegas, NV	07/25/08
Chemistry of Energetic Materials Emergency Response to Improvised Explosives And Clandestine Explosives Manufacturing	Glendale, AZ	07/22/0807/24/08

Page:	3

Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
GHB and Club Drugs	Las Vegas, NV Nevada Narcotic Officers' Association (NNOA)	05/21/08
Clandestine Drug Lab Safety Certification	Las Vegas, NV LVMPD	05/16/08
Public Safety WMD Response-Sampling Techniques and Guidelines Performance Level	Tucson, AZ (National Center for Biomedical Research and Training)	04/03/08
Tactical Communications	Gilbert, AZ (Gilbert Fire Dept)	01/30/08
National Disaster Medical System (NDMS) Federal Coordinating Center Operations IS-1900	Federal Emergency Management Agency	01/07/08
Continuity of Operations (COOP) IS-546	Federal Emergency Management Agency	12/21/07
Introduction to Continuity of Operations (COOP) IS-547	Federal Emergency Management Agency	12/17/07
WMD Radiological/Nuclear Awareness	New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology	12/10/07
WMD Radiological/Nuclear Awareness On-Line Course	Homeland Security	12/10/07
Understanding & Planning for School Bomb Incidents	New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology	12/04/07
Advanced ICS Command and General Staff-Complex Incidents, ICS-400	Federal Emergency Management Agency	11/29/07
Intermediate ICS for Expanding Incidents CS-300	Federal Emergency Management Agency	11/27/07
Anticipating Hazardous Weather and Community Risk, IS-271	Federal Emergency Management Agency	11/26/07
National Infrastructure Protection Plan (NIPP), IS-860	Federal Emergency Management Agency	11/06/07
Orientation to Community Disaster Exercises, S-120	Federal Emergency Management Agency	11/01/07
Household Hazardous Materials, IS-055	Federal Emergency Management Agency	10/29/07
Leadership and Influence, IS-240	Federal Emergency Management Agency	10/26/07

ı	Page:	4
	ugo.	"

ADDITIONAL TRAINI		
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
Decision Making and Problem Solving, IS-241	Federal Emergency Management Agency	10/22/07
Developing and Managing Volunteers, IS-244	Federal Emergency Management Agency	10/11/07
Clandestine Laboratory Investigating Chemists Association Technical Training Seminar	Las Vegas, NV	09/2007
Introduction to Hazard Mitigation, IS-393.A	Federal Emergency Management Agency	08/28/07
Property and Evidence Inventory/Audit Training	AZ DPS	08/09/07
Arizona Narcotic Officers Association	Laughlin, NV	07/2007
Multi-Hazard Emergency Planning for Schools IS-362	Federal Emergency Management Agency	06/21/07
Post Blast Crime Scene Investigation	Phoenix Police Dept. (ATF/Phoenix PD)	06/2007
Advanced Drug Analysis of Fentanyl	Vista, CA (DEA)	05/30/07
GC/MS Training Seminar	AZ DPS (Restek)	05/10/07
Effective Communication IS-242	Federal Emergency Management Agency	04/03/07
Community Emergency Response Team (CERT), IS-317	Homeland Security	02/22/07
Guide to Citizen Preparedness, IS-022	Federal Emergency Management Agency	01/18/07
NIMS Multi-Agency Coordination System IS-701	Federal Emergency Management Agency	12/13/06
Special Events Contingency Planning for Public Safety Agencies, IS-015.A	Federal Emergency Management Agency	12/05/06
Emergency Response to Terrorism	Federal Emergency Management Agency	09/29/06
Van Dynamics	AZ DPS	08/14/06
Defensive Driving	AZ DPS	08/14/06
Forensic Ridgeology	Mesa Police Dept (Ron Smith and Associates, Inc.)	06/2006

Page:	1

Course / Seminar	Location	Dates
orensic Vehicle Lamp Examination	AZ DPS (Southwest Forensic Services)	05/2006
NIMS Resource Management, IS-703	Federal Emergency Management Agency	05/22/06
Advanced Drug Analysis of Plant Material	Vista, CA (DEA)	05/04/06
AZ Division of Emergency Management (ADEM) Instructor Authorization Workshop	AZ National Guard (ADEM)	04/27/06
Emergency Radiological Response Transportation Training, IS-302	Federal Emergency Management Agency	04/25/06
Fingerprint Classification	Peoria, AZ (Peoria Police Dept)	03/2006
Hazardous Materials Prevention, IS-340	Federal Emergency Management Agency	03/14/06
Introduction to Hazardous Materials, IS-005.A	Federal Emergency Management Agency	02/16/06
National Response Plan (NRP) IS-800	Federal Emergency Management Agency	02/07/06
Radiological Emergency Response, IS-301	Federal Emergency Management Agency	02/07/06
Basic Incident Command Systems, ICS-200	Federal Emergency Management Agency	02/02/06
Introduction to the Incident Command System, ICS-100	Federal Emergency Management Agency	01/31/06
Responder Assessment and Validation of User Equipment (RAVUE)	Anniston, AL (Homeland Security)	01/12/06
WMD Hazmat Technician Course (COBRA), Live Nerve Agent Training	Anniston, AL (Homeland Security)	01/2006
National WMD Standardized Awareness Program Train-the- Trainer (AWR-160)	AZ POST (Homeland Security)	12/07/05
National WMD Standardized Awareness Program (AWR-160)	AZ POST (Homeland Security)	12/07/05
Post Blast Investigator School	Tucson, AZ (FBI)	11/18/05
General Instructor Program	AZ DPS	09/30/05

		,
National Incident Management System (NIMS), IS-700	Federal Emergency Management Agency	09/15/05
Advanced Palm Print Comparison Techniques	AZ DPS (Ron Smith and Associates, Inc.)	07/2005
Incident Response to Terrorist Bombings	Socorro, NM (New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology)	06/2005
Latent Print Processing Competency	AZ DPS	06/08/05
WMD Radiological/Nuclear Course for Hazmat Technicians	Nevada Test Site (Homeland Security)	03/2005
Forensic Epidemiology	Mesa Police Academy (AZ Dept of Health Services)	02/03/05
Emergency Response to Hazardous Material Incidents	Tempe Fire Dept (US EPA)	01/2005
A Friction Ridge Story	Phoenix, AZ (Alice Maceo from LVMPD)	12/15/04
Promotion to Criminalist I	AZ DPS	11/27/04
Depressant and Steroid Identification Competency	AZ DPS	10/22/04
Advanced Chemical/Biological Integrated Response Course	Dugway Proving Ground, Utah (Homeland Security)	10/2004
Computer-Aided Management of Emergency Operations (CAMEO) in WMD	Tempe Fire Dept (National Center for Biomedical Research and Training)	09/22/04
Clandestine Lab Competency Refresher	AZ DPS	09/17/04
Opiate and Hallucinogen Identification Competency	AZ DPS	08/06/04
Bombs And Guns: ATF Overview	Tempe, AZ Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board	07/28/04
Physical And Electronic Surveillance	Tempe, AZ Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board	07/28/04
Drug Identification	Tempe, AZ Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board	07/27/04
Conspiracy Investigations	Tempe, AZ Arizona Peace Officer Standards and Training Board	07/26/04-07/29/04

Page:	7

Arizona Narcotic Officers Association	Tempe, AZ	07/20	04
Public Safety Response-Sampling Techniques Technicia Level	AZ National Guard Armory (National Center for Biomedical Research and Training)	07/22	/04
WMD Hazmat Evidence Collection	AZ National Guard Armory (Homeland Security)	07/20	04
WMD Crime Scene Management	AZ National Guard Armory (Homeland Security)	07/14	/04
Site Safety Officer	Maricopa Co. Sheriff's Office (Network Environmental Sys)	04/30	/04
Basic Clandestine Laboratory Safety	Maricopa Co. Sheriff's Office (Network Environmental Sys)	04/20	04
Courtroom Skills Workshop	AZ DPS (Quantum Communications)	03/20	04
Stimulant Identification Competency	AZ DPS	03/10	/04
FTIR Spectrometer Operation and Spectral Interpretation	AZ DPS	03/20	04
Controlled Substance Field Testing	AZ DPS	01/14	/04
Marijuana Identification Competency	AZ DPS	12/19	/03
COURTRO	OM EXPERIENCE		
Court	Discipline		Number of Times
Gila County Superior Court	Controlled Substances		3
Maricopa County Superior Court	Clandestine Laboratory Scen Evaluation Clandestine Laboratory Analy Latent Fingerprint Processing Controlled Substances	ysis	2 2 1 5
Pinal County Superior Court	Controlled Substances		1
Apache Junction Municipal Court	Controlled Substances		. 1
District Court of Clark County, NV	Controlled Substances	p	6
EMPLOY	MENT HISTORY		
Employer	Job Title		Date
		1	
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Forensic Scientist II	05/0)5/08-Present

	Job Title	Date
Employer	Criminalist I	11/04-11/07
Arizona Department of Public Safety	Associate Criminalist	11/03-11/04
Arizona Department of Public Safety	SSIONAL AFFILIATIONS	
		Date(s)
Organiza		2009-2010
Southwestern Association Forensic Scientists (SW		2009-2010
Clandestine Laboratory Investigators Association (CLIA)	2008
Nevada Narcotic Officer's Association (NNOA)		2005-2010
Clandestine Laboratory Investigating Chemists (Cl	LIC)	2004, 2007
Arizona Narcotic Officers Association (ANOA)		2006
Arizona Identification Council (AIC)		
	ATIONS / PRESENTATIONS:	10/14/2010
Synthetic Cannabinoids to NV Board of Pharmacy		12/2009; 03/2010; 10/2010
Crime Lab Overview	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Safety Overview/Chemical Hygiene		07/28/2010
Chemical Hygiene for DNA		12/08/2009
Toxicology and Chemical Hazards Associated Wi	ith Clandestine Drug Labs	11/09/2009
WMD Hazmat Public Safety Sampling		01/2008
Marijuana Field Test Training		2007-2008
Powder Field Test Training		2007-2008
Methamphetamine Lab Awareness Training		07/2007
Physical Evidence Collection and Processing		2006
Hazmat Chemistry for Hazmat Technician Cours	se	01/2006, 10/2007
N,N-Dimethylamphetamine		05/2006
Ephedrine Reduction—lodine and water		02/2005
Phenylephrine Reduction—Red Phosphorus and	d lodine	11/2004
Fifteen Minute Methamphetamine Cook Results	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11/2004

Statement of Qualifications Name: David Gouldthorpe

Adjunct Instructor for Phoenix College

Adjunct Instructor for Arizona Division of Emergency Management (ADEM)

Arizona POST Certified General Instructor

Hazardous Waste Operator and Emergency Response Certified (HAZWOPER)

Electronically Filed 08/25/2014 02:48:12 PM

1	NOTC	Alun to Chum
2	PHILIP I. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	NANCY M. LEMCKE Deputy Public Defender	
4	Nevada Bar No. 5416 CONOR M. SLIFE	
5	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11277	
6	309 South Third Street, Suite #220	
7	(702) 455-4685 Attorneys for Defendant	
8	manufacture of the contract of	ŔŤ
9	A CHIP CONTROL N	EVADA
10	* I	
11		CASE NO. C-14-296234-1
12		DEPT. NO. V
13		
14		
15		
16	THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER OF THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE COLUMN TWO IS	SES, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234(2)
17	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY:	
18	a see a sell alance take notice illi	t the Defendant, LUIS PIMENTEL, intends
19	the state of the s	
20	20 Briana Lynne Boyd, Ph.D. is an expert in the area	of post-traumatic stress disorder, and will
21	a salarog to the incient	matter,
22	A Curriculum Vitae is attached hereto	
23	norde and	
24	PHI	LIP I. KOHN ARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER CLA	THE CONTRACT EN A CONTRACT OF AN ARCHITECTURE
	See I was a see a se	\mathcal{L}
	By: NANC L. LEMCKE, 45416 By:	CONOR M. SPAFE, #11277
	Deputy Public Defender	Deputy Public Defender
~`		

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESS, was made this 25th day of August, 2014, by Electronic Filing to:

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Motions@clarkcountyda.com

SAMULE BATEMAN, Deputy District Attorney E-Mail: samuel.bateman@clarkcountyda.com

Sara Ruano

Secretary for the Public Defender's Office

Ű

lÛ

Black Student Union Recognition Ceremony Outstanding Staff of the Year Award

9/2007-8/2008

Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry

Clinical Fellowship

9/2007-8/2008

Boston University School of Medicine, Department of

Psychiatry.

Teaching Fellowship

5/2005-8/2005

Howard University Department of Psychology

Graduate International Assistantship

8/2004-5/2007

Howard University Department of Psychology Teaching Assistantship

Clinical Experience

10/11/12-Present

Private Practice-Hillerest, CA

Responsibilities: Provide individual and couples therapy for nationts with complex trauma histories on an ongoing basis utilizing a variety of treatment interventions including psychodynamic, cognitive behavioral, cognitive processing,

and interpersonal therapy approaches

2/14/12-Present

Veterans Medical Research Foundation, Department of Psychiatry-La Jolla, CA

SMART-CPT Clinical Research Study Position: Clinical Research Psychologist

Responsibilities: Developed new clinical treatment intervention (SMART-CPT) for Veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan with comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Traumatic Brian Injury (TBI) by merging two pre-existing, empirically supported treatment intervention into one treatment manual. The study utilizes Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT), a cognitive restructuring intervention for PTSD and CogSmart, a cognitive rehabilitation treatment designed to improve the symptoms of Traumatic Brian hijury. Currently provide psychotherapy to all Veteran research participants in the study utilizing the experimental (SMART-CPT) and control (CPT only) treatment interventions

8/2009-2/1/12

University of California, San Diego UCSD-La Jolla, CA Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) Position: Senior Staff Psychologist (CPII)

Briana Lynne Boyd, Ph.D. CA License # PSY24373

1807 University Avenue, San Diego, CA 92103 Office: (858)-552-8585 x2488; Cell (202)-413-5574 Briana boyd@ya.gov; chrigo@gmail.com

Education

1/2006-12/2008

Howard University

Washington, DC

GPA: 3.94

Doctor of Philosophy - Clinical Psychology Date of Dissertation Defense: 08/29/08

Graduation Date: 12/2008

8/2003-12/2005

Howard University

Washington, DC

GPA: 3.92

Master of Science Degree - Clinical Psychology

Date of Maters Thesis Defense: 12/2005

9/1998-12/2002

Humboldt State University

Arcata, CA

GPA: 3,7 overall; 3,98 major

Bachelor of Arts Degree - Psychology

Honors: Dean's List January 2002 - December 2002,

Departmental Honors in Psychology.

Psi Chi: Psychology National Honor Society

8/2000-5/2001

California State University Study Center Florence, Italy

GPA: 3.5

Minor of Arts - Art History; Italian Language

Honors, Awards, & Appointments

7/22/2010-2/1/12

University of California, San Diego-Council on Climate,

Equity, and Inclusion

Appointed to the council created after "Compton Cookout" to advise the Chancellor on diversity, equity, and inclusion policies and programs across UCSD campus in an effort to improve campus climate for underrepresented students

6/2011

University of California, San Diego UCSD-Annual

Black Student Union Recognition Ceremony

Outstanding Staff of the Year Award

6/2010

University of California, San Diego UCSD-Annual

Responsibilities: Provide clinical, consultative, and outreach services to a diverse college population, with an emphasis on training, outreach, assessment, and treatment of African American and multi-racial, multi-ethnic students. Psycho-diagnostic evaluations, testing, conflict resolution, and campus-wide diversity training and education as needed. Comprehensive treatment planning and interventions including referrals off-campus, or other dispositions as indicated. Individual, couple, family, and group psychotherapy as well as crisis intervention and management. Supervision and training of psychology interns and post-doctoral fellows. Psychological consultation services to faculty, administration, and staff. Diversity and Social Justice expert consultant for CAPS, and UCSD faculty, administration, and staff.

9/2008-8/2009

Institute of Living/Hartford Hospital-Hartford, CT APA Accredited Post-Doctoral Fellowship Position: Assessment Fellow Responsibilities: Conduct inpatient psycho-diagnostic assessments and generate diagnostic reports and treatment plans (WISC-IV, WAIS-III, BDI-II, BAI, MCMI-III, MACI, MMPI-2, MMPI-A, PAI, Shipley Institute of Living Scale, Hare PCL-R, Rorschach Inkblot Test. Themstic Apperception Test, Human Figure Projective Drawings). Individual cognitive-behavioral outpatient psychotherapy at the Anxiety Disorders Center (ADC) treating Panic Disorder, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Depression, and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. Long Term psychodynamic outpatient psychotherapy with individuals diagnosed with character pathology. Interdisciplinary treatment collaboration and consultation. Attended weekly seminars in cognitive behavioral therapy. object relations/psychodynamic theory, assessment. complex case conceptualization, professional development. human sexuality, and grand rounds, which introduced cutting-edge research and psychotherapeutic techniques

9/2007-8/2008

VA Boston Consortium-Boston, Massachusetts
APA Accredited Pre-doctoral Internship
Position: Psychology Intern
Responsibilities: Individual, group, and couple
psychotherapy. Psycho-diagnostic assessment (WAIS-III,
BDI-II, BAI, MMPI-2, SCID-I, Shipley Institute of Living
Scale, Hare PCL-R, Rorschach Inkblot Test, Thematic
Apperception Test) and structured intakes. Interdisciplinary

treatment collaboration and consultation. Educational seminars for family members of recent spinal cord injury patients. Weekly didactic trainings on the topics of assessment, psychotherapy, research, neuropsychology, and professional development.

Setting Description: Settings included inpatient psychiatric unit, outpatient treatment in medical facility, domiciliary residential substance abuse program, and spinal cord injury reliabilitation unit

9/2006-5/2007

Howard University Counseling Service-Washington, D.C.

Clinical Externship Placement

Individual and group psychotherapy with multi-racial and multi-ethnic students; weekly intakes; weekly didactic seminars including case conference, psychoanalytic seminar, and group seminar (including weekly group observations)

5/1/2006-7/1/2006

DC Superior Court, Child Guidance Clinic-Washington, D.C.

Foreusic Assessment Practicum Placement
Psychological assessment and diagnosis of AfricanAmerican adolescent males in the juvenile justice system
WISC-IV, WAIS-III, WJ-III, WJ-III Test of Achievement,
BDI-II, CDI-2, BAI, MCMI-III, MACI, MMPI-2, Hare
PCL-R, Vineland, Rorschach lakblot Test, Thematic
Apperception Test, RATC)

9/2005-6/2006

Holy Cross Health Center-Bethesda, MD
Clinical Practicum Placement
Individual psychotherapy and health psychology with adult
outpatients in a medical setting Behavioral health
interventions with patients who were diagnosed with
obesity, diabetes, hypertension, chronic pain, and substance
abuse; Interdisciplinary collaboration with physicians,
incress, and medical technicians to provide comprehensive
patient care

5/21/2005-7/31/2005

Costa Rica Professional Preparation Program-San Jose, Costa Rica

Clinical Practicum Placement

A ten-week training program consisting of 128 hours of Spanish language training and 128 hours of supervised individual and group psychotherapy with Costa Rican children from severely impoverished backgrounds; didnetic sentioars and Costa Rican Culture

11/2004-5/2005

Sunrise Academy School for Boys-Washington, D.C.

Clinical Practicum Placement

Cognitive and psycho-diagnostic assessments; individual and group psychotherapy with African-American adolescent males diagnosed with learning disabilities, pervasive developmental disorders, severe mental illness. behavioral difficulties, and complex trauma histories

8/3/2004-8/12/2004

Coore Foundation-Trinidad and Tobago

Position: Cuest Speaker

HIV/AIDS peer educator for local youth at significant risk for contracting HIV

4/1/2004-5/27/2004

Curseen Morris Post Office, Employee Assistant Program (EAP)- Washington, D.C.

Position: Psychological Consultant

Interdisciplinary treatment collaboration and consultation offering psychological services and referrals for postal employees during the re-opening of the postal branch following the bioterrorist anthrax attack

1/16/2004-5/272004

Tree of Life DC Public Charter School- Washington, D.C.

Assessment Practicum Placement

Cognitive assessment of multi-racial students in grades K through three (WISC-IV, MACI, CDI-2, BASC-2, Vineland, Rorschach Inkhlot Test, Thematic Apperception

Test. RATC. House-Tree-Person)

1/16/2004-5/27/2004

Howard University- Washington, D.C.

Assessment Practicum Placement

Cognitive and psycho-diagnostic assessment of Howard University undergraduate students (WAIS-III, MMPI-2, Rorschach Inkblot Test, Thematic Apperception Test)

Teaching Experience

1/3/2011-3/15/2011

University of California, San Diego-La Jolla, CA Biological Sciences BILD 95, Intergroup Dialogue Intergroup dialogue course focusing on communication across groups about race, gender, sexuality, ability, power, privilege, and oppression. This course was intentionally brought to the department of biological sciences to increase diversity education across campus and graduate students with a complex understanding of intersections between identity, power, privilege, and oppression

8/24/2004-5/27/2007

Howard University, Washington, D.C. Department of Psychology Teaching Assistantship Psychology of Women: Spring 2007 Psychology of Gender: Fall 2006, Fall 2005, Fall 2004 Psychology of Family: Spring, 2005 Psychology of Media: Fall 2004 Introduction to Psychology: Fall 2004 Prepare and deliver lectures/classroom instruction to undergraduate psychology classes at a major research university; Develop, administer, and grade homework assignments to promote effective subject matter retention. Evaluate students' classroom performance and assist course professor in assigning grades; Communicate effectively and timely with students about queries regarding coursework/subject matter.

Publications

- Kleespies, P.M., AhnAllen, C., Knight, J., Presskreischer, B., Barrs, K., Boyd, B., & Dennis, J. P. (in press). The VA Boston Study of Self-Injurious and Suicidal Behavior.
- Burns, S.M., Hough, S., & Boyd, B. L. (2010). Men's Adherence to Masculine Norms for Sexual Prowess as a Moderator of the Relationship between Sexual Desire and Depression Following Spinal Cord Injury. Sex Roles, 61, 120-129.
- Burns, S. M., & Hough, S., Boyd, B. L., & Hill, J. (2010). Men's adjustment to spinal cord injury: The unique contributions of conformity to masculine gender norms. American Journal of Men's Health, 4(2), 157-166.
- Boyd, Briana L. (2009). [Review of the article The Impact of gender roles on health: analysis of sex and gender differences]. Sex Roles: A Journal of Research.
- Boyd, B. L., Sloan, L. R., & Burns, S. M. (in preparation). Predictors of sexual practices in African-American Women.

Presentations

Boyd, B. (February, 2011). <u>Psychoanalytic Diagnosis Lecture Series</u>. Presentation for the CAPS internship training program at The University of California. Three part training series

- focusing on psychoanalytic diagnosis, levels of character organization, and brief psychodynamic psychotherapy. San Diego, CA.
- Boyd, B. & Juarez, R. (February 2011, January 2011, November 2010, October 2010, September 2010, June 2010). UC San Diego: Creating a Welcoming and Safe Campus Community for All. Invited presentation across various departments on The University of California, San Diego campus regarding the psychological impact of the "Compton Cookout" and racial microaggressions and how best to respond, La Jolla, CA.
- Boyd, B. (January 22, 2011). <u>Promoting Self-Care and Balance in the Activist Community:</u>
 <u>Understanding How Cognition Impacts Mood and Mental Health.</u> Invited presentation for The Kiamsha Conference 2011, Cross-Cultural Center, University of Culifornia, San Diego.
- Boyd, B. (January 27, 2011). <u>Teaching Diversity through Metaphor</u>, Invited presentation for the Teaching Diversity Conference 2011, University of California, San Diego.
- Boyd, B. & Travers, T. (January 11, 2011). <u>UC San Diego: Campus Climate All-Staff Update.</u>
 Presentation to update The University of California, San Diego staff about the developments with regards to diversity and campus climate one year after the "Compton Cookout," Presented to UC Regents as one of the largest diversity efforts on campus. La Jolla, CA.
- Boyd, B. (September 2010). <u>Assisting Customers in Crisis</u>. Invited presentation for Justice Corps Orientation/training, Superior Court of San Diego, San Diego, CA.
- Boyd. B (Feb 2010). Understanding the Impact of Racial Climate on Underrepresated Students in Higher Education. Invited presentation during racial tension at UCSD following the "Compton Cookout." University of California, San Diego. La Jolla, California.
- Boyd, B. (June, 2009). Stress Management. Outrench presentation delivered to undergraduates at The University of California, San Diego
- Boyd, B. (March, 2009). <u>Interpretation and Content Analysis of Raw Projective Test Data (Rorschach and TAT)</u>. Invited presentation for the Hartford Hospital/Institute of Living Psychiatry Resident Training Series, Hartford, CT.
- Boyd, B. (October 14, 2008). <u>Cultural Sensitivity Awareness Training</u>. Presentation delivered to all staff and trainees in the department of Psychology at Hartford Hospital/Institute of Living, Hartford, CT.
- Boyd, B. (March 10, 2008). <u>Implementation of Mindfulness Based Interventions in Psychotherapy</u>, Invited presentation for Harvard South Shore Psychiatric Residency Program, Boston MA.
- Boyd, B. (April 2006). <u>Predictors of Sexual Practices in African-American Women.</u>
 Leslie Hicks Graduate Research Symposium (first place winner at research symposium), Howard University, Washington, DC.

- Boyd, B. (August, 2004). <u>Understanding Prevalence, Transmission, and Risk of Sexually Transmitted Infection in Trindad and Tobago</u>. Guest Speaker, Coore Foundation, Trinidad and Tobago.
- Boyd, B. (April 2004). Exploring Sexual Risk Assessment and Condom Use Self-Efficacy in the African-American College Population. Leslie Hicks Graduate Research Symposium, Howard University, Washington, DC.

Research Experience

2/14/12-Present Veteran's Medical Research Foundation-La Jolla, CA

SMART-CPT clinical research study for Veterans with

comorbid PTSD and TBI (please see above)

9/2008-12/2010 Harvard Medical School/Boston VA Healthcare

System-Boston, MA

Exploration of the relationship between psychological resilience and adherence to masculine gender roles in male

veterans living with spinal cord injury.

9/2007-8/2008 Harvard Medical School/ Boston VA Healthcare

System-Boston, MA

Exploring self-injurious behaviors among male and female

veterans who have been psychiatrically hospitalized

following self-inflicted injury

9/2006-8/2008 Doctoral Dissertation, Howard University-Washington,

D.C.

Attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived

behavioral control as predictors of sexual practices in African American Women: Defended August 29, 2008

9/2003-12/2005 Master's Thesis, Howard University-Washington, D.C.

Sexual risk assessment and condom use self-efficacy as predictors of condom use among African American

college students: Defended December 2005

6/2004-3/2005 Howard University Hospital, Cancer Center

Washington, D.C.

Position: Research Assistant

Responsibilities: Scheduling research participants,

conducting clinical interviews, grant writing assistance, and

interdisciplinary collaboration.

6/2004-8/2004 National Education Association, Health

Information Network, NEA HIN-Washington, D.C.

Position: Research Assistant

Responsibilities: Collecting data and information about health behaviors to support NEA HIN campaign to improve the health of students across the United States. Educate staff about health psychology and behavioral interventions used to cultivate healthy lifestyle.

Advanced Trainings

10/1/12-10/2/12

Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT)-VA San Diego Health Care System, Balbon Naval Base, CA Cognitive Processing Therapy training for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

6/14/2011-6/15/2011

Behavioral Threat Assessment with J. Reid Malloy UCSD, La Jolla, CA Two day comprehensive school and workplace behavioral threat assessment training

6/23/10-6/27/12

UCLA Higher Education Research Institute (HERI)-Diversity Research Institute, Los Angeles, CA
Two day hands-on training program, led by HERI director,
Sylvia Hurtado, Ph.D., that included new strategies to
support and enhance diversity and civic engagement
initiatives on campus, as well as state-of-the-art research
and practice frameworks to assess campus diversity and its
impact on student outcomes.

11/2009

Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT)-VA San Diego Health Care System, La Jolla, CA Cognitive Processing Therapy training for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder

11/2007

Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT) with Developer Patty Resick-VA Boston Health Care System, Boston MA Cognitive Processing Therapy training for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder with treatment developer, Patricia Resick

5/18/2007-5/20/2007

Diversity, Authority, and Leadership in Organizational Life: The Politics of Power, Tavistock Conference

6/2004

Reducing Your Risk of Ethics & Professional Liability Complaints, Presented by Gendd Koocher, Ph.D., ABPP-Continuing Education Activity co-sponsored by Maryland Psychological Association (MPA)

Community Services & Committee Memberships

2/14/12-Present

University of California, San Diego-Counseling and

Psychological Services (CAPS)

Provide ongoing consultation regarding social justice and diversity enhancement on a volunteer basis at UCSD CAPS

2/14/11-Present

University of California, San Diego-Black Love,

Campus Black Forem

Developed Black Love for Campus Black Forum. Black Love is an annual program developed in the context of Campus Black Forum held every Valentine 's Day. The program was established to highlight positive aspects of Black identity and celebrate all forms of love and sexuality.

in the African-American community

2/2011-2/1/12

University of California, San Diego- Campus Black Forum, Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) Facilitated Campus Black Forum, a monthly CAPS sponsored event at UCSD. Campus Black Forum exists to provide a safe and welcoming space for African-American students and community allies to engage in critical discourse about the issues of race, gender, sex, sexuality, class, oppression and liberation for African-American

10/2009-2/1/12

University of California, San Diego-Social Justice and

Diversity Committee

students at UCSD

Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) committee dedicated to training and consultation of CAPS staff psychologists about how to work effectively with students

of various backgrounds

3/7/2011

Clinton Global Initiative University (CGIU) University

of California, San Diego

Invited to volunteer in CGIU, President Bill Clinton's campaign to challenge university students of various disciplines to create and innovate various solutions to global challenges

9/2007-8/2008

Boston VA Health Care System, Diversity Committee-Volunteer committee dedicated to raising awareness about diversity, equity; yield, and retention of diverse faculty members at Harvard Medical School and the Boston VA

Healthcare System

11/2003-7/2007

Guest Lecturer

Guest Lecturer locally and abroad concerning HIV/AIDS and STD incidence, transmission, and prevention among diasporic Africans in the Caribbean and the United States

8/2002

Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health- Los Angeles, CA

Participant in production of training film intended to edify law enforcement and correctional officers to recognize the signs of suicidality among inmates in the Los Angeles Department of Corrections

References

References available upon request.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
.3	LUIS PIMENTEL,) No. 68710
4	Appellant,)
5	vi.)
6	j – j
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Respondent.
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME II PAGES 251-500
10	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney
12	Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13 14	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT Attorney General
15	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the day of, 2010 Electronic Service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	ADAM LAXALT HOWARD S. BROOKS STEVEN S. OWENS WILL WATERS
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	LUIS PIMENTEL
25	NDOC # 1144889 c/o ELY STATE PRISON
26	PO Box 1989
27	Ely, NV 89301
28	BY

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 No. 68710 LUIS PIMENTEL, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Mar 28 2016 09:43 a.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Clerk of Supreme Court 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME II PAGES 251-500 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 12 13 ADAM LAXALT Attorney for Appellant 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 15 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

INDEX LUIS PIMENTEL Case No. 68710

2

PAGE NO 3 Amended Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement filed 09/12/2014 649-685 Amended Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/02/2014 726-728 5 Criminal Complaint filed 12/24/2013......001 Defendant's Amended Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed 08/25/2014..... Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/01/2014...... 774-775 10 11 Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Compel Psychiatric Evaluation and Motion in Limine filed 12 13 Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015...... 792-800 14 Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/04/2015 15 16 17 Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 08/19/2014......444 18 19 20 21 22 23 Motion in Limine to Exlude Evidence of Illegal Narcotics and Stolen Firearm filed 08/26/2014 24 506-511 25 Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference(s) to the Decedent as a 'Victim' filed 08/26/2014...... 512-517 26 Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference(s) to the Trial Phase of the Upcoming Proceedings as 27 28

i

1	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 07/30/2014
2	Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 08/28/2014 521-604
3	Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement filed 09/08/2014
4	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed 07/15/2014
5	Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/2015
6	Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/20/2014445-465
7	Notice of Motion and Motion for Psychological Evaluation and Motion in Limine filed 09/10/2014640-648
8	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Information filed 10/04/2014 729-736
9	Notice of Witnesses filed 08/25/2014
10	Order filed 07/16/2014
11	Order filed 04/28/2015
12	Order Denying Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/27/2014 518-520
13 14	Order Granting the State's Motion to Amend Information; Granting the State's Motion to Compel Psychological Evaluation; and Denying the State's Motion in Limine Without Prejudice filed 05/07/2015
15	Order Shortening Time filed 07/15/2014
16	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/09/2014
17	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015
18	Reply in Support of Petition of Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/06/2014
19	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 02/26/2014
20	Request to File Affidavit Under Seal filed 08/07/2014426
21	Request to File Order for Transport Under Seal filed 08/08/2014441
22	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/25/2014
23	Second Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/25/2014
24	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 05/04/2015
2526	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence of Illegal Narcotics and Stolen Firearm filed 09/04/2014
27 28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Term "Victim" for the Decedent filed 09/04/2014

1	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference to the Trial Phase as the Guilt Phase filed 09/04/2014
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 09/04/2014 605-609
3 4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress His Statement filed 09/18/2014
5	State's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Information filed 10/17/2014
6	State's Reponse to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 08/07/2014 427-440
7 8	Stipulation and Order Waiving Separate Penalty Hearing filed 05/27/2015/88-789
9	Substitution of Attorneys filed 12/27/2014
10	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/22/2014
11	Symplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 04/30/2015
12	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
13	Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
14	Verdict filed 05/27/2015
15	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/18/2014
16	
17	TRANSCRIPTS
18	
19	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 05/11/2015
20	Recorder's Transcript
21	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 05/12/2015
22	Recorder's Transcript
23	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 05/13/2015
24	
25	Jury Trial—Day Four Date of Hrg: 05/14/2015
26	Recorder's Transcript
27	Date of Hrg: 05/15/2015
28	$3 \parallel / \! / \! /$

1		ı
1	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Six Date of Hrg: 05/18/2015	
2	Date of Hrg: 05/18/20152175-2338	
3	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Seven	ļ
4	Date of Hrg: 05/19/2015	
5	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Eight	
6	Jury Trial—Day Eight Date of Hrg: 05/20/2015	
7	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Nine	
8	Date of Hrg: 05/21/2015	
9	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Ten	
10	Date of Hrg: 05/22/2015	
11	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Eleven	
12	Date of Hrg: 05/26/2015	
13	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Twelve	
14	Date of Hrg: 05/27/2015	
15	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
16	Date of Hrg: 09/08/2014	
17	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
18	Date of Hrg: 09/22/2014	
19	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
20	Date of Hrg: 09/24/2014	
21	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Petition of Habeas Corpus; Defendant's Motion to Withdraw a	s
22	Attorney of Record Date of Hrg: 07/28/2014	
23		
24	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw at Attorney of Record Date of Hrg: 07/21/2014909-911	
25	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,	
26	Status Check: Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 05/07/2014	
27	///	
28		

1 2	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jackson v. Denno Hearing; Status Check: Expert Notices; Reset Motion & Reset Trial Date of Hrg: 10/07/2014
3	Recorder's Transcript,
4	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/04/2015
5	Recorder's Transcript, Sentencing: Count 1Not Guilty Count 2
6	Date of Hrg: 07/17/20153157-3167
7	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Reset Trial; Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Information; State's Motion for
8	Psychological Evaluation and Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 10/22/2014
9	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
10	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 04/10/2014
11	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
12	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 04/24/2014900-902
13	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
14	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 05/01/2014
15	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
16	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 03/27/2014
17 18	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel; Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of
19	Discovery; Status Check; Reset Writ Date of Hrg: 08/11/2014
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	II

- 1 Α UM -- PROBABLY, OR THERE'S NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION TO BE ABLE TO PUT IT TO ONE IN PARTICULAR. QKAY. AND THE TOXICOLOGY IN THIS CASE, HOW WOULD IT 3. Q BE RELEVANT TO DETERMINING ONE OF THE - BESIDES INDETERBINATE, THE FOUR THAT YOU IDENTIFIED, SUICIDE, ACCIDENT, NATURAL CAUSES AND HONICIDE? Ŷ Ä IN THIS CASE THE GUNSHOT WOUNDS OVERBULE EVERYTHING. MR. BATEMAN: ORAY. I HAVE NO PURTHER QUESTIONS. THE COURT: ANY RECROSS? 9 10 MR. MOMOT: YES, I DO, YOUR BONGR. THANK YOU. 11 12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MOMOT: 14 YOU INDICATED, NA'AM, THAT THE INJURY TO THE KNEE OR 15 TO THE CALP -- WELL, THE CALF MAX OR MAY NOT BE INVOLVED IN 16 THIS PARTICULAR CASE, CORRECT? IT MAY BE REMOTE? 1 IT'S FOSSIBLE.
- 3.8 Q ORAY. OR IT COULD BE RELATED TO THE CASE?
- 19 A IT COULD BE, YES.
- 20 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO THE KNEE AND THE CALF COULD BE
- 21 RELATED, AS FAR AS AN INDIVIDUAL FALLING, CORRECT?
- 22 A YEAH, IT'S POSSIBLE.
- Q IT'S FOSSIBLE. 23
- 24 BUT THEN THE NATURE OF THE ABRASIONS TO THE HAND ARE
- 25 OR THE UB THEY'RE NOT ON THE PALM OF THE HAND, BUT

- I THEY BE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE HAND, CORRECT?
- 2 A CORRECT.
- 3 Q AND IF ONE GETS SHOT AND FALLS DOWN, ONE USUALLY
- A REACHES OUT WITH THEIR HANDS, CORRECT?
- 5 A I DON'T KNOW, I HAVE NEVER BEEN SHOT.
- 6 Q WELL, IF YOU'RE GOING TO FALL BOWN, ONE HORMALLY
- 7 REACHES OUT WITH THEIR HANDS TO BRACE THE FALL, CORRECT?
- 8 A HE WAS SHOT THROUGH THE HEART, THE BIG VESSEL, SO
- 9 IT'S POSSIBLE TRAT HE WOOLDN'T HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO THAT.
- 10 Q IT'S POSSIBLE THAT HE COULD HAVE, CORRECT?
- 11 A IT'S POSSIBLE HE COULD HAVE.
- 12 Q ALL RIGHT. AND THESE ABRASIONS ARE ON THE TOP
- 13 SURFACE OF THE HANDS, CORRECT?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 15 Q AND IT'S MORE CONSISTENT WITH A FISTICUFF THAN IT IS
- 16 WITH PALLING; ISN'T THAT TRUE?
- 17 A UM -- THESE INJURIES, AS I HAVE SAID BEFORE, I HAVE
- 18 SEEN MORE COMMONLY IN FISTICUFFS -- UM -- BUT I HAVE SEEN THEM
- 19 IN TERMS OF -- UN -- PEOPLE SCRAPING THEIR HANDS ON SOMETHING
- 20 ELSE, OF WHICH I DON'T KNOW, AND WE DISCUSSED THAT EARLIER IN
- 21 TERMS OF WHETHER THEY HIT SOMETHING OR SOMETHING HIT THEM.
- 27 Q HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THESE KNUCKLE INJURIES ON
- 23 THE ON THE FINGERS? NOT ON THE KNUCKLE THAT'S ATTACHED TO
- 24 THE HAND ITSELF, BUT AS TO THE ENUCKLES?
- 25 A ABRASIONS.

- 1 Q THOSE ASSASIONS?
- 2 A YES.
- 3 Q AND WOULD THAT BE MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE FIST?
- A UM -- IT MAY OR MAY NOT BE, I NON'T KNOW WHETHER OR
- 5 NOT HE SCRAPED AGAINST SOMETHING AND GOT THEM IN THAT AREA.
- 6 Q OKAY, ALL RIGHT.
- 7 NOW, IF WE GO ONE STEP FURTHER, TO PUT IN DIFFERENT
- B FACTORS INTO YOUR OPINIONS TO CONSIDER, MOULD THE
- 9 WETHAMPHETAMINE AND THE AMPHETAMINE, THE LEVELS THAT WERE IN
- 10 HIS SYSTEM, THE TOXIC LETHAL LEVELS WOULD BE -- UN -- WOULD
- 11 RESULT IN VIOLENT AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOR OF AN INDIVIDUAL,
- 12 CORRECT?
- 13 A IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THEY WOULD EFFECT THIS INDIVIDUAL
- 14 IN THAT WAY. AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW HOW OFTEN HE TAKES IT, AND
- 15 WHAT WHAT WHAT HAPPENS WITH HIM, I DON'T KNOW.
- 16 Q WITH THIS PARTICULAR PERSON.
- 17 A RIGHT.
- 18 Q AND WE'RE TALKING ABOUT --
- 19 MR, BATEMAN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT, JUST TO --
- 20 THE COURT: HOLD ON.
- 21 MR. BATEMAN: -- I THINK WE'RE, AT THIS POINT, ASKING HER
- 22 TO SPECULATE.
- 23 MR. MOMOT: NO I'M NOT.
- 24 MR. BATEMAN: SO MY OBJECTION WOULD BE SPECULATION.
- 25 MR. MOMOT: I'M ASKING PER THE REPORT, YOUR HOMOR.

- I THE COURT: UH -- I'LL OVERRULE, BUT -- FOR THE MOMENT,
- 2 BUT IF YOU'RE SPECULATING YOU NEED TO LET US KNOW.
- 3 THE WITNESS: OKAY.
- 4 THE COURT: OTHER THAN GIVING US YOUR EXPERIENCED AND
- 5 EXPERT OPINION.
- 5 THE WITNESS: OKAY.
- ") BY MR. MOMOT:
- 8 Q THE REPORT FROM MN -- NMS INDICATES THAT THE LEVELS.
- 9 IN THE INDIVIDUAL'S BODY WOULD RESULT IN -- UN -- AGGRESSIVE,
- 10 VIOLENT BEHAVIOR, CORRECT?
- 11 MR. BATEMAN: I THINK WE'VE -- I DON'T MEAN ANY
- 12 DISRESPECT. I THINK WE'VE KIND OF COVERED IT INITIALLY. I
- 13 THINK IT'S BEEN ASKED AND ANSWERED.
- 10 UH -- SHE ALSO TESTIFIED THAT THAT REPORT THAT MR. MOMOT
- 15 IS REFERRING TO IS NOT HER WRITING. I THINK SHE'S TESTIFIED
- 16 THAT, YES, THAT WOULD BE ACCURATE, BUT I THINK WE'VE KIND OF
- 17 COVERED ALL THIS AS WELL.
- 18 THE COURT: MR. MOMOT, I THINK WE'VE COVERED IT, TOO, IS
- 19 THERE ANY NEW TERRITORY OR TERRITORY THAT HE COVERED ON
- 20 REDIRECT THAT YOU'RE ATTEMPTING TO BRING OUT --
- 21 MR. MOMOT: WELL --
- 22 THE COURT: -- BECAUSE THAT WAS COVERED ON YOUR CROSS.
- 23 MR. MONOT: ALL RIGHT. HOW ABOUT THIS QUESTION, IF I MAY
- 24 YOUR HONOR?
- 25 Q ON PARÁGRAPH 2 ON THE METHAMPHETAMINE, HIGH DOSES OF

- 1 METHAMPHETAMINE. HIGH DOSES, SO I'M SAYING 6400 MANOGRAMS
- Z YER MILLILITER IS A HIGH DOSE; WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
- A THAT'S A LEVEL VERSUS A DOSE, THAT'S THE LEVEL.
- 4 THAT'S MEASURED.
- 5 Q OKAY, THE LEVEL. IS THAT A HIGH LEVEL?
- 6 A THE LEVEL THAT WE REPORTED IN HIS BLOOD IS HIGH.
- 7 Q ORAY. SO HIGH DOSES OF METHAMPHETAMINE,
- 8 METHAMPHETAMINE CAN ALSO ELICIT RESTLESSMENS, CONFUSION,
- 9 HALLUCINATIONS, CIRCULATORY COLLAPSE AND CONVULSIONS.
- 10 DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DISAGREE WITH THAT
- 11 CONCLUSION?
- 12. A NO.
- 13. Q AND -- AND THIS -- JUST ONE OTHER QUESTION HERE.
- 14 BLOOD LEVELS OF 200 TO 600 NAMOGRAM PER MILLILITER
- 15 HAVE BEEN REPORTED IN METHAMPHETAMINE ABUSERS WHO EXHIBITED
- 16 VIOLENT AND IRRATIONAL BEHAVIOR.
- 17 DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO DISAGREE WITH THAT?
- 18 A NO.
- 19 MR. MOMOT: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
- 20 MR. BATEMAN: NOTHING ELSE, YOUR HOMOR.
- 21 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DOCTOR, APPRECIATE YOUR
- 22 TIME TODAY.
- 23 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU FOR CALLING ME DOCTOR.
- 24 THE COURT: WE'RE COING TO TAKE JUST A PIVE MINUTE
- 25 RECESS.

- 1 MR. BATEMAN: THANK YOU.
- Z THE COURT: OKAY.
- 3 THE MARSHAL: ALL RISE. COURT'S IN RECESS.
- 4 (MHEREUPON A RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
- 5 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. BATEMAR, YOU CAN CALL YOUR NEXT
- 6 WITNESS.
- 7 MR, BATEMAN: THANK YOU. STATE CALLS TIM HILDEBRAND.
- 8 THE COURT: SO YOU'VE NAME SURE THAT YOUR -- ANY FURTHER
- 9 WITNESSES ARE EXCLUDED, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW WHO YOU'VE
- 10 SUBPOSMASO AND WHO YOU HAVEN'T.
- MR. BATEMAN: YES.
- 12 THE COURT: I KNOW WE DO HAVE MORE PROPLE IN THE
- 13 COURTROOM, I UNDERSTAND THERE MIGHT BE SOME FAMILY MEMBERS, SO
- 14 I'M GOING TO LEAVE IT TO YOU GUYS TO KNOW WHO YOU'VE
- 15 SUBPOENAED AND WHO YOU'VE NOT, BECAUSE EVEN MY MARSHAL I DON'T
- 16 THINK KNOWS.
- 17 MR. BATEMAN: RIGHT. THE ONLY REMAINING WITHESS IS OUT
- 18 IN THE HALLWAY, I THINK, YOUR HONOR.
- 19 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- 20 GO AHEAD AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND, TRANKS.

21.

