1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 3 LUIS PIMENTEL, No. 68710 **Electronically Filed** 4 Mar 28 2016 09:45 a.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Clerk of Supreme Court V. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1251-1500 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor 12 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 13 Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 15 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 26 27

INDEX LUIS PIMENTEL Case No. 68710

3 Amended Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement filed 09/12/2014 649-685 4 Amended Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/02/2014 726-728 5 Criminal Complaint filed 12/24/2013......001 6 Defendant's Amended Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed 08/25/2014..... 9 Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/01/2014...... 774-775 10 11 Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Compel Psychiatric Evaluation and Motion in Limine filed 12 09/18/2014......716-722 13 Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015...... 792-800 14 Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/04/2015 776-777 15 16 17 Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 08/19/2014......444 18 19 20 21 22 23 Motion in Limine to Exlude Evidence of Illegal Narcotics and Stolen Firearm filed 08/26/2014 24 506-511 25 Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference(s) to the Decedent as a 'Victim' filed 08/26/2014...... 26 Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference(s) to the Trial Phase of the Upcoming Proceedings as 27 the 'Guilt Phase' filed 08/26/2014......501-505 28

Mation to Commol Production of Discovery filed 07/20/2014	300_421
Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed 07/15/2014	. 376-381
Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/2015	. 842-845
Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/20/2014	. 445-465
Notice of Motion and Motion for Psychological Evaluation and Motion in Lin 09/10/2014	mine filed . 640-648
Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Information filed 10/04/2014	729-736
Notice of Witnesses filed 08/25/2014	471-475
Order filed 07/16/2014	. 384-385
Order filed 04/28/2015	. 747-749
Order Denying Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/27/2014	. 518-520
Compel Psychological Evaluation; and Denying the State's Motion in Limine Withou	t Prejudice
Order Shortening Time filed 07/15/2014	. 382-383
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/09/2014	. 187-375
Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015	790-791
Reply in Support of Petition of Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/06/2014	. 422-425
Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 02/26/2014	. 012-183
Request to File Affidavit Under Seal filed 08/07/2014	426
Request to File Order for Transport Under Seal filed 08/08/2014	441
Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/25/2014	. 388-398
Second Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/25/2014	. 476-487
Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 05/04/2015	. 778-782
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence of Illegal and Stolen Firearm filed 09/04/2014	Narcotics 615-619
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Term "\ the Decedent filed 09/04/2014	/ictim" for . 610-614
	Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 08/28/2014

1	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference to the Trial Phase as the Guilt Phase filed 09/04/2014
. 2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 09/04/2014 605-609
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress His Statement filed 09/18/2014
4	702-715
5	State's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Information filed 10/17/2014743-746
6 7	State's Reponse to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 08/07/2014 427-440
8.	Stipulation and Order Waiving Separate Penalty Hearing filed 05/27/2015788-789
9	Substitution of Attorneys filed 12/27/2014
10	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/22/2014
11	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 04/30/2015
12	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
13	Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
14	Verdict filed 05/27/2015
15	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/18/2014
16	
17	TRANSCRIPTS
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
19	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 05/11/2015
20	Recorder's Transcript
21	Jury Trial—Day Two Date of Hrg: 05/12/2015
22	Recorder's Transcript
23	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 05/13/2015
24	Recorder's Transcript
25	Jury Trial—Day Four Date of Hrg: 05/14/2015
26	Recorder's Transcript
27	Jury Trial—Day Five Date of Hrg: 05/15/2015
28	/// /// // // // // // // // // // // /

1	·	
1	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Six	,
2	Date of Hrg: 05/18/2015	
3	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Seven	
4	Date of Hrg: 05/19/2015	
5	Recorder's Transcript	
6	Jury Trial—Day Eight Date of Hrg: 05/20/2015	
7	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Nine	
- 8	Date of Hrg: 05/21/2015	
9	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Ten	
10	Date of Hrg: 05/22/2015	
	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Eleven	
12	Date of Hrg: 05/26/2015	
13	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial—Day Twelve	
14	Date of Hrg: 05/27/2015	
-15	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
16	Date of Hrg: 09/08/2014	
17	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
18	Date of Hrg: 09/22/2014	
19	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions	
20	Date of Hrg: 09/24/2014	
21	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Petition of Habeas Corpus; Defendant's Motion to Withdraw a	ıs
22	Attorney of Record Date of Hrg: 07/28/2014	ı
23	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,	
24	Defendant's Motion to Withdraw at Attorney of Record Date of Hrg: 07/21/2014	
25	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,	
26	Status Check: Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 05/07/2014	
27	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28		

1 2	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jackson v. Denno Hearing; Status Check: Expert Notices; Reset Motion & Reset Trial Date of Hrg: 10/07/2014
3	Recorder's Transcript,
4	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/04/2015
5	Recorder's Transcript,
6	Sentencing: Count 1Not Guilty Count 2 Date of Hrg: 07/17/2015
7	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Reset Trial; Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Information; State's Motion for
8	Psychological Evaluation and Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 10/22/2014
9	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
10	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 04/10/2014
11 12	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 04/24/2014
13	
14	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 05/01/2014
15	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing,
16	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 03/27/2014
17 18	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel; Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of
19	Discovery; Status Check; Reset Writ Date of Hrg: 08/11/2014
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	v

MR. SLIFE: So if there's testimony about PTSD in 1 this trial you would be open to it. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 388: Yes. 3 MR. SLIFE: Okay. Thank you very much. I'll pass 5 for cause, Your Honor. Thank you. And I need you to hand the THE COURT: 6 microphone to the Marshal and he'll take it down to Billie 7 McFadden. And Ms. McFadden, you're badge number 433. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. Tell us about yourself. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I'm a single 11 quote/unquote mother of two dogs and I live with my elderly 12 uncle and help him with his house since my aunt passed away. 13 I was born and raised here and moved to Hawaii in my early 20s 14 to attend the University of Life and moved back four years ago 15 when my sisters and friends all started having kids. My whole 16 family's here in Las Vegas. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I do a variety of things 19 for work; child care, care for elderly, massage therapy, 20 housecleaning, organizing, handyman work. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So you spent a couple decades in 22 23 Hawaii then? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Twelve years. 24 Twelve years, okay. And what did you do 25 THE COURT:

while you were there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I started out working at resorts waitressing and ended up attending massage school and then did massage therapy and surf lessons and —

THE COURT: So just kind of catering to people that go to Hawaii on vacation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yeah, yeah.

THE COURT: So did you — when you say massage therapy, did you work for any physical therapists or doctors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No, I was independent.

THE COURT: So someone would say I — I'd like a massage and you'd what, they'd come to you or would you go to them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I would take my table to their house or beach or a park.

THE COURT: So what brought you back to Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: All my sisters and

friends started having kids at the same time and I really
wanted to be a big part of their lives, not a distant part.

THE COURT: So you — you mentioned some of the things that you do to make a living. Are you doing all those simultaneously?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.

THE COURT: So are you certified to be a massage therapist here in Nevada? Do you have to have a license for

that? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yeah. I do it for 2 donation and I have a huge network of friends and family. 3 I don't -- I don't market myself. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: That's physically 6 demanding so I cannot -- or I will not do it full time and 7 wreck my body. 8 Do you have any disabilities or anything THE COURT: that you're concerned as far as - or is it just in general 10 that you are concerned that being a massage therapist is hard 11 on your body? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: A rotator cuff problems 13 that come and go. 14 THE COURT: And what type of handyman work do you 15 do? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: My dad and my uncle both 17 do high end homes, remodels, repairs and hanging art, moving 18 furniture, things like that that I help out with and learn new 19 trades. 20 THE COURT: And you said you live with your elderly 21 22 uncle? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes. 23 In his house? THE COURT: Okay. 24

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 50

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.

1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes, yeah.
2	THE COURT: Would the State like to inquire further?
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. All
4	right. Do you watch any of the CSI, law shows?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No, I don't watch TV.
6	MS. DIGIACOMO: You don't watch any TV?
. 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No.
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you read?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I watch Ted Talks on my
10	phone.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: What is it?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Ted Talks.
13	Technology
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay, Tech Talks, got you. I
15	thought you were saying Ted Talks. I'm like I don't know
16	that
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: It is Ted.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, it is?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yeah, Technology
20	Education and Development.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. And — all right. And
22	then anyone in your family been in the military?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: My brother-in-law was
24	for a short period.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Is that the one that's in Metro now?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	52

- 11	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And anyone else?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: My grandfather who I
4	didn't know
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: — he passed away when I
. 7	was young.
-8	MS. DIGIACOMO: And other than what you already
9	talked about yesterday, have you been the victim of any crime;
10	car broken into, a house, a purse stolen?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Just small things stoler
-12	and from my car once, from my house once.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you did you report those?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you can't — you don't
16	have an opinion about the police and satisfied, dissatisfied?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you have any strong feelings one
19	way or the other about the police? Or had any good
20	encounters, bad encounters?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I've had a good
22	encounter and a bad encounter so I think it's just person by
23	person. It just depends on the person.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: Right. And so nothing about those
25	experiences that would keep you from being fair and impartial

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No.

MS. DIGIACOMO: What about -- you said with regard to penalties, as long as this is not a death penalty case, so can you consider the other three? The term of years, life with patrol and life without patrol and make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Absolutely.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And do you have any opinions, strong opinions one way or another for -- regarding drug use?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No, I've seen both I've seen people that I love and care about who I know were good people who did drugs and didn't do anything wrong per se as far as criminal activity. And I've also seen drug use destroy lives and cause people to make bad decisions. I don't have a strong opinion one way or another.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you can keep an open mind if you -- if you hear that here and judge the facts of this case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433:

MS. DIGIACOMO: -- on their own?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433:

Okay. Thank you. MS. DIGIACOMO: Pass for cause.

Thank you. Defense? THE COURT:

MS. LEMCKE: You mentioned that -- that you've kind of seen drug use go both ways. Tell me a little bit about

that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: My aunt is diagnosed with bipolar disorder and she in my teenage years, we caught her stealing checks from my bank account and stealing from my grandparents, her parents during drug use, periods of drug use.

MS. LEMCKE: Can I ask a question? Do you know which -- I'm sorry. Do you -- because I want you to continue but do you know what she was using?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I don't. I've heard mention -- my parents didn't like to talk about those things with us as kids --

MS. LEMCKE: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: — I'm not sure why. But I heard mention of methamphetamines at one point in my adult life. So I don't know if it was during that time or not. But when she became sober she paid everybody back.

MS. LEMCKE: Oh, really.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yeah, so I --

MS. LEMCKE: Has she been able to stay clean then since she got sober?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: She's been off and on.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: We believe she's finally on the right medications for the last two years and -- for her

Would she be one who it kind of was difficult for her and led to the stealing and that type of stuff and the exaggerated stories, was that the more negative experience or was -- is -- was that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: She was kind of both sides. She was kind of neutral. In Hawaii there's — there were kids that I coached who turned into bad people using drugs.

MS. LEMCKE: So you actually saw them like the before and the after?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I coached them when they were great kids and then would see them a few years later stealing from stores and cars and --

MS. LEMCKE: Do you know what kind of drugs they were doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I'm guessing methamphetamines. I know there's a lot of heroin use there too.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did you actually — the kids that you saw, did you interact with them a lot even after they started using or did that kind of begin the end of your interactions with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: No, I didn't spend much time afterwards, no.

MS. LEMCKE: Anything else that you've heard about

1

-- we've talked about, you know, post-traumatic stress disorder and an accused rights in a criminal case, all these different topics. Was there anything that we asked about or a response that you heard that you thought, oh, I kind of have an opinion I really strongly agree with this or disagree with that, anything kind of pop out in your mind as being of significance to you that you want to tell us about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I don't think so.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you think that, you know, if you were seated where either the prosecution was seated or where Mr. Pimentel and myself and Mr. Slife are seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.

Why is that? MS. LEMCKE:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I tend to be very pragmatic and neutral until I get more information, I like to learn.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I don't form opinions quickly or strongly or easily.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So you'd be willing to listen to everything that you hear and try to make --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Oh, yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: -- a decision based on --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Oh, yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. The defendant's — the burden of proof, we talked about that and I haven't gotten back to that a lot today, so let me move back to that just very briefly.

There is no defense burden of proof. You know, technically all you're doing is sitting in judgment on whether or not the prosecution has proven beyond a reasonable doubt each element of the crimes they've charged with. Does that kind of make sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Yeah, because a lot of people they do, they come into court and they think well, I'm judging a person and you're really judging whether or not there's proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Does that kind of —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you have any strong feelings about that one way or the other? Do you think that's a good system that we have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: I'm not sure.

MS. LEMCKE: Well, would it — let me ask it this way. Would it bother you — we talked yesterday about Mr. Slife and I, you know, in theory we could sit over there and as Mr. Slife said, sleep through the whole trial and get up at the end and argue, well, they haven't done it. Would that bother you? You know, the idea that technically we don't have to do anything?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: 1 guess so, yeah.
2	MS. LEMCKE: Would you expect us to do something?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.
4	MS. LEMCKE: But still you could judge the evidence
5	that you hear in front of you, whether it comes from the State
6	or the defense?
. 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 433: Yes.
. 8	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Court's indulgence. I have
9	nothing further. Thank you. I pass for cause.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. If you'll hand
11	the microphone to Jennifer Neal, badge 405. Tell us about
12	yourself.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: I have lived in the
14	Clark County area since 2 since 1970.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry, since when?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: 1970.
17	THE COURT: Oh, 1970.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yeah. I'm married, I
19	have two children, they're 12. I am a professional medical
20	credentialer, I'm currently not working however.
21	THE COURT: Are you married, single, divorced?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Oh, I'm married.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: My husband has a degree
25	in accounting and he's worked in the traffic control and
ı	

asphalt field since he -- since we've been married. 1 THE COURT: Doing accounting or -2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Well, he's done both. 3 He had his own business that he ran -- you know, he ran the 4 business, the administrative and accounting end. And now he's 5 currently doing asphalt sales. 6 THE COURT: Okay. For a company that does that kind 7 8 of work here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. There are several. What company 10 does he work for? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Ace Asphalt. 12 THE COURT: And tell me about your -- you said 13 you're currently unemployed? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes. 15 THE COURT: But you did credentialing so would that 16 be for healthcare provider groups where you'd --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Most recently it was for 18 healthcare provider group. I've worked for an insurance 19 company and a hospital as well. So I've done all aspects of 20 that. Mostly it entails making sure doctors are licensed and 21 have insurance. 22 So in that role would you consider some 23 THE COURT: of what you did to be investigative in nature? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes, ma'am. 25

THE COURT: So you -- you'd be presented with what to start off with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Basically when a — when a physician or an allied health provider provides their information they give, you know, their Social security number, their date of birth, where they went to school and all that. It's my job to verify that they're telling me that that information is accurate. Sometimes I have to dig a little bit to find out do they have any malpractice cases or legal issues that might interfere with their ability to perform their job.

THE COURT: Was there ever an instance where you found that somebody had given you credentials that were false?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Actually when — when — I actually lived in Reno briefly and I worked at an insurance company there and I just found a person was posing as a physician with fabricated credentials.

THE COURT: Okay. And was that person seeking to get hospital privileges or what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: They were actually asking to be on the insurance network to be an insurance provider.

THE COURT: And the person wasn't a doctor at all? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And what did you do with that information?

1	service in your family or someone close to you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: My my grandfather
3	served in World War II and I had an uncle who served in Korea.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you ever talk to them about
5	their experience?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: My grandfather liked to
7	tell stories. I don't know how many of them were actually
8	his.
9 ·	MS. DIGIACOMO: Were they all good stories?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yeah, he like he was
11	with the Corps of Engineers so he built bridges and he's like
12	that.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. So it's not like he's
14	telling combat stories.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: No.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And then, I'm sorry, you
17	said was it your uncle was in the Korean War?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And did you ever talk to him
20	about that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: No. He only talked
22	about the the girl he had to leave behind.
23	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, did you raise your hand that
24	you've been the victim of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes, I did.

1.	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. And ma'am, my
2	wife is addicted to all those crime shows too so
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: We just watched 12
4	seasons of Law and Order in four weeks.
5	MR. SLIFE: Oh, back to back to back?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Back to back.
7.	MR. SLIFE: That's how that's how my wife does it
8	as well. So I share your pain. You said that you were on a
9	prior jury some time ago.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes.
11	MR. SLIFE: Was that a decade? Was that
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: I would closer to
13	three.
14	MR. SLIFE: Okay. A long time ago.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yeah.
16	MR. SLIFE: Do you even remember what that was
17	about?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: It was a drug trial.
19	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Does that is that going to
20	have being a part of a and I believe that you told Her
21	Honor that that you guys came to a conclusion at the end.
22	Being a part of that, does that have anything to do with this
23	to you?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: No.
25	MR. SLIFE: Okay. It —
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

well, would that hold true for them as well?

. 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes.
2	MR. SLIFE: Okay. So you take everybody as an
3	individual?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 405: Yes.
5	MR. SLIFE: All right. Thank you very much. That's
6	all I have, ma'am. Pass for cause, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. And Michelle Benitez, badge
8	417, Benitez.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes, Michelle Benitez,
10	417.
11	THE COURT: Benitez. Okay, thank you. Tell us
12	about yourself.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I'm a native to Las
14	Vegas. I'm married with three children. My husband works for
15	Clark County in the automotive department. We have three
16	children; six, nine and 12. I work part time in the evening
17	doing nonmedical care for the elderly.
18	THE COURT: And you said you said what in medical
19	care?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Nonmedical care.
21	THE COURT: Nonmedical care. So do you go to
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I can do a range of
23	things. I go to the elderly's home and I can do a range from
24	showers to cooking the meal.
25	THE COURT: How often do you that? How many hours
	INICEDTIFIED DOUGH DONET

per week? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I'm on call so I can 2 choose about how many hours a week I want to do. I'd say 3 about 10 hours a week. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry, did I interrupt 5 you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, I was thinking, 7 8 sorry. THE COURT: Okay. So you -- you do that and your husband works full time --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes. 11 THE COURT: -- for the automotive division, all 12 right. And have you ever worked outside the home besides this 13 part-time work you're describing? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, I was a CNA for a 15 very long time and about three years ago I went back to school 16 for phlebotomy, just never found a job. Took this job and 17 I've been with this company about two years. And mainly I'm 18 in the home, we home school our children so that's mainly my 19 main job. 20 THE COURT: So the home schooling you do is for just 21 your kids or do you also --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, it's just for my 23 children. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So that's flexible for you, you 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1 schooling, correct? 2 3 of home schooling. 5 year round or do you give them the summer --6 8. 9 10 11 12 13 14 1.5 16 17 18 do that. 19. 20 resources? 21 22 23 curriculum.

24

25

can do it anytime you want. That's the beauty of home

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, it is the beauty

THE COURT: All right. And do you do that with them

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: We do -- no -- well, we kind of go into summer because we'll take a little bit more time like at Christmas or a little bit more time at Easter and it's hot anyways, it's not like you can go to the park at 10 o'clock in the afternoon. So we kind of school into summer.

THE COURT: Do you -- what resources do you have available to you to do that kind of home schooling?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: There's Nevada Home School Network, there's the Rise Resource. There are a bunch of home schooling support groups. You can choose to do an online charter school, you can choose to do the online Connections Academy. There are many resources for Nevada to

THE COURT: So do you use any of those online

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, I do my own

THE COURT: So you develop your own curriculum, it has --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Well, let me rephrase
2	that
3	THE COURT: Yeah.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I pick and choose.
5	Different people who have already written a curriculum and
6	say, oh, we like this for science or we like this for math.
7	THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay. And what grades
8	what grade level are your kids in?
9.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Kindergarten, third
10	grade and sixth grade.
11	THE COURT: Are you intending to home school them
12	all the way through high school?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: It depends. If any of
14	them would like to go to like a vocational school then I would
15	highly support that.
16	THE COURT: What about college, would you encourage
17	your kids
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, because they ain't
19	living with me forever.
20	THE COURT: Pardon me?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I said yes because
22	they're not living with me forever so.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So whatever would make them
24	successful as adults
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: that's what you're support? All
2	right. Anything about the case that makes you think you could
3	not be a fair and impartial juror in this matter?
. 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No.
5	THE COURT: Would like the State like to inquire
6	further?
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, thank you. All right. What
8	kind of shows do you watch? Do you watch the CSIs and law
9.	shows?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I I like the show
11	Psych. It's an unrealistic show about this guy who has
12	psychic powers that does detective work.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay, so —
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: It's a comedy. It's
15	unrealistic, yeah.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you're not expecting us
17	to
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: — have psychic powers in this
20	trial?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, no, no.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Perfect. Now you said too, I
23	think yesterday, that you've been the victim of a crime before
.24	or someone close to you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, we grew up in
,	INICEDITETED DOUGH DRAFT

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So now what about any particular strong opinions or — about drug use?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, I do have a strong opinion. I think it's heartbreaking and people don't know their value and worth. And I think that's really sad.

