## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

1	IN THE SUPREME CO	OURI OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2	LUIS PIMENTEL,	) No. 68710	
4	Appellant,	Electronically Filed Mar 28 2016 09:45 a.r	'n
5	<b>v.</b>	) Tracie K. Lindeman ) Clerk of Supreme Cou	ırl
6 7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
8	Respondent.	) )	
9 10	APPELLANT'S APPEN	NDIX VOLUME VII PAGES 1501-1750	
11 12	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney	
13	309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
14	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street	
15 16		Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538	
17	*	Counsel for Respondent	
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>			
25			
26			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			

## INDEX LUIS PIMENTEL Case No. 68710

1

~	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
	Amended Information filed 05/04/2015
4	Amended Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement filed 09/12/2014 649-685
5	Amended Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/02/2014
6	Criminal Complaint filed 12/24/2013001
7	Defendant's Amended Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed 10/01/2014
8	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234(2) filed 08/25/2014
9	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/01/2014
10	
11	Defendant's Opposition to Amend Information filed 10/15/2014
12	Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Compel Psychiatric Evaluation and Motion in Limine filed 09/18/2014716-722
13	Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015 792-800
14	Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses, Pursuant to NRS 174.234 filed 05/04/2015 776-777
16	District Court Minutes from 03/06/2014 through 07/17/2015
17	Ex Parte Order for Expedited Transcript filed 05/12/2015
18	Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 08/19/2014444
19	Ex Parte Order for Transport filed 08/11/2014
20	Information filed 02/28/2014
21	Instructions to the Jury filed 05/27/2015
22	Judgment of Conviction filed 08/07/2015
23	Justice Court Minutes from 12/26/2013 through 02/26/2014
24	Motion in Limine to Exlude Evidence of Illegal Narcotics and Stolen Firearm filed 08/26/2014
25	Timing to Brobibit Reference(s) to the Decedent as a 'Victim' filed 08/26/2014
26	
27	Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference(s) to the Trial Phase of the Upcoming Proceedings as the 'Guilt Phase' filed 08/26/2014
28	the Guilt Fliase fried 08/20/2011

i

1	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 07/30/2014	399-421
2	Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 08/28/2014	521-604
3	Motion to Suppress Defendant's Statement filed 09/08/2014	625-639
4	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed 07/15/2014	376-381
5	Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/2015	842-845
6	Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/20/2014	445-465
7	Notice of Motion and Motion for Psychological Evaluation and Motion in I 09/10/2014	
8	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend Information filed 10/04/2014	729-736
9	Notice of Witnesses filed 08/25/2014	471-475
10	Order filed 07/16/2014	384-385
11	Order filed 04/28/2015	747-749
12	Order Denying Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/27/2014	518-520
13 14	Order Granting the State's Motion to Amend Information; Granting the State's Compel Psychological Evaluation; and Denying the State's Motion in Limine Without 61/2015	ut Prejudice
15	Order Shortening Time filed 07/15/2014	382-383
16	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/09/2014	187-375
17	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/27/2015	790-791
18	Reply in Support of Petition of Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 08/06/2014	422-425
19	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing heard 02/26/2014	
20	Request to File Affidavit Under Seal filed 08/07/2014	
21	Request to File Order for Transport Under Seal filed 08/08/2014	441
22	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/25/2014	388-398
23	Second Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/25/2014	476-487
24	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 05/04/2015	778-782
25 26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude Evidence of Illegand Stolen Firearm filed 09/04/2014	al Narcotics 615-619
27 28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Term "the Decedent filed 09/04/2014	Victim" foi 610-614

1	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Prohibit Reference to the Trial Phase as the Guilt Phase filed 09/04/2014
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Jail Calls filed 09/04/2014 605-609
3 4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress His Statement filed 09/18/2014
5	State's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to State's Motion to Amend Information filed 10/17/2014
6 7	State's Reponse to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery filed 08/07/2014 427-440
. 8	Stipulation and Order Waiving Separate Penalty Hearing filed 05/27/2015788-789
9	Substitution of Attorneys filed 12/27/2014
10	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 08/22/2014
11	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 04/30/2015
12	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
13	Third Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 09/17/2014
14	Verdict filed 05/27/2015
15	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 07/18/2014
16	
17	TRANSCRIPTS
18	
19	Recorder's Transcript  Jury Trial—Day One Date of Hrg: 05/11/20151079-1204
20	Recorder's Transcript
21	<b>Jury Trial—Day Two</b> Date of Hrg: 05/12/2015
22	Recorder's Transcript
23.	Jury Trial—Day Three Date of Hrg: 05/13/2015
24	Recorder's Transcript
25	<b>Jury Trial—Day Four</b>   Date of Hrg: 05/14/2015
26	Recorder's Transcript
27	Jury Trial—Day Five   Date of Hrg: 05/15/2015
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript  Jury Trial—Day Six Date of Hrg: 05/18/2015
2	Date of Fig. 03/18/20132173-2330
3	Recorder's Transcript  Jury Trial—Day Seven  Date of Hrg: 05/19/2015
5 6	Recorder's Transcript  Jury Trial—Day Eight  Date of Hrg: 05/20/2015
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	<b>Jury Trial—Day Nine</b> Date of Hrg: 05/21/2015
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	Jury Trial—Day Ten Date of Hrg: 05/22/2015
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	Jury Trial—Day Eleven           Date of Hrg: 05/26/2015         3038-3139
13	Recorder's Transcript
14	Jury Trial—Day Twelve Date of Hrg: 05/27/2015
15	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,
16	Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 09/08/2014
17	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,
18	Calendar Call/ All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 09/22/2014
19	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript,
20	Calendar Call/ Åll Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 09/24/2014
21	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Defendant's Motion for Petition of Habeas Corpus; Defendant's Motion to Withdraw as
22	Attorney of Record
23	Date of Hrg: 07/28/2014
24	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw at Attorney of Record
25	Date of Hrg: 07/21/2014
26	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript, Status Check: Trial Setting
	Date of Hrg: 05/07/2014
27	/// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28	

	1	Recorder's Rough Draft Transcript of Proceedings, Jackson v. Denno Hearing; Status Check: Expert Notices; Reset Motion & Reset Trial
	2	Date of Hrg: 10/07/2014
	3	Recorder's Transcript, Calendar Call
	4	Date of Hrg: 05/04/2015
	5	Recorder's Transcript, Sentencing: Count 1Not Guilty Count 2
	6	Date of Hrg: 07/17/2015
	7	Recorder's Transcript, Status Check: Reset Trial; Plaintiff's Motion to Amend Information; State's Motion for
	8	Psychological Evaluation and Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 10/22/2014
	9	
	10	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 04/10/2014
	11.	
	12	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued
	13	Date of Hrg: 04/24/2014
	14	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Arraignment Continued
-	15	Date of Hrg: 05/01/2014
	16	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing, Initial Arraignment
	17	Date of Hrg: 03/27/2014
	18	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel; Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of
	19	Discovery; Status Check; Reset Writ Date of Hrg: 08/11/2014
	20	Date of Tilg. 00/11/2014
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	;
	26	
	27	
	28	
		<b>v</b>

=

a pretty good guy. 1 THE COURT: Okay, good. Well, sometimes it's the 2 3 fourth -- fourth time's the charm. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah, I guess. 4 THE COURT: So you -- you know, you have been paying attention I know, because I can tell from your responses. 6 7 there anything that we should know about that bears upon your ability to be fair and impartial? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No. I think I'm a very 9 10 fair and impartial person in life in general. THE COURT: And how long have you lived in Clark 11 12 County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I moved here in 1992, so 13 14 23 years. THE COURT: A long time. And married, divorced, 15 partner, significant other? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Well, I was divorced --17 I was married from 1990 to 1992 to a man but that didn't work 18 19 out so after that marriage I moved out here and I basically live an alternative lifestyle and my current partner and I 20 21 have been together 10 years. THE COURT: And what does your partner do? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: She is currently not 23 24 working. In the past she was a phone sales person, telemarketing type thing. 25

1	the scenes
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: on how
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I make it so I know what
5	it can be.
6	MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, and you understand, we don't
7	have that behind the scenes here in the courtroom.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Right, of course not.
9	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So no expectations of what
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Not at all, no.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: — you think we should do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: And having served in
13	another jury I'm pretty familiar with what's going to happen.
14	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Now your jury experience
15	before, was it a long jury?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: It seemed like it took
. 17	about a week and a half maybe to go through.
18	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So — so our estimate of two
19	to two and a half weeks here is fine?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: It's freaking people out
21	at the office but I'm fine with it.
.22	MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, have you had any particularly
23	good experiences with the police or bad experiences with the
24	police?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Well, all of my
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

experiences have been positive, you know, like in a work environment mostly as I said, with just that one incident where I filed a report on my truck, which was also positive. You know, I watch some of the news today and that — I'm kind of saddened by what I see happening in some communities between the police and people but that's the nature of our society at the time.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And what about drug use, do you have anybody —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: I've known many people that use drugs on my different levels. I've known people that recreationally use drugs and have no problem. I've known people that have been taken out with, you know, really down to a low place. Back to my sister again, that second husband, he was a actual drug dealer back there in Tennessee so I — a little exposure to that and I was very young but I did know it. So all kinds of different, I don't know, influences as far as drugs go.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Right. But do you have any I guess such strong opinions that it could affect your ability to be fair and impartial here if you hear —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No.

MS. DIGIACOMO: -- evidence about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No.

MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.

1.	things you don't mean to say and do. And it's better to just
2	go ahead and so oh, I think I'll get some help.
3	MR. SLIFE: Understood.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah.
5	MR. SLIFE: Understood.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: That's what I imagine I
7	would do, never been in that situation so.
8	MR. SLIFE: Absolutely. What about situations,
9	deadly force justified? Can you think of situations where
10	deadly force could be justified?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Well, I am a gun owner.
12	I know that's kind of a weird mix but I am. And I can imagine
13	using it if I really felt like my life was in peril. If I
14	was, you know, had to, I think I could pull the trigger on
15	somebody.
16	MR. SLIFE: Do you have a rifle, handgun?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: No, I just have a
18	handgun that I had since I moved here. Actually my first
19	girlfriend here forced me to buy one.
20	MR. SLIFE: Understood, understood. What about
21	PTSD, any stories that you heard from Ms. Matsko and all the
22	questions
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yeah, I believe it's a
24	real condition. I have very little experience with it.
25	MR. SLIFE: Sure. And if you had some testimony on

1	that you'd be open to that then?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: Yes.
3	MR. SLIFE: Okay. I think that's all I have for
4	you, ma'am. Thank you very much.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 541: All right. Thank you.
6	MR. SLIFE: Pass for cause, Your Honor. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. And it is the defense's
8	seventh peremptory challenge.
9	MR. SLIFE: And Your Honor, we'll — we'll waive.
10	THE COURT: And it's the State's final peremptory
11	challenge.
12	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
13	will waive.
14	THE COURT: We have our jury. Great. So now we
15	need to pick three alternates. All right. So the last three
16	folks that are sitting back there, we're going to call you
17	THE CLERK: Badge 543, Patricia Salesky; badge 568,
18	Matthew Warnick and badge 578, Margarita Morales.
19	THE COURT: All right. We need you to come up in
20	that order and sit. The first person whose name was called
21	next to juror number 12.
22	THE CLERK: Wait. Patricia was I thought the way
23	we were going to do it yes, you're right, I'm sorry. I'm
24	backwards.
25	THE COURT: Yep, all of you, come on up here. All
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

right. Next to juror number 12 and then who sits next to Patricia?

THE CLERK: I'm sorry. Matthew sit next to her.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE CLERK: Margarita Morales is [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Okay. So we're now in the process of picking the alternate jurors. Alternate jurors are very important because especially in a trial that's — we're predicting could go two and a half weeks, you never know what's going to happen. Someone could become ill, get — get into an accident. I mean there are things that happen and many times in trials, in my courtroom, we've had to ask for an alternate juror to substitute in either while the testimony is going on or even after deliberations have started. It's happened in both types of cases and so in either event it's really important that the alternate juror is able to immediately step in and sit as a regular juror.

And what that means is it's very important that the alternate juror participates as if they expect that they will serve and all the way through deliberation because it could happen and oftentimes does happen. And so juror — alternate jurors sometimes think, oh, well I — I don't really have to pay attention. But that is completely far from the truth because you could be, if you were chosen as an alternate juror, expected to in fact deliberate with your fellow jurors

if you were placed into that situation.

And so with that being said, I'm going to now ask you first some general questions that I want you to raise your hand at and respond in that way and then we'll get to the individual questions. So have any of you served as a juror before? [inaudible]

All right. And go ahead, state -- state your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Matthew Warnick and I am number 568.

THE COURT: All right. And what was your prior jury service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: It was a civil course — a civil court case back in the Cook County, Chicago.

THE COURT: How long ago?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Nineties, like mid-'90s.

THE COURT: Now, do you understand that the burden of proof that is required that the plaintiff has to prove in a civil case is not the same as the burden of proof that the State has to prove in a criminal case? In other words, in a civil case the burden is not as high, it's just a preponderance of the evidence. Whereas in a criminal case, the State must prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I understand.

THE COURT: So I know it's been a long time so you

probably don't remember a lot about the instructions that you 1 2 were given in that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I don't -- I'll be very 3 honest, I'm very impressed with Clark County compared to my 4 experience back there because I -- it was very much -- this is 5 6 very organized. Where you're sort of put into a room, brought into the courtroom and it was very different, yeah. 7 So without telling us what the verdict 8 THE COURT: 9 was, did the jury reach a verdict in their case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Were you the foreperson on the 11 12 jury? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No, I was not. 13 Anything about that experience that 14 THE COURT: would make you think, oh, I don't ever want to be a juror 15 16 again? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. And just hang onto the microphone for now. And again, show of hands, is -- okay. 19 anyone -- any of the proposed three alternates ever been in 20 21 law enforcement? And the record will reflect a negative 22 response. How about anyone in your family or closely 23 associated with you been in law enforcement? Mr. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Mr. Warnick. One of my 25

which is one of the projects in the Chicagoland area and I came out the first time with a brick sitting on my driver's side and all the loose change gone and the stereo gone and I said, okay, I'll get that fixed. And I reported it and whatnot. The second time I'm like okay, it's a theme. And then at that point I decided to find an indoor garage because the school was — it was a choice of spending \$125 a month or when the window repair got more than the cost of a garage stay it was better to choose the — do a garage.

THE COURT: All right. So I take it they didn't ever find who had done this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.

2.4

THE COURT: Okay. How about your car that was stolen here out of a driveway, did they recover it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: My only complaint at all about that experience was is that they recovered it about 12 weeks after it was stolen. I had already purchased a new car. And when I got this cryptic phone message of we found your vehicle at the Rio I was very excited because there were things in there that I was like oh, maybe I'll get back my favorite scarf or whatever and I — there was no message of how to call back to receive those items. And it was a very — it was a weird experience. So once that was done my wife and I sort of just — because it had been 12 weeks, we had already washed our hands of it so we just washed our hands but it was

just a weird experience.

THE COURT: Could you set that aside though? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Back to the group.

Anyone have in your family or closely associated with you been the victim of a serious, like violent type crime? And the record will reflect a negative response.

Any of you ever been accused of or convicted of a crime? The record will reflect a negative response.

How about anyone in your family or closely associated with you been convicted of or accused of a serious crime? Mr. Warnick.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I have two uncles that spent some time in prison.

THE COURT: For what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: One uncle was on a — well, a gun running charge. They — he was transporting guns and I'm not even making it — if you can believe that he was running guns to rebels in South America, yes. And he ended up in the federal court system and he was deported from our country. Yeah.

THE COURT: And your other uncle?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: My other uncle decided to — he was — he is a currently practicing physician but at the time he used his prescription pad to make some extra

THE COURT: Okay. Was — and what came of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: He spent some time in rison down in Texas.

THE COURT: Do you feel that both of your uncles were treated fairly by the justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I believe so.

THE COURT: Do you believe in the — our justice system, that it works pretty well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Are there any of you who feel that you could not follow the law as I instruct you? The record will reflect a negative response.

Is there — are there any of the three of you who have a quarrel with the presumption of innocence? And again, the record will reflect a negative response.

Let's hand — Mr. Warnick, hand the microphone over and for the record state your name and the last three digits of your badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: My name's Patricia Salesky. My number is 543.

THE COURT: Ms. Salesky, tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: I've lived here for eight years, I'm originally from Michigan. And I moved here because of the weather. And I work at Vons so I've worked

1	there for eight years. And back in Michigan I worked at
2	Greenfield Village and now it's called the Henry Ford Museum.
3	So it's the largest indoor/outdoor museum. I was a manger, I
4	had 70 employees underneath me and I had seven concession
5	stands that I was in charge of, as in like a hamburger stand,
6	a bakery, a burger stand.
7	THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so you've been
8	here eight years all the time working for Vons?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What is your job at Vons?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: A deli clerk.
12	THE COURT: So you don't have you don't supervise
13	anybody else in this current job?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No. I didn't want to be
15	a manager anymore.
16	THE COURT: You were done with that. There's a lot
17	of work to managing other employees, isn't there?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Yes, it is.
19	THE COURT: Is there are you married, single,
20	divorced, partner, significant other?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: I'm single, don't have
22	any children, I have two other sisters, one's back in Michigar
23	and one's here, the oldest lives here.
24	THE COURT: And is your sister being here what
25	brought you to Las Vegas?

312

1	there for like maybe three or four years and that was it.
2	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Anyone else in your family?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No.
4	MS. DIGIACOMO: Have you you said you haven't
5	been the victim of a crime. Anyone close to you?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: My sister had her house
7	broken into when we lived in Michigan.
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. But were you involved with
9	that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No, not at all.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: Any particularly good experiences,
12	bad experiences with the police?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Never had any bad or
14	good so
15	MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And what that is a
16	very good thing. What about any people that you know that use
17	controlled substances or addiction or?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No. I have I don't
19	know anybody. I mean
20	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you have go ahead.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: — back in Michigan, you
22	know, they might might have smoked marijuana but other than
23	that, not anybody here.
24	MS. DIGIACOMO: Do you have any strong feelings one
25	way or another about drug use?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No, I don't.
MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. So nothing
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: I don't I mean agree
with it but some people are — they need it. I'm not saying
it's good but
MS. DIGIACOMO: But you understand why some people
might have that addiction?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Correct.
MS. DIGIACOMO: But nothing about that would affect
your ability to be fair and impartial here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No, it would not.
MS. DIGIACOMO: All right. And lastly, with regard
to the penalty, if we got to a penalty phase and you were
seated on the jury, could you consider all three penalties for
first-degree murder and make a decision?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: [inaudible]
MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Defense.
MS. LEMCKE: Is it Ms. Salesky?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Salesky, yes.
MS. LEMCKE: So you said that your dad served in the
Navy; is that right, in World War II?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Yes.
MS. LEMCKE: But he never talked much I mean he
didn't see any or did he see combat?
UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 314

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: He saw combat but he didn't really talk to us about it.

MS. LEMCKE: Was that — do you know if that was by choice or —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: It was by choice.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And he just never really felt comfortable discussing those experiences?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Right.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. You heard us discuss a little bit about post-traumatic stress disorder or PTSD. What were your thoughts when you first heard about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: I — I — I agree that people do have it and like some people might exaggerate that they do — they do have it but maybe they don't have it really. But I agree — I mean I think people do have it or get it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Okay. So you — you believe that there is such a thing as PTSD. Okay. You also heard us talk a ton about the prosecution's burden of proof, the fact that the defense technically doesn't have to do anything, a defendant's right to testify or not testify. Tell me some of your thoughts. What went through your mind when you started hearing all those questions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Well, I knew that you're innocent until you're proven guilty and I knew that both sides

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody must be hiding something if they chose to exercise that right and ask for a lawyer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: No, I don't think they're hiding something.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Can you think of reasons why someone maybe would want to have a lawyer present and not speak to police? Like some of your fellow jurors suggested well, they might have their words twisted around or they might --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Right. They -- they want someone to represent them.

Okay. Okay. If -- if you were seated MS. LEMCKE: - well, before I get to that. Is - is there anything that you've heard in the course of all these discussions in the questions and the answers, that caused you to think, oh, you know what, if I get up there I think I'll want to tell the lawyers this about me? Did anything pop into your head that you thought you wanted to express an opinion on or share a -share with us about you that might bear on your ability to -to fairly hear this case?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: [inaudible]

If you were seated where the MS. LEMCKE: Okay. prosecutors are seated or where Mr. Pimentel, myself and Mr. Slife are seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Uh-huh, yes.

MS. LEMCKE: And why is that?

1.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Because I know I would be fair and I'm going to listen to both sides and discuss with the jurors and decide.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would you feel comfortable judging the credibility of witnesses who get up on the stand and speak to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 543: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Let's see, did I -- I have nothing further, Your Honor. I pass for cause. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. If you'd pass the microphone to Mr. Warnick. Mr. Warnick, tell us about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I've lived in town approximately 10 years. I've worked at the Cosmopolitan, Las Vegas. I'm currently in a management development program so I'm sort of a jack of all trades; working the front desk, doing night audit, doing cashiering and as well as my main role right now is rooms coordinator. I have a wife, two kids, seven and nine year olds. And my wife is a revenue agent for the IRS.