- 22 TIMOTHY HILDEBRAND,
- 23 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE STATE, AND HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY
- 24 SWORN TO TESTIFY TO THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING
- 25 BUT THE TRUTH, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

- t the witness: yes.
- Z THE CLERK: THANK YOU, SIR, GO AHEAD AND HAVE A SEAT.
- 3 I DO NEED YOU -- THERE'S A MICROPHONE RIGHT THERE. STATE
- 4 YOUR FIRST AND YOUR LAST NAME, AND SPELL BOTH FOR THE BECORD.
- 5 THE WITNESS: TIMOTHY HILDEBRAND, T-1-M-O-T-H-Y,
- 6 H-I-L-D-E-B-R-A-N-D.
- 7 THE COURT: THANK YOU. YOU CAN PROCEED.

- g DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 11 Q SIR, DO YOU KNOW SOMEONE BY THE NAME OF LUIS OR
- 12 LORENZO PIMENTEL?
- 13 A YES.
- 14 Q AND DO YOU SEE THAT PERSON IN THE COURTROOM TODAY?
- TS A YES, I DO.
- TO MORTH AND DEFENSE BOINT TO HIM WHD DESCRIBE MHAT HE, S
- 17 WEARING?
- 18 A RIGHT THERE, BLUE.
- 19 O THANK YOU.
- 20 A BE'S IN BLUE.
- 21 MR. BATEMAN: MAY THE RECORD REFLECT THE IDENTIFICATION
- 22 OF THE DEFENDANT, YOUR HONOR?
- 23 THE COURT: YES.
- 24 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 25 Q SIR, I'M GOING TO DIRECT YOUR MITENTION TO THE --

- I WELL, THE EARLY MORNING MOURS OF DECRMBER 22ND, I BELIEVE IT
- 2 WAS A SUNDAY -- UM -- DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO COME INTO
- 3 CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q ALL RIGHT. WHERE DID YOU FIRST, ON THAT MORNING,
- 6 COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE DEPENDANT?
- 7 A ARIZONA CHARLIE'S.
- 8 Q WHERE WAS THAT ARIZONA CHARLTE'S LOCATED?
- 9 A BOULDER HIGHWAY.
- 10 Q ALL BIGHT. WERE YOU WITH ANYBODY AT THAT TIME?
- 11 A YES, MY FIANCE.
- 12 Q ALL RIGHT. AND WHERE AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S DID YOU
- 13 COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
- 14 A IN THE CASINO.
- 15 Q WHY DID YOU GO INTO THE DEFENDANT WHY -- WHY DID
- 15 YOU GO INTO THE CASINO TO HAVE CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT?
- A UM -- MY FRIEND BOBBY ASKED ME TO GO IN AND GET HIS
- 18 GIRLFRIEND, HAVE HER COME OUT AND TALK HIM.
- 19 Q WHEN YOU SAY BOBBY, IS HIS NAME ROBERT HOLLAND?
- .20 A YES.
- 21 Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU KNOWN ROBERT HOLLAND?
- 22 A RIGHT ABOUT FOUR YEARS.
- 23 Q OKAY: HAS HE BEEN -- FRIENDS WITH HIM FOR ABOUT
- 24 FOUR YEARS?
- 25 A YES.

- TO I'M JUST HAVING A LITTLE HAND TIME HEARING YOU.
- 2 THERE'S A MICROPHONE RIGHT IN FRONT OF YOU --
- 3 A YES.
- 4 Q -- AND SHE'S GOING TO TAKE EVERYTHING DOWN YOU SAY,
- 5 OKAY?
- 6 A YES.
- 7 Q THANK YOU.
- 8 WHEN YOU GOT TO ARIZONA CHARLIS'S, WERE YOU DRIVING
- 9 IN A VEHICLE?
- TO A YES.
- 11 Q AND YOU SAY YOU WERE WITH YOUR FLANCE?
- 12 A YES, MY FIANCE.
- 13 Q WHAT WAS HER NAME?
- 14 A SHANNON SALAZAR (PHONETIC).
- 15 Q AND WHERE IS IT THAT YOU FIRST SAW BOBBY?
- 16 A IN THE PARKING LOT.
- 17 MR, BATEMAN: ALL RIGHT.
- 18 MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS REAL QUICK, YOUR HONOR?
- 19 THE COURT: YES.
- 20 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 21 O SHOWING YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED
- 22 EXHIBIT BO. 3, IT APPEARS TO BE A NEVADA DRIVER'S LICENSE, DO
- 23 YOU RECOGNIZE THE PERSON ON THAT ORIVER'S LICENSE?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q WHO IS THAT?

- 1 A ROSERT.
- 2 MR. BATEMAN: OKAY, MOVE ADMISSION OF STATE'S 37
- 3 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
- MR. MOMOT: NO. YOUR HONOR.
- 5 THE COURT: THREE WILL BE ADMITTED.
- 6 (WHEREUPON STATE'S EXHIBIT NO. 3 WAS ADMITTED INTO
- 7 SVIDENCE.)
- 8 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 9 Q YOU SAW BORBY IN THE PARKING LOT?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 Q DO YOU KNOW -- OR AT THAT TIME DID YOU HAVE ANY IDEA
- 12 HOW IT IS HE GOT TO THE PARKING LOT?
- 13 A NO.
- 14 Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU SEE HIM IN A VEHICLE?
- 15 A NO.
- 16 Q YOU SAID YOU TALKED TO BOSBY; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 17 A YEAR.
- 18 Q AND WAS THERE A -- DID BOBBY HAVE, WHAT YOU THOUGHT
- 19 TO BE AT THE TIME, A GIRLFRIEND?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q WHO WAS THAT?
- 22 A AMANDA.
- 23 Q DO YOU KNOW HER LAST NAME?
- 24 A NO. I DON'T.
- 25 Q UM -- DID YOU SEE HER THERE?

- 1 A SHE WAS IN THE CASING --
- 2 MR. MOMOT: I'M GOING TO OBJECT AS TO FOUNDATION AS TO
- 3 THE GIRLFRIEND ASPECT OF AMANDA.
- 4 THE COURT: CKAY.
- 5 MR. BATEMAN: I CAN FOLLOW UP.
- 6 THE COURT: LAY A FOUNDATION.
- 7 MR. BATEMAN: CORRECT.
- 8 Q HAD YOU EVER -- YOU KNEW SOMEONE BY THE NAME OF
- 9 AMANDA; IS THAT BIGHT?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 Q RAD YOU EVER -- UN -- SBEN OR SEEN IN -- IN THE
- 12 presence of Bobby and amanda when they were together?
- 13 A ONCE.
- 14 Q OKAY. DID YOU KNOW, AS A RESULT OF THAT ONE TIME
- 15 CONTACT, THESE INDIVIDUALS TO KNOW EACH OTHER?
- 16 A BOBBY AND AMANDA?
- 17 **Q** YES.
- 18 A YES.
- 19 Q OKAY. WAS THAT, IN PART, BASES UPON YOUR
- 20 COMMUNICATION WITH BOBBY?
- 21 A YES.
- 22 Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU RAVE SEPARATE COMMUNICATIONS --
- 23 AND DON'T TELL ME WHAT WAS SAID. BUT HAD YOU HAD AROUND THIS
- 24 PERIOD OF TIME SEPARATE COMMUNICATIONS WITH AMANDA? DID YOU
- 25 EVER TALK TO HER OR SEE HER ALONE?

- I A YES.
- 2 Q OKAY. SO WHEN YOU COME INTO CONTACT WITH BOBBY IN
- 3 THE PARKING LOT, DID YOU HAVE A -- WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT HE
- 4 SAID, DID YOU HAVE A DISCUSSION WITH BORSY?
- 5 A YES.
- 6 Q AND AS A RESULT OF THAT DISCUSSION DID YOU THEN GO
- 7 INTO ARTZONA CHARLIE'S?
- 8 A YES.
- 9 Q AND WHEN YOU WENT INTO ARTSONA CHARLIE'S, IS THAT
- 10 WHEN YOU FIRST CAME INTO CONTACT WITH THE DEFENDANT, WHO YOU
- 11 IDENTIFIED HERE IN COURT?
- 12 A YES, SIR.
- 13 Q WAS THERE ANYBODY WITH THE DEFENDANT AT THAT TIME?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q WHO WAS THAT?
- 16 A AMANDA.
- 17 Q AND WHERE IN ARIZONA CHARGIE'S WAS THIS?
- 18 A THEY WERE SITTING AT A MACHINE?
- 19 O ALL RIGHT.
- 20 A PLAYING KENO.
- 21 Q AT SOME POINT -- WELL, DID YOU BAVE SOME SORT OF
- 23 COMMUNICATION WITH -- UM -- THE DEFENDANT AND/OR AMANDA AT
- 23 THIS TIME?
- 24 A YES.
- 25: Q OKAY. AND WAS IT AS A RESULT OF A CONVERSATION THAT

- 1 YOU HAD RAD IN THE PARKING LOT WITH BOBBY?
- 2 A YES.
- 3 Q DID YOU STAY LONG IN THAT PARTICULAR AREA OF THE
- 4 CASINO?
- 5 A A COUPLE MINUTES.
- 6 Q AND DID YOU DITIMATELY LEAVE?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q AND WHERE IS IT YOU WERE GOING?
- 9 A I was going back to -- un -- well, see -- she was
- 10 GOING OUT TO TALK TO BORBY AND I WAS WALKING OVER TO THE ROOM
- 11 WITH LORENZO.
- 12 Q OKAY. LET ME EREAK THAT DOWN.
- 13 AFTER YOU HAD THE CONVERSATION WITH AMANDA AND
 - 14 LORENZO IN ARTZONA CHARLIE'S, DID YOU EXIT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S.
 - 15 A YES.
 - 16 Q AND DID YOU SEE AMANDA EXIT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
 - 17 A YES.
 - 18 O AND WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING SHE WAS GOING TO TALK
 - 19 TO BOBBY?
 - 20 A YES
 - 21 Q AND DIO YOU THEN GO WITH THE DEFENDANT TO A ROOM AT
 - 22 ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
 - 23 A YES.
 - 24 Q OKAY. UM -- DID YOU EVER COME BACK INTO CONTACT
 - 25 WITH BORRY AT THE ARIZONA CHARLIE'S THAT DIGHT?

- 1 A NO.
- 2 Q ALL RIGHT, UM -- DID YOU, AT THAT TIME WELL, DID
- 3 YOU GO TO THE DEFENDANT'S ROOM?
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q ALL RIGHT. HOW LONG WERE YOU IN THE DEFENDANT'S
- 6 ROOM?
- 7 A ABOUT PIVE, TEN MINUTES.
- 8 Q ALL BIGHT. HOW LONG HAD YOU KNOWN THE DEFENDANT AT
- 9 THIS TIME?
- 10 A NOT LONG.
- 11 O OKAY, YOU'VE KNOWN BORBY LONGER?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 Q AFTER YOU LEFT THE DEFENDANT'S ROOM, WHERE BLD YOU
- 14 GD?
- 15 A I WENT UP TO VALET, I WAS CIVIEG LORENZO A RIDE
- 16 BOME.
- 17 Q OKAY, SO WAS YOUR CAR IN VALET?
- 18 A NO, IT WAS A FRIEND OF MINE'S CAR.
- 19 Q YOU HAD COTTEN THERE IN A FRIEND'S CAR?
- 20 A YEAH.
- 21 O WERE YOU DRIVING THAT VEHICLE?
- 22 A YES
- 23 Q SO YOU WENT TO VALET TO GO GET A VEHICLE?
- 24 A NO, I WEST TO VALET DOWN -- PARKED UP THERE BECAUSE
- 25 BE WAS CHECKING OUT.

- 1 Q OKAY.
- 2 A HE WAS GOING TO CHECK OUT OF HIS ROOM, SO I JUST
- 3 PULLED UP THERE SO HE COULD JUST COME OUT THE FRONT DOOR,
- 4 Q AND DID YOU EX -- END UP LEAVING ARIZONA CHARLIE'S
- 5 IN THAT VEHICLE?
- 8 A YES.
- 7 WHO WAS IN YOUR VEHICLE WITH YOU?
- 8 A ME -- UH -- THE DEFENDANT, AMARDA AND SHANNON.
- 9 Q OKAY. AND BEFORE YOU HAD LEFT, DID YOU HAVE ANY
- 10 FURTHER COMMUNICATION WITH BOBBY?
- 11 A NO.
- 12 Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU DON'T KNOW AT THAT TIME WHAT HAD
- 13 HAPPENED WITH BOBBY AND AMANDA?
- 14 A BORBY AND -- NO, I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED WITH
- 15 THEM. I KNOW THEY WERE OUTSIDE TALKING.
- 16 Q ORAY. UM -- DID YOU KNOW AT THAT TIME -- WELL, LET
- IT ME ASK YOU THIS. WHERE IS IT THAT YOU THEN TOOK -- YOU SAID
- 18 YOU WERE TAKING THE DEFENDANT HOME, WHERE WAS THAT?
- 19 A UN -- SIEGEL SUITES ON THE BOULDER HIGHWAY.
- 20 O YOU KNOW THE APPROXIMATE ADDRESS, OR IS IT JUST.
- 21 YOU KNOW, IT'S ON --
- 22 A I THINK IT'S 3625 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT:
- 23 Q ALL RIGHT. IS THAT HERE IN CLARK COUNTY?
- 24 A YES.
- 29 Q IS IT QUITE A WAYS AWAY FROM ARIZONA CHARLIE'S OR

- 1 JUST A FEW BLOCKS?
- 2 A NOT REALLY. A COUPLE MILES.
- 3 Q OKAY. WHEN YOU GOT TO STEGEL SUITES, DID YOU COME
- 4 IN WHERE DID YOU GO?
- 5 A I PULLED UP IN FRONT OF HIS APARTMENT.
- 6 Q HAD YOU BEEN TO THAT APARTMENT WITH THE DEFENDANT
- 7 BEFORE?
- 8 A YES.
- 9 Q WHAT CAR -- KIND OF CAR WERE YOU DRIVING?
- 10 A IT WAS A HYUNDAI ELANTRA!
- 11 Q ALL RIGHT, WHO GOT DID YOU DID YOU PARK THE
- 12 CAR AT THAT TIME?
- 13 A YES.
- 14 Q AND DID YOU EXIT THE VEHICLE?
- 15 A YES.
- 16 Q WHO -- WHO WAS -- AGAIN, WHO WAS IN THE CAR WITH YOU
- 17 AT THIS TIME? IT WAS --
- 18 A ME, LORENZO, AMANDA AND SHANNON.
- 19 Q OKAY. DID ALL FOUR OCCUPANTS EXIT THE VEHICLE?
- 20 A NO.
- 21 Q WHO STAYED IN THE VEHICLE?
- 22 A AMARDA AND SHANNON.
- 23 Q AND DID YOU AT THAT TIME SEE BORBY SOMEWHERE IN THAT
- 24 ASEA?
- 25 A YES.

- 1 Q OKAY. DID YOU LET ME BACK UP.
- DURING THE COURSE OF LEAVING ARIZONA CRABLIE'S AND
- 3 GOING TO SIEGEL SUITES, DID YOU, IN THE CAR, HAVE ANY SORT OF
- 4 COMMUNICATION WITH AMANDA?
- 5 A NO.
- 5 Q ALL RIGHT. DID YOU KNOW THERE TO BE ANY SORT OF
- 7 ISSUES AT THAT PIME BETWEEN AMANDA AND BOBBY?
- 8 A NO.
- 9 Q OKAY: DID YOU KNOW PRIOR TO THE ARIZONA
- 10 CHARLIE'S -- UN -- OR GOING TO ARIZONA CHARLIE'S, THAT THERE
- 11 WAS SOME ISSUES GOING ON BETWEEN AMANDA --
- 12 A I DION'T KNOW.
- 13 Q AND BOBBY?
- 13 OKAY. YOU SAID YOU SAN BORRY AT THE ARY --- AY
- 15 SIEGEL SUITES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 16 A UM-HUM.
- 17 Q IS THAT A YES?
- 18 A YES, YES.
- 19 O OKAY, AND YOU AND THE DEFENDANT GOT OUT OF THE
- 20 VEHICLE; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 21 A YES.
- 22 Q OKAY. WHAT RAPPENED WITH THE TWO GIRLS IN THE
- 23 VEHICLE THAT YOU CAME WITH?
- 24 A THEY STAYED IN THE CAR.
- 25 Q OKAY, DID THE VEHICLE LEAVE THE AREA?

- i A YES.
- Z MR. BATEMAN: ORAY. MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
- 3 HONOR?
- 4 THE COURT: YES.
- 5 MR. BATEMAN: SHOWING COUNSEL WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
- 6 STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS NO. 1 AND NO. 2.
- 7 Q I WANT YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THESE TWO PHOTOS. DO
- B YOU RECOGNIZE THIS AREA?
- 9 A YES.
- 10 Q IS THAT THE AREA WHERE YOU GOT OUT AT THE STEGEL
- II SUITES TO GO TO THE DEPENDANT'S SIEGEL SUITE ROOM?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 Q IS TRAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE DEFICTION OF HOW IT
- 14 LOCKED THAT NIGHT?
- 15 A YES.
- 16 MR. BATEMAN: MOVE ADMISSION OF ONE AND TWO.
- 17 THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
- 18 MR. MOMOT: NO, YOUR HONOR.
- 19 THE COURT: ONE AND TWO WILL BE ADMITTED. THANK YOU.
- 20 (WHEREUPON STATE'S EXHIBIT NO. 1 AND 2 WERE ADMITTED INTO
- 21 EVIDENCE.)
- 22 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 23 Q OKAY. DID YOU -- SO I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU NO. 2.
- 24 JUDGE, I'M COING TO THY TO HOLD IT UP YOR YOU. UM -- DID THE
- 25 CAR THAT YOU CAME IN, DID IT LEAVE THIS AREA?

- 1 A YES.
- Z Q AND DID IT GO SOMEPLACE WHERE THE OCCUPANTS WOULDN'T
- 3 HAVE SEEN WHAT TRANSPIRED IN THIS AREAT
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q OKAY. SO WHERE WAS THAT?
- 6 A I THINK THEY WENT AROUND THE OTHER SIDE OF THE
- " BUILDING.
- 8 Q IS THERE MULTIPLE BUILDINGS IN THIS AREA?
- 9 A WELL, IT'S ONE THAT GOES THIS WAY, AND THEN THE BACK
- 10 BUILDING GOES THIS WAY, AND THE OTHER ONE GOES THE SAME AS THE
- II ONE THAT HE LIVED IN BEHIND IT.
- 12 OKAY, WHEN YOU SAY THIS WAY AND THAT WAY, YOU BE
- 13 KIND OF MAKING --
- 14 A ONE GOES NORTH AND SOUTH, ONE THE OTHER ONE GOES
- 15 EAST AND WEST.
- 16 Q YOU DIDN'T SEE THE VEHICLE OR THE GIRLS AGAIN DURING
- 17 THIS TIME PERIOD?
- 18 A NO.
- 19 Q ALL RIGHT. WHERE IS IT THAT YOU SAW BORBY FIRST?
- 20 A FIRST? HE WAS UP ON THE STAIRS.
- 21 Q OBAY. AND IS THAT WHERE -- UM -- THE DEFENDANT'S
- 22 ROOM WAS?
- 23 A YEAH, HIS ROOM WAS ON THE THIRD FLOOR.
- 24 🛕 OKAY. AND THEN DID BOBBY -- DID YOU SEE BOBBY COME
- 25 DOWN THE STAIRS?

- A YEAH, HE STARTED COMING DOWN THE STATES, THEY
- 2 STARTED ARGUING.
- 3 Q WEO STARTED ARGUING?
- 4 A LORENZO AND BOBBY.
- 5 Q OKAY. AND SO YOU COULD HEAR THIS ARGUMENT?
- 6 A YES,
- 7 Q AND WRAT WAS THE NATURE OF THE ARGUMENT ABOUT?
- 8 A AMANDA.
- 9 Q WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU HEAR THE DEFENDANT SAY
- 10 DURING THE COURSE OF THIS ARGUMENT?
- 11 A UM -- WELL, BOBBY TOLD HIM HE WASH'T CONDA!, YOU
- 12 KNOW, DO HER OR WHATEVER.
- 13 Q HE WASE'T GOING! WHAT?
- 14 A YOU KNOW, HAVE SEX WITH HER.
- 15 Q OKAY.
- 15 A AND HE TOLD HIM, WELL, YES, I AM, I'M GOMMA' SHOW
- 17 HER WHAT A REAL MAN IS.
- IS THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN -- SORRY. WHEN YOU SAY HE, WE
- 19 DON'T KNOW WHO --
- 20 THE WITNESS: LORENZO.
- 21 THE COURT: ORAY.
- 22 THE WITNESS: LORENZO.
- 23 THE COURT: SO CAN YOU START OVER --
- 24 THE WITNESS: OKAY.
- 25 THE COURT: -- USING NAMES?

- THE WITNESS: BOBBY SAID -- BOBBY TOLD LORENZO THAT HE
- Z WASN'T GONNA', YOU KNOW, SLEEP WITH HER, AND HE SAID, YOU
- 3 KNOW -- LORENZO, YEAR THE HELL I'M NOT, I'M GONER' -- I'M
- 4 GONNA' SHOW RER WHAT A REAL MAN IS.
- 5 MR. BATEMAN: OKAY.
- 6 Q AND YOU OVERREARD THIS CONVERSATION?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q WHERE WAS THIS CONVERSATION TAXING PLACE?
- 9 A ON THE STAIRS. LORENZO WAS AT THE BOTTOM AND BORBY
- 10 WAS COMING DOWN THE STAIRS.
- 11 Q OKAY. AND THEN DID THE CONVERSATION CONTINUE?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 Q AND WAS IT JUST A -- KIND OF AN ARGUMENT ALONG THOSE
- 14 LINES, BACK AND FORTH?
- 15 A UN-HUH.
- 16 Q IS THAT YES OR NO?
- 17 A YES.
- 18 Q DID IT APPEAR THAT TO YOU THAT BORBY WAS LOOKING
- 19 FOR THE DEFENDANT OR THAT HE WAS LOOKING FOR AMANDA?
- 20 A HE WAS BASICALLY LOOKING FOR AMANDA.
- 21 Q OKAY, AND WAS THAT BASED UPON THE CONVERSATION THAT
- 22 YOU WERE HEARING BETWEEN THE TWO INDIVIDUALS?
- 23 A BETWEEN THE TWO --- BETWEEN LORENZO AND BOBBY?
- 24 Q CORRECT.
- 25 A WELL, I THINK LORENZO WANTED TO FIGHT.

- 1 Q OKAY, WELL, TELL ME WHY YOU TROUGHT LORENZO WANTED
- 2 TO FIGHT?
- 3 A BECAUSE HE KEPT TELLING HIN HE WANTED TO FIGHT.
- 4 Q OKAY. WHEN WHEN DID THE DEFENDANT FIRST TELL
- 5 BOBBY THAT LORENZO WANTED TO FIGHT?
- 6 A ARIZONA CHARLIE'S.
- 7 OKAY. SO LET'S -- SORRY TO GO BACK THERE, BUT LET'S
- 8 GO BACK.
- 9 A AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S THEY GOT IN AN ARGUMENT.
- 10 Q OKAY, WHERE WAS THAT ARGUMENT?
- 11 A SECURITY WAS THERE LIKE TEN -- LIKE SEVEN OR EIGHT
- 12 SECURITY GUARDS, AND THAT WAS IN -- RIGHT IN FRONT OF
- 13 LORENZO'S -- UH -- ROOM.
- 14 Q AND, AGAIN, WAS THIS ARGUMENT THE SAME ISSUE,
- 15 AMANDA?
- 16 A AMANDA.
- 17 Q OKAY, AND WHAT IS IT THAT -- SO LORENZO SAID THAT
- 18 HE WANTED TO FIGHT TO BOBBY; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 19 A YEAR, HE REPT TELLING HIM, KIND OF MEET ME AT MY
- 20 HOUSE.
- 21 Q ORAY. HOW MANY TIMES DO YOU TRINK HE TOLD THAT TO
- 22 BOBSY?
- 23 A LIKE TEN.
- 24 Q WHAT WAS BOBBY SAYING IN RETURN?
- 25 A YEAR, YEAR, I'LL MEET YOU THERE, I'LL MEET YOU

- 1 THERE.
- 2 Q OKAY, DID IT APPEAR TO YOU THAT BORBY WAS ASKING
- 3 WHERE AMANDA WAS?
- 4 A YEAH, HE WAS LOOKING FOR -- HE KNEW WHERE SHE WAS.
- 5 SHE WOULDN'T -- SHE WOULDN'T COME OUT AND TALK TO BIM, AND I
- E THINK SECURITY ASKED HIM TO LEAVE, RECAUSE THEY GOT IN AN
- 7 ARGUMENT ---
- B MR: MONOT: I'M COING TO OBJECT --
- THE WITNESS: I'M NOT SURE --
- 10 MR. MOMOT: -- WEAT SECURITY SAID --
- 11 THE WITNESS: -- BECAUSE I WASN'T THERE.
- 12 THE COURT: ORAY, HOLD ON.
- 13 MR. MOMOT: IT'S HEARSAY. WE KNOW GOT TO GET TO THESE
- 14 OTHER STATEMENTS FOR -- TO GET THE TOTALITY OF THE
- 15 CORVERSATION, BUT WHAT SECURITY HAS TO SAY, I THINK, IS
- 16 HEARSAY.
- 17 THE COURT: WELL -- AND HE ALSO HAD JUST SAID, I'M NOT
- 18 SUBE, SO I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH OF THAT HE HAS PERSONAL
- 19 KNOWLEDGE OF --
- 20 MR BATEMAN: ORAY.
- 21 THE COURT: SO IT'S STRECKEN. YOU CAN ASK HIM A
- 22 DIFFERENT QUESTION.
- 23 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- S4 O DID YOU HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH BORRY BEFORE HE --
- 25 AFTER THIS ARGUMENT -- UM -- BEFORE HE LEFT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?

- 1 A NO.
- Z Q OKAY. SO YOU DON'T KNOW HOW HE LEFT ARIZONA
- 3 CHARLIE'S?
- A NO. I DON'T.
- 5 Q WHAT WAS YOUR INTENT, WAS IT TO -- TO --
- 6 A I THOUGHT HE WAS WALKING.
- 7 Q ORAY. WHAT WERE YOU TRYING TO DO? WERE YOU TRYING
- 8 TO LEAVE ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- 9 A YEAR, I WAS TRYING TO GET LORESZO OUT OF THERE.
- 10 Q OKAY. YOU WANTED TO DEFUSE THE SITUATION?
- 12 A YES.
- 12 Q SO THIS CONVERSATION, WE'RE BACK AT THE SIEGEL
- 13 SUITES, WAS THERE ANY OTHER CONVERSATION OR ANYTHING ELSE THAT
- 14 THE DEPENDANT SAID THAT WADE YOU THINK HE WANTED TO FIGHT AT
- 15 THAT TIME?
- 16 A YEAH. JUST WHEN HE TOLD HIM THAT -- UM -- HE SAID
- 17 HE WASN'T GOING TO SLEEP WITH HER, AND HE SAID, THE HELL I'M
- IF NOT, I'M GONNA' SHOW BER WHAT A REAL MAN IS.
- 19 Q OKAY. AND WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT?
- ZO A THEN UM BOBBY CAME DOWN TO THE BOTTOM, THEY
- 21 STARTED ARGUING BACK AND FORTH, AND WHEN HE SAID, YOU KNOW,
- 22 I'M CONNA! SHOW HER WHAT A REAL MAN IS, BOBBY, YOU KNOW, HIT
- 23 HIM, LUNGED AT HIM AND HIT HIM.
- 24 Q AND WHEN YOU SAY BORRY HIT HIM, DO YOU KNOW WHAT
- 25 HAND HE USED?

- 1 A I THINK HIS RIGHT.
- 2 DO YOU KNOW WHETHER BOBBY WAS RIGHT OR LEFT HANDED?
- 3 A NO. I THINK HE WAS RICHT-HANDED.
- 4 Q OKAY. WHERE DID BORBY HIT THE DEFENDANT?
- 5 A IN THE EYE.
- 6 Q UN ---
- 7 A SIDE OF THE PACE.
- 8 Q OKAY.
- 9 A WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN --
- 10. Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHICH EYE OR DO YOU NOT REMEMBER?
- 11 A IT WOULD HAVE BEEN THIS ONE.
- 12 Q AND YOU'RE POINTING TO YOUR LEFT EYE?
- 13 A YEAH.
- 14 Q ALL RIGHT. WHAT OCCURRED AFTER -- WAS IT ONE PUNCH?
- 15 A YEAH.
- 16 Q IS THAT YES?
- 13 A YES
- 18 Q OKAY, AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT?
- 19 A THAT'S WHEN LORENZO STAGGERED BACK AND LIFTED UP HIS
- 20 SHIRT AND PULLED OUT THE GUN --
- 21 Q OBAY.
- 22 A -- AND AIMED IT AT HIM AND MISFIRED -- IT MISFIRED
- 23 THE PIEST TIME.
- 24 Q I WANT TO FOLLOW UP ON THAT MORE SPECIFICALLY, YOU
- 25 SAID BOBBY PUNCHES THE DEFENDANT, RIGHT --

- 1 A YES.
- Z Q IN THE LEFT EYE, AND THEN THE DEFENDANT STAGGERS
- 3 BACKWARDS?
- a a yran.
- 5 Q AND THEN YOU SAID HE -- AND DID A MOTION, HE PULLED
- 5 UP RIS ---
- 7 A PULLED UP HIS SHIRT.
- 8 O DEPENDANT PULL UP HIS SHIRT?
- 9 A PULLED THE GUN OUT, WAS AIMING AT HIM, AND IT
- 10 MISFIRED.
- 11 Q SO PRIOR TO HIM PULLING UP HIS SHIRT, COULD THE
- 12 FIREARM BE SEEN?
- 13 A NO.
- 14 Q AND YOU PUT YOUR BAND OUT; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 15 A YERH.
- 16 Q AND WAS IT -- UM -- WAS IT UP -- THE GUN UP AND DOWN
- 17 OR WAS IT SIDEWAYS?
- 18 A I THINK HE HAD IT KIND OF TO THE SIDE.
- 19 Q OKAY. AND HOW -- YOU SAID HE PULLED THE TRIGGER?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q YOU HEARD IT?
- 22 A YES, AND IT MESFIRED.
- 23 Q HOW FAR AWAY WAS THE DEFENDANT FROM BOBBY WHEN HE
- 24 HELD UP THE GUN AND HE PULLED THE TRIGGER?
- 25 A PROBABLY ABOUT EIGHT FEET THE FIRST -- THE FIRST

- 1 TIME.
- 2 Q OKAY. WHAT OCCURRED AT THAT POINT?
- 3 A BORBY STARTED GOING BACKWARDS, LIKE TRYING -- HE
- 4 SAID, "WHAT ARE YOU GONNA! DO, SHOOT ME, DUDE!"
- 5 Q ORAY. SO HOBBY SAID, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO.
- 6 SHOOT ME?
- 7 A YEAU.
- 8 Q AND WAS BORBY CONTINUING TO GO FORWARD?
- 9 A NO, HE WAS -- HE WAS GOING BACKWARDS.
- 10 Q ALL RIGHT. DID HE DO ANTHING --
- 11 A TRYING TO GET AWAY FROM HE WAS TRYING TO GET AWAY
- 12 PROM HIM.
- 13 O OKAY. SO -- AND DID YOU CONTINUE TO WATCH?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q ALL RIGHT. AND WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT BETWEEN
- 16 BOSBY AND THE DEPENDANT?
- 17 A THAT'S WHEN HE PULLED THE TRIGGER THE SECOND TIME.
- 18 AND HE HIT BOBSY. TOOK THE GUN AND WENT, CHU-CHU, YOU KNOW
- 19 COCKED IT BACK, AND THEN YOU KNOW STUCK -- AIMED IT AT HIM
- 20 AGAIN AND SHOT HIM, AND BORBY GRABBED HIS STONACH AND
- 21 STAGGERED BACK AND FELL.
- ZS Q DID I LEAVE THE EXBIBITS UP THERE?
- 23 A NO.
- 24 MR. BATEMAN: OR, SORRY.
- 25. COULD I APPROACH THE WITNESS AGAIN?

- 1 THE COURT: YES.
- 2 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 3 Q I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARK AS EXHIBIT
- 4 NO. 2, IS THIS A VIEW OF THE SIEGEL SUITES WHERE THE
- 5 DEFENDANT'S ROOM IS ON LIKE THE SECOND FLOOR?
- 6 A IT'S ON THE THIRD FLOOR.
- 7 Q OH, THIRD FLOOR?
- 8 A UH-HUH.
- 9. Q IS THAT RIGHT?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 Q OKAY. IS THIS WHERE -- THE AREA WHERE THE ARGUMENT
- 12 STARTED?
- 13 A YES, AT THE BOTTOM OF THE STAIRS.
- 14 Q OKAY. AND WAS IT AT THE BOTTON OF THE STAIRS WHEN
- 15 THE DEFENDANT INITIALLY FIRED THE CON AND IT MISTIRED?
- 16 A UM WELL, AFTER BOBBY HIT HIN, HE WENT BACK AND HE
- 17 CAME -- LIKE WENT THIS WAY.
- 18 Q ORAY, TO -- TO THE LEFT?
- 19 A LIKE THAT WAY TO THE LEFT.
- 20. Q THE DEFENDANT WENT TO THE LEFT?
- 21 A AND BORBY WAS STANDING THERE, AND THEN WHEN HE
- 22 MIS -- WHEN HE SHOT AT HIM AND IT MISEIRED, BOBBY WENT
- 23 BACKWARDS AND WENT AROUND THE CARS, TRY TO GET AWAY FROM HIM,
- 24 AND SE RENT AFTER HIM.
- 25 Q OKAY. SO I JUST WANT TO CLARITY FOR THE RECORD.

- 1 MR. MOMOT: COULD I SEE ---
- MR. BATEMAN: DO YOU WANT TO COME UP AND ---
- 3 THE COURT: YES. YES.
- MR. BATEMAN: COME ON UP.
- 5 MR. MOMOT: I'M LISTENING.
- 6 MR. BATEMAN: YEAH.
- THE COURT: SO -- ON -- SIR, WHAT YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND
- 8 IS WE'RE TAKING DOWN A RECORD, AND MISS KIT ONLY TAKES DOWN
- 9 WORDS, SO WHEN YOU'RE POINTING AND SAYING OVER HERE, IT'S
- 10 REALLY HARD FOR THE RECORD --- I KNOW IT'S NORMAL --
- 11 THE WITNESS: SORRY.
- THE COURT: BUT IF YOU CAN JUST TRY TO DESCRIBE USING
- 13 YOUR WORDS AS MUCH AS YOU'RE SHOWING WITH YOUR -- UM ---
- 14 THE WITNESS: ALL RIGHT.
- THE COURT: -- WITH YOUR HANDS AND YOUR GESTURES, OKAY?
- 16 THE WITNESS: YES, MA'AM.
- 17 MR. BATEMAN: AND I'LL TRY TO HELP.
- 18 THE WITNESS: ORAY.
- 19 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 20 Q YOU SAID THAT BOB -- INITIALLY WHEN THE SHOT
- 21 OCCURRED, BOBBY WAS HENDING TO THE LEFT ON THIS PHOTOGRAPH; IS
- 22 THAT RIGHT?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q AND THE DEFENDANT WAS OVER TO THE RIGHT; IS THAT
- 25 RIGHT?

- 1. A YES.
- 2 Q AND THEN --- UM --- OR IS THAT WRONG?
- 3 A NO.
- A Q ACTUALLY YOU TELL ME --
- 5 A YEAH ---
- 6 Q -- I COULD BE WRONG.
- 7 A RICHT -- WHEN LOREZ -- WHEN BOSSY RIT HIM LORENZO
- 8 WENT TO THE LEFT.
- 9 Q OKAY, THE DEFENDANT WENT TO THE LEFT?
- 10 A BOSBY -- BOSBY WAS STANDING ABOUT HERE, AND THEN BE
- 11 WENT IN -- WHEN BE --
- 12 Q WHEN YOU SAY HERE -
- 13 A -- WENT IN BETWEEN --
- 14 Q -- UM -- IS THAT BY --
- 15 THE REPORTER: OXAY.
- 16 THE COURT: YOU GUYS ARE TALKING OVER EACH OTHER.
- 17 THE WITNESS: IN BETWEEN THE TWO --
- 18 THE COURT: OKAY, ROLD ON. SIR? SIR? YOU CAN'T TALK
- 19 OVER MR. BATEMAN EITHER. SO HE'S TRYING TO CLARIFY THE
- 20 RECORD, SO JUST LET HIM SPEAK, AND YOU RESPOND, OKAY?
- 21 THE WITNESS: YES, MATAN.
- 22 THE COURT: TRY NOT TO TALK OVER HIM. ORAY.
- 23 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 24 Q AND YOU WERE SAYING -- UM -- BOBEY WAS HERE, ARE YOU
- 25 REFERRING TO SOMEWHERE NEAR THIS CHEVROLET TRUCK?

- 1 A NO.
- 2 Q OKAY.
- 3 A YES, YES, YES, YES,
- 4 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 5 A THAT'S WHEN LORENZO PUT THE GUS -- PULLED THE GUN
- 6 OUT AND, YOU KNOW, IT MISFIRED.
- 7 Q OKAY.
- 8 A AND BORRY WENT BACKWARDS AND ABOUND THE VEHICLES.
- 9 Q OKAY. SO --
- 10 A TO THE RIGHT. HE WENT DOWN ARO -- DOWN AROUND TO
- 11 THE RIGHT, AND LORENZO WENT AFTER HIM.
- 12 OKAY. SO I'M GOING TO FOLLOW SP, BASED UPON THIS
- 13 EXHIBIT NO. 2: YOU SAID INITIALLY BOBBY WAS BY THIS CHEVROLET
- 14 TRUCK WHEN THE MISPIRE OCCURRED, RIGHT?
- 35 A YES.
- 16 Q AND THEN YOU SAID BONBY WENT AROUND THE VEHICLES?
- 17 A YES.
- 18 Q ARE YOU REFERRING TO THESE VEHICLES THAT ARE NEXT TO
- 19 THE CHEVROLET TRUCK --
- 20 A YES.
- Zi Q --- ON EXHIBIT NO. 2?
- 22 A YES.
- 23 Q AND WHAT -- WHICH DIRECTION DID THE DEFENDANT GO
- 24 WHEN BOBBY WAS GOING AROUND THESE VEHICLES?
- 25 A WELL, WHEN BOBBY WENT AROUND THE VEHICLES, HE WAS

- 1 GOING AFTER BORBY.
- Z Q THE DEFENDANT WAS GOING AFTER EORBY?
- 3 A YES.
- 4 Q WHICH DIRECTION DID THE DEFENDANT GO?
- 5 A THE SAME WAY BODBY DID.
- 6 Q OKAY
- 7 A BORBY WENT -- WHEN BORBY WENT -- UM -- WHICH WOULD
- 8 HAVE BEEN THE RIGHT, WHEN BOBBY NEWT TO THE RIGHT HE WAS
- 9 COMING STRAIGHT AT HIM, AND THEN BOBBY WENT TO THE RIGHT
- TO AROUND THE VEHICLES, AND THEN HE WENT TO THE RIGHT.
- 11 Q OKAY, DID BOBBY EVER END UP COMING BACK TO THE
- 12 LEFT?
- 13 A YES.
- 14 Q OKAY. AND WAS THE DEFENDANT CONING BACK TO THE
- 15 LEFT?
- 16 A YES.
- 17 Q AND YOU SAID THAT THERE WAS SOME ADDITIONAL
- 18 GUNSHOTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 19 A YES: THAT'S WHEN HE SHOT THE SECOND TIME, AND I
- 20 THINK HE SHOT RIN IN THE STOMACH, AND BOBBY GRABBED RIMSELF
- 21 AND SAID, OOH --
- 22 **Q** OKAY.
- 23 A -- AND WENT DOWN AND FELL ON HIS FACE.
- 24 Q SO THE DEPENDANT THEN SHOT -- UN -- BOBBY, YOU SAID
- 25 SOMEWHERE IN THE STOMACH AREA?

- 1 A YES. THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT, ANYWAY.
- 2 Q DO YOU KNOW APPROXIMATELY, ON THIS EXHIBIT NO. 2.
- 3 WHERE BOSEY WAS?
- A YES, HE WAS LAYING ON -- ON THE SIDEWALK IN PROUT OF
- 5 THE TRUCK.
- 6 Q IN FRONT OF THIS CHEVROLET TRUCK?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q DO YOU KNOW APPROXIMATELY WHERE THE DEFENDANT WAS
- 9 WHEN HE SHOT HIM THE FIRST TIME, AND YOU SAID IN THE STOMACH?
- 10 A FIRST TIME? WHAT, LIKE HOW FAR AWAY?
- 11. Q YES.
- 12 A PROBABLY ABOUT TEN FEET, INSLITE FEET.
- 13 Q OKAY. WAS THE DEFENDANT SAYING ABYTHING WHEN THIS
- 14 WAS OCCURRING?
- 15 A NO.
- 16 Q ALL RIGHT. UM -- DID BOBBY, AFTER THAT FIRST SHOT,
- 17 DID HE STAY STANDING OR DID HE FALL DOWN?
- 18 A NO. HE FELL ON HIS FACE.
- 19 Q FELL FORWARD?
- 20 A FORWARD.
- 21 Q WAS HE STILL --
- 22 A ON -- GO AMEAU.
- 23 Q WAS HE STILL ON THE SIDEWALK?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q WHAT, IF AMYTHING, DID THE DEFENDANT DO AT THAT

- 1 TIME?
- 2 A WENT UP TO HIM AND SHOT HIM IN THE -- THE SECOND
- 3 TIME IN THE BACK, STOOD OVER THE TOP OF HIM.
- 4 Q DID HE SAY ANYTHING AT THAT TIME, THE DEFENDANT?
- 5 A YEAH, HE JUST -- WHEN -- WHEN -- AFTER HE SHOT HIM
- 6 THE SECOND TIME HE SAID, "I'M OUT OF HERE NIGGER", AND THREW
- 7 HIS ARMS UP.
- 8 Q THREW HIS ARMS UP TO THE SIDE?
- g A YEAH.
- 10 Q OKAY. AND WHERE, IF ANYWHERE, DID THE BEFENDANT GO?
- 11 DID YOU WATCH HIM GO ANYWHERE?
- 12 A HE WENT -- HE STARTED GOING UP THE PARKING LOT.
- 13. Q OKAY, WHEN YOU SAY --
- 14 A TOWARDS BOULDER HIGHWAY.
- 15 Q AND ON THIS EXHIBIT NO. 2, IS SOULDER HIGHWAY --
- 16 WOULD THAT BE TO THE RIGHT?
- 17 A THAT WOULD BE TO THE RIGHT.
- 18 Q OKAY, WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU DO AT THAT TIME?
- 19 A I MEAN I WAS FREAKING.
- 20 Q OKAY.
- 21 A UM -- THEN HIS DAD CAME UP. I DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS
- 22 HIS DAD AT FIRST.
- 23 THE COURT: WHO'S DAD?
- 24 THE WITNESS: HOBBY'S DAD. AND WE TRIED TO ROLL BIM
- 25 OVER, AND THEN THE BLOOD CAME OUT AND IT GOT ALL OVER MY HAND,

- 1 AND I JUST I FREAKED OUT.
- ? BY MR, BATEMAN:
- 3 Q DID YOU LEAVE THE AREA?
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q WHERE DID YOU GO?
- 6 A I WENT AND GOT THE CAR. THE GIRLS WENT TO THE BAR.
- y o which --
- B WENT ACROSS THE STREET TO THE BAR, THE FOUR MILE
- 9 BAR, THEY WERE IN THE PARKING LOT. I WENT OVER AND GOT THE
- 10 CAR AND WENT HOME.
- 11 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 12 WHEN YOU WERE OUT AT THIS LOCATION, WHEN THIS
- 13 CONFRONTATION WAS OCCURRING -- UM -- DID THE DEFENDANT EVER
- 14 SAY ANYTHING TO YOU?
- 15 A NO.
- 16 Q OKAY. DID HE EVER DIRECT HIS ATTENTION TO YOU AT
- 17 THIS TIME?
- 18 A I DON'T REALLY KNOW. I DON'T REMEMBER ANYTHING TOO
- 19 MUCH AFTER THAT.
- 20 O THE DEFENDANT DIDN'T END UP AT THE FOUR MILE BAR OR
- 21 ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
- 22 A NO.
- 23 Q AND YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T KNOW WHERE BOBBY'S DAD CAME
- 24 FROM, YOU DIDN'T KNOW HE WAS THERE?
- 25 A NO.

- 1 Q DURING THIS CONFRONTATION -- UN -- OR EVEN BACK AT
- 2 THE ARIZONA CHARLIE'S, DID YOU EVER SEE BOBBY HAVE A FIREARM
- 3 WITH HIM?
- 4 A NO.
- 5 Q OKAY, DID -- DURING THIS CONFRONTATION DID BOBBY
- 6 EVER PULL OUT A GUN?
- 7 A NO.
- 8 Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT, IF ANYTHING, THE DEFENDANT DID
- 9 WITH THE GON THAT HE USED?
- 10 A NO, I DON'T.
- 11 MR. BATEMAN: OKAY. I'LL PASS THE NITHESS, JUDGE.
- 12 THE COURT: CROSS-EXAMINATION.
- 13 MR. MOMOT: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. JUST ONE SECOND,
- 14 PLEASE, YOUR HONOR,
- 15 THE COURT: SURE.
- 16 (DISCUSSION BETWEEN MR. MONOT AND THE DEFENDANT.)

17

- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. MOMOT:
- ZO O SIR, THAT NIGHT, DECEMBER -- THE NIGHT OF DECEMBER
- 21 22ND, I GUESS THE MORNING OF DECEMBER 23RD IS WHEN THIS
- 22 INCIDENT OCCURRED, CORNECT?
- 23 A I THINK SO.
- 24 Q ORAY, AND DID YOU WAKE A HANDWRITTEN STATEMENT?
- 25 **A** UB -- A COUPLE OF MEEKS LATER, LIKE A WEEK LATER.