MS. DIGIACOMO: So you find — it's heartbreaking not only for the person who's using the drugs but the people around them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: For the people around them, especially the people that are using because they don't know that they have value.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Nothing about that that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case if there was drug use mentioned?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I don't believe so.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And what about with regard to if —
if this jury found first degree murder then there would be a
penalty phase. Would you be able to consider all three
penalties?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I would be able to consider, I don't know about as far as giving an opinion though. I don't believe it's anybody's job to judge and I wouldn't want to have to judge another person and the rest of their life.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So is it -- what I'm

hearing from you, if you were on this jury you'd be okay deciding the facts or whether the State's met its burden --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Correct.

MS. DIGIACOMO: — you'd be okay with that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.

MS. DIGIACOMO: But you would have issues about actually making a decision on the sentence that the person could receive?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now only you can tell us, you know, exactly what you're thinking so you're saying that you would have an issue making that decision. Do you think you could make it or you just —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I mean if I had to, if I was forced to I would, yes.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, if you were forced to. You mean like if you were forced to sit on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So let's say that you were on the jury, it came to penalty and you're back in the deliberation room. Would you like say, nope, I'm out, I can't do this. Or would you be able to talk with your jurors and come to a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I'd be able to talk and come to a decision. I wouldn't like it but --

MS. DIGIACOMO: And I understand ---

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: — because otherwise then you'd have to start all over.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, you're right. And I understand it's not an easy decision but do you believe that, you know, it is a person's civic duty to sit on a jury when called?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah, I believe that's what our nation was founded on.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And if you were seated at either one of these tables would you want a juror to be able to make a decision in your case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes, I would.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So I understand you might have reservations about it but you could --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Right.

MS. DIGIACOMO: -- do it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yeah.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. I'll pass for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you. Defense.

MS. LEMCKE: Just a follow up just very briefly.

And you heard the three different types of punishment and,

again, I'm not conceding we're going to a penalty hearing by

any stretch of the imagination. I just have to make sure that

you can consider the three options that would be available,

which would be life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole after 20 years and then a term of 50 years with parole eligibility after 20. Could you consider all three of those when you deliberate —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: — if you were to get to a penalty phase?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And you've heard all the questions now we've, you know, covered a whole bunch of different topics. Was there anything that you heard, a question asked or an answer given, that stood out in your mind as being something that, hey, I'd like to weigh in on this or have an opinion on this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Is there anything that you — that — that we have — that you should tell us about yourself that we haven't asked that we should know that might impact your ability to sit and fairly adjudicate this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Nothing comes to mind.

MS. LEMCKE: Nothing, okay. Ms. DiGiacomo asked you a couple questions about your thoughts on drug use. Do you know anybody who has ever abused controlled substances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Anyone close to you?

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. I have nothing further, Your Honor. I pass for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. How's everybody doing? Anybody need a break? Yep, okay. All right. We're going to take a 10 minute — well, actually we'll take a 15 minute so it'll put — because we have a lot of people that need to get into the restrooms so we're going to be in recess until 10:35.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess for 15 minutes.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 10:20 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The record will reflect the venire has departed the courtroom. Are there any matters outside the presence before we recess?

MS. LEMCKE: No, Your Honor.

MR. BATEMAN: No, Your Honor.

(Court recessed at 10:21 a.m. until 10:39 a.m.)

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.

. 1	THE COURT: And before Mandalay Bay opened on the
2	Strip as well, someplace else?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, the whole time.
4	THE COURT: All right. Ever been married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
6	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Until October of last
8	year.
9	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And so your ex-wife, what did
10	she do, if anything?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: She was a dealer too.
12	THE COURT: Same games?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: She dealt all the games
14	except dice.
15	THE COURT: And did you meet on the job?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Anything about you that we need to know
18	about that would bear upon your ability to be a fair and
19	impartial juror in this case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
21	THE COURT: Would the State like to inquire further?
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: The State would. Okay. Do you
23	watch the CSI, law shows?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, I'm sports.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Sports, okay. What are your teams?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 83

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Hockey is the
2	Blackhawks; the Patriots, football.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Any military service
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: for you or anyone in your family?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
7.	MS. DIGIACOMO: What about have you ever been the
8	victim of a crime, anyone close to you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Any good or bad experiences with the
11	police?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Actually I've been
13	lucky. I've been on warnings but let go.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And what about drug use, do
14 15	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And what about drug use, do you have any strong opinions regarding people who use
15	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use
15 16	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances?
15 16 17	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and
15 16 17 18	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak.
15 16 17 18 19	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak. MS. DIGIACOMO: So you'd feel that they're weak
15 16 17 18 19 20	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak. MS. DIGIACOMO: So you'd feel that they're weak PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak. MS. DIGIACOMO: So you'd feel that they're weak PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: because they're using it
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak. MS. DIGIACOMO: So you'd feel that they're weak PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: because they're using it PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you have any strong opinions regarding people who use substances? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It comes to mind sad and weak. MS. DIGIACOMO: So you'd feel that they're weak PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: because they're using it PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: instead of dealing with their own

MS. DIGIACOMO: Would you hold it against somebody 2 if they used it? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, everyone's different. 5 MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So you feel like you 6 could be fair and impartial if you heard about drug use in 7 this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: 9 MS. DIGIACOMO: And what about if it got to a 10 penalty phase, could you consider all three penalties? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: MS. DIGIACOMO: And make a decision? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Absolutely. 14 MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause. 1.5 THE COURT: Defense? 16 MR. SLIFE: Sir, any thoughts on -- any thoughts on 17 PTSD at all that we've asked questions about? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: I believe in it, sure. 19 I mean how could you possibly see a friend or a companion die 20 in front of you and not affect you. MR. SLIFE: Sure. What about anything else we've 21 talked about? I think we're starting to get pretty 22 23 repetitive, that's just kind of the nature of it. What about, you know, people not speaking to police, any thoughts on that? 24 25. We've asked a lot of different questions on that topic.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: It's their right so it's
2	up to them.
3	MR. SLIFE: Would you — I mean if somebody didn't
4	speak to police and they wanted an attorney, would you see
5	that as a bad thing or would you see that as their right or
6	how would how would you see that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Personally, it it
8	wouldn't make a difference to me.
9	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Anything else you can think of?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, you're the one
11	that's asking the questions.
12	MR. SLIFE: They've just been asked so much. All
13	right. I thank you for your time, sir. Pass for cause, Your
14	Honor. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. If you could hand the
16	microphone over. And this is Lori Vasquez?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Badge number 423; is that correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And tell us about yourself?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I am - I've been in Las
22	Vegas for 23 years. I've been married for 37 years and I have
23	- I'm a mother and a grandmother. I have a daughter, 32 and
24	a son-in-law and a three-year-old granddaughter. So I am a
25	retired oral surgery assistant. I worked in California right

out of college as an oral surgery assistant for eight years and then I was basically a housewife and mother and then I went back to work when we moved here for 10 years again for an oral surgeon. And my husband is a realtor, he's been one for six years. Before that he was in construction and before that steel fabricating. And I guess that's about it.

THE COURT: What about your daughter, does she work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No, she's also a
housewife and mother so we're both lucky enough to not have to
work and spend a lot of time together.

THE COURT: And your son-in-law, what does he do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: My son-in-law is a

liaison. He helps companies that need accountants, like

doctors' offices. The doctors that are only in use for

lawyers who use the doctors for nonpayment cases. You know -
you know what I'm -- it's -- it's hard to explain. I can't

really -- and he also works at a pharmacy. And that's also

through liens is what I'm trying to get at.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So he works with doctors doing their accounting --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: A couple doctors -- a couple doctors, they -- uh-huh.

THE COURT: — a couple doctors concerning trying to help them collect on liens?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes, that's what his

company does. 1 THE COURT: Where they've treated patients on liens? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes, uh-huh. 3 THE COURT: And then you said he works for -- at a 4 pharmacy? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: And also a pharmacy that 6 is also the same way. It's the ones they use for -- on liens 7 through the lawyers and --8 THE COURT: Okay. So lawyers, plaintiffs' lawyers 9 send people to doctors to treat on liens --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes. 11 THE COURT: -- directing their treatment. And then 12 if they don't -- if they don't win or they don't get paid in 13 the lawsuit, then is that when they're trying to collect the 1.4 liens? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I think that's how it 16 works. 17 THE COURT: Is that what your --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, he's always trying 19 to collect money for -- from the lawyers --20 Oh, from the lawyers. THE COURT: 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: - that owe them money, 22 uh-huh. 23 I see. Okay. Does he have -- is he THE COURT: 24 involved in negotiating those as well? 25 UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So it was after the war was
2	over?
3,	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes, there was no war,
4	no combat.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: And did you ever talk to him about
6	his experiences?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Just a little bit, he
8	worked in the mail room.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: Anyone else?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No, just friends' kids.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now, have you or anyone
12	close to you ever been the victim of a crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: We've had our house
14	broken into here in Las Vegas, yeah.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: Were the police called?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: And did you ever get any of your
18	stuff back?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: And how do you feel? Were you
21	satisfied or dissatisfied with the way the police handled it?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I was I was fine. It
23	was a real nice police officer.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: I'm sorry. Say that again.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: He was a real nice
	INCERTIFIED ROUCH DRAFT

prescription basis even --1 Right. MS. DIGIACOMO: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: - but I do understand 3 that -- it's sad that people get addicted and stuff. 4 MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you think you could keep an open 5 mind with regard to the evidence presented if you do hear that 6 somebody does do drugs? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes, I can have an open 8 mind. 9 MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, what about with the penalty? 10 I know this is a little bit different situation, you're not 11 just called upon to make a decision about whether or not the 12 State's proven their case. But if first-degree murder is 13 selected, then there would be a penalty phase. Would you 14 consider all three penalties? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes, I can. 16 MS. DIGIACOMO: And make a decision on that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Uh-huh. 18 MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, for the record? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes. 20 MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. I'll pass for cause. 21 Thank you. Defense. THE COURT: 22 MS. LEMCKE: Forgive me if you were asked, but did 23 -- did you -- were you asked if anybody that you know or close 24 to has had any issues with addiction? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No, I wasn't asked but I
2	don't I can't no, I don't have anything.
3	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. I think when you were asked
4	earlier I need to swap that or I'm going to smack that
5	microphone all the time. Earlier yesterday you indicated that
. 6	you had served on a coroner's inquest jury?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Uh-huh.
8	MS. LEMCKE: How long did that take?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Just the afternoon.
10	MS. LEMCKE: So it was a pretty short presentation?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, it was just the
12	day. I was here from the morning until night.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did they actually do like
14	opening statements and closing arguments and all of that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
16	MS. LEMCKE: Did you you were given a set of
17	instructions then like from the court
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
19	MS. LEMCKE: — to make your decision?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.
21	MS. LEMCKE: Did you enjoy that experience?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Uh-huh.
23	MS. LEMCKE: Yeah?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.
25	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Was it was it well, I
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

don't want to ask you the details about it. Of — we talked a little bit about the burden of proof in a criminal case and how — it's going to be probably a little bit different than what was in the coroner's inquest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Right, uh-huh.

MS. LEMCKE: In that the prosecutors have the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and that's kind of what you're called upon to look at. You know, whether or not they've met their burden of proof.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Do you like the idea that in our country that that's kind of the system of justice that we have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I didn't really know it was like that until you brought it up the other day.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Which I think is common.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I always thought it was both sides and then — I didn't know it was automatically here and then you guys just could sit back if you wanted to. Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: I assure you we won't. But it is kind of odd when you think about it, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, uh-huh.

MS. LEMCKE: That, you know, that really is kind of a one-sided deal in a way, you know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.

.

MS. LEMCKE: That really what you're doing is determining whether or not they've proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Would it bother you if — again, we're not, but would it bother you if Mr. Slife and I decided, uh, they don't got it, we're just going to sit there and do nothing and argue to you in closing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, it would bother me.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would you want to see us present evidence to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Absolutely.

MS. LEMCKE: Would you — and I see fellow jurors nodding. Would you — would you hold it against us if we didn't present — let's say they called more witnesses because they get to go first then we do. Would it bother you if they called more witnesses than we did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: NO.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What about kind of keeping in line with that, the idea that an accused in a criminal case is under no obligation to get up on the stand and swear to tell you the truth and talk to you, would it bother you if someone who's accused of a crime, even someone who's innocent, didn't get up on the stand and talk to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Well, I think the lawyers tell the person yes — do it or not do it. I think it's up to the lawyers. Yeah, sometimes it bothers me. It's like why aren't they standing up and screaming that they're innocent, that bothers me. But I know that it can't be that way.

MS. LEMCKE: Right. Well, can you think of any — can you think of any reasons why someone who's innocent may not want to get up on the stand to testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I'm sure there's — if they — if they're innocent but it could be twisted to where they would automatically — I mean if there was no witnesses or something like that, then I can see where they would — they need their lawyer to speak for them.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And you mentioned like, you know, having your words twisted and I think some of your fellow jurors yesterday kind of touched —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: — on that idea. You know, even if you're interrogated say by law enforcement, could you — would that be something that might make someone who — an innocent person even say, you know what, I'm not comfortable with this, I'd like to have a lawyer present with me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you think that's reasonable

or do you think that if you're innocent you would always want 2 to talk to the police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No. I -- I can see 3 where you need a lawyer. This day and age there's so many 4 5 loopholes. MS. LEMCKE: Right. We talked a little bit about 6 military service. Was it -- did you have any thoughts on 7 that? Some of the discussions that we had about Mr. Brown's training a little bit, some other things, anything of any significance to you where those discussions were concerned? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Like the post-traumatic 11 stuff? 12 MS. LEMCKE: Uh-huh. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, I think that's a 14 15 real deal and --MS. LEMCKE: So you are -- you believe that there --16 you know, that that diagnosis is a legitimate diagnosis --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Absolutely. 18 -- for people who suffer? 19 MS. LEMCKE: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Uh-huh. 20 What makes you say that? MS. LEMCKE: 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Because I've just heard 22 stories from friends or, you know, seen it in movies and stuff 23 and I -- so I know it's real. I've had post-traumatic myself, 24 little panic attacks per se, and they've called it that. I 25

didn't see it but that's what they called it. And --

MS. LEMCKE: Did the - I'm sorry. Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: So I know it's real because I've been there. Not — I haven't been in the service and seen somebody killed in front of me, but I know that it's real. I know those panic attacks and stuff like that, flight or fight is real.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. When — did your panic attacks, did they — was there something that they were attributable to in particular? Like was there some trauma?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yeah, my husband and I weren't getting along and stuff, we were going through some troubles and everything so —

MS. LEMCKE: And that's what kind of brought it on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: — they — they —

uh-huh.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. When you said that you had some friends who also had kind of shared those experiences, were those friends who were — had undergone some kind of trauma that had — had some experiences related to that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I don't know -- I don't know any personal stories but I do know that like my girlfriend's husband was in Vietnam and if she were to wake him up out of his sleep or something he'd wake up, you know, swing or -- it was scary. So I just know that they do have

symptoms of -- from being in service and stuff, yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Was that — with your girlfriend, was that an ongoing issue for them? I mean would it happen with some regularity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I only heard it once so I don't know if -- yeah, I'm sure it was -- like his personality, she just couldn't wake him up out of a dead sleep. You know, it would scare him.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did she ever talk about him having nightmares or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I think that was part of that conversation, uh-huh.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Anything else that you've heard that we've discussed in today and yesterday that causes you to think, oh, I really wanted to weigh in on that. I agreed with this or I disagree with that or any opinion that you have —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I've heard so much that it was kind of all answered --

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: — as it went along. If I thought of something the next person usually answered it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Is there anything that we've missed? I know that would be incredibly difficult to believe. Is there anything that we might have missed about you that we haven't learned that might — that you have — are thinking,

1	oh, maybe I, you know, wouldn't be fair for this reason or
2	another reason?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No.
4	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Your Honor, I pass for cause.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And this is Leticia Kiefaber?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Badge number 426?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I'm a salesperson in a
11	retail store. And on December 7th, 2013, I lost my son.
12	THE COURT: Oh, I'm very sorry.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Thank you. In an
14	accident.
15	THE COURT: In an automobile accident or
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: No. He was hit for a
17	car, he was on his bicycle.
18	THE COURT: I see. And he — he was a young son?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Nineteen years.
20	THE COURT: Nineteen years, that's pretty young.
. 21	All right. I'm very sorry to hear that. Okay. And do you
22	have any other children?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: No, he was my only son.
24	THE COURT: You only son. Okay. Are you married,
25	single?

CIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 102

The state of the s		
1		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I'm divorced.
2		THE COURT: Divorced, okay. How long have you lived
3	here in C	lark County?
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Twenty-three years.
5		THE COURT: Is where did you come from before you
6	moved here	e?
7	·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Mexico.
8		THE COURT: And you came as a child to the United
9 .	States?	
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: No.
11		THE COURT: How old were you when you came?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I was 28.
13		THE COURT: Okay. Well, you look very young.
14	,	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Thank you.
15	·	THE COURT: So did you go to school in Mexico?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes, I did.
17		THE COURT: What how far did you go in school?
18		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I went like high school,
19	uh-huh.	
20		THE COURT: No college while you were still in
21	Mexico?	
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: No, I was working.
23		THE COURT: Okay. What did you do there?
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: In a bank, I work in a
25	bank.	
	l .	

1	THE COURT: Okay. What's that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I have strong feelings
3	after lost my son about lawyers.
4	THE COURT: About lawyers?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yeah, how they treat me.
6	THE COURT: Okay. You felt
7 `	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yeah.
. 8	THE COURT: like you were treated badly by
9	lawyers?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Did you file a lawsuit of some kind?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: No.
13	THE COURT: No. All right. Did you make a claim
14	against the person who hit your son?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Well, I was trying.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: A lot of people, they
18	come to me trying to represent me and they didn't do too much.
19	After read the police report they just send me a letter, they
20	don't want me.
21	THE COURT: I see.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: They don't want my case.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I see. But they approached you to
24	represent you? How did they find out about you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Funeral home.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 105

1	THE COURT: For from
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: From the funeral home.
3	THE COURT: I see. So the funeral home gave your
4	name to lawyers?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Exactly.
6	THE COURT: And what funeral home was that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Palm Cemetery.
8	THE COURT: Paul's? Palms.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Palm Cemetery.
10	THE COURT: Palm Cemetery, okay. And what lawyers
11	were you given names for? Who what what lawyers were
12	you referred to by Palm?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: There were three.
14	THE COURT: Three?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Three, yeah.
16	THE COURT: Who were they?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I don't have their names
18	in my mind.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And did they call you or did you
20	call them?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Their assistants, they
22	found me, for make appointment. They trying to convince me.
- 23	I didn't want to go because I wanted the police report first
24	before I take the other step.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And so you eventually did get the
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

police report? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I got the police report. 2 Okay. And did you read it? THE COURT: 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: I did. 4 THE COURT: And was there something in it that was 5 disturbing to you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes, of course. 7 THE COURT: Okay. But I mean you said after these 8 lawyers read the police report they didn't want to represent 9 Did they ever explain why? It's okay. We'll get you 10 some tissue. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: This is too hard for me. 12 THE COURT: All right. So let me ask you --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: It's only 15 months my 14 son left. 15 I understand. Okay. So let me ask you THE COURT: 16 this. Do you believe that this is going to affect your 17 ability to be fair and impartial because you just --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: Yes, it's --19 THE COURT: -- lost your son? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 426: It's been affecting all 21 My life change. My son passes in seven minutes. my life. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Counsel. 23 MR. BATEMAN: No objection. 24 MS. LEMCKE: No objection. 25 UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. We're
2	very sorry and you're excused. Call the next in order.
3	THE CLERK: Badge 452, Charlie Serrano.
4	THE COURT: Okay. You'll need to go down to the
5	third floor and let the Jury Commissioner know you've been
6	excused. All right, Ms. Kiefaber?
7	Well, Mr. Serrano, welcome to the jury box. Before
8	I ask you to tell me about yourself I need to know, have you
9	ever served as a juror before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever been in law enforcement?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
13	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
14	associated with you in law enforcement?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: My aunt was a
16	corrections officer but she's retired now.
17	THE COURT: How long has she been retired?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: A little under a year
19	and a half maybe.
20	THE COURT: Was she a corrections officer here in
21	Nevada?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yeah, in Nevada.
23	THE COURT: In Clark County?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Uh-huh.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever talk to her about
•	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 108

1 her work? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: She's told me a couple stories but other than that I haven't really asked her about 3 4 anything. 5 THE COURT: Okay. When she told you the stories, did -- I'm sure they were interesting but did -- did they 6 7 influence your general opinions about the justice system or -8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Nothing pertaining to 9 the justice system, no. 10 THE COURT: No? Okay. And she's the only person in 11 law enforcement in your family or closely associated with you? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Close association, yes. 13 THE COURT: You may have some acquaintances that are 14 involved? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Friends, family, don't 16 really get into it with them. 17 THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a 18 crime? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Other than my bike being 20 stolen when I was a kid, probably not, no. 21 THE COURT: And how long ago was that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I was probably a 23 freshman in high school so --2.4 THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.