THE COURT: So what is your educational background that got you into that management program?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I have a bachelor's in science in food and beverage management with minors in

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: It's a she's in a
2	different role. She does she writes the compliance
3	contracts for the casinos.
4	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: And does employment tax
6	as well.
7	THE COURT: All right. So she has interaction with
8	sort of the a lot of the people that you work with or at
9	least in general.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Since I've worked at the
11	Cosmopolitan she cannot walk in there.
12	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I see, okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: So you've any military in your family
15	or you yourself?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: My father was a was
17	in the Army, just did his three years and my grandfather and
18	his brother.
19	THE COURT: Combat?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: My my father did not
21	see combat. My — my grandfather and his brother did.
22	THE COURT: Okay. World War II?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: World War II and my
24	grandfather was a pilot for the Royal Canadian Air Force. And
25	then his brother was stationed in Germany and all that, yeah.

THE COURT: So you've heard all the discussion about PTSD. What are your thoughts on it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: It — it does exist. My — my great—uncle came back with what's considered shell shock at the time, which is PTSD. And I had seen movies and pictures of him before and after and he had like a nervous tick all the time and he would — he was very jumpy and whatnot. And it was — they said that that was from his time in combat.

THE COURT: Did he ever receive any counseling or anything for that, treatment --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I'm not sure. I was —

I was quite young at the time. But — but we would talk —

you know, war stories with grandparents and great—uncles are

more like the things that they saw and the — and the positive

things. Even with my father, he would tell me stories of the

things that they did. He was a military policeman and the

things that they did to keep themselves awake at night watch

and whatnot. But it was more of a positive experience.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you agree with the presumption of innocence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I do.

THE COURT: Anything — any reason that you can think of that you could not be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: The only thing that's been coming to my mind since we've been here for two days is that I have a belief that when drug use is involved and a crime is committed under the use of whether it's controlled substances or legal substances, I sort of feel that the person had the choice to start taking those substances and if they've lost control and they're trying to stand behind the use of the drug, I feel that it's not a — it's not a good defense, it's not a true defense.

THE COURT: All right. So would you agree that someone that's a drug user could be the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And so not only a perpetrator but a victim as well.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: All right. So obviously, you know, you would be instructed on the law regarding if — if that was being attempted to be asserted as a defense, you'd be instructed on the law. And would you be able to follow the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Even if it's something that you would disagree with you would still be able to follow it as I instruct you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'm going to try
2 .	to make the first strike here. Do you watch any TV shows,
3	like criminal crime shows?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I'm a sci-fi and Netflix
5	geek.
6	THE COURT: Netflix, okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: But any crime
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.
10	THE COURT: Law and Order, any of that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.
. 12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I did hear CSI got
16	canceled. The first one just got canceled this season.
17	THE COURT: See, news to me. Okay. But they've
18	already replaced it with yet
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I know, with several
20	million of them.
21	THE COURT: another iteration of it. Okay. And
22	all right. State.
23	MS. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. Any bad or good
24	experiences with the police?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: None other than what I
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 323

explained. Most of my experiences have been, you know, positive. I just, I felt that the one phone call that could have like brought us back to some of our items was not — it wasn't done very well.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. But nothing that — PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.

2.0

MS. DIGIACOMO: — would keep you from being fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And now you mentioned about you — drug use is kind of a choice?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Uh-huh.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And that you said that it's — that you — you don't agree with it being a defense. Do you mean like a defense to a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Correct. If you want I can elaborate. My — my — one of my best cousins, I saw him the date he — he was very excited and he told me — I don't know what he had taken but he had taken drugs with his buddies or whatever and he was in high school. And from that point on I saw him deteriorate into the person that he's become. And it just — it — he made that — that first choice and after that first choice with him he's never gotten, you know, in trouble with the law or whatever but I've seen him become a person who has no expectations and has no future goals and he

sits — yes, I believe his parents enable him and he sits in this house and does nothing and whatever, plays video games, whatever. But he's still a drug user and — and I feel that he had such great opportunity and after that first choice it was done.

MS. DIGIACOMO: So is he more kind of a disappointment from — you know, because he's not — he's not achieved what he could have achieved?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: He's a — he's a disappointment but he also is one of those people that has become — I just feel he's just not — to use the term loosely, he's a loser, yeah.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Now, do you think your strong opinions would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? Because we've mentioned that there might be drug use mentioned —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Uh-huh.

MS. DIGIACOMO: -- but you don't know who or what or how.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No, I don't know who or what but at the end of the day my only concern was is that if the defendant, you know, was — if the — if the drug use was involved — that's involved was particularly with the defendant and the defendant performed — if he did perform the crime under the use of the drug use, I feel that it's — it's

-- it's a non-question for me.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DIGIACOMO: What do you mean it's a non-question? Like your mind's already made up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No. I -- I guess what it is, I can be -- I can hear the -- the evidence in the case, but if they're saying -- if the State -- if the defense says to me, because of the use of -- of this particular drug or narcotic or controlled substance, whether it was for his PTSD or whatnot, my concern is that can I differentiate or separate myself from that and I'm not sure. And that -that's the honest truth, yeah.

> MS. DIGIACOMO: You're not sure? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568:

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, if -- well, I guess it would also go back to at the end of the case, after you hear all the evidence, you can keep an open mind as you hear everything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yeah.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And then at the end of the case the Judge will instruct you how the law is and then how to apply what you've heard as evidence to that law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Okay.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I can do that but what I question and I -- I don't know the law at this point, is that what I question is what law protects someone under the

1	influence of a narcotic to possibly go out and perform the
2	crime.
3	MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And that's a fair that's a
4	fair concern and a fair question. What if I told you that
5	that's not even — that could not even come into play. Would
6	that affect your ability
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No, it wouldn't
8	MS. DIGIACOMO: in this case to hear
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: it wouldn't affect me
10	at all.
11	MS. DIGIACOMO: You know, you have to agree as you
12	sit here today, you really don't know what happened.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: No, I have no idea. But
14	we I mean I'm sure all of us have sat there and gone and
15	said, hmm?
16	MS. DIGIACOMO: Right, because we're asking certain
17	questions —
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yeah.
19	MS. DIGIACOMO: so and kind of give hints
20	maybe as to what to come — is to come but can you keep an
21	open mind
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Of course.
23	MS. DIGIACOMO: and listen to what evidence is
24	presented and then apply that to the law to make a
25	determination?

	·
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.
2 -	MS. DIGIACOMO: I'll pass for cause.
3	THE COURT: Defense.
4	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one
5	question, sir. We've I think everybody kind of got most of
6	the most of the stuff we've been talking about. You used
7	the term shell shocked though.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Uh-huh.
9.	MR. SLIFE: Is that what it was?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.
11	MR. SLIFE: Could you describe more of what you
12	meant by that and when that was?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: That was back in just
14	to age me, I'm I was born in I was born in '72. My I
15	my uncle my great-uncle and I would hang out and if you
16	could imagine a nervous tick, he would like breathe in and he
17	would like to do stuff like that and they described that as
18	shell shock. But once he
19	MR. SLIFE: Is that sort of a word that they used
20	before PTSD
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Before, correct.
22	MR. SLIFE: the terminology became more common?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Yes.
24	MR. SLIFE: What what were I think you were
25	about to describe and I cut you off, I apologize. What were
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	328

some of the symptoms that ---PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: One of the symptoms was 2 -- is that he was very -- he never got agitated but he was 3 very much -- like if you came up behind him and you touched 4 him on the shoulder you shouldn't do that. During discussion, 5 even a normal discussion, he would have a nervous tick, he 6 would suddenly inhale, his head would shake and whatnot. 7 MR. SLIFE: Okay. And at least from what you know 8 maybe about PTSD now, it sounds kind of like a similar but 9 it's called a different name? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: I have -- I've seen 11 different -- different experiences and stuff like that, yeah. 12 13 Okay. That's all I had, sir --MR. SLIFE: 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 568: Okay. 15 MR. SLIFE: -- thank you very much. Judge, we'll 16 pass for cause. 17 Thank you. If you'd pass the microphone THE COURT: 18 I need you to state your name and badge number for the 19 on up. 20 record. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Margarita Morales, badge 21 number 578. 22. Ms. Morales, tell us about yourself. 23 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I've been here in Vegas 24 about 25 years, I'm single, no kids. I work at the Office 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

329

. 1	Depot distribution center, it's a warehouse. I work at the
2	receiving department receiving the merchandise. I've been
3	working there for about seven years. And before that I worked
4	at a bakery as a cashier.
5	THE COURT: Do you have other family here in Las
6	Vegas?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes, my parents and my
8	brothers and sisters.
9	THE COURT: So did you grow up here?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I was born in California
11	and then about when we were about five years old we moved
12	down here.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So all of your growing up time
14	really and adult life has been here
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes.
16	THE COURT: in Las Vegas? And your parents, what
17	do they do here in town?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: When we moved over here
19	we moved for my dad's job, which was construction, but right
20	now he's not working. My mom, she works at McDonald's.
21	THE COURT: Is there any reason that you could not
22	be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you believe that you could follow the
25	law as I instruct?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Do you watch any crime shows?
3 -	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I have in the past, I
4	don't right now.
5	THE COURT: What kind of things did you watch when
6	you did watch?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Just the Law and Order
8	shows, I used to watch them.
9	THE COURT: And you will be able you certainly
10	obviously know that it's not like it is on TV since you've
11	been here for two days and we're still picking the jury.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. So how about do you have any
14	thoughts about the questions that have been asked of the other
15	prospective jurors as to PTSD?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I actually don't know
17	much about it but I do think that it does exist.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone in your family been in
19	military?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. No close friends or associates in
22	the military?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any strong
25	feelings one way or the other about law enforcement?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

22.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I think just after a while, just seeing how my mom was suffering from it, how it hurt her I think he decided to change.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So anything about I guess your experience or your opinions regarding drug use would affect your ability to be fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.

MS. DIGIACOMO: And what about in this case if it did get to a penalty and you were seated on the jury, would you be able to consider all three?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yeah, I think I would be able to consider all three. The only thing that comes to mind is, I don't know, it just makes me nervous having to decide how long someone goes to prison or jail for. You know, that's the only thing I can think of.

MS. DIGIACOMO: Well, and — and that's a legitimate concern to have. I'm sure most people here have that same concern. But if you were called upon to do it, would you be able to do it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes:

MS. DIGIACOMO: Pass for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Defense.

MS. LEMCKE: We talked a lot about the presumption of innocence, the right to testify and not testify. What were your thoughts when you heard all of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I agree with it. I think if they don't feel comfortable up in the stand and they don't want to testify, I think I'm open to listening to what it is brought up.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Do — how do you feel about a system, a justice system that basically requires one side to prove a case and that the other side technically doesn't have to do anything? Do you think that's right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yeah. Actually before coming here I didn't know that that's how it worked. I thought both sides had to present something. But I don't have a problem with that.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So you wouldn't have a problem looking at — analyzing the prosecution's case and just making a determination? Even if we did nothing, just saying, uh, you know, if they haven't proven it beyond a reasonable doubt, even though the defense hasn't done something, I can return a verdict of not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Uh-huh, I would like to probably hear both sides but if it's only just one I think I would -- I would try my best just to make a decision from that.

MS. LEMCKE: Would you — do you think that you would hold it against Mr. Slife and I and ultimately our client, Mr. Pimentel, if we didn't do anything? You know, if

we just cross-examined the prosecution's witnesses or even we didn't even do that, we just let them put on their witnesses and then argued in closing they haven't met their burden.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And, you know, when we talked about the — the right of an accused in a criminal case to testify or to not testify, we also had some conversations about speaking to police. Could you understand why maybe an innocent person would ask to have a lawyer present when they're being interrogated by police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes. I think that's a right and if they don't feel comfortable or they don't know how to get their point across, I don't see nothing wrong with them getting a lawyer.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Would you think that somebody who wants to have a lawyer present has something to hide?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. If you — if you were seated where either the prosecutors are seated or where Mr. Slife, myself and most importantly, Mr. Pimentel are seated, would you want 12 people such as yourself deciding this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I think I would. I've always been a very honest person and try to look at both sides before I make a decision.

MS. LEMCKE: How would you feel about judging the

credibility of witnesses who get up on that stand and raise their right hand and swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, would you feel comfortable looking at them and — and judging each one's credibility individually?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Is there anything about you that you think we should know, as you've heard all the questions that have been asked that might bear upon your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: No, I don't think so.

Just maybe that everyone that knows me they've always said I'm very indecisive so maybe that's the only thing. Like it's — sometimes it is hard for me to come to a decision about anything. And then when I do come to the decision, like 10 minutes later I'm like second guessing it. So I think that's the only thing why I brought up that. It makes me nervous like coming to a decision about someone's life.

MS. LEMCKE: How do you feel about — in terms of making a decision, kind of speaking to your fellow jurors about kind of hearing everybody's opinions and thoughts and — and taking all of that into consideration, would that be something that would be more appealing to you in terms of making a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yes, I think it would.

Do you think you'd be somebody who MS. LEMCKE: would be easily swayed or do you think that after you've heard the evidence and you kind of come to a conclusion, you've listened to some of the thoughts and opinions of your fellow jurors, that you would say I understand what you folks are saying but I'm of the opinion of this, would you stick by your guns or do you think that you could be easily kind of pushed around I guess for lack of a better term?

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: I mean I have in the past where I made my decision and even little small stuff and other people tell me their opinion and I have changed mine because of that. I think it's just my indecisiveness.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. So -- so you'd be willing to listen to everybody and maybe draw from that things that you think, oh, maybe I didn't think of that or if you feel like no, I've heard what you have to say but I think, you know, I'm still right and you'd stick by your guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 578: Yeah, I'm willing to listen to everyone and everyone's opinions so we can all come to one decision.

MS. LEMCKE: Court's indulgence. I have nothing Pass for cause. further, Your Honor.

Thank you. All right. THE COURT: peremptory challenges for the alternates, each side has three. State's first peremptory challenge.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

337

24

25

The State will waive.

THE COURT: Thank you. Defense?

MR. SLIFE: Waive as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. I can't tell you how much because we are out of jurors. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we have our jury and our three alternates. And I'm going to have the clerk swear you in.

THE CLERK: If just the jury would stand for now and

## (Jury panel sworn)

If the alternates would please stand and

# (Alternates sworn)

Thank you. All right. I'm going to have counsel approach to talk about scheduling and then I'll let you know what time I need you back.

# (Off-record bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to start at 1:30 tomorrow because I have my criminal calendar and I have an evidentiary hearing in the morning. 1:30 should be good timing. And we will -- I'm going to give you some preinstructions about what to expect before you hear the opening statements from the lawyers and then we'll start taking evidence in the case.

So ladies and gentlemen, during this overnight

recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or Internet and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. And I will see you tomorrow at 1:30. The Marshal's going to give your juror badges that you need to wear whenever you're in the courthouse. They're different than the ones you have. Yes, ma'am?

THE JUROR: Can we -- do we need take notes? And if so do we --

THE COURT: We're going to give you — yes, we're going to give you pads and all that tomorrow, so don't worry. We're waiting on the badges. All right. I think we can off the record if we're still on.

(Court recessed for the evening at 4:41 p.m.)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,
not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

Electronically Filed 10/21/2015 01:54:29 PM

TRAN

Alun to Chum

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C296234-1

DEPT NO. V

vs.

LUIS PIMENTEL, AKA,

LUIS GODOFREDO PIMENTEL, III )

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2015

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SANDRA K. DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

SAMUEL G. BATEMAN, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant:

NANCY L. LEMCKE, ESQ. CONOR M. SLIFE, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defenders

RECORDED BY LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

## INDEX

DEMING STATEMENTS.	
By Mr. Bateman	24
By Mr. Slife	36
WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:	
DEBRA BATTELINI	
Direct Examination By Mr. Bateman	. 46
Cross-Examination By Ms. Lemcke	61
Redirect Examination By Mr. Bateman	67
ROBERT HOLLAND	
Direct Examination By Mr. Bateman	69
Cross-Examination By Mr. Slife	97
Redirect Examination By Mr. Bateman	110
Recross Examination By Mr. Slife	115
BEATA VIDA	
Direct Examination By Mr. Bateman	118
Cross-Examination By Ms. Lemcke	125
VINCENT PACIFICO	
Direct Examination By Mr. Bateman	128

## EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
1 Photograph - Bobby HOlland	47
2 Photograph — Amanda Lowe	51
3 through 5 Aerial Maps	81
6 and 7 Photographs - Red Durango	82
8 Photograph - Chevy Truck	84
11 Photograph - Cellphone	114
9, 10, 12 and 13 Photographs - Crime Scene	132
14 CD - A/V Recording	138

### LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2015, 1:35 P.M.

\_

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: This is Case No. C296234, State of Nevada vs. Luis Pimentel. And the record will reflect the presence of the defendant with his counsel, the deputies district attorney prosecuting the case, and all officers of the court. We're outside the presence of the jury and the alternates. There's a matter outside the presence.

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah. Your Honor, it's my understanding, based on conversations that I had with the prosecution just a few minutes ago, that they intend to introduce a photo of the decedent in this case, Robert Holland, and it has been marked for identification as State's Proposed Exhibit 1.

It is a photograph of Mr. Holland standing like, you know, overlooking a beach. And I have a couple of objections. One, I don't think it's relevant because no one's disputing his identity here. We would stipulate and have offered to do so where identity is concerned.

Am I going too fast?

THE COURT RECORDER: No, no. It's just the microphone was beeping.

MS. LEMCKE: Oh, I'm sorry. Do you want me to move around a little bit, is that --

THE COURT RECORDER: No, it's good. We just fixed it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. And it's just interesting, because it's -- you know, it is a, I would say, very clever attempt to portray Mr. Holland in a most favorable light considering he has a criminal record and my client does not, and that he was the initial aggressor in this whole incident and my client was not.

And so when you look at Mr. — aside from the fact that this photograph is not relevant to anything other than to try to cast him in a less sinister light, when you look at the way that the prosecution has oriented this photo in their PowerPoint presentation, it's very interesting, because they juxtapose this rather innocuous photograph of the decedent with mugshots of my client and one of the witnesses who was there that evening, Amanda Lowe.

So they take mugshots of my client and Ms. Lowe, and they juxtapose that against this again kind of innocuous beach photo of Mr. Holland, when they could have used a mugshot of Mr. Holland because he does have a criminal history. But they instead chose again the more innocuous photo to try to draw this comparison, even though again, he was undisputedly the initial aggressor in this whole matter.

So my objection to the photograph, State's Exhibit 1 or Proposed Exhibit 1, is that it's not relevant, identity's

not an issue. It's just an attempt to, you know, cast him in as favorable light as possible given they know what the defense is going to be in this case. And it's particularly insidious in the manner in which it will be used in their PowerPoint presentation; the nice photo of Mr. Holland versus 5 the two mugshots of my client and one of the other percipient witnesses. So on that I'd submit it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And State --8 MR. BATEMAN: Do you want to see it, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Yeah. 10 Yeah. MS. LEMCKE: 11 MR. BATEMAN: Do you want to see the incipient 12 photo? 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BATEMAN: It was at Disneyland, I might -- with 1.5

2

3

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the children and, you know, a choir behind him. I might agree with defense counsel.

MS. LEMCKE: Luis, you can sit.

MR. BATEMAN: But I think I'm entitled to show a photograph of the victim in this case at some point to his mother, who's alive, who's going to come in and testify that her son's dead and that that's a photo of him. I hardly think that's a prejudicial photo. I'm not adding a collage.

Because otherwise, the jury in this case isn't going to see anything but a dead body. We took out -- pursuant to

Ms. Lemcke's request, I took out the face from the coroner's photographs. I don't think there's any --

THE COURT: I was going to say, are you doing a clean autopsy photo?

MR. BATEMAN: I took the face out pursuant to her objection, so.

THE COURT: Well, okay. So I understand that you're saying that you're willing to stipulate to identity, but as you know, the State is not required to accept your stipulation. They have to prove identity as part — of the victim as part of their case. I mean, they've alleged the victim in the charging document. So they are going to have to put on a witness to identify the person who was killed. If you'd prefer that they put in a clean autopsy photo, they can certainly do that.

MS. LEMCKE: Well, I mean, I don't think it's an issue once I can see that, you know, the decedent in this case is Robert Holland, that being the son of, you know, Debra Battelini and Robert Holland, II. So I mean, that's what eliminates the relevance of it. Because we're not making an issue of it, it's therefore not relevant.

It certainly would be if we were contesting and saying this isn't the decedent in this case. But it's not relevant once we agree that we're going to concede identity. They don't — they no longer then need to do anything where

identity is concerned. We're conceding it.

THE COURT: Well, you're -

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  BATEMAN: It's relevant because it's the victim. It's the victim.