- 1 Q A HANDWELTTEN STATEMENT?
- 2 A NO. NO. JUST -- UM -- TWO DETECTIVES CAME AND
- 3 TALKED TO ME.
- 4 Q OKAY, SO THEN YOU JUST MADE AN ORAL STATEMENT TO
- 5 THE DETECTIVES, CORRECT?
- 5 A YES.
- 7 Q AND THEY TAPED YOUR STATEMENT, CORRECT?
- 8 A YES, SIR.
- 9: Q ALL RIGHT, AND THEY, AS A MATTER OF FACT, DID THIS
- 10 ON JANUARY 2ND, 2014 -- UH -- ABOUT 4 O'CLOCK IN THE
- 11 AFTERNOON, CORRECT?
- 12 A YES, SIR.
- 13 Q AND WHERE WAS IT THAT THEY TOOK YOUR STATEMENT?
- 14 A WAL-MART PARKING LOT.
- 15 Q IS THAT NEARBY THIS ADDRESS?
- 16 A IT'S ON BOULDER HIGHWAY AND MELLIS.
- 17 Q WAS THAT AN ARRANGED MEETING PLACE THAT YOU WERE
- 18 GOING TO MEET THE COPS?
- 19 A YES.
- 20 Q OKAY, AND DID YOU STICK AROUND AFTER THIS INCIDENT
- 21 WHERE THE SHOOTING OCCURRED?
- 22 A NO. I WAS --
- 23 Q YOU TOOK ---
- 24 A -- UISTRAUGHT.
- 25 Q YOU TOOK OFF?

- I A YEAR, I DIDN'T TAKE OFF, I JUST, YOU KNOW, I -- I--
- 5 & AON PEBLIS
- 3 A YEAH.
- 4 Q WHERE DID YOU GO, TO A BARY.
- 5 A NO. I WENT TO THE BAR TO GET THE CAR, AND THEN I
- 6 WENT HOME.
- 7 Q ALL RIGHT. SO YOU WENT TO THE BAR -- YOU WENT TO
- B TRE BAR, WHICH BAR DID YOU GO TO?
- 9 A FOUR MILE. I DIEN'T GO TO ---
- 10 Q THE FOUR MILE BAR ON BOULDER HIGHWAY?
- 11 THE COURT: HOLD ON. HOLD ON. JUST ENSWER HIS
- 12 QUESTIONS. OKAY.
- 13 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 14 Q THE FOUR MILE DAR ON BOOLDER HIGHWAY?
- 15 A YES, SIR.
- 16 Q AND HOW FAR AWAY IS THAT FROM THIS SCENE?
- 17 A UM -- A COUPLE HUNDRED YARDS.
- 18 Q A COUPLE HUNDRED YARDS?
- 19 A IT'S ACROSS THE STREET.
- 20 0 6082
- A IT'S RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET FROM WHERE IT HAPPENED.
- 22 O RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET? OKAY.
- 23 AND YOU WALKED OVER THERE?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q OF COURSE BECAUSE THE -- WHERE WAS YOUR VEHICLE?

- 1. A ACROSS THE STREET AT THE BAR. THE GIRLS TOOK IT TO
- 2 THE BAR.
- 3 Q ACROSS THE STREET AT THE BAR?
- A YES.
- 5 Q OKAY. AND YOU DIDN'T STICK AROUND -- YOU DIDN'T
- 6 WAIT AROUND AFTER THE SHOOTING WITH -- UN -- BOBBY OR HIS DAD,
- 7 DID YOU?
- 8 A I WAS THERE WITH BOBBY'S DAD, WE TRIED TO ROLL HOBBY
- 9 OVER.
- 10 Q AND THEN -- AND THEN DID YOU WAIT THERE UNTIL THE
- 11 AMBULANCE --
- 12 A WHEN THE BLOOD --
- 13 Q -- TO ARRIVE?
- 14 .- GOT ALL OVER MY HAND I FREAKED OUT.
- 15 Q OKAY, BUT -
- 16 A WHAT DO YOU WANT HE TO SAY?
- 17 Q DID YOU WAIT THERE UNTIL THE AMBULANCE ARRIVED?
- 18 A NO. I DIDN'T.
- 19 Q DID YOU WAIT THERE UNTIL THE POLICE ARRIVED?
- 20 A NO. THERE WAS A LOT OF POLICE THERE.
- 21 Q SIR, DID YOU WAIT UNTIL THE POLICE ARRIVED?
- 22 A NO.
- 23 Q OKAY. DID YOU CALL 9-1-13
- 24 A I DIDN'T HAVE A PHONE.
- 25 Q QUESTION, DID YOU CALL 9-1-17

- 1 A I DIDN'T HAVE A PROSE.
- 2 THE COURT: JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION?
- 3 THE WITNESS: I DIDN'T HAVE A PHONE.
- 4 THE COURT: SO IS THAT A NO OR A YES?
- 5 THE WITNESS: NO.
- 6 MR. MOMOT: THANKS.
- 7 Q ORAY, NOW, DID BOBBY HAVE A PHONE?
- 8 A I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T THINK SO.
- 9 Q DID YOU SEE BOBBY'S FATHER CALL 9-1-17
- TO A DR -- I'M NOT SURE. I THINK HE DID.
- 11 Q SURY
- 12 A I THINK HE DID, I'M NOT SURE.
- 13 Q OKAY. NOW -- SO SETWEEN DECEMBER 22ND AND -- AND
- 14 JANUARY 2ND, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO TALK TO BOBBY'S FATHER?
- 15 A UH -- 110.
- 16 Q DID YOU TALK TO ANYBODY ABOUT THIS?
- 17 A NO, NOT REALLY, JUST ME AND MY FIANCE TALKED.
- 18. Q AND THAT IS WHO?
- 19 A SHANNON.
- 20 Q SHANNON. AND THAT'S THE GIRL TRAT WAS AT THE BAR
- 21 WITH AMANDA?
- 22 A YES.
- 23 Q OKAY. AND -- UN -- ALL RIGHT.
- 24 PRIOR TO MAKING THIS -- THIS STATEMENT, THIS AUDIO
- 25 STATEMENT, TOU TALKED TO THE POLICE OFFICERS IN GENERAL ABOUT

- 1 WHAT YOU OBSERVED?
- 2 A THEY CALLED AND LEFT A MESSAGE, AND THEN WE SET UP A
- 3 MEETING, AND THEN I MET THEM AT WAL-MART. THAT'S THE ONLY
- 4 TIME I TALKED TO THEM.
- 5. Q OKAY. BUT WHEN YOU MET THEM AT WAL-MART, DID YOU
- 6 TALK TO -- UH -- DETECTIVE WILLIAMS, YOU KNOW, IN
- 7 GENERALIZATION, PRIOR TO MAKING THE STATEMENT?
- g A WHEN I MADE THE STATEMENT WAS THE OBLY TIME I TALKED
- 9 TO THEM, THE TWO DETECTIVES.
- 10 Q OKAY. SO THIS IS --
- 11 A THAT WAS THE ONLY TIME.
- 12. Q YOU DIDN'T HAVE A CONVERSATION BEFORE MAKING THIS
- 13 STATEMENT?
- 14 A BO. JUST WHERE WE -- WHERE WE WERE GOING TO MEET.
- 15 HE SAID HE NEEDED TO TALK TO ME ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED THAT
- 16 NIGHT, AND WE MET AT WAL-MART.
- 17 Q OKAY.
- 18 SIE, DO YOU HAVE A FELONY CONVICTION?
- 19 A YES, 1 DO.
- 20 Q ONE OR NORE?
- 21 A ONE.
- SS O HOW OFFILE
- 23 A UN -- PROBABLY ABOUT FIVE YEARS, FOUR YEARS, FIVE
- 24 YEARS.
- 25 Q OKAY, NOW -- BUT YOU'RE NOT ON ANY KIND OF

- 1 PROBATION, PAROLE OR ANYTHING RIGHT NOW?
- 2 A NO, SIR. NO.
- 3 Q OKAY, AND YOU DON'T OWN ANY PIREARMS, DO YOU?
- 4 A NO.
- 5 Q AND YOU SAID YOU KNOW -- UH -- THE DECEDENT MR.
- 5 HOLLAND FOR APPROXIMATELY ABOUT FOUR YEARS?
- 7 A FOUR YEARS. ABOUT THREE, FOUR YEARS.
- 8 Q DID YOU WORK TOGETHER?
- 9 A NO.
- 10 Q DO YOU LIVE IN THE SAME COMPLEX AS MR. HOLLAND?
- 11 A NO.
- 12 O DOES HE LIVE AT THIS COMPLEX WEERS --
- 13 A NO.
- 14 O -- THIS INCIDENT OCCURRED?
- 15 A NO.
- 16 Q WHERE -- WHERE DID HE LIVE AT THIS TIME?
- 17 A HE LIVED, I THINK, OFF OF VIKING/FLANINGO.
- 18 Q VIKING AND FLAMINGO?
- 19 A AND FLAMINGO.
- 20 Q HOW FAR AWAY IS THAT, SIR?
- 21 A UM -- TEN MILES, NINE MILES, EIGHT MILES, SOMETHING
- 22 LIKE THAT.
- Z3 Q OKAY. NOW, WHEN YOU -- YOU WERE AT ARTZONA
- 24 CHARLIE'S WITH BOSBY FARLIER THAT EVENING, RIGHT?
- 25 A NO.

- I Q WELL, DID YOU SEE BORBY EARLIES THAT EVENING?
- 2 A NO. THAT WAS THE FIRST TIME I SEEN WIN WAS THAT
- 3 NIGHT HE ASKED ME TO GO IN AND GET AMANDA TO COME OUT AND TALK
- 4 TO BIM.
- 5 Q THAT WAS AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S, WASN'T IT?
- 6 A YES, SIR.
- 7 Q THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING, HARLIER THAT EVENING, PRIOR
- 8 TO THIS INCIDENT ---
- 9 THE COURT: HE -- I THINK HE THOUGHT YOU MEANT EARLIER
- 10 THAN ARIZONA CHARLIE'S.
- 11 MR. MOMOT: OB, I'M SORRY. I'M SORRY IF I CONFUSED YOU.
- 12 THE COURT: IS THAT --
- 13 THE WITNESS: YEAR.
- 14 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 15 Q LET'S -- LET'S TALK ABOUT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S. YOU --
- 16 YOU -- YOU MET BORRY THERE?
- 17 A YEAH, I RUN INTO HIM. HE WAS IN THE PARKING LOT.
- 18 Q DID YOU -- HOW DID -- WHEN YOU GOT THERE DID YOU
- 19 JUST GO THERE WITH SHANNON?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q YOU WERE ALONE?
- 2Z A ME AND SHANNON.
- 23 O RIGHT.
- 24 A YEAH.
- 25 Q AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE? WHO WERE YOU INTENDING TO

- 1 MEET THERE AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- Z A NO ONE. I WAS GOING TO GAMBLE.
- 3 Q YOU WERE JUST GOING TO GO THERE YOURSELVES?
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q AT WHAT TIME DID YOU ARRIVE THESE?
- A I DON'T EVEN KNOW, LIKE 2 O'CLOCK, SOMETHING LIKE
- 7 THAT.
- 8 Q TWO IN THE AFTERMOON?
- 9 A NO, EVENING --- OR MOR --- MORNING.
- 10 Q TWO IN THE MORNING?
- 11 A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
- 12 Q OKAY, NOW, WHO IS THE FIRST PERSON THAT YOU SEE OUT
- 13 OF THIS GROUP, BOBBY OR THE DEFENDANT?
- 14 A BOBBY.
- IS Q BORBY, WHERE DID YOU FIRST SEE HIM?
- 16 A IN THE PARKING LOT.
- 17 Q WHAT TIME?
- 18 A I DON'T REALLY KNOW. I WASN'T LOOKING AT THE TIME.
- 19 Q ESTIMATE, FOUR, FIVE IN THE MORNING?
- 20 A I DON'T KNOW. IT WAS TWO.
- 21 Q TWO IN THE MORNING?
- A ONE, TWO, THREE, I DON'T -- I REALLY DON'T KNOW.
- 23 Q WELL, YOU SAY YOU ARRIVED THERE AT TWO IN THE
- 24 MORNING, BOW SOON, THEREAFTER, DID YOU SEE BOBBY?
- 25 A AS SOON AS I POLLED IN THE PARKING LOT.

96

- 1 O OH, OKAY, AND WHAT WAS HE DOING!
- Z A STANDING THERE. WALKING YOU KNOW, GOING BACK AND
- 3 FORTS, PACING, YOU KNOW.
- 4 Q AND -- OKAY.
- 5 A I GUESS TREY THROWED HIM OUT OF THE CASING, SO --
- 6 AND HE WARTED TO TALK TO AMANDA.
- 7 Q SO -- ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S WHAT HE TELLS YOU THAT
- 8 HE WANTS TO TALK TO AMANDA, AND AMANDA'S IN THE CASINO WITH
- 9 LORENZO?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 Q AND LORENZO IS THE DEFENDANT?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 Q OKAY, NOW -- AND WAS IT JUST LORENZO AND AMANDA IN
- 14 THE CASINO, OR WAS THERE SOMEBODY ELSE WITH LORENZO AND AMANDA
- 15 IN THE CASINO?
- 16 A LOBENZO AND BMANDA WERE SITTING DOWN AT THE
- 17 MACHINES, AND THERE WAS SOMEONE STANDING ALONG SIDE OF IT, I
- 18 DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS, THOUGH.
- 19 Q WAS IT THAT --
- 20 A I DON'T KNOW IF HE WAS WITH THEM --
- 21 Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER --
- 22 A -- OR JUST TALKING.
- 23 O -- OR NOT THAT INDIVIDUAL WAS WITH LORENZO OR
- 24 AMANDA, YOU BHOW, BY -- BY THE WAY THEY MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE
- 25 SEEN TALKING OR ASSOCIATING?

- 1 A HO, I DON'T KNOW IF HE WAS OR BOT, BUT HE NEVER
- Z GOT LEFT THE CASINO WITH THEM, SO ...
- 3 O HE MEAES DIDA
- 4 A NO.
- 5 Q WAS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT LORENZO HAD A ROOM UP
- 6 IN THE CASINO?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q AND YOU HENT UP TO THAT ROOM?
- 9 A YES.
- 10 Q AND WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOU GOING UP TO THAT
- 11 800%?
- 12 A I WAS GOING TO GIVE HIM A RIDE HOME.
- 13 Q YOU WERE GOING TO GIVE LORENZO A MIDE?
- A YES.
- 15 Q AND WHERE WERE YOU COING TO GO?
- 16 A I WAS GOING TO TAKE HIM HOME TO THE SIEGEL SUITES.
- 17 Q CORRECT.
- 18 BUT WAS YOU -- WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHEN
- 19 LORENZO COT THAT -- THAT SUITE AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- 20 A I DIDN'T KNOW HE HAD ONE TILL I GOT THERE.
- 21 Q AND THAT DID YOU ASK HIM WHEN DID YOU GET THIS
- 22 ROOM, LORENZO?
- 23 A NO.
- 24 O DIO YOU ASK RIM ANYTHING ABOUT THE ROOM?
- 25 A NO.

- I Q WAS HIS CLOTHES IN THE ROOM?
- Z A YEAH, HIS BAG WAS.
- 3 Q HE HAD A BAG IN THE ROOM?
- 4 A YEAH.
- 5 Q OKAY. WAS ANYTHING ELSE IN THE ROOM?
- 5 A NOT THAT I KNOW OF.
- 7 Q OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE IN THE RODA?
- 8 A UH -- AMANDA -- UM -- TWO OTHER --
- 9 Q SHE --
- 10 A -- TWO OTHER FRIENDS.
- II Q SHE WALKED UP FROM THE CASTNO WITH LORENZO --
- 12 A SHE ---
- 13 Q AND YOU, CORRECT?
- 14 A ME, HER AND LORENZO, YES.
- 15 Q SHE -- SHE WASN'T STAYING IN THE ROOM WAS SHE?
- 16 A I DON'T KNOW.
- 17 Q YOU DON'T KNOW THAT?
- 15 A NO.
- 19 Q YOU DIDN'T HEAR ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT, DID YOU?
- 20 A NO.
- 21 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 22 SO NOW -- SO AS I UNDERSTAND IT, YOU'RE IN THE
- 23 CASINO WITH AMANDA AND LORENZO, YOU GO UP TO THE ROOM, AND YOU
- ZA LEAVE BORBY OUT IN THE PARKING LOT AND HE'S PACING BACK AND
- 25 FORTH BECAUSE HE WANTS HIS AMANDA TO COME OUT OF ARIZOBA

- 1 CHARLIE'S, CORRECT?
- A WHEN WE CAME OUT OF ARIZONA CHARLIE'S WE WENT BACK
- 3 TO LOBENZO'S ROOM, AMANDA STAYED OUTSIDE AND TALKED TO BOSBY
- 4 ON THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING.
- 5 Q SO AMANDA STAYED OUTSIDE WITH LOBENZO ---
- 6 A NO, WITH SORBY.
- J -- ARITE AOO MENT OF TO THE BOOM MITH TORENNOS
- 8 A THAT -- YES. SHE STAYED OUTSIDE OF THE ROOM WITH
- 9 BOBBY, TALKING TO BOBBY, WHEN ME AND LORENZO WENT TO THE ROOM.
- 10 Q OKAY. NOW, WHEN YOU SAY OUTSIDE, THAT'S OUTSIDE THE
- 11 BUILDING?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 O OKAY. NOW, WATURALLY YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT
- 14 CONVERSATION 13?
- 15 A NO.
- 16 Q NOW, THEY WEREN'T MARRIED, WERE THEY?
- 17 A NO.
- 18 Q AND THEY -- TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE OR INFORMATION AND
- 19 BELIEF, AMANDA WAS NOT LIVING WITH BORBY, RIGHT?
- 20 A NO.
- 21 Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHERE AMANDA WAS LIVING?
- 22 A NO. I DON'T.
- 33 ORMA' BAL SHE MYRALL TIAIRC MILH BORSAS
- 24 A NO.
- 25 Q AND SHE WASN'T LIVING WITH THE DEFENDANT?

- 1 A 80.
- 2 Q AND SHE HAS A CHILD, CORRECT?
- 3 A YES.
- 4 Q ALL RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW HOW OLD THAT CHILD 153
- S A NO. 1 DON'T.
- 6 Q AND SHANNON AND AMANDA ARE PRIENDS?
- 7 A NO.
- 8 Q THEY JUST KNEW EACH OTHER --
- 9 A AMANDA JUST ---
- 10 Q -- FROM THAT EVENING?
- 11 A NO. SHANNON JUST MET HER, TOO.
- 12 Q THAT EVENING?
- 13 A YES.
- 14 Q OKAY. NOW, THIS CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH THE
- 15 DEFENDANT AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S RECARDING HIS RELATIONSHIP WITH
- 16 AMANDA, CORRECT?
- 17 A EXCUSE ME? SAY THAT AGAIN?
- 18 Q THERE WAS A CONVERSATION THAT YOU HAD WITH THE
- 19 DEFENDANT AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S RECARDING THE DEFENDANT'S
- 20 RELATIONSHIP WITH AMANDA, RIGHT?
- 21 A WELL, I ASKED HIM WHAT HE WAS DOING.
- 22 Q AND IT CAME DOWN TO LORENZO TELLING YOU THAT HE WAS
- 23 NOT HAVING SEX WITH AMANDA, CORRECT?
- 24 A WELL THAT'S WHAT HE SAYS. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID
- 25 ANYWAY.

- 1 Q THAT'S WHAT HE SAID, RIGHT?
- 2 A YES.
- 3 Q ORAY. DID YOU EVER TELL THAT TO BOBBY?
- A I DIDN'T SEE BOBBY. I DIDN'T TALK TO BOBBY, ME AND
- 5 LORENZO WEST IN THE ROOM, AND THEN SECURITY MADE BORBY LEAVE.
- 6 Q DID YOU EVER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TELL THAT TO
- 7 BOBBY?
- 8 A NO, I DIDN'T.
- 9 Q OKAY, SO NOW YOU WALK UP TO THE ROOM WITH LORENZO,
- 10 AND THEN LORENZO IS GOING TO MEET YOU, WHAT, AT VALET TO GET
- 11 INTO YOUR VEHICLE?
- 12 A YES.
- 13 O AND DOES THERE CORE A TIME WHEN YOU LEFT LORENZO.
- 14 DID YOU SEE BOBBY AT ALL?
- 15 A THE SECURITY WAS ESCORTING HIM OFF THE PROPERTY.
- 16 THEY WERE ARGUING BACK AND FORTH, LORENZO AND BOBBY.
- 17 Q WELL NOW WAIT A MINUTE, YOU JUMPED AREAD OF ME A
- 18 LITTLE BIT HERE.
- 1 GOT LORENZO UP IN A ROOM GETTING HIS STUFF. HE'S
- 20 CONNA, MEET YOU IN VALET TO LEAVE, CORRECT?
- 21 A YES.
- 22 Q BOBBY'S OUTSIDE WITH AMANDA?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 OKAY, YOU BEAVE AND YOU GO TO VALET?
- 25 A T DIDN'T LEAVE -- I DIDN'T LEAVE UNTIL AFTER -- I

- I DIDN'T LEAVE TO GO TO VALET UNTIL AFTER AMANDA CAME BACK.
- 2 SECURITY WAS TELLING BODBY HE HAD TO LEAVE. LORENZO CAME OUT
- 3 THE DOOR OF THE ROOM AND THEY STARTED ARGUING BACK AND FORTH
- 4 ABOUT KICKING EACH OTHER'S ASS.
- 5 Q THAT'S LORENZO AND BOBBY?
- 5 a yes.
- 7 Q AND -- OKAY, DID YOU HAPPEN TO HAVE ANY
- 8 CONVERSATION WITH BOBBY AT THAT TIME?
- 9 A NO, I DIDN'T, SECURITY WAS ESCORTING HIM OFF.
- 10 Q OKAY. WAS LORENZO DRINKING?
- 11 A NOT THAT I KNOW OF .
- 12 Q OKAY, HOW DID BORBY LOOK?
- 13 A HE -- HE DIDN'T LOOK LIKE HE WAS DRINKING NEITHER.
- 14. Q OH WAS HE -- DID HE LOOK LIKE HE WAS OKAY?
- 15. A YEAH.
- 16 Q HE DIDN'T LOOK LIKE HE WAS UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF
- 17 ANYTHING?
- 18 A NO.
- 19 Q HE WAS STRAIGHT?
- 20 A YES, HE LOCKED STRAIGHT TO ME.
- 21 Q OKAY, ALL RIGHT.
- 22 NOW, WHEN THEY GO TO VALET YOU'RE DRIVING THE
- 23 VESICLE?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q AND THAT'S NOT YOUR VEHICLE?

- A NO.
- 2 O IT'S A VEHICLE THAT YOU BORROWED?
- 3 A YES, IT'S A FRIEND OF MINE'S.
- 4 O FROM A FRIEND.
- 5 SO THEN -- UN -- SHANNON GETS INTO THAT VEHICLE,
- 6 AMANDA GETS INTO THAT VEHICLE, AND SO DOES LORENZO, CORRECT?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q AND WRAT -- AND AT THAT TIME YOU DECIDE TO TAKE
- 9 LORENZO BACK TO HIS APARTHENT?
- 10 A YES, HE WANTED TO GO HOME.
- 11 Q BUT YOU HEARD THE ARGUMENT PREVIOUSLY BETWEEN BORBY
- 12 AND LORENZO THAT THEY WERE GOING TO MEET OVER AT LORENZO'S
- 13 PLACE, CORRECT?
- 14 A YES, LORENZO TOLD HIM, I'LL BE HOME IN 30 MINUTES.
- 15 O DID RE HE'LL BE THERE IN 30 MINUTES?
- T6 A YES.
- 17 Q OXAY, SO YOU DROVE LORENZO TO THAT PLACE, CORRECT?
- 18 A YES.
- 19 Q ALL RIGHT. AND DID YOU GO DIRECTLY FROM VALET TO
- 20 LORENZO'S --
- Zi A YES:
- 22 Q -- PLACE?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q AND HOW TAR DISTANCE IS TRATE
- 28 A A COUPLE MILES.

- 1 Q ABOUT TWO OR THREE MILES?
- 2 A YEAR, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- 3 Q SO IT TAKES, WHAT, 10 OR 15 MISUTES?
- A ABOUT FIVE MINUTES.
- 5 Q FIVE MINUTES?
- 6 A PIVE TO TEN MINUTES.
- 7 Q ORAY, PIVE MINUTES.
- 8 DID YOU SEE, AT ANY TIME, BOBBY'S PATHER?
- 9 A NO.
- 3.0 Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT BOBBY DROVE TO ARIZONA
- 11 CHARLIE'S?
- 12 A NO.
- 13 Q DO YOU KNOW HOW HE GOT THERE?
- la A no, I con't.
- 15 Q DO YOU KNOW HOW HE LEFT?
- 16 A NO, I DON'T.
- 17 Q OKAY. SO THE NEXT THING YOU DO IS YOU JUST GO OVER
- 18 TO LORENZO'S PLACE, AND THEN THE TWO GIRLS LEAVE TO GO OVER TO
- 19 THE FOUR MILE BAR?
- 20 A YES.
- 21. Q WHO DID YOU GIVE THE KEYS TO?
- 22 A AMANDA.
- 23 Q AMANDA TO DRIVE YOUR FRIEND'S VEHICLE?
- 24 A YES. YEAR, I THOUGHT THEY WERE GONNA' FIGHT AND,
- 25 YOU KNOW, THEY DIDN'T NEED TO SEE IT.

- 1 Q OKAY. YOU DIDN'T GIVE THE KEYS TO SHANNON?
- 2 A NO.
- 3 Q WHY?
- A SHE CAN'T DRIVE.
- 5 Q WHY?
- 6 A SHE DOESN'T KNOW HOW.
- 7 ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO YOU GAVE IT TO AMANDA, AND THE
- 8 TWO GIRLS SEAVE IN THE CAR, RIGHT?
- g a yes.
- 10 Q AND THEN YOU STAY THERE?
- 11 A YEAH.
- 12 Q NOW, WHEN WHEN YOU GET THERE WITH AMANGA AND
- 13 SHAMMON AND LOREDZO, LORENZO STARTS TO GO TO HIS APARTMENT,
- 14 CORRECT?
- 15 A YEAR, HE GETS OUT OF THE CAR AND LOOKED UP AND SBEN
- 15 BOBBY ON THE STAIRS.
- 17 Q NOW, WHAT'S WHAT LEVEL OF APARTMENT BOES LORENZO
- 18 LIVE AT, DO YOU KNOW?
- 19 A THIRD FLOOR.
- 20 Q THIRD FLOOR?
- 21 A YES.
- 22 Q AND IT'S A WALK UP
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q -- CORRECT?
- 25 A IT'S THREE PLIGHTS OF STAIRS.

- 1 Q THREE FLIGHTS OF STAIRS. AND ON THE THIRD FLIGHT OR
- 2 ON THE THIRD PLOOR, IS THAT WHERE YOU SEE BORBY?
- 3 A YES.
- 4 Q AND IS BOBBY ALONE?
- S A YES.
- 6 Q DO YOU SEE HIS FATHER ANYPLACE?
- 7 A NO.
- 8 Q AND YOU SEE BOBEY COMING DOWN THE STAIRS?
- 9 A YES.
- 10 Q AND IS THERE CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE BOTH OF THEM?
- 11 A YEAH, THEY WERE --
- 12 Q OR WERE --
- 13 A THEY WERE HAVING WORDS BACK AND FORTH.
- 14 Q THERE WERE WORDS BACK AND FORTH, OKAY.
- 15. SO THERE COMES A TIME THAT BOBBY COMES DOWN TO THE
- 15 GROUND FLOOR, RIGHT?
- 17 A YES.
- 18 Q AND THEN HE MEETS LORENZO?
- 19 A YES.
- 20 Q AND A FIGHT ENSUES?
- 21 A NOT -- NOT RIGHT AWAY, THEY WERE ARGUENG.
- 22 Q THEY NEER ARGUING, AND THEN -- AND THEN BOBBY THROWS
- 23 THE PUNCH AND HITS LORENZO?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q DO YOU -- DO YOU SEE BOBBY'S FATHER AT ANY TIME?

- 1 A NO.
- 2 Q WHEN IS THE FIRST TIME YOU SEE BOBBY'S PATHER?
- 3. A When WE -- WHEN BOBBY WAS LAYING ON THE GROUND.
- 4 Q DID YOU SEE HIM DRIVE UP?
- 5 A NO.
- 6 Q BO YOU KNOW WHAT KIND OF CAR BOBBY'S PATRER HAS?
- J A I THINK HE'S GOT A RED TRUCK.
- g (DISCUSSION BETWEEN WR. MOMOT AND MR. BATEMAN.)
- 9 MR. MOMOT: CAN I MARK THIS ONE? CAN I MARK THIS
- 10 EXHIBIT, YOUR HONOR?
- 11 THE COURT: YES, SO THIS IS GOING TO BE BY
- 12 MR. MOMOT: B.
- 13 THE COURT: OKAY.
- 14 MR. MOMOT: IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO IT'S ADMISSIBILITY?
- 15 MR. BATEMAN: NOPE.
- 26 THE COURT: AND THERE'S NO OBJECTION TO THE ADMISSIBILITY
- 17 OF EXHIBIT B, B WILL BE ADMITTED.
- 18. (WHEREUPON DEFENSE'S EXHIBIT B WAS MARKED FOR
- 19 IDENTIFICATION AND ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
- 20 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 21 Q SIR, I'M SHOWING YOU WHAT'S MARKED AS DEFENSE
- 22 EXHIBIT B. YOU SEE A RED TRUCK?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q AND WHERE IS THAT?
- 25 A TO THE RIGHT.

- 1 Q RIGHT SIDE OF THE --
- 2 A BEHIND --
- 3 Q -- PHOTO?
- 4 A YES. IT'S BIGHT --
- 5 Q IS THAT BORBY --
- 6 THE REPORTER: I'M SORRY, I CAN'T -- I'M SORRY, WAIT. I
- 7 DION'T HEAR THAT LAST PART, YOU SAID YES?
- 9 THE WITNESS: YES, IT'S TO THE RIGHT.
- 9 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 10 Q IS THAT BOBBY'S FATHER'S TRUCK?
- 11 A LOOKS LIKE IT.
- 12 O OKAY, AND I SEE THESE OTHER VEHICLES WITH ALL THESE
- 13 YELLOW TAPES AROUND MARKING OFF THE -- THE AREA. I SEE A
- 14 SIDEWALK WITH SOME DEBRIS ON IT. IS THAT WHERE THE SHOOTING
- 15 OCCURRED?
- 16 A YES. THAT'S WHERE BOSBY WAS LAYING.
- 17 Q OKAY. SO THESE OTHER VEHICLES THAT WE SEE IN THE
- 18 PHOTO ARE PACED OPPOSITE THE RED TRUCK ---
- 19 A YES.
- 20 g -- CORRECT?
- 21 ALL RIGHT. YOU DION'T SEE DID YOU SEE AT ANY
- 22 TIME WHEN THAT RED TRUCK ARRIVED?
- 23 A NO.
- 24 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE THAT. THANK YOU, MR. MOMOT.
- 25 OKAY, I'M SORRY, HOLD ON, BECAUSE I WASN'T PAYING

- 1 ATTENTION. WHICH RED TRUCK ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT YOU BELIEVE
- Z IS BOBBY'S FATHER'S?
- 3 THE WITNESS: IT'S THE ONE TO THE RIGHT?
- A THE COURT: OKAY.
- THE WITNESS: IT'S BEHIND THE --
- 6 MR. BATEMAN: SO LIKE THAT S.U.V.?
- 7 THE WITNESS: YES.
- A THE COURT: OKAY.
- 9 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 10 Q THE FIGHT BETWEEN BORBY AND UH -- LORENZO IS
- II ABOUT HAVING SEX WITH AMANDA, CORRECT?
- 13 A YES.
- 13 Q OKAY. AND -- AND THEN BOBBY SAYS TO LORENZO -- AND
- 14 THIS IS DURING THE COURSE, THEY'RE ABOUNG OVER WHO'S GOING TO
- IS HAVE SEX WITH HER, BOBBY SAYS, LOOK, MAN, Y DON'T WANT TO
- 16 FIGHT TAP
- 17 A YES.
- 18 Q AND THAT'S JUST BEFORE HE PHICHES LORENZO, CORRECT?
- 19 A YEAH, LORENZO'S TELLING ME, HE SAYS -
- 20 Q AND -- AND -- NO, PLEASE.
- 21 HE SAYS, LOOK MAN, I DON'T WANT TO PIGHT YA, AND
- 22 THEN SHORTLY THEREAFTER HE HITS LORENZO IN THE FACE, CORRECT?
- 23 A YEAR, BUT, YOU KNOW, WHAT --
- 26 Q OKAY, TRAT'S XLL 1 ASKED, YES.
- BUT AS A WATTER OF FACT RE SAYS, LOOK, MAN, I DON'T

- I WART TO FIGHT YA, BUT YOU AIN'T FUCKING REA.
- 2 A YEAH. HE SAID -- HE SAID, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO P
- 3 HER, AND BE GOES, TO BELL I'M NOT, I'M GOING TO SHOW HER WHAT
- 4 A REAL MAN IS, AND THEN BOBBY --
- 5 Q DEAY. BUT I DIDN'T ASK -- I KNOW ABOUT THE REST OF
- 6 IT, I'M JUST ASKING AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
- 7 AND AND YOUR STATEMENT ON PAGE 7 TO THE POLICE.
- 8 YOU SAY, LOOK, MAN, I DON'T WANT TO FIGHT YA, AND HE SAID, BUT
- 9 YOU AIN'T FUCKING HER, MICHT?
- 10 A YEAH. WOULD -- WOULD YOU -- WEAT -- WEAT LED UP TO
- 11 THAT, THOUGHT YOU SEE HER LEAVING THAT -- YOU'RE LEAVING
- 12 SOMETHING OUT THERE.
- 13 Q I'N NOT LEAVING --
- 14 A THAT'S THE ONLY REASON WHY I SAID THAT, IS WHY HE
- 15 SAID THAT.
- 16 Q WELL, THEY'RE GOING BACK AND FORTH OVER AN ARGUMENT,
- 17 CORRECT, LORENZO AND BOBBY?
- 18 A YEAH.
- 19 Q THEY'RE ARGUING, RIGHT?
- 20 A OKAY, YEAH.
- 21 Q AND IT'S ALL -- IT'S OVER A WOMAN, CORRECT?
- 22 A IT'S OVER A -- YEAR, IT'S OVER A ---
- 23 Q IT'S OVER AMANDA, CORRECT?
- 24 A YEAB.
- 25 Q AND THAT'S WHAT -- AND THAT'S -- BUT BOBBY SAYS, I

- I DON'T WANT TO FIGHT YA, BUT YOU'RE NOT GONNA! -- BUT YOU'RE
- 2 NOT GONNA' & HER, RIGHT?
- 3 A YEAH
- 4 Q AND -- BUT THEN RIGHT AFTER THAT HE PUNCHES LORENZO,
- 5 CORRECT?
- 6 A NO. NOT UNTIL AFTER LORENZO -- LORENZO SAID WHAT HE
- 7 SAID.
- 8 Q IS IT -- BUT HE'S GOT -- HE'S STILL GOING TO HAVE
- 9 SEX WITH HER?
- 10 A HE SAID, THE HELL I'M NOT, NIGGER, I'M CONNA! SHOW
- 11 HER WHAT A REAL MAN IS, AND THAT'S WHEN BOBBY HIT HIM.
- 12 O ORAY. AND HE PUNCHED HIM RIGHT IN THE STOP OF THE
- 13 FACE, CORRECT?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q ALL RIGHT. AND THAT'S WHEN LORENZO SPUN AROUND, AND
- 16 HE WAS STAGGERING, CORRECT?
- 17 A YEAU.
- 18 Q AND THEN HE LIFTED UP HIS SHIRT, ACCORDING TO YOU,
- 19 AND PULLED A GUN, RIGHT?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q AND THEN IT WENT CLICK, RIGHT?
- 22 A YES.
- 23 Q AND THAT'S ALL WITHIN A COUPLE OF SECONDS, RIGHT?
- A YES.
- 25 Q AND THAT WAS THE MISTIRE, RIGHT?

- A YES.
- 2 OKAY, THIS WHOLE THING ONLY TOOK A COUPLE OF
- 3 SECONDS, CORRECT?
- A PRETTY MUCH.
- 5 Q THE ARGUING, THE HIT IN THE PACE, THE REACHING FOR
- 6 THE GUN AND -- AND THE SHOOTING TOOK ABOUT A COUPLE OF
- 7 SECONDS, RIGHT?
- 8 A COUPLE OF MINUTES.
- 9 Q MINUTES?
- 10 A YEAR. THEY ARGUED FOR A COUPLE OF MINUTES, OR ABOUT
- 11 A MINUTE OR TWO.
- 12 Q THEY WERE ARGUING FOR A COUPLE MINUTES? HOW -- NOW
- 13 MOCH TIME BETWEEN THE HIT IN THE FACE TO THE TIME OF THE
- 14 SHOOTING? A COUPLE OF SECONDS?
- 15 A YEAH, A COUPLE OF SECONDS.
- 16 Q SECONDS.
- THEN AFTERWARDS YOU SAID, I THINK HE STUCK IT BACK
- 18 IN HIS WAIST, MEANING THE GUN?
- 19 A YEAR, I THOUGHT HE DID.
- 20 Q YOU THOUGHT HE DID. BUT IN REALITY THAT'S NOT THE
- 21 CASE, IS 11?
- 22 A I DON'T THINK SO.
- 23 MR. MOMOT: OKAY. BEGGING THE COURT'S INDULGENCE?
- 24 THE COURT: UM-HUM.
- 25 (DISCUSSION BETWEEN MR. MOMOT AND THE DEFENDANT.)

- MR. MOMOT: MAY I APPROACH THE WITHESS, YOUR HONOR?
- Z THE COURT: YES.
- 3 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 4 Q SHOWING YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 2,
- 5 ORAY? AND THIS IS THE ONE THAT YOU REFERRED TO ON DIRECT
- & EXAMINATION WITH THE PROSECUTOR, RIGHT?
- 7 A YES, SIR.
- 8 Q OKAY. NOW, THIS SHOWS THE -- THE PARKING LOT
- 9 CORRECT?
- 10 A YES, SIR.
- 11 Q AND ---
- 12 MR. BATEMAN: MAY I APPROACH, JUDGE?
- 13 THE COURT: YES.
- 14 BY MR. MOMOT:
- 15 Q THIS SHOWS THE THREE LEVELS OF THE APARTMENT COMPLEX
- 16 WITH THE STAIRCASES?
- 17 A YES.
- 18 Q AND WHEN YOU FIRST CAME THERE YOU SAW BOBBY UP ON
- 19 THE THIRD FLOOR?
- 20 A YEAH, LORENZO SEEN HIM ON THE TRIED FLOOR WHEN HE
- 21 GOT OUT OF THE CAS.
- 22 THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO SPEAK UP.
- 23 MR. MOMOT: YOU CAN'T SAY WHAT LORENZO SAW.
- Z4 Q WHAT -- WHAT DID YOU SEE?
- 25 A F

- 1 Q WEAT DID YOU SEE?
- 2 A I SEEN HIM ON THE STAIRS.
- 3 Q YOU SEEN HIM ON THE STAIRS, WHICH STAIRS, SIR?
- 4 A I THINK IT'S THE THIRD FLOOR, TOP.
- 5 Q TOP OF THE TRIRD FLOOR STAIRS?
- 6 A YEAH.
- 7 Q OKAY. SỐ THEN HE STARTED TO COME DOWN?
- 8 A UN-HUN. YES.
- 9 Q OKAY. SO HE STARTS COMING DOWN, AND THEN -- UM --
- 10 TRY TO TAKE ME, AS BEST YOU CAN -- THE TWO GIRLS -- WHERE --
- IT WHERE'S YOUR CAR?
- 12 A TO THE LEFT.
- 13 Q TO THE LEFT OF THIS PARKED CAR?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q SO THERE'S THE LEFT SIDE OF THIS PHOTOGRAPH, AND THE
- 16 EXTREME PARKING SLOT, CORRECT?
- 1.7 A YES.
- 18 Q SO THAT THE CAR IS -- YOUR CAR IS THERE, THE GIRLS
- 19 LEAVE.
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q YOU GOT OUT OF THE CAR, AND WHERE WERE YOU STANDING
- 22 WHEN YOU SEE BOBBY AT THE TOP OF THE STAIRS?
- 23 A T'M ABOUT -- WHEN BOBBY IS AT THE TOP OF THE STATES
- 24 I WAS OVER HERE.
- 25 Q SY THE -

- 1 A WHEN HE WAS COMING DOWN --
- 2 Q -- LEFT REAR OF THIS SILVER CAR?
- 3 A YES,
- 4 Q AND THE LEFT REAR OF THE STLVER CAR IS TO THE
- 5 EXTREME LEFT OF THE CARS PARKED --
- 6 A YES.
- 7 Q -- IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH, CORRECT?
- g A YES.
- 9 Q OKAY. AND WHERE IS LORENZO AT THIS TIME?
- 10 A I WAS -- I WAS HERE TO THE LEFT, HE WAS HERE TO THE
- 11 RIGHT, BECAUSE HE STARTED WALKING TO GO UPSTAIRS, TO GO UP TO
- 12 HIS APARTMENT.
- 1.3 Q HE'S COT TO GO TO HIS APARTMENT?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q OKAY. AND THEN -- UH -- DO YOU REMAIN IN THIS AREA?
- 16 A NO. I WAS WALKING ---
- 17 Q WHERE WERE YOU?
- 18 A T WAS WALKING BEHIND LORENZO.
- 19 Q YOU'RE WALKING BEHIND LORENZO IN THE PARKING LOT,
- 20 CORRECT?
- 21 A NO, I WAS ON THE SIDEWALK.
- 22 Q YOU WERE ON THE SIDEWALK, TOO?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q OKAY. SO THEN BOBBY COMES DOWN TO THE HOTTOM OF THE
- 25 STAIRS, THE ABSUING, AND BOBBY HITS LORENZO. ARE YOU STILL

- 1 BEHIND LORENZO AT THAT TIME?
- Z A YEAH, I WAS STANDING NEAR THE TREE TO THE LEFT OF
- 3 THE STAIRS.
- 4 Q YOU'RE STANDING NEAR THE TREE?
- S A YEAH.
- 6 Q IS THAT BEHIND LORENZO?
- 7 A WELL, TO THE SIDE OF HIM.
- 8 Q WHICH SIDE?
- 9 A I WAS TO THE LEFT OF HIM. HE WAS TO THE RIGHT AT
- 10 THE BOTTOM OF THE STAIRS WHERE YOU COME BOWN, IT COMES OUT TO
- 11 THE SIDEWALK.
- 12 Q ONAY
- 13 A AND THEY RE ARGUING BACK AND FORTH, I WAS JUST
- 14 STANDING THERE.
- 15 Q OKAY. AND THEN BOBBY HITS LORENZO. DOES LORENZO
- 16 GO BACK A COUPLE PEET, OR WHERE DOES HE --
- 17 A HE KIND OF SPIN, STAGGERED A LITTLE BIT, AND THEN -
- 18 Q IN FRONT OF THIS TRUCK?
- 19 A YEAH.
- 20 Q STILL IN FRONT OF THE TRUCK?
- 21 A YES. WELL, TO -- ABOUT TO THE RIGHT OF THE TRUCK,
- 22 BECAUSE ---
- 23 Q TO THE RIGHT OF THE TRUCK?
- 24 HOW MANY FRET AWAY ARE YOU FROM LORENZO AT THAT
- 25 TIME?

- A. TEN FEBT. 2 TEN FEST. AND THAT'S WHEN HE PULLS THE GUN? Q . 3. A. AEVH. G Q. OKAY. 5 Α AFTER BOBBY HIT HIM, YEAH. Q. AFTER BOBBY HITS HIM? Ţ A YES. 8 Q YOU'RE TEN FEET AWAY PROM HIM? 9 Ä YEAR, ABOUT TEN -- YEAR, TEN PEET. TEN, IWELVE 10 FEET. 1.1 Q. AND YOU'RE -- YOU'RE STILL BY TRAT TREE? 12 À YEAH. 1.3 Ö AND THAT'S TO THE LEFT FRONT --Á LEFT. 14 15 Q. -- OF THE TRUCK? 16 Ä YES, STR. NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. 27 MR. MOMOT: OKAY. 38 THE COURT: REDIRECT? MR. BATEMAN: JUST -- JUST BRIEFLY. 39 20 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 23 Q MR. MOMOT ASKED YOU ABOUT THE SHOOTING THAT TOOK
- 24 PLACE, BETWEEN THE TIME OF THE ACTUAL PUNCH AND THE SHOOTING,
- 25 AND HE -- UN -- ASKED YOU THAT WAS A COUP -- A FEW SECONDS, DO

- 1 YOU REMEMBER THAT LINE OF QUESTIONING?
- Z A YES.
- 3 Q OKAY, HOW MANY SECONDS PAST, BETWEEN THE TIME BORBY
- 4 PUNCHED LORENZO AND LORENZO HAD THE MISFIRE? I WANT TO BE
- 5 MORE SPECIFIC.
- 6 A PROBABLY ABOUT FIVE, STX SECONDS.
- 7 Q OKAY, AND YOU TESTIFIED THAT THERE WERE ACTUALLY
- 8 TWO MORE SHOTS --
- 9 A YES.
- 10 Q -- AFTER THAT?
- 11 I THINK YOU ALSO TESTIFIED THAT LORENZO ESSENTIALLY
- 12 CHASED BOBBY AROUND THOSE CARS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 13 A YES.
- 14 Q SO AFTER THE MISPIRE, WHERE THE CHASING TOOK PLACE,
- 15 HOW MANY SECONDS PASSED BETWEEN THE MISFIRE AND THE FIRST SHOT
- 16 THAT HIT EORBY IN THE CHEST?
- 17 A PROBABLY ABOUT 15, 20 SECONDS.
- 18 Q AND WAS THAT BECAUSE OF THE CHASING?
- 19 A YEAH, IT WAS -- BECAUSE BORBY WENT AROUND VEHICLES.
- 20 OKAY. AND THEN AFTER THE FIRST SHOT THAT HIT BOBBY
- 21 IN THE CHEST -- WELL, THE SHOT THAT HIT BOBBY IN THE CHEST,
- 22 HOW MANY SECONDS PASSED BETWEEN THAT TIME AND THE TIME WHEN
- 23 THE DEFENDANT THEN WALKED OVER THE TOP OF BORBY'S BODY AND
- 24 SHOT HIN IN THE BOTT?
- 25 A ABOUT 10 SECONDS.

```
MR. BATEMAN: OKAY. I DON'T HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL
2 OUESTIONS.
                   ANY RECROSS?
        THE COURT:
3
                   1 DO. 1 DO. 1 DO.
       MR. MOMOT:
                        RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 7 BY MR. MOMOT:
             I'M LOOKING AT STATE'S EXHIBIT ?.
        Q
             SIR, IF BOBBY'S COWING DOWN THE STAIRCASE, AND
 3
   YOU'RE OVER HERE BY THIS TREE, RIGHT?
             YTAH.
        A
11
             AND THAT'S IN FRONT OF THE PICKUP TRUCK, RIGHT?
        Q
12
             YES:
        Α
1.3
             AND LORENZO IS TO THE RIGHT OF YOU, RIGHT?
         Q
14
3.5
        A
              XKS .
             AND HERE'S THE FIRST ARGUMENT, BIGHT?
16
         0
              YES. WELL THIS - THE PIRST ONE WAS AT --
         Ä
17
              MELL, I'M SORRY -- I'M SORRY, I SHOULDN'T SAY PIRST
18
         Q.
              THEY'RE -- THEY'RE ARGUING.
19 ARGUMENT.
              YEAH.
         A
20
              BORBY HITS LORENZO IN THE FACE, RIGHT?
         Q
21
            YEAH.
         Α
22
              YOU SAID LORENZO STAGGERED OVER HERE TO THE RIGHT OF
         Q
23
24 THE TRUCK ---
```

UM-HUM.