1	accused of a crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
3	THE COURT: Or convicted of a crime?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
5	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
6	associated with you been accused or convicted of a crime?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes. My father but I
8	was tiny at the time so.
9	THE COURT: He was convicted of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And what what was the upshot of that,
12	what happened?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Well, I'm sure he
14	learned his lesson and he well, we did a lot better.
15	THE COURT: What was the crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: My mother and father
17	kind of got into it and he kind of went to jail for a few days
18	so
19	THE COURT: Some kind of domestic violence thing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And that was quite some time ago?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what their were
24	you present when that happened?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I might have been.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Again, I was probably like a toddler.
2	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So you have no recollection
3	of it yourself.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
5	THE COURT: It's just you've heard about the story?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: Anyone else in your family or close
8	associate of yours been convicted of a crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No, not to my knowledge.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever either been associated
11	with someone closely or anyone in your family ever had drug
12	problems?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I had a really close
14	friend who was convicted of being a drug dealer. We kind of
15	lost contact so
16	THE COURT: So did you ever talk to him about that
17	at all?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So did you know whether he also,
20	as well as dealing drugs, did he use them?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Like I said, we lost
22	contact so
23	THE COURT: I see. And so was that you lost
24	contact before and then you just heard about this later?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Uh-huh, yes.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 112

THE COURT:

Is that a yes?

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any moral or

religious beliefs that would make it difficult or impossible

2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Sorry.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for you to sit in judgment in a case like this, to sit on a jury and make decisions? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452:

THE COURT: Now you've heard us talk about the presumptions -- presumption of innocence in our system of justice and that means that the defendant is presumed to be innocent unless and until the State proves beyond a reasonable doubt otherwise. And so the State, the State's burden is to do all the proving. A person in the United States does not have to prove that they are innocent, the State has to prove that they're guilty. You understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you have any quarrel with that or problem?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No. That's our right in this country of ours so --

THE COURT: Now, some people nonetheless still feel like they would want to hear from someone that they just -- I mean they can intellectually accept that that's the rule but they just can't get past it. And if -- if somebody doesn't present evidence or take the stand and testify, then they just

would -- would feel that that would be unacceptable to them. Are you like that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No. 3 THE COURT: How do you feel about it? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I'm impartial to it. 5 They're innocent until proven guilty. 6 THE COURT: And is there any reason that you can 7 think of that you could not be a fair and impartial juror in 8 this case? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: 10 Tell us about yourself. THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Well, I'm 20 years old, 12 born and raised here. I have a two-year-old son and -- well, 13 not at home at the moment but he's with his mother. I'm 14 divorced and I work at a bank. 15 THE COURT: Okay. What do you do at the bank? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I'm a lead teller. 17 THE COURT: And do you live by yourself then? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I live with my uncle. 19 THE COURT: What does he do? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: He's also at a bank and 21 he works at a casino part time. 22 THE COURT: How long have you worked for the bank? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Two years now -- or no, 24 Yeah, sorry. a year. 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: And in this case if you heard about
2	drug use, would you have any like strong opinions about drugs?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Everybody it's their
4	life. They choose what they want to do with it.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: What about if what they choose to do
6	affects somebody else's life?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Then yeah, that's again
8	on them.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So nothing about that, if you
10	heard anything about drug use in the case it wouldn't affect
11	your ability to be fair and impartial here?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now what about penalty? If this
14	went to a penalty phase, would you be able to consider all
15	three penalties?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: And would you be able to make a
18	decision?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. How do you feel about
21	serving on the jury?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: A little nervous.
23	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: A bunch of eyes on me.
25	I'm not really good in crowds so

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, when you so you're nervous
2	right now because you're the one speaking?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yeah. But over time I'm
4	going to get over it so
5,	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. But, I mean, like if you
6	were selected to be on the jury, how do you feel about that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Like I said, I'm just a
8	little nervous when it comes to like, you know, being in front
9	of people.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Well, if
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I'm a little shy.
12	MS. DIGIACOMO: is that would that apply if it
13	was the 12 of you back in a room, would you feel nervous?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: No.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Would you feel
16	comfortable giving your opinions?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one, sir.
21	You had mentioned that you had some family in the military?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: Yes.
23	MR. SLIFE: What where did they serve? Was this
24	recent? Was this Afghanistan, Iraq or was that before?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 452: I've had family all
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 118

over, Afghanistan, Germany, Tokyo so --

7.

MR. SLIFE: Okay. That's my only question. Thank you very much, sir. Pass for cause, Your Honor.

gentlemen, the next part of our — our selection process is what's known as peremptory challenges and so each side get eight peremptory challenges where they can just thank and excuse you. And they'll do so by your seat number and your name and they'll thank and excuse you. And if you're thanked and excused you'll depart the courtroom and go down and let the Jury Commissioner know that you've, you know, you were excused by the Court. And then we'll call the next in order to fill that empty seat. So it is the State's first peremptory challenge.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor. The State would thank and excuse badge number 433, Ms. McFadden, seated in juror seat number seven.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE CLERK: Badge 455, Erin Malone.

THE COURT: Ms. Malone, we'll give you the microphone. Have you ever served as a juror before, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes, I have.

THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No, that was in

Wisconsin.

1	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: About four years ago.
3	THE COURT: Was it a criminal case or a civil case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: It was a criminal case.
5	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
6	did the jury reach a verdict?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson of the jury?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No, I was not.
10	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that
11	makes you think I don't ever want to be on a jury again?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
13	THE COURT: Have you ever been in law enforcement?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No, I have not.
15	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
16	associated with you been in law enforcement?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
19	crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
21	THE COURT: Anyone in your family, closely
22	associated with you ever been the victim of a serious crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you, you know, have either you or
25	anyone in your family or closely associated with you been
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 120

1	involved in, you know, illicit drugs?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
3	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
4	associated with you or you yourself been accused or convicted
5	of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any religious or moral
. 8	beliefs that would make it difficult or impossible for you to
9	sit in judgment in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
11	THE COURT: Do you understand the presumption of
12	innocence?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And do you agree with that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
16	THE COURT: You understand that it is the State's
17	burden of proof to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt?
18.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
19	THE COURT: So given that, would you feel that a
20	defendant would need to present evidence in order to to
21	convince you that he or she was not guilty?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I would like to hear
23	both sides, but I understand that the prosecution has to prove
24	that the defendant is guilty.
25,	THE COURT: And if the after you heard the
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 121

evidence you felt that the State had not proved its case, would you have any difficulty returning a not guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: If I felt the State had not proved its case, no, I would not.

THE COURT: All right. And by the same token, if you felt that the State had proved their case beyond a reasonable doubt, would you have any problem returning a guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.

THE COURT: Would you be able to consider the penalties if it went to a penalty phase, the three penalties that we've talked about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.

THE COURT: Any reason at all that you think you could not be fair and impartial as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.

THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I recently, until April 19th, I worked at Ages of Las Vegas, that's a memory care facility. I was a lead med tech so I passed out medication and helped care for the people there that had dementia. I have a daughter, she's 20, she lives in Wisconsin, she works at a grocery store. I'm divorced and that's about it.

THE COURT: And you've been here fairly a short time?

1	healthcare, I've done healthcare in facilities. For the last
2	seven years I've worked in facilities strictly with people
3	with dementia.
4	THE COURT: And your brother, you said you're
5	helping him with these adopted children
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
7	THE COURT: what does he do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: He works for a company,
9	it's called Himalaya, they sell herbal supplements. He's the
10	education specialist.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Would the State like to
12	inquire further?
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, thank you. Now, you said you
14	have an interview tomorrow?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I do.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: What time is that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Ten-thirty.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: So it wouldn't affect your ability
19	to sit on the jury?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I don't think so.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: You said you have one daughter and
22	is 20 and lives in Wisconsin.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And works as a in a
25	grocery store?

1 ·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Uh-huh, yes.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: How and I'm sorry, and then you
3	said you were divorced. How long ago did was did that
4	happen?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I've been divorced for
6	15 years.
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay, so a long time. Now do
8	you watch any of the law shows; the CSI, Law and Order?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I watch Forensic Files.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you like the real life
11	kind of stuff?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yeah, uh-huh.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now any anything
14	about that that you would have expectations about what should
15	happen in this trial, what the State should present as
16	evidence?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Anyone in your family have any
19	military service?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: My dad was in the Army.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: And was he career or
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
23	MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you ever talk to him about his
24	service?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Just a little bit. He
	INICEDTIFIED BOUCH DRAFT

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

.23

24

25

would like to see both sides give a presentation, which I think is totally reasonable.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: How would you feel if Mr. Slife and I just did nothing, bumps on a log for the next two weeks and got up and argue in summation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I guess I would just have to make my decision based on what the prosecution presented if you did nothing.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would it - would it bother you, again, because prosecutors, they always go first so who we call as witnesses or don't call kind of depends on who they call or they don't call. Would it bother you if they called more witnesses then we did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455:

MS. LEMCKE: Would you think that that necessarily meant that they had a better case than we do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What about -- we've talked a little bit about the accused rights. You know, when they are confronted by law enforcement and then when a criminal case is brought against them and we talked a little bit about the right to testify, the right not to testify. Do you have any feelings about that? Like would it bother you one way or the other if someone felt like they had to get up and tell their

1	side of the story or if someone felt like I don't want to? Do
2	you have any thoughts on that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No, I understand why
4	someone wouldn't.
5	MS. LEMCKE: Why? Can you give me some ideas like
6	why somebody might not want to talk to police or without a
7	lawyer or get up on the stand in a trial and talk?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I think it's easy to
9	have your words, you know, twisted or taken in a way that you
10	don't mean them to be taken. In a serious case, yeah, I would
11.	listen to what my lawyer said and do what they advised.
12	MS. LEMCKE: Even if you're innocent?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
14	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And you indicated that your dad
15	had served in the Army but for a short period of time.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
17	MS. LEMCKE: Don't think he saw any combat that
18	you're aware of?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
20 .	MS. LEMCKE: Do you have any other friends or close
21	associates that spent any time in the military?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
23	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And we talked a little bit about
24	a little bit about drug use and that type of thing. You
25	indicated that you didn't have any strong feelings one way or
	l e

1	the other, I think. Do you have — have you had any
2	experiences with anybody who's had any addiction issues or?
3.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes. I had a friend a
4	few years ago that was addicted to crack.
5	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Was this a close friend?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes, it was a close
7	friend.
8	MS. LEMCKE: Did the did the addiction like
9	when your friend used, did it kind of change was it a girl
10	or a boy?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: It was man.
12	MS. LEMCKE: Man, okay. Did it did you notice
13	changes in the personality?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Bad, negative changes I would assume
16	or?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
18	MS. LEMCKE: And can you tell me a little bit about
19	that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: His personality changed.
21	He didn't become aggressive or anything but he was not the
22	same person. He was very, you know, unreliable, he would just
23	disappear for periods of time and nobody really knew what he
24	was doing.
25	MS. LEMCKE: When you say he was unreliable, like
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	MS. LEMCKE: If you were seated where Mr. Pimentel
2	and myself and Mr. Slife are seated or where the prosecutors
3	are seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself on the
4	jury?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yeah.
6	MS. LEMCKE: Tell me why.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I can be fair. I don't
8	have any preconceived ideas about anything at this point so.
9	MS. LEMCKE: And one last question, I'm just
10	curious. How do you like Las Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I really like it but the
12	summers are just way, way too hot. I could do without that.
13	MS. LEMCKE: I don't disagree. All right. Nothing
14	further, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense, first peremptory
16	challenge.
17	MS. LEMCKE: Court's indulgence.
18	THE COURT: Counsel, I need a decision.
19	MS. LEMCKE: I know, sorry. Your Honor, can we
20	approach? I just have a question about I apologize.
21	THE COURT: That's all right.
22	(Off-record bench conference.)
23	MS. LEMCKE: Your Honor, the defense would thank and
24	excuse badge number 405, Ms. Neal.
25	THE CLERK: What chair and seat?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: What chair?
2	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, I'm sorry, it's
3	MR. SLIFE: Chair — chair number eight.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	THE CLERK: Badge 458, Dawn Davis.
6	THE COURT: Hello, Ms. Davis.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Hello.
8	THE COURT: How are you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Good. How are you?
10.	THE COURT: Good. Thank you. Have you ever served
11	as a juror before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
13	THE COURT: Is that a no?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
15	THE COURT: All right. There you go. And have you
16	ever been in law enforcement?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
18	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
19	associated with you in law enforcement?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
22	crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
24	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
25	associated with you been the victim of what you consider to be
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	a serious crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Nope.
3	THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your family or
4	closely associated with you ever been accused of or convicted
5	of a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any religious or moral
8	beliefs that make it difficult for you to or impossible for
9	you to sit in judgment in a case like this?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
11	THE COURT: Do you have any quarrel with the
12	presumption of innocence?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So you would be able to hold the
15	State to its burden of proof in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: If the State proved the case to you
18	beyond a reasonable doubt, would you be able to return a
19	guilty verdict?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And if the reverse was true, if you
22	believe that they did not meet their burden of proof, would
23	you be able to return a verdict of not guilty?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Have you formed any opinions at all up
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

to this point in the case about the guilt or the innocence of 1 the defendant? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: THE COURT: Is there any reason at all that you think you could not be fair and impartial in this case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No. 6 THE COURT: Anyone in -- either yourself or anyone 7 in your family or closely associated with you ever been 8 involved in the addiction or usage of -- addiction to or usage 9 of illicit drugs? 10 I had two uncles PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah. 11 who did drugs. 12 THE COURT: And was that a long time ago, recently? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Well, one of my uncle, 14 he passed away and we believe that's why because he did an 15 overdose. And my other uncle, he's clean now so it was a long 16 time ago, I was young. 17 THE COURT: So did you ever interact with your 18 uncles while they were using illicit drugs? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I'm pretty sure I did, 20 but I didn't notice because I was -- I was a little girl so. 21 Tell us about yourself. THE COURT: 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I'm 27. I was born and 23 raised in Las Vegas. I don't have any kids yet, I'm pregnant 24 now, I will be due September 21st. And that's it. 25

1	THE COURT: Well, are you married, single, divorced?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I'm single.
3	THE COURT: Okay. You have a significant other
4	or
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes, I have a boyfriend.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And does — do you live together?
7 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah, we live together.
8	THE COURT: What does he do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: He doesn't work, he has
10	sickle cell disease, so he's disabled, he's unable to work.
11	THE COURT: And how about yourself, do you work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I work for Clark County
15	School District.
16	THE COURT: Just a minute. I'm sorry, go ahead.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I work for the Clark
18	County School District. I'm an office specialist II for the
19	transportation department.
20	THE COURT: And what does an office specialist II do
21	day to day?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I just assist parents
23	and schools and students on bussing information.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And has that been the only job
25	you've held?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No, I went straight
2	there.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, do you watch any of the law
4	shows, the CSIs?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes, I do.
6	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do you think that they're all
7	real what you see on TV?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you have any expectations about
10	if you sat on this jury what you would expect the State to do
11	in presenting its case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No, I don't have any
13	expectations like that.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. What about military service?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I don't know anyone in
16	the military service.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And you said you were
18	born and raised here?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Uh-huh.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: So is your family here as well?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: Were the uncles that you talked
23	about before, did they also live in Las Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Uh-huh.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. But you were pretty
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	young when they
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: were going through their issues?
. 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Uh-huh.
5.	MS. DIGIACOMO: Is that, I'm sorry, yes for the
6	record?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes. Sorry.
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, do you have any opinions,
9	strong opinions or not strong opinions about drug use?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: No, I don't have any
11	opinions.
12	MS. DIGIACOMO: Never known anyone else other than
13	your uncles to use it?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah, that I know of.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So nothing about how you
16	feel about drugs would affect your ability to be fair and
17	impartial in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah, nothing will
19	affect it.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now what about with the
. 21	police, have you had any particular good experiences, any
22	particular bad experiences with them?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Nope. I only get pulled
24	over for traffic, that's it.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Well, it could be a bad
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

experience. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah, nothing bad. 2 MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And the Judge I think did ask 3 you about penalty if this went to a penalty phase, you'd be 4 able to consider all three? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes. 6 MS. DIGIACOMO: And would you be able to make a 7 decision? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes. 9 MS. DIGIACOMO: And if you were selected to sit on 10 this jury, how do you feel about that if you were selected? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I don't have any feeling 12 towards it. If I was selected then I would just do my duty 13 and, you know, listen to whatever the prosecution brings to 14 the table and that's it. And determine based off the case. 15 MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And also speaking with 16 your fellow jurors? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Uh-huh. 18 MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: 20 MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. I'll pass for cause. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Defense. 22 MR. SLIFE: Ma'am, any thoughts on the presumption 23 of innocence that we've talked about over and over again --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: [indiscernible] 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	offer?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yeah.
3	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Thank you very much.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: You're welcome.
5	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Judge. Pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Weber? Mr. Weber, are
7	you with us?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yeah, I'm here.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it looked like you were
10	sleeping. I know it's not really scintillating right now
-11	but
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I just wasn't sure why
13	you were calling my name.
14	THE COURT: Because you looked like you were going
15	to be falling out of your chair, that's why. I just wanted to
16	make sure you were with us. All right. Thank you.
17	It's the State's next peremptory challenge.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
19	would thank and excuse Ms. Benitez, badge number 417 and seat
20	number nine.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	THE CLERK: Badge 469, Shawna Sparks.
23	THE COURT: Hello, Ms. Sparks. Have you ever been a
24	juror before?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: And have you ever been in law
2	enforcement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I've not been in law
4	enforcement but I have worked in corrections in Colorado.
5	THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: About 15 years ago.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And how long did you do that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: About two years.
9.	THE COURT: Were you a corrections officer?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: So two years isn't too long. Did you
12	like the job or not like the job?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: At the time it was okay.
14	You know, after leaving and hearing what had happened at that
15	particular facility I was glad I was gone.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Did was there a problem at the
17	facility you had been at
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Uh-huh.
19	THE COURT: after you left?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
21	THE COURT: What type of problem?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: There was a large riot.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And were corrections officers
24	hurt in that riot?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: What I heard, yes.
•	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	THE COURT: Did you feel that the police did
2	everything they could or were you dissatisfied?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I mean they did what
4	they had what they could, I mean with what little bit of
5	information they had.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Did you didn't have any
7	suspects?
8 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No suspects.
9 .	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
10	associated with you that have been the victim of what you
11	would consider to be a serious violent type crime?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 469: Not a violent crime. My
13	brother's in prison right now for sexual assault.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Well, generally, that's
15	considered a violent crime actually. So how long ago was that
16	that he was convicted?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Oh, my goodness. His
18	first conviction was probably about 10 years ago.
19	THE COURT: You say his first conviction so what
20	what all has he
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: He got paroled and then
22	violated his parole and got put back in
23	THE COURT: I see
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: for the same thing.
25	THE COURT: Was that here in Nevada?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 145

1	able to return a not guilty verdict?
2 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I moved here to Vegas
5	about 12 years ago. I'm divorced for about 10 years. We
6	separated and that's one of the reasons why I moved here. My
7	current boyfriend's got two grown adult children. We have
8.	four grandchildren and I am currently guardianship over one of
9	those children. And I am a driver for Checker Cab currently.
10	THE COURT: Have you since you've lived here have
11	you always been a cab driver?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No. I started doing
13	call center work at various places and then I got hired on at
14	the Plaza doing PBX and then I went to Venetian in Palazzo and
15	did PBX there and room reservations prior.
16	THE COURT: So of all these different jobs that
17	you've had, which was your favorite?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I enjoyed being in the
19	hotels doing PBX reservations, front desk, that type of stuff.
20	THE COURT: And how about how do you enjoy being
21	a cab driver?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 469: It's different. I mean
23	it's a new experience every day.
24	THE COURT: You said your you serve as a guardian
<u>ي</u> ا	for one of your grandchildren?