THE COURT: You're stipulating that you're willing to stipulate to the identity of the victim as a fact for the jury.

MS. LEMCKE: Right.

THE COURT: But as you know, lawyers don't have to stipulate. They may stipulate. But you cannot force a stipulation on the other side. As long as they have to prove as part of their case that a person — the victim was killed, that it was a homicide, and not the other elements for the charge that they've brought, then that's one of the things they have to prove. So they're going to have to put a — and they don't have to take your stipulation. That's what I'm saying.

So I understand that your objection is that this particular photo is — goes to — is prejudicial, even though — I think this is what you're arguing, that it's prejudicial, even though it may be relevant to their argument, because it depicts him on a beach and therefore it's appealing — it's more prejudicial because it appeals to the sympathy of the jury as opposed to the purpose of it, which is for identity.

Okay. And so that's why I inquired do you have a clean copy or a clean photo of the medical examination that was done, you know, post mortem, because that's — that's a common way of proving the identity of the victim. And the prosecutor's saying that you don't want that photo.

MS. LEMCKE: And I particularly don't want that photo displayed to the mother for purposes of identification at all, so yeah. No. I think I've made my record. I understand the Court's ruling but, you know, my position is that once we concede identity, there's no longer any relevance, understanding prejudicial value is outweighed by any probative value at that point.

I think I've made my record, so we're good. And the State has agreed to remove the facial photo from the autopsy photos, which I would have objected to also, so.

THE COURT: And so the record will be fully clear that had you not objected to the autopsy photo, then I probably would have said no, that you can't use this photo that has been — well, I guess will be marked. It's not marked right now as what, proposed?

MR. BATEMAN: I think it's one.

THE COURT: Is it one? Okay. Then I probably would have granted that motion because, you know, there wouldn't be any purpose to it other than to, you know, go to the sympathies of the jury. But that not being the case, because

the other photo can't come in at your request, then we're 1 going -- I'll allow this photo. And here, I'll give it back 2 3 to you so you can have it marked by the clerk. 4 (Attorneys confer.) 5 MR. BATEMAN: Judge, can I approach real quick? 6 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BATEMAN: I know you were asking for the copy of 7 8 my very short and not particularly well done PowerPoint. 9 THE CLERK: And is that going to be a court's 10 exhibit? 11 MR. BATEMAN: If you'd like. 12 THE COURT: I'll make it a court's exhibit after. 13 just wanted to follow up with it first, just in case the 14 monitor doesn't work as represented. 15 All right. Are we ready to bring them in? Anything 16 else outside the presence? All right. 17 MS. LEMCKE: Not from me, Your Honor. THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. 18 19 (Jurors enter at 1:44 p.m.) THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 20 THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, I got one more. 21 22 Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. All right. THE COURT: Thank you. And the record will reflect that we have now been joined 23 by all 12 members of the jury, as well as the three 24 25 Will counsel so stipulate? alternates.

,

MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. LEMCKE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

How are you doing this morning? Your first day. Any problems with parking or any of that? Good. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to take a few minutes now to talk to you about what to expect as the case goes forward. And these comments are basically for purposes of an introduction to the trial.

Now, at the end of the trial I'm going to give you more detailed instructions on the law. Those will be in writing, and those instructions will control your deliberations. But these preliminary instructions are just something for you to keep in mind as you proceed through the case.

Now, this is a criminal case that is brought by the State of Nevada against the defendant, and it's based upon a charging document that can be either an indictment or an Information. And so I'm going to now have the court recorder read to you the charging document in this case.

(Information read - not transcribed.)

THE COURT: Thank you. So now you should distinctly understand, of course, that this Amended Information that was just read to you is simply a charging document. It is not evidence of anything. It doesn't prove anything. Therefore

defendant starts out with a clean slate and he's pled not quilty and is presumed innocent.

Now, this is a criminal case and there are two basic rules that you must keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and the defendant is not required to present any evidence or prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence. Second, to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed and that the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

Now, it's going to be your duty to decide from the evidence that's presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are the sole judges of the facts in the case. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented in court.

And the evidence will consist of the testimony of witnesses and documents and other things that will be received into evidence as exhibits, and you must apply the facts to the law that I will give you in those written instructions that I've told you about and in that way you'll reach your verdict. It's important for you to perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously, because normally there is no way of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by

the jury.

Now, you shouldn't take anything that I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the facts of the case or influence you in any way as to how you should decide this case. I don't have an opinion on that. At times I might ask questions of witnesses. But if I do that, it's for the purposes of bringing out matters which should be brought out, and it's not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or the value you should give to the testimony of a witness. Because again, I do not have an opinion on that. That's your job.

In deciding the facts of this case, you may have to decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or none of it, or just part of it. Now, when you consider the weight or the value of the testimony of any particular witness, you may consider the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness when the witness is testifying, and of course a number of other things, including the witness's ability to see or hear or know the things that the witness testifies to, the quality of the witness's memory, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully, whether or not the witness has any interest in the outcome of the case, or any motive, bias or prejudice, whether the witness is contradicted by anything

the witness said or wrote before trial, and how reasonable is the witness's testimony when you consider it with the other evidence which you do believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness, keep in mind that people sometimes do forget things. You need to consider whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood, and that might depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or just a small detail.

The weight or the value of evidence does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying for one side. You must consider all of the evidence. And you may decide the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has more weight or value than that presented by the other side, and of course keeping in mind that, as you've been told, defendant doesn't have to present any witnesses. So you may still decide the case, even if the defense does not present any witnesses, you may still find that the State did not meet its burden.

There are two kinds of evidence; direct and circumstantial evidence. You might have heard about that on television and have been misled about what those two kinds of evidence are. So direct evidence is testimony about what a witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It's proof of one or more

ΤV

2.4

facts from which you can find another fact.

So let me give you an example of that. So let's say you got up this morning and as you walked out your front door you noticed that there was water dripping from the eaves of your house, and the sidewalk leading out to the street was also completely wet.

As you looked down the street, you saw that as far as you could see it looked wet, there was water running down the gutters and it smelled good, like rain maybe. From all of those circumstantial facts you observe, you could deduce or infer another fact, that it rained during the night. Now, that's circumstantial evidence.

However, say you were awakened in the night and you decided to go out and see what it was, and you walked out your front door and you looked up and saw that it was raining. You saw the water falling from the sky, with the clouds up there, and you experienced it directly. That is direct evidence that it rained in the night.

And that's the difference between those two types of evidence. However, the law gives equal weight to both kinds of evidence. So you may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding the case. You may give equal weight or value to both, but of course, it's always for you to decide how much consideration you give to any evidence.

Certain things are not evidence, and you must not

consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of the case. Statements and arguments by the attorneys. So all those statements you heard from the attorneys during voir dire, not evidence. Questions and objections by the attorneys, that's not evidence either.

Testimony I instruct you to disregard and anything that you may see or hear if court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is actually said or done by one of the parties or one of the witnesses. Remember that evidence for the case that you may consider is sworn testimony by a witness while court is in session, and documents and other evidence which I allow to be received into evidence.

Now, there are rules of law which control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyers asks a question or offers an exhibit and the other lawyer on the other side thinks that that question is improper or the exhibit is improper under the law and rules, then that lawyer may object. In fact, it's their job and duty to object.

So if I overrule an objection, then the question may be answered or the exhibit received into evidence. Now, if I sustain the objection, then the question can't be answered and the evidence can't be received into — or the exhibit can't be received into evidence. Sometimes I might even tell you to ignore, or I might strike something.

So for instance, if somebody -- if there's a

question, there's an objection, and the witness answers the question before I can sustain the objection, and I then tell you to disregard that, you must disregard it and not consider it as part of the evidence in the case.

So whenever I do sustain an objection to a question, please ignore the question. Don't try and guess what the answer might have been. Because if I sustain it, it's an improper question. But as I told you, it's the duty of lawyers to object, and you shouldn't be prejudiced or hold it against them in any way because they make objections on behalf of their clients. That's their job and their duty, as I stated.

Also from time to time I might find it necessary to admonish or remind a lawyer about rules, and when I do that, it's merely to remind them about something they might have forgotten, and it's not something that you should hold against that lawyer because I've found it necessary to remind them.

Now, you are not normally of course to concern yourself with a sentence, but in this case, because first degree murder has been charged, if the jury does decide the — that the defendant is guilty of first degree murder, and only if they — if you do so find, then there are possible sentences that may be — that will be considered by you in a separate penalty hearing immediately following the main part of the trial.

At the end of the trial, when you go back to consider all of the evidence that you've heard, you'll have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You're not going to have a written transcript to consult, and of course it's difficult and time consuming for our court recorder to play back lengthy testimony that's recorded during the trial. So I urge you to pay close attention and — to the testimony as it's given.

Of course you've been given pads and for the purpose of taking notes. And you may in fact take notes that will help you remember what witnesses said. So if you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. You're not allowed to share them in the meantime.

You're not allowed to discuss the case until it's finally submitted to you, even with your fellow jurors. So you don't — when we're on breaks, you can't discuss the case. You can talk about other things. What the lawyers are wearing is often a favorite topic, but you can't discuss the case. And so please don't do so.

Also, don't let the note-taking distract you from listening. Sometimes when we're taking notes, and I've had it happen to me, I'm taking a note and I don't hear the next question, and then you've missed something. So make listening be your primary thing. Try not to — if you're going to take

a note, try and make it short as possible so you don't miss the next five questions because you're concentrating on writing a beautiful flawless note.

Okay. And also, don't be overly influenced by the notes from other jurors when you do go back to deliberate, because you should be relying also on your own notes and memory. Of course [inaudible] discuss with each other, but don't be overly influenced by any notes taken by others.

And as I told you, until the case is finally submitted, don't talk to anyone else about it until you go into the jury room to deliberate, then you may discuss it of course with your fellow jurors. When I say don't talk about it with anyone else, who do I mean? Well, that includes members of your family.

They're all going to be very excited to know that you are sitting on a criminal case, and they're going to want to ask you about it because that's natural. They're curious. But you can't talk to them about it. So just tell them I'm not allowed to talk to you about it until after it's all over, then I'd be happy to talk to you about it, but until then I can't discuss it at all.

And don't let them continue to ask you questions about it. Because sometimes people, the reason they want to talk to you about it is they want to weigh in with their opinion, and that would be improper because they are trying to

influence your opinion. So, you know, if somebody starts bothering you or asking you questions, if it's somebody you don't know, obviously report that to the marshal.

If it's a family member, just tell them, you know, shut them down. Just say, I'm not talking to you about this at all and the judge has told me that if you keep bothering me she's going to haul you in to court on contempt. So that ought to get them to leave you alone. All right. So also, I'm not anticipating any media coverage on this case, but you never know. We have in our system of justice the courtroom is open. Anyone can come in and observe the proceedings.

So please do not do any research obviously. You've already been told that, don't do any research in the case. But also avoid reading any stories or blogs or anything of that nature. You probably wouldn't see a blog unless you searched for it. But, you know, to be in an abundance of caution, if you take the newspaper or you tend to read the newspaper each day, you could certainly have someone else prescreen it for you just in case, to make sure that there's nothing about our trial in there before you look at it.

And also, don't visit any of the scenes that you may hear referenced as where things occurred. That would be considered an unauthorized visit to the scene. If we make a visit to a scene, it would be as a group and it would be carefully monitored.

Oftentimes things have changed in the intervening time. As you know if you live in Las Vegas, and you haven't been down in a particular area of town in a couple months, it could be completely changed because we build so much. So please avoid those things, because it could give you an erroneous impression.

1.6

1.8

Now, a juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to the case of which the juror has knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that the juror or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact that's in controversy in the case, then the juror shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors.

So what does that mean? That means if you learn anything during the course of the trial that you discover that, oh, I do have personal knowledge about this, I didn't realize it before but now I do, you need to let me know about that, and you do that through the marshal. Your contact in this case with officers of the court is only through the marshal. You may not discuss the case with the marshal either.

So that there are things you can talk to the marshal about. You know, your parking, you know, what time you need to be here, directions. If it's too cold in the courtroom you could complain to him. He won't be able to do anything about

it, because see those thermostats, I don't think they're connected to anything. I'm always cold in here.

1.3

So layered clothing is always best. And even if you're feeling chilly, you can bring a little lap blanket, whatever, just so you're comfortable. But I really have little to no control over — I would say no control over the temperature in the courtroom. Sometimes it's cold, sometimes it's hot. I'm usually cold. My clerk's usually hot and she gets her fan out. But we can't really do too much about it. But you could complain to the marshal if you'd like.

But if you do discover that you've learned something or you learn something in the course of the trial because you see it outside the courtroom, then again, you would report that to the marshal. He would bring it to my attention. I would bring it to the attention of the lawyers, and we would handle it in the appropriate manner.

All right. So don't make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you have gone to the jury room to decide the case, and you and your fellow jurors have had the opportunity to discuss the evidence. It's really important that you do keep an open mind.

Now, the trial is going to proceed in the following manner. The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement. Opening statements are an outline of the case to help you understand what the State expects to prove. It's not

argument or the summation at the end of the case. It's really intended to be a presentation of a guideline, if you will, of what to expect, and that's the purpose of them.

11.

So after the State then makes its opening statement, the counsel for the defense may also make an opening statement, but they may — they may not. You know, they may choose not to or they may reserve to make it later in the case. It's up to the lawyers.

After opening statements, then the State will make — will present its evidence by calling witnesses, and counsel for the defense may cross—examine those witnesses. And following the State's case, the defense may present evidence and the deputies district attorney could cross—examine those witnesses. Again, as I've said to you I think many times, the defendant is not obligated however to present any evidence.

After all of the evidence has been presented, then I'll instruct you on the law. And after the instruction on the law has been read to you, each side will have the opportunity to present oral closing arguments. And what's said in these closing arguments is not evidence.

The arguments in closing are designed again, to summarize what you heard in the courtroom as evidence, and interpret that evidence and show you how it applies to the instructions that I will give to you. And I will give you

those instructions before the closing arguments are made.

Now, after the arguments have been completed, then you'll retire to deliberate on your verdict. And again, another reminder that during the course of the trial, attorneys for both sides as well as court personnel other than the marshal are not permitted to converse with you because it's not allowed under the rules. They're not being antisocial or rude. They're just not permitted to talk to you because to do so might contaminate your verdict.

So ladies and gentlemen, the State is now allowed to make the first opening statement.

MR. BATEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

## STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. BATEMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. I'm going to show you about a three minute video that you will hear testimony regarding in this particular case. It's from 3625 Siegel Suites — or excuse me, 3625 Boulder Highway. It's a Siegel Suites on Boulder Highway and it comes from December, the early morning hours of December 22, 2013, approximately 4:25. It was taken on a camera by a witness, and it occurs just after the defendant in this particular case, Luis Pimentel, shoots Robert Bobby Holland twice, once in the upper right—hand shoulder and once in the left buttocks.

(Video plays for the jury.)

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

25

MR. BATEMAN: The person on the ground again, as I said, was Robert Bobby Holland, the person getting CPR. The person giving him CPR was his father, also by the name of Robert Holland. He'll appear in a little bit [inaudible]. Again, Siegel Suites, 3625 Boulder Highway, 4:25 a.m., December 22, 2013.

This is a photograph after Bobby had been taken for medical intervention. He ultimately died and succumbed to his This result came about because of a fight between the defendant and Bobby Holland over a girl by the name of Amanda Lowe.

Ultimately, as you saw there in the video, the defendant was no longer at the scene when police arrived, and was ultimately -- we'll talk about that a little bit later. Before he left, this is the gun that he used to shoot Robert Holland again, in the chest and in the buttocks, and he threw it under a car in the parking lot before he took off running awav.

You'll hear from the medical examiner in this particular case, ladies and gentlemen, about what I just told you were the wounds. There was an upper right chest wound, which was the first quashot wound you can see in the photograph on your left, and then ultimately a wound to the left buttocks of Bobby Holland. And I'll tell you a little bit about the witnesses that are going to testify about how

those wounds came about.

It's important, as you saw in that video, when you see an actual scene of the immediate aftermath of a shooting and ultimately a homicide, to understand this case, you have to understand the relationships involved. Bobby Holland lived here in Clark County with his mom, Debra. His dad is no longer with his mom, lived a short distance away. He lived in her house. They converted the garage to an apartment, and he lived there with her.

You will hear that Bobby is not a perfect person. He has a methamphetamine addiction. You'll hear on the night in question that he was in fact — had significant methamphetamine in his body. You'll also hear however, that the defendant on the right, Luis Pimentel, where most of the witnesses in this particular case know him by the name of Lorenzo.

You'll find out that for some months Bobby and
Lorenzo were associates and were friends. You will hear
testimony that Lorenzo had been to Bobby's house from both his
mother and his father, that they had seen him there, that they
had talked to him and to their knowledge they were friends.
You'll also hear that from another witness by the name of Tim
Hildebrand, and another witness by the name of Shannon
Salazar. So these are not strangers to each other at all.

The person at the middle of this controversy, Amanda

Lowe, had been known by Bobby Holland for quite some time and was a girlfriend at the end of the day. She — you will hear from again, Bobby's mother and her father — and his father that she would routinely stay with Bobby at his house, that they held themselves out in appearance to be boyfriend and girlfriend. Again, she would stay over at the particular house.

She called — even called Bobby Holland's dad,

Robert, Pops. So for up until December 22, 2013, these two

appeared to be for all intents and purposes in a relationship.

That particular night you will hear from Bobby's mom, Debra,

that these two individuals, her son and Amanda, were at her

house in the early morning hours of December 22, 2013.

You will hear that Amanda has clientele that she services, that she occasionally engages in prostitution, and you will hear that all things otherwise were normal. To Debra these two were still in a relationship, they were behaving normally. And at about 1:30 in the morning they had to take — they being Debra and Bobby had to take her to a local gas station to then ultimately meet up with her client, or at least that was their understanding.

They drove in Debra's car over to the gas station.

There was a hug and a kiss goodbye, and Debra and — well, and Amanda told Bobby and Debra that she would be done in about an hour and a half. Again, that was the early morning hours of

December 22, 2013.

1.9

Well, at Arizona Charlie's, at about that same time in the morning, on 4575 Boulder Highway, you'll find out that in fact Amanda Lowe was with the defendant. That's the defendant there, and had apparently begun a relationship with the defendant. Somehow later in that evening, as these two were gambling at the Arizona Charlie's, somehow Bobby finds out that Amanda is at the Boulder — is at the Arizona Charlie's on Boulder Highway.

You'll see ultimately Bobby on the left of the screen, you'll see the defendant in the middle, and you'll see in that green shirt Amanda sitting and playing slots. Bobby, when you watch this video — and you'll see it. Security guards will come in and testify what happened at the Arizona Charlie's. Bobby immediately arrives and focuses in on Amanda, and spends some time in what appears to be a difficult conversation with her.

He — you'll see on the video, primarily he directs his attention to her and has very little attention towards the defendant. In fact, when he comes in, he walks right past the defendant. The defendant comes out of the screen and then ultimately comes back.

At some point the discussion between Bobby and Amanda gets heated. Bobby's asked to leave, and he goes out into the parking lot. He calls his dad and he call — well,

first he calls his dad, and he says to his dad that if his dad didn't get over there he was going to get — he might end up going to jail, because he had already kind of gotten into it with security, so he needed to come over.

. 24

His dad, Bobby Holland — Robert Holland, gets in his red Dodge, drives over to the Arizona Charlie's and talks to Bobby, and Bobby says, I need you to go in and talk to Amanda and ask her to come out and talk to me. So that's what ultimately Robert goes in and does. He sees Amanda inside the Arizona Charlie's with the defendant. Ultimately Amanda does not want to come out and talk to Bobby.

In this particular photograph, again you'll see from the surveillance video, Bobby is actually speaking to two individuals, Tim Hildebrand and Shannon Salazar. You'll hear from these two people as witnesses in this particular case. He knows them. They are coming to the Arizona Charlie's by happenstance, and they run in to each other out in the parking lot.

Bobby again tells Tim and Shannon that he's having a fight with Amanda, she's inside with the defendant, and would you please go in and talk to Amanda and have her come out and talk to me. So they also go into the Arizona Charlie's, Tim and Shannon, and again Amanda doesn't want to come out and talk with Bobby.

Later on they ultimately do come out of the Arizona

Charlie's. You'll see kind of in a vague picture here, this is the defendant along with Bobby and Tim, some other folks. And after this particular photograph, and I didn't want to play the whole video for you right now, Bobby ends up going to this left side of this photograph and speaking with Amanda. That does not go well ultimately and Bobby gets angrier with Amanda. I think he throws some sort of item at her.

She's walking back to Pimentel's, the defendant's room here at the Arizona Charlie's. On the left you can see the defendant coming out, and this is when ultimately they get into a heated argument about what was going on that particular night. Again, you remember these two individuals know each other and have had a lot of contact with each other.

Ultimately you'll hear Shannon Salazar say that Pimentel was taunting Bobby. He says, I'll be home in 30 minutes. Tim Hildebrand says Pimentel's response to Bobby, that Bobby was going to beat up — the defendant was be at my house.