A

- 1 Q -- AND PULLED HIS GON, OKAY?
- 2 A YRAH.
- 3 Q AND THEN THERE WAS?
- A HE STA YEAR, HE STAGGERED BACK HERE TO THE LEFT.
- 5 Q LORENZO DID?
- 6 A YES.
- 7 Q THAT'S AFTER HE CETS SHOT?
- 8 A UNI-HUM.
- 9 Q BUT IT'S ALL HAPPENING IN FRONT OF THIS TRUCK,
- 10 RIGHT?
- 11 A WELL, TO THE RIGHT OF THE TRUCK WHERE THE SIDEWALK
- 12 COMES OUT.
- 13 Q YEAR. IT'S ALL ON THE SIDEWALK IN FRONT OF THIS
- 14 TRUCK, RIGHT?
- 15 A YES.
- 16 Q NOBODY IS ROWBING AROUND IN THE BACK OF THIS TRUCK?
- 17 A IN FRONT OF THE TRUCK AND THE CAR.
- TB NO. I DIDN'T BAY HE WENT AROUND THE TRUCK. HE WENT
- 19 AROUND THESE CARS, HE CHASED HIM AROUND THEM CARS, AND WHEN HE
- 20 GOT ABOUT HERE IS WHEN HE SHOT HIN THE FIRST TIME. HE
- 21 STACGERED BACK ABOUT SIX -- FOUR OR FIVE STEPS, AND FELL ON
- 22 HIS FACE: SPUN AROUND --
- 23 Q HE PELL ---
- 24 A -- AND FELL ON HIS FACE.
- 25 Q -- IN FRONT OF THE TRUCK?

1.21

- I A RIGHT DEXT TO THE LEFT OF THE TRUCK, WHERE HIS
- 2 CLOTHES ARE.
- 3 Q WHERE THE CLOTHES ARE DEPICTED IN THIS PHOTO?
- 4 **X** YEAH.
- 5 Q IT'S ON THE SIDEWALK?
- 6 A YES.
- 7 Q BY THE TREET
- 8 A YES.
- 9 Q SO ARE YOU TESTIFYING THAT THE DEFENDANT CAME OUT
- 10 AND WAS SUNNING AROUND THESE CARS OUT IN THE PARKING LOT?
- A WHEN HE -- AFTER BORBY HITS HIM, HE WENT BACK THIS
- 12 WAY AND TOWARDS NE.
- 13 Q YOU SAY HE ---
- 14 A TOWARDS ME --
- 15 O -- BOBBY?
- 16 A LORENZO. LORENZO. AND THEN BORBY WENT IN DETWEEN
- 17 THE CARS WHEN HE POLICE THE GUS, AND THEN WENT AROUND THEM,
- 18 AND THEN HE WENT AFTER HIM. LORENZO WENT AFTER HIM, AND THEN
- 19 HE CAME AROUND, HE WAS COMING DOWN THE STOEWALK, HE SAID,
- 20 WHAT ARE YOU GORNA! DO, SHOOT ME, DUDE?" AND THAT'S WHEN HE
- 21 SHOT HIM IN THE CHEST, AND HE STAGGERED BACK A -- A COUPLE
- 22 STEPS, SPUN AROUND AND FELL ON HIS FACE.
- 23 Q SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT -- NOW, LORENZO WAS BY THE
- 24 TREE WITH YOU?
- 25 A NO. LORENZO WAS CHASING HIM. LORENZO'S OVER HERE

- I BY THAT TIME, BECAUSE HE SHOT HIM, AND BOBBY FELL THERE. HE
- 2 STAGGERED BACK A COUPLE STEPS AND TURNED AROUND AND FELL ON
- 3 HIS PACE.
- 4 Q SO ALL OF THEIR POSITIONS ARE JUXTAPOSED OR CHANGED,
- 5 THEN, IS WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?
- 6 A THIS IS WHERE THE THING COMING OUT, THAT'S WHERE HE
- 7 HIT HIM. LORENZO STAGGERS BACK --
- 8 Q LUREN -- WHEN YOU SAY --
- 9 A -- THIS WAY, AND BOBBY WENT IN BETWEEN THE CARS WHEN
- 10 HE PULLED THE GUN.
- 11 Q ALL RIGHT, WALT A MINUTE. WALT A MINUTE, YOU WENT
- 12 PAST HERE.
- 13 THIS IS AT THE EDGE OF THE BUILDING, CORRECT?
- 14 A YES. YEAH, THIS IS -- THIS IS WHERE THE STAIRS COME
- 15. DOWN, IT'S THE LITTLE THING THAT GOES UP TO HOLD THE STAIR AT
- 15 THE BOTTOM OF THE STAIRS. THE STAIRS COME OUT RIGHT HERE AND
- 17 THEN IT'S A SIDEWALK ---
- 18 **Q** On.
- 19 A THAT COMES IN --
- 20 Q OH. OH.
- 21 A -- TO THE MAIN SIDEWALK
- 22 Q ALL RIGHT: SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING HERE IS, THAT THE
- 23 BOT -- THE STAIRCASE EMPTIES OUT BY THE RIGHT FRONT OF THE
- 24 TRUCKY
- 25 A YES.

- 1 Q OKAY. NOT THE LEFT FRONT?
- Z A NO. THE RIGHT.
- 3 Q THE RIGHT FRONT OF THE TRUCK, AND THAT'S WHERE ALL
- 4 THIS ACTIVITY IS TAKING PLACE --
- 5 A YES
- 6 Q -- IS BETWEEN THE TRUCK --
- 7 A THE TRUCK.
- 8 Q -- And this --
- 9 A SILVER CAR.
- 10 O -- SILVER CAR TO THE RIGHT OF THE TRUCK?
- 11 A YES.
- 12 Q ALL RIGHT. AND -- AND THAT'S WHERE BOSBY PUNCHES
- 13 LORENZO?
- 14 A YES.
- 15 Q IT'S IN BETWEEN -- IT'S ON THE SIDEWALK, BUT IN
- 16 BETWEEN THESE TWO VEHICLES?
- A YES. IT THE VEHICLES WERE ON THE SIDEWALK IT WOULD
- 18 BE ---
- 19 Q IT -- RIGHT IN BETWEEN --
- 20 A -- WOULD BE DIRECTLY IN BETWEEN THEM.
- 21 Q RIGHT, BUT THEY'RE NOT, SO --
- ZZ A YEAH.
- 23 Q -- IT'S STILL -- BUT AREA WISE IT'S BETWEEN THE
- 24 VEHICLES?
- 25 A YES.

- 1 Q OKAY. THEN BOBBY BACKS UP BECAUSE LORENZO PULLS THE
- 2 GUN, AND THEN YOU HEAR THE CLICK AND THEN THE SHO --
- 3 A YEAH.
- 4 Q THEN THE SHOT?
- 5 A YES.
- 6 Q THEN BOBBY BACKS UP ---
- A AFTER THE CLICK HOBBY WENT IN SETWEEN THE CARS AND
- 5 STARTED TO GO THIS WAY TO THE RIGHT, AND HE -- LOBENZO WENT
- 9 AFTER HIM, AND THEN HE WENT AROUND THE CARS AND HE SAID, WHAT
- 10 ARE YOU GONNA' DO -- GOT BACK ON THE SIDEWALK, HE SAID, "WHAT
- 11 ARE YOU CONNA' DO, SHOOT ME, DUDE", AND THAT'S WHEN HE SHOT
- 12 HIM THE FIRST TIME, AND HE STAGGERED BACK AND FELL THERE TO
- 13 THE LEFT OF THE PRONT OF THE TRUCK.
- 14 MR, MOMOT: OKAY, NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
- 15 MR. BATEMAN: NOTHING ELSE.
- 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, APPRECIATE YOUR TIME,
- 17 MR. HILDEBRAND.
- 18 MR. BATEMAN: CAN WE APPROACH BRIEFLY?
- 19 THE COURT: SURE.
- ZO MR. BATEMAN: WAIT OUTSIDE.
- 21 (WHEREUPON COUNSEL APPROACHED THE BENCH.)
- 22 THE COURT: UM -- OKAY. GO AHEAD.
- 23 MR. BATEMAN: STATE CALLS ROBERT HOLLAND.
- 24 THE MARSHAL: BOSEST?
- 25 MR. BATEMAN: HOLLAND.

1	THE MARSHALL SIR, COME ON THE HERE. EPROSE STREAM AND
2	THE WITHESS STAND, SIR. FACE THE CLERK.
3	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
4	
5	ROBERT HOLLAND, II
6	CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE STATE, AND HAVING BEEN FIRST DULY
7	SWORN TO TESTIFY TO THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING
-8	BUT THE TRUTH, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
Ģ	
10	THE WITNESS: XES.
1.1	THE CLERK: I'M SORRY?
12	THE WITNESS: XES.
13	THE CLERK: THANK YOU. GO ABEAD AND HAVE A SEAT.
14	COULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR FIRST AND YOUR LAST MAME, AND
15	SPELL BOTH FOR THE RECORD?
1.6	THE WITNESS: FIRST NAME IS ROBERT, LAST NAME IS BOLLAND.
17	THAT'S H-Q-1-1-A-N-D.
18	THE COURT: THANK YOU. YOU CAN PROCEED.
19	MR. BATEMAN: THANK YOU.
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BATEMAN:
23	Q SIR, I'M GOING TO DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THE EARLY
24	MORNING HOURS OF DECEMBER 22ND OF LAST YEAR, DO YOU REMEMBER
ð.s.	THE THE PERIOD!

- 1 A YES.
- 2 Q AND WERE YOU WITH YOUR SON?
- 3 A YES.
- 4 Q WHAT'S HIS NAME.
- 5 A ROBERT HOULAND.
- 6 Q SAME AS YOURS?
- 7 A YES.
- 8 Q ARE YOU THE SECOND, AND HE'S THE THIRD?
- 9 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 10 Q ALL RIGHT. HERE YOU WITH HIM AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S
- 11 THAT NIGHT?
- 12 A I WASN'T WITH HIM, I PICKED HIM UP THERE.
- 13 Q OKAY. YOU DROVE THERE AND PICKED HIM UP?
- 14 A YES.
- IS Q OKAY. AND WHERE DID YOU TAKE HIM?
- 16 A TO -- DM -- THE SUITES -- UM -- DOWN -- FURTHER DOWN
- 17 ON BOULDER HIGHWAY BY 95.
- 18 Q UNDERSTAND, HOW DID YOU KNOW TO GET TO ARIZONA
- 19 CHARLIE'S TO PICK HIM UP?
- 20 A HE HAD CALLED.
- 21 Q OKAY, DID HE HAVE A CELL PHONE AT THAT TIME?
- 22. A YES.
- 23 Q ALL RIGHT. AND HE CALLED YOU?
- 24 A YES.
- 25. Q WHAT CAR WERE YOU DRIVING?

- A UM -- IT WAS MY MOM'S CAR.
- Z Q WHAT KIND OF CAR?
- 3 A IT WAS A DUDGE -- UM -- I CAN'T REMEMBER. A
- 4 DODGE -- UM --
- 5 Q IS IT A DURANGO?
- 5 A YES.
- 7 Q DEAY. IS IT BED?
- 8 A YES.
- 9 **Q** S.Ú.V.?
- 10 A YES.
- 11 Q SO YOU WENT AND PICKED HIM UP THAT EVENING, IS TRAT
- 12 RIGHT, OR THE MORNING BOURS?
- A YES, IT WAS AFTER THELVE:
- 14 Q WAS HE WITH ANYBODY WHEN YOU PICKED HIM UP AT
- 15 ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- 16 A NO.
- 17 Q WHERE DID YOU PICK HIM UP AT ARIZONA CRARLIE'S?
- 18 A OUT IN THE PARKING LOT.
- 19 Q RIGHT, AND DID HE ASK YOU TO TAKE HIM SOME PLACE?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q AND WAS THAT THE STEGEL SUITES?
- 22 A THE SIEGEL SUITES, YES.
- 23 Q OKAY. DID YOU KNOW AT THAT TIME WHETHER UM --
- 24 DID BE GO BY BOBBY?
- 25 A YES.

- 1 Q DID HE HAVE A GIRLFRIEND?
- Z A YES.
- 3 Q WHAT WAS HER NAME?
- A HER NAME WAS AMANDA.
- 5. Q OKAY. HAD YOU SEEN BOBBY AND AMANDA TOGETHER MORE
- 6 THAN OFCE?
- 7 A SEVERAL TIMES.
- 8 Q OKAY. THEY BELD THEMSELVES OUT TO YOU TO BE IN SOME
- 9 SORT OF RELATIONSHIP?
- YEAH. SHE CALLED ME POPS.
- 11 Q SHE HAD A CHILD?
- 12 A UH -- SHE HAD ONE, BUT I'VE DEVER MET HIM.
- 13 Q OKAY. UM -- YOU TOOK -- DID YOU GO DIRECTLY TO THE
- 14 STEGEL SUITES FROM THE PARKING LOT OF ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- A AFTER I PICKED OF BORBY, YES.
- 16 Q CORRECT. AND THEN DID YOU PARK IN THE PARKING LOT?
- 17 A YES
- 18 MR. BATEMAN: MAY I APPROACH, JUDGE?
- 19 THE COURT: YES.
- 20 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 21 Q SHOWING YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AND ADMITTED AS
- 22 STATE'S EXHIBIT 1, IS THIS A PICTURE OF THAT DODGE DUBANGO?
- 23 A YES.
- 24 Q OKAY. SO WHEN YOU PARKED IN THE PARKING LOT --
- 25 UM -- WAS YOUR -- THE BACK WINDOW OF THE DODGE DURANGO FACING

- 1 THE BUILDING?
- Z A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q DID YOU STAY IN YOUR DODGE DURANGO?
- 4 A YES.
- 5 Q WHAT DID BOSBY DO?
- 6 A HE -- UH -- TOLD ME TO WAIT THERE, AND THAT HE WEST
- 7 UP TO THE APARTMENTS.
- 8 Q ORAY. TO YOUR -- ORAY.
- 9 DID YOU OBSERVE WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WAS GOING ON, AND
- 10 IF SO, HOW DID YOU OBSERVE IT?
- 11 A UN -- THEY CAME AFTER BOBBY. WE WERE THERE BEFORE
- 12 THEY WERE, WHICH I DIDN'T KNOW AT THE TIME, BOT THEY POLIZO UP
- 13 ACROSS FROM ME -- OR BEHIND ME AND PULLED IN AND GOT OUT. AND
- 14 THEN AMANDA AND SOMEONE ELSE, WHO I DON'T KNOW WHO IT WAS, GOT
- 15 BACK IN THE CAR AND LEFT, AND THE DEFENDANT HAD STAYED BEHIND
- 16 BY HIMSELF.
- 17 Q ORAY.
- IB: A AND THEN AT THIS TIME BOBBY WAS STILL UP -- UH --
- 19 NOT ON THE CROWND PLOOR, BECAUSE I COULDN'T SEE RIGHER THAN
- 20 THE FIRST PLOOR.
- 21 Q LET ME ASK YOU THIS. THE PEOPLE THAT SHOWED UP ---
- 22 so you get there and some -- DID You know that bodby had some
- 23 SORT OF CONTACT -- CONTACT WITH SOME PEOPLE THAT THEN CAME
- 24 LATER: IS THAT WHAT HAPPENED?
- 25 A WELL, WHAT RAPPENED WAS THAT HE WENT UP TO THE

- 1 APARTMENT --
- 2 Q ORAY.
- 3 A -- WRICH I COULDN'T SEE.
- 4 Q HOW WERE YOU WATCHING? HOW WERE YOU WATCHING?
- 5 A MY REARVIEW MIRROR.
- 6 Q OKAY.
- 7 A I COULD JUST SEE THE STEPS ON THE FIRST FLOOR, AND
- 8 HE WENT UP TO THE STEPS AND WENT UPSTAIRS, AND THEN -- UM -- I
- 9 WOULD SAY ABOUT PIVE MIRUTES LATER THEY PULLED UP.
- 10 Q THESE PROPLET
- ll A YES.
- 12 Q DID YOU RECOGNIZE ALL -- ALL THE PROPLET
- 13 A I RECOGNIZED TWO OF THE THREE.
- 14 Q WHO DID YOU RECOGNIZE?
- 15 A THE DEPENDANT, I RECOGNIZED AMANDA AMANDA, AND A
- 16 THIRD-PARTY OF WHICH I DIDN'T KNOW WHO HE WAS.
- 17 Q OKAY, SO YOU SAID THE DEFENDANT. WAS THAT -- BO
- 18 YOU KNOW THAT PERSON'S NAME?
- 19 A I KNOW BY HIM -- I KNOW HIM BY LOKENZO.
- 20 Q ALL RIGHT, AND -- UM -- HAD YOU MET THIS PERSON
- 21 BEFORE?
- 22 A YES.
- 23 Q AND WHERE HAD YOU MET HIM?
- 24 A AT BOBBY'S BOUSE.
- 25 O ORAY. SO YOU SAN THAT PERSON, AND YOU RECOGNIZED

- 1 AMANDA, WERE THERE TWO OTHER INDIVIDUALS?
- 2 A JUST ONE. I ONLY SEEN ONE OTHER INDIVIDUAL.
- 3 Q AND THEN YOU SAW TWO OF THE INDIVIDUALS GET IN THE
- A VEHICLE AND DRIVE AVAY?
- 5 A AMANDA AND THE ONE I DIDN'T NOT KNOW, AND THE
- 5 VENICLE THEN LEFT, AND LORENZO -- LORENZO WAS STILL THERE
- 7 BEFORE BOBBY EVEN CAME DOWN THE STATES.
- 8 Q OKAY. AND WERE YOU WATCRING WHAT WAS GOING ON THE
- 9 WHOLE TIME, OR WERE YOU KIND OF GLANCING BACK AND FORTH?
- 10 A I WAS NOT FULLY WATCHING, BUT BASICALLY -- UB --
- 11 MOST OF THE TIME I WAS WATCHING.
- 12 Q CKAY, AND WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU SEE WHILE YOU
- 13 WERE WATCHING?
- 14 A UM -- WHEN BOBBY FINALLY CAME DOWN THE STAIRS,
- 15 LORENZO'S BACK WAS TOWARDS ME, AND BOBBY WAS FACING ME, BUT
- 16 LORENZO WAS LIKE IN THE PARKING LOT, WHERE BOBBY WAS ON THE
- 17 SIDEWALK.
- IS MR. BATEMAN: OKAY. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU -- MAY I
- 19 APPROACH JUDGES
- 20 THE COURT: UM-HUM.
- 21 BY MR. BATEMAN:
- 22 Q SHOW YOU EXHIBIT NO. 2. DOES THIS LOOK LIKE THE
- 23 VIEW YOU -- OR APPROXIMATELY THE VIEW YOU HAD FROM THE BACK OF
- 24 YOUR VEHICLE?
- 25 A CORRECT.

- 1 Q OKAY, AND THEN WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT POINT WHEN YOU
- Z SAW BOBBY FACING YOU AND LORENZO WITH HIS BACK TOWARDS YOU?
- 3 A DH -- THEY WERE THERE JUST -- THEY WERE TALKING THE
- 4 WHOLE TIME FOR QUITE AWHILE, I'D SAY LIKE FOOR TO FIVE
- 5 MINUTES.
- 6 Q OKAY, FOUR TO FIVE?
- 7 A YEAH.
- 8 Q DID IT APPEAR TO BE SOME SORT OF AN ARGUMENT?
- 9 A UH -- NOT AN ACCRESSIVE ARCUMENT, BUT LIKE THEY WERE
- 10 DISCUSSING SOMETHING.
- li 💮 Q okat. The what -- did you they watch what happened
- 12 NEXT?
- 13 A THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN I SAW BOBSY PUNCH LORENZO IN
- 14 THE READ AREA, BECAUSE I COULDN'T REALLY SEE WHERE IT LANDED.
- 15 AND THEN I WENT TO GO GET OUT TO SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON.
- 16 WELL, FIRST OF ALL, THEY BACKED UP BEHIND THE TRUCK,
- 17 AND I BION'T KNOW WHY, BUT BORBY BACKED UP AND THEN HE WENT
- 18 AF -- FOLLOWED HIM.
- 19 MR. BATEMAN: OKAY. CAN I APPROACH AGAIN, YOUR HONOR?
- 20 THE COURT: YES.
- 21 MR. BATEMAN: JUST FOR CLARIFICATION.
- 22 Q SO YOU'RE SITTING IN YOUR CAR. IS YOUR VEHICLE
- 23 STILL RUNNING?
- 24 A NO. IT WAS NOT RUNNING.
- 25 Q CRAY. COME ON UP, I'LL WAIT FOR MR. MOMOT.

- I OKAY. SO UM YOU SAW THEN -- INITIALLY WEERE
- 2 DID YOU SEE THEM?
- 3 A BORBY WAS ON THE STDEWALK RIGHT HERE.
- 4 Q OKAY. RIGHT HERE BY THAT TREE?
- 5 A WELL NO, BY THE FRONT OF THIS TRUCK.
- 6 Q OB, OKAY.
- 7 A AND LORENZO WAS RIGHT NEXT TO THE TRUCK ON THE
- 8 PAVEMENT. I THINK MY CAR WAS MORE THIS WAY.
- 9 Q OKAY.
- 10 A AND THEN BORBY --
- 11 Q MORE TO THE RIGHT?
- 12 A RIGHT. BECAUSE I COULD SEE THE BACK OF THE TRUCK.
- 13 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 14 A AND ANYWAYS, THEN AFTER BOBBY BIT HIM, BOBBY BACKED
- 15 DP BENIND THE TRUCK, AND THEN LORENZO FOLLOWED HIM.
- 16 Q OKAY. SO AT THAT BOINT WERE YOU ABLE TO SEE WHAT
- 17 OCCURRED?
- 18 A NO. THEN I COULD NOT SEE EITHER ONE OF THEM.
- 19 Q OKAY. MOW, WERE YOU RUSHING TO GET OUT OF YOUR
- 20 VEHICLE AT THAT POINT?
- 21 A NO, I WAS JUST GOING TO SEE WHAT WAS GOING ON,
- 22 BECAUSE I COULDN'T I LOST SIGHT OF THEM.
- 23 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 24 A AND AS I WAS DOING THAT I HEARD SHOTS.
- 26 Q ALL RIGHT. UM -- YOU DIDN'T SEE THE SHOOTING?

- 1 A NO. I DID NOT.
- Z OXAY. UN -- AND WHAT'S THE NEXT THING YOU SAW?
- 3 A THEN I SAN LOBENZO COMING FROM THE OTHER SIDE OF THE
- 4 VESICLE.
- 5 Q WHEN YOU SAY THE OTHER SIDE, WHICH SIDE?
- 6 A YEAR, THIS SIDE.
- 7 Q THE RIGHT SIDE?
- 8 A YES.
- 3 Q OKAY. AND WHEN YOU SAY COMING, IS HE MOVING --
- 10 A HE WAS WALKING -- UM -- I WOULD SAY WEST.
- 11 Q OKAY. WAS HE GOING -- WAS THIS BEFORE OR AFTER THE
- 12 SHOTS THAT YOU SAW THIS WALKING?
- 13 A AFTER THE SHOTS.
- 14 Q OKAY. AND TO THE RIGHT ON THIS PHOTOGRAPH, IS THAT
- 15 TO THE WEST OR TO BOULDER HIGHWAY?
- 16 A YEAH, TOWARDS BOULDER HIGHWAY.
- 17 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 18 A I SAW HIM WALKING TOWARDS BOULDER BIGHWAY, AND THEN
- 19 HOLDING A GON AND FIRING TWICE AT SOMEONE RUNNING WEST OR
- 20 SOUTHWEST INTO THE APARTMENT COMPLEX.
- 21 Q OKAY. WAS THAT MORE SHOTS THAN THE PIRST ONES YOU
- 22 REARD?
- 23 A RIGHT, TWO MORE SHOTS.
- 24 Q ORAY. BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT THE FIRST TWO SHOTS.
- 25 YOU SAN THERE -- I THINK IF I -- TELL ME IF I'M RIGHT, YOU SAW

- 1 BOBBY GOING WEST AND -- AND THEN LORENZO FOLLOWING HIM WEST
- 2 BEHIND THIS CHEVROLET TRUCK?
- 3 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 Q AND THAT'S WHEN YOU LOST SIGHT OF WHATEVER WAS GOING
- 5 ON BETWEEN BOBBY AND LORENZO?
- 6 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 7 Q AND THEN YOU STARTED CETTING OUT OF YOUR VEHICLEY
- 8 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 9 Q SO WHEN YOU START GETTING OUT OF YOUR VEHICLE, I
- 10 ASSUME THAT YOU WEREN'T WATCHING WHAT WAS GOING ON BECAUSE YOU
- 11 WERE PREVIOUSLY WATCHING IT FROM YOUR REARVIEW MIRROR?
- 12 A CORRECT.
- 13 Q AND THEN SOME PERIOD OF TIME LATER YOU HEARD TWO
- 14 SHOTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 15 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 16 Q YOU DIDN'T SEE THOSE TWO SHOTS OR WHAT OCCURRED?
- 17 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 18 Q AND THEN YOU SAW THE DEFENDANT LORENZO GOING TOWARDS
- 19 THE WEST TOWARDS BOULDER HIGHWAY?
- 20 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 21 Q AND YOU SAID YOU SAW HIM SHOOT TWICE MORE?
- 22 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 23 Q OKAY. WHAT DID YOU DO AT THAT POINT?
- 24 A I HEO JUST STARTED WATCHING HIM IN MY SIDE REARVIEW
- 25 MIRROR.

- 1 Q OKAY. WATCHING WHO?
- Z A LORENZO.
- 3 Q IS THAT HOW YOU SAW HIM DO THE SHOOTING TO THE WEST?
- 4 A RIGHT. BECAUSE I SEEN SOMEBODY THAT -- RUNNING INTO
- 5 THE BUILDING, WHICH I, AT THAT TIME, I THOUGHT WAS BOBBY.
- 6 Q OKAY.
- 3 A SO I WAS JUST REEPING AN EYR ON HIM. AND THEN HE --
- 8 UH -- AFTER HE FIRED THE TWO SHOTS HE TURNED AROUND AND THEN
- 9 SHOT TOWARDS THE GROUND, AND THEN HE WALKED TOWARDS ME IN
- 10 BETWEEN THE TRUCK.
- 11 Q WHO DID?
- 12 A LORENZO.
- 13 Q OKAY.
- 14 A AND THE CAR, AND THREW THE GUN UNDERNEATH THE CAR.
- 15 Q ALL RIGHT.
- 15 A AND HE WALKED TOWARDS LIKE THE CENTER OF THE PARKING
- 17 LOT, AND WALKED TOWARDS BOULDER HIGHWAY.
- 18 Q SO ARE YOU TELLING ME YOU AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY,
- 19 YOU RECALL FOUR SHOTS OR TWO SHOTS TOTAL?
- 20 A TOTAL FIVE SHOTS.
- 21 Q OH, DEAY. BUT YOU'RE ALSO SAYING YOU SAN THE
- 22 DEFENDANT SHOOTING IN THE DIRECTION OF WAAT YOU THOUGHT TO BE
- 23 BOBBY?
- 24 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 Q OKAY. WHERE DID BOBBY END OF IN THIS PARTICULAR

- 1 PROTOGRAPH?
- 2 A BEHIND THE TRUCK ON THE SIDEWALK.
- 3 Q OKAY. AND YOU SAW THE DEFENDANT THEN, AT SOME POINT
- 4 AFTER THE SHOOTING, HEAD TOWARDS BOULDER HIGHWAY?
- 5 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 6 Q AND THAT'S AFTER THE DEFENDANT THREW HIS GUN DOWN?
- 7 A HE THREW IT UNDERNEATH A CAR.
- 8 Q WHICH CAR, DO YOU REMEMBER?
- 9 A UM -- I BELIEVE IT WAS THIS CAR HERE.
- 10 Q ORAY. THE ONE NEXT TO THE CHEVROLET TRUCK TO THE --
- 11 A YES.
- 12 **Q** -- WEST?
- 13 A IT WAS EITHER THAT ONE OR THE ONE NEXT TO IT.
- 14 Q IT'S IN THIS AREA?
- 15 A RIGHT.
- 16 Q 1M -- AND THEN WHAT DID YOU DO?
- 17 A I GOT OUT OF THE CAR AND WALKED -- STARTED WALKING
- 18 TOWARDS THE AREA WHERE I SAW THE PERSON RUNNING THAT HE WAS
- 19 SECOTING AT.
- 20 Q OKAY.
- 21 A AND I GOT HALF WAY TO THE SIDEWALK, AND ON THE OTHER
- 22 SIDE OF THE BUILDING I SEE SOMEONE COME FROM THERE AND WALK ON
- 23 THE SIDEWALK AND CALL BOBBY'S NAME, WAS SAYING, "BORBY, YOU
- 24 GRAYAN AND I SAW HIM LODKING DOWN ON THE SIDEWALK. SO AT
- 25 THAT TIME I WALKED AROUND THE TRUCK AND SEEN BOBBY LAYING ON

- 1 THE SIDEWALK.
- 2 Q WAS THAT PERSON, HAVE YOU SINCE LEARNED. TIM?
- 3 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 Q AND YOU SAN HIM HERE TODAY?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 6 Q OKAY. AND THEN DID YOU GIVE AID TO BOBBY?
- 7 A YEAH. I CALLED 9-1-1 -- WELL, OF COURSE I CHECKED
- 8 BIS PULSE, AND THEN I CALLED 9-1-1, AND THEY TOLD ME TO START
- 9 CPR.
- 10 Q AND EVENTUALLY DID THE POLICE COME?
- 11 A YEAH. I HAD -- WAS GIVING HIM CPR AND THE POLICE
- 12 SHOWED UP, AND THEN THE AMBULANCE SHOWED UP.
- 13 Q DO YOU SEE LORENZO IN THE COURTROOM TODAY?
- 14 A YES, I 00.
- 15 Q CAN YOU POINT TO HIM AND DESCRIBE WHAT HE'S WEARING?
- 16 A HE'S THE PERSON WITH THE BUIL -- UM --
- 17 MR. BATEMAN: MAY THE RECORD --
- 18 THE WITNESS: -- JUMPSUIT, WHATEVER.
- 19 MR. BATEMAN: ORAY. MAY THE RECORD REFLECT
- 20 IDENTIFICATION OF THE DEFENDANT, YOUR HONOR?
- 21 AND I'LL PASS THE WITNESS.
- 22 THE COURT: YES.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION?
- 24 117
- 25 1/11

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Z BY MR. MOMOT:

1

- 3 Q SIR -- UH -- SHOWING YOU AGAIN STATE'S EXHIBIT NO.
- 4 2. YOU'RE IN A VEHICLE THAT'S PARKED OVER ON THIS SIDE OF THE
- 5 PARKING LOT?
- 6 A RIGHT,
- 7 ORAY, THE BACK OF IT IS TO THE REAR OF THE --
- 8 A BACK PACING THE APARTMENT COMPLEX.
- 9 Q APARTMENT COMPLEX. OKAY.
- 10 NOW, WHEN BOBBY COMES DOWN THE STAIRS, YOU SEE HIM
- 11 IN FRONT OF THIS CHEVROLET TRUCK, CORRECT?
- 12 A UH -- TO THE SIDE AND THE FRONT.
- 13 Q WHICH SIDE?
- 14 A THIS SIDE RIGHT HERE,
- 15 Q BY THE RETWEEN THE CHEVROLET TRUCK AND THE TREE?
- 16 A WELL, IN FRONT OF THE TREE ON THE SIDEWALK. THERE'S
- 17 A SIDERALK THAT RUNS RIGHT IN FRONT OF THIS --
- 18 Q ALL RIGHT, OXAY.
- 19 A -- ON THE SIDEWALK, IN THE FRONT SIDE OF THE TRUCK.
- 20 OO YOU EVER SEE BOBBY OUT IN THIS PARKING LOT AREA?
- 21 A NO.
- 22 Q YOU NEVER SEE BOBBY ROUNDING AROUND THESE CARS?
- 23 A NO.
- 24 O YOU SEE THE DEFENDANT RUNNING AROUND THESE CARS
- 25 IN THE BACK OF THE PARKING LOT?

- 1 A AFTER THE SHOOTING.
- 2 O AFTER THE SHOOTING OCCURRED WHEN HE LEFT TO GO ---
- 3 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 4 Q --- GO WEST TO BOULDER HIGHWAY, YOU SEEN HIM IN THE
- 5 PARK -- THIS PARKING LOT?
- 6 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- TO BUT BEFORE THAT YOU DIDN'T SEE HIM ON THIS SIDE OF
- 8 THE CHEVROLET TRUCK OR THAT THIS SIDE OF THE CAR?
- 9 A THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 10 Q YOU NEVER SEEN HIM OUT HERE IN THE PARKING LOT?
- 11 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 12. Q OKAY, YOU NEVER SEEN -- YOU NEVER SEEN THE
- 13 DEFENDANT CHASING BODBY, YOU JUST HEARD THE SHOTS?
- 14 A NO, I SEEN -- UM -- AFTER BODBY HAD PUNCHED HIM.
- 15 BOBBY HAD BACKED UP, AND I SEEN HIM FOLLOW BOBBY BEHIND THE
- 16 TRUCK, THAT'S ALL I SEEN.
- 17 Q BEHIND -- RIGHT. THAT'S THE FRONT OF THE TRUCK?
- 18 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 19 Q OKAY, NOW, YOU -- YOU WAITED THERE FOR THE POLICE
- 20 TO COME, RIGHT?
- 21 A YES, I WAS GIVING COR UNTIL THE POLICE COME.
- 22 Q AND THIS OTHER GUY THAT YOU JUST SAN TESTIFY HERE
- 23 TODAY, HE LEFT IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE SHOOTING, CORRECT?
- 24 A HE CAME BACK AFTER THE SHOOTING, BECAUSE THAT --
- 25 HE'S THE ONE THAT I HEARD CALL BOBBY TO SEE IF HE WAS OKAY.

- 1 Q RIGHT, BUT IMMEDIATELY AFTER HE SHOOTING, BUT THEN
- Z ME LEAVES, CORRECT?
- 3 A 1 -- I LOST TRACK OF BIM AFTER THAT.
- 4 O ALL RIGHT.
- 5 NOW, WHEN THE POLICE COME THEY ASK YOU TO MAKE OUT A
- 6 STATEMENT, RIGHT?
- 7 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- B Q AND THERE'S ONE THAT YOU DID IN A HANDWRITTEN
- 9 STATEMENT, CORRECT?
- 30 A YEAH. BRIEFLY, YEAH.
- 11 Q AND THEN YOU ALSO -- UH -- TALKED TO THE POLICE,
- 12 CORRECT?
- 13 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 14: Q NOW, WHEN YOU TALKED TO THE DETECTIVES -- WHEN YOU
- 15 TALKED TO THE DETECTIVES, YOU TOLD THE DETECTIVES THAT YOU HAD
- 16 PICKED UP YOUR SON AND DROVE HIM OVER TO THIS LOCATION,
- 17 CORRECT?
- 18 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 29 Q AND YOU PICKED UP YOUR SON UP AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S
- 20 AND DROVE HIM OVER THERE, CORRECT?
- 21 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 22 Q AND WHEN YOU GOT THERE YOUR SON GOT OUT OF YOUR
- 23 VEHICLE AND WENT UP THOSE STAIRS, CORRECT?
- 24 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 25 Q THER THIS OTHER VEHICLE ARRIVES?

- i A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 2 OO YOU SEE WHERE THAT VEHICLE WAS PARKED?
- 3 A YES, IT WAS -- IT PULLED UP NEXT TO THE TRUCK.
- 4 Q SHOWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 2, WHERE DID THAT OTHER
- 5 VERICLE --
- 6 A IT PULLED UP IN ---
- 7 Q -- PULL INTO?
- B -- BETWEEN THIS TROCK AND THE CAR, IN THE SPOT RIGHT
- 9 HERE.
- TO Q IN HETWEEN THE TRUCK AND THE CAR IS AN EMPTY SPOT.
- 11 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 12 Q IT DID NOT PULL -- PULL THTO THE LEFT OF THIS CAR AT
- 13 THE END OF THE PARKING LOT?
- 14 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 15 Q SO IT'S IN METHEEN THE TRUCK AND THE CAR BY THE
- 16 STAIR -- BELOW THE STAIRCASE, RIGHT?
- 1) A TRAT'S CORRECT.
- 18 Q NOW -- ALL RIGHT. THEN PEOPLE GOT OUT OF THAT
- 19 VEHICLE, CORRECT?
- 20 A YES.
- 21 Q ALL RIGHT. AND YOU KNOW WHO AMARDA IS, RIGHT?
- 22 A YES.
- 23 O AND THE POLICE ASKED YOU ABOUT THIS, CORRECT?
- 24 A YES.
- 25 Q AND YOU'RE HOWARD HOLLAND -- MR, HOLLAND, THE 2ND,

- 1 CORRECT?
- Z A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 3 Q AND YOUR SON IS MR. HOLLAND THE SRD, RIGHT?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 5 Q AND THE PURPOSE OF MR. MOLLAND, YOUR SON, WAS TO
- 6 REQUEST YOU TO DRIVE HIM TO MR. PIMENTEL'S APARTMENT SO HE
- 7 COULD TALK TO AMANDA LOWE, CORRECT?
- 8 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 9 Q AND THAT'S WHAT YOU TOLD THE POLICE, RIGHT?
- 10 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 11 Q AND YOU DROVE TO 3625 SOUTH BOULDER HIGHWAY AND
- 12 PARKED ON THE MORTH SIDE OF BUILDING B, CORRECT?
- 13 A THAT'S CORRECT.
- 14 Q AND THEN YOU SAW YOUR SON GO OF THE STAIRS OF
- 15 BUILDING B. AND THEN -- UH -- YOU SAW -- YOU SAW AMANDA LOWE.
- LE PIMERTEL AND THE OTHER MAN ARRIVE IN A CAR AND PARK NEAR HIM
- 17 ON THE BORTH SIDE OF BUILDING BY THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID TO THE
- 18 POLICE, CORRECT?
- 19 A THAT PARKED -- I DIDN'T HEAR THE LAST PART.
- 20 Q MR. HOLLAND, THE ZND, THEN SAW LOVE, PIMENTEL AND
- 21 THE OTHER MAN ARRIVE IN A CAR; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 22 A THAT'S CORRECT, YES.
- 23 O AND PARK WEAR HIM?
- 24 A NEAR WEG?
- 25 Q I DON'T KNOW -- AND NEAR BIN ON THE WORTH SIDE OF

- 1 BUILDING B. ORAY. SO HIS SON CAME BACK DOWN THE STAIRS AND
- 2 BEGAN SPEAKING WITH LOWE, PIMENTEL AND THE OTHER HAN, IS THAT
- 3 WHAT YOU TOLD THE POLICE?
- A NO.
- 5 Q WHAT DID YOU -- YOU DIDN'T TELL THEM THAT?
- 6 A NO. AMANDA AND THE OTHER PERSON HAD LEFT, GOT BACK
- 7 IN THE CAR AND LEFT BEFORE BE CAME DOWN STAIRS.
- 8 Q ALL RIGHT, WELL WAIT A MINUTE.
- 9 OKAY. YOUR SON CAME BACK DOWN THE STAIRS AND BECAN
- 10 SPEAKING WITH LOWE, PIMENTEL AND THE OTHER MAN. AT SOME POINT
- 11 LOWE AND THE OTHER MAN LEFT IN THE SAME CAR THAT THEY HAD
- 12 ARRIVED IN.
- 13 A YES. BEFORE BOSSY CAME DOWN THE STAIRS.
- 14 Q SO BEFORE BOBBY COMES DOWN THE STAIRS -- UN -- YOUR
- 15 SON IS THERE. BOBBY'S COMING DOWN THE STAIRS, THE DEFENDANT
- 16 IS THERE AND AMANDA AND THIS OTHER MAN GOT BACK IN THE CAR AND
- 17 LEPT, CORRECT?
- 18 A BOBBY'S STILL UPSTAIRS. THESE TWO GOT IN THE CAR
- 19 AND LEFT, AND LORENZO WAS JUST STANDING THERE BY HIMSELF --
- 20 Q KIGHT.
- 21 A -- WHEN BOBBY CAME DOWNSTAIRS, YES.
- 22 Q NOW WHAT I'M GETTING AT IS, WHAT YOU SAID TO THE
- 23 COPS, IS THAT AMANDA AND THIS OTHER MAN GOT BACK IN THE CAR
- 24 AND DEFT, CORRECT?
- 25 A THAT'S CORRECT.

- 1 MR. MOMOT: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
- Z THE COURT: REDIRECT?
- MR. BATEMAN: NOPE.
- THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. HOLLAND, APPRECIATE
- 5 YOUR TIME TODAY.
- 6 ANY FURTHER WITNESSES OR EVIDENCE FROM THE STATE?
- 7 MR. BATEMAN: NO.
- A THE COURT: CHAY, SO TO RECAP BEFORE YOU REST, WE HAVE
- 9 EXHIBITS A THROUGH 11 AND -- FOR THE STATE, CORRECT?
- 10 THE CLERK: WE HAVE --
- 11 MR. MOMOT: I JUST HAVE ---
- 12 THE CLERK: -- ONE THROUGH ELEVEN.
- 13 THE COURT: CH, I THROUGH IL, EXCUSE ME.
- 14 AND THEM WE NEED EXHIBIT A PROM YOU.
- 15 MR. MOMOT: YES, MAJAMA
- THE COURT: AND WE HAVE EXHIBIT B. OKAY.
- 17 THE CLERK: JUST TO CLARIFY, HE SAID IT WOULD BE AI, 2 -
- 18 A1, A2 --
- 19 THE COURT: I THINK IS IT JUST GOING TO BE EXHIBIT A
- 20 MR. MOMOT? ARE YOU ONLY GIVING HER ONE PAGE WHEN WE MAKE A
- 21 COPY OF IT?
- 22 MR. MOMOT: WELL, THERE'S A FRONT AND A BACK TO IT, NO --
- 23 99 ...
- 24 THE COURT: AND YOU QUESTIONED ABOUT BOTH?
- 25 MR. MOMOT: ABOUT THE FRONT AND THE BACK, YES.