1.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And do you have full custody of that
.3	grandchild?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I have full guardianship
5	over him, yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. But do you have physical custody?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
- 8	THE COURT: Okay. Why do you have that
9 ·	guardianship?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: His mother couldn't care
11	for the two young children because they were only 11 months
12	apart so she gave up custody to myself.
13	THE COURT: Of just one child?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Of just the one child,
15	yes.
16	THE COURT: And she has custody of the other child?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Uh-huh.
1.8	THE COURT: Does she have any substance abuse
19	problems?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: We believe there is but
21	there's no proof.
22	THE COURT: Why do you think there is?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Changes in attitudes.
24	I've got cousins that have been substance abusers and I see
25	the same tendencies in her as I did in my cousins.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 148

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And do you watch any of the
4	CSI law shows? Okay. Do you have any expectations of what
5	you
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Not at all.
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: expect us to do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. What about military service?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No military service.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: And other than when you — that
12	burglary occurred, no other crimes close — people close to
13	you victims?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, have you ever had any
16	particular good experience or bad experience with police?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Not me personally, no.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: But somebody else that you know?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Somebody close to you?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Not somebody real close.
22	I have a high school friend that's had a bad experience back
23	in Colorado with the police right now.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, going it's going on right
25	now?

1	decide penalty if it gets to that point, would that affect
2	your decision in what we call the guilt phase, like deciding
3	what what
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No, not at all.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. I'll pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Defense.
7	MS. LEMCKE: You may have been answered this so
8	forgive me, but what did you do in corrections?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I was a correctional
10	officer.
11	MS. LEMCKE: You actually were an officer?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: In a private facility.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: It wasn't a State-owned
17	facility.
18	MS. LEMCKE: Did the State contract with the
19	facility then to house inmates or?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: They had contracts for
21	not only Colorado offenders but also for other states.
22	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, so they were housing people from a
23	whole bunch of different areas.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Right.
25	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did you ever have any bad
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	experiences with any of the other your fellow officers or
2	the inmates when you were there?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
4	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Okay. Did you have any special
5	training that you underwent to become a corrections officer?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
7	MS. LEMCKE: Because you have to have a weapons
8	permit, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.
10	MS. LEMCKE: Or yeah, okay. What did that training
11	involve?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: We did combative
13	training, you know, we did the firearms training. You know,
14	more about the law and, you know, kind of how to deal with
1.5	people.
16	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: But nothing major.
18	MS. LEMCKE: With your combative training, would you
1,9	learn how to deal with situations if somebody was to be
20	physical with one of the officers?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Right, like take-downs
. 22	and things like that
23	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And when you
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: — control situations.
25	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So like if if somebody got
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 153

1	armed; is that right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
3	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, they weren't?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: No.
5	MS. LEMCKE: Which one like how did they
6	distinguish between which ones would be carry a weapon and
7	which ones wouldn't?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: The only ones that
9	carried were outside personnel. So if we were on outside
10	duty, securing the perimeter, we had to carry. Or if we were
11	on medical transports.
12	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: But being inside the
14	facility at all, they didn't want us carrying so that the
15	inmates couldn't get ahold of it, for our safety.
16	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So they were concerned so
17	inside they didn't want to run the risk that an inmate might
18	get ahold of a weapon. Had anything like that ever happened
19	before, to your knowledge or no?
- 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Not that I was aware of.
21	MS. LEMCKE: Did you do the duty when you did
22	perimeter duty, did like did you ever have to carry while
23	you were there at the facility?
.24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Uh-huh, yeah.
25	MS. LEMCKE: You mentioned also that you had some

cousins who had some issues with drug abuse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: And forgive me for prying but at this point I feel like we've pried into everybody, so you will be no exception, my friend. Tell me about that. What — were you — were you closely associated with them at the time that they started using substances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Probably not when they started, probably afterwards.

MS. LEMCKE: Did you see changes in them? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Definitely.

MS. LEMCKE: You — you've heard a couple of your fellow jurors, Ms. Malone and some others talk about, you know, I noticed some issues with, you know, their behavior and, you know, they were saying things that weren't true, that type of thing. Did you have those similar experiences with them once they started using?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Definitely. There was a lot of lies, a lot of distrust and you were worried about their safety, their children's safety, things like that. You didn't know what their next move was going to be.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did — do you know what substances that they were abusing specifically, do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Methamphetamines, pain killers that I'm aware of.

MS. LEMCKE: So some street drugs and some prescription.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Correct.

MS. LEMCKE: Did you notice any other changes in like demeanor, like being more aggressive or anything like that at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: I didn't notice them being any more aggressive. They just became more free-willed and didn't care what anybody else thought.

MS. LEMCKE: And there was the credibility issues and that type of thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. We talked a little about the — I think the Court did with you about the presumption of innocence and like many of your fellow jurors you feel that — like why can't we hear, you know, from everybody. Would it bother you if Mr. Slife and I did like zero through the trial or —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: It wouldn't bother me.

I mean I'd like to hear both sides but it wouldn't bother me.

MS. LEMCKE: Would you — you would — would you hold it against either Mr. Slife or myself or more importantly our client, Mr. Pimentel, if we chose to just let the State put on their case and then get up to you and argue and say they ain't got it?

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And there's ongoing litigation about that. Okay. Has there been like an inquest or a trial or anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: They're in the process of all that right now.

MS. LEMCKE: Nothing about that experience causes you to think that you wouldn't be able to be fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Nothing about it would make me be fair and — I mean because even that case, I still don't know. You know, I have no opinions on either side of it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. But I — has — your girlfriend, you have some communications with her about what happened and that type of thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And I assume that they are not happy with law enforcement.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Right now they're very — well, they're not — I can't say they're completely unhappy, they're just unhappy with the circumstances.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. That's fair. I've asked everybody and I'll ask you if you were seated either where the prosecutors are seated or where Mr. Pimentel is seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Tell me why:

1.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 469: Because I think I've got an open mind and I can make a fair judgment.

MS. LEMCKE: I have nothing further, Your Honor. I would pass for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you. This is the defense's second peremptory challenge and then we'll be recessing for lunch.

MR. SLIFE: Your Honor, at this time we're going to waive.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay. All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take an hour for lunch. And during this lunch recess until one o'clock it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess until one.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 12:03 p.m.)

THE COURT: Marshal, was there a problem with one of our prospective jurors?

1	THE MARSHAL: No. It has to do
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	THE MARSHAL: with the juror that was late. She
4	said that her mother is in room 337 at Spring Valley Hospital
5	and she'd like to know if she can go back to the hospital.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So we've got what was her name
7	again?
8	THE CLERK: Creasy, badge 526.
9	THE COURT: All right. Badge 526 is the gal who was
10	late. She's here and she's indicating her mother's in the
11	hospital at Sunrise, did you say, Marshal?
12	THE MARSHAL: Spring Valley.
13	THE COURT: Spring Valley, gave a room number, wants
14	to know if she can leave to go back to the hospital. So
15	MS. LEMCKE: Well, certainly if it's something
16	serious I would never object to that. I mean, did she
17	articulate what the nature of the
18	THE MARSHAL: I didn't want to ask her unless
19	somebody wants me to ask her but
20	THE COURT: We do have 50 more jurors
21	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, right, yeah, yeah. I forgot.
22	Yeah.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MS. LEMCKE: Of course.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So all right. Well, just let
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

her know that she's been excused, Marshal, and she can check 1 out with the Jury Commissioner. Thank you. All right, we're 2 in recess until one. 3 (Court recessed at 12:06 p.m. until 1:07 p.m.) 4 (In the presence of the prospective jury panel.) 5 THE COURT: We are back on the record in the 6 continuation of State versus Luis Pimentel. The record will 7 reflect the presence of the defendant with his counsel, the 8 Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case, all officers 9 of the Court and we've been rejoined by the remaining members 10 of the venire panel. Will counsel so stipulate? 11 MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor. 12. 13 MR. SLIFE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. It is the State's third 14 THE COURT: 15 peremptory challenge. Thank you, Your Honor. The State 16 MS. DIGIACOMO: would thank and excuse Ms. Correnti, badge number 376 and seat 17 18 number four. Thank you. 19 THE COURT: THE CLERK: Badge 488, Jose Sanchez. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: My name is Jose Sanchez. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: Badge number 0488. 23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sanchez, have you ever 24 been a juror before? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: About 10, 11 years ago,
2	just for witness.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So you were not on the
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: But no
5	THE COURT: jury?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: not on the jury, this
7	is the first time.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And you were a witness though in
9	a trial?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: I'm sorry?
11	THE COURT: Were you a witness in a trial?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: Yeah, that's what I
13	said. I did a witness about 11 years ago.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: But this day juror is
16	different.
17	THE COURT: Right, I understand that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: Yeah, there's a
19	difference. This is my first time, you know.
20	THE COURT: Right. Do you have any difficulty
21	speaking and understanding English?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: Not much but, you know,
23	I can do my best.
24	
25	if you have any difficulty?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 163

1.	able to sit as a juror. Do you think that you're physically
2	able to sit as a juror?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: I don't think so.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So you have some problems?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: With my stomach, yeah.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Would counsel want to inquire
7	further about his
8	MR. BATEMAN: No, Your Honor, we'll submit it.
9	MS. LEMCKE: Submit it, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, sir.
11	You're excused.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 488: Okay.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	THE CLERK: Badge 491, Timothy Baxter.
15	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Baxter
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Hello.
. 17	THE COURT: — how are you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Good. How are you, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: Good. Thank you very much for asking.
· 21	Have you ever been a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes.
23	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 491: I think it was probably
25	in 2006, just trying to remember. But not in — in a military
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, sort of.
2	THE COURT: Okay. How is that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, I was when I
4	was in the Air Force I was an [indiscernible] security
5	policeman. So I wasn't full time, it wasn't my excuse me,
6	my job. But I did go to school and we did get trained and I
7	did some duties when they needed extra people we augmented the
8	security police.
9	THE COURT: What were your regular duties in the Air
10	Force?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: At that time I was in
12	supply logistics.
13	THE COURT: Did you change later?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I did.
15	THE COURT: To what?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Intelligence.
17	THE COURT: Did you have a full career? Did you
18	retire out of the military?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I did, 22 years.
20	THE COURT: Well, thank you very much for your
21	service. That's a long time
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: and a big commitment. So when did
24	you retire?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: In 2008.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 167

be fair and impartial in this case given the fact that we had a lot of military — not military but police officers who are — and employees of the police department who will be testifying?

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we don't want you to -- to err at -- at all.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, I'm just saying I might be biased towards favoring what the police said versus someone else, but I'm just being honest.

THE COURT: All right. Well, we want you to be honest, so thank you. So let me ask you this. Basically, when witnesses take the stand and testify you have to make your decision based upon a number of things, you know, how they behave on the stand, what they say, whether they've said anything or written anything prior that contradicts that. I mean there are many things that you make your decision about, you know, whether you believe that witness's testimony or you don't believe that witness's testimony.

So the question is, would you analyze a police officer's testimony in the same way you would every other witness or would you say, well, you know, this person's a police officer so basically I don't really care about the

21

22

23

24

25

rest, whatever that person says I'm going to believe.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, I --- I would listen to what they said. I would weigh what they say but like I said, I might be a little biased towards the police.

THE COURT: When you say biased, usually a bias means -- or a lot of times people interpret it to mean that without any reason you're leaning one way or another about anything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yeah, that might be a wrong term but that's not what I mean.

THE COURT: All right. So explain to you -- to me what -- why would you tend to give more credibility to a police officer's testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, they deal with a lot of situations and they are trained for like crisis management or emergency procedures and they're -- I like to think that they're all professionals and, you know, I know everybody's different but I just believe in the system and they're really there to help people instead of, you know, do bad work or anything like that.

THE COURT: So would it -- would then it be dependent upon what they were testifying about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491:

THE COURT: So if it was something that any witness could testify about then you might just weigh their testimony

1	in the same way you would any other witness?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Have you ever been
4	the victim of a crime?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
6	THE COURT: How about anyone in your family or
7	closely associated with you been the victim of what you
8	consider to be a serious crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: Have has anyone that you're closely
11	associated with or family ever been accused of or convicted of
12	a crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
14	THE COURT: And I should say yourself as well?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any quarrel with the
17	presumption of innocence as part of our system of justice?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I do not.
19	THE COURT: You believe in our system?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I do.
21	THE COURT: Would you be able to if the State did
22	not prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, would you be
23	able to return a verdict of not guilty?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I would.
25	THE COURT: And if the State did prove their case
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 171

beyond a reasonable doubt, would you be able to return a verdict of quilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I would.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard that there — there could be, not necessarily will be, but there could be a penalty hearing in this matter where the jury would decide what the penalty would be if the jury finds the defendant guilty of first degree murder. Would you be able to consider all three penalties that are available?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes.

THE COURT: So you haven't -- you're not -- there are certain people that say, well, if someone's convicted of murder, you know, they deserve the worst possible penalty and I wouldn't consider anything else. Are you somebody like that or would you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Sort of.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I mean I'm sort of like that. I mean I do believe they deserve the worst possible penalty.

THE COURT: All right. So the reason for a penalty hearing if we have one is that the jury gets to decide and like normally the Court decides say if somebody's convicted of a burglary, for instance, then the judge would decide and the judge would take into consideration all the information

1 2 3 4 5 7 8 worst possible punishment? 9 10 11 12 this case? 13 14 1.5 member who had a drug addiction? 16 17 18 really talk to him so --19 20 about it? 21 22 23 24

25

available. And when a jury decides, then the parties, both sides get to give you all the information available to make a fully informed decision about what punishment is appropriate. Would you listen to all of that information and take it into consideration before deciding on a punishment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes.

THE COURT: So you wouldn't necessarily just say, well, it's -- it's -- I don't care what they tell me, it's the

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No, I wouldn't say that. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any religious or moral beliefs that would make it difficult for you to sit on

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491:

THE COURT: Ever had anybody close to you or family

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: My nephew's -- I don't what his status is now but he was on drugs so. But I don't

THE COURT: Okay. So you how was it that you knew

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: From my sister.

THE COURT: Would that impact your ability to be fair and impartial in this case at all if -- if drug use came up at all in the trial?

that served in the military?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Oh, yeah. My dad is—was retired military from the Air Force. But he was in the Army in World War II, a D-Day veteran and he fought through the Battle of the Bulge, all that stuff and occupation of Germany, he was wounded twice and decorated. You know, he was—but he was in Korea too. And he retired in probably '66,'67.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Now have you talked to him about all of his experiences?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yeah. He didn't talk about it until he's like older. I mean he's passed away now but when he was older he started talking about his experiences. To me, landing on D-Day was a big deal, you know.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, absolutely. And you said there were other members of your family --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: My brother was in the Navy at the end of the Vietnam.

MS. DIGIACOMO: So did he go over to Vietnam? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: He was over there off-shore probably right at the end, '75ish, '74/'75.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Anyone else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I have uncles that were in World War II. I have quite a few uncles that were --

1	everybody that I'm related to was in World War II. No, that's
2	about it.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now did I know you said your dad
4	was retired. Did your brother and your uncles as well make it
5	a career?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: One uncle was a pilot
7	and he retired as a colonel from the Air Force. The other one
, 8	didn't stay in. And I think my one uncle was the only one
9	that made a career.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. But not your brother?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
12	
	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, have you ever I I know
13	you were talking about your feelings about the police. Have
14	you ever had had really good experiences with the police or
15	really bad experiences with the police?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I've had I haven't
17	had any bad, I've had some good experiences with the police.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, would that be military police
19	or regular police departments?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Regular police
21	departments. I don't know about military police.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: What?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I'm not sure about
24	military police.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay.
ı	

1	DDOSDECTIVE HIDOD NO. 401. Thoules a different
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: They're a different
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. But you — you did say
3	you worked as —
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I did. It was an
5	augmentee type thing. It wasn't my permanent job.
6	MS. DIGIACOMO: Right. So you'd fill in when
7	when they needed extra help?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, ma'am.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: So you didn't really investigate
10	cases, did you?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No. We did go through
12	training, it was probably six weeks or so.
13,	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yeah, you were trained but really
14	you didn't you didn't have a caseload, you
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No, no.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: didn't investigate crimes.
-17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No, ma'am.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you ever arrest anyone?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Apprehend someone, yes.
20	Yes.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: Anything about that experience that
22	you think it would affect your ability to sit and be fair and
23	impartial in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, what about drug use, do you
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	have any strong feelings for or against?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, I'm against but,
3	yeah, I understand how people can get addicted.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: So you wouldn't hold it against
5	someone?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I don't know. That's
7	hard to say.
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, okay. So you could hold it
9	against someone?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I could.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: If you heard evidence in in this
12	case about it, would you automatically just tune out that
13	evidence or
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: could you still keep an open
16	mind?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I could.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, when you left the Air Force,
19	what was your rank?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: My rank? I was Master
21	Sergeant, E7.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: I have nothing further. Pass for
23	cause.
24	THE COURT: Defense.
25	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon,
:	INCERTETED ROUGH DRAFT

1 sir.

1.5

1.8

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Afternoon.

MR. SLIFE: All right. You have mentioned that you — you tend to maybe have a bias for police.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, bias might have been the wrong word.

MR. SLIFE: Yeah. And I — I had asked that question before if there was two witnesses on the stand; one is an officer a detective and the other is not, would you tend to give the officer a little more credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yeah, I would say so.

MR. SLIFE: Okay. You know, because we all come in to here with our biases and we're not asking you to leave those at the door but what we are asking you to do is judge everybody individually, though.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I understand.

MR. SLIFE: But are you saying that you would absolutely — you would not be able to necessarily judge the officer individually? They're always going to have a little more credibility than another witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I guess that's a good way to put it. I would feel that way, I'm just being honest.

MR. SLIFE: Oh, no, and I appreciate that. I think when I said in the beginning there's no bad answers so I do appreciate that. What about as a -- as a 22 year old, as a 22

veteran, do you have any -- a 22 year old -- do you have any thoughts on PTSD as someone who was in the military for 22 years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, having sat there for a long time and listening to all the questions you guys — MR. SLIFE: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: — I — it's running around in my head. I did deploy three times to the Middle East and I wasn't — or at — I was in this operation that flew over Iraq and Afghanistan and we did do combat and we were shooting weapons and we did kill people. I did see a lot of things and, you know, any human being, it affects you. But I can't really judge how it affects individual people. I've seen a lot — I have a lot of friends of course and acquaintances that it just affects people differently. I mean it affected me — it will affect anybody, you know, different ways.

MR. SLIFE: Sure. Do you believe that PTSD is a real thing, though, that people can have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I think somebody else in the jury said it too. Yes, I believe but I also believe there's people that say they have it that probably don't.

Maybe have other mental issues. I mean the military is made up of, you know, people, just everybody's different —

MR. SLIFE: Absolutely.

23.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: — from all walks of life and all different — and there is people that are a little crazy anyway, you know. But then you put the stress of combat or experiences they have under pressure so you never know how people are going to react.

MR. SLIFE: That might have been Mr. Brown who also said that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I'm with you.

MR. SLIFE: -- it's kind of like it's a real thing but maybe some people overdo it.

prospective juror No. 491: Yes. I — I can't say this for certain but I think there's people that are trying to get like VA benefits or something out of the system. Because if you have — not like a golden ticket, but if you have some kind of PTSD thing, you know, that's a big deal. I believe it's true but I still think there's people that try to maybe scam the system. You know, having been in combat and knowing how it affected me. I mean I wasn't all perfectly fine, you know, but I didn't get violent and do crazy things either.

MR. SLIFE: No, understood, understood. And if we have an expert testify as to PTSD you'd be open to what they have to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, sir.

MR. SLIFE: And you mentioned about the penalty. I think the question is and Ms. Lemcke and everybody said it,

MR. SLIFE: Instincts can take over. Let me just ask you one — let me go back to — to when I was talking about police officers and certainly you did some investigation while you were in the Air Force in your role?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, I did patrols — excuse me, on base. And we did apprehend people who were drunk or fighting or whatever, you know, and just took them to the little police shop there. But I didn't really get involved in, you know, the whole investigation part or pressing — or, you know, testifying. I mean I wrote my statement of what happened, my report and that's about it.

MR. SLIFE: That's it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yeah.

MR. SLIFE: I mean police officers obviously testify about the information they have at the time, right? So if a police officer didn't have certain information — I mean their opinion could be different obviously, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes.