So ultimately these two go at it for awhile, and it ends with the understanding that the defendant is heading back to where he lived, which was the Siegel Suites. Obviously again, like I said, the defendant and Bobby knew each other, so Bobby knew where the Siegel Suites was.

Bobby ends up leaving the parking area and meeting up with his dad. His dad picks him up at the local 7-Eleven,

which is next door. The defendant leaves with Amanda. The defendant doesn't have a car with him, so the defendant asks Tim Hildebrand and Shannon Salazar, you'll see Tim in the video when we play it during trial, to give them a ride.

6.

So ultimately Tim, Shannon, Shannon is Tim's girlfriend, and the defendant and Amanda Lowe all get into Tim's Hyundai, and they drive down the road just a little ways down to the Siegel Suites. This takes a little bit of time. So ultimately Bobby actually with his dad, with the help of his dad, end up back at the Siegel Suites. His dad will tell you on the ride over that obviously Bobby was upset, but Bobby was directing his attention to speaking with Amanda Lowe.

In this particular photograph you'll see the Durango on the right. The red Durango, that is Robert Holland's vehicle. He pulls into the parking lot, faces northbound. You're looking southbound down Boulder Highway. The defendant — excuse me, Bobby gets out, goes up to the third floor where the defendant has his room. As he's getting up there ultimately, that's when the defendant, Tim, Shannon and Amanda roll into the parking lot.

At that point in time Tim and the defendant get out, and there's a confrontation down at the bottom of the stairs on the left-hand side of this particular photograph. Amanda and Shannon drive away back behind this particular building. Shannon will tell you she did hear some back and forth between

Τ.

the two that was similar to what she was hearing at the Arizona Charlie's earlier and ongoing fighting.

So basically you've got two eyewitnesses to what ultimately transpires. You have Tim — excuse me, Bobby's dad sitting in the Durango on the right looking in his rear—view mirror and his side—view mirror is how he's watching this occur. And you can see where ultimately this event takes place in that photograph that I showed you earlier. The aftermath of the shooting is right here next to the — that brown Chevrolet that's parked.

So Robert Holland's view is a little bit obscured by that Chevy truck. Tim however is also out on the scene. And what first Robert Holland will tell you is that he sees an argument going on, and he ultimately sees Bobby make — swing one punch and hit the defendant in the left eye.

He then sees Bobby backing up, and then they go out of sight behind the cars, and he hears two gunshots. He gets out of his car only to see the defendant throw the gun down underneath the Chevrolet truck and run off towards Boulder Highway, which is to the right.

Tim will tell you in a little bit more detail the story. Tim will tell you that there continued to be an argument, and I think what ends up happening is that Tim hears the defendant finally say — well, ultimately Bobby says, You're not going to have sex with Amanda tonight, and

defendant says, Yes, I am, and I'm going to show her what a real man is like.

Upon saying that to Bobby at the bottom of the stairs, Bobby — that's when the punch occurs, the right hand to the defendant's left eye. What Tim will then tell you is that Pimentel pulls out a gun from underneath his clothes that you couldn't see before and points it at Bobby. Bobby says, What are you going to do and shoot me — what are you going to do, shoot me? He then starts backing up.

And Tim will tell you that Pimentel actually pulls the trigger and the gun doesn't fire. So he ultimately has to rack a round in the gun, and chases Bobby around one of those cars that's parked right there in the parking lot, ultimately ending up shooting Bobby in the upper left — upper right chest.

After that happens, Bobby turns around, falls face first onto the ground on his stomach, and the defendant walks up to him as he's face-first on his stomach, and shoots him one time in the left buttocks. You'll hear from the medical examiner that that gunshot wound, the bullet traveled through his left buttocks into his stomach. And you'll actually see a hole in his stomach on those photographs that I showed you, where the bullet tried to exit but couldn't because it hit into the cement at that point.

Again, the two injuries, you'll see the stomach shot

is not an actual shot to his stomach up here in the corner, but as a result of the gunshot wound that the defendant inflicted on Bobby as he was laying face-first on the ground. He then — the defendant throws the gun under a vehicle, says, I'm out, according to Tim, and walks out towards Boulder Highway.

1.7

You'll hear from some additional witnesses that were at the scene. Sean Nelson is the person that was taking that video that we saw early on. And he also will tell you he hears two gunshots, and he'll tell you what he heard right before those two gunshots were fired.

James Tabele says he sees a chase around a vehicle, which is consistent to what Tim will tell you. And then Steve Verwey's actually coming into the Siegel Suites when the defendant is taking off out towards Boulder Highway. And he'll tell you his contact with the defendant, that he appeared to be startled that he saw Mr. Verwey and was nervous.

Interestingly, Mr. Verwey had just come from a bar across the street, and his friend had gone down to the bus stop, the CAT bus on Boulder Highway, which is just south. And so he actually watched the defendant take off running towards the CAT bus and called his friend, his name's Kris Momon, who had gone down to the bus stop, let him know, hey, I think a guy — I just heard two gunshots, I think a guy that

did it is coming your way.

(Video plays for the jury.)

MR. BATEMAN: That, ladies and gentlemen, was the defendant running towards the CAT bus. You'll hear from the bus driver about the surveillance video on the CAT bus, and that she was driving this bus and saw the defendant come running up and get on the bus. Just north of this is the Siegel Suites.

Very shortly thereafter, because you heard all these witnesses who identified the defendant heading towards the CAT bus, the CAT bus was stopped at about Fremont and Bruce up north on Boulder Highway, and the defendant was pulled off the CAT bus, placed in custody until such time as he could be taken to the homicide office to be interviewed.

And that particular night he denied being with anyone that was relevant to this particular case, and denied knowing anything about the case whatsoever. Ultimately, as I said, that same night Bobby Holland ended up having some medical intervention, but ultimately died as a result of the gunshot wounds.

So in this particular case we've charged the defendant with murder with use of a deadly weapon, and we charged him with carrying a concealed weapon. After you hear all of the evidence in this case, ladies and gentlemen, you'll decide the facts of this case. You'll hear the law at the end

of the case from Your Honor.

We'll have a chance to address you one additional time as to how the facts in this case were presented, what you heard, and what their relevancy is to the law. And what you'll find at the end of the day is that the defendant committed murder with use of a deadly weapon, and he committed carrying a concealed weapon. Thank you.

THE COURT: Defense.

MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor.

DEFENSE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. SLIFE: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, once again.

"I'm going to kick your ass. I'm going to kill you, mother fucker." That's what Robert Holland said to Luis Pimentel right before he called his dad and told him to pick him up or he was going to jail. That's also what Robert said to Luis after he had beaten his ex-girlfriend, Amanda, and become so violent at the Arizona Charlie's casino that he was escorted off the premises by security officers in the early morning of December 22. I'm going to kick your ass, I'm going to kill you mother fucker.

A short time after making that statement and other threats, Robert, who stood six-three, 300 pounds, that's me plus 80 pounds, stalks Luis to his apartment, pounds wildly on Luis's door, confronts Luis as he's walking to his door, and

punches him in the face. And when Luis actually fights back, Robert pulls a gun. But that's not where this story starts.

Let's all go back to April of 2003, over a decade before. At that time it's just a few years after 9/11, and Luis joins the Army out of a patriotic sense of duty to his country. And he serves proudly in the Army for seven years as a medic until he's honorably discharged in 2010.

And during his time in the Army, you'll find out he is deployed two different times to Afghanistan. He has to endure combat. And even though he's a medic, he is a soldier first, and he's forced to take part in some very serious firefights, firefights where he's forced to do terrible things and to see terrible things. Terrible things you'll hear including killing people, and sees his friends and fellow soldiers die.

And at some point Luis can't handle it anymore and he is shipped from Afghanistan to Italy. And while in Italy, you'll hear that he struggles with nightmares. He struggles with flashbacks. He can't make it stop. It's overwhelming him. And at some point it gets so bad that he tries to commit suicide. Fortunately he's not successful in his suicide attempt.

But the Army recognizes that he has a problem and he's shipped to the Walter Reed Medical Center just outside of Washington, D.C., and he's treated for what we all know

through jury selection is post traumatic stress disorder. He stays at Walter Reed for about a year and a half, and during that time he gets married, and in 2010 he's honorably discharged from the Army.

Now, when he gets out of the military, you'll hear that he lives in Virginia for a time. He has a child. He tries to go back to school. He tries different jobs. But something is wrong. And his wife sees that something is wrong. Some nights he wakes up screaming. He continues to have nightmares. He continues to have flashbacks. And it's not only tough on him; it's tough on his marriage, it's tough on his family, it's tough on his child, and he and his wife decide to separate.

And he goes to Las Vegas where he's from originally, where he has family, to try to get himself together. And when he gets here he lives with his mother for a while. You'll hear that he lives with his brother for about a year. His brother then gets engaged and he decides it's time to get his own place.

During that time his wife and his child come out to visit him, but he's still having a hard time. He still suffers with nightmares, still suffers with flashbacks. And to cope he tries to stay busy and he starts to hang out with some questionable people, and his life sort of goes off the rails at that time.

specifically December 21, 2013. And on that night we find Luis hanging out with a girl named Amanda Lowe, hanging out at the Arizona Charlie's casino. Amanda has an on again sort of off again ex-boyfriend named Robert. Luis has known Robert for a few months. And while Luis has known Robert for a few months, he doesn't know the full extent of Robert's jealousy

and obsession over Amanda, but he's about to find out.

Now, that brings us to December of 2013,

Robert learns on this day that as Amanda — Amanda is hanging out with Luis, and he doesn't like it. It makes him angry. He comes to the Arizona Charlie's casino. Robert confronts Amanda inside the casino. He starts to get loud. He won't leave her alone. And you'll see video of this. At some point Luis walks over. You'll see that Luis walks up and Robert kind of stares him down, and Luis kind of backs off out of the picture.

At some point you'll see on the video security starts to hover around. You could tell that they're concerned about Robert's behavior. And at some point Amanda and Robert go outside and Mr. Bateman noticed that it gets heated. It doesn't just get heated outside. Robert actually hits Amanda in the face. Again, six-three, 300 pounds.

Shortly after this a bike patrol officer sees Amanda crying, sees her terrified, and asks her if Robert puts his hands on her. And she says yes. So he trespasses Robert off

of the property. Robert goes to the sidewalk just outside the property line, but he doesn't leave. Instead he stands there, sort of lying in wait to see where Amanda's going to go.

Knowing this, you'll hear that Amanda's friend actually goes to hotel security and makes it clear that she and Amanda are afraid of Robert, and that Amanda wants to go to her hotel room to get her things, and they want the security officer's help protecting them as they go to their room. So security agrees to protect them from Robert lurking on the sidewalk, at which point security actually sees Amanda come out from a pillar where she had been hiding.

She was so scared she was hiding while her friend spoke to security officers. And they all began to walk over to their hotel room. And as Amanda and her girlfriend walked to the hotel room, Robert sees them, starts screaming at them. Amanda is begging him to leave them alone. And then you'll see on video that Robert just charges at them, charges and tries to attack them, despite the fact that he had already been trespassed.

And luckily, thankfully the security officers are there and they're able to jump in between and kind of break it up. But you'll hear the security officer say that if he had not intervened he's convinced Robert would have seriously hurt Amanda. And after this Robert actually throws his cellphone at Amanda.

At some point during all of this, Luis comes out of the hotel to see what's going on and he tries to protect Amanda, and he tries to deescalate the situation you'll hear. And when he does that, Bobby immediately, Robert turns his anger onto Luis, and he starts screaming at Luis things like I'm going to kick your ass, I'm going to kill you, I'm going to kill you, threatens him multiple times.

Luis tries to be calm, tells Robert he doesn't —
excuse me, tells Robert just to leave Amanda alone. But he
also lets Robert know that he shouldn't be hitting women, and
he also lets Robert know that he's not scared of him. And
Robert continues to threaten him, continues to threaten him,
I'll kill you. And Luis, to show Robert that he's not scared
of him, says something to the effect of, hey, you know where I
live.

You'll hear that Luis is not escorted off the property because he didn't do anything wrong. In fact, you'll hear that Luis is very calm. You'll hear that he's very respectful of the officers. But at this point it's obvious Robert's not going to leave, and so in an attempt to calm everything down, Luis offers to leave himself after telling security, hey, I don't want anymore problems. So he gathers his belongings, gets a ride home from a few people, including Amanda, and they drive to Luis's apartment.

And you'll hear that during that short drive Luis is

not acting angry. He's not talking about Robert. There's no talk of any gun. He just wants to be home. He just wants to leave this drama with Robert behind. And so the car pulls up to his house, he thanks them for the ride, but when he gets out of the car, everyone's attention is turned to Robert, who is screaming and pounding on Luis's third floor apartment door.

Luis doesn't want any trouble. Again, he just wants to go home. So he walks up to Robert and tries to calm down the situation. Among other things Luis says something to the effect of, hey, man, can't we just deal with this later. But at that moment Robert makes it very clear that he doesn't want to deal with it later. He wants to finish this now.

Robert marches down the stairs, goes right toward

Luis still screaming at him, and Luis yells back, there's some

back and forth. But he goes right down the stairs, uses all

300, six-three pounds and punches Luis in the face with

everything he has. But Luis doesn't fall down. Luis actually

punches back, and they start to fight and struggle close to

the parked cars.

And at some point Robert realizes that Luis is actually going to fight back. He's not just going to be able to beat him up. And when he realizes this, Robert pulls out his gun and he points it at Luis. And when that happens, Luis realizes this isn't just some angry guy who's going to leave

after a few punches. This is someone who wants to kill him.

He realizes that this is a life or death situation, and he goes into a state of mind where he's capable of handling life or death situations, where he's handled life or death situations before. He gets into this state of mind that he would get into in Afghanistan when he was in a life or death situation. And you'll hear that everything after that happens really fast.

Luis is able to punch Robert's hand holding the gun, get the gun and shoot back, and the gun goes off twice. The first bullet hits Robert in the chest. The second bullet goes into the left side of Robert's back side. You'll hear some witnesses say there were three to four seconds between the shot. Some say 10 to 15 seconds. It all happened very fast.

And after that second shot, Luis sees that there is another man there that he hadn't seen before, and he recognizes that man to be Robert's father. It is dark in the parking lot, and Luis thinks Robert's dad may have a gun. He's not necessarily sure. But Luis doesn't want anymore trouble, so he drops Robert's gun in the street and he goes down the street and he gets on the bus.

And at this point in time Luis is in shock. He just shot Robert. He's not sure what to do. He's scared. He tries to call Amanda. And then he just sits there on the bus. He's wishing that this hadn't happened, wishing that Robert

, 1 -

wouldn't have come to his apartment, wishing that Robert wouldn't have punched him or pulled a gun, thinking to himself why wouldn't Robert just leave me alone.

And one thing Luis doesn't know at the time that you will see is that Robert actually had, Mr. Bateman said, significant amounts — he had lethal or toxic amounts of methamphetamine in his system. You'll hear it's 10 to 20 times the level that leads to violent behavior.

But there's Luis just sitting on this bus not quite knowing what to do, and at some point police are made aware what bus Luis is on and they take him off the bus and they put him in handcuffs. And when Luis is arrested, he knows why the police are there. He knows why he's being arrested based on what just happened. He doesn't say, hey, what's this all about, you have the wrong guy. He knows what's going on.

But you'll see that he's immediately put in handcuffs, he's taken to do an interview with detectives at the detective bureau. He's handcuffed to the table. Luis doesn't feel that police are necessarily on his side. So when he's interviewed by detectives awhile later, he tells them some things, but he doesn't tell them the whole story. And afterward he's charged with murder and this case is set for trial.

And that's what we're here to do now, to have a trial, and that's why you are all here. You will see the

1	whole story in this case. You will see what happened and you	
. 2	will see that this is not murder, what happened. You will	
3	ultimately see that based on Robert's behavior that night and	
4	early morning what Luis Pimentel did was justifiable, legally	
5	justifiable and that he's not guilty of murder. And I thank	
6	you all very much for your time.	
. 7	THE COURT: Thank you. The State may call its first	
8	witness.	
9	MR. BATEMAN: Can I have one moment, Your Honor?	
10	THE COURT: Okay.	
11	(Pause in proceeding.)	
12	MR. BATEMAN: The State calls I'm sorry, Your	
13	Honor, Debra Battelini.	
14	THE COURT: Thank you.	
15	DEBRA BATTELINI, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN	
16	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and	
17	last name.	
18	THE WITNESS: Debra Battelini, D-e-b-r-a.	
19	Battelini, B-a-t-t-e-l-i-n-i.	
20	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
21	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you. May I approach the clerk	
22	briefly, Your Honor.	
23	THE COURT: Yes.	
24	(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)	
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT	

1	BY MR.	BATEMAN:
2	Q	Good afternoon, ma'am.
3	А	Good afternoon.
4	Q	Do you know someone by the name of Bobby Holland?
5	A	Yes, I do.
6	· Q	Is that your son?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	How old — when was he born?
9	A	8/14/84.
10	Q	And at that time were you with his father?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And what was his name?
13	Α	Robert Holland.
14	Q	So they're both named Robert; is that right?
15	A	Right. Bobby was the third.
16	. Q	You call him Bobby?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Everybody else call him Bobby?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Has Bobby always lived with you?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	At some point did you ultimately end up divorcing
23	Robert?	
24	А	Yes, I have.
25	Q	And did you end up in a house on 3822 Don Carlos
	i.	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Drive?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	That was some time later?
4	Ā	Oh, yeah. Years later.
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
6	Honor?	
7		THE COURT: You may.
8.	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
9	Q	I show you exhibit State's Proposed Exhibit No.
10	1. Do yo	u recognize that person?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Who is that?
13	A	My son.
14		MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of Exhibit 1
15	[inaudib]	e].
16		MS. LEMCKE: I'm sorry?
17		THE COURT: He's moved for the admission
18	<u>,</u>	MR. BATEMAN: Move for the admission of one.
19		THE COURT: Any objection?
20		MS. LEMCKE: Well, just subject to our earlier
21	conversat	cions.
22		THE COURT: It will be admitted.
23		(State's Exhibit 1 admitted.)
24		MR. BATEMAN: May I publish briefly?
25	·	THE COURT: [No audible response.]
•		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	A Sandhill and Flamingo. It's between Viking and
2	Twain.
3	Q Not too far from Boulder Highway?
4	A No.
5	Q Now, did you have occasion to, as you lived with
6	Bobby, meet some of his friends?
7	A Oh, yeah. A lot of them.
. 8	Q Do you know someone by the name of Luis Pimentel?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Did he go by a different name when you met him?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What name was that?
13	A Lorenzo.
14	Q Do you see him here in the courtroom?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Could you please point to him and describe what he's
17.	wearing?
18	A Right there [indicating]. He's in a suit and a tie.
19	Q And can you be a little bit more specific about the
20	color of the suit?
21	A It's a gray suit [indicating].
22	MR. BATEMAN: May the record reflect the
23	identification of the defendant, Your Honor?
24	THE COURT: It will.