- THE COURT: ORAY. THEN WE NEED TO MAKE THIS AT AND AZ.
- 2 MR. BATEMAN: THAT'S FINE. AND WE'LL MAKE A COPY AFTER.
- 3 THE COURT: OKAY.
- MR. MONOT: WAIT, WHAT?
- 5 MR. BATEMAN: WE'LL MAKE A COPY AS SOON AS WE'RE DONE.
- 6 I'LL GO BACK AND I'LL MAKE A COPY.
- 7 MR. MONOT: ORAY.
- 8 THE COURT: YES.
- 9 ALL RICHT. DOES THE STATE REST?
- 10 MR. BATEMAN: IT DOES, WELL, WITH A COUPLE OF
- 11 AMENDMENTS, JUDGE.
- 32 THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I WEED TO KNOW WHAT THE
- 13 Amendments are, Yes.
- 14 MR. BATEMAN: I WAS GOING TO ADD A COUNT OF GARRYING
- 15 CONCEALED WEAPON, CATEGORY C FELONY, FOR THE TESTIMONY THAT
- 16 THE DEFENDANT WITHDREW A FIREARM PROM UNDER HIS SHIRT.
- 17 TESTINGRY WAS THAT HE COULDN'T SEE IT AREAD OF TIME SO IT
- is would be concealed.
- 19 I WAS ALSO GOING TO ADD ON LINE 14 CORRENTLY -- UM -- 13
- 20 SAYS AND -- WELL, 13 BAYS, FELONIOUSLY WITHOUT AUTRORITY OF
- 21 LAW AND WITH PREMEDITATION AND DELIBERATION AND WITH MALICE
- 22 AFORETHOUGHT. I WANT TO ADD AFTER MALICE AFORETHOUGHT, AND/OR
- 23 AFTER CHALLENGING ROBERT HOLLAND TO A FIGHT, COMMA, AND THEN
- 24 KILL ROBERT HOLLAND, BO THE REST OF LT HOULD BE THE SAME.
- 25 I THINK I'M ENTITIED, BASED UPON THE TESTIMONY FROM

- I TIGOTHY, THAT THE DEFENDANT CHALLENGED ROBERT HOLLAND TO A
- 2 FIGHT AT THE ARISONA CHARLIE'S, AND I THINK I'M ENTITLED TO
- 3 THAT AS A THEORY OF MY CASE -- UM -- FOR THE JURY TO DECIDE.
- 4 BUT I WOULD INCLUDE THAT IN WHERE -- WHERE I STATED, AFTER
- 5 MALICE AFORETHOUGHT.
- 6 AT THAT POINT, YOUR HONOR, I'D REST.
- 7 THE COURT: AND WITH THOSE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
- 8 MR. MOMOT: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT LAST AMENDMENT.
- THE COURT: OKAY, I'M GOING TO -- I'LL LET -- LET -- I
- 10 Just make him make his proposed amendments before he rests.
- 11 THEN I'LL ALLOW YOU TO ARGUE YOUR OPPOSITION TO THE AMENDMENTS
- 12 IN CLOSING.
- 13 MR. MOMOT: OKAY.
- 14 THE COURT: SO I HAVEN'T ALLOWED IT, IN OTHER WORDS. I
- 15 JUST MAKE HIM STATE HIS PROPOSED AMENDMENT REFORE HE RESTS.
- 16 MR. MOMOT: CKAY, CKAY, CKAY,
- 17 THE COURT: OKAY. SO RE RESTS.
- IB ANY WITNESSES OR EVIDENCE FROM THE DEFENSE?
- 19 MR. MOMOT: NO. YOUR HONOR.
- 20 THE COURT: HAVE YOU ADVISED YOUR CLIENT OF HIS RIGHT TO
- 21 TESTIFY?
- 22 MR. MOMOT: YES, YOUR HONOR, HE'S NOT GOING TO.
- 23 THE COURT: ORAY. AND YOU REST?
- 24 MR. MOMOT: WELL, AFTER I GET THAT ADMITTED, MY EXHIBIT,
- 25 INTO EVIDENCE --

- 1 THE COURT: YES.
- 2 MR. MOMOT: THEN I REST.
- 3 THE COURT: IT WILL BE ADMITTED, THANK YOU.
- 4 (WHEREUPON DEFENSE'S EXHIBIT A WAS ADMITTED INTO
- 5 EVIDENCE.)
- 6 THE COURT: ARGUMENT BY THE STATE?
- 7 MR. BATEMAN: I'LL WATVE AND RESERVE.
- B. THE COURT: ORBY. ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE AS TO THE
- 9 CHARGE, AND IF YOU WANT TO OPPOSE ANY -- EITHER OF THE TRO
- 10 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS.
- 11 MR. MONOT: THE CARRYING CONCEALED NEAPON I'M NOT GOING
- 12 TO ARGUE THAT. I'LL SUBMIT THAT, YOUR HONOR.
- 13 THE COURT: OKAY:
- 14 MR. MONOT: AS PAR AS THIS AMENDMENT ABOUT A CHALLENGE TO
- 15 FIGHT, IT'S THE DECEDENT GETS HIS FATHER TO DRIVE OVER
- 16 THERE SO FAST THAT HE BEATS EVERYBODY ELSE TO MR. PIMENTEL'S
- 17 APARTMENT, WHICH IS ONLY TWO TO THREE MILES AWAY FROM ARIZONA
- 18 CHARLIE'S, WHEN THEY ALL LEFT IMMEDIATELY FROM VALET AND GO
- 19 DIRECTLY TO THAT APARTHENT. SO TO SAY THAT HE'S CHALLENGING
- ZO TO FIGHT, IT WOULD APPEAR JUST THE OPPOSITE, TEAT THE DECEDENT
- 21 IS THE AGGRESSOR LOOKING FOR MR. PIMENTEL, AND, IN FACT, FINDS
- 22 HIM UP OUTSIDE MR. PIMENTEL'S AFARTMENT ON THE THIRD FLOOR
- 23 WHEN EVERYBODY ELSE ARRIVES.
- 24 THE COURT: YOU CAN CONTINUE, UNLESS YOU'RE DONE.
- 25 MR. MONOT: WELL, I'M DONE. I MEAN, IT'S JUST -- IT'S

- I INCONSISTENT TO PUT THAT THEORY INTO THE PLEADING AT THIS
- Z STAGE, IT'S COING TO CAUSE CONFUSION.
- 3 THE COURT: OKAY.
- 4 MR. MOMOT: AND I DON'T THINK IT'S PROPERLY SUPPORTED BY
- 5 THE TESTIMONY.
- 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BATEMAN?
- 7 MR. BATEMAN: I DON'T KNOW IF MR. MONOT HAD WANTED TO
- 8 DISCUSS BINDOVER ON THE ACTUAL UNDERLYING CHARGE OR IF HE'S
- 9 SUBMITTING IT.
- 10 THE COURT: PARDON MET
- 11 MR. BATEMAN: I DIDN'T KNOW IF MR. NOMOT IS SUBMITTING
- 12 IT, OTHERWISE, ON THE UNDERLYING CHARGE.
- 13 THE COURT: ARE YOU SUBMITTING ON THE UNDERLYING CHARGE?
- 14 I TAKE IT HE IS, HE SAT DOWN.
- 15 MR. MOMOT: I'LL -- I'LL -- I'M COING TO SUBMIT IT ON
- 16 THAT, ON THE CRARGE, YOUR HONOR.
- 17 MR. BATEMAN: THE TESTINONY WHERE THE CHALLENGE --
- 18 THE COURT: THERE WAS SOME TESTIMONY FROM TIMOTHY. SO
- 19 LET ME ASK YOU THIS. ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE CHALLENGE, SO
- 20 TO SPEAK, AT ARIZONA CHARLIE'S?
- 21 MR. BATEMAN: ABSOLUTELY.
- 22 THE COURT: OKAY. SO WHERE -- I HAVE TO TIMO TRAT;
- 23 BECAUSE I DO REMEMBER SOME TESTIMONY, SO ...
- 24 MR. BATEMAN: NOULD YOU LIKE ME TO GO ON OR DO YOU WANT
- 25 TO READ YOUR --

- THE COURT: NO, HOLD ON, LET ME FIND IT.
- 2 ORAY, RECITE WHAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE TESTIMORY IS
- 3 TO THAT CHALLENGE THAT HAPPENED AT ARIZONA CHARLES.
- 4 MR. BATEMAN: THE CHALLENGE TO FIGHT ACCORDING TO --
- 5. UM -- TIM WAS THAT -- I DON'T REMEMBER VERBATIN, MAYBE WE HAVE
- 6 TO PULL THE TRANSCRIPT, IS THAT THE DEPEN -- HE SAID THE
- 7 DEFENDANT WAS TELLING, LOOKING TO FIGHT AND WAS TELLING BORBY
- 8 THAT HE WANTED TO FIGHT, AND THAT THE COMMUNICATION CONTINUED,
- 9 WE'LL HAVE THE FIGHT BACK AT -- UH -- SIEGEL SUITES.
- 10 BUT WHAT TIM TESTIFIED TO IS THAT LOBERZO WAS THE ONE --
- 11 THE DEFENDANT WAS THE ONE WHO CHALLENGED INITIALLY BOBBY TO
- 32 THE FIGHT. THE FACT THAT THE FIGHT OCCURS FIVE MINUTES, TEN
- 13 MINUTES, 30 MINUTES DOWN THE ROAD, DOESN'T REGATE THE PACT
- 14 THAT THIS CONFRONTATION OCCURRED INITIALLY, AT LEAST FACTUALLY
- 15 CAN BE ARGUED, BASED UPON THE DEFENDANT'S INITIAL CHALLENGE TO
- 16 FIGHT.
- 30 IT'S A THEORY OF THE PROSECUTION. THE STATE'S
- 18 ENTITLED TO IT BASED UPON THE EVIDENCE IN THIS PARTICULAR
- 19 CASE, THE FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS THAT WERE -- UN -- STATED BY THE
- 20 DEFENSE ARE FACTS THAT CAN BE ARGUED IN FRONT OF A JURY, BUT
- 21 THAT'S WHAT THE TESTIMONY WAS, IF MY RECOLLECTION IS CORRECT
- 22 AS TOO THAT THEORY.
- 23 IT'S SIMILAR, QUITE FRANKLY, TO THE PREMEDITATION AND
- 24 DELIBERATION, AND I'M ENTITIED, I THINK, TO MY MY THEORIES
- 25 OF THE CASE GOING FORWARD, AS LONG AS THE COURT'S GOING TO ...

- I UM -- FIND PROBABLE CAUSE THAT THE KILLING OCCURRED, I CAN
- 2 NOTICE THAT THEORY, AND IT WILL BE THE SUBJECT OF TESTIMONY AT
- I THE TRIAL AND ARGUMENT OF COUNSEL.
- MR. MOMOT: CAN I -- CAN I -- CAN I RESPOND TO THIS IN MY
- 5 ARGUMENT?
- 5 THE COURT: I USUALLY DON'T, BUT I'M LET YOU RESPOND.
- 7 MR. MOMOT: YOUR HONOR, I -- YOUR HONOR, I GO THROUGH
- 8 THIS ALL THE TIME ON THESE TYPE OF CASES WITH THIS OPEN MURDER
- 9 CHARGE, THERE'S NO PREMEDITATION OR DELIBERATION ON THIS
- 10 CASE, WHATSOEVER.
- II WHAT HAPPENS HERE UNDER THIS PARTICULAR FACTUAL PATTERN,
- 12 is that there's an argument between these two guys at arizona
- 13 CHARLIE'S OVER A WOMAN. SOBELT, TRAT'S COING TO NEVER STOP
- 14 TODAY, TOMORROW OR ANYTIME SOON, BUT THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED.
- 15 And teese pellas, the -- it's obvious, deportmately for
- 16 MR. HOLLAND, THE BRD, HE GOT A RIDE FROM HIS DAD TO GET OVER
- 17 TO MR. PIMENTEL'S APARTMENT, BEAT THEN IS ARRIVAL OVER TO THAT
- 18 APARTMENT.
- 19 NOW, YOU HAVE THE OTHER FOLKS THAT CAME FROM ARIZONA
- 20 CHARLIE'S, THEY ARRIVE. MY CLIENT GETS OUT OF THE CAR, AND
- 21 There are words between these two. Meanwrite, the -- the --
- 22 THE DECEDENT, HE'S THE ONE THAT'S UP ON THE THIRD FLOOR AT
- 23 PIMENTEL'S APARTMENT. HE'S COMING DOWN THE STAIRS. SO HE'S
- 24 LOOKING FOR MY GUY. HE'S THE AGGRESSOR.
- 25 Then the argument engues, and further then punches by goy

- 1 IN THE MOUTH.
- NOW, ACCORDING TO THIS TESTIMONY, WAICH I'M NOT AGREEING
- 3 TO, MY CLIENT IS -- SUPPOSABLY TAKES OUT A GUN AND SHOOTS THE
- 4 DECEDERT AT THAT TIME: NOW, WE'RE SUPPOSE TO INFER FROM THAT
- 5 CONDUCT, THAT IMMEDIATE CONDUCT, AFTER BEING PUNCHED IN THE
- 6 HEAD BY A SIX-FOOT-TWO, 290 POUND MAN, THAT HE -- HE -- HE --
- 7 HE TAKES OUT THIS GUN, AND THIS IS SUPPOSE TO BE PREMEDITATION
- 8 AND DELIBERATION IN THE CONDUCT HEREIN. WE SHOULD HAVE THIS
- 9 COING OP ON A CHARGE OF A DEATH ON LESSER CHARGES THAN MURDER
- 10 ONE OR NURDER TWO.
- 11 THAT'S -- THAT'S WHAT MY ARGUMENT IS TO THIS COURT,
- 12 BECAUSE THERE IS NO PREMEDITATION OR DELIBERATION IT HAPPENED
- 13 SO QUICKLY.
- 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
- 15 MR. MOMOT: AND ALL THE FACTS JUST LEAD TO AN OPPOSITE
- 16 CONCLUSION BY THE -- YOU HAVE THE TESTIMONY OF THE SHOOTING,
- IT THAT'S CORRECT. BUT THERE IS NO TIME FOR THE PREMEDITATION
- 18 AND DELIBERATION TO EXIST, BECAUSE HE'S GOING -- MY CLIENT'S
- 19 GOING BACK TO BIS HOME, HIS RESIDENCE, AND IS CONFRONTED BY
- 20 THE DECEDENT AT THAT TIME, WHO AMAZINGLY BEAT HIM THERE AFTER
- 21 GETTING PICKED UP BY HIS FATHER.
- 22 THE COURT: ARE YOU FINISHED?
- 23 HR. MOMOT: YES, MAYAN.
- 24 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, AS TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF
- 25 ADDING OF CARRYING CONCEALED WEAPON UN MR. MOMOT HAS

- I SUBMITTED ON THAT, AND I BELIEVE THERE IS PACTS OR TESTIMONY
- 2 TO SUPPORT THAT IT WAS FULLY CONCEALED UNTIL HE PULLED IT OUT.
- 3 I'M GOING TO ALLOW THAT AMENDED CHARGE.
- 4 AS TO THE AMENDED FACTS ABOUT CHALLENGING HIM TO THE
- 5 FIGHT, THERE WAS TESTIMONY BY MR. HILDEBRAND, BOTH AT ARIZONA
- 6 CHARLIE'S, THAT MR. PIMENTEL, THE DEPENDANT, WAS --- UM ---
- 7 INSTEGATING OR CHALLENGING BOSBY TO A FIGHT, AND NOT THAT IT
- 8 WASN'T RECIPROCAL, BUT THAT THERE WAS SOME CHALLENGE TO A
- 9 FIGHT WITH BORBY AT THAT TIME, AND THERE WAS ALSO TESTIMONY
- 10 THAT AT THE LOCATION OF THE SMOOTING THAT LORENZO KEPT TELLING
- 11 BOBBY HE WANTED TO FIGHT. SO I BELIEVE THAT THE TESTIMONY OF
- 12 MR. HILDEBRAND SUPPORTS -- UM -- THAT PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF
- 13 THE THEORY. SO I'M ALLOWING THAT AMENOMENT.
- 14 AS TO MR. MOMOT'S ARGUMENTS OF PREMEDITATION, I BELIEVE
- 15 ALL OF THOSE ARE MEANT FOR THE JURY, THEY GO TO PREMEDITATION,
- 16 THEY GO TO SELF-DEFENSE: THERE WAS TESTIMONY THAT AFTER THE
- 17 GUE MISTIRED THAT BORBY STARTED TO BASICALLY RETREAT, AND THAT
- 18 MR. PIMENTEL POLLOWED IN SUIT, AND THEN PIRED THE FATAL SHOT,
- 19 SO I THINK ALL OF MR. MOMOT'S ARGUMENTS ARE LEFT FOR A JURY.
- 20 BUT THERE IS SLIGHT OR MARGINAL EVIDENCE TO BELIEVE THAT
- 21 MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON AND CARRYING CONCEALED
- 22 WEAPON HAVE BOTH BE COMMITTED, AND THAT THE NAMED DEFENDANT
- 23 HEREIN, LUIS PINENTEL, COMMITTED SAID CRIMES. I HEREBY ORDER
- 24 MR. PIMENTEL TO ANSWER TO THESE CHARGES IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL
- 25 DISTRICT COURT ON THE DAY MY CLERK GIVES YOU.

1	THE CLERK: MARCH 6TH, 9:30, LOWER LEVEL ARRAIGNMENT A.
7	AND, STATE, I NEED THE NOC CODE FOR THAT.
3	MR. BATEMAN: I WILL GET IT.
Ą	THE CLERK: I HAVE A COUPLE, IF YOU WANT TO LOOK THROUGH
5	TT WITH ME.
6	MR. BATEMAN: 13 THERE JUST A NOC CODE FOR OPEN MURDER?
7.	THE CLERK: NO. NO. NOT FOR THE OPEN MURDER, THE
8	CARRYING CONCEALED WEAPON.
9	MR. BATEMAN: OH, I'M SORRY. I WILL I WILL COME OVER
1.0	THERE AND LOUK.
1.1	THE CLERK: I THINK I HAVE IT, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE.
12	AND I'LL MAKE A COPY OF THIS.
13	MR. BATEMAN: CAN I GET A COP - CAN I GET THAT SO I CAN
14	HAVE MISS STEPBANIE MAKE A COPY FOR US.
15	THE COURT: OKAY, THANK YOU.
16	MR. BATEMAN: THANK YOU.
1.7	THE MARSHAL: COURT'S IN RECESS.
18	
19	(AT 1:33 P.M. THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RECESSED.)
20	
21	ATTEST: FULL, TRUE AND CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT.
22	
23	CONTOR BEFORES
24	
7.0	

<u> </u>	7	ADMISSION [3] 10/1 62/2 70/16
1		ADMITTED [14] 2/20 3/3 10/3 10/8 62/4
E/KIT [1] 155/23	70[2] 221 272	62/6 70/19 70/20 108/17 108/19 129/21 148/24 149/3 149/4
1	74121 49/10/19/25	ADVISED [2] 5/7 148/20
10 [5], 2/24 16/20 47/11 105/3 119/25	8	[af (i) i3/48
109 (3) 38/21 38/22	88 [1] 2/11	AFORETHOUGHT DE 147/22 147/22
108 (2) 3/5 3/5 18/39 (2) 1/17 4/3	9	148/5 AFTER (55) 8/2 17/13 68/13 66/13 75/25
11[6] 2024 9/13 10/2 10/7 146/9 146/13	9,080 [1] 47/8	77/14/80/16/80/24/83/11/83/18/2/85/16
118 [1] 2/12	9-1-1 [5]-91/23 91/25 92/9 139/7 139/8	86/5 87/19 89/20 91/6 102/25 103/1 112/4
11851 [1] \$v16	95 [1] 127/17	112/6 118/5 118/6 119/10 119/15 119/20
120 [1] 2/13	9-30 111 155/1	121/1 122/11 122/18 122/18 125/1 125/9 128/13 126/15 136/11 124/14 125/1 135/13
126 11 2/15 131 147/20	A	137/8 138/4 138/6 141/1 141/2 141/14
13-11851(1) 8/16	A PARTICULAR (1) 20/3	[41/23] 141/24 142/1 [42/3] 147/2 147/32
13F28476111 4/8	AM 22 1/37 4/3	147/23 (48/4 148/24 183/8 183/20 184/16
[13F20476X [1] 1/7	A 1 [3] 1 46/17 1 46/18 1 47/1 A 2 [3] 1 46/18 1 47/1	AFTERNOON[2] 59/11/95/8 AFTERWARDS[1] 15/17
[14[1]] 147/19 [140][1] [2/16	ARIXOMEN 3] 17/16 17/18 18/6	IACAIN [20] 19/5 26/3 26/4 28/10 28/14
149 [1] 3/4	ABDOMINAL [1] 17/17	37/22 38/3 38/16 40/12 46/24 52/12 55/14
18421 105/3 119/17	ABILITY [2] 32/18 51/16	68/16 71/16 74/14 79/20 19/25 101/17
150 (1) 15/15	AMLE [7] 12/4 25/15 42/16 52/25 5/2 549 134/16	133/19 140/3 AGAINST 1 KVS
16(3) 13/10 (4/19 38/12 18(1) 2/6	ABOLET741 5/1 9/8 10/11 10/33 12/3 12/21	[VCB13] 10/10 10/35 20/18
1:33[1] 155/19	13/14 15/15 15/15 16/11 28/12 30/1 31/23	AGGRESSIVE (4), 46/16 55/11 56/9 1/3/9
2	33/22 38/24 40/17 42/21 44/4 49/1 49/10	AGGRESSOR [2] 149/21 152/24
***************************************		AGREE [2] 46/4 57/2
2,000 [1.] 47/3 2014: 149/17	66/7 72/7 78/25/82/10 85/12 89/10 92/16	AGREEING [1] 153/2 AMEAD [11] 5/22/29/6 44/11 45/12/58/20
200 [7] 38/21 38/22 40/17 40/20 44/14 46/1	92/25 93/15 93/23 94/6 94/7 95/15 98/24	59/2 85/33 102/17 125/33 126/13 147/17
\$1/\$4	99/19 10/04 10/5/1 10/5/4 110/1 110/11 111/5	AID [2] 48/16 139/6
2013(1) 704	113/6 (13/16 (13/23 117/21 (18/9 118/2) 119/6 (19/17 (19/25 12/20 12/21 13/2)	AIMED [1] 77/21 79/19
2014 [3] 1/16 4/1 89/10 22/5 [1] 5//1 88/21 92/13 126/24	135/24 14/23 146/24 146/25 149/14 150/19	[AIMING [1] 789 [AIN 7 [2] 1171 1110
23RD[2] 37/488/21	1544	AIR(1) 13/3
26[2] 1/1640	ABOVE [5] 11/5 11/5 17/1 33/22 37/3	AL (2) 8/11 35/19
290 [2] <i>51/3</i> 15/76	[ABRASION][3] 109 12/16/15 17/11	ALCOHOLIU 49/1
202 [1] 49/12 2ND [4] 69/10 92/14 143/25 144/20	10/22 20/25 28/13 28/15 26/7 26/10 27/16 17/20 51/12	ALL [113] 6/11 7/9 7/13 9/4 9/13 9/22 10/1 10/17 12/2 12/10 12/16 16/4 17/6 17/16
	ABIRASIONS [25] 13/7 24/15 25/5 25/6	18/14/21/12/22/4/31/6/32/20/34/7/34/21
3	1 25/15 25/21 26/3 26/4 26/12 26/14 26/15	35/17 36/13 38/18 41/31 42/15 42/18 44/10
3,000[1] 43/4	26/20/26/22/26/23/27/9/28/11/30/8/30/12	45/10/51/6/52/2/52/6/33/20/54/12/55/6/56/17
3013) 104/14 104/15 151/13	31/18 46/12 51/8 5.V24 54/12 54/25 55/1 ABSENCE [3] 14/21 14/22 16/15	56/23 58/3 58/19 60/5 60/10 60/12 61/17 62/14 63/22 64/19 66/2 66/5 66/6 67/12
300 [1] 30/21 36/25 [2] 67/22 [44/] [ARSENTIU 35/14	67/13 68/11 68/19 69/6 71/19 77/14 79/10
3RD [2] 144G 152/16	ABSOLUTELY [1] 150/21	79/15 81/14 EV4 85/16 86/25 87/11 89/9
4	ABUSER [2] 40/21 44/16	90/1 91/14 92/23 97/7 99/21 101/4 102/14
40[1] 47(3	labusers (1) 40/18 57/15 abusiye (1) 46/2	103/21 103/19 106/7 109/12 109/21 110/24 111/21 112/13 112/23 12/9 12//13 12/4
400 [1] 46/8	ACCIDENT [4] 45/5 48/6 51/20 53/6	12.9/11 12.9/22 12.4/3 12.4/3 12.9/10 12.9/23
41,000 [1] 47/8	ACCORDING EIL 112/18 151/4 151/4	131/12 131/12 131/20 133/13 133/16 133/13
410 151 36/12 37/22 39/2 47/17 48/10	ACCORDINGLY [4] 5/7 21/16 22/14 22/16	134/23 134/25 135/17 137/15 147/18 141/16
5	ACCURATE BIJ 9/23/23/21/56/16 /0/13 ACKNOWLEIKER (1) 34/3	142/4 143/18 143/21 145/8 147/9 149/18 150/6 152/8 153/14 153/15 154/15 154/19
50[1] XX	ACROSS (7) 87/830/1990/2190/2291/1	ALEGATIONS [1] 151/19
500 (1) 4777	91/3 (30/3)	ALLOWIN 19841 1840
53[1] 2/8	ACTIVITY III 1244	ALLOWED 121 4325 14V14
59711 2/10	ACTUAL [2] 118/24 150/8 ACTUAL LY [4] 13/6 15/3 82/4 119/7	ALEOWING [2] 5/1 154/03 ALONE [4] 18/3 63/25/95/21 107/4
6	ADD [4] 41/12 147/14 147/19 147/22	ALONG 131 13/25 73/13 97/17
6,400 [6] 36/11 36/14 36/14 46/6 47/25 48/5	ADDED HE 1413	ALREADY [1] 39/3
600 [5] 40/17 40/20 44/14 46/2 57/14	ADDICT H 47/4	ALSO [23] 6/18/8/8/91 10/22 14/8/19/12
6)[1] 2/23 640(11 5//)	ADDING (1) 15323 ADDITION (2) 1423 1646	1873 24418 26723 27716 27720 2873 31723 40722 42716 56714 5778 75717 11971 117721
68[2] 1/24 185/23	ADDITIONAL (a) 41/5/52/2/64/17 12/01	142/11 147/19 1849
67f1 [1] 155/i	ADDRESS [2] 67/20 \$9/15	SLTER(2) 35/17 35/24
	AMMSSIBILITY [2] 103/14 103/16	ALTERNATIVE (1) 29/19
	1	ļ
	The state of the s	<u> </u>

ALTHOUGH HI 28/15 ALAYAYS [4] 1476 14711 28723 XX23 AM [2] 45/4 72/16 aniamina (65) 62/23 63/3 64/9 63/12 63/16 63424 64/16 64/22 65/13 65/16 67/6 67/13 68018 69/22 69/4 69/7 69/11 72/8 73/19 7,1/20 74/15 74/16 75/3 92/21 95/3 97/6 97/8 97713 97714 97716 97724 9788 99723 99725 100/3 160/5 1/82/19 100/21 101/6 101/9 101/16 101/20 101/23 102/22 103/1 104/6 105/22 105/23 106/7 106/12 110/11 111/23 129/4 129/5 139/14 131/15 131/15 132/1 1328 14321 1447 14473 1456 14576 145/23 AMANDA'S [1] 97/8 AMAZINGLY[1] 153/20 AMBULANCE [3] 91/11 91/17 139/12 AMENDED [2] 154/3 154/4 AMENDAMENT [6] 148/8 148/15 149/14 12034 12113 12413 AMENDMENTS [6] 147/11 147/13 148/7 148/10/148/11/149/10 AMOTINUJI 30/20 37/20 46/6 AMPHETAMINE [24] 364 36/1 37/14 ATHS 38/6 38/7 38/21 39/3 39/11 39/13 39/15 39/20 39/23 39/25 46/22 47/6 47/8 47/11 47/12 48/3 48/12 40/4 49/5 55/0 AND/OR [2] 64/22 [47/22 ANOTHER [3] 20/24 20/25 27/20 answerfij 39a 9071 907 18404 Answerkdij 8643 anticipate(i) \$40 any 1601-3/3 8/19 8/24 9/1 10/3 1/2/16 1/2/13 14/25 20/16 21/7 22/4 28/16 29/17 29/18 29/19/30/130/15/31/19/32/8/32/8/32/9/30/21 35/4 35/6 35/9 35/14 42/16 43/8 43/19 48/21 50/2 SO(1 \$1/2 SA/9 \$6/11 \$6/19 \$7/10 \$7/17 \$6/8 62/3 62/\$1, 67/9 69/3 69/6 79/17 75/24 76/13 93/25 94/3 99/19 103/7 105/8 107/25 108/14 109/21 120/1 120/3 146/6 148/18 149/9 ANYBODY [S] 60/10.64/13 91/16/99/7 \$ 28° \$4 ANYPLACE III 107/6 ANY THING [10] 21/19 31/14 31/14 72/9 76/13 79/10 85/13 85/25 86/4 86/18 87/14 B7/18 87/21 88/8 94/1 98/24 99/5 10.017 1309 132/12 ANY TIME [1] 152/14 ANYWAY [2] 85/1 101/25 ANYWAYS II 134 N ANYWHERE [2] \$6/10.86/11 adieta (3) 15/11 15/21 15/24 APARTMENT (19] 605 606 1049 18613 106/17 114/15 (16/12 116/13 131/1 135/20 140/8 140/9 144/6 149/17 149/19 149/23 152/17 152/18 152/23 APARTMENTS (I) 1300 APPEAR [4] 73/18 75/2 133/8 149/20 APPEARS [3] 25/13 26/1 61/22 APPRECIATE [4] 22/12/57/21 115/16 APPROACH [11] 5/4 21/14 61/18 70/2 79/25 [147] 114/12 125/18 129/18 132/19 133/19 APPROACHED [1] 125/21

APPROACHING [1] 21/24 APPROXEMATE [1] 67/20 APPROXEMATE CY [7] 7/6 15/14 47/588/2 85/8 94/6 132/23 ARIE [68] 4/23 6/6 9/19 9/20 9/20 12/16 11/14/17/1 14/25 25/3 25/20 26/0 26/14 26/15/26/20 26/23/27/2/27/9/27/24/30/8 30/13/33/12/33/13/34/12/39/20/42/14/43/13 45/13/45/16/46/14/47/2/47/7/47/12/52/12 52/12 52/21 53/24 54/12 58/9 79/4 79/5 82/16 82/24 83/18 83/18 101/6 109/18 110/) 116/28 117/24 122/2 122/3 122/9 122/20 123/4 125/10 125/11 127/8 137/18 146/20 147/13 150/13 150/19 151/20 152/21 153/23 154/15 154/19 ARE THE [1] 52/13 AREA [21] 20/22/32/3/55/5/65/3/68/14 69/25 70/6 70/10 70/25 71/3 71/8 89/11 84/25 87/3 169/13 136/15 124/23 133/34 138/14 138/18 140/20 AREAS [2] 18/25 20/16 AREN'T [1] 4/24 ARGIJE [3] 46/16 148/11 149/13 ARCUED [3] 113/10/151/15 151/20 ARCHING [14] 72/2 72/3 76/21 103/16 103/3 107/21 107/22 110/14 111/19 123/5 113/12 116/25 117/13 120/19 ARGUMENT [24] 41/1572/572/77/10 7,913 749 74/10 74/14 75/7 75/25 80/11 104/11 111/16 120/16 120/19 133/8 133/9 149/6 149/8 152/3 152/5 152/12 152/25 153/11 ARGUMENTS [2] 15VI4 15VI9 ART [1] 69/14 ARIZONA [47] 60/7 60/8 60/12 61/8 64/7 64/9 64/17 65/14 65/14 65/16 65/21 65/25 67/4 67/25 69/2 69/2 69/10 74/6 74/9 75/25 76/2 76/8 88/2 94/23 95/5 95/10 95/15 96/1 98/19 99/25 100/2 101/13 101/19 105/10 127/10/127/18 128/15 128/17 129/14 142/19 148/2 149/17 150/20 151/3 152/12 152/19 154/5 ARMS [2] 8677 8678 AROTH SME AROUND [36] 10/19 13/22 16/15 19/14 37/5 63/23 71/6 80/27 83/8 RVIO 83/16 RVIA B3/25 B4/10 89/20 91/5 91/6 109/13 112/15 [19/12 119/19 121/16 121/18 121/19 121/19 121/22 123/10 123/17 123/19 123/22 123/2 125/9 137/8 138/25 140/22 140/24 ARRAIGNMENT (1) 155/1 ARRANGED III 89/17 ARRIVAL JIJ 182/17 ARRIVE[5] 91/13/96/5 [44/]6 [44/2] 152/20 ARRIVED[6] 91/17/91/19/91/11/96/23 109/22 145/12 ARRIVES [3] 8/4 142/25 149/23 AS (140) SA 5117 SA 6414 645 87 67 842 8/22 9/2 9/2 0/13 10/13 10/13 10/15 12/10 13/1 13/3 14/13 15/5 15/5 15/7 15/25 15/25 16/11 16/16 16/17 17/23 17/24 18/4 18/4 18/8 18/10 20/6 21/11 21/11 22/14 23/20 24/7 25/7 25/16 25/16 25/23 25/23 26/1 26/1 28/3 28/5 28/20 28/20 30/11 31/72 JN13 34/12/34/15 35/10 36/23 36/23 37/16 38/6 38/17 39/11 39/11 39/15 39/25 39/25 40/4 40/25-41/18 41/18 4V2 43/2 4V5 4V16

43/16 43/20 44/7 44/13 44/13 44/20 44/31 44/23 44/28 45/2 46/12 46/14 46/21 46/23 47124 48/6 SUPLI 31/1 51/4 51/24 52/11 53/21 53/21 54/17 54/14 56/17 58/21 58/25 61/21 63/2 63/2 63/14 64/6 64/25 70/5 71/10 80/3 81/13 81/13 89/9 94/10 96/35 96/25 99/22 108/21 110/25 114/4 115/40 126/6 126/8 127/6 129/21 134/24 137/18 147/5 147/5 148/3 149/8 149/14 149/14 151/32 <u>131/03 131/13 133/04 134/4 134/4</u> ASK [17] 12/138/138/5 44/5 58/11 51/17 51/23 52/2 67/17 75/21 98/21 98/24 111/5 12/019 130/21 142/5 150/19 asked [12] \$1/16 \$2/8 \$2/9 \$6/13 60/17 7576 9573 101721 110724 118723 118725 askino [4] *59/11 58/25 75/2* [11/6 ASPECT[1] 630 assii) 1034 assign (ii) dui ASSOCIATED [1] 11/10 ASSOCIATING [1] 97/28 ASSUNIK [3] 15/4/35/3/136/10 ASSEMING [2] 13/23 51/12 ASSUMPTION [1] 35/1 APPACHED DE 1074 43/5 51/23 ATTEMPTING HI 5000 ATTENTION (10) 7/13 29/24 38/20 37/17 39/22 40/2 59/25 87/16 110/1 126/23 attestii 1880). ATTORNEY [1] 1/19 ATYPICAL [1] 17/10 AUDINLE [3] 21/17 49/18 96/11 AUDIO (11 92/24 ALTHENTICIH AVII AUTHORITY HT 147/20 ALTOPSIES 141 70 7/7 7/20 52/14 ALTIOPSY [15] 705 703 388 88 806 8/20 9/21 9/24 23/23 33/9 33/25 41/22 43/6 44/7 ANVARIE [3] 29/20 30/3-39/21 AVAY [21] 13/1 13/9 13/11 13/13 13/16 14/15 14/20 35/13 67/25 78/23 79/11 79/11 80/23 83/10 90/16 94/20 107/21 117/24 11898 132/4 149/17 AWIIILE [1] 1334

R

BACK [71] 11/22 12/15 15/13 15/13 17/22 24/16 24/16 24/19 2R/S 30/R 32/2 65/9 65/24 69/1 71/9 73/14 74/7 74/8 76/12 76/21 77/19 79/19 79/21 80/16 84/11 84/14 86/3 86/1 97/2 99/24 100/2 102/16 103/1 103/3 104/9 107/13 107/14 111/16 113/17 117/13 117/16 121/4 121/16 121/21 122/11 122/21 123/2 123/7 125/10 125/12 129/25 130/15 132/9 132/15 132/23 133/2 134/13 140/7 140/8 140/25 141/24 145/1 145/6 (45/0 145/16 145/23 146/22 146/25 147/6 151/9 153/19 BACKED [4] 13.716 13.717 134/11 141/15 BACKS [2] (2371 125/6 BACKWARDS [6] 47/24 78/3 79/3 79/9 80/23 83/8 BAG 151 7/24 7/24 8/1 99/2 99/3 DAR [16] 87/6 \$7/8 87/9 87/20 90/4 90/5 90/7 90/8 90/8 90/10 90/14 91/1 91/2 91/3 92/20 105/19 BASE [1] 23/12

BASED(10) 12/5 14/22 15/24 45/2 63/19 73/21 83/12 147/25 151/15 151/18 BASICALLY [6] 18037820 132010 15447 MATEMAN(II) 1/19 25 27 2/10 2/12 2/15 5/759/3 82/19 108/8 150/6 BE [1 10] 4/24 4/28 5/4 5/10 6/15 9/22 10/5 13/7 13/18 14/4 15/17 19/23 21/1 21/12 21/18 22/24 23/2 23/17 23/19 25/13 25/18 2608 26010 29011 3002 3009 3104 32016 J4035 35/1 35/3 35/4 35/6 37/1 37/1/37/15 37/16 38/3 38/3 38/10 38/12 38/13 38/22 39/24 39/24 40/21 40/24 42/16 42/17 44/6 44/17 44/20 45/7 45/17 45/22 46/2 46/9 46/11 48/1 49/1 50/19 51/1 51/7 51/10 51/13 51/22 52/3 S 2/13 S 2/2 S 2/4 S 2/15 S 2/16 S 2/18 S 2/19 53/10 55/3 55/4 55/10 55/24 56/16 58/13 61/12 61/5 61/19 69/6 70/19 78/11 81/6 BOY 6 36717 104/14 104/15 108/11 108/17 £ 1974 | 24018 | 224020 | 2908 | 13308 | 137023 14017 140/19 147/18 147/24 149/3 151/15 151/20 153/2 153/7 154/22 BEAT [2] 152/17 153/20 BEATS[1] 149/16 BECAUSE [39] 13/14/14/12 15/20/17/10 17/11 17/12 17/16 32/24 39/13 39/19 41/3 41/24 52/1 56/22 58/9 58/15 66/24 74/3 75/6 75/11 90/25 99/25 109/25 116/11 117/22 119/18 119/19 123/1 123/1 130/19 133/14 134/12 134/22 136/10 137/4 144/24 150/23 153/12 153/18 HEEN (35) 5/17 69 6/11 7/12 9/12 14/15 17/20 18/3 18/4 28/22 28/23 28/24 29/13 39/8-40/18 17/4 51/2 54/5 51/9 56/13 57/15 58/23 60/23 61/21 63/11 68/6 70/5 77/9 77/11 80/3 84/8 97/25 114/4 126/6 129/21 BEFORE [73] 1714 1222 13/5 15/25 46/14 5417 67/9 68/7 75/24 78/25 93/12 110/18 130/11/131/21 132/7 135/11 141/7 145/7 145/13 145/14 146/8 148/10 148/15 BEGANIZI 145/2 145/9 RECGING (11 113/23 BEGIN [I] MS BENAVIOR [11] 40/19/40/22/41/5/44/17 46/3 46/9 46/11 46/17 55/11 56/10 57/16 BEILIND [13] 71/11 109/2 LIOS (16/18 116/19 117/1 117/6 130/13 130/15 133/16 134/15 136/2 136/2 141/15 141/17 BEING [5] 140 144 41/13 30/15 153/5 RELIEFFI 100/19 BET HEYE [13] 4/21/3/3 20/6 34/10 30/15 SI/10 60/1 110/1 138/0 154/1 154/1 154/14 154720 BELLY [1] 16/25 BELOW [2] 16/25/143/16 HENCHIII 125/21 HESIDES [2] 52/14/53/4 BEST [1] 113/10 HETWEEN [47] 8/2 [8/12 [3/20 14/12 21/21 26/6 27/5 27/19 40/17 49/24 69/7. 69/11 72/22 73/21 73/23 79/15 83/13 83/17 88/16/92/13 104/11 107/10 108/8/110/10 HAVID LLAVES (1802-4 1197) 119/15 119/22 122/16 1230/124/6 124/15 124/16 124/19 114020 124023 12507 13605 137010 140015 143/8 143/10 143/15 152/12 152/31 HIG III 54%

BINDOVER [1] 1508 BIT [3] 46/21 102/18 117/17 BLOCKS[1] 68/1 8LOOD [19] 15/14 15/20 16/3 26/25 28/15 31/15 32/12 36/5 36/5 36/21 37/21 38/5 40/17 47/3 47/12 57/6 57/14 86/25 91/12 DLAUE [4] 19/24 59/18 59/20 139/16 BLAINT HI 9/2 BOB [1] \$1/20. BOBBY (192) HOBBY'S [18] 86/24 87/23 91/8 92/9 92/14 102/22 105/8 107/25 108/2 108/6 109/10 110/2 119/23 120/9 131/24 138/23 145/15 DODY [25] 7/21.7/24 7/24 8/4 8/21.8/21 RV23 RV28 9/1 10/14 13/2 13/5 13/6 13/6 13/10 13/5 13/6 13/12 13/18 18/23 30/16 32/16/44/15/56/9 119/23 BORROWEDIII 104/2 BOT [1] 123/23 BOTH [40] 4/19 5/23 38/20 39/24 59/4 107/10 126/15 146/24 154/5 154/22 BOTTOM [8] 24/10/73/9 76/20/80/13/80/14 116/24 117/10 123/16 BOULDER [16] 60/9-67/19-86/14-86/13 89/16/90/10 90/14 127/17 135/15 135/16 135/18 136/19 137/17 138/4 141/4 144/11 BOAVEL[1] 17/15 BRACE HI SAT RREAK [3] 8/3 8/3 65/12 BRIEFLY [7] 3/17 10/10 18/2 80/7 118/19 125/18 143/10 BRING [3] 7/25 21/3 56/26 BROKENTH 10/13 BROUGHT 12 7/22 40/2 BROWNIII 19725 BRUSE [6] 10/23 19/8 19/10 19/10 19/11 BRUISES [3] 25/1 25/1 46/12 BUILDISG [12] 71/7 71/10 100/4 100/11 12013 13001 137/6 138/2 144/12 144/15 14417 145/1 BUILDINGS (II) 71/8 BULLET [2] 13/3 17/4 BORN [3] 13/4 13/6 14/9 BURNS III IM BOTT 11 119/24 BUTTOCKS (c) 168 169 16/18 16/19 17/14 17/25 MUTTONIII 1635

C.S.R [3] 1/74 135/22 155/23
C296234 [1] 1/1
CALF [5] 20/24 51/10 53/15 53/15 53/20
CALL [9] 4/18 5/11 16/18 58/2 91/23 91/25
92/9 138/23 141/25
CALLED [12] 5/17 13/2 13/7 35/20 58/23
93/2 126/6 127/20 127/23 129/10 139/7
139/8
CALLING [1] 57/23
CAME [31] 6/22 23/13 29/24 35/18 64/10
69/23 70/25 76/20 66/17 86/21 86/25 87/23
89/2 190/2 101/22 103/1 103/2 113/18 122/0
122/19 130/11 130/23 132/7 132/64 141/24
145/1 145/7 145/9 135/13 145/21 152/49

8/18/9/13 10/22 11/1 12/8 12/23 13/4 13V10 13/13 13/17 14/5 19/9 19/10 19/13 21/10 20/20 23/17 H/25 3DA 3D/16 3D/17 XV/16 23/17 23/17 34/15 37/15 27/16 40/9 41/18 41/19 44/5 44/24 47/6 47/16 47/24 47/24 5D \$4 5 D 23 57/6 58/5 89/7 63/5 7 D 23 7 5/2) 81/12 108/9 108/9 115/10 125/18 126/18 133/19 139/15 149/24 151/15 151/10 153/1 152/4 152/4 152/4 155/13 155/13 155/13 Cant [7] 43/19.52/28.82/18.106/4.109/6 114/23 128/3 CAR[52] 66/17 66/18 66/19 68/9 68/9 68/12 68/16 69/3 69/24 70/25 87/6 87/10 90/5 1968 19645 1986 11421 11541 11543 115/18 115/18 115/21 116/2 116/4 121/17 1249 124/10 127/25 128/1 128/2 130/15 133/22 134/8 137/14 (37/14 138/7 138/6 138/9 138/17 141/8 143/8 143/10 143/12 143/18 144/16 144/21 145/7 143/11 (45/16 145/18 145/23 152/20 CARRYING [5] 147/14 149/11 183/25 154/21 155/8 CARS [12] 80/23 116/5 119/12 121/19 121/19 122/10 122/17 123/9 125/7 125/9 141723-141724 CASE [43] 1/1 1/7 4/24 7/21 W5 W14 W16 8220 9/1 9/10 10/20 10/20 14/13 14/16 14/23 17/1 18/9 20/1 20/1 30/16 32/20 33/21 34/6 34/10 34/11 35/14 37/18 45/17 45/18 45/23 46/5 50/15 51/25 52/5 53/3 53/7 53/16 53**/18** 113/21 148/3 151/19 151/25 152/10 CASIS [2] 3374 1378 Casinó [12] 60/14/60/16/67/1/65/49/25 9766 97/14 97/13 98/2 98/6 99/11 99/23 CATEGORY [2] 5222 14705 CAUSE (12) 7/4 8/12 18/10 41/23 50/15 50/20 51/24 52/4 52/6 52/11 150/2 152/1 CAUSES [2] 450 53% CAVITY [2] 15/15 15/16 [CELL. [1] 127/21 CENTER (1) 137/16 CERTAINLY [1] 18/21 CERTAINTY (1) 18/10 CERTIFICATE [1] 1/34 CERTIFIED [1] 155/21 CHALLENGE 171 149/14 189/17 180/19 151/3 151/4 151/15 154/8 CHALLENGED 12) 138/1 151/11 CHALLENGING [SI 19/12 147/23 149/19 1544 1547 CHANGEU[1] 123/4 CHARGE [8] 149/9 180/8 150/12 180/13 150/16 152/9 153/9 151/3 CHARGES [2] 153/9 IS4/24 CHARLIC'S [47] 60/7 60/8 66/12 61/8 64/7 649 6417 65114 65114 65116 65/22 65/25 67/4 67/25 69/2 69/10 69/10 74/6 74/9 75/25 76/3 76/8 88/2 94/24 95/5 95/10 95/15 96/1 98/19 100/1 100/2 101/15 101/19 105/11 127/10 127/19 128/15 128/17 120/14 142/19 1482 149/18 150/20 151/3 152/13 152/20 1544 CHASED[2] 119/12/121/19 CHASING [4] 119/14 H9/18 129/25 141/13 CHAVEZJIJ 3010 CHECK(I) 67/2 CHECKED HI 13977

CHECKING [1] 66/25

CAN [69] 4/18 5/5 5/11 5/14 6/1 6/14 7/17

CHEST [14] 11/4 11/23 14/14 15/4 15/8 13/14 15/16 16/3 16/11 30/19 11W16 (19/2) 119/21 122/21 CHEVROLET 191 82/25 83/13 83/19 85/6 136/1 138/10 140/11 140/15 141/8 CHILD 131 101/2 101/4 129/11 CHOOSE(1) 30/22 CHU[2] 79/18 79/18 CHU-CHU (1) 79/18 CIRCULATORY III 57/9 CIRCUMPERENTIAL [1] 11/9 CIRCUMSTANCES [1] 1325 CLARIFICATION III 134/21 CEARIFY [4] 17/19/80/25/82/19 146/17 CLARK [9] 1/4 4/1 6/7 6/25 34/9 34/20 42/21 45/17 67/23 CLEANIE 823 CLEANED HE LIVE CLEAR [1] 31/22 CLERK [3] 4/15/126/2 154/25 CLICK [3] 112/21 128/2 125/7 CLIENT[3] 148/20 152/20 153/3 CLIENT'S [1] 153/18 CLIENTS I II 45/17 CLIPPEDIII 2824 CLIPPINGS [1] 31/19 CLOSE [8] 12/24 (3/6 13/24 (4/1 16/12 16/14/25/13 30/12 CLOSE (1P [4] 16/12 [6/14/25/13/30/13 CLOSENESS (1) 12/17 CLOSER (3) 17/7 17/9 30/7 CLOSING III 148/12 CLOTHES [4] 34/3 99/1 122/2 122/3 CEOTHNG [17] 13/18/13/22/14/3/14/9 32/532/632/732/1132/1332/1332/13 32/23/32/23/14/34/25/35/10/35/15 COCKF0 [1] 79/19 CODE [3] 32/6 185/2 185/6 COLLAPSULE 57/9 COLONIU 17/15 COLOR [2] 19/19/20/2 COLORS [1] 19/21 COME [30] 5/2/28/21/41/6/60/2/60/6/60/13 60/18/64/2 65/24 67/3 68/3 71/24 75/5 81/2 81/4 95/3 99/25 102/13 115/7 117/10 123/14 12/16 126/1 13/2/2 13/0/2 13/0/0 14/20 141/21 142/5 155/9 COMES [11] 8/21 15/12 41/1 107/15 107/15 116/24 117/10 121/12 123/19 140/10 143/14 COMPORTABLE 121 23/16/39/1 COMING LOT BUIL ALD THE THING AND 84/1184/14 107/8 148/9 146/1 120/9 122/19 123/6 135/3 135/9 145/15 152/13 COMMATH 147/23 COMMENTS [1] 40/22 COMMETTED [2] 154/22 (54/23 COMMON III 27/24 COMMONLY LIT 54/18 COMMUNICATION (S) 63/20 64/21 67/10 6974 151/8 COMMUNICATIONS [2] 63/22/63/24 COMPLETE [1] 29/11 COMPLEX [6] 94/10/94/12/14/15/135/20 1413/8 140/9 CON[1] 26/I