MR. SLIFE: I mean I guess my — let me just ask you again. But you — but you still hold that you would give a police officer a little more credibility starting out than — than somebody who wasn't.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I believe that's a true statement.

MR. SLIFE: Okay. I appreciate your honesty, sir.

Thank you. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, sir. 3 May I have the Court's indulgence, Your Honor? 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. SLIFE: Thank you for the indulgence, Your 7 If I may, just have a few more questions. Court's 8 indulgence. All right. A few more questions, sir, thank you 9 for your indulgence. What about -- I'm asking you if there's police on the stand versus somebody who's not, what about 10 11 somebody who works as a security officer as opposed to somebody who's not a security officer, would you give that 12 13 same nod of credibility toward a security officer? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I don't know. Yeah, a 15 security officer's kind of -- I mean someone's Metro or highway patrol or sheriff, constable, deputy, whatever, I 16 17 mean, I know those people have a lot of training. I know 18 they're professionals and I'm sure there's bad ones in every -- you know, but I would just -- I like the way you put it. 19 20 I'm not bias but what'd you say? 21 MR. SLIFE: Just kind of give --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: A little more 23 credibility --24 MR. SLIFE: -- just starting out maybe. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: A little more

credibility, yeah. 1 2 MR. SLIFE: Okay. What about -- you know, and I 3 forgot to ask you about this. We're so repetitive up here sometimes I -- about the presumption of innocence and about, 4 5 you know, somebody maybe not wanting to speak to police. Let's start with the presumption of innocence and we've said a 6 7 lot about it. Do you have any thoughts? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I totally believe in the 8 9 presumed innocent until proven guilty. 10 MR. SLIFE: Okay. And do you think that's fair that if somebody accuses you of something you don't have to prove 11 12 your innocence, they have to prove what they're saying. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I think it's fair. 14 MR. SLIFE: Okay. What about -- what about this 15 idea of we're allowed to have an attorney? What about the idea of somebody not wanting to speak to an officer, do you 16 think that kind of smells weird? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No. I think it's - I 18 19 think it's right. I think, you know, the Miranda rights and all that stuff, you know, I think people -- it's their right 20 to demand an attorney and not talk to police. 21 MR. SLIFE: Okay. So -- so you wouldn't necessarily 22 23 infer quilt --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: No. 24 MR. SLIFE: -- think that somebody's hiding 25

1 something because they would choose to have an attorney 2 present? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes. I mean I would talk but that's me. 4 5 MR. SLIFE: Understood. I -- and you might but 6 you're not going to hold it against somebody --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I would not. MR. SLIFE: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: There is one more thing. 10 MR. SLIFE: Yes, sir. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: You did ask about not 12 testifying — the defendant not testifying? 13 MR. SLIFE: Correct. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Now, I might have an issue with that because if it was me and I knew I was innocent 15 16 I would want to tell my -- my story. But that's just the way 17 I feel. I think that's totally reasonable. But 18 MR. SLIFE: 19 I mean I think the thing is that's you. Could you understand 20 why somebody's who's not you may not want to -- I mean can you think of a reason I mean if you weren't educated? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Well, yeah, I think 23 maybe they -- they would feel that they would not go over good 24 or what they were saying would get through. Maybe they're 25 having -- maybe they have a hard time communicating their

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 186

1	feelings or their story. You know, just actually expressing
2	their thoughts and ideas.
3	MR. SLIFE: Well, and there's definitely a lot of
4	possibilities. I think the thing is I understand why you
5	would want to but you could understand why somebody else may
6	not want to and you won't hold it against them.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: I wouldn't hold it
8	against them.
9	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Thank you for your time, sir.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 491: Yes, sir.
11	MR. SLIFE: Your Honor, we'll pass for cause. Thank
12	you.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. It's defense's third
14	peremptory challenge.
15	MR. SLIFE: And Your Honor, we're we're fine with
16	everybody in the box. We'll waive again.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. This is the
18	State's fourth peremptory challenge.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
20	would thank and excuse Mr. Weber, number 373 in seat number
21	three.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Who's next?
23	THE CLERK: Badge 498, Michael Achilli.
24	THE COURT: All right. Is it Michael Achilli?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Achilli, correct.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And so, sir, have you ever served
2	on a jury before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I have not.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever been in law enforcement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No.
6	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
7	associated with you been in law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Ever been the victim of a crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Nope.
11	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
12	associated with you been the victim of what'd you consider to
13	be a serious crime?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Well, I'll tell you it
15	was about a year ago I had a coworker who went missing and so
16	that's closely associated I would say yeah. We were kind of
17	dealing with that as an office for a couple of months.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Was he ever found?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: She, no.
20	THE COURT: She, okay. So still missing and the
21	did the police come in and interview people at the office?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Eventually, yes.
23	THE COURT: Did you feel that they were doing
24	everything they could to try and locate her?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I feel like once the
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 188

homicide detectives got involved, yes. Prior to that there was a little — a little bit of a lag time.

THE COURT: And so no resolution of that. Do you — do you feel that the fact that that is something that remains outstanding and whatnot would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No.

THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated with you or a family member ever been accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No.

THE COURT: Or convicted of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No.

THE COURT: Do you believe in the presumption of innocence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I do.

THE COURT: Would you be able to follow the law as the Court instructs you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe so.

THE COURT: So sometimes people, you know, they'll get instructed on the law and they'll say, well, you know, I just can't really go along with that and because I just don't think it should be like that, but that's not an acceptable thing. You have to be able to commit to following the law. So would you be able to commit to following the law even though you might disagree with some part of it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, absolutely.

THE COURT: Would you hold the State to its burden of proof to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

THE COURT: And if they did that would you be able to return a guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

THE COURT: If they did not do that, if they didn't prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt, would you be able to return a verdict of not quilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you have any reason you can think of that, you know, now that you've heard of the questions asked and posed to the jurors, that you think would make it so that you could not be a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, not that I can think of.

THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Let's see. I'm married 10 years, we have two daughters, seven and four. I'm a district manager with Farmers Insurance for just about seven years. I've been with Farmers altogether about 10. Prior to that I worked for another carrier in California with auto claims, auto insurance claims. And that's — so about 16 years in the insurance industry in both claims and — and in

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Both.
2	THE COURT: Both.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes, we handled both.
4	THE COURT: And did you ever have interaction with
5	the police as part of your investigations?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Through mainly
7	through just the reports. Every once in a while but not very
. 8	frequently.
· 9.	THE COURT: Was there ever a time where you felt you
10	had a a bad experience or reading a police report and you
11	thought, well, those guys sure didn't do their job very well?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Every once in a while
13	you would read something and the conclusions that were drawn
14	didn't seem to add up to the facts that that we saw.
15	THE COURT: So and did you see also do we need to
16	replace the mic? Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Is that better?
18	THE COURT: All right. So were there also times
19	where you read police reports that you thought were excellent?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, of course.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So kind of a mixed bag
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: and it just depends on the individual
24	officer who completes it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Like anything else,
:	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1 right. 2 THE COURT: Have you ever done anything other than 3 work in the insurance industry? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Briefly after graduating 5 college sold -- worked for a carrier -- a company, I'm sorry, 6 that we made legal copies actually for attorneys in San Fernando Valley so we would --- when a case was going to trial they needed X number of copies we would go and take the 8 9 bankers boxes and make copies and return them on a deadline, that kind of thing. 10 THE COURT: So did you go to college in the San 11 12 Fernando Valley? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, San Diego, 14 University of California in San Diego. 15 THE COURT: What was your degree in? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Psychology. 17 THE COURT: And you say -- are you in the sales end 18 now ----19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes. 20 THE COURT: -- as a district manager. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: So you -- you oversee the agents then 23 who --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: 25 THE COURT: -- sell the policies? UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

. 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Uh-huh, correct.
2	THE COURT: What is your territory?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: All of Clark County.
4	There's it's not a geographical limitation.
. 5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: It's just really where
7	the agents are. So I have agents in Laughlin, Boulder City,
8	Henderson, Vegas.
9	THE COURT: But not outside of Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: No, nobody outside.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Would the State like to
12	inquire further?
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, please. Okay. You got your
14	degree in psychology and you ended up in insurance?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Go figure.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: What did you how did that come
17	about? Did you just get the job in the insurance industry
18	first?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I kind of like most
20	people get into insurance, we just kind of fall bass akwards
21	into it. Sorry.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: Any regrets about that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Not at all, I love what
24	I do.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you're fine not using that
·	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 194

psychology degree?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, well, I think I use my psychology degree in sales quite a bit so.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Trying to figure out what -- what will I guess get the sale to go your way kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Motivations, things like that, right.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, do you watch any of the CSI, law shows?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I used to more, not recently probably in the last five, 10 years now.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do -- well, do you have any expectations about what you expect the State to present to you and the way this trial should -- should go? You know, do you have any preconceived notions of that before we even begin?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Based on the last 24 hours I would say the bar's been set pretty high so -- no, I'm kidding. Absolutely, we're -- I -- I understand completely how it's going to go.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. All right. Now, did -- did you say that anyone in your family had had military service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I didn't. My father served in the Army and my grandfather was in the -- well, I guess it was the Army but Army Air Corps in the -- in World War II.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1 MS. DIGIACOMO: Was your dad career or just --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, no, he — 3 MS. DIGIACOMO: -- when he was younger? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: - just, yeah. 5 MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you ever talk to either of them 6 about their experiences? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes. 8 MS. DIGIACOMO: And anything about that that gives 9 you opinions, anything that could affect your ability to be 10 fair and impartial here? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: 12 MS. DIGIACOMO: Now what about the victim of any crime, anyone you know or close to you been the victim of any 13 14 kind of crime? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Other than what we said 16 earlier about the -- the agent who went missing. 17 MS. DIGIACOMO: Other than that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No. 19 MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, with regard to the agent that 20 went missing, you said that once the homicide detectives got 21 on it then it seemed to -- the investigation seemed to roll a 22 little more. Do -- do you know, is the investigation still 23 open? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: It is, it is. It's not 25 going anywhere as far as I know.

mean this being my only experience ever dealing with something like that.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Got you. Okay. Now with regard to the drug use, do you have any opinions regarding people who use illegal narcotics?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Drugs are bad but other than that.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. But nothing you would — I mean you understand the flip side, why people might use?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: To say that I understand why someone would use drugs, I --

MS. DIGIACOMO: I mean I understand --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: — I don't but I know — I understand that people do use drugs if that's what you're asking.

MS. DIGIACOMO: But you can understand like some people use it to like try and drown their sorrows or, you know, there could be reasons for it? Or just simply some people use it and then now they get addicted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Probably more along those lines, right, for whatever reason. I've never spent a lot of time investigating why someone started or continues to use. I — I think once you're addicted then it's not in your control necessarily to whether to use or not use. As to why they start using that's a —

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. That's fair enough. And
2	but nothing about I guess your opinion that would affect your
3	ability to be fair and impartial if drug use came up in this
4	trial?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: It I don't think so.
6	I mean it would depend on some other factors obviously. If
7	you're saying that if it were a drug case then, yeah, that
8	would be something in this case probably not though, right?
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: But I mean it's obviously a factor
10	to consider
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.
12	MS. DIGIACOMO: but it wouldn't just the minute
13	you hear it you just shut down and go nope, I'm done.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I wouldn't shut it down,
15	no. But it would certainly be one of the factors like you
16	said.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And again, with regard to the
18	penalties, you can consider all of them if we get to that
19	point?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. I'll pass for cause,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense.
24	MS. LEMCKE: Is it Mr. Achilli? Did I say that
25	right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: It is.
2	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And so this is interesting
3	because you have a psychology degree?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.
5	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So we've asked everybody about
6	their own personal experiences or relating friends and
7	familiar experiences but now I want to hear from somebody who
8	actually is trained in the field.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I don't know if I'm
10	trained but I have a degree.
11	MS. LEMCKE: All right, you have a degree. So if I
12	understand you correctly, did you — you never used it or
13	practiced with it at all?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, huh-uh.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Did you ever consider going to graduate
16	school?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Briefly, yeah, briefly
18	into, going into counseling. Kind of in those post-graduation
19	days where you're kind of trying to figure out what you want
20	to be when you grow up.
21	MS. LEMCKE: Right. Did you — did you do any like
22	internships or any clinical work at all
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No.
24	MS. LEMCKE: as part of your undergraduate
25	degree?
- 1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, huh-uh.
2	MS. LEMCKE: And you got that UCSD?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Correct.
4	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did you grow up in the southern
5	California area?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I did.
7	MS. LEMCKE: In San Diego or L.A.?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Ventura County
9	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Thousand Oaks.
11	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What was there any particular
12	area that you found interesting when you were studying when
13	you were getting your degree in psychology? Anything of any
14	particular interest to you?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, yeah, there were
16	several probably disjointed, not really connected to
17	things. Brain damage was one area that I found fascinating.
18	I took a drugs and addiction class, which I thought was
19	fascinating. Childhood development stuff so, you know,
20	disconnected things
21	MS. LEMCKE: A lot.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: there wasn't one
23	particular field of psychology that really grabbed me and
24	said, oh, that's what you want to do.
25	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. When did you did you ever
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	think about in terms of if you had perused an advance degree
2,	and gone on to practice in psychology, any area that you would
3	like particularly to practice in, like working with children
4	or people who are drug addicted, anything like that in
5	particular stand out to you?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: You know, no. I
7	probably would have avoided the addiction type thing just
8	because I know how frustrating that can be. I have some
9	friends who do in fact do that. In discussions with them
10	they're just like you want to pull your hair out.
11	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. When you say that you have some
12	friends that do that, you mean that have abused
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, no
14	MS. LEMCKE: or that treat people?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: — that actually
16	counsel, right.
17	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, okay. And they share those
18	experiences with you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah, yeah.
20	MS. LEMCKE: Do they tell you that it's kind of
21	frustrating?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Very frustrating.
23	MS. LEMCKE: Why what do they say about it?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: The recidivism rate is
25	just ridiculous, that you can't all the work that goes in
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 202

1	and then it's just the same guy's back in front of you in six
2	months.
3	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did they ever talk about the
4	particular drugs at issue or are they mostly street drugs or
5	do you know if they're dealing with prescription meds also or?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: You know, I don't know,
7	that's a good question. I don't know if there's a specific
8	type of drug. I think it's just all drugs.
9	MS. LEMCKE: And they never share with you, oh, my
10	gosh, this one is really the worst as opposed to another type
11	of narcotic?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, nothing specific
13	like that.
14	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Okay. Do they ever talk about
15	the fact that sometimes these folks who are addicted are not
16	necessarily the most reliable reporters when it comes time to
17	go through their therapy and treatment?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Absolutely.
19	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Is that one of the
20	characteristics that maybe your friends share with you
21	regarding some of these folks who use?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: That they're unreliable?
23	MS. LEMCKE: Yeah, that
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.
25	MS. LEMCKE: you know, that there's like

1	there's some credibility deficits there, problems maybe?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, yeah, that I
3	think that kind of goes without saying.
4	MS. LEMCKE: Yeah. What else — was there anything
5	else in particular that they share other than just the
6	frustration of the recidivism? Anything else?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: That would pretty much
8	be it and that's kind of where if you as you think about a
9	career path, that would be one for me, just my personality
10	would not be able to deal with that very well. I probably
11	would get too frustrated very quickly and wash out of it so.
12	MS. LEMCKE: Right. It's like you can't you want
13	to see some reward for your efforts, kind of
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Right. You do something
15	you want to get somewhere, right?
16	MS. LEMCKE: Right.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Rather than just going
1.8	in a circle.
19	MS. LEMCKE: Right. Feel like you made a
20	difference.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.
22	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. You also indicated that that
23 -	you had speaking of credibility issues, that you as an
24	adjuster would sometimes go out and kind of reconstruct claims
25	that were made.

,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Uh-huh.

MS. LEMCKE: Did that involve interviewing witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Almost all the time, yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Did you ever have witnesses that refused to speak with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: What did that make you -- how'd that make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: The witness — yeah, depending on the — on the circumstances but it would frustrate you. And if it was a witness that would I guess just irritate you because why would you give your name as a witness if you weren't necessarily directly involved. If it was one of the parties involved, that would — that's where it would get irritating for me just because, you know, you were in the car, you know what happened, why aren't you sharing. You know, and if you're, again, claiming that this was the other guy's fault, tell me what — tell me why it's his fault, you know. And then a lot of times if they wouldn't for whatever reason want to provide a statement kind of know, okay, I've got one statement saying one thing, another blank, going to go with the one that said something typically.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Which makes sense.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: So — so you've dealt with people who are both very forthcoming and then who say, huh—uh, I'm not talking to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: And so if I understand you correctly, it can be frustrating for lack of a better word, a term when somebody is like, nope, not talking to you. How about if somebody decides, well, I'll talk to this side but I'm not going to talk to your side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Even worse.

MS. LEMCKE: Why would that be worse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Because, again, we're just trying to collect the information so we can do our job and if you don't want to share it kind of looks like you're trying to hide something. At least in claims that's what it'd look like.

MS. LEMCKE: When — when you talked about your friend that went missing, I have to ask, was that here by any chance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: It was.

MS. LEMCKE: In Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: And so when homicide detectives got involved, do you recall which detectives it was that you

MS. LEMCKE: Interesting. Okay. Would you think if you hear homicide detectives come in and testify in this particular case, would you automatically give more deference to their testimony than say somebody else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: If you're saying homicide detective says something and another non-detective has an opinion that differs, I would probably go with the detective. They're trained to do this stuff and they know what they're doing. And in my experience — and again, with dealing with this case, they — they kind of know — the way it was explained to me, they — they have a really good idea of what it is, it's now the proof part of it that they're lacking.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would you kind of — would you want them to — you know, detectives pursuing a case to kind of investigate every avenue, interview every witness that might have some information that's relevant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Of course.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Did — in your friend's case do you kind of feel like Detective Sanborn and was it Kizner [phonetic]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Kaiser.

MS. LEMCKE: Kaiser, that they kind of did what they could then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe so, yeah,

yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: What about judging the credibility of other witnesses? You know, non — and the reason I ask it is because I feel like you've probably done a lot of this when you're out in the field doing investigations and meeting with people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Do you ever get a sense for, you know, something's just not right with what this person's telling me? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Oh, absolutely.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Does that happen with some regularity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Pretty much within 10 minutes of talking to somebody you kind of know where you're going with it. And again, in a claim, in an auto claim, it's — you know — you know, to give you an example, like an injury. You can kind of tell if the person's making it up — MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: — or they're not. But it's — you can't really prove that they're not. Oh, you say your neck is sore, prove it. You know, show me some X—ray or something that says that you are actually sore. There's nothing. You just have to take their word for it. But you kind of read between the lines after a while. You get — I hate to say it, you get kind of cynical after a while of

hearing so many people where you see the scratch on the bumper and they're claiming \$6,000 in --

MS. LEMCKE: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: -- chiropractic bills.

MS. LEMCKE: So do you think you'd feel pretty comfortable, you know, watching people come up to this witness stand, you know, swear to tell the truth and assessing whether or not they're, you know, giving you everything that you need to know or being credible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Sure.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. We talked also about the presumption of innocence and the right of an accused in a criminal case to testify and to not testify. How would you feel as — as someone who's — about somebody's who's accused of a crime as serious as murder, which is obviously why we're here today, what if that person who's accused doesn't want to get up on that stand and talk to you? Would you automatically assume something bad?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: That's a — that's a question I've been kind of going back and forth with for the last 24 hours and I have to say honestly it would — it would be suspect. It would be — I'd be a little skeptical. Just again, maybe — I don't know, maybe it's going back to having handled claims and being lied to so many times and just wondering what's going on, trying to get to the bottom of it.

It just kind of — I think he said doesn't smell right. I just — I don't know. And I understand the strategy behind it so I understand legally there's every — there's no reason that he needs to get up and testify and whatever else. But it just — I don't know, it's a tough one for me because I — I want to, as the Judge said, respect the law and go with it but you can't change how you feel about something just for whatever — you know, it's hard to unfeel that.

MS. LEMCKE: Right. And that's why we go through this process because we want to know truthfully, you know, how you feel. You know, I mean I think everybody kind of — when they come in they want to say what they think is the right thing and we don't want that from you. We want you to say, hey, I walked in here and I don't care about the presumption of innocence. I looked at this guy and I'm like must be guilty of something. I mean we want to know when you feel that way because that's part of who you are. And — and it's not unreasonable to have those feelings. We just want to go through it and kind of see — make sure that those feelings are not so strong that they would impair your ability to fairly judge the prosecutor's case or the defense case that you'll hear.