1	A Yes.
2	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
3	Honor?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	BY MR. BATEMAN:
6	Q Showing you State's Proposed Exhibit No. 2, do you
7	know that person?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Who is that?
10	A Amanda Lowe.
11	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of two [inaudible].
12	THE COURT: Granted.
13	(State's Exhibit 2 admitted.)
14	BY MR. BATEMAN:
15	Q When did you first — in relationship to December
16	22, 2013, when did you first meet Amanda Lowe?
17	A A couple years prior.
18	Q And again, was it at the house that you met her?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And did you know her you said a couple years?
21	A Yeah.
22	Q Did you know her for that two year period of time
23	then? Did she come over often?
24	A She started not coming over much, and then she
25	gradually was coming over a lot, and then she gradually
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Q Did it appear to you that there was any sort of
2	well, did it appear normal, how
3	A Yeah. Everything appeared fine.
4	Q On December in the early morning hours of
5	December 22, 2013, did you have occasion to be with Bobby and
6	Amanda?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Was anything abnormal that particular evening and
9	into the morning hours?
10	A No.
11	Q Again, you had said that Amanda had been living
12	there?
13	A She had been staying there, yes.
14	Q Did they ask you to take them anywhere?
15	A Yes.
16	Q About what time?
17	A It had to be about 1:00.
18	Q In the morning?
19	A Roughly around 1:00. Yeah.
20	Q Do you have a vehicle?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And where did when I say they, did Amanda ask you
23	to take her somewhere, or did Bobby ask you to take her
24	somewhere?
25	A Bobby asked me, but Amanda was standing there with
	TRIODDETETED DOLLCU DDAFT

1	him wi	th him.
2	Q	Did they ask you to take them somewhere?
3	A	Yeah.
4	Q	And where were you
5	A	Amanda needed the ride.
6	Q	Amanda needed a ride where?
7	A	To meet a client.
8	Q	You understood that she was going to meet
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	one of her clients for prostitution?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q	And where is it that they requested you to take her?
13	A	To the Sinclair gas station on Indios and Boulder
14	Highway.	
15	Q	Is that very far from your house?
16	А	No.
17	Q	And did you want to take her?
18	A	Yeah. I didn't have a problem with it.
19	, Q	Did you approve of what she was doing?
20	A	No.
21	Q	So ultimately did you take her and Bobby to that
22	particula	ar gas station?
23	A	Yes.
24	. Q	That was about 1:30 in the morning?
25	A	About 1:00, 1:30, somewhere around there.
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 57

Ά		No	
$\tau_{1}$	,	$r_{1} \circ$	•

- Q What's the next thing you learned about Bobby?
- A Somebody called the house, and my older son answered the phone and came and got me and said Bobby's been shot. And I jumped out of bed and tried to find out where he was. I called 911.
  - Q Did you ultimately find out where he ended up?
- A Yeah. The 911 operator, I told her where I lived, and the next thing I know I had the detective call me. I kept screaming at him, Where is my son, I got to I want to go see him. He informed me on the phone that my son didn't make it.
  - MR. BATEMAN: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Cross.
    - MS. LEMCKE: Your Honor, can we approach?
- THE COURT: Yes.
  - (Bench conference not transcribed.)
- THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a restroom break at this time because it's already 3:00 o'clock, and the witness can have a break too.
  - THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COURT: And so during this 10 minute recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by

1	any person connected with the trial or by any medium of
2	information, including without limitation newspaper,
3	television, radio or Internet, and you are not to form or
4	express an opinion on any subject connected with this case
5	until it's finally submitted to you.
6	We'll be in recess until ten after 3:00.
-7	(Jurors recessed at 3:02 p.m.)
8 .	THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to do I get to go?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Or do I wait here?
11	THE COURT: The record will reflect that the jury
12	has departed the courtroom. And do you need to use the
13	restroom?
14	THE WITNESS: Well, I can just wait. I was going to
15	go have a cigarette.
16	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Marshal
17	MR. BATEMAN: Can we just put her in the anteroom?
18	THE COURT: Well, she wants to smoke.
19	MR. BATEMAN: Oh.
20	THE COURT: So you need to show her where she can do
21	that.
22	You can step down.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	(Court recessed at 3:03 p.m. until 3:17 p.m.)
25	(Debra Battelini resumes the stand.)
	·

## (Jurors reconvene at 3:17 p.m.) 1 THE COURT: The record will reflect we are back 2 3 within the presence of the jury. The defendant is present with his counsel. The deputies district attorney prosecuting 4 the case are present, as are all officers of the court. Will 5 6 counsel so stipulate? 7 MS. LEMCKE: Yes, Your Honor. MR. BATEMAN: Yes. 8 Thank you. You may proceed. THE COURT: 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. LEMCKE: Ma'am, you indicated that you had several family 12 Q 13 members that lived in the house with you. 14 Α Yes. That was your mother? 15 Q 16 Α Yes. And your -- and Bobby? 17 Q . Yes. 18 Α And your other son? 19 Q 20 Α Yes. And then two grandchildren? 21 Q Two grandchildren, my daughter and my granddaughter. 22 Α And then obviously yourself? 23 0 24 Α Yes. And so Bobby occupied what was the garage; is that 25 0 UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	right?	
2	A	Yes.
3	Q ,	He had actually converted that to his own room?
4	A	Right. But cooking and everything, his bathroom, it
5	was all i	n the house.
6	Q	Okay. So there was common area space in the house,
7	yes?	
8	A	Yeah.
9	, Q	And then the garage that Bobby converted to his room
10	was his b	edroom?
11	· A	Right.
12	Q	And that's where he stayed when he slept?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q ·	That's where he would change clothes?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	He made in fact, he kind of fashioned a closet
17	even into	that garage as well?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And that's where he would keep his personal
20	belongings	3?
21	A	Yeah.
22	Q	That was kind of his private space then was the
23	garage, th	nat was his essentially bedroom and his room?
24	А	Yeah.
25	Q	You indicated, if I understood you correctly, that
		INCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	he had	some friends who would come over from time to time?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	So you would see friends of his come to the house?
,4	A	Mm-hmm.
5	Q	And they would hang out with him?
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	And leave with him?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	One of those individuals you indicated was
10	Mr. Pim	entel?
11	A	Yeah. But he usually came himself, yeah.
12	Q	Okay. He would come by himself?
13	A	[No audible response.]
14	Q	And you indicated that he would pick Bobby up; is
15	that ri	ght?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	He would pick him up in a car?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	And that car was?
20	A	I don't know what kind of car it was. It was like a
21	silver 1	oluish. I don't remember.
22	. Q	Did you actually see it?
23	A	Yeah. I seen him in it one time in it, yeah.
24	Q	Okay. And then if I understand you correctly, about
25	two year	es or so before this incident was about the time that
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Bobby int	roduced you to Amanda or that you met Amanda Lowe?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q.	And initially you could tell that Bobby and Amanda
4	were frie	ends?
5	А	Yeah.
6	Q	And they would hang out together?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Amanda would come over to the house?
9	A	Yes.
10	Ω	And she would spend time at your house?
11	A	[No audible response.]
12	Q	Is that a yes? I'm sorry.
13	А	Yes. I'm sorry.
14	Q	You just have to say yes for the record.
15	A	I'm sorry.
16	Q	It's all right. And over time she spent more time
17	with you?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	But she had — she lived with her mom?
20	А	Sometimes, yeah.
21	Q	And her grandma?
22	Α	Their mom lived separately for awhile. And then her
23	mom moved	in with the grandparents.
24	Q	Okay. So her all right. Let me back up. So her
25	mom and he	er grandma lived at different places for a while?

1	A	Right.
2	Q	And sometimes she would live with her mom?
3	· A	Right.
4	Q	Sometimes she would live with her grandma?
5	. · A	Yeah.
6	Q	And then sometimes she would stay at your house over
7	time; is	that right?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	And so sometimes she and Bobby, I think, if I
10	understoc	d you correctly, would spend time alone together at
11	your hous	e?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	In his bedroom?
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	So you weren't necessarily aware of every single
16	thing tha	at they were doing together when they were at home?
17	A	No.
18	Q	If I understood you correctly also, you said that
19	Amanda wo	orked as a prostitute?
20	A	No. [Unintelligible] says is that she had clients,
21		didn't like go out and, you know, stand on a corner or
22	nothing :	like that. She would just say she had clients, that's
23	all I wo	ıld that's all I knew.
24	Q	And you took clients to mean that they were
25	individu	als that would pay her to have sex with them?

1 Well, yeah. She said that. Α 2 She made it very clear? 3 Α Yeah. She didn't hide it. That was not a hidden thing with her. 4 5 She didn't hide it from you? 6 Α No. 7 Didn't hide it from Bobby? Q 8 Α No. 9 O. So Bobby was aware that this is what she was doing 10 to make money? 11 Α Yeah. I mean, it wasn't like she did it every 12 night, no. 13 0 But that this was how she --14 Α Yeah. 15 Q -- made money? 16 Α Yeah. 17 Q And Bobby was aware of that? 18 Α Yes. During this time, this -- say this rough two year or 19 20 so period that Amanda was living there and making money as a 21 prostitute, Bobby wasn't working at that time? 22 Α No. I don't think so. No. 23 And on the early morning hours of December 22, 2013, 24 my understanding is, is that Bobby came to you and asked you 25 to give Amanda a ride to the 7-Eleven?

1	A The Sinclair.
2	Q I'm sorry. The Sinclair.
3	A Yeah.
4	Q Forgive me.
5	A But she yeah, he asked me to give her a ride and
6	she said she just had to go to the Sinclair.
7	Q And that was because she was going to meet one of
8	her clients?
9	A Yes.
.10	Q And Bobby was aware of that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And he rode in the car with you to take her to the
13	Sinclair
14.	A Yes.
15	Q to meet one of her clients?
16	A Yes. But we didn't meet the person though.
17	Q Right. But for her to meet up with one of her
18	clients?
19.	A Right.
20	MS. LEMCKE: All right. The Court's indulgence.
21	(Attorneys confer.)
22	MS. LEMCKE: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Redirect.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	

1 .	BY MR. BATEMAN:
2	Q Just on the ride, you talked about the ride over to
3	drop her off to see the client.
4	A Yes.
. 5	Q To your knowledge, they were still boyfriend and
6	girlfriend at that time?
7	A Yeah. They were getting along fine. There was
8	nothing going — you know, yeah.
9	Q Normal?
10	A Normal.
11	Q Okay. There was a kiss good
12	A Just like they acted every day.
13	Q A kiss goodbye?
14	A Yeah. She told him to get out and give her a kiss
15	and a hug, and she'd see him in an hour and a half.
16	Q Thank you.
17	MS. LEMCKE: The Court's indulgence.
18	(Attorneys confer.)
19	MS. LEMCKE: Nothing further, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
21	MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony,
23	ma'am.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	MR. BATEMAN: The State calls Robert Holland with
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

·1	your permission, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the clerk, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Of course.
5	(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)
6	ROBERT HOLLAND, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
7	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and
8	last name.
9	THE WITNESS: Robert Holland. It's R-o-b-e-r-t,
10	H-o-l-l-a-n-d.
.11	MR. BATEMAN: May I proceed?
12	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BATEMAN:
15	Q Sir, I'm going to have you speak clearly into that
15 16	Q Sir, I'm going to have you speak clearly into that microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking
16	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking
16 17	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?
16 17 18	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.
16 17 18 19	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.  Q You go by Robert?
16 17 18 19 20	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.  Q You go by Robert?  A Yes. Or Rob.
16 17 18 19 20 21	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.  Q You go by Robert?  A Yes. Or Rob.  Q Rob. Do you have a son by the name of — the same
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.  Q You go by Robert?  A Yes. Or Rob.  Q Rob. Do you have a son by the name of — the same name?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	microphone. You have kind of a quiet voice. So we're taking everything down. It's being typed down, okay?  A Okay.  Q You go by Robert?  A Yes. Or Rob.  Q Rob. Do you have a son by the name of — the same name?  A Yes.

1	Q .	Pretty regularly?
2	A	Yes. Once or twice a week.
3	Q	Did you have occasion to meet, prior to December 22,
4.	2013, some	e of Bobby's friends?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And one in particular, did you have occasion to meet
7	someone by	the name of Luis Pimentel?
8	А	Yeah. I knew him as Lorenzo.
9	Q	I'm sorry?
10	A	I knew him as Lorenzo.
11	Q	Do you see him in the courtroom today?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q ·	Would you please point to him and describe what he's
14	wearing?	
15	A	A suit.
16	Q	What color?
17	A	Black. Or gray.
18	Q	Gray.
19		MR. BATEMAN: May the record reflect identification
20	of the def	endant, Your Honor?
21		THE COURT: What where at the table is he
22	sitting?	
23		THE WITNESS: In the center.
24		THE COURT: It will.
25	·	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 71

1	BY MR. BATEMAN:
2	Q When did you first meet Lorenzo, or do you
3	remember well, had you met Lorenzo a few times before
4	December 22, 2013?
5	A I met him, I believe it was like nine months before.
6	Q Okay. So
7	A He was staying at Bobby's regularly at that time.
8	Q So you would see Lorenzo, the defendant, at
9	Bobby's when you say Bobby's, is his room in the garage?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And when you say staying there, was he living there
12	for a period of time?
13	A I believe he was staying there for a few weeks. But
14	and also Bobby brought him over to my trailer once.
15	Q Once?
16	A Yeah.
17	Q And so that was in this period of time before
18	December 22, 2013?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q How many times do you think you saw Bobby and the
21	defendant together?
22	A Like I said, about nine months before, quite often.
23	After that, only like once or twice.
24	Q Did you know them to be friends?
25	A Yes.

1	Q	Is that how it appeared to you?
2	А	Yeah. They seemed like they hung out together a
3	lot.	
4	Q	Were you familiar with someone by the name of Amanda
5	Lowe?	
6	A	Yes.
7		MR. BATEMAN: Can I approach your clerk briefly?
8		THE COURT: Yes.
9		(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)
10	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
11	Q	Showing you what's been marked and admitted as
12	State's E	xhibit 2, is that Amanda?
13	A	Yes.
14	. Q	And how long prior to December 22, 2013 had you
15	known Ama	nda?
16	A	For a couple years.
17	Q	And when did you first well, how did you know
18	her?	
19	A	As Bobby's girlfriend.
20	Q	And was she his girlfriend that full two years, or
21	was it	
22	A	Well, her she was living up in Utah for about
23	at the en	d. So when she came down she would stay with him,
24	and then	she'd go back up to Utah.
25	Q	So you knew her to stay with Bobby?
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 73

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What did she call you? Did she have a nickname for
3	you?	
- 4	A	Yes.
5	Q	What was that?
6	Ä	She'd call me Pops.
7	Q	You saw her quite a bit over that two year period?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And based on your observations, did it appear they
10	held them	selves out in some sort of relationship?
11	A	Yes.
12	· Q	Boyfriend girlfriend?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did you know her to have a child?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Had you met the child ever?
1.7	A	No, I don't think I have.
18	, Q	You knew her family to be in Utah?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Did you occasionally know Bobby to go to Utah to see
21	her up th	nere?
22	A	I know he went up there at least once or twice.
23	Q	In the late evening hours and into — well, late
24	evening h	nours of December 21st into the morning hours of
25	December	22nd of 2013, did you have a vehicle that you were

1	using at	that time?
2	· A	Yes.
3	Q	Was it a Dodge?
4	А	Yeah. A Dodge Durango.
5	Q	Red?
6	Α .	Yes.
7	Q	And you had a cellphone?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	At some point in the early morning hours of
10	December :	22, 2015 [sic], did you get a call from Bobby?
11	А	Yes.
1.2	Q	Let me back you up a little bit. Did you know Bobby
L3	to occasio	onally use methamphetamine?
L4	A	Yes.
L5	Q	Did you see him do it, or did you just know he
L6	did it?	
Ĺ7	A	I think I saw him a couple times over at his place.
L8	Q	That particular evening when he gave you he
L9	called you	u?
20	·A	Yes.
21	Q ·	All right. And was he in fact at the Arizona
22	Charlie's	on Boulder Highway?
23	A	That's where he stated he was at.
24	Q	And did he need you to or did he ask you to do
25	something	?

A No. After that I called him and told him that Amanda had left, and he told me he was over at the 7-Eleven next to Arizona Charlie's, so I went out and got in the car and went down there and picked him up.

Q Was there some period of time between the time in which Amanda and the defendant left Arizona Charlie's and that you ultimately ended up picking up Bobby?

A Yes. They left, it was about two or three minutes before I left out of there, and another three or four minutes before I picked him up.

Q Did she say that -- well, let me ask you this. He had called you and said to pick him up at the 7-Eleven again --

A No. I called him and told him that she had left, and he said to come get him at the 7-Eleven.

- Q Did you tell him that Amanda had left with Lorenzo?
- A Yes.
- Q Eventually you pick Bobby up at the 7-Eleven?
- A That's correct.
- Q Did you get there first, or do you remember?
- A No. I was going towards the 7-Eleven, because it's right next to Arizona Charlie's, and he walked from the 7-Eleven towards me.
- Q And at that point did he state to you what he -- what it is he -- where it is he wanted to go?

1	A No.
2	Q You told him drop it?
3	A Yeah. I said, Take care of it in the morning, you
4	know.
5	Q But he didn't want to?
6	A No. He wanted he said that if I wouldn't take
7	him that he would walk.
8	Q So you went to the Siegel Suites?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. May I approach the clerk, Your
11	Honor?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)
14	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach I've shown counsel
15	Proposed Exhibits 3, 4 and 5. May I approach the witness?
16	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
17	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.
18	BY MR. BATEMAN:
19	Q I'm going to show you some overhead maps to see if
20	you recognize the area. Showing you Exhibit No. 3, does that
21	appear to be the Arizona Charlie's on Boulder Highway?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And Exhibit No. 4, does that appear to be an
24	overhead of the Siegel Suites that you had dropped Bobby off
25	at Lorenzo's before?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q And then a little bit bigger one, Exhibit No. 5,
3	does that show Boulder Highway and both of these locations?
4	A That's correct.
5	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I'd move admission of 3, 4
6	and 5, and ask to publish, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Any objection?
8	MR. SLIFE: No objection, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
10	(State's Exhibit 3 through 5 admitted.)
11	THE COURT: You may publish.
12	BY MR. BATEMAN:
13	Q Showing you Number 5 now, is the Siegel Suites
14	actually north of Arizona Charlie's?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So you left the Arizona Charlie's down here on the
17	bottom right of this exhibit; is that correct?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q And this exhibit shows Boulder Highway and the 95;
20	is that correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you drove him northbound to the Siegel Suites;
23	is that right?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q About how long did that drive take?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	A About four or five minutes.
2	Q When you got to the Siegel Suites, what did you do?
3	A I pulled in and parked, and he got out and went
4	upstairs to the apartment. I couldn't see from my rear-view
5	mirror. I just seen him go up the stairs. I didn't know what
6	apartment or see him after that.
7	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
8	Honor? Shown counsel State's Proposed Exhibits 6 and 7.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	BY MR. BATEMAN:
11	Q Show you six and seven. Do these appear to be your
12	car parked in the parking lot at the Siegel Suites at issue?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Is that a fair and accurate depiction of how it
15	looked that night?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of six and seven, Your
18	Honor, and ask to publish.
19	MR. SLIFE: No objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
21	(State's Exhibit 6 and 7 admitted.)
22	THE COURT: You may publish.
23	BY MR. BATEMAN:
24	Q Showing you seven first, is this a copy of or a
25	photograph of your Durango?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Is that how you pulled it in the stall that
3	particula	r evening?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Is that facing kind of northeast?
6	A	It's that's correct.
7	Q	Showing you Exhibit No. 6, is this a different view
. 8	of where	you parked?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	That's a view more kind of south?
11	Α.	Mm-hmm.
12	Q <sub>_</sub>	You have to answer yes or no.
13	· A	Yes.
14	Q	Does this have approximately where Lorenzo's
15	apartment	is in this photograph?
16	А	I didn't know exactly where it was, but he went
17	upstairs a	and I couldn't see. I just knew it was that
18	apartment	building.
19	Q	If you touch your screen
20	·	MR. BATEMAN: Do the screens work, Your Honor?
21		THE COURT: Yes.
22	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
23	Q	Okay. If you touch your screen, sir, you can can
24	you point	on there approximately where it is that Bobby went?
25	ŢΑ	He went up
	•	

1.	Q .	You actually touch the screen.
2	A	He went up the stairs right here.
3	Q	Okay. Go a little higher, if you could.
4	A	Right here.
5	Q	Oh, okay. On the left, where by that Chevy
6	truck, for	r the record?
7	A.	That's correct.
8	Q	There's a staircase?
9	A	There's a staircase, and I could just see him go up
10	the stair:	s and that's it.
11		MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
12	Honor?	
13		THE COURT: You may.
14	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
15	Q	Showing you State's Proposed Exhibit 8, does that
16	appear to	be a closer-up of the Chevy truck and that
17	staircase'	?
18	A	That's correct.
19		MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of eight and ask to
20	publish.	
21		MR. SLIFE: No objection, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Eight will be admitted.
23		(State's Exhibit 8 admitted.)
24	·	THE COURT: And you may publish.
25		

1	Q	You don't know whether it stopped later on or what
2	happened	?
3	A	No, I do not. I didn't really pay attention.
4	Ω.	At this particular time had you ever met an
5	individua	al by the name of Tim Hildebrand?
6	A.	No.
7	Q ·	Okay. So when Lorenzo got out of the car and this
8	car kind	of drove off, which direction did it go?
9,	A	I believe it went, which would be east.
10	Ω.	Can you point kind of the direction on this map?
11	A	This way.
12	Q	So to the left of the of photograph
13	$\mathbf{A}^{+}$	Yeah. I think they backed up and went that way.
14	Q	Could you how are you watching what was going on?
15	А	Through my rear-view mirror.
16	Ω	And at this particular time were you particularly
17	concerned	?
18	А	No, not at all. Once Amanda left I really wasn't
19	worried,	because I figured that's the only problem was them
20	arguing.	
21	Q	And did you continue to watch Lorenzo?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Did you watch Bobby?
24	A	I couldn't see Bobby at the time.
25	Q	Did there come a point in time in which you could
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