CONCEALED [7] 147/15 147/18 149/11

153/25 154/2 154/21 155/8 CONCENTRATION DE 4703 CONCERNED[2] 3624 3941 CONCLUSION [3] 4423 5741 15316 CONCLUSIONS [1] 42/40 CONDUCT [6] 7/14/8/7 45/2 153/5 153/5 1534 CONDUCTED HT 7/1 CONDUCTING [1] 8/8 CONFRONTATION [4] ST/13 SS/1 SS/5 151/14 CONFRONTEDUI ISVO CONFESED[I] 957.1 CONFESION[2] 57/8 150/3 CONNECTICUT [3] 6/17/6/18/6/20 CONSIDER [1] \$5/8 CONSIDERED [4] 37/3 38/3 38/13 49/14 CONSISTENT [13] 32/13 40/24 44/11 46/2 46/9 46/11 46/14 50/12 51/2 51/7 51/13 54/15 55/3 CONSUMED DE 47/4 CONTACT [13] 1321 1324 603 606 60/13 60/16 63/15 64/2 64/10 65/24 75/24 130/23 130/23 CONTAIN [2] BY 1 21/18 CONTENIS 41 17/17 18/5 18/6 43/9 CONTINUE 31 73/11 79/13 149/24 CONTINUED [1] 151/8 CONTINUING HI 79/8 CONTRARY [1] 35/15 CONTRIBUTORY [2] 45/24 45/25 CONTESION [6] 10/23 20/8 20/24 26/6 26/8 51/0 CONTERIONS [1] 2021 CONVERSATION [15] 6425-65/13736 73/8 73/11 73/21 73/15 76/12 76/13 93/12 100/14 101/14 101/18 103/8 107/10 CONVECTION [1] 93/18 COPIH 15503 CO12 [2] 8918 148/21 COPY [7] 22/8 14/21 147/2 147/5 147/6 (\$\$VI2 |\$\$VI4 CORONIN'S [2] 6/7 6/25 CORRECT (1991 COLULD [42] 12/20 14/1 18/6 19/21 20/9 20/14/20/15/21/10 22/13 22/16/22/17 24/6 25/14 25/17 25/18 17/5 29/21 32/24 M/25 35/4 35/5 35/6 35/17 39/24 39/24 49/21 SVIB 5VID 5V20 54/10 54/11 67/3 72/5 78/11 79/25 81/1 82/6 126/14 131/7 134/12 134/18 144/7 COULDN'T [3] 130/19/131/3 133/14/13423 147/17 COUNSEL [5] 9/7 22/12 70/5 128/21 1593 COUNT [1] 147/14 COUNTX [9] 1/4 4/1 6/1 6/25 34/9 34/9 42/21 45/17 67/23 COUP [1] 118/25 COUPLE [20] 31/18 68/5 68/3 88/28 90/17 90/18 10/25 112/23 113/2 113/6 113/8 113/10 113/12 113/14 113/15 117/16 123/1 123/2 147/10 155/4 COURSE [7] 9/23 19/2 69/2 72/10 90/23 110/14 139/7 COURTIN DA DIO MOSPIE ISDIE

135/17 COURTROOM[4] 43/20 33/13-59/14 139/13 COVERED [5] 56/12 56/17 56/18 56/19 56/22 CPR(3) 139/9 139/11 141/21 CREATE III 88 CRIME [1] 3916 CRIMES [1] 154723 [CRO85 [9] 2/6 2/16 HV17 18/20 56/22 85/12 88/18 139/23 140/1 CROSS EXAMINATION [8] 26 2/16 1897 (8/20/88/12/88/18 139/23 146/) CSA [2] 28/19/3/1/25 CS[[2] 28/19 33/25 CURRENTLY [1] 147/19 (21/1/4] 19/1 20/6 29/13 38/23 CHTS [2] 25/1 46/12

D

DAD [8] 16021 86022 86023 86024 87023 9106 91/8 352/16 DAMAGE [31 18/21 18/24 18/1 DARK [1] 32/11 DASH[1] 22/14 DATE [2] 4/7 30/19 OAY [3] 7/19 47/5 154/25 DEADLY [1] 15421 DEALING [1] AVIS DEATHLISE 7/5 S/12 15/25 18/7 18/10 18/14 19/15 45/24 46/1 46/19 50/15 51/24 52/14 52/21 153/9 DEATHS [1] 7/5 DEBRIS [1] 109714 DECEDENT [17] 7/22 IVIS IV22 I4/20 18/23 23/2 24/4 31/1 33/14 36/1 41/25 94/5 149/13 149/20 152/12 153/4 153/20 DECEDENT'S [3] 16/24/23/23/36/8 DECEMBER [7] 7/14 60/1 89/10 88/20 88/21 92/13 126/24 DECIDE [1] 104/8 148/3 DECISION 111 45% DEDUCE [1] 27/22 DEFECTION ALIABADA DEFENTI 151/6 DEFENDANT [74] 1/10 1/21 5/9 21/21 49/24 50/3 59/22 60/3 60/6 60/13 60/15 60/16 64/10 64/13 64/22 65/21 66/8 67/8 67/18/68/6 69/19 72/9 73/19 74/4 76/14 77/4 77/25 78/2 78/8 78/23 79/16 80/15 80/20 81/24/82/0 83/23/84/2 84/4 84/14/84/24/85/8 85/13 85/25 86/4 86/10 87/13 87/20 88/8 88/16 96/13 97/11 100/23 101/15 101/19 113/25 119/23 122/9 13/213 131/15 131/17 136/18 137/22 138/3 138/6 139/20 140/24 141/13 145/15 147/16 148/1 151/7 131/11 1546 15422 DEFENDANT'S [8] 669 665 66/13 70/11 71/21 80/5 101/19 131/15 DEFENSE [11] 4/11 21/11 21/18 21/19 21/21/21/24 108/21 148/18 149/8 151/20 154/16 DEFENSE'S [3] 3/3 108/18 149/4 DEFICIT 21 37/17 39/23 DEPUSE [1] 76/10 DECREE [1] 1809 DELIBERATION [6] 147/21 131/24 152/9 153/8 (53/12 153/18

COURT'S [5] 49/21 58/3 113/23 151/25

154/25 155/23

DEMONSTRATES[1] 16/14 DEPARTMENT [1] \$1/19 DEFENDING LI 1911. DEPENDS [1] 38/16 DEPICT [3] 24/14/25/12/30/0 DEPROTED[3] TUTTUTE 1223 perection (II 70/13 DEPICHONS 111 9/23 DEPICIS (II 143 MERCEY [1] 1/19 DESCRIBE (15) 7/18 W18 (1/2 12/8 12/2) (9/21 20/2) 24/6 25/1 27/5 17/17 54/12 59/16/81/12 139/15 DESCRIBED [2] 18/5.26/17 DESIGNATED [1] 31/9 DICTECTED [2] 49/8 49/6 DETECTIVE [2] 34/24 93/6 DETECTIVES [8] 33/17 51/18 89/2 89/5 93/9 14/214 14/215 14/218 DETERMINATION [9] 13/17/17/13/19/12 23/17 25/17 33/2 51/24 52/4 52/11 DETERMINATIONS III 14/13 DETERMINE [6] 12/4 14/18 14/21 16/10 19/10 19/12 DETERMINING IT 534 DEVELOP III 19718 DIANA [1] 1/14 DICTATES [1] 40/13 DFD [188] DEDN'T [51] 176 176 176 1701 207 30/21 33/4 31/5 52/2 69/12 71/16 86/21 87/20 87/23 87/24 90/1 90/9 91/5 91/5 91/18 91/24 92/1 92/3 93/12 98/20 99/19 102/4 102/4 102/8 102/25 102/25 102/1 103/9 103/13 103/16 105/28 106/1 109/7 109/21 11 1/5 121/18 130/12 131/16 132/5 133/17 134/35 136/16 141/7 144/19 145/5 150/11 DIFFERENCE HT 16/6 DIFFERENT [4] 41/6 41/6 55/7 75/22 DIFFERENTIAL (21 23/25 47/20 mericultii 18/28 DICHT [4] 37/6 38/8 38/12 38/12 DIGITS [2] 37W 38/18 MHECT [13] 28 20 10 215 04 7/13 19/2 34/43 59/9 59/25 87/16 114/5 126/21 126/23 DIRECTION [3] 83/23 84/4 137/22 DIRECTLY[6] 23/13 23/14 10/1/9 124/20 129/13 149/19 directs[i] 35/2 DISAGRER[2] \$7/10 57/17 DISDELIEVE LO 439 DISCOLORATION [1] 17/12 DISCOVERY [2] 31/6 41/21 DESCUSS [1] 150/8 DISCUSSED [4] 28/10 30/7 \$L/8 \$4/20 DISCUSSING [1] 133/10 DISCUSSION [8] 21/21 49/24-64/4-64/6 88/16 99/19 108/8 113/25 DESORDER [2] 37/17 39/23 DISRESPECT [1] 56/12 DISTANCE [1] 103/24 DISTRAUGHT [1] 89/24 DISTRICT [3] 1/19 7/10 154/25 ni) [17] \$45/145/2] \$23 \$/197/47/7 7/20/8/8/8/14/9/17/9/18 11/6 11/6 11/6 11/17 12/10 15/24 16/5 16/7 16/20 17/2 19/18

22/13/22/16/22/20/23/19/28/4/28/16/28/38 28/23 29/3 29/5 29/15 29/16 30/24 30/25 33/3 33/4 33/5 33/21 35/2 36/8 43/19 43/3 46/4 47/1 48/15 51/19 53/10 54/9 57/10 57/17 59/12 59/3 59/11 59/14 59/15 61/21 62/11 62/23 *67/2*6 70*/7* 72/12 74/21 76/7 76/24 77/2 77/10 77/10 79/4 79/5 79/10 81/2 85/2 85/8 85/25 86/18 88/6 91/16 9.V18 93/19 94/1 94/10 97/21 100/21 101/4 105/10 103/13 103/15 103/17 106/18 107/6 107//5 107/28 108/6 116/13 118/25 120/4 120/4 120/4 122/20 125/10 125/11 126/24 13// 131/17 136/23 137/3 138/8 138/16 139/13 139/14 140/20 140/24 143/2 150/23 150/34 DOCTOR [2] 57/21 57/23 DOCUMENT (5) 8/12 8/25 43/3 43/20 45/14 DOIX(F.15) 128/3 128/4 129/22 129/25 130/3 DOES [22] 8/11 17/10 24/14 25/12 28/20 30/6 30/18 30/21 31/12 36/17 41/4 41/12 44/8 94/12 102/13 104/6 106/17 117/15 117/16 132/22 147/9 147/10 DOESN'T [2] | 1066 181/13 DOING [8] | 38/13 97/1 101/21 134/24 DON'T [B2] 5/3 5/9 [J/14 [J/15 [4/6 21/5 22/3 22/5 22/7 23/13 28/18 29/2 30/22 30/25 32/10 3.V4 33/6 34/1 35/9 37/1 38/23 39/10 40/25 43/8 44/3 44/3 45/1 45/23 45/25 47/20 48/14 48/19 54/3 54/20 55/4 35/14 55/15 86/11 88/9 88/15 62/24 63/23 67/12 67/14 72/19 75/18 76/2 76/4 87/18 87/18 88/10 92/8 92/8 94/3 96/6 96/18 96/20 96/12 96/21 97/18/97/20 98/1 99/16/99/17 100/13 100/22 101/3 105/14 105/16 110/15 110/21 110/25 111/8 112/1 113/22 120/1 130/14 144/25 150/4 150/7 151/5 152/6 DON'T KNOW [1] 44/3 DONE [17] 7/7 7/8 9/15 28/18 28/19 28/12 28/23/30/4/33/1/33/7/33/17/35/4/35/24/36/1 147/5 149/24 149/25 DOOR 141 13/20 13/20 67/3 103/3 DORSALJII 24/15 [XXSAGE [1] 44/15 DOSE(3) 47/11 \$1/2-57/3 DOSES [3] 56/25/57/1 57/7 DOUBLE [2] 32/6 38/12 pown [31] 8/20 26/25 39/11 80/21 50/3 \$1/4 \$1/13 \$4/3 \$4/6 61/4 65/12 66/24 71/25 72/1 73/10 76/20 78/16 81/8 81/8 83/10 BIV 10 B4/23 85/17 97/16 101/22 107/8 107/15 118/7 118/9 116/1 116/24 117/10 120/9 122/19 123/15 127/16 127/16 132/1 132/14 138/6 138/24 140/10 145/1 145/7 [4579 [457]] [457]4 [457]5 [507]4 [517]3 152/23 DOWN AROUS SVIII DOMNSYAIRS [1] 145/21 DOWNWARD[1] 12/15 DR [2] 3/12 5/25 DRAW [1] 42/20 DRINKING [2] 103/10 103/13 DRIVE[6] 103/23 106/4 108/4 132/4 140% 149/15 DRIVER'S [2] 61/22 61/23 DRIVING [5] 51/8 66/21 68/9 103/22 12//25 EVIDENCED [1] 46/12 OROVE [6] 103/17 105/10 127/13 142/16

DRUGS (1) 42/16 DUDE (3) 79/4 12/20 125/11 DULY [3] \$/17 \$8/23 126/6 DCRANGO[4] 128/5 129/22 129/25 130/3 DURENCHEN 6/24 7/6 8/20 9/23 19/2 28/18 28/21 69/2 71/16 72/10 88/1 89/5 110/14 DUTY 111 7/3 ĸ EACH 141 63/15/82/16/101/8/103/4 [EARLIER [6] 30/8 54/20 94/24 95/1 95/7 EARLY [2] 60/1/2023 EASIER [1] 32/12 EAST(1) 71/15 EDGE [1] 123/13 EFFECT (3) 39/18/52/4 55/13 EFFECTED [2] 52/6 52/7 EIGIT 161 1677 1678 24719 74711 78725 EIGHTII [3] 709 IS/IS IS424 ETTHER (12) \$/10 6/24 7/9 13/4 33/25 41/11 44/21/51/17/82/19 134/18 138/13 149/9 ELANTRA H 68/10 ELECTROLYTE II 42/17 ELEVEN [3] 10/5 17/7 146/12 ELICIT (II 57/8 ELSE[11] 48/4 84/20 87/20 76/13/97/14 99/5 99/7 123/15 130/14 149/16 149/23 ELLICHDATE [1] 2V19 EMPLOYED [2] &6 69 [MPTRES [1] 123/23 EMPTY (2) 1602 143010 EMPIVING HE 18/3 END HOL 13/14 13/15 17/10 21/9 22/20 67/4 84/11/87/20 137/25 143/13 ENDS [1] 14/4 ENFORCEMENT [4] 23/11 23/19/33/23 ENOUGH[3] 43/6 13/16/33/1 ENSUES [2] 107/20 152/25 ENTERING III 17/13 ENTIRE[2] 18/23 21/11 EXTITLED[4] 147/25 (48/2 (51/18/151/24 ENTRANCE [8] 11/3 11/1 11/11 14/25 16/9 16/10/16/19 32/23 ENTRY (J) 12/5 ESCORTENCIAL 102/18/103/9 ESQ [1] 1/21 essentialan (4) 7/25 41/9 5/213 (19/11 ESTIMATE (II 96/19 EVALAJATING [2] 45/18/45/21 EVEN (6) 15/22 44/3 58/15/68/1 96/6 132/7 GYENING 171 94/24 95/1 95/7 96/9 101/10 101/12 128/11 EVENTUALLY [3] 8/23 [9/24 [39/10 EVER [13] 13/22/63/8/63/11/63/25/65/24 84/11 87/13 87/16 88/2 88/6 102/3 102/6 140/20 EVERYBODY [3] (49/16 149/23 EVERYTHING [2] 53/1 61/4 EVIDENCE (101 10/8/62/7/70/21 108/19 14606 148/18 148/25 149/5 151/18 154/20 EXTLI 67/4 EXACTIH 36/9

DRUG [6] 36/19 36/20 37/16 39/20 39/21

39/25

142/20 144/11

EXACTLY [2] 44/9 44/9 EXAMINATION [27] 2/5 2/6 2/7 2/8 2/10 2/11/2/12/2/13/2/15/2/16/6/4/18/17 18/20 19/2 28/23 M/10 50/9 53/12 59/9 88/12 88/18 114/6 118/21 120/6 126/21 139/23 13701 EXAMINE [3] 18/23 23/6 32/6 EXAMINED [2] 29/17 34/15 EXAMINER [12] 4/25/5/2/5/11/6/6/6/12 6/15/6/25/33/12/34/13/4//2/43/16/44/22 EXAMINER'S [1] 42/22 EXAMPLE [3] 13/19 13/21 37/17 EXCLUDED [1] 589 EXCLUSIONARY [1] 4/20 EX CUSE [3] 36/20 101/17 146/13 exhibit [49] 222, 2522, 2723, 2724, 374, 375 10/7 10/10 10/17 11/1 11/3 11/13 11/17 13/2 16/5/16/13/16/20/21/11/21/11/21/18/21/22 21/24 22/9 22/14 40/19 44/16 61/22 62/6 10/20/80/3 63/13 83/21 83/2 86/15 108/10 198/17 108/18 108/22 114/4 120/8 129/22 132/22 140/3 143/4 146/14 146/16 146/19 148/24 149/4 EXHIBITED [2] 40/22/57/15 EXHIBITION HI 46/2 EXHIBITS [9] 2/20 3/3 V [8 4/16 9/5 9/13 70/6/19/22 146/9 EXIST[1] ISMS EXTT[18] 11/21/11/24/12/6/16/11/17/1/17/4 17/5 17/6 17/8 17/9 32/23 65/14 65/16 68/14 68/19 EXITED[2] 17/15 17/16 EXPERIENCED[1] 56/4 expertiti 86/5 external (1) 8/19 extrapolate (ii) 47/23 ex treme [2] | 115/16 116/5 EXTREMITIES [3] 20/20 26/23 51/1 EYE[8] 10/24 51/9 51/9 77/5 77/10 77/12 78/2 137/7 EYELIDIZI 19/6 50/25 FABRICHI 14/5 PACE [15] 10/19 10/20 77/7 84/23 85/18 110/22 112/13 11:05 11:013 120/21 121/22

121/24 122/22 123/3 126/2 PACEDITI 109/18 PACISG [4] 129/25 132/15 133/2 140/8 FACT [6] 12/5 89/9 110/25 149/21 151/12 151/43 PACTORS III 55/8 PACIS (S) 50/12 151/20 153/15 154/1 154/4 [FISTICEPES [4] 27/25 46/15 46/16 54/08 FACTUAL [2] 451/19 132/11 PACTUALLY [1] 18014 FAFR [2] 52/24 70/13 FALL [4] 5000 346 547 85/17 FALLING [8] \$1/331/731/1332/134/16 FALLS [1] 543 FAMILIAR [F] 12/16 FAMILY (2) 4/22 58/13. PARTIGI 13/16/14/14 25/16/25/23/29/1 36/23/39/11 41/18 44/13 53/21 78/23 85/10 90/16/94/20 104/24 149/14 PASHION [1] 22/4 FAST [2] 123/12 149/16

PATAL [3] 15/17 18/3 154/18 FATHER [9] 929 92/14 105/8 107/6 107/25 108/2 108/6 149/15 153/21 FATTIER'S [2] 109/10 110/2 FEBRUARY[2] 1/164/1 FEET [10]: 76/25 85/12 85/12 | 17/16 117/24 118/1118/2118/8 (18/) 118/10 PF.L.1. [13] 50/23 51/14 79/21 84/23 85/18 85/19 121/21 121/23 121/24 122/22 123/1 123/2 125/12 Pellas (I) (59/15 FELLOWSHIP [2] 6/19 6/21 FELONIOUSLY [1] 147/20 FELONY [1] 9.V18 147/15 FEW [2] 6WI 118/25 FIANCE[9] 60/11 61/11 61/12 92/17 PIELD [II] 43/3 fichte [30] *37/23 73/28 74/2 74/3 74/5* 74/18 76/14 103/24 107/20 [10/10 110/16 110/21 111/1 111/8 112/1 147/23 148/2 149/15 149/20 151/4 131/7 151/8 151/9 131/12 |51/12 |51/16 |54/5 |54/7 |54/9 13411 FIGURE [2] 47/24 48/10 FINALLY (2) 240 132/14 FEND [3] 150/22 151/1 152/1 FINDING [2] 13/5-15/7 FINDINGS [5] 8/9 8/19 1/1/1 18/8 36/3 PINDS (1) 149/21 PINE (4) 22/1 23/17 45/1 147/2 FINGER [8] 27/3 27/3 27/15 27/19 27/19 27/21 30/9 30/10 FINGERNAIL (3) 28/16 31/19 34/14 PINGERNAILS [2] 29/12/34/25 FINGERS (10) 24/16/24/17/24/18/26/24 27/4 27/14 28/24 30/7 31/17 54/23 PINGERTH'S [1] 276 FENISH [1] 8/24 PINISHED [A] 9/16 34/3 153/22 FJRE [2] 38/21 53/7 FIREARM 131 78/12/88/2 147/16 FIREARMS [1] 94/3 FIRED[3] 80/13 (37/6 154/18 FIRENG [1] 138/19 First [48] 4/18 4/28 5/17 16/22 19/23 27/19 41/21 46/22 50/19 52/19 58/23 59/3 60/5 61/15 64/10 71/19 71/20 74/4 77/23 78/25 78/25 65/9 85/10 85/16 86/22 95/2 96/12 96/15 108/2 114/18 119/13 119/20 120/16 120/17 120/18 121/20 125/12 126/6 126/14 126/16 130/20 131/7 133/16 138/21 135/24 FIST [1] **5**5/3 msmæpp(1) svis FIT [1] 52/22 FIVE (18) 249 52/16 57/24 66/7 93/23 93/3 96/19 105/4 105/5 105/6 105/7 119/6 121/21 (31/9 133/4 133/6 137/20 151/13 LIVAMINCO (3) AND AND AND AND FLIGHT [1] 107/1 141.1GHTS [2] 106/25 107/1 FLJP [2] WI431/7 PLOOR [17] 71/23 80/5 80/6 80/7 106/19 106/20 107/2 107/16 114/19 114/20 115/4 1 15/5 130/19 130/20 131/7 149/22 152/23

FOLLOWED[3] 12V18-13V15-15V18 FOLLOWING (2) 47/11/156/1 FOLLOWS [3] 5/19 58/25 126/8 FOOT [2] 51/3 153/6 FORCE (1) 9/2 FOREHEAD III 19/3 FOREIGNIN 25/20 FORENSIC [4] 6/20 12/17 28/20 42/23 FORM [1] 1879 FORMULATE [2] 3.V19 3.V19 FORMULATING (3) 33/13 43/24 43/25 FORTH [11] 73/14/26/21 97/3 99/25 102/16 103/3 103/13 107/13 111/16 113/13 132/9 FORWARD [S] \$1/14 79/8 85/19 85/20 151/25 FOUND [3] 36/8 42/6 42/9 FOUNDATION (6) 41/15 41/18 41/19 43/23 63/2 63/6 FOUNDATIONAL [3] 41/10 41/11 41/13 FOUR [32] 6/10 10/5 24/9 37/6 37/7 37/22 37/23 51/1 51/2 52/12 52/13 52/21 52/25 505 60/22 60/24 68/19 87/8 87/20 90/9 90/10 90/14 93/23 94/6 94/7 94/7 96/19: 103/19 121/21 133/4 133/6 137/19 FRACMENIS III 148 FRANKLY III 151/23 FREAKED [2] 87/L91/14 FREAKING [1] 80/19 FRESH [4] 25/1 25/3 25/4 25/6 FRIEND [4] 60/17 66/18 104/3 104/4 FRIEND'S [2] 66/19 103/23 FRIENDS [3] 60/23 99/10 101/6 FRONT (311 12/15 17/22 28/4 61/2 67/3 68/5 74/12 85/4 85/6 117/18 117/20 118/13 120/12 121/9 121/13 121/17 121/25 123/23 124/1124/3 125/13 134/5 [40/11 [40/12 140/16 140/17 140/19 141/17 146/22 146/25 151/20 FOCK[NO[2] 111/1 111/9 FULL(1) 155/21 PULLY 131 17/11 182/10 184/2 FURTHER [24] 13/1 13/13/31/10/32/23 34/13 34/25 38/13 41/18 43/22 46/21 50/5 51/17 51/23 53/8 55/7 57/19 58/8 67/10 118/17 128/14 127/16 146/1 146/6 15//25 FUTURE HI 5/4

G-A-V-I-N [1] 5/25 GAMBLE [1] 96/2 GAVE III WAT GAVIN [4]: 2/4 5/12 5/16 5/25 GENERAL [1] 92/25 GENERALIZATION [I] 93/7 GENERALLY [1] 28/19 GENERATE [1] 42/19 GESTURES (1) 81/13 GET 1351 4/13 5/13 8/23 12/2 13/13 14/5 14/6 17/6 17/11 38/14 49/31 52/25 60/17 66/23 75/13 75/14 76/0 29/11 79/11 80/23 90/8 95/3 98/21 103/10 106/12 127/18 130/22 132/3 133/15 134/19 148/24 152/16 155/3 155/13 155/13 GETS [8] 10/13/54/3 104/5/104/6 106/15 121/7 149/15 152/20 GETTING [6] 38/21 102/19 136/7 136/9 145/22 153/21 GIRL [1] 92/20

FOLLOW [4] 698 77/24 8V12 141/15

POLKS [2] 41/7 152/19

GIRLERIESD [4] 60/18 62/19 63/3 139/1 GIRLS [8] 69/22 71/16 87/6 91/1 105/18 106/8 115/10 115/18 GIVE [7] XV9 500 L 980 L 980 L 1052 L 106/1 139/6 G[V[38]]] 15425 GIVING [5] 86/4 66/15 139/11 141/21 140.30 GLANCING HI 1929 GO [74] | 5/13 5/32 7/23 [7/6 23/12 23/6 34/1 30/13/39/15 41/18/44/6/44/11/45/12/46/21 48/11 48/13 55/7 58/20 59/2 60/15 60/16 60/17 64/6 65/11 66/3 66/14 66/23 60/4 TWITTINT THE TOWNS AND THE LATE AND THE BEAUTY 26/10 R6/11 87/5 90/4 90/8 90/9 92/3 95/19 403 99/15 99/13 103/14 103/1 103/12 104/10 104/19 105/17 105/18 106/13 [16/1] 116/11 116/13 117/16 125/8 125/22 126/13 128/24 129/13 13/15 141/2 141/4 144/14 147/6 149/18 150/24 152/7 134/15 154/15 GOES [12] 7/21 13/1 17/21 42/5 47/5 71/9 71/10 71/10 71/14 71/14 1117 123/15 GOING 1100] 4/24 7/13 9/12 1 1/13 12/14 144 145 146 1411 152 164 247 2511 28/23/32/22/33/9/39/10/39/13/40/25/43/22 44/6 47/23 48/1 48/11 48/12 50/11 54/6 55/19 57/24 53/14 59/15 6U4 63/2 65/8 65/9 65/10/65/18/67/265/369/10/65/11 70/23 70/24/75/6 76/17 79/3 79/5 79/9 89/3 87/12 BY24 B4/1 B4/2 B6/12 69/18 93/14 96/2 96/3 97/2 98/10 98/12 98/13 98/15 98/16 102/10 104A/S TORES FEMALES FOR STAND 112/8 (26/23 130/9 132/8 132/18 133/15 134/21 134/21 135/11 136/1 136/1 136/10 136/18 146/19 147/14 147/19 148/8 148/9 149722 149711 15072 180715 151725 151725 182/13/15309 153/18/153/19/154/3 GONNA'[18] 413 7211 7213 7216 732 7.V.3.73/4 76/18 76/23 79/4 10/1/20 105/24 112/1 112/2 112/10 122/20 123/10 123/11 COODINGS GOT [43] 38/19/39/17 48/1 48/8 85/5 61/8 62/12 68/3 68/11 69/19 70/19 74/9 75/6 75/13 86/25 87/6 87/9 91/14 95/16 98/2 98/19 98/20 102/19 105/13 105/7 112/8 114/21 115/21 116/13 121/20 125/10 130/13 130/14 138/17 138/21 142/22 142/22 143/18 145/6 145/16 145/18 145/13 153/16 COTTENȚII 66/19 GRABBED [2] 79/20/84/20 GREATER II IMI9 CREEN [1] 17/12 GROIN [1] 17/14 GROSSLY III 32/11 GRQUND [4] 107/16 168/3 130/19 137/9 GROUP (II 96/13 GUARDS[1] 74/12 CICHES [S] 45/6 \$1/12 52/13 88/21 97/3 GUN[31] 13/1 13/3 13/4 13/11 14/15 3/02 329 77120 789 7816 78/24 79/18 88/15 83/5 KV5 88/6 88/9 112/19 113/6 113/18 118/2 121/1 122/17 123/10 125/2 135/19 137/14 138/6 153/3 153/7 154/7 GUNPOWDER [2] 124 13/12

GUSSHOT [28] 9/2 10/25 11/3 11/4 11/11

11/21 12/2 12/4 12/5 12/18 12/21 12/24 15/9

16/9 16/19 17/25 18/13 32/14 32/17 35/13 50/14 50/20 51/4 53/7 GUNSHOTS [1] 84/18 GUY [3] 141/22 132/21 152/25 CUYS [31 58/14/82/16 152/12

H-L-D-E-B-R-A-N-D[1] 59/6 ||[O.L.L.AND[1] 126/17 HAD [44] 5/1 7/22 (W21 28/11 28/21 29/11 63/8 63/11 63/23 63/23 63/1 63/1 63/1 63/1 63/1 66319 67/9 67/12 68/6 TX17 TW18 98/5 98/20 99/3 101/14 101/18 103/2 119/4 127/20 129/5 129/11 129/12 130/15 130/32 131/20 131/23 132/23 136/24 139/11 141/14 141/15 142/15 145/6 145/11 130/7 HALF [1] (38/2) HALLUCINATIONS III 57/9 BALLWAY [1] 5W18 MAND [37] 23/25 23/2 23/22/44 24/16 24/19 25/7 25/9 25/16 25/24 26/2 26/16 26/18 26/20 26/24 27/2 27/6 27/20 27/21 2894 3029 30/10 30/13 31/13 31/17 32/3 46/14 5/124 53/25 54/1 54/24 58/20 76/25 78/14 86/25 91/14 126/3 HANDED [2] 77/2 77/3 [[[ANDS][11]] 21/1-27/23 29/17 31/10 46/13 51/8 34/4 54/7 34/13 54/19 81/13 HANDWRITTEN [3] 88/24/69/1 142/6 nappien (H 10377 HAPPENED [18] 20/14 20/15 31/4 67/19 67/14 (9/22 76/19 77/18 79/18 90/21 9Wi5 130/24 130/25 133/1 133/11 151/3 152/14 133/12 HAPPENING H 121/9 HARD [1] 61/181/10 HARTEORO [2] 6/18/0/19 11.A8 (16) 4/15 (W12 (W15 (7/12 21/18 14/15 12/11 47/4 47/25 48/8 60/23 75/15 75/18 101/2 108/6 153/25 HAVE [123] \$23 \$29 \$22 629 6/11 6/21 7/1 7/8 7/9 7/12 7/14 9/8 12/28 13/16 14/10 14/15 15/24 16/12 17/20 16/3 18/4 20/14 20/15 21/1 21/5 22/7 22/10 26/1 27/4 27/9 27/16 28/24 29/2 29/3 29/21 30/24 32/4 32/4 32/10 35/9 36/23 36/9 30/7 38/13 30/25 30/1 39/13 40/18 49/23 42/8 46/5 46/23 48/5 48/20 51/2 52/6 52/7 53/8 54/5 54/9 54/10 54/11 54/17 54/17 54/18 57/10 57/(5 57/17 56/12 59/2 60/2 60/16/60/18 60/21 62/11 62/18 63/22 64/3 64/4 64/21 67/9 69/3 71/3 73/14 75/24 77/9 77/11 BI/7 84/B 88/2 91/24 92/1 92/3 92/7 92/14 93/13 93/18 97/24 102/6 103/7 110/15 112/6 114/32 120/1 126/13 127/21 129/1 139/2 146/8 146/10 146/11 146/16 148/20 150/22 151/3 151/3 132/19 153/8 153/16 154/22 155/4 155/U 155/14 HAVEN T [2] 58/10 148/14 HAVING 181 S/17 17/10 88/23/61/1 101/23 107/13 110/11 126/6 HE [246] HE LL [1] 10-VIS HE S [24] 21/24/35/1 49/10/59/16/59/20 82/19 99/24 102/19 108/7 112/8 112/8 116/13 127/8 139/15 139/16 141/25 148/22 149/19 150/8 152/22 152/23 152/23 152/3

15.018 (WAD [3] 133/14 138/4 153/6 READING [1] 81/21 HEALING III 19/15 HEAR [6] 72/5 72/9 99/19 109/1 125/2 144/19 HEARD [8] 4591 7821 184/11 134/24 135/22 136/13 141/13 141/25 HEARING [6] 1/12 4/8 21/9 22/20 61/1 73/32 HEARSAY 131 41/14 75/13 78/16 HEART [2] 13/12/54/8 HECK [1] 37/8 1BEIGHT [2] 49/9 49/15 (BELLO [2] 78/24 129/8 (BELL [4] 72/3 76/17 111/3 112/10 HELP [3] 29/6 3.VI8 81/17 (BER [36] 44/5 44/7 41/7 44/21 44/21 44/21 55/21 56/15 6/1/18 61/13 62/23 62/25 62/25 63/25 72/12 72/14 72/17 73/2 73/4 76/17 76/18 76/21 99/14 101/11 110/15 111/1 111/1/11/1/3/11/0/11/11/11/11/2/2/11/29 112/11/129/3 129/4 146/20 HERE (47) 5/4 5/9 6/22 6/23 10/12 12/10 16/16 34/18 38/19 40/4 40/4 43/20 44/6 44/21 45/23 47/7 57/13 64/11 67/23 61/9 82/10 82/12 82/24 86/6 102/18 115/24 116/10 116/10 120/10 120/23 121/4 121/20 12/25 12/02 12/06 12/02 12/01 13/03 134/4 137/18 (38/9 130/4 140/14 141/10 141/12 143/9 152/11 HERE'S [1] 170/16 HEREBY [1] 15423 HEREIN [2] 153/8 154/23 [HGH [7] 38/11 \$6/25 \$7/1 \$7/2 57/3 \$7/6 \$111 INGHER [4] 39/13 39/14 39/15 130/19 [HGHNAY [16] 60/9 67/19 86/14 86/15 89/16 90/10 90/14 127/17 135/15 135/16 135/18 136/19 137/17 138/4 141/4 141/1 INCDEBRAND [7] 2/9 58/7 58/12 59/5 125/17 15//5 154/12 HIM [125] 22/10/35/3 SI// 55/15/59/16 60/18 60/23 62/14 72/11 72/16 74/3 74/19 75/5 78/6 75/21 76/16 76/23 76/23 76/23 76/24 77/21 78/0 78/11 79/12 79/19 79/20 BUIG BUZI SUZI BUZI BUZI SUT BUZO SUZI 83/11 84/9 84/20 85/9 86/2 86/2 86/3 86/3 86/11 86/24 68/3 95/2 96/4 95/17 96/15 97/5 98/12 98/16 98/21 98/24 101/21 102/15 103/9 104/14 108/4 112/11 112/12 114/20 113/2 115/3 117/7 117/9 118/5 118/6 118/8 3 19/24 121/19 121/20 122/31 122/18 122/18 122/21 122/25 123/1 123/7 125/9 125/12 127/10 127/12 127/12 127/13 127/15 127/19 128/11 128/14 128/17 128/19 129/13 131/19 131/19 131/23 133/18 134/14 134/15 135/18 136/1 136/21 136/24 137/3 137/7 138/24 139/4 139/11 139/15 140/10 141/4 141/7 141/10 141/14 141/15 141/3 142/16 142/31 144/6 144/16 144/23 144/25 148/10 148/15 149/12 153/20 154/4 HEMSEL F (3] 84/20 130/16 145/19 [HIS [70] 9/23 ISV14 ISV16 I6/3 24/15 24/16 24/16 24/19 27/21 36/3 37/16 45/2 45/24 46/1 47/25 \$5/10 57/6 60/17 60/19 *67/1* 68/5 71/23 77/1 77/19 78/6 78/7 78/8 78/1 79/20 84/23 85/18 86/7 86/8 86/21 86/22 87/16

HIS...[34] 90/11 91/6 99/1 99/1 99/23 101/13-102/19 104/9 106/13 107/6 112/18 11.3/18/116/12/116/13/12/7/12/72/12/74 122/1 123/22 123/3 127/4 133/2 138/6 139/8 145/1 147/16 146/10 148/15 148/20 149/15 152/16 153/19 153/19 153/21 [III] [21] 15/11 23/15 25/16 54/21 54/21 76/21 76/23 76/24 77/4 79/18 80/16 81/7 112/11 113/5 113/13 118/5 119/16 119/20 119/21 123/7 134/14 HELS 181 13/5 10/023 110/22 116/25 117/15 118/6 120/21 122/11 HOLD [9] 55/20 70/24 75/12 82/18 90/11 90/11 109/25 123/15 151/1 HOLDING HI 133/19 HOLLAND [22] 2014 7/15 9/23 60/19 60/21 94/6 94/10 135/23 125/25 126/5 126/16 127/5 143/25 143/25 144/3 144/5 144/20 146/4 149/20 149/24 148/1 151/16 HOWE fol covic caus same and anti-98/16 104/10 104/14 153/19 HOMICIOE [5] 18/15 34/14 45/3 51/21 53/6 HONOR [35] 4/10 4/12 5/10 9/3 10/4 18/18 21/10 22/5 22/21 43/23 49/22 53/10 55/25 56/24 57/20 58/18 59/21 61/18 63/4 70/3 70/18 88/13 88/14 108/10 114/1 118/17 133719 139720 14876 148719 148722 149712 150/16 152/1152/1 HONORABLE III 1/14 HONORS III SII 1000K 111 81 HØSTTFAL (6] 6/18/6/19 7/23 30/17 30/20 DOSFITALS III 30/25 HOURS [11] 18/7 24/1 39/8 39/8 47/14 48/16 48/18 48/20 60/1 126/24 128/12 HOUSE [2] 74/20 131/24 HOW [65] 69 6/11 7/6 7/7 7/18 7/18 11/6 11/6 13/11 14/14 14/21 15/25 17/20 18/2 19/16 24/17 25/1 30/22 31/23 36/6 37/21 38/8 39/6 41/5 44/6 45/7 49/1 51/12 53/3 \$4/22 58/14 56/23 60/21 62/12 66/5 66/8 70/13/74/21 75/18/76/2 78/19/78/23 85/10 90/16/93/22 94/20 95/18/96/24 101/4 103/12 104/24 105/13 105/15 106/6 113/11 113/12 113/14 119/3 119/15 119/22 137/18 130/10 13 1/4 131/4 137/3 HOWARD [1] 143/28 HOWEVER [2] 4/22 45/23 HER [4] 7.VIS 20/8 90/20 92/11 HUM [6] 69/16 H3/24 H5/8 120/25 121/8 13.2/20 HURORED [4] 37/7 37/23 90/17 90/18 HYPOTHETICAL [3] 13/24/2001/20012 HY CNDAT [1] 68/10

| 10 | 71 | 21/11 29/2 369 38/25 48/26 | 31/4 | 148/6 | 11/1 18/36 23/6 23/6 43/24 56/1 | 11/24 | 11/1 18/36 23/6 23/6 43/24 56/1 | 14/25 74/25 81/17 88/11 30/24 109/24 | 133/25 139/21 14/76 14/76 14/8/9 14/8/1 | 14/9/7 14/9/12 15/015 15/015 15/26 15/26 15/8/12 | 15/1 18/4 17/7 22/24 22/24 23/6 23/30 24/21 | 15/2 36/4 17/7 22/24 22/24 23/6 23/30 24/21 | 29/20 30/1 30/1 30/3 33/8 32/31 33/25 34/21

34/21 38/25 40/25 41/2 48/4 50/11 \$5/19 65/23 55/25 57/1 58/14 59/28 61/1 63/2 70/23 70/24 72/16 73/3 73/3 73/3 75/8 75/9 75/17 76/17 76/18 76/22 80/3 81/5 BV12 86/6 92/10 92/12 95/7 95/11 95/11 108/21 109/6 109/6 109/25 111/3 111/3 111/6 111/13 112/10 112/10 115/33 120/R 120/IB 120/18 126/11 126/23 132/18 135/25 145/23 147/25 146/2 146/8 146/9 149/11 149/25 150/15 151/24 153/2 154/3 154/3 155/9 L'VE (7] 4/25 9/7 27/24 34/6 35/1 46/15 129/12 (DEA [1] 62/11 IDENTIFICATION [5] 10/18/30/17 50% 108/19 139/20 IDENTIFIED [3] 32/14 53/5 64/11 IDENTIFIES [1] 10/14 IDENTIFY (I) 4474 11121 2/14 126/5 IMBALANCES (I) 42/18 (MMEDIATE 12) 18/4 153/5 IMMEDIATEIA [3] 141/23 142/1 149/18 IMPORTANT [1] 52/16 IMPOSSIBLE (11 15/23 [18]CT103-[3], 13/10 14/20/35/12 49/10 49/16 INCIDENT[4] 88/22 89/20 94/14 95/8 INCLUDE [1] 148/4 INCONSISTENT DI 50/D 51/0 150/L INCORRECT [1] \$/\$ INDETERMINATE (3) 13/14/14/19/53/5 INDEX [1] 27/19 INDICATEDIU 53/14 INDICATES III 56/8 INDICATION [1] 33/18 INDEVIDUAE (17) 19/16 19/16 19/17 39/22 40/6 42/6 45/22 46/19 49/3 49/9 49/11 49/13 53/21 55/11 55/13 97/23 132/2 INDIVIDUAL/S [6] 37/21/46/13 47/17 #V2 48/22 56/9 INDIVIDUALS [4] 63/15 73/22 133/1 132/3 INDULGENCE [2] 49/21 113/23 INFER[I] 153/4 INFLUENCE II 10VI6 INFORMATION [14] 10/15/30/20/30/20 34/25 35/9 35/14 35/18 41/1 41/5 41/14 44/25 45/21 53/1 100/18 INITIAL [2] 3979 151/18 initially [7] sourbous burd by 134/1 151/11 151/14 injunies (15) 8/24 9/1 9/9 17/24 27/24 32/2 44/22 46/14 46/18 50/13 50/23 51/1 51/2 54/17 54/22 INJURY [6] 9/3 28/8 28/15 31/25 50/25 5.7.14 ENOURN'III 21/16 ESSIDE [2] 17/17 17/18 INSTANCE [2] 13/23 3/15 instigating (i) isvi intending (1) 95/25 INTENT (I) 76/S INTERACTED [1] 34/2 INTERESTED IT 4/23 INTERFEREIT 13/17 INTERMEDIARY (2) 13/19 14/10 INTERNAL [3] 15/4 15/7 17/24 INTERNALLY [1] 16/1 INTERPRETS [1] 42/9

INTERVENTION [1] 30/46 INTESTINAL(I) 1866 Investigationed 34534033407 35/2 51/17 51/23 31/3 INVESTIGATIONS [1] 7/4 INVESTIGATOR [4] 621 221 349 34/10 INVESTIGATOR'S [1] 346 INVESTIGATORS[1] \$1/18 INVOKE [1] 4/20 involved [i] svis [RRATIONAL [S] 40/19 40/32 44/17 46/3 37/1K IN [257] (5 N°T [3] 37/12 45/7 54/16 (5517E [2] 40/1 74/14 ISSUES [2] 69/7 69/1 L 17 [239] [[S][09] 11/6 11/10 13/11 14/1 14/5 14/11 15/23 16/8 17/9 17/10 19/13 19/14 19/15 20/11 20/13 22/3 22/10 25/13 26/25 28/10 28/23 30/7 30/12 31/9 34/10 34/16 34/16 34/18 36/7 36/15 37/16 37/18 38/6 39/3 39/8 39/10 39/24 40/12 41/1 41/9 41/9 41/9 41/13 41/13 43/5 43/11 45/25 48/1 48/16 48/16 48/19 48/19 50/12 82/24 52/24 53/17 54/22 54/23 54/9 54/10 54/11 54/15 55/13 56/13 67/21 67/22 71/0 75/13 75/21 80/6 SL/9 SL/10 89/16 90/19 9//21 104/2 104/3 106/22 106/25 108/14 109/4 109/8 110/3 110/5/111/21 11/02/111/22 111/23 111/23 115/4 121/9 121/13 122/5 123/15 123/17 124/15 124/15 124/23 124/23 138/14 143/15 149/15 149/25 149/25 150/2 150/4 151/17 151/23 152/15 [TEASS 13] 34/14 [TSELF [S] 12/22 [N12 [S/22 19/25 S4/4

3ACKET [1] 32/16 JANUARY [2] 89/10/92/14 1011N(1) 1/21 JOIN HE 2776 JOINS [2] 27/20 27/21 JOINT (1) 27/19 JOSH [2] 30/19.30/21 JR [1] 1/21 JUDGE (9) WIS 1846 4023 7024 8WI1 114/12 129/18 132/19 147/11 Judicial (2) 7/10/184/24 JUMPED (1) 102/17 MAPSELT III 119/18 31/RY [4] 1480 151/20 154/15 154/19. 3057 (80) 4/19 7/17 7/17 8/21 9/14 10/10 11/4 11/5 17/19 18/2 18/5 21/10 22/8 22/13 23/16/29/11/33/13/33/6/39/20/40/9/41/13 44/20 50/7 52/25 33/19 57/13 37/24 61/1 67/2 67/3 67/20 68/1 73/13 75/17 76/16 80/15 81/12 82/20 86/5 87/1 80/13 89/2 89/4 98/1 98/11 92/1 92/17 93/14 95/19 96/3 97/13 97/22 101/8 101/9 101/11 105/17 110/18 111/6 117/13 118/19 118/19 13//7 132/2 133/3 133/21 134/21 136/24 133/7 141/13 141/22 145/19 146/11 146/17 146/19 (48/10 148/15 149/20 149/25 183/15 155/6 JOSTICE [3] 1/2 1/14 7/10 JUXTAPOSED HI 12V4