How would you feel if — if Mr. Slife and I — well, let me ask — let me go back just a minute. You know, we talked about the presumption of innocence and the burden of

proof and it's the prosecutors that carry that burden of proof. You understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: And I think you kind of reacted almost strongly when you're like I absolutely believe in that presumption of innocence —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: — so you feel that is a good system that we have here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: How would you feel if Mr. Slife and I — and I assure you, I promise you I am not going to sit quiet, but how would you feel if at the end — we let the prosecutors put on their witnesses, let them parade them up there and we just did nothing through the trial and got up in summation and said, we're done, they haven't met their burden. Would you —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: How would I feel?

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: That probably wouldn't sit too well. Again, just going back to, like I said, if you're — if you're innocent you kind of want to stand up and shout it from the rooftops, you know. And to sit there and just passively listen, that would be hard for me to understand.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Can you — can you think of any reasons why an innocent person, someone's who's wrongfully accused or — would maybe not want to get up on a stand and testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I can't but like I said, the — I understand there's a strategy that goes into why you may or may not want to. But — and I think, you know, he said earlier you kind of — your personality takes over and says, no, I want —

MS. LEMCKE: Right, I would want to hear that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: If we're talking about a pack of gum, something like that, maybe I — something like murder, I would be very strongly — if it was me I'd be chomping at the bit to tell my side if I were innocent.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would you — when you're instructed that the law says that, you know, you have — you can't draw any negative inferences from an accused's decision not to testify and I'm not saying that's what's going to happen but I want to make sure that, you know, everybody can fairly sit and hear the case. You know, would you be able to follow that instruction and not hold that against somebody?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe so.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe so.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. You know, we talked a little bit

2
 3
 4

17.

about — and I'm going to bring it full circle because I actually meant to go this way and I got sidetracked with the psychology thing. But when we talk about posttraumatic stress disorder, yes or no? Do you think that is a diagnosis that is a valid diagnosis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe it's a thing that exists but I also believe, and I think a couple other folks have shared the same sentiments, that much like ADHD and everything else, it's over diagnosed in our culture today. And that's just an opinion. I don't have any scientific proof that would validate that. But it does seem to me that it's the flavor of the month, if you will, in terms of it's popular now and everybody's got that just like five years ago everybody had ADHD.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: So I believe it exists, I believe there is such a thing. I don't know if it's at the same percentage that it's being diagnosed, though.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So if I understand you, you definitely believe that there is such a thing because there's some people like I said, who just think, ah, that's hooey. So you're not somebody who doesn't think it actually exists, you just think maybe it's over diagnosed or over — people over complain of it when they don't necessarily —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: The over diagnosis and

the over maybe statement of what it is.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: You know.

MS. LEMCKE: Got you. For those who do have it, do you think that it does affect their actions and their reactions to things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I think that's part of the diagnosis, right? So if you're diagnosed with it it's usually in response to the behaviors that you've been displaying. So it's not as though you walk in, take a blood test and they say, you've got that so then you start behaving that way. It's usually the other way around.

MS. LEMCKE: Right. You have -- you show, exhibit the symptoms --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: — and that's what leads to the diagnosis.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And then hopefully treatment, right? Okay. Having sat through everything I — you know, I — we've asked so many questions that I forget what you've been asked and what you haven't so if I ask something twice feel free to tell me if someone's already asked that. But having listened to everything that we've gone through, is there anything that you can think of that we should know that

would cause you to maybe not be able to fairly and impartially adjudicate this matter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Not beyond what we've already discussed, no.

Okay. If you were seated where the MS. LEMCKE: prosecutors are seated or where Mr. Pimentel and myself and Mr. Slife are seated, would you want 12 people like yourself on the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes and no.

Why? Tell me. MS. LEMCKE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah, I think I'm a pretty level-headed guy and -- and kind of, you know, can hear the stuff. But then going back to -- and I'm not saying this is going to be your strategy, but you've been picking at it quite a bit to not testify. I would wonder if someone -- you know, again, I'm just -- I'm having those own doubts myself about my ability to listen to that just anticipating what would come. So I'm not sure. That's a tough question to answer because you don't know.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. If we -- if we did present evidence and witnesses, and like I mentioned with some of your fellow jurors, a lot of what we do is dependent on what the prosecutors do because in a criminal case, as you're going to find out if you don't already know, they get to go first.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: So the witnesses that -- that they call kind of sometimes dictates what we call --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Sure.

MS. LEMCKE: — because we go second and who knows who they're going to call and we sometimes do what they haven't. Would it bother you if — like would you hold it against us if the prosecutors called more witnesses say then we did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: No, no if you — if you were able to present your side in fewer witnesses, that just means you're more efficient.

MS. LEMCKE: Or maybe get out — or — I don't think anyone's ever called me more efficient. Or what about like if we are able to elicit some information that's relevant through the witnesses that testify even if they testify in the prosecution's case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah, that's fine too.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So — now, so that was maybe the no part of why you might not want yourself on the jury. Give me some of the yes part.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: As I said, I — I think I'm pretty level headed in general. I tend not to let the knee jerk too fast and too much. I — I can sit and absorb and listen and then make a decision after that.

MS. LEMCKE: All right. Court's indulgence. We'll

-- we'll pass for cause, Your Honor.

1.5

1.8

I'll give you both the opportunity to ask questions again.

Remember, I — I asked you if you could follow the law as I instruct you. Okay? So one of the things might be that I — that I instruct you on is that a defendant has a right not to testify and you may not hold that against a defendant who chooses not to testify because it's their constitutional right not to. And you may not discuss it or hold it against them in any way. So that's the law that I might instruct you. And if I instruct you that way will you be able to follow that instruction or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I believe so as you're — if you — if what you're saying is in deliberation that I would not use that as part of the discussion, obviously it wouldn't have any bearing, right?

THE COURT: You can't — you can't consider it. In other words, you and you can't bring it up and discuss it with your fellow jurors but you cannot consider it. Is that something you'd be able to follow or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I want to say yes but it's hard to say that I couldn't consider something if it's an opinion that you have. You just — you feel a way, you feel a way, right? So it's hard to say that I could — I could not voice the feeling and use it as part of the deliberation, but

to say that I could make myself not feel that would be hard to promise. Does that — I don't know if that makes sense or if I'm answering the question that you asked.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think -- I think you do. Are there follow-up questions by the State? Follow-up questions from the defense?

MS. LEMCKE: Court's indulgence. Mr. Achilli, I detect just a little bit of hesitation.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Okay.

MS. LEMCKE: And I want to make sure that — and the unfortunate reality is that you — we don't get instructed — you guys — the jury doesn't until the end of the case so you don't know all the ins and outs of the instructions that you're going to get. And so I think the concern that the Court has, as would all the lawyers and parties to the matter, is that, you know, ultimately you'd be able to follow the instructions that you're given and, you know, be able to apply the law as it's given to you. Do you feel comfortable that you would be able to do that even when you get the instructions say on the defendant's right to testify or not testify and what inferences you can and can't draw from that? Do you think you'd be comfortable — I mean, do you think you'd be able to follow that law once the Court instructs you at the end of the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I think so, I think I

1	can. I think, again, it's it's hard to in my mind separate
2	the two things, to divorce those two issues. Do you feel a
3	certain way if a guy says something on the stand, you know,
4	and you say well, that wasn't that shouldn't been said,
5	forget forget it. How do you forget it? You know, how do
6	you forget that you heard something? It's kind of you
7	can't unring a bell. But can you still operate, you know,
8	within the letter of the law with those feelings? I think I
9	can is what I'm saying.
10	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I don't know if that
12	clears it up.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Yeah, it does. You know, so you're not
14	100 percent certain that you could but you you believe that
15	you think more likely than not that you could. Is that kind
16	of —
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah, let's go with
18	that.
19	MS. LEMCKE: does that sound fair? Okay.
20	Court's indulgence. Can we approach?
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	(Off-record bench conference.)
23	THE COURT: Mr. Bateman, I think your co-counsel
24	wants you.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. I'm sorry to put you on the

1 hot seat.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: That's okay. I feel like I've been on it for a while so I'm getting used to it.

 $\operatorname{MS.}$ DIGIACOMO: Right. And — and — and you're being very honest and we appreciate that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah.

MS. DIGIACOMO: — but the problem is you — you're saying I think I can and we — you're the only one that knows if you can or can't. We understand, you know, we don't want you to leave everything, you know, at the door, but we need to know, you know, if the Judge tells you this is what the law is and this is what you have to consider, you can't consider, you know, X over here, can you follow that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yes, it's — like I said, it's — but — maybe this is the psychology part of it coming out but everything that we hear and see comes through a lens that we have, right? So if my lens is tainted by my opinion then it — that's what I'm trying to say. I can't — how do you say that, oh, just turn that part off, I don't know. That's — it's impossible to not see it through that lens.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, we're not asking you to leave everything you know at the door. What we're asking you is, you know, like if you believe, you know, like the example that you think marijuana should be legal and you get seated on a

jury and it's a drug case involving marijuana. And the Judge instructs you, it's illegal, you can't possess marijuana. 2 guess what we're asking is, are you going to go with what your 3 personal opinion is or are you going to look at the facts presented by the State and the instruction of the Court saying 5 it's illegal if they possess marijuana. You know, I mean are 6 you going to follow, well, the State proved he possessed 7 marijuana, the court says it's illegal I have to find him 8 guilty. I mean can you do that or are you going to be like 9 hey, I don't -- I -- I -- you know, I don't think marijuana 10 should be illegal, it's not guilty. I'm not going to follow 11 the law. 12 13

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I'm probably over analyzing this I guess. It's just — yes, I think I can definitely follow what the law says. It's — rather than — MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: -- rather than, you know, when the horse is dead let's just get off. I don't want to beat it to death.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Well — well — let me ask you one last question because apparently I'm not efficient as to the other side —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: I'm sorry, I didn't mean that.

MS. DIGIACOMO: But -- but -- let's say the State

presented their case --1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: -- okay? And, you know, if the 3 defense thinks, you know what, the State did not prove it 4 beyond a reasonable doubt, we don't need, you know, to put our 5 witnesses on the stand or, you know, have my client testify. 6 I mean can you -- you know, in that case --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Yeah, it's -8 MS. DIGIACOMO: -- can you see why somebody wouldn't 9 10 want to testify or whatnot? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Sure. Like I said, I 11 understand that there's a strategy that goes into when you 12 build a case and when they build a case and that's part of the 13 game. So I understand that part. 14 MS. DIGIACOMO: Right. So when you go back and 15 deliberate with your fellow -- fellow jurors if the Court has 16 instructed you, you know what, the defendant has a right not 17 to testify and you cannot discuss that in your 18 19 deliberations --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Right. 20 MS. DIGIACOMO: — can you follow that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 498: Absolutely, especially 22 -- I won't -- we won't bring it up in deliberations at all. 23 MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. It is the defense's fourth 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	peremptory challenge.
2	MS. LEMCKE: All right. The defense would thank and
3	excuse juror number, badge number 498, Mr. Achilli in seat
4	number three.
5	THE CLERK: Badge 505, Lori Matsko.
6	THE COURT: Hello, Ms. Matsko
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Hello.
8	THE COURT: how are you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Good. How are you?
10	THE COURT: Good. Have you ever been a juror
11	before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No, I haven't.
13	THE COURT: Have you ever or has anyone in your
14	family or closely associated with you been in law enforcement?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
17	crime?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
19	THE COURT: Anyone in your family closely associated
20	with you been the victim of what you consider to be a serious
21	crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your family or
24	closely associated with you ever been accused of or convicted
25	of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.

1.2

1.5

THE COURT: Do you have any religious or moral beliefs that would make it difficult for you to sit in judgment on a case such as this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.

THE COURT: Would you be able to follow the law as I instruct you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you believe in the presumption of innocence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.

think that — I think I've asked this before and said, well, some people say, yes, I mean they know that that's the right answer, that there is a presumption of innocence but sometimes people just can't — you know, they still want the defense to prove that they're not guilty and really it's always the State's burden to do the proving. Okay? So they've got to prove it and the defense doesn't have to put on any evidence. If they don't prove it, you know, if every witness gets on the stand and you just don't believe them and the defense did nothing and you didn't believe any of them, then the State wouldn't have proved their case. If they didn't prove their case would you have any difficulty in finding the defendant not guilty —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. 1 THE COURT: -- even if he didn't put on any kind of 2 3 evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: 4 THE COURT: Okay. Is there any reason that you can 5 think of having been through, you know, almost two days of 6 jury selection, that you need to bring to our attention 7 because it would bear on your ability to be fair and impartial 8 in this case? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I don't think so, no. 10 Tell us about yourself. THE COURT: 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I've lived in Las Vegas 12 I have a 20-year-old daughter, she's a -- she for 38 years. 13 lives with me, she's a full-time student. I am a -- as of 14 October 2013, I'm a caregiver for my fiancé who suffers from 15 combat injury, PTSD and TPI. And before that I worked for 16 Department of Homeland Security TSA for 10 years. 17 THE COURT: PTSD, I don't -- I'm not familiar with 18 19 TPI. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: TPI is a traumatic brain 20 injury. 21 THE COURT: Oh, okay. So your fiancé --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Fiancé. 23 THE COURT: -- was in combat and suffered a 24 traumatic brain injury --25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	wear and tear in the Army, jumping out of planes.
2	THE COURT: How long was he in the service?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Nine month nine years
4	and nine months or nine years and 11 months, almost 10
5	years.
6	THE COURT: And when was he discharged?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: He got out in 2006 I
8	believe.
9	THE COURT: How does the PTSD manifest and what do
10	you observe?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: The major thing is
12	anger, a lot of anger, anxiety, nightmares.
13	THE COURT: And does he tell you what his nightmares
14	are about?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Well, it wakes me up or
16	sometimes I'll get hit in the head or I hear screaming and
17	it's all talks of like war and yelling and — pretty serious.
18	THE COURT: Do you know how many deployments he went
19	on?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: He served one tour in
21	Iraq for 15 months.
22	THE COURT: So when you say you're a caregiver, are
23	you are you being paid by the military to serve as his
24	caregiver or
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm not employed to the
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	through the VA but it's compensation but I'm not employed.
2	THE COURT: Okay. But you're you're
3	compensated
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
5	THE COURT: for this? I see. And you and you
6	left your other work to do this?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Do you think that that would impair your
9	ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
11	THE COURT: What does your daughter do? Oh, you
12	said she's a full-time student, but what is she studying,
13	that's what I meant to ask you.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: She thinks she wants to
15	be a veterinarian.
16	THE COURT: And so what is she what year of
17	school is she in?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: She's in her third.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So she has a major?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
21	THE COURT: What's her major?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Biology. Sorry.
23	THE COURT: So you're here and so your fiancé
24	doesn't require someone to be with him all the time?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Not all the time but I
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

do take him to his appointments and -- because he doesn't 1 drive because he has anxiety. But if I were to serve I have 2 family that could help. 3 THE COURT: And so you'll be able to concentrate on 4 what you're doing and listening to the evidence in the trial 5 and not worrying about your fiancé at home then? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right. 7 THE COURT: Oh, okay, good. Thank you. Would the 8 State like to inquire further? 9 Thank you. With --- with MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes. 10 regard to, you know, talking about how the PTSD affected him, 11 I -- you kind of looked like you got a little teary-eyed. Is 12 it -- is it still hard to deal with? I'm sorry. It's okay. 13 Take a minute. I'm sorry. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Some days. 15 MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, let me -- let me ask, how long 16 have you guys have been together? Were you with him before he 17 went? Was it while he was in the service or was this -18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No, we've only been --19 we've been together five years. 20 MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And so you -- when you say 21 you're a caregiver, do you guys live together? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes. 23 MS. DIGIACOMO: Does your daughter live with you as 24 25 well?

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Does it seem like his symptoms and what's happening to him is staying the same, getting worse, getting better?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Sometimes it's worse. Sometimes an adjustment in medication. Sometimes after a while it doesn't work, they have to adjust it or change it.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And does — when — when they're having issues with the medication maybe there needs to be an adjustment, does his behavior change?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.

MS. DIGIACOMO: It gets kind of worse and then they adjust it and it gets better?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah, for the time being.

MS. DIGIACOMO: In this case, if you were to hear evidence about PTSD, et cetera, I mean I know your situations difficult, would you be able to kind of set that aside and be able to, you know, view the facts here with an open mind or do you think you might be better suited for another trial that's maybe not involving this issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No, I think I'd be fine. I think I have a good understanding of it.

MS. DIGIACOMO: True. What about law shows, do you watch any of those?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I watch Forensic Files a
2	little bit but not like Law and Order. I like the true crime.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: True crime.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you have any expectations about
6	what you would expect to see in the courtroom if you were
7	selected to be on the jury?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I don't think so.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So you're not going to,
10	you know, expect to see what you see on TV in the courtroom?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
12	MS. DIGIACOMO: And you said no one in your family,
13	aside from your fiancé, was military or did you answer that
14	question?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I answered it and nobody
16	was military.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: You've never been the victim of any
18	crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: No one close to you?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: What about your experiences with the
23	police, any like really good experiences, really bad
24	experiences?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I don't I really have
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	never had any experiences.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: What about drug use?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I don't agree with it.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Have you known anyone close to you
5	or, you know, in your circle to use or abuse drugs?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Not really, no.
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: No? Okay. So you don't like it, I
8	get that, but do you have any strong opinions that would
9	affect your ability to if there was evidence in this case
10	about that to be fair and impartial?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I mean everybody has,
12	you know, different issues or how they handle things
13	differently. Some people go to drugs, some do other things.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Just lastly, I guess with regard to
15	your fiancé, do you deal with like the VA hospital a lot
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: in the medical? Did are you
18	I guess, do you see other veterans also who suffer from
19	PTSD?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So does he like do like group
22	therapy or anything?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah, he has a weekly
24	group, sometimes two different classes going on in one week.
25	Right now it's just one class a week.

1	MO DIGINGONO D
	MS. DIGIACOMO: And you said, though, that that
2	if you were on the jury that he would be able to get rides
3	from other family members?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense.
7	MS. LEMCKE: Ms. Matsko, I just want to ask you a
8	couple more questions. I know it's a difficult topic
9	obviously. You said that you were you were you kind of
10	got together with your fiancé after he got back from serving
11	or did you know him before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No, after.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Just after.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Did have you ever had any
16	conversations with his family members or friends who knew him
17	from before versus after regarding any changes that they've
18	observed in him?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I never really got a
20	chance to meet his family. His mom's deceased, his dad's
21	deceased and he doesn't talk to his other family members so.
22	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So you don't know I mean you
23	certainly see the effects of his stress disorder now
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah.
25	MS. LEMCKE: but you really couldn't compare
ĺ	

you know, you haven't heard any -- anybody recount how he's 1 different now from where -- how he was before maybe? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Well, we have one friend 3 we visited -- we took a trip to Georgia that we ran into, I 4 had just met. And he said he was a lot better because of 5 course he's on medication now so --6 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505 -- that was --8 MS. LEMCKE: So he noticed that some of the treatment was helping maybe? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: 11 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. When you talked just briefly 12 about some of the symptoms and I think you said does he have 13 nightmares? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes. 1.5 MS. LEMCKE: Do you mind if I ask what other 16 symptoms does he exhibit besides that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: He has anger, just 18 depends what sets him off --19 Right. MS. LEMCKE: 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: -- anxiety, you know, 21 don't like to be in crowds, always has to sit with his, you 22 know -- he can't ever sit with his back facing anybody. 23 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Like if somebody comes into a 24 room would that -- he would maybe want to know ahead of time, 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	something like that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Oh, yeah, he'd check him
3	out.
4	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you ever see him kind of like
5	jump or react to things like if he hears a loud noise or sees
6	something that, you know, maybe calls him to harken back to
7	his combat experience that you can see visually kind of
8	disturbs him really quickly —
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Uh-huh, yes. Even if I
10	tap him I he always flinches, yeah.
11	MS. LEMCKE: Have you spent a lot of time with him
12	at the VA with his treatment? Is most of it through the VA I
13	guess I should ask?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah, it's all through
15	the VA.
16	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. How have have you been pretty
17	happy with the treatment that he's received there?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Pretty much, yeah. The
19	classes help a lot.
20	MS. LEMCKE: Did they — did they diagnose him right
21	after he was discharged or right after he separated?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. He had when he
23	lost his job when he came out here then he decided he needed
24	help.
25	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, so he just he recognized that

there's some issues and I need help with them? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes. He was denying for 2 years until he lost his job and then he knew he had a problem. 3 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And that's when he went in and 4 went to the VA and solicited help with it? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes. 6 MS. LEMCKE: Did they actually diagnose him then 7 with PTSD or combat trauma, did they diagnose that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Oh yes, he's 100 9 percent. 10 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So I assume, this is probably 11 going to seem like a ridiculous question but I'm going to ask 12 it anyway, so you've seen firsthand the effects so I assume 13 that you understand that there is such a thing. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Oh yeah. 15 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And it may not -- not everybody 16 that's been in combat may suffer from it but --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right. 1.8 MS. LEMCKE: -- some people do. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right. 20 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. We talked a lot about the 21 presumption of innocence, the fact that it's the prosecution's 22 burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, that 23 technically the defense, if we chose not to, we don't have to 24 do anything in terms of a defense presentation. How's that 25

make you feel?