	N
1	A Yeah. He was back-stepping behind the truck.
2	Q And Lorenzo was following him?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q And then you couldn't see the two because they
5	were
6	A They disappeared behind the cab of the truck.
7	Q What if anything did you decide to do at that point?
8	A I thought I should, you know, get out and break it
9	up and get Bobby out of there before the police show up or
10.	something. So I went to open the door, and then I heard a gun
11	fire.
12	Q Did it appear to you they were having some sort of a
13	fistfight or something?
14	A At first, yeah, until I heard the gun fire. I
15	didn't know what was going on then.
16	Q So as you were trying to get out, you were no longer
17	looking at what was going on?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q When you say you heard gunfire, what did you hear?
20	A I heard a loud, you know, firecracker sound.
21	Q Was there some period of time between the shots?
22	A No.
23	Q You don't remember?
24	A No, I don't remember.
25	Q How many shots did you initially hear?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	A I don't know whether it was one or two at the time.
2	I can't remember.
3	Q Startle you?
4	A Yeah, because I couldn't figure out what it was at
5	first.
6	Q So as you walk — did you walk towards this truck?
7	A No. I never even got out of the car at that time.
8	I had my hand on the handle and then I heard the shots, and I
9	stopped and I looked in my driver's side rear-view mirror and
10	I seen Lorenzo step out.
11	Q Step out where?
12	A On this side of the truck here.
13	Q Okay. So you pointed to between the Chevrolet and
14	what appears to be a silver car next to it?
15	A Yes. On the sidewalk.
16	Q So he was on the sidewalk?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q Okay.
19	A And so I turned my head and I seen him put his arm
20	out pointing this way, and carve out a silhouette in the
21	distance glowing in the darkness.
22	Q Do you remember whether you told the detectives that
23	in your first statement
24	A No.
25	Q — or is that something that came to you —
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

	li e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
. 1	far before I seen Tim come around the building.
. 2	Q Okay. And Tim said something to Bobby; is that
3	right?
4	A Yes. He was over here and he was looking downwards.
5	At this time I still haven't seen Bobby.
6	Q So you saw Tim come from your left?
7	A Right. He must have ran around the building.
8	Q When the shots occurred?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And what did you do at that point?
11	A I came around the car and saw Bobby and walked over
12	there, and he was laying on his stomach and I could see the
13	blood coming from underneath him. And first I checked his
14	pulse, which was really rapid, and then I called 911.
15	Q And after you called 911, did you do anything with
16	regard to Bobby?
17	A Yes. They told me to or the lady that was on the
18	thing told me to start giving CPR, and that's I had to turn
19	him over and then I started giving him CPR.
20	Q And was it this was occurring right there on the
21	left-hand side of that Chevrolet?
22	A Yeah, in front of that truck right here.
23	Q You gave him CPR?
24	A Yes.
25.	Q Ultimately did you stop at some point?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	94

	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	A Not until the ambulance got there.
	Q The ambulance.
	MR. BATEMAN: There's some tissue right there if you
	4 need it. Give you a second.
	(Pause in proceeding.)
(	BY MR. BATEMAN:
,	Q Did Lorenzo stick around, or did you see him leave?
	A He left. When I got out of the car I didn't see him
9	anymore.
10	Q Did you see which direction he went?
11	A He just walked towards the front of the buildings
12	Q Is that towards Boulder
13	A towards Boulder Highway.
14	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as four,
15	which was the overhead map. Are you in this area over here on
16	the left?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And then would you saw Lorenzo, would he have
19	gone down
20	A Down this
21	Q Is this a channel with a bridge over here on the
22	left of this photograph?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Would he have run towards Boulder Highway this way?
25	A That's correct.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 95

1	Q Were people coming out? Was there people around
2	when you were giving CPR?
3	A Yes. There was a few people out.
4	Q And was Tim still there for some period of time?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did eventually Tim leave?
7	A Yes.
. 8	Q You didn't know it was Tim at the time?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And you said the ambulance came?
11	A Yes. Well, first an officer showed up, and he asked
12	me where the gun was and I told him it was underneath the car.
13	And then after that, the ambulance came.
14	Q And were you ever asked to go anywhere else by
15	police?
16	A To identify him, yes.
17	Q Identify Lorenzo?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you remember where you went?
20	A I believe it was at Charleston and Fremont, or
21 .	pretty close to that area.
22	Q Did you see Lorenzo?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Did you identify him?
25	A Yes.

1	MR. BATEMAN: The Court's indulgence.
. 2	(Attorneys confer.)
3	MR. BATEMAN: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
4	May I approach your clerk?
5	THE COURT: Yes. Cross.
6	MR. SLIFE: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Slife, are you going to ask him to
8	write on the screen at all?
9	MR. SLIFE: I don't believe so.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I want to change the color.
11	(Pause in proceeding.)
12	MR. SLIFE: May I proceed, Your Honor?
13	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
14	MR. SLIFE: Thank you.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SLIFE:
17	Q Sir, just a few questions about this ride you gave
18	to Robert to the Siegel Suites, okay?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And we're talking about obviously the early morning
21	hours of December 22?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And at some point Robert called you?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And he asked you specifically to come over to
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	A	That's correct.
2	. Q	And when you spoke to them, that was recorded.
3	A	Okay.
4	Q	Is that right?
5	A	Pardon me?
6	Q	That was recorded?
7	A	I don't know if it was recorded or not.
8	Q	At the time you were speaking to someone, everything
9	was fresh	in your mind at that time.
10	A	Okay.
11	Q	Is that right?
12	· A	I don't know. I was in shock at that time.
13.	Q	Sure. But everything, it was just a few hours
14	after	
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	so it was fresh in your mind.
17	A	Okay.
18.	Q	And when you gave that statement, you wanted to be
19	truthful,	right?
20	А	That's correct.
21	Q	You wanted to help the person interviewing you?
22	A	Right.
23	Q	You wanted to be accurate in what you saw?
24	A	Right.
25	Q	You wanted to be detailed?
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 99

1	A Mm-hmm.
2	Q Is that yes?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you wanted to be complete in all the details you
5	gave, right?
6	A As possible, yeah.
7	Q All right. And do you remember specifically telling
8	that detective, if you don't that Robert had told you if
9	you don't come over, I'm going to jail?
10	A Yes. I thought he was saying at Arizona Charlie's
11	because security was going to have him arrested.
12	Q Correct. Okay. And he specifically told you if you
13	don't come to Arizona Charlie's
14	A Yeah, that the security's going to get him or
15	have get him thrown in jail, yeah.
16	Q That he was going to start trouble over there.
17	A No. He didn't say that. He said that the security
18	was going to
19	Q Okay. You don't remember saying telling the
20	detective at seven
21	A In other words, if I didn't pick him up, that
22	they're going to call the cops on him.
23	Q Well, do you remember telling the detective when he
24	asked what did that mean to you, and you specifically said
25	And Counsel, this is page 3 of the voluntary

1	statement.
2	"What did that mean to you?" You told the detective
3	that he was going to start trouble over there.
4	A No. I don't remember that.
5	Q You don't remember that?
6	A No.
7	Q Would it help you remember what you told the
. 8	detective if you saw a transcript of that early morning
9	interview?
10	A No. I don't remember telling him that.
11	Q Would you like to see a transcript of that?
12	A Sure.
13	MR. SLIFE: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Okay. But he just said he won't
15	remember it, that it won't help his memory, so.
16	BY MR. SLIFE:
17	Q You don't think you'll remember to see a transcript
18	of the
19	A No.
20	Q recorded statement?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. You don't doubt that the transcript is
23	correct though, as to what you said?
24	A Or what to believe. The officer was what I was
25	trying to say to him, I was in shock at the time, so I really
- 1	

1	don't rec	call even talking to the guy.
2	Q	Okay. So you don't remember what you said?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	Okay. Do you remember saying that he had slapped
5	his girlf	riend; meaning that Robert had told you
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	that he had slapped his girlfriend?
8	A	I remember that.
9	Q ·	Okay. And the purpose of you driving Robert to that
10	apartment	was so he could talk to Amanda?
11	· A	That's correct.
12	Q	In general, Bobby had strong feelings for Amanda?
13	A	That's true.
14	Q	You would agree that he loved Amanda?
15	A	That's true.
16	Q	A few questions about what you saw at the
17	apartment	s, okay?
18	А	Okay.
19	Q	Prior to the shooting, you were parked on the north
20	side of t	he Budget Suites [sic]?
21	A	That's true.
22	Q	You said Robert got out?
23	À	Yes, and went upstairs.
24	. Q	Went up the stairs, came back down
25	A	No, he never he came back down to talk to
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

Ļ	Q You don't remember using the word
2	A At that time
3	Q "they" as opposed to Bobby individually?
4	A Well, they both went back behind the car.
5	Q Okay.
6	A That's what I'm saying. When I was talking at the
7	time I was worried about my son, and I don't know whether I
8	was stating or explaining myself correctly when I was talking
9	to the guy. I don't even remember talking to him.
10	Q You don't even remember talking to him?
11	A No.
12	Q Okay. And you testified that you didn't know if it
13	was one shot or two shots.
14	A That's true.
15	Q Okay. And you didn't actually see the shooting?
16	A No, I didn't.
17	Q And you never saw Robert run around any of the cars
18	in the parking lot, right?
19	A No.
20	Q Now, you testified today that there were some two
21	extra shots after everything was over?
22	A There was three actually all total. There was two
23	that he was firing at the silhouette running away, and one
24	that he had turned around and shot into the ground —
25	Q Okay.

1	A which I thought was the ground anyways.
2	Q You don't remember if you ever told that to
3	detectives in the early morning hours?
4	A I don't know.
5	Q Okay. In fact, do you remember testifying for the
6	first time at a hearing about a year and three months after?
7	A Yes, I remember that.
8	Q And that was the first time that you remembered
9	these extra shots, that's the first time that you testified to
10	those extra shots?
11	A No. I remembered I remembered that night. I
12	just don't remember what I said to the detectives.
13	Q Okay. You said that you knew Bobby used meth on
14	occasion.
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you know if he used meth that night?
17	A No, I don't.
18	Q Did you ever use meth with Bobby?
19	MR. BATEMAN: Objection. Relevance.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	MR. SLIFE: It goes to the witness's ability to
22	perceive and recall events, Your Honor, if he's using meth
23	with his son.
24	THE COURT: Approach.
25	(Bench conference - not transcribed.)
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 105

1	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, if you'll rule on my objection
2	THE COURT: Yes. I had sustained your objection as
3	to relevance. We discussed at the bench. So did you have a
4	motion?
5	MR. BATEMAN: I move to strike whatever the answer
6	was because you sustained my objection. Thank you.
7	THE COURT: That's stricken. You may ask your next
8	question.
9	BY MR. SLIFE:
10	Q Sir, did you do any crystal meth in the 24 hours
11	preceding the time of this shooting?
12	A No.
13	Q Okay. You testified that you had dropped off
14	Lorenzo at this apartment before.
15	A No. I dropped Bobby off at Lorenzo's apartment.
16	Q You dropped Bobby off at Lorenzo's apartment?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you remember telling officers, "Question. Have
19	you ever talked to Lorenzo"
20	And Counsel, this is page 14.
21	" in general?" Your answer, "At Bobby's house I
22	have."
23	A Yeah. That was months earlier, which I stated
24	before he was over at Bobby's.
25	Q Do you remember if you ever told officers in that
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 106

1	interview a few hours after that you had dropped Bobby off at
2	Lorenzo's apartment before?
3	A No, I don't remember.
4	Q Do you remember telling officers
5	And Counsel, this is page 13 at the bottom.
6	"And you you've seen Lorenzo before? Yes. About
7	how many times? About five." Do you remember telling
8	officers that?
9	A Yes. I don't remember saying it, but that's
10	possible I said that. I don't know.
11	Q Did you mean that you'd seen him five times in a
12	nine-month period?
13	A It could have been, yeah.
14	Q So you do remember saying that?
15	A No, I don't remember saying it.
16	Q You don't remember saying that.
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. Let me ask you this. You knew that Robert
19	was awaiting sentencing on a felony charge of attempt
20	forgery
21	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, objection.
22	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't.
23	BY MR. SLIFE:
24	Q — is that right?
25	A No. I didn't know.

1	THE COURT: Objection. Don't answer if
2	MR. BATEMAN: Move to strike.
3	THE COURT: there's an objection.
4	Sustained. Counsel, approach.
5	(Bench conference - not transcribed.)
6.	THE COURT: Counsel, you know that's irrelevant
7	question. The objection's sustained.
8	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	BY MR. SLIFE:
10	Q Sir, in July of 2008, you were convicted of
11	conspiracy to commit theft, correct?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q And that was for cutting off a lock to a storage
14	bin
15	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, objection. I don't think you
16	can get into the underlying facts of the issue.
17	THE COURT: That's correct.
18	MR. SLIFE: Okay.
19	BY MR. SLIFE:
20	Q All right. You were also convicted of conspiracy to
21	commit theft in May of 2012; is that right?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And you testified that you know Amanda Lowe?
24	A Yes.
25	Q On January 13, 2014, you made a post on her Facebook
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 108

1	account that read
2	MR. BATEMAN: Objection. What's the relevance?
3	THE COURT: Sustained. Approach.
4	(Bench conference - not transcribed.)
5	
6	MR. SLIFE: All right, sir. Apologize for that.
	May I approach, Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	BY MR. SLIFE:
9	Q Sir, I'm showing you a piece of paper that hasn't
10	been marked as an exhibit. I'm directing you to the bottom.
11	Is that a picture of you?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Is that your name?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you write this
16	A Yes.
17	Q entry?
. 18	A Yes.
19	Q Did you mean that as a threat to the person whose
20	who owns this Facebook account?
21	A No.
22	MR. SLIFE: Okay. I don't have anything further,
23	Your Honor. Thank you.
24	THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
25	MR. BATEMAN: Just real quick.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

## 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BATEMAN: Do you remember coming in and testifying at a preliminary hearing in this case? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you remember that to being in February of 2014? 7 Α Yes. 8 Shortly after the incident? Q Α Yes. And do you remember being asked some questions about 10 0 who was backing up after Bobby threw the punch? Do you 11 12 remember those questions? 13 Α Yes. 14 And were you asked those questions at the 0 15 preliminary hearing as well? 16 Α Yes. 17 Do you remember that better than you remember the Q. 18 statement you gave to police the night of? I really don't remember, because at the time I 19 Α 20 didn't know whether Bobby was dead or not and I was worried 21 I was worried about calling his mom. Because I didn't have my phone because it was part of the crime scene, 22 so I couldn't even call his mom to let her know. And so I 23 don't even remember what I said to the police. 24 25 Do you remember when you came to court for the

1	prelimina	ry hearing and testified in a courtroom?
2	A	Yes, I do.
3	Q	In February of 2014?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	You remember that, right?
. 6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Do you remember that better than the statement you
8	gave	
9	A	I don't even remember talking clearly what I said to
10	the polic	e [inaudible].
11	Q	So you remember the testimony you gave at the
12	prelimina	ry hearing?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	And do you remember actually me asking you the
15	question	about who backed up?
16	A	Right.
17	Q	Do you remember that?
18	Α	Mm-hmm.
19		MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
20	Honor?	
21		THE COURT: You may.
22	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
23	Q	Showing you Exhibit 133. Do you remember me asking
24	you this	question, "Did you then watch what happened next"?
25	Do you re	member does that appear on page 133?
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 111

112

Т.	char bobby backed up and Lorenzo followed towards him?
2	A That's correct.
3	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I don't have any other
4	questions, Your Honor. Actually, the Court's indulgence one
5	second.
6	(Attorneys confer.)
7	MR. BATEMAN: Just may I approach again with
8	page 134?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	BY MR. BATEMAN:
11	Q And then also here in the middle of the page, could
12	you read your answer to my question which is on lines 14
13	and 15.
14	A Okay. "And anyways, after Bobby hit him, Bobby
15	backed up behind the truck and then Lorenzo followed him."
16	Q Okay. Thank you.
17	(Attorneys confer.)
18	MR. BATEMAN: Can I approach the clerk for one
19	second?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)
22	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
23	Honor?
24	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
o ⊑	

1	BY MR. B	ATEMAN:
2	Q	Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed
3	Exhibit	11, you were talk — asked about the cellphone
4	previous	ly. Do you remember that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Do you see the cellphone in that particular picture?
7	. A	Yes.
8	, Q	Whose cellphone was that?
9 .	A	That's mine.
10	Q	Okay. And is this a photograph of the crime scene
11	that we ]	Looked at earlier?
12	А	Yes.
13		MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Move admission of 11.
14		MR. SLIFE: No objection, Your Honor.
15		THE COURT: It will be admitted.
16		(State's Exhibit 11 admitted.)
17		MR. BATEMAN: Quickly, if I can publish, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: You may.
19	BY MR. BA	TEMAN:
20	Q	Is that your cellphone there on the right?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Can you circle it on the screen?
23	A	[Complies.]
24		MR. BATEMAN: I have no further questions, Your
25	Honor.	

1	THE COURT: Any recross?
2	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. SLIFE:
4	Q Sir, this prelim that you're reading from, that was
5	about a year and three months after the shooting; is that
· 6	right?
7	A Nah.
8	MR. BATEMAN: No. It's February 26, 2014, for the
9	record.
10	BY MR. SLIFE:
11	Q Okay. So that's several months after the time of
12	the shooting?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q And before that hearing you had a chance to talk to
15.	Mr. Bateman about the case, right?
16	A No.
17	Q All right. You said that you didn't see a gun on
18	Bobby?
19	A No.
20	Q That night when you gave him a ride?
21	A I've never seen Bobby with a gun.
22	Q Okay. That night though, you didn't pat him down or
23	anything, right?
24	A No. He was wearing shorts, the loose shorts, you
25	know, like basketball shorts.

1	Q You didn't know that he had a meth pipe in his
2	pocket?
3	MR. BATEMAN: Judge. Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	BY MR. SLIFE:
7	Q And I think when you said when Bobby was up on the
. 8	stairs close to Lorenzo's apartment, did you see him yelling
9	and pounding on the door?
10	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, I'm going to object
11	THE WITNESS: No. I could not see it.
12	MR. BATEMAN: as to beyond the scope.
13	THE WITNESS: I couldn't see the door. I couldn't
14	even see the
15	THE COURT: Wait. Shh. Wait, wait.
16	MR. SLIFE: Well, Judge, there was a photograph of
17	the apartment complex.
18	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. You may answer
19	now.
20	BY MR. SLIFE:
21	Q Did you ever see Bobby standing on the third floor
22	yelling and pounding
23	A I couldn't see the third floor.
24	Q Okay. And just the last thing, you said that this
25	argument didn't really get heated right away.

1	A Even when he punched him it didn't even look like
2	they were arguing that much.
3	Q Okay. Lorenzo seemed calm?
4	A Both of them seemed calm.
5	MR. SLIFE: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
6	Thank you.
7	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
. 8	MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
,9	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony,
10	sir.
11	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach just for scheduling
12	real quick?
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	(Bench conference - not transcribed.)
15	MR. BATEMAN: The State calls, with Your Honor's
16	permission, Beata Vida. I'll grab her.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	(Pause in proceeding.)
19	BEATA VIDA, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
20	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and
21	last name for the record.
22	THE WITNESS: My name is Beata Vida, and it's
23	spelled B-e-a-t-a, V-i-d-a.
24	MR. BATEMAN: Your Honor, may I proceed?
25	THE COURT: You may.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
.	117

2 BY MR. BATEMAN:

3

Are you a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas Q Metropolitan Police Department?

4 5

Α Yes, I am.

6

0 And do you work in the DNA lab?

7

Α Yes.

8

Q What do you do?

9

I'm a forensic scientist in the biology DNA section. So basically what that involves is I examine various items for

10 11

evidence that comes into the laboratory for biological

12

materials. Most often I look for things such as blood, semen

13

or saliva, and once a stain is identified as such, I perform

14

DNA analysis on it to either include or exclude specific

15

individuals.

16

In addition to body fluids, I can also examine hairs, tissues or touch DNA, which is basically DNA that is

17 18

transferred from the skin by touching an item or wearing an

19 item.

20

And so is that items of evidence that are impounded

at a scene eventually come to you for to do your analysis?

21

Α Yes.

22 23

And to start your analysis, do you start by

24

determining whether a particular item has DNA that can be

25

tested?

1 | St

swab?

A So I visually examine the swab to see if there is any kind of staining on it. And when I'm talking about a swab, it basically looks like a Q-Tip. So the item is swabbed. I get the swab and then I look at it, and there's usually some sort of discoloration. In this case there was some gray staining on the swab.

So I take a large portion of that staining, place it in a tube, and then that sample gets taken on through DNA. So that's what happened in this case. And if I see any kind of discoloration such as red and brown staining that would indicate to me that it may be the possible presence of blood, I will test it for that.

- Q You didn't know in this case what that stain was?
- A There was no staining. Just the gray staining.
- Q Gray stain.
- A I knew it was the swabbing from the gun, because that's how it was represented to me.
- Q With regard to the swab from the gun, were you able to make any determinations?
  - A I was able to get a DNA profile, yes.
- Q And was it of sufficient detail or did you have sufficient information from that DNA profile to be able to compare it to a different DNA profile?

A No.

Q Explain that to me.

A So if — if we have let's say a sample of blood, that usually has a lot of DNA and I'm pretty certain that I will get a DNA profile from that. In touch evidence cases, when I'm for example swabbing for a wearer or an item that somebody touched, sometimes it's very difficult to get a DNA profile from that item, because we're only getting DNA from skin cells and there's not a lot of transfer.