INTERRUPTED [1] 3421

KEEPING [1]-137/7 KENO [1] 6430 KEPT [3] 74/3 74/19 154/10 KEYS [1] 105/21 106/1 KICKING III 1034 KILL (1) 197/24 KILLINGIH 182/1 KIND [14] 16/1 41/14 56/12 56/16 68/9 71/13 /3/13 74/19 78/18 93/25 108/6 117/17 128/2 132/9 KTT [4] 1/14 81/8 155/12 155/22 KNEE [4] 10/25 51/11 51/14 51/10 KNEW [3] 638 759 101/8 KNOW [123] 777 9/15 [1/6 22/3 23/13 29/15 30/22 30/25 30/25 33/3 33/3 33/6 36/9 37/2 29/10 40/25 41/12 43/8 43/11 43/19 44/3 45/1 47/20 47/23 48/14 48/15 48/19 53/19 545 5420 55/4 55/14 55/15 56/2 56/9 58/12 58/14/59/11/62/11/62/23/63/14/63/15/67/12 67/14 67/13 67/16 67/10 67/21 69/6 69/9 69/12/72/12/72/14/72/19/73/73/75/13 75/18 76/2 76/21 76/22 76/21 77/2 79/18 7919 81/10 83/6 85/2 85/8 86/21 87/18 87/23 87/24 88/8 90/1 92/8 93/6 94/5 96/6 96/18 96/20 96/22 97/2 97/3 97/18 97/20 97/21 97/24 98/1 98/28 99/6 99/16 99/17 100/13 100/31 101/4 103/11 105/10 105/13 105/15 105/25 106/6 106/18 108/6 119/23 111/5 127/18 128/23 13/012 13/014 13/022 131/16 131/18 131/19 131/19 132/3 133/17 143/21 144/25 147/12 130/7 150/11 KNOMEDGEIGI 28-31-341-333-43-8 75/19 100/18 KNOWN[3] 60/31 66/8 66/11 KNOWS[I] SWIM KNUCKLE [8] 27/4 27/5 27/17 27/18 27/21 31/25 54/22 54/23 KNCCKLES[11] 20/21 20/23 24/19 24/23 26/15/26/23 27/1 27/7 28/12 30/13 54/24 EASA [1] \$125 EABORATORY [2] 42/14/45/16

EATIS[1] 42/13 EACERATEIU 17/11. LACERATION 141 11/10 11/25 16/16 17/3 LACKIH 4145 PARDED LIL 133/14 LARGE [1] 4VII LAS [5] 1/3 4/1 6/22 7/10 51/18 LAST [8] 59/4 62/23 109/7 126/14 126/16 126/24 144/19 148/8 LATER [13] \$8 18/12/05 21/12/22/2 24/1 35/18 68/25 88/25 130/24 131/9 136/13 LAW [5] 23/11 23/19 33/23 34/17 147/21 LAY [2] 41/19 63/6 LAYING [4] 85/4 108/3 109/16 138/23 (EAD [1] 153/15 LEARNED [1] 139/2 LBAST (2) SW19 181/14 LEAVE [19] 58/14 68/6 69/15 78/25 75/6 76/8 79/22 87/3 99/24 102/5 102/20 102/24 102/25 102/25 103/1 103/2 105/18 106/8 LEAVES [1] 192/2 LEAVING IST 674 TOPE THAT THAT

翻翻 LEDIU HIVO LEPT [78] 10/24 11/21 12/14 15/14 15/14 18/13 18/16 16/18 16/19 17/21 19/6 20/23 20024 24/8 24/9 24/13 25/24 25/24 26/2 26/16 26/20 27/2 30/9 JU/10 30/13 31/9 31/12 51/8 51/9 51/10 66/13 67/9 75/25 76/2 77/2 77/12 78/2 80/18 80/19 80/20 81/21 81/8 82/9 84/12 84/15 90/2 91/2 91/2 101/13 105/15 115/12 115/13 115/15 116/2 116/4 116/5 116/10 11772 117/9 118/13 118/14 121/4 122/1 124/1 125/13 130/15 132/6 141/2 141/23 143/12 145/6 145/7 145/11 145/17 145/19 145/24 149/18 154/19 LENGTH HI 10/2 LESSER [1] 1530) LET [13] 9/14 15/3 56/2 65/11 67/16 69/L 82/20 130/21 148/9 148/9 150/19 151/1 1326 1.16.T.S [7] 34014 46021 7407 7407 95015 9505 135/24 LETHAL/61 3741 384 3846 3871 497 MIN LEGITALITY [1] 38715 TEART TIEL 8/39 20/35 324 32/3 22/3 28/1 38/19 39/13 44/15 47/3 57/3 57/3 57/5 57/5 57/6 106/17 155/1 (EVELS |7) 40/17/45/21 55/9/55/10/568 57/14 134/15 LECENSE (3) 6/23/61/12/61/23 LEFTED (2) 77/19 113/18 LIKE [43] 4/14 4/15 13/20 13/20 19/14 22/13 23/3 23/6 26/8 26/10 29/3 30/17 30/10 38/19 40/10 41/2 46/18 67/22 74/1 74/11 74/23 79/3 80/5 80/17 80/19 85/10 87/21 88/25 94/22 96/6 96/6 103/13 103/14 103/16 105/2 109/11 110/6 132/16 132/22 133/4 133/9 137/16 150/24 CINE [2] 119/1 147/19 LINES [2] 13/25 73/14 Lisa (J). 2/4 8/16 8/28 [.38T [1] 21/5 LISTED [1] 47/7 LISTENING [1] 81/5 [FTER [1] 15/16 LITERALIA (H. 80 LUERS [1] 13714 LITTLE [9] 6/10 8/1 17/10 46/21 51/10 81/1 192/18 117/17 123/15 Tive [4] 94/0 94/2 94/6 406/18 LIVED LA TIAL 9417 LIVING [4] 100/19 100/21 100/23 10/428 (OCATED D) 7/21 18/4 60/8 LOCATION[4] 41/6 BY 12 142/16 154/10 LONG [11] 6/9 6/11 15/25 19/16 39/6 6031 65/3 66/5 66/8 66/10 151/25 LONGERIH 66/11 LOOK [30] 1424 1979 19718 19718 23716 24(3 29/2 29/3 32/3 32/15 3V16 3V17 38/25 40/10 45/20 46/18 48/20 50/2 70/7 103/12 103/13 103/14 103/16 1 10/15 1 10/15 110/25 111/8 132/22 155/4 155/10 LOOKED [8] 18/25/20/16/25/14/34/5 34/8 70/14 103/20 INS/18 LOOKING 1211 19/23/24/3/24/7/28/10/31/8 32/22 33/14 34/3 39/1 40/8 42/17 73/18

LOOKING AT HI 247 LOOKS [6] 4/14 19/14 23/3 30/16 41/1 100/11 LOOSE[1] 17/17 LOREN [1] 123/8 LORENZO (111) 59/12/63/11/65/14/66/15 68/18 72/4 72/20 72/22 73/1 73/3 73/9 73/23 13/25 74/1 74/5 74/17 76/9 71/19 BUT BAS 83/11/97/9 97/11/97/13 97/14/97/16/97/23 98/5 98/13 98/19 98/22 99/11 99/14 99/13 100/5 160/7 160/9 101/23 102/5 102/9 102/10 102/13 102/16 102/19 10:V2 10:V5 103/10 104/6 104/9 104/12 104/14 104/17 106/13 106/13 106/17 107/18 107/23 110/10 110/13 110/18 110/22 111/17 112/4 112/6 112/6 112/15 114/20 114/23 116/9 116/18 116/19 116/25 117/1 117/6 117/15 117/15 117/24 119/4 (19/4 119/11 120/14 120/21 120/23 121/5 122/16 122/16 122/18 122/13 121/15 123/1 124/13 125/1 125/6 131/19 132/6 132/6 132/16 133/2 133/13 134/7 (34/15 (35/3 136/1 136/5 136/18 137/2 137/12 139/13 145/19 151/10 154/10 CORENZO S [8] 7413 100/3 [04/12 104/20 105/18 110/19 122/25 132/15 LOREZ [1] 82/7 1.088 [1] 15/20 LOST [3] 13472 1364 1423 LOT 1291 37/8 61/16 62/9 62/12 64/3 65/1 86/12 87/9 89/14 91/10 95/17 96/16 96/28 99/24 114/8 116/19 122/10 128/18 129/14 129/16 129/24 132/16 137/17 140/6 140/20 140/28 141/5 141/10 143/13 LOW [1] 37/5 LONE [6] 1447 144/15 144/20 145/2 145/10 149/11 LOWER [2] 26/23 155/1 (CCIS [4] 1/9 4/8 59/11 154/23 11NG [2] 1811 1812 L(NGED III 76/23

M

MA'AM [14] 5/22/06/21/23/2018/30/6 34/21 41/22 48/12 50/2 53/14 81/16 82/21 140/15 153/24 MACDONALD [3] 1/24 135/22 155/22 MACHINE HI 64/18 MACHINES [1] 97/17 MADE [6] 39/21 38/8 76/14/89/4 93/8 102/3 MAIN(1) 123/21 MAJORILI 1801 MAKE [23] 11/24 14/13 17/23 21/16 23/16 23/17 25/17 33/1 41/8 51/24 88/24 14/35 146/20 147/1 147/2 147/5 147/6 148/10 148/10 148/15 155/11 155/12 155/16 MAKING 171 22/8/35/14 45/8 71/13/92/24 93/7 93/12 MATACE (M. 147/2), 147/22 148/3 MAN [19] 51/3 72/17 73/4 76/18 76/22 110/15 110/21 110/25 111/4 111/6 112/11 144/16 134/21 145/2 145/10 145/11 145/16 145/23 153/6 MAKNER [10] WARIS IRMA 4WS 4W16 50/15 51/24 52/5 52/6 52/12 MANNERS [2] 52/(3/52/2) MANY [8] 707 707 24/17 74/21 117/24 119/3 119/15 119/22 MARCH [1] 155/1

73/19-73/20 78/4 96/18 12/08 138/24 149/21

151/1/152/24

M MARGINAL III 154/20 MARK [6] 21/21 21/25 22/14 80/3 108/9 1089 MARKED [14] 1/20 1/3 W14 4/15 4/15 9/13 21/19 22/4 61/21 70/5 108/18 108/21 114/4 MARKING [1] 109/13 MARKS [2] 24/6 24/28 MARRIED [1] 100/16 Marshalih 50/15 MART 41 89/1491/3 99/5 93/16 MASTER [1] 21/3 MATCH[1] 3217 MATERIALS [2] 25/21 42/18 MATTER [2] 8009 11025 MAY 1361 9/4 TV2 14/9/21/14/31/1/31/4 45/21 45/21 46/2 53/15 53/15 53/16 55/4 55/4 56/23 59/21 61/18 70/2 97/24 97/24 1 14/1 114/12 129/18 132/18 139/17 139/19 MAYDE [5] 228 228 41/11 41/11 151/5 ME [62] 5/19/15 (1/20 15/3 19/9 21/6 21/7 28/23 31/22 34/2 35/1 36/20 38/23 57/23 GUNT GV23 64/3 68/13 67/8 67/17 68/18 69/1 74/1 74/19 79/4 79/6 82/4 89/3 91/16 9207 93/15/95/13 95/13 99/14 10/09 101/17 102/4 102/17 103/20 110/19 115/10 122/13 12014 120/20 125/11 129/10 130/6 130/13 130/13 130/21 132/15 (32/15 133/25 137/9 1,37/18 1,39/8 146/13 150/10 150/19 150/24 131/1 155/5 MEAN [10] 17/2 20/4 33/6 23/7 30/21 36/17 47/8 56/11 86/19 149/23 MEANING IN 11718 MEANS (2) 36/19/39/3 MEANT [2] 959 154/15 MEANTIME [II 31/14 MEANWHILE [1] 15221 MEASURED | 11 37/4 AMEDICAL [19] 4/25/5/2/5/11/6/6/7/1/6/15 6/16/6/21 6/23/6/23/8/12 18/10 30/15/3/3/12 34/12 41/2 42/22 42/16 44/22 MEDICINE III 6/17 MEET [9] 74/1974/2374/2589/1893/14 96/1 102/10 102/20 104/12 MELETING [2] 89/17 93/3 MEETS [11 107/18 MEMBERS [2] 4/23 58/13 MENTION (1) 28/23 MENDIONED I II 36037 MESSACE [1] 9772 MET [8] 93/193/593/1695/16101/11 129/12 131/20 131/23 METABOLISMIN 48/14 METADOLITE [6] 37/13.37/19.39/24.48/2 48/2 48/8 METABOLAZE [2] 39/14 48/9 METABOLIZED [1] 3916 aggrabolizisii 390 METAL [1] 25/17 METH [3] 36/13 40/21 47/25 METHAMPHETAMINE (34) 36/4/36/6 36/11/36/13/36/23/37/5/37/5/37/15/37/19 28/20 39/7 39/9 39/14 39/15 39/19 39/25 10/10 10/12 40/18 14/17 14/10 12/51 14/0

46/25 48/6 48/15 49/4 49/5 55/9 56/25 57/1

51/7 57/8 57/15

METROPOLITAN [1] 51/19 MEXICO [1] 6/22 MICROPHONE [2] 59/2 61/2 MICROSCOPETII 1424 MICROSCOPICALAYTII 1541 MID III ISAD MIODE E [B] 27/1 27/2 27/6 27/14 27/15 27/20 28/11 30/9 MIGHT [3] (VIS 2VI9 58/13 MILE [6] 87/8 87/20 90/9 90/10 90/14 105/19 MILES [7] 68/2 94/21 94/21 94/21 104/25 103/1 149/17 MIL (AGRAMS [2] 47/5 47/12 MITALATER (17) 36/16/36/17 36/23 39/7 37/23 39/3 40/18 40/21 44/15 46/5 47/13 47/18 47/25 48/5 48/10 57/2 57/14 MILLETTERS III 18/15 MINE'S [2] 66/18 104/3 MINIOTÉ [6] 57/24 102/17 113/11 (23/11 123/11 145/8 MINUTES [18] 65/3 66/7 104/14 104/15 108/3 105/4 103/5 105/6 105/7 113/8 113/9 11.710 113/12/13/19 133/5 151/12 151/13 131/13 MIRROR [4] 23/20 131/5 136/11 136/25 308111 80/22 MISPURE [5] 8.VI.4 I 12/25 I 19/4 I 19/14 119/13 MISEIRED [8] 77/22 77/22 78/10 78/23 80/18/80/22/8/16 154/17 MISS [3] 4/15 BIR 155/14 MISSILE (2) 17/4 17/13 MISSING [1] 45/4 MN [1] 56/8 MOM'S [1] 128/1 MOMENT [1] 56/1 MOMOT [28] 1/2 £ 2/6 2/8 2/11 2/13 2/16 4/13/5/18/5/5/13/21/21/22/8/22/11/41/12 44/5 49/24 56/14 56/18 88/16 108/8 109/34 113/25 118/23 133/25 146/20 153/7 130/11 153/25 MOMOT'S [2] 154/14 154/19 MONIKER [1] 18/25 MORTH 969 MORE (23) 14/20 19/25 20/3 20/4 20/5 34/2 38/12 38/13 38/25 54/15 54/18 55/3 58/12 77/24 97/20 (19/5 119/8 129/5 134/8 134/1 135/21 135/23 136/21 Morning IIII 6/8 60/1 60/5 88/21/96/9 96/10 96/19 96/21 96/24 126/24 128/12 MOST [2] 34/16/132/11 MOSTLA [3] 15/20/20/7 20/25 MOTHER [1] 5/1 MOTION [2] 48/1 78/5 MOUTH (1) 188/1 MOVE (4) 10/1 15/2 62/2 70/16 MINE III GAVIN MOYING [2] 19/24 135/9 NIK [22] VS 26 27 VS 2/10 V/1 2/12 V/3 2/15 2/16 9/23 21/21 22/8 49/24 88/16 94/3 108/8 109/8 113/25 144/5 150/6 154/13 MIK. [37] 413 5/1 5/5 5/7 5/13 32/11 41/12 44/5 56/14 55/18 58/5 82/19 94/10 109/24 118/23 135/17 133/25 143/25 144/3 144/6 144/20 146/4 146/20 149/16 149/11 149/22 150/7 150/11 152/16 IS2/17 153/28 154/8 134/6 154/14 154/18 154/19 154/24

MR. BATEMAN [J] 5/7 58/5 8/2/9 MR. HILDEBRAND 121 125/17 154/5 MR, HOLLAND [6] 94/10 143/25 144/3 144/20 146/4 152/16 MR. MOMOT[16] 413 54 55 513 22/11 41/12 44/5 56/14 56/18 109/24 1.18/23 133/25 146/20 150/7 150/11 153/25 MR. MOMOT'S [2] 154/14 (54/19 MR. PROBRYTEL [4] 149/21 154/6 154/18 153/24 MR. PIMESTIEL'S [4] 144/6 149/16 149/22 152/17 MECH (10) 36/8 37/21 57/21 75/18 81/13 87/19 1 13/4 113/13 123/16 146/4 MUL/11 [1] 22/3 MDL/TIPLE [2] 18/13/71/8 MURDER (6) 1528 1529 153/10 154/21 153/6 155/7 Muzzlejaj iau ias iao MY [40] 4/25 20/25 20/3 35/21 36/9 37/18 37/22 39/21 43/13 44/20 45/7 46/24 51/22 \$2/6 \$5/24 \$3/15 60/11 60/17 61/12 74/19 86/25 91/14 92/17 128/1 131/5 134/8 136/24 148/3 148/24 151/21 151/24 151/24 152/4 [52/20]52/24 |52/25 |53/3 |53/11 |53/18 18423 MISELFIII 1918

IN

NAME [17] 3/23 10/15 31/2 59/4 59/11 60/19 51/13 62/23 63/8 136/14 126/16 126/16 127/4 129/3 129/4 131/18 138/23 NAMED [1] 154/22 Namis [2] 30/22 72/25 NANDGRAM [2] 44/14 57/14 NANOXIBAMS [15] 36/15/36/17/36/23/37/7 37/23 30/2 40/17 40/20 46/6 47/13 47/17 47/25 48/5 48/10 57/1 NARCAVIICIII 29/18 NARCOTICS III 48/21 NATURAL (3) 45/15/219 53/6 NATURALLY III 100/43 NATURE [6] 14/14 15/7 24/1 34/4 5/724 72/1 NEAR [17] 12/24 17/1 17/2 17/8 17/9 23/22 24/19 24/21 31/25 46/19 82/25 117/2 117/4 144/16 144/23 144/24 144/25 MEARBY II) 89/15 NEARLY [I] 17/16 NEED 181 5/23-50/2 59/3 165/25 146/14 147/1 147/12 155/2 NEEDED [2] \$1/23 93/15 NEGATE [1] 181/13 SEGLATIVE [3] 26/14 46/25 49/2 NEGATIVES (II 28%) NETTHER HIS 103/13 NELLISTI] 89/16 NEVADA [5] 1/4 1/6 4/1 6/23 61/22 NEVER [10] 29/24 54/5 98/1 98/3 129/12 14022 141/10 141/12 141/12 132/13 NEW [3] 1/21 41/21 50/19 NEXT[11] 12/258/5 83/18 105/17 122/1 133/12/13/07 135/2 138/10 138/13 143/3 NIGGER [2] 86/6 112/10 NICHT [7] 68/28 70/14 88/20 88/20 93/16 95/3 127/11 MINE (3] 23/4 24/10 94/21 ropeleth in

NAIS [2] 42/13 50/8 NO [192] NODODY 121 40/2 121/16 NOC [2] 155/2 155/6 NON[1] 423 NONAVITNISSES [11] 420 NOPE [3] 21% 108/15 146/3 NORMAL [2] 188 BUID NORMALLY [1] 546 MORETH (4) 71/14 E44/12 144/17 144/25 505[1] 107 NOT [92] 13/18 13/19 14/9 14/11 14/25 15/23 19/12 19/23 20/9 20/13 21/4 21/20 23/7 28/23 29/16 29/20 29/23 30/3 30/4 31/22 33/133/6 33/9 33/13 34/135/6 39/18 40/11/43/19/43/21/43/4/45/13/45/21/48/4 50/2 52/4 52/6 53/1 53/15 53/25 54/25 55/4 59/5 55/23 50/15/58/15 66/10 66/2 73/3 75/9 75/17 76/18 77/10 81/22 91/10 91/12 91/17 93/25 97/23 97/24 98/1 99/6 100/19 101/23 103/11 103/25 [05/10 102/21 107/21 111/2 111/3 111/13 112/1 112/2 112/6 112/10 113/20 124/1 124/21 130/19 132/5 132/10 133/9 133/24 134/18 135/1 143/12 148/22 149/11 15/02 15/07 15577 NOTE [3] 25/15 11/20 31/8 NOTED [6] 19/3 25/21 26/11/31/21/37/20 维持 NOTEWORTHY 121 287 31/14 NOTHING [9] 3/18 28/6 28/6 50/3 57/20 58/24 118/17 125/15 126/7 MOTTICIE (S), 2024 29/1 31/10 32/12 132/1 NOAV [61] 13/15 16/4 20/16 21/1 21/25 20/24/24/3/24/25/26/22/28/1/30/6/31/7/3/24 30/12/30/21/30/12/38/9/38/17/38/23/37/14 38/1 38/19 42/2 42/13 43/22 44/13 46/9 4021 47/10 47/16 48/21 55/7 92/7 92/13 93/25 94/1 94/23 9/212 97/13 99/22 100/10 100/13 100/16 101/14 102/9 102/17 102/21 106/12 106/17 114/8 122/23 134/19 140/10 141/19 142/5 142/14 143/18 143/22 152/19 153/2 153/4 NOMBER 16] 10/20 10/20 11/15 16/25 **26/9** NUMBERS [4] 3820 3823 3825 39/1

Ю

OCLOCK [4] 1/17 4/3 89/10 96/6 ODESETT 49/14 OKIECT [6] 13/19 49/25 55/19 63/2 75/8 OBJECTION 121 103 21/2 41/10 41/17 44/20/45/7 45/10/55/24/62/3 70/17 108/14 108/16 OBSERVE [3] 50/2 130/9 130/10 ORSERVED [2] 50/1393/L OBTAIN[1] 42/13 OBTAINED [2] 42/145/19 OBVIOLISTI ISDIS OCCASION[3] WI46W29VI4 OCCUPANTS [2] 69/1971/2 OCCUR [2] 15/25 20/11 OCCURRED[17] WS 8/19 19/13/20/10 77/1479/281/2183/1488/2289/2194/14 169/15 134/17 136/16 141/2 151/14 152/1 OCCURRING [2] 85/1487/13

OCCURS III 151/12 OFF [9] 1.V5 15/12 38/22 E9/25 90/1 94/17 102/15 103/9 109/13 OFFICE[8] 6/7 6/21 6/25 8/4 2/014 42/15 42/12 42/23 OFFICERS [1] 92/25 OFTEN [2] 12/25 SSV14 OH [14] 36/15 41/16 79/24 80/7 95/11 97/1 163/14 123/18 123/20 123/20 134/6 137/11 146/13 155/9 OH. [3] 29/19 30/1 39/2 OKAY (342) OLD [3] 24/25 93/22 101/4 ONCE (6) WILLIAM TWO IMS 63/13 1296 ONE (72) | 11/10 11/21 11/23 12/1 (5/11 15/15 17/21 20/3 20/7 20/7 32/8 24/8 27/1 27/18/28/11/28/17/31/9/32/22/35/2/35/4/35/6 35/1 39/20 39/21 45/7 51/8 51/9 52/25 53/2 XV4 \$40 840 846 546 537 \$773 634 7086 70/19 71/19 71/10 71/11 71/14 71/14 71/14 77/11 77/14 88/13 93/20 93/21 96/2 96/21 98/20 108/9 110/3 114/5 120/17 129/12 132/2 132/2 132/8 134/16 138/10 136/13 138/13 141/25 142/8 146/12 146/20 151/16 151/11 152/22 153/10 ONE-EIGHTH (II 15/15 ONES [2] SU7 135/21 ONLY [13] 8/2 48/15 51/23 53/17 81/8 53/3 91/8 93/11 111/14 113/2 132/2 146/20 149717 0011[1] 8421 OPEN (ŠĮ 1828 1886 1887 OPENED III 17/17 operating [1] 15/13 OPINE [5] 38/24 39/12 47/16 47/19 5/214 OPINION [18] 8/12 15/17 15/25 18/9 33/13 33/19 35/18 37/18 38/24 39/21 43/15 43/16 43/25 44/1 44/4 44/7 44/25 56/5 OPINIONS [1] SSAS OPPORTUNITY [2] 19/22 102/6 OPPOSE III 149/9 OPPOSITE [3] 109/18 149/20 153/15 OPPOSITION[1] 148/11 ORAL [2] 47/11/89/4 ORDER [1] 154/23 ORGANIZATION III 42/19 ORIGINAL [1] 444 OTHER [46] 4/13 18/4 20/16 25/20 28/6 29/17 30/19 32/17 41/2 42/5 44/24 46/15 48/21 54/1 56/4 57/13 63/15 71/6 71/10 71/14 75/14 76/13 82/16 99/8 99/10 101/8 109/12 109/17 132/1 132/2 135/3 135/5 138/21 141/22 142/25 143/4 144/16 144/21 145/2 145/6 145/10 145/11 145/16 145/23 148/14 152/19 OTHERSHI 1934 OTHERWISE [2] 41/13 150/12 OUR [9] 8/4 10/18 10/20 23/14 23/20 28/4 28/20 34/5 42/14 OUT [67] 7121 15/13 16/3 17/6 17/11 17/15 17/16 22/13 33/18 54/4 54/7 56/20 58/17 60/18 65/10 66/25 67/2 67/3 69/19 70/10 75/5 7600 77/20 78/9 78/14 8.V6 86/6 86/25 87/1 87/12 88/6 91/14 95/3 96/12 97/5 98/21 99/23 100/2 103/2 106/15 111/12 114/21 113/21 117/10 121/12 (22/9 122/10 123/6

134/19 136/7 136/9 138/17 140/20 141/10 [42/5 142/22 14/VIS 152/20 153/3 153/7 154/1 OUTSHDE [9] 67/15 100/3 100/5 100/6 160/10 100/10 102/22 125/20 149/22 OVER [39] 6/10 7/8 38/14 65/10 7/23/81/9 81/21 82/16 82/19 82/22 86/1 86/25 86/25 87/9 90/23 91/9 91/14 104/12 105/17 105/18 110/14 111/16 111/21 111/22 111/22 111/23 115/24 119/23 120/10 120/23 121/25 140/4 143/16 142/20 149/15 152/13 152/16 152/17 OVERIXOSE III 47/6 OVERHEARDHI 73% OVERRULE |2| 5.V7 50/1 OVERRULEDIU 45/10 OWN [4] 37/16 37/16 38/6 94/3

1)

P.M [3] 155/19 PACING [2] 97/3 99/24 PACKAGE 121 21/11 23/6 PACKET [1] 22/10 PAGE [10] 29 328 3214 3225 3410 31/7 40013 42/3 111/7 146/20 PAGES [2] 22/3 42/5 PALM [3] 28/14 31/13 53/25 PARAGRAPH [3] 40/16 47/10 36/25 PARDON[1] 150/10 PARK [5] 69(1 129(16 141/5 141/16 141/23 PARKED [8] 60/24 115/13 116/8 129/24 140/4 143/2 144/12 144/19 Parking [28] 61/16 629 62/12 64/3 65/1 86/12 87/9 89/14 95/17 96/16 96/25 99/24 114/8 115/16 116/19 122/10 128/18 129/14 129/16 129/24 132/16 137/16 140/5 140/20 140/25 141/5 141/10 143/13 PAROLE [1] 94/I PART [S] 8/7.8/7.63/19 109/7-144/19 PARTICULAR [36] 7/19 7/22 8/14 9/1 9/9 10/22 12/1 14/15 14/23 14/25 17/13 18/8 20/1 20/2 20/17 22/14 33/21 33/24 34/6 34/11 34/14 39/23 42/6 42/23 45/18 45/22 45/22 4//5 48/14 53/2 53/16 55/16 65/3 137/25 151/18 152/11 PARTICULARLY [3] 14/8/32/11 PARTIES III 420 PARTY [1] 131/16 PASS [3] 18/16/88/11 139/21 PASSED [2] 119715 119722 Past [1] 119/3 PATHITI 11/12 PATHOLOGIST | 1 42/24 PATHOLOGY [4] 6/18/6/19/6/20 12/17 PATTIWAY (1) LIVES PATTERN [4] 1499 19715 25718 152711 Patterns[1] 1916 PAYEMENT III 1348 PATING [I] 109/25 PEACE [1] 1/14 PRAK[1] 47/12 PEAKING [1] 47/17 PROPER 181 37/17 \$4/19 \$8/12 130/21 130/23 131/10 131/12 143/18 PEOPLE'S ILL 41/5 PER [19] 36/15/36/17/36/29/37/7/37/23/39/2 40/17 40/20 44/14 46/6 47/5 47/13 47/17 47/25 48/5 48/10 55/25 57/2 57/14

123/16 123/23 128/18 129/8 130/13 133/15

PERFORATED III 15.0 PERFORMING [1] 23/23 PERNAPS [2] 44/5 51/18 PERSION (10] 6/13 7/6 19/13 39/4 39/6 40/6 642471/17 126/25 136/13 PERSON [16] 38/7 44/14 47/24 49/13 50/20 50/23 55/16 59/14 61/23 96/12 131/20 131/15 138/18 139/2 139/16 145/6 PERSON'S [1] 131/18. PERSONAL [1] 75/18 PERSONALÍA I II 28/18 PERTINENT [2] 28/3 28/14 PRONE [5] 91/24 92/1 92/3 92/7 127/21. PHONE HC [1] 61/14 PROTO (22) 24/13/25/11/25/12/25/23/26/11 26/20 28/1 28/2 30/6 30/11 30/12 30/14 31/12/31/16/31/22/31/23/31/24/32/1/32/3 109/3 109/18 122/3 PROTOGRAPH [9] 11/2 11/8 11/18 16/24 NI/21 115/15 116/7 135/14 136/1 PHOTOGRAPHS [18] 7/13 5/22 5/24 5/8 9/9 9/17 9/20 21/1 21/5 2.04 2.022 28/4 31/8 32/5 32/5 33/16 34/7 50/3 PHOTOS [6] 9/14/2/11/2/20/24/8/26/17 PHRASE[1] 389 PICK (21 127/19 128/17 PICKED [8] 127/12 127/13 128/11 128/14 129/15 142/16 142/19 133/21 PK.KUP [1] 120/12 PICTURE [7] IWIY 16/8 24/7 24/18 XVIS 31/24 129/22 PETERIS (2) 2025 23/13 PRECES [1] 45/21 PIMENTEL.[12] 1/24/859/12144/16 144/20 145/2 145/10 149/21 154/6 154/18 154/23 154/24 PRAIENTEL/S [5] 144/6 149/16 149/12 152/17 152/23 PLACE [11] 10/19 73/8 89/17 104/13 104/17 104/22 105/18 118/24 119/14 12/04 128/19 PLACED [1] WA PLAINTIFF [2] 1/2 1/19 PLASTICIDI 8/18/4 1/0/12 PLAYING III 6420 PLEADING[1] 150/1 PLEASE [17] BALLEY BY BELLEY THE 19/21 20/21 24/6 24/17 36/10 46/25 \$9/16 88/14 110/20 126/1 126/3 126/14 POINT [17] \$225 55721 59/16 63/21 76/19 77/18 79/2 79/15 11 1/6 133/1 134/16 134/20 [36)[3-138/3-139/15 145/10 148/6 POINTING [2] 77/12/81/9 POLICE(17), 33/17 \$1/19 91/19 91/20 91/21 92/28 11 1/7 (39/10) (39/11 141/19 (41/2) PASS 147/11 143/53 (4%) 144/18 142/3 POPS (1) 129/10 POSITION (1) 24/12 POSITIONS [1] 12V4 POSITIVE (II 45/22 POSSIBILITY III 2013 POSSIBLE [8] 20/11 SN17 SN21 SN23 S49 53/10 54/11 55/13 POSSIBLY III 21/9 POTENTIAL [1] 482

PODNĎ 121 SI/3 151/6

POUNDS [1] 49/12 POWDER(I) 134 PRACTICE [1] 6/23 PRELIMINARY 121 1/1248 PREMEDITATION (8) 147/21 151/23 1529 1537 153/12 153/17 154/14 154/15 PRESENCE (2) 33/23/63/12 PRESENT (35) 9/2 (0/12 (0/23 (0/23 1)/4 11/10 11/22 11/23 11/25 12/22 12/23 13/4 14/) 14/10 18/1 18/14 18/16 16/15 16/16 20/23 24/15 27/1 27/2 27/18 28/11 28/15 28/20 30/8 30/13 30/86 31/15 31/21 36/4 37/16 45/13 PRETTY [2] 48/16 113/4 PREVIOUS[1] 16/17 PREVIOUSLY [2] 104/11 136/11 . PRIMARY [L] 7/3 PRIOR [8] 7/11 20/14 20/15 69/9 78/11 92/24 93/7 93/7 PROBABLE [1] 152/ PRODAMAY [10] 34/1 39/8 39/15 52/25 53/1 78/25 65/12 93/23 1 19/6 119/17 PROBATION [1] 94/1 PROBLEM [2] 5/8 2*0*/7 PROCEED [8] 4/9 4/11 6/1 59/7 126/18 PROTEEDINGS [1] 155/19 PROCESSING [3] 28/19/29/13/29/15 LKODUCE (3) 174, 41/0 PROPELLED [1] 13/3 PROPERLY [1] 1804 PROPERTY[1] 102/15 PROPOSED [9] 9/13/61/21/70% 1487 148/10 148/15 149/10 153/24 154/17 PROSECUTION [11 151/17 PROSECUTOR [1] 1146 PROVIDED [2] 21/6 41/21 PULLIGI 788-886 14V7 14V12 14V12 13 LW PULLED [22] 13/9 67/3 68/5 77/20 78/5 787 7679 78/19 78/24 79/17 83/5 96/25 112/19 12/71 122/17 123/10 130/12 130/13 13100 14303 14306 15402 PULLING III 78/II PULLS(2) 119/2 125/1 PULSE(1) 139/8 [CONCHES] 77/14 107/23 118/24 133/13 PUNCTURD | 31 112/12 119/4 141/14 153/5 PUNCTIES (5) 71/25 FE/18 112/4 124/12 183/25 PURPLE [3] 19/24 20/3 PERPORTS[1] 22/24 PURPOSE [3] 95/15 98/10 144/5 PLASTE DI AVIO PLT [8] 871 3023 43/13 53/2 55/7 78/14 83/5 15(V)

QUALITIES [2], 11/11 11/24
QUESTION [8], 39/1-44/4-51/12 56/23-51/13
QUESTION [8], 39/1-44/4-51/12 56/23-51/13
75/22 91/25 91/2
QUESTION [8], [1], 14/9/1
QUESTION [8], [1], 14/9/1
QUESTION [8], 11/9-41/13-51/16-51/34
52/8-52/9-52/8-57/19-58/13-12/0/2-12/9/14
QUICK [2], 9/14-61/18
QUICK [2], 9/14-61/18
QUICK [4], 28/11-67/25-133/4-151/23

K RAISE[1] 58/20 126/3 |RANGE | 21] | 13/14 | 13/24 | 44/1 | 14/19 | 35/21 31/2 31/3 32/6 37/12 38/1 38/4 38/13 38/15 38/22 46/1 46/23 47/1 48/17 49/7 52/7 52/10 RANGES [3] 40/10/43/[3/47/2 RATE[2] 48/13 48/14 REACHED H 47/13 REACHES [2] 544547 REACHENGTH 113/5 READ[1] 150/25 READING HI 41/2 ngady in 40 wii REAL [9] 9/14/45/7 61/18 72/17 73/4 76/18 26/22 111/4 112/11 REALITY [1] 113/20 REALLY [7] 682 81/10 87/18 92/17 96/18 96/22 133/14 REARIN 116/2 116/4 14/07 REARVIEW [3] 131/3 136/11 136/24 REASON [7] 8/3 43/8 43/19 52/21 87/10 57/17 111/14 reasonablejji 180 43/14 47/24 REASONABLY [1] 27/22 RECALL [2] 29/2 137/19 RECAP[1] 146/8 RECENT[3] 2004-2005-25/1 RECESS 141 57/15 58/3 58/4 155/17 RECESSED [1] 185/19 RECEPROCAL (I) 1548 RECITE[1] 151/2 RECOGNIZE [9] 907 922 1107 163 16/21 61/23 70/8 131/12 131/14 RECOGNIZED[3] 131/13 131/15 131/25 RECOLLECT | 1 12/10 RECOLLECTION [2] 29/7 181/21 RECORD [13] 4/19 5/24 22/9 36/16 59/4 \$9/2 | \$0/25 \$1/5 \$1/10 \$2/20 126/15 139/17 139/19 RECOVERED [1] 17/4 RECROSS [6] 28 2/13 539 52/12 120/3 130/6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION [4] 138 2/13 53/12 12/06 RED 161 108/7 108/22 109/18 109/22 119/1 1287 REDIRECT [8] 2/1 2/12 50/6 50/9 56/20 118/18 118/31 146/2 REFER [2] 369 50/35 REPERENCE [1] 46/22 REFERRED [2] 11/1 114/5 REFERRING [6] 30/1 37/22 46/24 56/15 82/25 83/18 REFLECT [4] 32/24 47/3 59/21 139/19 REFRESH[1] 29/6 RECEARDING [7] 35/10 35/15 37/4 46/13 52/7 101/15 101/19 REGIONIU 17/14 RELATE | 1 | 12/17 RELATED [4] 39/24 40/1 53/18 53/21 RELATES [7] 14/14/19/5 18/8 (1/5/44/25 45/2 52/11 RELATIONSHIP [3] 101/15 101/20 129/9 RELATIVE 121 36/19 36/20 RELATIVES [1] 59 RELEVANCE [3] 44/21 44/24 45/3 RELEVANT [4] 447 45% 46/2 53/4

138/19 137/4 138/18 149/22 140/24

RUNS DI 140917

RUSHING [1] 134/19

S.U.V (2) 11076 12872 SAID [60] | 16/18 18/22 29/12 48/20 45/29 46/14 54/17 62/16 63/23 64/4 67/17 69/14 7N1 7N2 7417 78/10 75/17 76/14 76/16 76/17 76/21 77/25 78/5 78/19 79/4 79/5 81/20 8/13 83/16 84/17 84/21 84/24 85/9 86/6 87/23 93/18 94/8 101/24 102/1 109/7 111/2 111/2 111/8 111/14 111/15 112/6 112/1 112/10 113/17 120/23 122/19 125/9 125/10 131/17 136/21 14-V17 145/22 146/17 151/6 154/23 Sake[i] 1929 SALAZÁR (II) OVIÁ Same (10) 16/10 17/8 30/11 71/10 74/14 8405 940 12706 145/11 147024 SAMUELIII 1/19 SATTH 150/14 SAW 1921 9/2 20/17 61/15 62/9 69/14 71/19 114/18 114/20 121/25 12/20 12/20 12/20 134/1 1382 135/1 135/12 135/16 135/28 135/25 136/18 136/21 137/3 137/21 138/3 138/18 138/24 139/4 141/22 141/14 141/15 14415 144/30 SAK [49] 1471 1772 19734 27712 30718 3934 34/15 34/24 35/1 35/12 36/13 38/8 38/19 42/21 44/14 45/25 46/1 47/6 47/10 49/15 52/24/60/19 61/4/61/11 71/12 72/9 72/18 75/15 76/24 82/12 86/4 86/13 87/14 91/16 96/23 100/10 101/17 111/8 114/2) 120/18 121/18 12**3**/13 123/8 131/9 133/4 135/5 135/9 (38/10 149/19 SAYING [14] 12/12 37/10 48/4 57/1 74/14 81/9 83/24 85/13 95/7 123/23 123/5 123/22 137/21 138/23 |SAYS [9] | 101/24 | 110/13 110/15 111/19 110/21 110/25 111/25 147/20 147/20 SCENE [3] 7/21 33/16 90/18 SCHOOL [2] 6/16 6/17 SCIENTIFIC [2] 43/2 43/20 SCRAPE [1] 26/10 SCRAPEDIU 555 SCRAPES [II 20/23 SCRAPINGIAI 54/19 SCRAPINGS [2] 28/17.34/15 SCREENIU 13/20 SEAL [4] 7025 W4 10/11 10/12 SEALED III 7/25 SEAT[3] 5/22 59/2 (2013 SECOND [12] 24/8 27/1 31/16 40/16 47/10 79/17 80/5 84/19 86/2 86/6 88/13 137/8 SECONDS[14] 162 H2/23 H3/3 H3/3 113/14 113/15 113/16 118/15 119/3 119/6 119/15 119/17 119/22 119/25 SECTION [3] 428 4403 4623 SECTIONS (1) 42/3 SECURITY [9] 74/11 74/12/75/6 75/10 75015 10205 102015 10302 10309 SEE [78] 9/1 10/12 12/22 13/2 13/10 13/15 149 149 14/11 14/15 16/7 20/7 20/21 24/6 27/11/31/8 31/25 32/2 32/16 50/12 59/14 62/14/62/25/63/25/65/16/68/23 71/16/71/14 81/1 89/1 92/9 98/1 96/12 96/18 96/24 102/4 102/14 105/8 103/25 107/2 107/6 107/8 RT 1806 (8) 12 146 (12/10 12/22) 13/24 107/25 108/2 108/4 108/22 109/12 109/13 109/17 109/21 109/21 111/11 114/24 11%)

113/22 13/019 131/3 131/7 132/12 133/14 133/15 134/2 134/17 134/16 134/18 134/21 13425 136/16 138/32 139/13 140/10 140/20 140723 140724 14177 141725 14373 147717 SEEING [2] 13/15 [3/15 SEEMS [1] 31/10 SEEN [23] 27724 46/15 54/18 54/18 63/11 71/3-78/12 95/2 106/15 114/20 115/2 115/3 129/5 132/2 137/4 138/25 141/4 141/10 141/12 141/12 141/14 141/15 141/16 SELF[1] 154/16 SELF-DEFENSE [1] 154/16 SEND[2] 42/14 42/18 SENSE [1] 44/8 SEPARATE [2] 6V21 63/24 SET [4] 47 248 5012 93/2 SEVEN(3) 15/3 24/9 74/11 SEVERAL [2] 42/5 129/7 SEX [5] 72/14 101/23 110/11 110/15 112/9 SHARSON [13] 61/14/67/8 68/18/68/21 92/19 92/20 95/19 95/22 101/6 101/11 194/5 106/1 106/13 SHE [25] 5/13/44/24/56/14/63/1/65/1/65/9 65/18 75/4 75/5 75/5 79/9 99/11 99/12 99/15 99/45 99/13 100/8 100/23 100/23 101/2 106/4 186/6 129/10 129/11 129/12 SHE'S [4] 4W24 4W25 56/15 61/1 SHIRT [7] 32/16 TH20 TEST TEST TEST 112/18 147/16 5110 [1] 125/2 SHOOT [5] 79/4 79/6 123/20 125/11 136/21 SHOCTING [23] 20/10/20/12/20/14/20/15 89/21 91/6 109/14 113/6 113/14 118/23 118/24 134/25 137/3 137/32 138/4 138/19 141/1 141/2 141/23 141/24 142/1 153/16 154/10 SHOOTS HI 153/3 SHORT [4] 19/13 48/16 48/17 48/19 SHORTLY [1] #10/22 SHOT [32] 10/18 10/22 14/2 15/18 18/3 18/4 50/19 54/3 54/5 54/8 79/20 80/2**2** 81/20 84/19 84/20 84/24 85/9 85/16 86/3 86/5 119/15 119/20 119/21 119/24 121/7 121/20 12021 1201 1254 125/11 137/0 154/18 SHOTS [14] 119/8/134/24/135/12/135/13 135/21 135/21 135/24 136/14 136/16 1*37/*8 137/19 137/19 137/20 141/13 SHOULD [3] 5/4 43/19 153/8 SHOULDN'T JUJ 120VIB SHOW [15] 9/12/11/1/11/12/16/4/31/12 70/23 71/16 73/4 76/16 76/22 BD/A 111/3 113/10 133/18 133/33 SHOWED [4] 11/23 130/21 139/12 139/12 SHOWENG [13] 17/17/16/13/16/20 17/7 22/24 61/21 70/5 81/13 108/21 114/4 129/21 140/3 143/4 SHOWS (II 977 SHOWS [3] 31/17 LIANE 114/15 SIDE [33] 23/12/23/12/28/5/31/7/54/17/1/6 77/7 78/18 86/6 97/17 100/4 109/1 112/12 118/15 117/7 117/8 (35/3 135/5 135/5 135/6 136/7 136/24 138/22 140/4 140/12 140/13 140/14/140/19 14177 141/8 144/13/144/3 144/25 SIDEWALK 1251 85/4 85/23 109/14 116/21 1,6022 117/11 121/11 121/13 122/5 122/19 123/17 123/21 124/15 124/17 125/10 132/17 134/3 138/2 138/21 138/23 138/24 139/1

SIDEWALK...[3] 140/16/140/17/140/19 SIDEWAYSUI 78/17 SIEGEL [13] 67/19 68/3 69/3 69/15 70/10 70/11 76/12 80/4 98/16 128/21 128/22 129/14 151/9 SIGHT [2] 134/22 136/4 SIGNIFICANCE[2] 28/2 30/14 SIGNIFICANT [2] 89 8/19 SILVER [4] 116/2 116/4 124/9 124/10 Similari i 181/23 SINCE [3] 21/3 39/8 139/2 SINGLE [3] 38/8 38/13 47/11 SIR (27) 11/15 59/2 59/11 59/25 64/12 81/7 82/18 82/18 88/20 89/8 80/12 90/15 91/21 93/18 94/2 94/20 95/6 103/21 114/7 114/10 (15/0 118/16 120/9 126/1 136/2 126/23 14073 SISTER HILLS/A STT [3] 5/4 12/10 137/18 SETTENG [4] 48/4 64/1897/16 133/23 SETUATION III 76/10 SIX [10] 15/3 24/1 249 49/17 49/19 49/20 51/3 149/6 121/21 153/6 SEX-FOOT-TWO [2] S1/J 153/6 SINTY HI MAT SRIN[4] 1410 14/12 14/24 15/9 SLEEP [2] 73/2 76/17 MAGRIT [1] 15420 SLOT [1] 113/16 SMALL [2] 13/7 17/15 SMEAR [2] 28/15 31/15 SNAP (11 8/2 SO (159) SOBETT [1] 152/13 SOME [41] 413 413 475 472 579 5/13 9/2 9/5 12/3 13/15 14/9 23/11 24/15 28/15/31/15 32/2 38/1 40/1 40/1 41/17 51/7 51/16 52/22 58/13 64/21 64/21 69/11 84/17 109/14 128/10 129/8 130/22 130/22 130/23 133/8 136/13 138/3 145/10 150/16 150/23 154/6 SOMEBODY [3] 41/4 97/14 137/4 SOMEONE 17) SW18 SW11 63/8 97/17 150/14 (35/19 138/22 SOMEPLACE DI 71/3 SOMETHING [21] LY2 13/20 13/25 14/12 24/1 25/7 25/9 34/3 39/22 40/9 52/22 54/19 54/21 54/21 55/5 67/12 94/21 96/6 10⁵/2 111/12 133/10 SOMETIMES (41 12/22 12/10 14/8 30/25 SOMEWAY [1] 2824 SOMEWHAT [1] 1948 SOMEWHERE [3] 68/23 82/25 84/25 SON(10) 127/2 142/15 142/19 142/21 144/3 144/5 144/14 145/1 145/9 145/15 SOON [4] 96/24 96/25 147/5 152/14 800T [13] 1222 1223 1248 124 1464 146 14/22 15/1 16/16 32/8 32/24 35/10 35/15 SORRY [17] 11/14/24/21 34/21 34/21 72/18 74/7 79/24 81/11 93/11 95/11 109/6 109/6 109028 120018 120018 126011 15509 SORT [6] 64/21 69/3 69/6 129/9 130/23 13.38 SOUNDS [1] #/14 SO1771 [2] 71/14 144/11 SOLUTIOVESTIU 135/20 SPEAK [3] 82/20 114/22 150/20

SPEAKING (2) 145/2 145/10 SPECIFIC[2] 52/7 119/5 SPECIFICALLY [2] 48/15/27/24 Specimensial iaza adi adia adia SPECULATE(2) 39/12/58/22 SPECULATING (1) 56/2 SPECULATION (II 55/24 SPLLL [3] 5/23 59/4 126/15 SPOKE[2] (0/11/33/24) SPOKEN [II] SVI SPOT [2] 143/8 143/10 SPON [4] 112/13 117/17 121/21 122/22 STATU 121/4 STACK III 32/3 STACE HI 150/2 STAGGERED 191 77/19 79/21 1 17/17 120023 121/4 121/21 122/21 123/2 125/11 STAGGERENG III 112/16 STAGGERS [2] 782 1237 STAIR[2] 12VIS 14VI6 STAIRCASE[3] 120/9 123/23 143/16 STAIRCASES (I) 114/16 STAIRS [35] 71/20 71/25 72/1 73/9 73/10 80/13 80/14 106/16 106/25 107/1 107/8 115/2 (15/3)15/3 (15/5 115/22 115/23 116/25 117/3 117/10 123/14 123/16 123/16 132/7 132/14 140/10 142/23 144/14 145/1 145/7 145/9 145/13 145/14 145/15 15/13 STANUIU 126/2 STANDING [12] 18/3 50/18 80/21 82/18 88/17 97/2 97/17 118/21 117/2 117/4 117/4 START [4] 31/1 72/2 1369 139/8 STARTED [15] 721 722 723 7621 793 80/12/86/12 10/03 115/7 116/11 125/8 135/7 13624 138/17 154/17 STARTS [3] 19/15-106/13-135/9 STATE [21] 1/4-1/6-2/3-4/3-5/13-5/13-5/13-5/13 6/23 47/A 58/7 58/23 59/A 125/23 126/6 176/14 146/6 146/9 147/9 148/15 149/6 STATE'S [18] 2/20 9/13 19/2 10/7 19/17 15/2 16/16/21 6/22 62/6 70/6 70/20 11/44 120/8 120/32 140/3 143/4 151/17 STATED[2] 146/4.151/19 STATEMENT [14] 35/14 85/34 89/1 89/1 89/7 89/13 92/24 92/25 93/7 93/8 93/13 11177 142/6 142/9 STATEMENTS | 11 75/14 STAY [S] 15/3/65/3 85/17 106/10 130/3 STATED [6] 68/21 69/24 100/3 100/5 100/8 130215 STAYING (1) 99/18 STEADY [1] 47/3 STEP [3] 32/22/35/1126/1 Stephanie (1) 18814 STEPS [5] 121/21 121/22 123/2 131/7 131/8 STICK [2] 89/20 91/5 STILL [14] 13/24/32/2 39/13/85/21 85/23 112/8 116/25 117/20 118/11 124/23 130/18 132/6 133/23 145/18 STEATTH-23/12 STINULANT [2] 36/7 38/17 STIPPLING [12] 1312 1318 13410 13413 1441 14/8 14/23 16/17 32/8 32/24 25/10 35/15 STIPULATE (2) 23/8 23/8 STOMACIE [6] 16/24 18/3 79/20 84/20

\$1000 B | 86/3 STOP[H] 152/13 STRAIGHT [3] 849 myi9 10.026 STRUKT[6] 87/8 90/19 90/21 90/22 91/1 STRICKENIII 15/21 STRECTLY [1] 49/3 STRECK [4] 25/7 25/9 25/19 25/19 STUCK [2] 79/19 113/17 21/14 1 102/19 SUBCUTANFOUS [2] 1840 1744 SUBJECT III 152/2 SUBBATT (4) 21/10 21/10 149/13 150/15 SUBSUTTED [1] 154/I SUBMITTING DI 150/4 150/11 150/13 SUBPOENAED [2] 58/10 58/15 SUBSECTION[1] 50/24 SUBSTANCES [2] 29/18 29/18 SUCH(2) 35/2 17/4 SUDDEN(2) 7/5 (33/13) SUFFICIENT [1] 4323 SUICIDE [3] 453 5220 525 SULTIN 15418 SULTE [2] 70/11/98/19 SCITES [13] 6709 68/3 69/3 69/15 70/11 76/13/80/198/16 127/16 138/21 128/22 129/14 151/9 SULTATE [1] 47/12 SCILLIVAN (2) 1/14 WIS SUNDAY ILL 60/2 SUPPORT [1] 1542 supportér [1] 1504 SUPPORTS DI 15402 SUPPOSABLY III 153/3 SUPPOSE [2] 153/4 153/7 SURE [17] 20/13 21/13 22/19 22/22 29/5 20/9 34/1 40/11 46/4 58/8 75/9 75/18 88/15 92/10/92/12 125/19 155/11 SURPACK 121 17/3-51/13 SURFACES [1] 24/16 SURGICAL III 6/19 SWORN [3] 5/18 58/24 126/7 SYMPTOMS III 47/7 SYNERGISTIC HT 39/18 SYSTEM [10] 39/4-46/7-47/17 48/1-48/3 489 483 487 4872 55710

lar.