PROSE

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm fine with that.

J

MS. LEMCKE: Do you like the fact that that's the way our system is set up, you know, so that ultimately the burden is really just on one party. That it's not both parties present and then you make a call. It's really just has this party shown what they need to show.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I would — well, I would prefer both but if one, it's fine with me.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So if I understand you correctly, you wouldn't hold it against Mr. Pimentel or Mr. Slife or myself if for whatever reason we chose to sit there throughout the entire trial like bumps on logs and do nothing and just argue to you in summation, they haven't proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. With respect to an accused's right to get on the stand and testify or not testify, would it bother you if someone who's accused of a crime, an innocent person accused of a crime as serious as murder, as is the case here, didn't get on the stand and talk to you, would that bother you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Not at all.

MS. LEMCKE: Can you -- can you think of some reasons why someone may not want to get on the stand and talk?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Scared, not sure if they're going to say the right thing.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What about same thing with respect to talking to police in a police interrogation, could you understand maybe why someone would want to have a lawyer present?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: What would be some reasons in your mind that maybe you might want to have an attorney present?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: As a — somebody else's — I mean, so you're doing the right thing, saying the right thing. Somebody — you've got — somebody has your back.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Of all the things that we — hang on, let me make sure I didn't — oh, did we ask you about the penalty? Did — has anybody asked you — the three different forms of penalty? And again, I can't say this enough, I am not conceding that we're going to a penalty hearing, but I have to make sure that in the event that we do that you can consider all three forms of punishment that would be available to you as — as a juror. Because again, there will be a separate — if there's a finding of first-degree murder with use of a deadly weapon, there will be a whole separate penalty hearing with opening statements, witnesses and closing arguments, just like in the trial but usually much shorter. Would you be able to consider life without the

1	possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole
2	after 20 years has been served and the term of 50 years with
3	parole eligibility beginning after 20? Can you consider each
. 4	of those three forms?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I believe so, yes.
6	MS. LEMCKE: If if you were seated where the
7	prosecutors are seated or where — where Mr. Pimentel is
8	seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself hearing the
9	case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I believe so, yes.
11	MS. LEMCKE: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12	Pass for cause.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. State's fifth peremptory
14	challenge.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. The State would thank
16	and excuse Ms. Matsko, badge number 505, seated in juror seat
17	number three.
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Matsko. Call the next in
19	order.
- 20	THE CLERK: Badge 506, Tina Baligad.
21	THE COURT: Is it is it Ms. Baligad, Baligad?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Baligad.
23.	THE COURT: Baligad. Okay. So have you ever been a
24	juror before?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.

1	THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your family or
2	closely associated with you ever been in law enforcement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
5	crime?
. 6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
::7,	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or closely
8	associated with you been the victim of what you consider to be
9	a serious crime?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you or anyone in your family or
12	closely associated with you ever been accused of or convicted
13	of a crime?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any religious or moral
16	beliefs that make it difficult for you or impossible for you
17	to sit in judgment on a criminal case like this?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
19	THE COURT: Would you be able to follow the law as I
20	instruct you on the law?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And do you have any quarrel with the
23	presumption of innocence?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
25	THE COURT: You've listened to the questions posed
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 241

1	of the other jurors, do you have any reason that you want to,
2	you know, tell us about that we haven't — that I haven't
3	already inquired about as to whether or not you could be fair
4	and impartial?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, I don't.
6	THE COURT: Is there any reason you could not be
7	fair and impartial?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, I don't.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have anyone in your family
10	or closely associated with you or you yourself ever been
11.	involved in the use of or addiction to illicit drugs?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I have not but I do know
13	some people.
14	THE COURT: Are these friends or family members?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: They are family members
16	of a friend.
17	THE COURT: And did you learn about this from your
18	friend?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, because I see them.
20	THE COURT: So you see these people and you've
21	determined — have they confided in you that they use illegal
22	drugs?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, we just know.
24	THE COURT: How do you know?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Just from their actions.
	i -

1,	THE COURT: And have any of these people been
2	involved in criminal activity?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I believe one of her
4	nephews, yes.
5	THE COURT: And how do you know that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Because I know he went
. 7	to jury court a few times.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: He went to court for a
10	few a few times.
11	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I see. And did you hear that
12	from your friend?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I am divorced and I have
16	two children and 12 grandchildren.
17	THE COURT: Okay. How old are your children?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: My children are 31 and
19	29.
20	THE COURT: So what do you do for a living?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I work as accounts
22	receivable at United Nissan.
23	THE COURT: How long have you worked there?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I've been at United
25	Nissan about two years.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 243

1	THE COURT: Have you always done accounts receivable
2	for them?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, I've only been in
4	the accounts receivable office for about three months.
5	THE COURT: What did you do before?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I worked at a hospital
7	in home I worked in a trauma hospital in Hawaii.
8	THE COURT: So how long have you been here in Clark
9	County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I've been back in Vegas
11	about two years, maybe three years.
12	THE COURT: And so you you were were you gone
13	for a while and you returned?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Tell us about that.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I let's see, I lived
17	in Pennsylvania. My daughter got pregnant so I went back to
18	Hawaii. And then I couldn't stay there any longer so I came
19	back to Vegas.
20	THE COURT: Do you get island fever when you're
21	there?
. 22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Even paradise can seem kind of
24	constricting, huh?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Uh-huh.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So the job that you had at
2	the hospital in Hawaii, that would have been more like office
3	management?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I was an administrative
5	secretary.
6	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Now do you watch any of the
7	law shows?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I don't watch Law and
9	Order but I do watch a lot of the investigation ones.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do you have any preconceived
11	notions about what you think or what you're going to expect
12	the State to do during this trial?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: NO.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And you said no to military
15	service.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No military service.
17	Not me, I mean my ex-husband was in military.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Your ex-husband was?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: He just did his three
20	years. My brother did his two years and I have a cousin that
21	did I think my cousin is actually full time.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: Still?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yeah.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: But that's the only one that's
25	career?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yeah.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you talk to them about at all
3	about their service?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: Are they here or
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, they're all in
7	Hawaii.
.8	MS. DIGIACOMO: Is everyone in Hawaii but you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
10	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And how did you end up in
11	Vegas?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I have a good friend
13	here.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. Is that the same friend
15	that you had talked about has family
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
1.7	MS. DIGIACOMO: members that does
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Her family members, yes.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: So basically you you have this
20	opinion just because of their actions and then
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Well, yeah and we just
22	see it and we found it in the when we have them stay with
23 [.]	us, a couple of the nephews stayed with us and we find it.
24	And of course we had asked them to leave.
. 2 5	MS. DIGIACOMO: What what did you find? What are
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 247

1	they doing?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Oh, pipes and, I don't
3	know, just little bags and
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you're not sure what kind
5	of drugs, just that they're doing something.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, I don't.
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you do you have any strong
8	opinions about people who use drugs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, not really. I mean
10	it's a choice they make, right?
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: So nothing about, you know, what
12	you've seen or your experience would affect your ability to be
13	fair and impartial if you hear drug use in this case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, I would be fair.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: What about experiences with the
16	police, any like really positive or really negative?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I've never really had
18	experience with the police.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Not even a traffic ticket?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Not even a traffic
21	ticket.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: And then with regard to the
23	penalties, if if this got to a penalty phase, would you be
24	able to consider all three penalties?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.

1	MS. DIGIACOMO: And make a decision?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Uh-huh.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, for the record?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: For the record.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. I'll pass for cause,
6	Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Defense.
8	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Ma'am, what
. 9	what what branch of service was your ex-husband in?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Marines.
11	MR. SLIFE: Marines. Is that the same with your
12	brother and your cousin?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: My cousin is National
14	Guard and my brother-in-law was also National Guard.
15	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Do they get get in arguments
16	with the Marine?
. 17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No, they didn't.
18	MR. SLIFE: Okay. We just heard just with regard
19	to PTSD, we just heard a lot from Ms. Matsko about her
20	personal experience with having to care for her fiancé and him
21	having nightmares and screaming and all these different
22	things. Do you have any views on that? We've asked all these
23	questions about PTSD, do you have any views on anything that
24	she may have said just a few moments ago?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: I know what it's
	INCORPORATE TED DOUGIL DONE

. 1	the accusation, the State has to prove, any any thoughts on
2	that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: No.
4	MR. SLIFE: Okay. You're you're you're
5	comfortable with that. You think that's you think that's
6	okay?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: If they prove him to be
8	guilty, yes.
9	MR. SLIFE: Okay. And it's their job to do that.
10	It's not our job to prove innocence.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 506: Yes.
12	MR. SLIFE: Okay. All right. Thank you very much,
13	ma'am. Pass for cause, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. And it is the defense's
15	fifth peremptory challenge.
16	MR. SLIFE: Judge, again we're fine with everybody
17	in the box. We'll waive again.
18	THE COURT: All right. State's sixth peremptory
19	challenge.
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: Your Honor, the State would thank
21	and excuse Ms. Wickman, badge number 428, seated in seat
22	number one.
23	THE CLERK: I'm sorry, what seat?
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: One.
25	THE CLERK: One.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wickman. And call the next in order.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: Badge 510, Scott Kaplan.

THE COURT: All right. How's everybody doing? Anybody need a restroom break? All right. I see shows of hands. All right. So we're going to take a 10-minute restroom break. Ladies and gentlemen, during this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess until three.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 2:50 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect the venire panel has cleared the courtroom. We'll be in recess until three.

(Court recessed at 2:51 p.m. until 3:04 p.m.)

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kaplan, do you have the microphone? Oh, you do. Okay. All right. Have you ever -well, before we start I just want the record to reflect that

1	we are back within the presence of the remaining members of
2 ·	the venire panel and the defendant is present with his
3.	counsel, the Deputies District Attorney prosecuting the case
4	are present as are all officers of the Court.
5	Mr. Kaplan, have you ever served as a juror before?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: I have not.
7 -	THE COURT: Have you ever or anyone in your — in
8	your family or closely associated with you been in law
9	enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
12	crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What crime was that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: House was broken into
16	with two armed men. They stole a bunch of money and left me
17	with a bullet in my chest.
18	THE COURT: Okay. That's pretty serious. So you
19	were obviously home at the time and
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: At my grandparents'
21	house.
22	THE COURT: At your grandparents' house. Was that
23	here in Clark County?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: Detroit, suburbs of
25	Detroit.

THE COURT: So did they — were you aware that they were breaking in when they broke in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: We heard the door get crashed in. Two guys armed came in and so at that point, yeah, we knew we were getting robbed.

THE COURT: Okay. So how is it that you ended up getting shot?

prospective Juror No. 510: They ordered us to the ground and they were kicking my grandfather in the face, pulling my grandmother around by the hair. Me and the guy that was doing it made eye contact and before he left he just shot me.

THE COURT: Okay. So did the police ever apprehend these individuals?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: Yes. About two years later they did find him and he's in jail for the rest of his life for racketeering is what I was told. But I did pick him out of a lineup.

THE COURT: All right. And so is he in prison for the rest of his life because of your testimony or was it unrelated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 510: No, I believe his crime against me was attempted murder, which would be 25 years, and racketeering was life. And so they put him — obviously that particular crime could — was longer than 25 years.

1	THE COURT: I see, okay. So that experience, pretty
2	traumatic event. How long ago was that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Ninety-five, day before
4	New Year's in '95.
5	THE COURT: That's something you'll you'll never
6	forget obviously.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I do a good job
8	forgetting about it.
9	THE COURT: Okay. So the question is, can you put
10	that aside or will it impact your ability to be fair and
11	impartial in this case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I can definitely put it
13	to the to the side, absolutely.
14	THE COURT: Do you believe in the presumption of
15	innocence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes, I do.
17	THE COURT: And will you hold the State to its
18	burden of proof to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes, I will.
20	THE COURT: Will you be able to follow the
21	instructions on the law as I give them to you?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes, I will.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any religious or moral
24	and the rould make it difficult for you to sit in
2.	thin dage?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I do not.
2	THE COURT: Will you be able to consider, if the
3	case goes to a penalty hearing, would you be able to consider
. 4	all three penalties available?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes, I will.
6	THE COURT: All right. Do you have do you know
7	of anyone or have any experience with people under the
8	influence or using illicit drugs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I do not personally know
10	anybody. I definitely have acquaintances over the years that
11	can't say were addicted but used marijuana heavily. But my
12	circle of friends now there's none. I've got a young family
13	so I don't want to be around that.
14	THE COURT: Have you ever been in the military?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I have not.
16	Unfortunately, because of the bullet in my chest I was not
17	allowed in the military.
18	THE COURT: Does the bullet remain there?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So they couldn't it was in a
21	place where they could not
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right above the heart.
23	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Did you ever suffer
24	any —
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

THE COURT: — consequences as far as the, you know, the trauma from the — the psychological trauma of the event that occurred to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. I'm very hard-headed so that stuff just, for whatever reason, didn't bother me. I never had to see a counselor, didn't do anything. I was able to just function normal.

THE COURT: All right. Tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm married, I've got two kids, seven and nine, two boys, they keep me very busy. I've been in here — Las Vegas for about nine and a half years. Right now I work as a financial recruiter for a company. Back in Detroit I was a financial recruiter as well for about two and a half years. The company allowed me to move out here to Vegas to work for them. And that's a little bit about me.

THE COURT: I don't -- I guess I don't understand the job of financial recruiter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Financial recruiter, I'm a head hunter for accounting and finance for here in Las Vegas.

THE COURT: Okay, so you're a --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 505: So I help all the different casinos, large companies, small companies find accounting and finance individuals for their accounting and

- 1	
1	finance departments.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So you're recruiting
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm a head hunter for
4	THE COURT: people to work in that that
5	particular area.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yep.
7	THE COURT: And so that's how they categorize it if
8	you were a recruiter for say healthcare they would say you
9	would say, a healthcare recruiter.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Exactly.
11	THE COURT: I see. Okay. And does your wife work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: My wife does work, she's
13	now as a teacher. She teaches math for sixth, seventh and
14	eighth grade at Somerset Academy.
15 .	THE COURT: All right. Any reason you think that
16	you could not be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I do not.
18	THE COURT: Would the State like to inquire further?
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: Yes, we do. So you worked doing the
20	same job in Detroit?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Correct.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: And then you said that they let you
23	come out here to do the same thing.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Correct.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: Did you want to come to Vegas?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: Why?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Detroit weather, cold.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. So it's not that you had
5	family or something here, it was the weather.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah, I have one aunt
7	here, which made it easier for the move for house reasons.
8	She had a rental house so we were able to rent it out to give
9	it a try. But, yeah, I wanted to move out here because me and
10	my wife got sick and tired of the cold weather. And we
11	actually do we're very one of the few out there that
12	prefer the summertime here. So love the summertime.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: You like the heat?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Love the heat.
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: No humidity.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No humidity, no bugs, no
17	humidity.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: The top of my head
20	doesn't like the summer but I do.
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Well, let me ask you.
22	Do you watch any of the law shows, the CSIs, Law and Order?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I'm addicted to NCIS.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay, NCIS. Now, do you have any
25	preconceived notions, if you were to sit on this jury as to
l l	

. 1

what you would expect the State to present and how this trial should go?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Some of my clients here in Las Vegas are reality shows and I know it's all made up. So I know what's on TV is not real life.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So you're not going to hold us to if this is not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Not going to hold —

MS. DIGIACOMO: — as exciting as real life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Would be exciting if

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Would be exciting if I could see Agent Gibbs or Abby come but I know that's not how it works.

MS. DIGIACOMO: I can tell you that's not going to happen. So you still think you can be fair and impartial even though --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now you said you couldn't get into the military. Did you have any other family members that were in the military?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No family members, just neighbors in my — where I currently live a lot of families are in military, some families are police officers but no family members directly in the military.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now do the — the neighbors that live around you, you said some are military,

1	some are police officers. Do you talk to any of them about -
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely, every day.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: their jobs?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Uh-huh.
5	MS. DIGIACOMO: You talk to them every day.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes I wouldn't say
7	every day but, yes, I do talk to them.
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: About their jobs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I can't say I talk to
10	them about your jobs but we talk, absolutely.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: You talk more about like
12	neighborhood stuff
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Life, kids
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: — family — okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: — we never sit — and,
16	yes, sometimes they do bring up issues at jobs.
17	MS. DIGIACOMO: Is there, I guess, any experience
18	with the police that you've ever had that's been really
19	particularly negative, particularly positive?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: The situation with me,
21	it was yes, it was negative but the police handled it in a
22	very positive way so I don't have any issues with that.
23	Personally, the police have never done anything to me in a
24	negative way but I've definitely had friends that are
0.5	liss where the police

have handled things and done things maybe not in the right way or not do something, which I would have expected them to do. But nothing personally towards me.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, anything about what you learned from other friends that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here if you saw police officers testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No. All I can say is police officers are human and people do make mistakes and so obviously you're going to have to listen to everything before you form an opinion.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And then with regard to -- you said you've known some -- you had some friends that smoked a lot of marijuana. Do you have any strong feelings one way or another about drug use or illegal narcotics?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I think most drugs are — are horrible. I think some drugs, specifically marijuana, are fine as long as it doesn't affect your daily life. As long as you don't make it part of your daily life. And if someone wants to do it, it's fine as long as it doesn't affect me or my family. If it does then obviously your — that's where the issues come up.

MS. DIGIACOMO: So the friend's that you had were they -- was it their daily life, the smoking, is that why you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I wouldn't say it was

their daily life, no.

MS. DIGIACOMO: But is that — was it their smoking that you said you kind of separated yourself from them because you've got a family now?

prospective juror No. 505: Yeah, the stuff I was talking about is probably later in the years, later in my previous years. Where right now, yeah, I'm not — I'm not around anybody that really does it or if they do it they, you know, don't share it with me. But yeah, I really don't think — I think eventually marijuana will be passed here so I don't really think it affects people negatively like most of the other drugs.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And if you heard testimony about other drugs, not marijuana, during this case would it affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No, as long as I hear everything that I can make my own opinion.

MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. Now what about with penalty? If this case did get to a penalty phase, would you be able to consider all three?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense.

1	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Sir, forgive me
2	if you answered this but did you go through your educational
3	background?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I did not. I do not
5	have an educational background.
6	MR. SLIFE: Okay. You had said that you had some
7	friends that maybe had some negative experiences
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
9	MR. SLIFE: with police officers. Could you
10	describe that a little more?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Do you really want me to
12	get into details? Does it matter?
13	MR. SLIFE: Well, I guess we don't need to get into
14	big details, but was was sort of were they not trusting
15	of police? What
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes, not trusting of the
17	police.
18	MR. SLIFE: maybe maybe just in broad strokes.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yeah, not trusting of
20	police. Yeah, just not trusting of police.
21	MR. SLIFE: Okay. So you could understand why
22	someone maybe wouldn't want to speak to police
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.
24	MR. SLIFE: might want to have an attorney
25	present?

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MR. SLIFE: What about -- I'm just going to keep referring back to Ms. Matsko that was here that was speaking about PTSD. Any thoughts on what she had said or all the questions that we've asked about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No thoughts. I've seen people that claim they have PTSD with no, in my opinion, symptoms maybe at that time. Then I've seen people that said they have PTSD where I could tell that they seriously had something wrong with them.

MR. SLIFE: Sure. Well, in your -- and you're a good example it seems of someone who went through something traumatic that was able to put it behind you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MR. SLIFE: But certainly you could -- you could see other people that may have been through something different that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MR. SLIFE: -- they're struggling with it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Unfortunately, I had an acquaintance that didn't think he had anything wrong and then something happened then he locked himself in his house with all of his guns and the police had to come get him out because he thought people were trying to kill him. So --

Was this somebody that was in combat? MR. SLIFE:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Somebody who was in combat, yeah.