So in this case — and I can also — sometimes we get good profiles from one individual such as from a blood stain, or sometimes we have DNA profiles from multiple individuals, which we call a mixture, where multiple people have handled the item or touched it and so there is a mixture DNA profile.

So in this case the DNA profile obtained was not very good, and I — it was actually the amount of DNA that I obtained was so low that I couldn't make any conclusions other than there were — would you like me to go into what I actually found?

O Go ahead.

A That there was a mixture of two people and there was at least one male, but I couldn't make any comparisons because the data was so poor.

Q Do you compare it to any other people to say who would have left that sample on the gun?

.1	A Yes.
2	Q The other person could have been a female?
3	A Yes.
4	Q As far as DNA, can it stay on a gun for a period of
5	time?
6	A It can. It depends on the quality of the DNA
7	deposited. There is a lot of things that play a role in
8	whether DNA will be obtained from an item. Things such as
9.	heat, humidity and sunlight will destroy DNA to the point
LO	where we won't be able to get DNA from those items.
L1	Also, whether DNA sticks to an item or not depends
L2	on the type of surface. Rough areas usually hold DNA better
L3	versus smooth areas. It also depends on the person that
L4	handles the item. If the person that touches something has
.5	very sweaty palms, they will be more likely to leave DNA than
.6°	somebody who just washed their hands and then wiped it.
.7	Q So in this particular case, when you have a mixture,
-8	all you can say is there's more than one person?
.9	A Yes.
20	Q And you don't know when it was put there or by whom
21	it was put there?
22	A No.
23	Q The swab from the Ruger magazine, what if any
24	findings did you conclude from that?
25	A So that one went through the same process by opening
- 1	•

1	is that right?
2.	A Yes.
3	Q But you could tell there was more than one person's
4	profile present?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And at least one of those two people that was
7 ·	present in the swab that you looked at was a male?
8	A Yes.
. 9	Q Both could have been male?
10	A Yes.
11	MS. LEMCKE: The Court's indulgence.
12	(Attorneys confer.)
13	MS. LEMCKE: Nothing further.
14	MR. BATEMAN: Nothing else.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for your
16	testimony.
<b>1</b> 7	You may call your next witness.
18	MR. BATEMAN: Your Honor, the State would call as
19	our last witness today Officer Pacifico.
20	VINCENT PACIFICO, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
21	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and
22	last name.
23	THE WITNESS: Vincent Pacifico, V-i-n-c-e-n-t,
24	P-a-c-i-f-i-c-o.
25	THE COURT: You may proceed.
• :	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 127

1	Do you recognize what's in that overhead map?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q What is that?
4	A This is the Siegel Suites at 4625 [sic] Boulder
5	Highway. And right about can I write on it?
6	Q I think you can touch it and it'll come up.
. 7	MR. BATEMAN: Do we need to change the color?
8	THE COURT: Yeah, change it, because it's black
9	right now.
10	BY MR. BATEMAN:
11	Q We can do it over here. All right. There we go.
12	Try it now.
13	A So right about over here in this area was where the
14	shooting had happened.
15	Q So you entered where?
16	A I came in this is the driveway, the only way in
17	and out. And I came in through this way, and I drove around
18	the back here and came to here and stopped my car just shy of
19	where it actually happened at.
20	Q When you come in that entrance, can you go left
21	toward
22	A Yes. Yes. There's a marquee sign here. There's
23	a I'm sorry. There's an overhead kind of a roof where the
24	office is. And then the driveway splits, so I could have gone
25	that way. I think, if I remember correctly, the call came in

1	Q Is it a fair and accurate depiction of what you saw
2	that night?
3	A Yes, it is.
4	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of 9, 10, 12 and 13,
5	Your Honor.
6	MS. LEMCKE: No objection, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Those will be admitted.
8	(State's Exhibit 9, 10, 12 and 13 admitted.)
9	BY MR. BATEMAN:
10	Q Ultimately you said someone was on the ground giving
11	CPR; is that right?
12	A Correct.
13	Q I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 10.
14	MR. BATEMAN: May I publish, if I didn't ask, Your
15	Honor?
16	THE COURT: You may.
17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
1.8	Q Is this approximately — well, we see a cone there.
19	Was that cone there when you arrived?
20	A No, they were not. The cones were not there.
21	Q Who had put that cone there?
22	A I believe I directed other officers to do such.
23	Q And was there some clothing in that photograph?
24	A Yes, there is.
25	Q I'm going to show you a closer-up, 13. Is this
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 132

where the individual was that was getting CPR?

Well, it appeared that he had gunshot wounds, I

Normally if you arrived on a scene, would you let

A Well, I asked him who he was, like because again, I

But as you can imagine from the blood on there,

believe, and if I remember correctly, at least one to the

deceased. The gentleman again leaning over him doing

chest, perhaps two. And it appeared to me that he had been

mouth-to-mouth resuscitation, I believed his efforts to be,

someone continue to give CPR like was being -- like what was

believed the guy to be deceased. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't

there was blood on the victim's mouth and it was basically

asked him, you know, who are you, and then he -- or I asked

him a question about who are you or who -- and he said, I'm

going onto the person doing CPR, his mouth and face. And so I

certainly would pull somebody off if they were doing CPR

That is correct. Α

3

Could you tell what -- what could you tell was

4

5

transpiring at this particular time?

you know, kind of in vain, if you will.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

happening?

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his father, is what he said. Q So the person giving CPR was his father?

Α Correct.

trying to save his life.

- Q You let him continue?
- A Absolutely.
- Q Okay. Were you -- was there a crowd developing?
- A There were. There were right along here are all the rooms, so.
  - Q And here being to the right, so up near the rooms?
- A Correct. And so there were already probably five to maybe six or eight people outside already, and then of course more started I had my flashing lights on and things like that, you know. The gunshots in and of themselves don't draw the attention, certainly the flashing lights do.
- Q Were you trying to, while you were out there, keep some sort of control and actually try to find out what was going on?
- A Absolutely. I mean, as you can imagine, it is it is sort of kind of a hectic scene trying to figure out what happened, who is the suspect, who is the victim, who is what, who is where. And I've got people pointing this and telling me that and absolutely trying to kind of remain calm and keep order and get help to this individual.
- Q Ultimately did medical, like an EMT or an ambulance arrive to take the person that had been shot?
- A Yes. I believe it was Clark County Fire Department and Medic West which would normally respond to that part of town.

Q Did you learn that somebody had seen a firearm in the area?

A Yes. While this was going on, somebody advised me that the suspect threw the gun underneath, I believe it was this pickup truck right here.

Q Were you able to see a firearm underneath that truck?

A I was, yes. I just walked a little kind of in this direction, if you will, and I was able to see the gun. It was under the right side of the vehicle.

Q The passenger side?

A The passenger side of the vehicle, correct, maybe just, I don't know, a foot or 2 feet underneath the — yeah.

Q Showing you eight, can you just circle approximately where?

A Right. It was right about here, I suppose, on — again, on the passenger side of the vehicle, but in front of the back tire there.

Q So one of your responsibilities when you're out on the scene, is it to preserve the evidence where it's at?

A Correct. I mean, now I've got — I've got a victim laying down, CPR being performed. I've got people which I don't know who's who, and now somebody tells me there's a gun. So now I've got to keep an eye on this gun. I've got to keep an eye on what's going on here, make sure nothing gets

	<b>ii</b>
-	THE COURT: You may.
2	BY MR. BATEMAN:
3	Q Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed
۷	
5	
6	Q How do you recognize it?
. 7	A That's my initials and the date.
.8	Q Have you watched this CD before?
9	A I have, yes.
10	Q And does it depict you arriving and what's going on
11	at the scene?
12	A It does, yes.
13	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of 14 and request to
14	publish, Your Honor.
15	MS. LEMCKE: Your Honor, can we approach?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	(Bench conference - not transcribed.)
18	MR. BATEMAN: May I publish without the audio, Your
19	Honor?
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.
22	BY MR. BATEMAN:
23	Q I just want you, sir, to identify a little bit if
24	you can what's going on before we finish tonight.
25	A Yes, sir.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Q If I can turn my computer on.
2	THE COURT: Are we admitting it as to the video?
3	MS. LEMCKE: As to the video, yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. It will be admitted as to the
. 5	video at this time.
<sub>.</sub> 6	(State's Exhibit 14 admitted.)
7	
. 8	(Pause in proceeding.)
9	(Video plays in open court.)
10	BY MR. BATEMAN:
11	Q Can you see that on your screen?
12	A I can, yes.
13	Q Are you there in this area?
14	A I am, yes. Difficult to see me with that lighting.
15	That's me right there just to the right. I'm kind of looking
16.	back towards the truck holding my left hand on my mike right
17	now.
18	Q And to your left is where the CPR is being given?
19	THE COURT: Can you circle where you are?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. This is me right here. This is
21	my left hand holding the mike, and I'm looking back towards
22	the pickup truck. I think that's about the point somebody
23	told me that the gun was under the truck.
24	BY MR. BATEMAN:
25	Q Yeah. You're looking there; is that right?
-	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 138

witnesses for today; is that correct?

1.0

MR. BATEMAN: That does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And ladies and gentlemen, we're going to start at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning, Thursday. Our afternoon is going to be somewhat foreshortened. You get an early out tomorrow at 3:15, because there is something that needs to be attended to and that's why we have to end a little early.

So ladies and gentlemen, during this overnight recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation newspaper, television, radio or Internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock, when I'll see you.

(Jurors recessed at 5:05 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect the jury has now departed the courtroom. Okay. So we had just at the bench just before we played the video portion, Counsel, you were concerned about the audio, you wanted to listen to it. Do you want to listen to it now?

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah. I'm going to go — I'm going to go listen to it now, unless you want me to do it right here.

THE COURT: I mean --

MS. LEMCKE: I actually have a 5:30 appointment, so I prefer to run back to my office.

THE COURT: That's fine. I just didn't want the officer to have to come back.

MS. LEMCKE: Right. Totally understandable. I think what Your Honor did was totally reasonable. I just need to — do you want me to make a record, or — do you record bench conferences?

THE COURT: No. You didn't ask for it, so we're not. If you had we would have. But you can go ahead and make the record tomorrow after you've listened to it.

MS. LEMCKE: Okay.

THE COURT: And if you have any objections, you'll make them then. If you don't, then the State can play the audio. Right now obviously we have a disk that we want to be able to go to the jury, so both pieces need to be admitted. Right now I've only admitted the video, and I anticipate if you have no objection admitting the audio tomorrow so that that disk can go back to the jury room in its entirety.

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah. I will --

MR. BATEMAN: She'll take it home and listen to it at home.

MS. LEMCKE: Correct. And then just so that we're clear, I was thinking the bench conferences were recorded just because you have the microphone up at Your Honor's bench. So to the extent that they are not, I would ask that they be recorded. You can't do it? We have to do it at the beginning?

THE COURT RECORDER: [Inaudible.]

MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Yeah. I'll just have to make a record. I just — I know it's my — having been on the appellate team, I know better. I know to ask and I know to have them recorded. I should have. I made assumptions based on the placement of the microphones at Your Honor's bench that I shouldn't have, and it's my first trial in here and ultimately it's my fault.

THE COURT RECORDER: [Inaudible.]

MS. LEMCKE: I know. It's just my, you know, assumptions that were errant and I have nobody to blame but myself. But —

THE COURT: We'll make all the records you want to make tomorrow before the -

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah. I'll just have to — I'll make my records in between, you know. To the extent that there are objections that are ruled upon at the bench, I'll make sure that — in fact, I have a note to make a record on some of the bench conferences we had today.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. I'll see you tomorrow at 9:00. (Court recessed for the evening at 5:09 p.m.) 19. 

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,
not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

TRAN

Alun A. Column

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
\* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C296234-1

DEPT NO. V

VS.

LUIS PIMENTEL, AKA,

LUIS GODOFREDO PIMENTEL, III

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CAROLYN ELLSWORTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SANDRA K. DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

SAMUEL G. BATEMAN, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant:

NANCY L. LEMCKE, ESQ. CONOR M. SLIFE, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defenders

RECORDED BY LARA CORCORAN, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

# INDEX

#### WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

BRENDA VAANDERING		
Direct Examination By Mr. Bateman	. 19	
Cross-Examination By Ms. Lemcke	58	
Redirect Examination By Mr. Bateman	67	
TIMOTHY HILDEBRAND		
Direct Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	70	
Cross-Examination By Mr. Slife	118	
Redirect Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	156	
Recross Examination By Mr. Slife	165	
Further Redirect Examination By Ms. DiGiamcomo	168	
SHANNON SALAZAR		
Direct Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	169	
Cross-Examination By Ms. Lemcke	194	
Redirect Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	231	
Recross Examination By Ms. Lemcke	234	
SEAN NELSON		
Direct Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	236	
Cross-Examination By Mr. Slife	251	
JAMES TABELE		
Direct Examination By Ms. DiGiacomo	253	

# EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
46 Crime Scene Diagram	25
17 through 31 and 47 Photographs - Crime Scene	28
55 Evidence Box with Firearm	38
55A Cartridge	41
55B Magazine	42
55C 4 Cartridges	43
56 Evidence Bag	45
56A Cartridge	46
57 Evidence Package - DNA Swabs	47
58 Evidence Envelope w/Photographs - Latent Prints	49
48 Through 54 Photographs - Victim's Clothing	53
59 Photograph - Defendant	157
14 Audio Portion of Video	249
DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:	PAGE
A through F Photographs - Crime Scene	64
Q, R, S Photographs - Crime Scene	64

### LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, MAY 14, 2015, 9:03 A.M.

•

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: We're on the record. Case No. C296234,
State of Nevada vs. Luis Pimentel, and this is day four of the
jury trial. We're outside the presence of the jury.

Defendant's present with his counsel. Deputies district
attorney prosecuting the case are present, as are all officers
of the court. Will counsel so stipulate?

MR. BATEMAN: Yes.

MS. LEMCKE: Yes.

THE COURT: And there's some matters outside the presence we want to make a record of concerning bench conferences.

MS. LEMCKE: Yes, Your Honor. Yesterday we had two issues. I'll start with the first one was the issue of Robert Holland, II's methamphetamine use as it relates to his ability to perceive and recall events, particularly the critical events of the — at the time of the shooting and the times just preceding that.

It was our position, based on Fortino Sanchez vs.

State, which I understand is an unpublished decision. I made that clear to the Court and to the parties, but I did provide a copy of the case to the Court and to the parties. That case stands for the proposition that it's error for a trial court

to prevent defense counsel from cross-examining a witness regarding prior drug use as it relates to ability to perceive and recall.

And so having heard that issue at the bench, Your Honor had indicated that you did not think that there was any concerns with methamphetamine having impairing an individual's ability to recall and perceive events. And Your Honor indicated that the pilots in the Air Force are given methamphetamine to enhance their alertness and awareness.

Just for the record, I did last night contact a friend of mine who's a lieutenant colonel in the Air Force retired, who was the commander of the weapons school out at Creech, which is basically the elite kind of top gun Air Force's version of the Navy's Topgun, where they train their elite pilots. And he said in no uncertain terms is methamphetamine given to pilots to enhance alertness or awareness.

And so to that end — and we have a coroner who I believe, based on the toxicology report that was done, and the reporting kind of delineates the effects that methamphetamine can have in terms of causing hallucinations and other — and other issues such as that. So to that end, we believe that we were entitled to delve into the issue of Mr. Holland's drug abuse and explore that to the extent that it may bear on his credibility and his ability to perceive and recall events.

\_ 

ر د م

THE COURT: All right. And so the case that you cited to the Court, the unpublished decision, which of course is not precedential, however, you know, sometimes it gives guidance to a trial court. In fact, I believe that the Supreme Court is currently considering whether to change the rule to allow citation to unpublished decisions.

So just for the record, that Westlaw cite is 2014 Westlaw 4804058, and it is Fortino Sanchez vs. State of Nevada. I was able to review the opinion at the bench yesterday. And the facts of that case, although they're not listed in a lot of detail relevant, you know, as they bear on this issue, do indicate that this was a child sexual assault case where the victim witness, M, who is identified as M in the case, had been seven or eight years old at the time the events she's describing occurred.

And the court noted that those events were ten years, a full decade before the testimony, and that in fact the court was concerned about the discretion, the exercise of the court's discretion in limiting cross-examination and said:

Although a district court has wide discretion to control cross—examination that attacks a witness's general credibility when examining a witness's bias or motive, it goes on, the only proper restriction should be those inquiries which are repetitive, irrelevant, vague, speculative or designed merely to harass, annoy or humiliate the witness.

And that cites to Lobato vs. State.

2.

And of course the objection at the bench by the State was that it was irrelevant testimony, and that it was designed basically to just muddy up the witness, the father of the victim. And I indicated at the bench that I believe that it was in fact relevant concerning the 24 hour period when this occurred, as to whether or not if he had in fact used some type of illicit drug, that it in fact could relate to his — or have an impact on his ability to perceive, and so I would allow that question.

The question was in fact asked on cross-examination. What I said could not happen was that there couldn't be an unending exploration of — because as I believe the question was originally posited, it was going to be have you ever used in your lifetime methamphetamine, which would not be relevant to whether or not he perceived.

There was no — and certainly there is no expert testimony and anecdotal testimony — or anecdotal items from either this court or defense counsel's friends in the Air Force. I was actually a friend to the Navy. But it's neither here nor there. You need to have some expert testimony that in fact drug use that may — might have occurred God knows when.

This man, I don't know how old he is. He looks, I think, probably a lot older than his true age frankly. But

1.0

that it would permanently affect his ability to perceive if he'd used ten years earlier, 20 years earlier, three years earlier, a year, I don't know. There's absolutely no testimony about that. And you need to come in with more than just, oh, it must be.

And I think that the facts of this case are distinguishable from a case where first of all, we're dealing with a witness who at the time she perceived these things was seven or eight, which of course doesn't by law make her automatically incompetent under statute or caselaw. However, when we're talking about her ability to recollect things that happened to her when she was seven or eight and to recall them ten years later, additionally drug use even more so would be relevant in that context.

But of course sadly, because the facts are — don't go into it as to what the parameters of the questioning was, what those parameters were I should say, nor what evidence the defense had to say they were aware of drug use or to what extent it was, I don't have any of those facts. And so that was somewhat limiting as far as this case assisting. Maybe that's part why it was not published, you know. I don't know.

And would the State like to additionally add anything? That was the basis of my ruling, but I'd like the State to make a record, if they wish to.

MR. BATEMAN: No, Your Honor. I think that

covers it.

23.

\_\_\_

THE COURT: Okay. Was there another matter?

MS. LEMCKE: Yeah, Your Honor. And then we were also wanting to solicit information from Mr. Holland regarding the fact that his son, the decedent in this case, was awaiting sentencing on a felony offense, and the prosecutors objected, and Your Honor sustained the objection, disallowing further inquiry into that.

And the reason that we wanted to get into that is because, as Your Honor knows, as a standard in every plea agreement, as was the case with the decedent in this particular matter, you know, the plea agreement had that standard verbiage that talks about, you know, if between the time of entry of plea and sentencing you're arrested on any new offense or, you know, there's anything — well, basically a new arrest that you sustain, then to the extent that there is an agreement regarding sentencing, that could impact whatever negotiation might have been in place regarding sentencing.

And if there — even if there isn't a negotiation pending sentencing, as Your Honor well knows, you know, should an individual, while they're out of custody awaiting sentencing, sustain an arrest or incur any kind of infraction while they're awaiting sentencing, certainly that can bear upon what sentence that individual ultimately receives.

V

It's our position and our defense theory that this decedent was actually the initial aggressor from beginning to end in this whole — in the entire time leading — at Arizona Charlie's and then again at the Siegel Suites where the shooting ultimately occurred. And it's our position that his — the fact of his being out of custody awaiting sentencing on this felony case was relevant to show just how determined he was to be aggressive, even knowing that it would jeopardize what sentence he might receive when he appeared in court for sentencing.

As Your Honor heard, he indicated — his father testified that he received a call from him, that is the decedent, just before he took him over to the Siegel Suites, where he then assaulted my client. And in that phone call he says to his father, You better come get me because if you don't, I'm probably going to jail.

So the fact that he was willing to put at risk his liberty on a felony sentencing is relevant to show just how determined he was to go after Amanda and my client. And so to that end we felt it was relevant that — and that we be allowed to pursue that line of inquiry.

THE COURT: Okay. And the State -- well, do you want to go ahead and make your response and all?

MR. BATEMAN: We objected, I believe, as to relevance. Everything that Ms. Lemcke just stated, I don't

say, oh, sure, we'll go down that road, how would any of that explanation of its relevancy ever come before the Court — before the jury?

How would you explain quilty plea agreement and what

know how any of that would actually -- even if the Court would

How would you explain guilty plea agreement and what courts normally do and what he's facing and all — you know what I mean? How would any of that, what witness would testify as to all of that to the jury? I don't — it's so tenuous and not relevant. I mean, it's almost — it's I'm actually shocked that the question was even asked, because I don't know how you would ever then follow up with explanation that Ms. Lemcke just gave.