T4MOT414 (11 595 TAG (2) 10/13 30/17 TAKR [24] 7023 8/22 8/24 10/19 16/3 22/13 23/12 23/16 28/3 28/4 30/15 37/17 43/2 57/24 61/4 70/7 90/1 98/16 104/8 109/24 115/10 127/15 128/19 (50/14 TAKEN 171 9/9 9/20 2VII 2VI 9 24/1 JU9 58/4 TAKES [7] 19/16 48/15 55/14 81/8 105/9 15303 153/7 TAKING (7) 22/8 39/22 49/6 67/18 73/8 81/8/124/4 TALK [28] 10/25 LVI4 3VI7 52/19 52/20 \$2/20 \$2/21 60/18 63/25 63/10 65/18 75/5 BUIS BUZI 9UI4 9UI6 9U6 9UI5 95/3 95/15/97/6/97/8 102/4 135/24 144/7 TALKED [13] 9/8 16/11 50/14 62/16 89/3 92/17 92/25 93/4 93/8 100/3 142/11 142/14 TALKING [13] 12/21 28/12 30/1 42/31

81/25 83/9

TALKING...[9] \$5/18/67/15/81/16/97/22 97/25 100/9 110/1 133/3 150/19 TALKS[1] 40/16 TAPED[1] 89/7 TAPES [11] 109/13 TEAR[1] 13/25 TECHNICALLY III 4/24 TECHNICIAN III 28/20 TELL [11] 19/9-20/9 28/23 63/23 74/1 74/4 82/4 102/3 102/6 135/25 145/5 TELLING [11] 3V186437437419 101/22 103/2 110/19 137/18 151/7 151/7 15V 10 TELLS (2) 118 97/7 TEN [14] 37/23 66/7 74/11 74/23 85/12 94/21 108/6 118/1 118/2 118/8 118/9 118/9 118/9 151/12 Terms [3] 345 5419 5421 TERRETORY [2] 56/19 56/19 TEST [5] 28/22 201 40/5 42/16 42/16 TESTIFIED (11) 5/19 7/9 17/24 46/13 56/14 56/15 58/28 119/7 119/11 126/8 151/10 TESTIFY [6] 5/18 W14 59/24 126/7 141/22 148/21 TESTIFYING [2] 40/4 122/9 TES MAIONY [20] 44/21-44/31-45/8-147/15 147/17 147/25 150/6 150/17 150/18 150/23 151/3 [51/2] 152/2 [53/2 [53/16 [54/] 154/5 1549 154/11 154/16 PESTING [3] 30/14/2/19 51/17 TESTS [1] 48/21 THAN [10] 14/19 28/6 34/2 54/15 56/4 95/10 129/6 130/19 135/21 153/9 THANK (111] 5/12 5/22 6/1 6/2 10/5 23/9 24/23 29/10 34/23 52/18 53/10 57/21 57/23 58/1 58/7 58/19 59/2 59/7 59/19 61/7 70/19 \$\$V\\3 109/24 125/16 126/13 126/18 126/19 14674 14973 158715 155716 THANKS[3] 49/20 58/20 92/6 THAT [587] THAT'S [126] BIS 10/12 10/12 10/20 10/21 11/9 11/11 11/25 13/4 13/9 14/4 14/15 16/18 245 27/18 28/19 28/19 32/20 32/25 34/17 3418 35/11 35/16 37/10 37/12 41/22 43/5 4.722.44/9.44/9.44/25.46/20.46/22.48/1 49/17 51/0 54/14 54/23 57/3 57/3 57/4 77/19 79/17/83/5/84/19/85/1 92/20/93/3 95/7 97/7 100/10 101/24 101/24 102/1 103/3 103/35 109/16 110/18 110/24 111/14 111/25 111/25 112011 112015 112223 113020 11802 118013 120/11 121/1 121/20 123/6 124/3 124/12 125/11 126/17 127/9 130/2 136/3 136/4 136/6 136/8 136/15 136/17 136/20 136/22 137/24 138/5 138/6 139/3 139/5 140/4 141/3 THE 1410 HIM LIVE FOR SHE SHE 141/18 142/7 142/13 142/18 142/21 142/24 143VI 143VII 143VI4 143VI7 144VI 144A 1448 1449 14410 14413 14417 14422 15.VLI 15.VH 15.V17 THERE [9] 30/22 34/17 42/20 43/13 45/17 54/4 54/7 54/19 123/4 THEM [33] \$/10.8/2 13/20 14/24 23/13 25/2 37/9 28/18 30/8 31/1 31/2 35/2 51/6 54/18 5021 55/5 67/15 93/3 93/4 93/5 93/9 97/20 98/2 107/10 121/19 122/17 124/20 131/1

1342 13418 13422 145/5 152/17 THEMSELVES III 129/8 THEN [142] 6/20 6/22 7/24 8/22 9/14 10/19 15/10 15/11 (5/12 15/13 16/20 17/1 17/15 17/19 19/24 20/24 24/9 24/18 24/25 24/25 27/1 27/16 28/4 28/5 29/21 30/19 39/23 40/16 42/5 42/8 43/25 44/25 46/17 47/5 SN24 64/6 65/21 67/17 71/9 71/24 71/11 76/20 77/18 78/2 78/5 79/19 80/21 82/2 82/10 RV16 84/9 84/10 84/24 86/21 86/25 89/4 90/5 91/10 91/10 93/2 93/3 102/5 102/10 104/\$ 105/18 106/10 107/18 107/12 102/22 110/13 110/22 11 1/4 112/4 112/18 11221 113/17 115/7 115/9 116/05 116/24 112/15 117/17 119/20 119/23 121/3 121/3 121/17 121/18 121/18 12/15 121/17 125/1 125/2 125/2 125/4 125/6 125/9 129/16 130/14 130/18 130/23 131/8 132/3 132/6 133V1 133V11 133V13 133V13 133V17 134V0 134/14 134/15 134/16 135/3 135/18 136/1 1360 1360 1360 1300 1300 1300 1300 1,1923 138/16 139/6 139/8 439/12 142/1 141/11 141/15 141/18 141/14 141/15 141/16 146/14 147/1 147/23 148/11 149/2 152/28 152/25 154/18 THEORIEX [1] 151/24 THEORY [6] 148/3 150/1 151/17 151/11 152/2 154/13 THERAPEUTICI21 37/4 38/13 THERE [124] 6/9 9/8 13/22 15/13 15/27 17/3 19/3 19/7 20/1 20/6 20/16 20/24 21/7 25/20 25/20 26/11 26/32 26/33 27/9 26/15 28/20 10/23 31/19 33/24 34/1 36/4 36/72 37/4 36/1 38/20 40/15 46/23 48/21 52/25 36/19 SE/13 S9/3 S9/18 61/18 61/25 61/13 66719 66724 6773 6976 69710 7178 7477 7411 74/25 75/1 75/11 76/9 76/13 79/12 WVII 84/17 87/24 90/23 91/8 91/10 91/17 91/19 91/20 91/20 95/16 95/18 95/19 96/1 96/3 96/5 96/23 97/2 97/14 97/17 98/20 101/18 102/13 104/15 105/13 106/10 106/13 107/10 109/14 107/18 108/14 111/12 114/18 115/18 ¥17/14 119/7 121/3 123/1 125/12 127/12 127/13 130/6 130/11 130/23 132/1 132/6 133/3 135/25 138/22 141/10 142/20 143/32 145V15 145V16 145V19 149V16 150V18 15DX1 15.V12 15.V17 15.V10 15.V1 15.V5 154% 154/9 154/16 154/20 155/6 155/10 THERE'S [35] 402 1023 11/9 11/10 11/16 12/11/3 13/19 16/16 23/4 23/28 25/18 27/1 27/18 28/6 28/15 30/15 30/19 31/10/31/15 32/12 33/23 38/19 48/16 41/15 \$3/1 59/3 61/2 108/16 LIS/15 140/16 142/8 146/22 1529 152/12 THEREAFTER [2] 96/24 LIW22 THESE [38] 9/17/21/7/2013/2019/24/25 23/3 25/14 25/21 26/3 26/14 26/15 27/23 27/24 33/23 39/19 \$1/12 54/17 51/23 63/15 70/7 75/13 83/18 83/24 109/12 109/12 109/17 121/19 122/10 124/16 131/10 19022 140/24 145/18 152/8 152/12 152/15 152/21 15-4/24 THEY [80] 5:0 7023 9/19 9/20 23/12 23/19 2,1771, 24729 27/6 28729 26745 26727 3171 31/1 31/17 31/21 33/24 34/1 42/14 42/19 43/13 44/16 45/16 45/19 46/18 46/23 47/1 47/3 47/10 SO/18 SU/21 55/13 63/12 64/18 67/13/69/24 71/6 72/1 74/9 75/6 76/20 87/9

8971 8979 89783 9372 9775 97724 190716 100/16 10:918 101/8 102/16 10:93 103/22 104/12/105/24 105/25 103/11 107/13 107/21 107722 (13/10 113/12 129/8 130/11 130/12 130/13 131/9 131/3 131/3 133/9 131/16 139/B 142/5 145/11 149/16 152/20 154/15 154/16 THEY RE [16] 22/4 23/21 27/14 28/18 31/22 33/18 39/20 53/25 54/1 110/14 111/16 [11/19 117/13 120/19 120/19 124/21 THING [6] 374 108/17 11/2 12/06 12/15 135/2 THINGS [1] 1307 THINSK [46] 4/19 17/24 22/13 34/2 43/23 45/24 35/21 56/11 56/12 56/13 36/15 56/16 56/18 SIVI 6 SIVI 8 67/22 71/6 71/25 74/21 75/6 75/15 76/14 77/1 77/3 78/18 84/20 88V23 92/8 92/10 92/12 94/17 95/9 108/7 113/17 113/12 115/4 119/11 134/6 133/25 146/19 147/28 148/2 150/4 151/24 154/19 135/11 PHIRD (16) 7/15 71/23 80/6 89/7 106/19 106/20 107/1 107/2 114/19 114/20 115/4 115/5 127/8 131/16 149/22 152/22 THIRD PARTY (II 131/16 THIS 12431 THOROUGH [1] 41/25 THOSE [20] 9/14 9/12 12/24 13/7 14/11 42/18 45/16 45/20 46/15 46/15 51/2 51/19 32/21 55/1 72/13 119/12 136/16 142/23 148/7 154/15 PHOLOGICIAL MAIG 48/17 97/48 111/11 THOUGHT [11] 4009 62/18 74/1 76/6 85/1 95/9 105/24 113/19 113/20 137/5 137/22 THOUSAND 12: 7/8/47/5 THREE [17] 248 27/4 27/9 31/9 40/13 48/17 48/19 51/1 62/5 94/7 96/22 105/1 106/25 107/1 114/15 131/13 149/17 Fireny (S) 86/6 86/8 137/14 138/6 138/7 THROUGH 1161 2/24 2/13 9/14 10/2 10/5 1077 1579 15710 17714 17715 54/8 146/9 146/12 (46/13 15)/7 155/4 THROWED H 97/S THROWS [1] 107/23 THUMBELL MASS THA [1] 98/20 T [M [4] 58/7 139/2 131/5 151/10 Time [104] 4/7 6/13 6/24 //6 7/24 8/25 9/28 16/2 19/13 19/14 20/10 20/11 22/7 23/22 23/23/23/25/28/22/33/25/34/16/35/13/39/4 39/6 46/19 47/16 47/20 57/22 60/10 61/1 62/11/62/19/63/14/63/24/64/13/64/23/66/2 66/0 67/12 67/16 68/12 68/17 68/23 69/7 71/17 76/15 77/23 79/1 79/17 84/19 83/9 85/10/86/1 86/3 86/4 86/6 86/18/87/17 93/4 938 93/11 94/16 93/2 96/5 96/17 96/18 102/13 103/8 104/8 105/8 167/15 107/25 108/2 109/22 111/6 (13/13 113/13 116/9 117/1 117/25 118/24 119/3 119/22 119/22 121/20 (13/1 129/12 125/16 126/25 127/21 128/23 130/12 130/18 132/9 132/11 133/4 136/13 137/5 138/25 146/5 147/47 152/8 153/4 153/17 153/20 154/9 TIMES [2] 74/21 129/7 TIMOTHY [5] 29 38/22 59/3 148/1 150/18 THE [1] 26/25 TESSUE [2] 15/10 17/4 TISSUES (11 12/2)

TFT LEST 13 34/1 TODAY [16] 40 50 50 70 1201 210 40/3 43/20 57/22 59/14 137/18 139/4 139/13 141/23 1405 152/14 TOR111 10/13 TOGETHER [5] 8/18/26/2/12/94/8/129/8 TOLD [11] 72/11 72/16 73/1 74/21 76/16 104/14 130/6 139/8 142/15 144/9 145/3 TOLERANCE [1] 38/17 POMORROW [1] 152/14 TOO[5] \$6/18/87/18 101/11 116/22 151/22 100K [13] 14/23 17/13/67/17 79/18/89/13 69/23 69/25 91/1 113/2 113/6 118/23 119/14 TOP [14] 24/13/27/531/9/31/12/54/12/86/3 115/4 115/5 115/23 115/23 119/23 TORSO (E) 18413 TOTAL [2] 13709 13720 TOTALITY [1] 1804 TOWARD HI 48/25 TOWARDS [16] 26/25 80/14 122/12 122/14 132/15 133/2 135/16 135/18 136/18 136/19 137/9 137/9 137/16 137/17 138/4 138/18 TOWELSTII 1919 TOWNSHIP (2) 1/3 7/11 TOX [1] 41/3 TOXILII 41/4 TOXIC [9] 36/12 JUL 37/1 38/1 38/1 38/1 38/1 38/21 49/7 55/10 TOXICOLOGY [7] 35/23/35/23/46/12/41/4 41725 52/10 53/3 TOXO [1], 414 TRACK 11 142/3 trafficin 3413 TRAINING (2) 6/14/6/24 TRAJECTORY (8) 124 12/11 12/14 17/19 TRANSCRIPT (M. 1712 15176 18372) TRANSPIRED [II 143 TRAUMA (SI, 18/25 19/3 20/17 20/19 30/21 TREATMENT | I | 40/1 TREE [9] 117/2 117/4 118/11 120/10 122/7 122/24 134/4 14/015 14/016 irial [1] (52/3 TRICO [2] 8024 91/8 TRIGGER [3] 78/19 78/24 79/17 TRIPLE (II 3824 TREICK [82] 82/15 82/14 82/19 85/5 83/6 108/7 108/22 109/10 109/18 109/22 110/1 117/18 117/20 117/21 117/23 118/15 12/9/13 120/24 121/9 121/11 121/14 121/16 121/17 121718 121/25 122/1 123/14 124/3 124% 124/1 124/10 125/13 133/16 134/5 134/7 134/12 134/15 136/2 137/10 138/2 138/10 138/25 140/11 140/15 140/19 141/8 141/16 141/17 143/3 143/8 143/10 143/15 TRUE [6] 9/12 23/21 34/16 35/8 54/16 185/11 TREFTH [9] 5/18 5/18 5/19 5W24 58/24 58/25 126/7 126/7 126/8 TRY [6] 70/24 80/23 81/12 81/17 82/22 115/10 PRY [SIG [7] 76/776/776/979/479/11 79/1182/19 TURN[2] \$9/2531/7

TURNED [2] 123/2)37/8

TURNS [1] 19/24
TWELNE [3] 85/12 118/9 128/13
TWICE [2] 135/19 136/21
TWO [60] 7/25 8/2 9/2 10/13 10/25 14/13
15/14 24/8 24/18 26/17 27/14 27/12 31/9
47/14 48/17 48/19 49/17 49/19 49/20 51/8
69/22 70/7 70/16 70/19 73/22 73/23 82/17
89/2 9/9 9/8 96/18 96/20 96/21 96/32
96/23 99/8 99/18 185/1 185/18 10/8 113/13
115/10 119/8 124/16 131/13 133/1 132/2
135/24 136/24 136/13 136/16 137/8 137/19
145/18 149/9 149/17 132/12 152/21 153/6
15/10
TYPE [2] 50/20 152/8

Ŧ

1.01 (881-7/20 2/23 2/23 9/15 10/11 10/23) 12/24 13/3 14/3 14/14 14/24 15/21 15/22 17/11 17/14 17/16 17/21 19/9 23/13 24/7 25/16 25/18 25/24 26/3 26/23 27/2 27/5 27/16 27/22 28/10 28/21 29/12 31/6 31/24 32/3 32/4 32/23 36/32 37/18 37/20 39/2 49/5 41/24 42/2 42/6 42/15 44/15 44/16 45/20 46/5 47/19 50/25 51/20 53/25 55/10 56/1 560 56/14 650 67/8 67/19 73/15 74/13 60A 88/25 89/10 92/10 92/15 93/6 94/5 99/8 104/5 110/10 116/15 129/12 130/6 130/18 132/10 1333 (339) 137/8 140/3 [40/12 142/11/144/15 145/14 146/23 151/9 UH-HUH [2] 73/15 80/8 (U.TIMATELY [2] 52/14 65/6 UM (138) 5/5 (/14 7/5 7/17 6/7 8/19 6/2) 10/18 11/9 12/3 12/16 12/16 13/2 13/11 13/13 14/6 14/20 13/3 15/4 15/13 16/8 16/10 16/25 17/8 18/2 19/13 20/9 20/22 21/6 25/23 27/1 28/14 31/4 31/21 31/22 33/24 33/25 34/5 34/10 34/20 37/2 37/3 36/7 34/25 39/7 39/8 39/12 40/8 40/12 40/12 41/6 42/16 48/3 45/23 50/14 50/23 50/25 51/2 51/10 62/13 SNL 5417 5418 5419 55/4 60/2 60/17 62/25 6.VII 64/22 65/24 66/2 67/16 69/10 69/16 70024 71/21 72/11 75/23 76/16 76/20 77/6 76/16 80/16 81/7 81/13 82/1 82/14 82/24/84/7/84/24/83/16/86/21/87/13/88/1 89/2 90/17 91/6 92/23 93/23 94/21 99/8 113/24 115/8 115/9 118/25 120/25 121/8 125/22 127/16 127/16 128/1 128/3 128/4 128/23 129/13 129/25 130/11 131/8 131/30 132/14 132/20 134/1 134/25 135/2 135/10 1389 13876 139/16 141/14 147/19 148/3 TSI/S 151/19 152/1 153/25 154/6 154/13 LIM-HUMIGI 69/16 113/24 115/8 120/25 121/8 132/20 UNDER [6] 13/28 14/24 50/24 10/VIS 147716 152/11 UNDERLATING [3] 1508 150/12 150/13 UNDERNEATH [4] 1223 40/16 137/14 ENDERSTAND [5] 48/4 58/13 81/7 99/12 137 18 UNDERSTANDING [4] 65/18/98/5 98/18 1802 ENDETERMENED 141 45/6 52/15 52/23 52/24 UNEXPECTEDITE 7/5 UNFORTURATELY [1] 152/15 UNIVERSITY [1] 6/16

UNTIL [9] 91/10 91/17 91/19 91/21 102/25 103/1 112/6 141/21 154/2 enusual III sull 119 [109] 6/20 13/10 13/14 13/15 14/4 16/13 16/14 17/7 17/9 17/10 17/17 22/10 25/13 307 30/12 31/18 37/6 38/14 38/14 38/21 39/10 48/11 48/12 48/20 50/18 63/5 66/15 66/24 67/3 67/4 68/5 69/1 70/24 71/20 77/19 77/24 78/6 78/7 78/8 78/11 78/16 78/16 78/24 79/22 81/2 81/4 81/12 84/11 86/2 86/7 868 86/12 86/21 87/20 93/2 98/5 98/8 98/10-99/11 99/23 100/7 102/9 102/19 106/15 106/22 108/4 111/10 112/18 114/18 114/22 116/11 133/15 125/1 125/6 126/1 126/1 127/12 127/13 127/19 128/11 128/14 128/17 129/15 130/7 130/12 130/18 130/21 130/25 13128 13129 133716 133717 133725 134715 137/25 139/12 139/12 141/15 142/16 142/19 142/19 142/23 143/3 143/6 144/14 149/22 152/22 1539 153/21 UPON(9) 12/5 15/24 45/2 6/V19 73/21 8/V12 147/25 151/15 151/18 OPPER (1) 49/6 UPSCALE[1] 48/2 DPSTAIRS [3] TIVIT 131/R 145/18 UPWARD [2] 17/22/48/1 USJSJ. 42/19 SJ/16 S6/2 S6/4 155/14 USE [3] 10/21 52/23 154/21 USED 121 76/25 88/9 USING [2] 72/25 81/12 USCALLY (8) 7/20 14/3 19/14 30/15 36/13 37/5 54/3 152/6 ÜTILIZINGID 21/7

١

VALET [11] 66/15/60/17/60/29/60/24 102/10 102/20 102/24 103/1 103/22 104/19 YARDES[2] 19/16 19/23 VARIETY [1] 4700 YARIOUS [JI] 18/25 26/1 32/4 VEGAS [5] 1/3 4/1 6/22 7/10 51/18 VEHICLE 1351-61/9/62/14/66/21/66/21/67/5 67/7 68/14 68/19 68/21 69/20 69/23 69/25 71/16/90/25 10/211 10/23 10/25 104/2 104/8-104/6-105/23 132/4 132/6 132/24 133/22 134/20 135/4 136/7 136/9 140/4 142/23 142/25 143/2 143/5 143/19 VEHICLES [12] 83/8 83/16 83/18 83/24 83/25 84/10 109/12 109/17 119/19 124/16 124/17 124/24 VERACITÝ (I) 41/1 VERBATAKILI ISUS VKRSUS [1] 57/3 VERY (6) 13/24 39/7 52/16 57/24 125/16 1464 VEXSEL [1] 54/8 VESSELS [1] 15/11 VICTIM'S [2] 5/1 45/2 VIEW [4] 8/25/80/4 [J2/23 [J2/23 VIKING [2] 94/17 94/18 YIKINGATLAMINGO [II] 94/17 VIOLENCE [1] 46/3 VIOLENT [9] 40/19 40/22 44/17 46/9 46/11 46/16 55/11 56/10 57/16 VITREOUS [1] 42/17 VOLUME [2] 30/19/36/20 VOLUME OF IT JOYS

UNLESS [1] 149/24

W WAISTIII IIJIS WAFF [14] 91/6 91/10 91/17 91/19 91/21 102/17 109/6 123/11 123/11 125/20 130/6 133/25 145/8 147/4 WALLED III 141/19 WAIVE [1] 149/1 WAL [4] 891492/393/592/16 WAL-MART [4] 89/14 93/3 93/5 93/16 WALK [3] 1029 106/22 138/22 WALKED [8] 20/23 29/11 119/23 137/2 137/16 137/17 138/17 138/25 WALKING [11] 65/10 76/6 97/2 [16/1] 116/16 116/18 116/19 135/10 135/12 135/18 138/17 WALL [1] 28/16 WART [21] 1025 123 22/10317 34/15 70/7 77/24 80/25 81/2 91/16 110/15 110/21 11,171,111,78,112/1,119/4,147/22,149/9 150/24 155/4 155/11 WANTED [13] 3424 7328 744 743 745 74/18/76/10 76/14/97/6 104/10 150/7 151/8 154/11 WANTS [5] 229 2911 41/12 97/8 99/25 WAS [314] WASN'T 131 72/11 72/13 73/2 75/11 76/17. 95/5 96/18 99/15 100/23 100/25 109/25 127/12 154/8 WATCHIAL 29/13/86/11/13/11 WATCHING [10] 131/4 131/4 1328 132/10 132/11 132/13 136/10 136/11 136/24 137/1 WATER [2] 413-514 WAY [20] 8/2 [6/10 17/6 22/13 28/16 34/18 55/14 71/9 71/10 71/12 71/12 86/17 86/19 84/5 97/24 122/12 123/9 125/8 134/8 138/21: WAYS [1] 67/28 WF: 1681 7/4 7/20 8/3 8/22 8/22 8/23 10/18 10/19 10/21 16/7 16/11 16/12 12/13 22/20 23/12 27/4 28/3 28/4 28/11 30/23 33/24 34/2 39/13-40/9 42/14-42/15 42/16 42/18 42/19 42/21 46/5 46/15 47/20 47/23 51/8 52/19 52/19 52/19 52/20 52/20 52/21 52/21 54/20 5577 5776 55912 72718 75713 86724 9178 9372 93/14 93/14 93/16 100/2 100/2 108/3 109/17 1257/8 130711 14678 (46710 146714 146716 146/20 147/1 151/5 153/8 WE: 1.1. [5] 21/25 28/4 147/2 147/5 151/9 WESTER 1101 2477 39718 42721 88718 88721 57/24 76/12 61/8 147/5 153/4 WE VE 191 4/14 4/15 18/5 28/10 30/7 50/11 50/12/56/16/56/18 WEAPON [7]: 35/12 147/15 149/11 153/25 154/21 154/22 155/8 MBARING [4] (3/18/13/22/89/17/139/15 WEDNESDAY [2] 1/16 4/1 WEEK IN SE23 WEEKS [1] 88/28 WEIGHT (1) 49/11 WELL [66] 9/2 10/13 10/15 13/11 15/3 15/5 16/17 17/24 18/2 20/6 23/20 28/3 28/5 28/20 28/21 30/21 34/15 38/17 39/25 40/11 41/21 45/9 46/23 48/5 51/1 53/15 54/6 56/17 56/21 60/1 64/21 68/9 66/2 67/16 71/9 72/11 72/16 73/25 74/1 75/17 80/16 R3/25 95/1 96/23 101/21 101/24 192/17 411/16 117/7 117/21

119/21 120/17 120/18 121/11 13/025 13/9/6

134/5 139/7 140/16 145/8 146/22 147/10

147/12 147/20 148/24 149/25 WENT [73] SVI3 6/16 6/18 6/20 15/10 15/13 15/13 17/14 64/9 66/15 66/23 66/24 71/6 79/18 80/16 80/17 80/20 80/22 80/23 80/24 628 820 82/11 82/13 83/8 83/10 83/11 8.V 16 X V 25 X 47 X 47 X 47 X 49 X 40 X 410 X V 23 86/2 86/12 87/6 87/6 87/8 87/9 **8**7/10 99/5 90/6 90/7 90/7 98/8 100/2 100/7 100/9 102/5 112/21 119/19 121/18 121/18 122/11 122/16 122/17 122/18 122/18 123/9 123/11 125/ 1258 1289 12911 1306 13025 131/8 131/8 131/15 131/17 142/23 WERE 1851 9/9 10/7 13/4 17/17 21/6 25/15 26/12/26/22/27/23/28/12/29/17/31/19/31/31 33/24/36/3/46/18/48/21/50/18/50/24/51/16 52/8 52/9 55/9 60/10 61/8 61/11 63/12 64/18 65/8 66/3 66/21 67/15 67/18 68/9 70/20 73/22 76/7 76/7 82/24 87/9 87/13 89/17 93/14/94/23/95/21/95/25/96/3/97/16/98/13 98/15/100/16 102/16 104/12 105/24 107/11 107/12 107/13 107/14 107/21 107/23 113/12 115/21 116/17 116/22 119/7 124/17 127/2 127/10 127/25 130/11 130/12 131/4 131/4 132/1 132/8 132/9 132/13 133/3 133/3 133/9 \$3476 | 3419 | 3641 | \$146 | 36461 (PEREN'T [3] \$1/25 LOIVIG 136/10 WEST 1101-71/15 135/10 135/15 135/19 136/1 136/1 136/19 137/3 138/12 141/4 WHAT 11851 WHAT'S [17] 9/12 E1/2 11/7 11/17 26/6 2871 32/12 44/7 61/21 70/5 80/3 106/17 108/21 114/4 127/4 129/21 135/2 VHATEVER [5] 32/11/32/16/72/12 136/4 139/18 whatsoever (1) (32/10 WHEN [112] WWW 705 84 9/15 17/2 19/9 23/12 25/14 28/3 32/15 33/12 35/12 35/7 38/14 42/21 47/16 50/18 60/19 61/8 63/12 64/1 64/9 64/10 68/3 71/12 72/18 72/18 74/1 74/4 76/16 76/21: 76/24 77/19 76/23 79/17 80/14/80/21 80/22/81/9/81/20/82/7/82/7 82/() 82/(2 8.V3 8.V14 B.V24 8.V25 84/7 84/8 84/19 85/9 85/13 86/3 86/3 86/13 87/12 87/12/89/21 91/12 93/5 93/6 94/23 95/18 \$1/2012 01/001 COOD 1/00/10 102/13 10.022 106/12 106/12 108/2 108/3 108/3 169/22 112/11 112/15 114/18 114/20 115/22 <u> 115/23 E16/1 118/2 (19/22 121/19 121/20</u> 122/11 122/17 122/20 123/8 123/9 125/11 138/14 179/24 132/14 133/1 135/5 135/9 136/4 136/9 140/10 141/2 142/5 142/14 142/14 142/22 145/21 146/20 149/18 149/23 WHERE [89] 7/21 R/23 12/5 12/6 14/4 19/5 20/19/20/14/27/6/27/17/27/19/27/20/32/17 38/22 41/1 6/US 60/8 6/U 12 6/U 13 6/U 17 63/S 66/13 67/17 67/18 68/4 70/10 71/2 71/5 71/19 71/23 73/8 74/10 75/3 75/4 77/4 80/4 80/11 80/11 85/3 85/8 86/10 87/5 87/23 89713 89721 9074 90721 90728 93714 93714 94/12 94/16 94/16 96/15 98/15 100/21 10//2 108/24 109/14 109/16 115/10 115/21 116/9 116/17 117/10 117/16 119/14 131/11 122/1 122/3 123/6 123/6 123/14 124/3 124/12 127/15 128/17 131/23 132/16 133/14 134/1 137/25 138/18 143/2 143/4 148/4 148/4 150/17 150/22 WHERE'S LLI 1891 WHERECHON [7] 10/7 58/4 62/6 70/20

108/16 125/21 149/4 WHETHER [16] 9/15 13/18 13/19 19/12 19/23 20/9 32/15 33/6 34/25 45/3 54/21 55/4 27/2 97/21 105/10 128/23 WHICH 1291 13033 1501 (32/14/34/14/17/17 45/6 45/17 45/23 30/24 54/20 77/9 77/10 83/23/84/4/84/7/87/7/90/8/110/1/115/3/117/8 130/12 (31/3 131/16 135/5 137/5 136/8 140/13 149/17 153/2 ATHLE [2] 100/7 132/12 NTIO (35) 30/28 40/18 47/4 57/15 58/9 58/10 58/14 58/15 61/25 62/21 64/10 64/15. 67/7 68/11 68/16 68/16 68/16 68/21 72/3 72/19 92/18 95/25 96/12 97/18 165/21 130/14/130/14 131/14 131/16 137/1 137/11 143/21 144/24 151/11 153/20 WHO'S [3] 34/8/86/23 [10/14 WHOLE [7] \$/16 21/10 58/24 113/2 126/7 132/9 133/4 WILY [14] 40/11 43/5 43/19 51/22 52/3 80/15/60/15 60/15 74/1 106/0 106/5 111/14 111/14/133/17 YVILL [17] 4/25 10/5 13/6 19/25 21/18 23/7 28/24 45/20 48/9 6/25 70/19 108/17 149/3 152/2 155/3 155/9 155/9 WILLIAMS [1] 9376 WENDOW HT 129/25 WISE [1] 12421 WIT [1] 29/18 WITHDREW III 14746 WT(10N(9) 11/21 12/23 15/4 (9/13 36/5 3938 45714 45717 137233 WITHOUT [2] 64/3 147/20 WITNESS [18] 4/18 4/25 5/17 9/4 18/16 21/14 41/12 58/6 58/17 58/23 61/18 70/2 79/25 88/11 114/1 126/2 126/6 139/21 WITNESSES [9] 23 423 424 53 54 546 5879 14676 148718 MOMAN (21-111/21-152/13-WOOD [1] 25/17 WORDS [8] 32/17 45/13 81/9 81/13 107/13 107/14 148/14 152/11 WORK [2] 622 948 WORKED [1] 34/18 WORKING [1] 31A WORTHY (1) 47/2 WOULD [100] 13/7 13/24 14/15 15/17 13/22 13/25 16/2 17/20 18/2 19/23 20/4 21/7 21/11 23/2 23/17 25/1 26/8 26/10 27/17 27/22 29/3 29/6 29/21 29/23 30/2 30/9 34/24 35/1 35/3 35/19 35/21 37/1 37/3 38/3 38/10 38/12 38/13 38/22 38/24 39/12 30/15 40/21 40/21 4023 4024 41/6 42/17 44/6 44/16 44/17 44/20 45/7 43/17 36/9 46/11 50/19 50/20 \$1/1 \$1/2 \$1*/1 \$*1/10 \$1/12 \$1/22 \$1/22 31/23 52/3 52/3 52/4 52/6 52/7 52/13 53/3 5422 55/3 55/8 55/10 55/10 56/13 55/24 \$609 56/16 \$7/2 59/16 77/9 77/11 84/7 86/16 86/17 111/10 111/10 124/17 124/20 131/9 135/10 146/17 147/18 137/24 148/4 139/20 150/24 WOLLDN'T[6] 18/4 22/10/54/9 71/2 75/5 WOOND (38) 11/3 (1/4 17/7 11/11 11/11 11/21-11/24-12/3 12/4 12/5 12/18 12/21 12/22 12/23 14/6 14/7 14/14 14/15 14/25 13/1 15/4 15/5 15/6 15/9 16/9 16/14 16/19 17/1 17/2 17/8 17/9 17/25 32/14 32/17 32/23

W-	7.
COLUMN 131 S0/19 S0/20 \$1/4	SILLEGIZ [5] BALIMIS
WOTINDS [8] 4/2 10/25 (2/24 10/11 10/11	
18/13 50/15 53/7	
wigte (1) 45/13 Wrthre (1) 56/13	
CONTRACT 45/th	
WRONG12] 82/1/82/6	<u>.</u>
Y	
YA 15 110/16 110/21 111/1 111/8 112/1	
VAROS (2) 90017 90/18 VEAN [78] 5/13/4/124/5/022 62/17/66/20	
MUNICIPAL TENTA TAKEN TAKEN TAKEN TAKEN TAKEN	
76/16/77/13 77/15 78/4 78/15 79/7 81/6 82/5 86/5 86/9 96/1 96/13 95/13 95/17 95/24 89/2	
and indica their research for the research	
1 100 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
11172) 111722 111724 112/3 112/17 112/16 113/15 113/19 114/20 115/6 117/2 117/5	
E-14-00 (1803) 1882 1883 (1884) 1884 (18	
very or spirit thirth 120/22 121/2 121/4	
(21/13 121/4 123/14 124/12 125/3 129/10 133/7 135/6 135/16 139/7 139/11 141/10	
(42/10)	
YEAR [1] 12629 YEARS [9] 6/10/6/022/6/024/9/023/9/023	
\$2574 0476 0413 0413 LEVICA 181 0410 0035 1414 1414	
IVELLOW [3] 19/25 10/013	
VES 13051	(°
YE Y(2) 21/20 46/1 YO (17/8)	
[40 CD [3] 33/6 40/30	
YOU'ELLEH 2022 YOU'RE [34] 3015 12/21 14/11 13/21 20/11	
\$4.64 JENE 38/14 JAN 19/10 JAN 19 JAN 4 JAN 4	
40420 41/24 47/23 48/4 5U/6 56/2 56/20 11/12 77/12 81/9 81/13 93/25 99/23 103/22	
1 x 1 + 1/2 ± 1 \$ 2 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 2 4 1 1 1 1	
14 DA 14 CO 1 1 12/1 1 12/0 10 12/0 A 14/0 0	
123/22 13/22 13/121 14/04 143/25 14/0/24 YOU'VE [8] 2/1 13/12 14/12 55/8 55/9 56/1	
TOOK SEALT	1
YOUR 44 4/10 4/12 1/18 5/10 5/23 6/3 6/21 7/3 7/13 7/18 8/7 8/8 8/7 8/12 8/16 8/2	
4 Part 1984 CAR LESS 42030 1989 1984 1985	[
- 1	<i>x</i>
3670 38.51.403.419.419.5.473.459.4.30 3051.371.370.3713.3718.3710.3811.	
1 - 29 - 4311累 [J.45] \$1 [GOS] [200, 14 [268] \$4 (201, 17)	
524 5211 5310 55/8 55/25 56/4 56/22 56/24 57/20 57/21 58/5 58/6 58/18 58/20	
Leaves 2004 2000 2 50025 61/11 03/10 02/4	
LE HOR COME AGITT STIT THE TWENT WAT	
77/12 78/14 81/13 81/13 81/15 81/15 88/1 88/14 89/7 89/13 90/28 98/8 98/18 100/18	\$
1 4 5 5 6 6 7 10 4 10 4 10 4 10 4 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
120/14/120/23/127/2/139/25/130/3/130/8 132/24/1339/9/130/21/130/22/1339/9/130/	
THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	[
142/32 144/3 144/8 144/14 145/9 145/14 146/8 148/6 148/13 148/19 148/20 148/23	1
140/5 140/0 140/1 140/25 (51/2 151/7 151/7	
N301/RS11) 127/6	
ADGREET LIT 370	
YOURSELVESTH 9603	

EXHIBIT "B"

Electronically Filed 02/28/2014 08:03:23 AM

ı	INFM	Alun to Blum		
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON.	GLERK OF THE COURT		
3	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN			
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764			
5	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212			
	l (702) 671-2500			
6 7	Attorney for Plaintiff	CT-CATERT		
- 1	LA. 3/6/14 DISTRICT COURT 9:30 A.M. CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
8	J. MOMOT, ESQ.			
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO: C-14-296234-1		
10	Plaintiff,	DEPT NO: V		
1.1	 ELIIS PIMENTEL. ska.	Dest 1110.		
12	Luis Godofredo Pimentel, III, #1444838			
13	Defendant.	INFORMATION		
14		!		
15	STATE OF NEVADA SS.	.*		
16	COUNTY OF CLARK)			
17		tomey within and for the County of Clark, State		
18	of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:			
19	That LUIS PIMENTEL, aka, Luis Godoffedo Pimentel, III, the Defendant(s) above			
20		RDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON		
21	(Category A Felony - NRS 200.010, 200.030.1, 193.165 - 50006) and CARRYING			
22	CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON (Category C Felony - NRS			
23	202.350 (1)(d)(3) - 51459), on or about the 22nd day of December, 2013, within the County			
24	of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made			
25	and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,			
26	Ш	*		
27	M			
28	M			
		WAZGISTADGIYATIFIZOAYO,INFM-(PIMENTESLDIS)-001.DXXX		
1	1	And the second of the control of the second		

COUNT 1 - MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, with premeditation and deliberation, and with malice aforethought, and/or after challenging ROBERT HOLLAND to a fight, kill the said ROBERT HOLLAND, a human being, by shooting at and/or into the body of ROBERT HOLLAND, with a deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm.

COUNT 2 - CARRYING CONCEALED FIREARM OR OTHER DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there witfully, intentionally, unlawfully and feloniously carry concealed upon his person, a firearm or other deadly weapon, to-wit: a firearm.

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY

SAMUEL O. HATEMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008764

Names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's Office at the time of filing this

ADDRESS.

Information are as follows:

1

2

3

4

٩

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

} }

14

15

16

17

18

23

26

27

28

NAME

ARCHANGEL, TINA Bus Driver, LV, NV

19 BATTELINI, DEBBIE 3822 Den Carlos Dr., LV, NV

20 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS CCDC

21 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS LVMPD COMMUNICATIONS

22 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS LVMPD RECORDS

DOUGHERTY, ED, or Designee C/O CCDA'S OFFICE

24 GAVIN, LISA DR. CORONER'S OFFICE, LV, NV

25 HILDEBRAND, TIM Unknown Address

HOLLAND, ROBERT II C/O CCDA'S OFFICE

JENSEN, B. LVMPD P# 3662

MOMON, KRIS Unknown Address

W:2013F204/5013F20476-ENFM-(FIMENTEL__LUIS)-001-DOCX