MR. SLIFE: Do — do you know, was it Iraq, Afghanistan or before that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: This was about four years ago, I have no idea where he was. It was an acquaintance, saw him once, twice and then found out this situation happened. But he did get on proper medication and now he is — does have a family and he's fine.

MR. SLIFE: All right. So you — so you believe that he suffered from something based on what had happened overseas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I can't say from overseas but I know he suffered from something, he got the right medication and now he's fine.

MR. SLIFE: Okay. Perfect. What about — what about the presumption of innocence we keep talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Well, unfortunately, I — I think you're guilty and you have to prove your innocence. Unfortunately, I think that's how it is but I know the law is your — you're innocent until proven guilty but —

MR. SLIFE: Okay, well, let's explore that a little more then. Because part of this is the Judge is going to give you law at the end that's going to say — I mean the Judge has already said it, you're innocent until proven guilty. Why is

22.

it that you think the reverse?

prospective juror no. 505: You have to prove — you guys are here — or you're here, [indiscernible] by your client to prove that he's innocent to us and so that's my opinion. Unfortunately, you're — you're guilty and you have to prove your innocence. I know by law it's you're innocent until proven guilty but I think, to be honest, that's typically how it is.

MR. SLIFE: Well, let me ask you this. If I accused of something right now of hitting my car in the parking lot or something, you know, hypothetically —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Right.

MR. SLIFE: --- would that make it so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: To — if you asked — if you have — if you have 10 people around us, five would probably say I was guilty and five would probably say I need proof. Again, I would have to prove my innocence to you.

MR. SLIFE: Would it be fair -- would it be fair to you to have to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: It would not be fair but that's the way our world is.

MR. SLIFE: Well, do you understand the way that — that's not the way our system is, though.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Agree.

MR. SLIFE: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. All

I guess -- I guess I'm unclear as to whether -- is it right. -- is it that -- is it that you would want someone to prove their innocence or is that you understand the system is that you don't have to prove your innocence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I understand the system is but I think as human beings if someone says you did this, most people would -- not most, some people would think it and it's your job to basically prove you're innocent. But I do understand what the law is.

> I mean --MR. SLIFE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I guess -- basically I'm telling you my personal opinion --

MR. SLIFE: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: -- but I understand what the law is, you're innocent until proven guilty, understood.

MR. SLIFE: Understood. Are you going to be able to follow that in the trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

I mean -- I mean for instance we start MR. SLIFE: out right here with the default being guilty and the prosecution has to go all the way over to beyond a reasonable doubt. So when you go back to deliberate even you start with the default not guilty and then you determine whether they went the distance. Are you comfortable with that as a starting point or would you go back there as a starting point,

. 1	you know, guilty, then they work then they work backwards?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I have no idea what you
3	just said. You danced around a bunch of different stuff but
.4	all I'm telling you is I understand what's going on here and
5 ·	you're going to have to prove that he did something and he
6	does not have to prove that he didn't do it, they just have to
7	prove he did it. So I understand how it works. But I think
8	in most cases you have to prove your innocence.
9	MR. SLIFE: All right. Court's indulgence, please,
10	Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: While you're discussing it I've got a
12	question.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Yes.
14	THE COURT: So Mr. Kaplan, are you saying you're
15	going to require the defendant to prove that he's innocent?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Not at all.
17	THE COURT: So you just think that some people do
18	that but you're not one of those?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: That's not even what I'm
20	saying, not even close to it. I just think as
21	THE COURT: Now, we're trying to figure out what
22	you're saying so
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Exactly, I can tell. I
24	can tell.
25	THE COURT: Yeah. Tell me what you're saying.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I probably should not
2	even brought that up, my personal my personal thoughts. I
3	just think as as humans if someone says something most of
4	us believe it. And you have to try to prove that it did or
5	did not happen. But again, according to the law, I know
6	you're innocent until proven guilty. So
. 7	THE COURT: Okay. So
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: sorry if you don't
9	understand me but
10	THE COURT: We're just trying to get into your head
11	because
12 ·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Good luck.
13	THE COURT: we can't. You're the only one that
14	can tell us. So in your head are you going to require the
15	defendant to prove his innocence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: No.
17	MR. SLIFE: As I've said many times, thank you very
18	much for your honesty. There's not a bad question.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Understood.
20	MR. SLIFE: Court's brief indulgence. All right.
21	THE COURT: Sure.
22	MR. SLIFE: Just just one last thing.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely. I like
24	questions.
25	MR. SLIFE: It's kind of in the same vein. I I
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

appreciate — I appreciate your honesty. What about with regard to, we've asked questions about if — if somebody testifies or doesn't testify and nobody has to testify, we don't have to ask any questions, would you be okay with that if that happened? Do you understand that we don't need to do anything? I mean, you know —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: I understand what you're saying. Yeah, and it's fine if you guys don't testify. I know that's, again, your strategy. I just hope that they don't have a good case because if they do I would expect that you guys would testify but —

MR. SLIFE: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: -- I understand that you do not have to and I would not hold that against anybody.

MR. SLIFE: Well, and I understand what you're saying about the fact, you know, we're here for a reason but the reason is is that an accusation has been made, right? And so now is the time to get the whole story and figure out if they can prove it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: That's exactly what I'm saying.

MR. SLIFE: So -- so you're -- you're comfortable with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 505: Absolutely.

MR. SLIFE: All right. Thank you very much, sir.

11	
1	And Judge, we'll pass for cause. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. It is the sixth defense
3	peremptory challenge.
4	MR. SLIFE: And Judge, we'll we'll thank and
5	exclude excuse Mr. Kaplan, seat number one.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kaplan.
7	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, sir.
8	THE COURT: Call the next in order.
9	THE CLERK: Badge 533, Keshia Ricamona.
10	THE COURT: Hello, Ms. Ricamona. Have you ever
11	served as a juror before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I have not.
13	THE COURT: How old are you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I'm 23 years old.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So you haven't had very you
16	know, you haven't been old enough to have been in the pool for
17	very long so
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 533: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So have you ever been in law
20	enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
22	THE COURT: And anyone in your family closely
23	associated with you have been in law enforcement?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Who's that?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My brother's
2	brother-in-law, I consider him like my brother as well. He's
3	correction for Metro I think. I believe so, yes.
4	THE COURT: So does he work in the jail?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He does.
6	THE COURT: The Clark County Detention Center?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Do you ever talk to him about his
- 9	job?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Not often, every now and
11	then.
12	THE COURT: As a a result of talking to him about
13	his job, even if it's not very often, have you formed any
14	opinions that might affect your ability to be fair and
15	impartial in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime
18	yourself?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I have testified against
20	someone before.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Well, is that as a witness that
22	just saw something or because you were victimized by someone?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I was molested by my
24	fifth grade teacher.
25	THE COURT: I'm sorry. You were molested by?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 273

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My fifth grade teacher.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that was a while
ago but you remember going to court and testifying?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. How old were you in fifth grade,
10?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I think I was like 10,
yeah.
THE COURT: All right. And what was that a
traumatic event for you to have to go to court and testify?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Only a little bit. I
mean seeing his face because it took a couple of years for it
to come to court.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: But the only time that
it really affected me is when I had to see him but that's it.
THE COURT: Okay. So obviously, this is not that
kind of a case but do you think that just having gone through
that would affect you in any way so that you could not be fair
and impartial in this case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
THE COURT: Did you feel that the investigation of
that matter and the justice system and how it worked was
was fair and that it reached a proper result?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And tell me why you don't think
2	so.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I testified when I was
4	really young so I was very nervous to be up there and I
5	couldn't really voice what had happened because it was very
6	embarrassing for me to say. So towards the end of the case
7	when they did come to a decision they had said that I lied.
8	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And so that I'm sure made you
9	feel very bad.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Definitely.
11	THE COURT: Who told you that that they said that
12	they thought you lied? Who told you that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He had made a blog a
14	blog blog online
15	THE COURT: I see.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: and he stated
17	everything basically on that website and he stated my name.
18	THE COURT: I see. So you feel then that the
19	justice system failed in that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No. I I think I
21	it's mostly because I was young.
22	THE COURT: Have you or well, has anyone in your
23	family or closely associated with you been in military
24	service?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 275

1	THE COURT: Who's that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My dad, my brother and
3	my stepdad, my aunt and her husband.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So lots of service, military
, 5	service
6.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: in your family. And what branch of
8	service?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Mostly the Navy and the
10	Air Force.
11	THE COURT: Any of those serve in combat?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My stepdad.
13	THE COURT: What — what conflict did he serve in?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He was in the Vietnam
15	War.
16	THE COURT: Did he ever does he ever talk about
17	that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Every now and then but I
19	don't really listen.
20_	THE COURT: Well, we won't tell him that. Any
21	reason that you think can think of that you could not be a
22	fair and impartial juror in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you you'll be able to follow the
25	law as I instruct you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Tell us about yourself.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I graduated school last
4	year and I have been working ever since. So I work as a CST
5	now, an FA at an orthopedic institute.
6	THE COURT: Tell us what those initials mean.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Certified Surgical
8	Technologist First Assist.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And did you go to college for that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I did.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And what was your degree in?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I didn't get a degree,
15	it was just a secondary like a tech school kind of. So it
16	wasn't really a degree. Kind of just worked for that title.
17	THE COURT: How long did you have to go to school to
18	get that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Almost two years.
20	THE COURT: And I can't recall, did you say you live
21	do you are you married, single, divorced?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I have a partner.
. 23	THE COURT: Okay. And so this partner, what does he
24	or she do for a living?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: She works as a
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1.	controller at a security center, kind of like dispatch.
2	THE COURT: Oh, okay. At a now when you say a
3	security center
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: [indiscernible]
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: [indiscernible]
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So like an alarm kind of
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah, they do like
9	private investigating, private security and then security for
10	other places as well.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have other family that
12	lives here in town as well?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My mom and my stepdad.
14	THE COURT: What do they do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My mom is nothing, she
16	stays at home and my stepdad provides for her. He is a broker
17	and a real estate agent.
18	THE COURT: Real estate broker?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Would the State like to inquire further?
21	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. All right. So you said
22	you were a certified surgical tech at Orthopedic Institute?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And yesterday you had
25	mentioned concerns about if you had to sit on the jury what
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 278

1	would happen at work because there's no one else to do your
2	job.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Have you been able to resolve that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: We're kind of working
6	day by day.
7	MS. DIGIACOMO: So if you sat on this jury it would
8	get worked out so that the surgeries could still continue, et
- 9	cetera?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He he he's
11	definitely going to continue with surgery. It's just he's
12	kind of frustrated.
13	MS. DIGIACOMO: I get that. Did — do you watch any
14	of the CSI and law shows?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I do not.
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: What kind of shows do you watch?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Like the medical ones,
18	like Grey's Anatomy.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. What about the victim of
20	anyone close to you been the victim of any crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
22	MS. DIGIACOMO: And what about drug use?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: A couple of my best
24	friends do do a couple some drugs, yeah.
25	MS. DIGIACOMO: What — do you know what kind? Is
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 279

it marijuana or is it something --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: It's -- in my generation 2 a lot of them do the partying and they do the party drugs. 3 MS. DIGIACOMO: Ecstasy? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: A lot of that kind of 5 6 stuff, yeah. MS. DIGIACOMO: Do -- I guess -- do you have any 7 opinions about that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I do not like it. 9 MS. DIGIACOMO: If you -- if you hear evidence in 10 the case that someone may have done drugs, would it affect 11 your ability to be fair and impartial? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No. 13 MS. DIGIACOMO: Now what about penalty, if it got to 14 penalty, a penalty phase here, can you consider all three? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 16 MS. DIGIACOMO: And can you make a decision? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 18 MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And I know you said 19 you're taking it day by day with your -- with your job. If --20 if you were seated on this jury for the next two weeks or 21 more, would you be able to concentrate on the trial and give 22 us your full attention or would you be worried about work? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I'd be slightly worried 24 25 about work, yes.

1	fortunate. Although he's a corpsman and
2	MS. LEMCKE: Oh, okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: he has not been
. 4	deployed yet.
5	MS. LEMCKE: Your dad I think you did you say
6	that your dad saw any combat when he served?
. 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: My stepdad did.
. 8	MS. LEMCKE: Your stepdad did.
9.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
10	MS. LEMCKE: And you was he the one that you said
11	you — he talks about it but you don't really listen that
12	much?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah.
14	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Does he has he ever expressed
15	having any issues discussing his experiences?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah, he has PTSD.
17	MS. LEMCKE: He does?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes, and it's only been
19	getting worse.
20	MS. LEMCKE: Interesting.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah.
22	MS. LEMCKE: What what makes you say it's only
23	been getting worse?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He's — he's getting
25	more like insecure, his anger's out of it's just very
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 282

embarrassing actually. We can't really take him out in public because he's just very rude, he's very impatient, his anger is insane.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What about, does he have like nightmares, that type of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: He does?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He does. Well, I don't live with them so my mom does tell me stories that he does wake up in the middle of the night scared, crying, screaming, everything.

MS. LEMCKE: Really? Does she talk about any other symptoms that he has? Like, you know, reacting to certain stimulus, you know, somebody like — I think one of the other jurors said if someone taps him on the shoulder and he'll jump. Or hear a loud noise and kind of react. Does he — do you ever observe or hear about him doing anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Nothing specific but there are times where he thinks he hears something at the door and he kind of jumps to conclusion like someone's trying to rob them, really it's not.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Does he — is he aware — like is he able to say I have this issue or has he been diagnosed and treated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: He has been diagnosed

seek that diagnosis ---1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 2 -- even when they don't. MS. LEMCKE: 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 4 MS. LEMCKE: And then there's some people who just 5 legitimately --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Definitely. 7 MS. LEMCKE: -- have it. Okay. And obviously, I 8 guess probably, you know, different -- like the gentleman who 9 was in the seat before you, Mr. Kaplan --10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: 11 MS. LEMCKE: -- you know, didn't seem to have any 12 effects from, you know, a really serious violent crime. And 13 then we have people who might be affected by that --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 15 MS. LEMCKE: -- certainly. Okay. We talked about 16 -- you've heard a ton about the presumption of innocence. 17 What are your thoughts as you sit and you listen to all these 18 questions and all this discussion about the prosecution's 19 burden of proof and the defense not having to do anything 20 technically and the right to testify and not to testify, what 21 went through your mind when you started hearing all this? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I believe someone is 23 innocent until proven guilty, yes --24 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: -- I do.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What about like Mr. Kaplan's thoughts — and I — and I think it's really good that he was as candid as he was with his opinions because that's what we're looking for.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: You know, again, I can't reiterate enough that, you know, I know that there's like the politically correct answers and then there's each of us and we all walk in those doors with our own ideas and biases and whatever and we just want to explore them and learn about you. What — what did you think when you heard him saying, you know, well, I understand the system says this, that you don't have to prove your — your innocence but I feel like you should.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah. I — I understand where he's coming from. I have a couple family members that are very — not necessarily close-minded but stuck to an opinion that they do — a lot of my family members do believe that everyone's guilty and everyone's guilty and that's it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Are — and are you saying that you're not necessarily of that same mindset?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And you kind of have a unique perspective because you've actually had to come into court and

raise that --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes. 2 -- hand and swear to tell the truth and 3 MS. LEMCKE: do it. Do you feel -- you know, having been through that 4 experience that you would feel comfortable assessing the 5 credibility of witnesses who might come into this trial and 6 testify? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Definitely. MS. LEMCKE: Okay. What would be some of the things 9 that you might look at as a juror, someone who's now in the 10 jury box as opposed to the witness stand? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I'm not so sure. 12 didn't even notice the panel when I was there. 13 Interesting, okay. But you -- you feel 14 MS. LEMCKE: comfortable kind of looking at each witness as they testify --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Definitely. 16 MS. LEMCKE: -- and kind of assessing their 17 credibility. You indicated that you had a few friends that 18 use drugs I think kind of recreationally; is that right? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Some of them are 20 21 dependent. Oh, they are? MS. LEMCKE: 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Well, some of them are 23 dependent on marijuana. Some of them are dependent -- like 24

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 288

for example, I'm still young so I do go out and I do do the

1	young things. Some of them can't go out without doing a
2	certain thing.
3	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do any of them do you think
4	abuse the substances? Like I mean do they use it daily or
5	anything like that
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
7	MS. LEMCKE: or is it more recreational? Oh,
8	some do?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.
10	MS. LEMCKE: Does anybody use any methamphetamine?
11.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
12	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I think they've tried it
14	but have did not like it.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Have you ever had an experience
16	with somebody who is addicted to the extent that you see
17	noticeable changes in their personality?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Not necessarily. I mean
19	marijuana slows them down but not really.
20	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you believe that somebody has
21	the right to defend themselves when they're being attacked?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO, 533: Yes.
23	MS. LEMCKE: What makes you say that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I have I applied for
25.	my CCW so I believe in that as well. I mean I won't use my
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 289

1	gun unless I really had to. If I used every other force that
2	I was able to I would definitely result to my gun.
3	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Have you been a gun owner for a
4	long time?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No, I'm a new gun owner
6	gun owner, yes.
7	MS. LEMCKE: Did you so you've actually gone
8	through the CCW process and
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I have.
10	MS. LEMCKE: Do you have you have your permit
11	now?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I'm still waiting on it.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do you plan to carry it with you
14	or just like keep it at home?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I plan to carry it if I
16	feel like I need to. It depends where I'm going.
17	MS. LEMCKE: Is there anything else about yourself
18	that you can think of since you've listened to all the
19	questions that have been asked that we should know that would
20	cause you to, you know, maybe be biased in favor or against
21	one side, anything like that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.
23	MS. LEMCKE: If you were seated where either Mr.
24	Pimentel is seated or where the prosecutors are seated, would
25	you want 32 people such as yourself on the jury?

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Tell me why.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I look at both sides of the story. I don't — I don't really like to favor one. I feel guilty when I do choose that I have to favor one. I don't think that's right.

MS. LEMCKE: Did you — did you indicate whether or not you'd had — did Ms. DiGiacomo ask you about law enforcement contacts? It just dawned on me, I'm not sure that I remember her asking those questions. Did — have you had any positive, negative contact with law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: No.

MS. LEMCKE: None whatsoever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Pretty mutual.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Could you think of a reason why somebody who might be accused of something as serious as murder might want to have a lawyer present, you know, if they were being interrogated by police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: Yeah.

MS. LEMCKE: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 533: I feel like in that kind of situation you're very vulnerable so someone can tell you and possibly make you say something that's not even correct.

MS. LEMCKE: The penalties, and you can consider all three forms of penalties?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No, I mean the one down
2	the street that's kind of greenish color. I don't know what
3	the
4	THE COURT: The old court
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah, yeah.
6	THE COURT: house?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: So what was that, like
8	seven, eight years ago? Something like that.
9	THE COURT: I think this courthouse has been here 10
10.	years now.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Oh, okay. I'm not good
12	with time I guess.
13	THE COURT: So was it a criminal case or a civil
14	case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: It was criminal.
16	THE COURT: Criminal. And without telling us what
17	the verdict was, did the jury reach a verdict?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yes, we did, multiple
19	counts.
20	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that
21	makes you think I don't want to be on a jury again?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No. Actually, I kind of
23	appreciate the process and being a part of it, a civil duty,
24	you know, do my part, that kind of thing.
25	THE COURT: And have you ever been involved in law
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 293

yourself?

9.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I did have a pickup truck that I — I loved stolen and totally dismantled and found much later, just the shell basically. But I — I had an okay experience with that.

THE COURT: Did — in what way was it okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Well, I had — you know,
the police interaction. I've heard how you've asked other
people so I'm trying to kind of cut to the chase for you, so,
yeah. I know it's a long process but necessary.

THE COURT: All right. So they did — did they find the suspect to — that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No, no one was ever caught for it. They did recover the vehicle and, you know, that was pretty much it. And there was a police report and all that detailing. Someone else mentioned to you before, it had nice rims and it was a beautiful truck and desirable and so they took off with it.

THE COURT: All right. Anyone in your family or closely associated with you been a victim of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I — I can only think of something really, really far in the past. When I was a young girl my sister actually was shot by her either boyfriend or second husband at the time. Claiming that she did it to herself but, you know, it's one of those deals where we pretty

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	LUIS PIMENTEL,) No. 68710
4	Appellant,)
5) vi.)
6))
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8	Respondent.)
9	
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1251-1500
11	PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
14.	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevad
19	Supreme Court on the day of 2015. Electronic Service of the
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	ADAM LAXALT HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	STEVEN S. OWENS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	LUIS PIMENTEL
25	NDOC # 1144889 c/o ELY STATE PRISON
26	PO Box 1989
27	Ely, NV 89301
28	BY
	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office