What witness is on anybody's witness notice that would come in and explain, well, here's all the — here's all the things that might happen or would be going through Bobby's head as a result of taking a plea on a wobbler? I mean, could you imagine how that would even be presented to the jury. Under what circumstance could that possibly be given to them in a coherent explanation, and then tied back to what she just said the relevancy of it was in this case. I'm kind of shocked by it quite frankly.

So that was our objection. You know, it could just as well be argued that that's why he was trying to not have a fight with Lorenzo, which you will hear from our witnesses. So, you know, I don't even know how to respond other than the

description I just heard was -- I don't know how it would ever be presented.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, the question was: Were you aware that your son was awaiting sentencing on a felony.

Okay. So to get from there to what was in the decedent's head is completely, I mean, it's like A to Z with no steps in between. It's collateral to the issues before the Court.

Even if he — the question itself was irrelevant as to whether that if he was aware. So what even if he was. That doesn't get to the point that — where you're arguing, that it was relevant because it would somehow show the decedent's state of mind as to the aggressive — to show how aggressive he was to me is completely irrelevant, that question.

I mean, the answer that you were looking for would not have borne on what you claim to now be the — or that yesterday in fact at the bench said, you know, was the basis for it being relevant. So what if he knew or didn't know. Then as the State indicates, even if he knew, that doesn't mean that he knew what his son understood or knew.

Because frankly, yes, under the guilty — under most guilty plea agreements, it says if you are arrested and probable cause is found on a new charge, the State will regain the right to argue, that basically, you know, the deal is off as far as any favorable points of we're not going to make a

. 

recommendation or we're going to recommend something favorable, or if the habitual criminal treatment was on the table. But that's not binding at all on the Court.

And sometimes that makes a difference to a sentencing court, sometimes it does to me, and sometimes it does not. And it's completely an individualized thing in every single case. And to do otherwise, the Court wouldn't probably be exercising its reasonable discretion in a sentencing. We wouldn't need judges to do sentencings if judges didn't have discretion, and we just had to if this happens they get this.

I mean, every time I do a sentencing, I look at the individual person involved, what their background was, the facts and circumstances of the case, all of the mitigating circumstances and, you know, aggravating circumstances concerning the crime, all of the things that the statutes lay out for us as to what we need to consider and come up with the sentence.

And what happens as far as whether someone shows up to P and P or gets a misdemeanor conviction for battery may or may not affect a sentence. So that's all speculative and it's collateral to the issues that are before the Court, and that's why I sustained the objection.

MR. BATEMAN: And just if I may, it's basically a way ultimately to announce to the jury that the victim in the

case has pled guilty to a crime. I mean, that's functionally what it was. And so, you know, if we had done something like that, you know, I think we all know what the reaction would have been.

MR. SLIFE: And Judge, just so the record's clear, while it's a wobbler, it would specifically stipulate to felony treatment. So just so you know.

THE COURT: Again, again, many times totally ignore that, you know, because — as a sentencing judge, and so we as sentencing judges can. This stipulated language irritates me every time I see it, because it should say we stipulate to recommend, or we agree to recommend to the court, because the court's not bound by that either way.

You can stipulate to recommend a gross misdemeanor treatment and the court can say no, it's felony. You could stipulate to recommend it, that it be felony treatment and the court could say no, I don't think so. So again, that's all—and I've done it both ways and I continue every time. And I assume every judge should do that, because it's not binding on the court. If it was binding on the court, it would take away the sentencing discretion and it would be an improper plea negotiation.

And so for all those reasons, and but in particular that I do believe that the only real purpose for that question was to make the victim look like, yeah, he's, you know, he's a

bad guy and, you know, so you don't need to worry about the fact that he got shot.

Anything else?

MS. LEMCKE: The Court's indulgence.

(Attorneys confer.)

MS. LEMCKE: Your Honor, I just wanted to say with respect to the earlier argument, just so that the record's clear, that in Fortino Sanchez, I know that the defense did not have an expert available to testify as to the effects of the drug use that was at issue there, and that the Supreme Court still said that we should have been able to cross—examine on it.

And although the Supreme Court didn't say why, I think the reason is that because inferentially the jury can draw the conclusion as were, you know, they get instructed at the close of the case that you can draw any reasonable inferences from the evidence presented, and they can inferentially take that into consideration when assessing credibility.

And so to the extent that they didn't have an expert there, the fact that we don't have an expert at least at this point, although I think you will hear some testimony from the coroner that affect to that end, I don't think that that should be the standard by which you determine, at least at this point, that the evidence is not properly put before the

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I just wanted to make that clear where Sanchez is concerned. And I think that's it. Thank you.

MR. BATEMAN: I think we have a -- probably this is the reason it's unpublished, because there's no information in it. And so I think to your earlier point, I hope the Supreme Court doesn't go down the road of saying, oh, these are suddenly, you know, worthwhile opinions, you know, for precedential value.

Because we're sitting here arguing about facts in a case that we don't know anything about because they're not in the actual decision. We've spent a lot of time arguing about an unpublished decision that was sprung in the middle of a cross-examination.

THE COURT: Well, I certainly agree with that, that we don't have sufficient facts in this case and that's -- so it's not as helpful to the court. My other concern is really if this is to be the new standard, where this -- are we suggesting then that every witness you should be able to examine without any basis?

Because apparently there appears that there was some basis to believe that this woman, who I think she would have been an adult by the time she testified, 18, 17 or 18, had used drugs. And so if we go down the road of we just get to, every witness that comes up, you get to ask them so have you ever used drugs, illicit drugs.

the -

You know, I mean, that's just — I just don't think that the Supreme Court intends to do that and may — certainly may if they wish to, but I'm not going there, not until the Supreme Court tells me otherwise, so. Okay. That's the end of that. I've ruled.

MR. BATEMAN: I think we were waiting -- I don't know if you've gotten all the jurors. Did he? Can I just briefly --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BATEMAN: -- Your Honor?

I think what I may want to do with the gun box is just open the top flap. Not pull the gun out, but just be able to open it and show it. So I don't know if your marshal would want — I don't know if it's zip-locked in. Nancy, do you want to —

THE COURT: Has it been --

MS. LEMCKE: I'm sorry. Forgive me.

THE COURT: They haven't been opened at all by

MR. BATEMAN: No, because I — no, we haven't opened them at all. I wasn't going to go through all of it and open it. I just think we can probably cut around the flap, if you have two minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. Don't — didn't you want the officer who impounded it to do that, no?

MR. BATEMAN: I'd kind of like to do it ahead of time so we're not up here spending five minutes with scissors, as long as defense, you know — I can do it. My problem is I don't know what is zip-locked in there, and usually your marshal probably doesn't want us opening a box with a gun in it, but who knows how it's secured.

THE COURT: Well, I'm fine with — you know, I really think the jury should see that. I know sometimes you don't do that anymore, or you just do it, you know, with everybody, usually an officer opens it, the person that impounded it, and so there's no question about a chain of custody.

And, you know, I know we voir dired the jury a lot about this issue but, you know, when things happen, they don't see what — there's not that chain of custody established.

I'm always concerned that they're worrying about that —

MR. BATEMAN: I'm happy to cut it, yeah.

THE COURT: -- somehow.

MR. BATEMAN: If we open it, can I just have maybe the marshal come up?

THE COURT: Oh, yeah. We'll have the marshal come up while --

MR. BATEMAN: Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: — we do that. But I think it's a good idea to do it in front of the jury.

1	All right. Otherwise we're ready to go? Would
2	you
3	(Pause in proceeding.)
4	(Jurors enter at 9:27 a.m.)
5	THE COURT: And the record will reflect the presence
, б	of all 12 members of the jury, as well as the three
7	alternates. And will counsel so stipulate?
8	MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
9.	MS. LEMCKE: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. You
11	see it's almost 9:30, and I understand that we had somebody
12	delayed, didn't get here until 9:20. So 9:00 o'clock means
13	9:00 o'clock. Don't be late.
14	Okay. The State may call its next witness.
15	MR. BATEMAN: The State calls Brenda Vaandering.
16	BRENDA VAANDERING, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and
18	last name.
19	THE WITNESS: Brenda Vaandering, B-r-e-n-d-a,
20	V-a-a-n-d-e-r-i-n-g.
21	MR. BATEMAN: May I proceed, Your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 19

1

Ma'am, do you work for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

3 4

Α Yes, I do.

5

And in what capacity?

6

I am a senior crime scene analyst. A

All right. What are your job duties as a crime

8.

scene analyst?

As a crime scene analyst, it's my job to document Α

10

the crime scene. We do this through note taking, report

11

writing, diagrams, photographs, as well as developing, lifting

12

and recovering latent prints, and collection and preservation

13

of any and all evidence.

14

Do you get training or education in order to do your

chemistry. When I was hired by the Las Vegas Metropolitan

Police Department, I successfully completed a ten week crime

training, where I was in the field being trained by a senior

crime scene analyst. Since that time I have completed over

500 hours of additional training in the field of crime scene

investigation, as well as forensic science.

scene analyst academy, which was followed by 12 weeks of field

I have a bachelor's degree in forensic

15

job?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And how long have you been working for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

21

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  You said you did a -- well, when you got out there, was there crime scene tape up?

- A Yes.
- Q And what else did you see when you got out there?
- A There was crime scene up around the perimeter crime scene tape up around the perimeter, as well as officers, patrol vehicles, as well as the officers standing by to secure the scene.
- Q It appeared to you that the scene had been secured at least for your purposes?
  - A Yes.
- Q What is it how do you first decide what you're going to do?
- A When we arrive, we get briefed by the patrol officers that are there, as well as homicide detectives, and from there overall photographs are taken of the scene as it appeared when we arrived, and then from there sketches are done, as well as any collection of evidence. But photographs are taken first.
  - Q And you said Tucker did the photographs, or you did?
  - A Tucker.
- Q Tucker. Okay. And then you were tasked with doing a diagram and collecting the evidence that you found potentially relevant to the case?
  - A That's correct.

	- 12
1	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach your clerk, Your Honor?
2	THE COURT: You may.
3	(Mr. Bateman confers with the clerk.)
4	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness, Your
5	Honor?
6 -	THE COURT: You may.
7	BY MR. BATEMAN:
8	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as
9	exhibit State's Proposed Exhibit 46. Do you recognize that
10	document?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What is that?
13	A That is the finished computerized diagram of the
14	scene.
15	THE COURT: I can't hear you.
16	MR. BATEMAN: I just need you to speak up a little
17	bit.
18	THE WITNESS: Sorry. It's the computerized diagram
19	of the scene.
20	BY MR. BATEMAN:
21	Q And did you create that?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q So this is how you saw the scene on that particular
24	morning, and has some information about relative relevant
25	evidence?
l l	

1.	A Yes.
2	Q Specifically what were some of those items? And I
3	guess we can go you've actually written it on your crime
4	scene diagram; is that right?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q So Item 1 up in the left, you have an Item 1; is
7	that right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What was that?
10	A That is the Ruger SR9 handgun, and it was a 9mm
11	semiautomatic handgun. And it's located actually under
12	Vehicle 2. There is a typo on that diagram that says under
13	Vehicle 1, but it was under Vehicle 2.
14	MR. BATEMAN: May I approach the witness with some
15	photographs, Your Honor?
16	THE COURT: Yes.
17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
18	Q I've shown to counsel these little [inaudible]. I'm
19	going to have you flip look at these photographs, flip
20	through them. And I'm showing you State's proposed State's
21	Proposed Exhibit 17 through 31. So just look through these
22	photos for me and when you're done looking at them, let me
23	know.
24	A [Complies.]
25	Q Do you recognize those photographs?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 26

1	A Yes.	
2	Q And are those photographs of the scene that we're	
3 .	talking about?	
4	A Yes, they are.	
5	Q Fair and accurate depiction of what you saw that	
6	particular night?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Okay. I'm going to show you one additional. It's	
9	State's Proposed Exhibit 47. If you is that also a pictur	re
10	of the scene?	
11	A Yes, it is.	
12	Q Fair and accurate depiction of what you saw?	
13	A Yes.	
14	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission, Your Honor, of 19	
15	through 31.	
16	MS. LEMCKE: No objection.	
17	THE COURT: 17?	
18	MR. BATEMAN: I'm sorry, 17 through 31.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. 17 through 31.	
20	MS. LEMCKE: No objection.	
21	MR. BATEMAN: And 47.	
22	THE COURT: And 47.	
23	MS. LEMCKE: No objection.	
24	THE COURT: Okay. No objection. They'll be	
25	admitted.	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 27	

1	(State's Exhibit 17 through 31 and 47 admitted.)
2	THE COURT: And you may publish at your leisure.
3	BY MR. BATEMAN:
4	Q All right. As we're talking about you on this
5	diagram, you marked a vehicle up here as Vehicle 1; is that
6	right?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q And your Item 1, you said Ruger SR9 handgun under
9	Vehicle 1; is that right?
10	A It's actually under Vehicle 2. That's a typo. I'm
11	sorry.
12	Q And then Vehicle 2 is right here; is that right?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q And it has a little one in the bed of the truck; is
15	that right?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Is that where the gun would be found?
18	A It was actually underneath the truck.
19	Q I'm going to show you
20	MR. BATEMAN: And did I request to publish, Your
21	Honor?
22	THE COURT: Yes, you did, and I granted that.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	BY MR. BATEMAN:
25	Q I'm going to show you picture number — Exhibit
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 28

1	No. 17. This is the truck that is Vehicle No. 2 in your
2	diagram?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Okay. And showing you already in evidence now
5	State's Exhibit 18, is this the firearm underneath that
6	particular truck?
7	A Yes. It's a picture.
8	Q And you put a there's a cone there. Is that
9	something you put there, or is that something that the patrol
10	officers would put there?
11	A Those cones were already placed there by patrol
12	officers before we arrived.
13	Q Is that to identify potential evidence?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Okay. Showing you 19, is that a closer-up of that
16	firearm?
17	A Yes, it is.
18	Q Now, you recovered the firearm; is that right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q And can you describe what type of fire — it was an
21	SR9 handgun, Ruger; is that right?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q And what do you do when you recover a firearm?
24	A The gun is made safe at the scene, so it is unloaded
25	and its condition is photographed. In this case there was a
- 1	

do you find a cartridge case or multiple cartridge cases to match the number of shots that were fired?

A We always do a thorough search to try to find all cartridges that were fired. In this case we did know that two shots were fired. We — however, we were only able to locate one cartridge case. And the cartridge cases can be picked up in people's shoes or in tires before the scene is secure, before police arrive. So it's not — you know, it's not untypical for us not to find every cartridge case.

Q In Item 1, I'm going to show you — excuse me. It's Item 2, Exhibit No. 20. Does that appear to be the sidewalk where the cartridge case was located?

- A Yes.
- Q It's got a cone by it already?
- A That's correct. Patrol placed that cone there.
- Q Showing you Exhibit 21, is that a closer-up?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q And then later on, do you, in Exhibit 31, do something with that cartridge case?

A That's correct. After the original photographs are taken, then we mark the evidence with our own placards. So this is showing the cartridge case marked as Item No. 2.

- Q Exhibit 21 is when you put down your item or your placard where it's located; is that right?
  - A That's correct.

Q When you say made safe the firearm, how do you do that? Did you already testify to that?

A The -- well, the magazine is taken out of the gun and then the slide is racked back so that if there is a chamber in the cartridge, that that -- or a cartridge in the chamber, sorry, then that is ejected from the gun.

Q And then as far as the gun and your collection of the magazine and the gun, is it sometimes your practice to either swab a gun for DNA or look for fingerprints on a firearm?

A Yes. That processing is typically done back at our office. And the gun is first swabbed for DNA from the textured parts, which would be the grips, the striations on the — or not the striations, but the part of the slide where you would pull it back, where you would leave DNA most likely, and then also the trigger. And the magazine is also swabbed. And the feed part, where you feed in the cartridge is swabbed, as well as the base of the magazine.

Q And you said you do that normally back at your office?

A That's correct.

Q So how do you collect the evidence so that you don't disturb that?

A They are placed in bags and then taken back to the lab.

1	A Correct.
2	Q And the event number you read is the event number
3	associated with this case?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q There's some blue and red tape on this box; is that
6	right?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q What does that signify?
9	A Some of the red tape is the initial tape that I put
10	on there. The blue tape is what the forensic lab uses once
11	they open the package and reseal it.
12	Q So this appears to you to be having been sent to a
13	forensic lab for testing?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q You're familiar with that, right?
16	A Yes.
1.7	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I'd move admission of State's
18	Proposed Exhibit 55. And if I can follow up — well, that's
19	my motion.
20	THE COURT: Any objection?
21	MS. LEMCKE: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: 55 is the box.
23	BY MR. BATEMAN:
24	Q Okay. And I'm looking at the back of the box and
25	it's got some orange ties; is that right?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Is that how you secure the gun in the box?
3	· A	Correct. That's used to secure the firearm into the
4	box.	
5	Q	And so when we open this box, the gun's not going to
6 .	fall out?	
7	. A.	No.
8	Q	And when you put it in this box, the gun's been made
9	safe; is	that right?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	So I'm going to ask you to just cut open the portion
12	of the bo	x so that we can lift up the flap, if you don't mind.
13	A	[Complies.]
14	· · · · Q	Okay. Now you've got it open.
15	А	Correct.
16	Q	Can you pull out for me there's another bag in
17	here; is	that right?
18	A	That's correct.
19	Q	And it says what does it say on it?
20	A	It says, Items No. 1A and 1B.
21	Q	And what would be in that bag that's in the box?
22	A	This is the cartridge that was in the chamber, as
23	well as t	he magazine and the cartridges that were in the
24	magazine.	
25	Q	Now, are they in bags within that bag, or are they

1	loose?
2	A They should be.
3	Q Can we cut the top of that as well?
4	A [Complies.]
5	MR. BATEMAN: So I'm going to I moved admission
6	of the total, so what I'm just going to ask is later on if we
7	can mark the the items inside as 1A, B and C, as I go
8	through
9	THE COURT: How about 55A, B and C?
10	MR. BATEMAN: I'm sorry. 55A, B and C.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So 55's been admitted.
12	(State's Exhibit 55 admitted.)
13	THE COURT: And do you want to are you moving for
14	A, B and C yet?
15	MR. BATEMAN: Not just yet.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
18	Q Okay. So first I'm going to have you just hold that
19	up if I could. 55, that's the firearm; is that right?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And is that an automatic or a revolver?
22	A It's a semiautomatic.
23	Q It's a semiautomatic.
24	THE COURT RECORDER: Mr. Bateman.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Bateman, you're in the dead zone
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 38

there.

MR. BATEMAN: Sorry.

THE COURT: Yeah.

## BY MR. BATEMAN:

Q What's the difference between a semiautomatic and a revolver?

A A semiautomatic has a magazine that feeds ammunition into the firearm. A revolver has a chamber where it rotates.

Q And with regard to when a shot is fired, that cartridge that you talked about that you found, what's the difference between with cartridges that are expended, the difference between a semiautomatic and a revolver?

A The cartridge case will automatically be ejected from a semiautomatic firearm, whereas a revolver, it will stay in that chamber once it's fired.

Q And with regard to this gun, you swab — what did you do to process this gun for either prints or DNA?

A The grips were swabbed for DNA, the trigger was swabbed for DNA, as well as this area of the slide. And then the rest of the firearm was processed for fingerprints.

Q Okay. As far as the DNA, why did you choose the locations that you did to swab?

A We swab the textured areas of the gun because that's the most likely area where you would leave skin cells behind that we could get DNA from.

. 1	Q Is that because you generally don't find it?
2	A I would assume so. It's just been in our policy
3	that we don't swab those for DNA. We swab the parts of the
4	gun that would be handled the most.
5	Q Okay. I got you.
6	MR. BATEMAN: All right. I'm going to give this t
7	the marshal, if you don't mind.
8	BY MR. BATEMAN:
9	Q I'm going to show you what's also been marked as
10	State's Proposed Exhibit 56. Do you recognize that?
11	A Yes, I do.
12	Q What is that?
13	A This is Package No. 2 from the scene, which is the
14	cartridge case that was recovered.
15	Q And just have you [indicating], if you don't mind.
16	MR. BATEMAN: Actually, I'll move admission of
17	well, let me follow up.
18	BY MR. BATEMAN:
19	Q Same type of procedure with regard to this bag as
20	far as the label, the same event number, your information on
21	it and the blue and red tape; is that right?
22	A Correct.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Move admission of Proposed 56, Your
24	Honor.
25	MS. LEMCKE: No objection, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
2	(State's Exhibit 56 admitted.)
3	MR. BATEMAN: I'll ask if I can have permission to
4	have her open.
5	THE COURT: All right. Please do.
6	THE WITNESS: [Complies.]
7	BY MR. BATEMAN:
8	Q And what is included or what do we have in as the
9	item in evidence?
10	A This is Item No. 2, and it is the cartridge case
11	that was recovered from [inaudible].
12	Q And that's the one that was photographed as well; is
13	that right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. And this matches the cartridges that we
16	talked about
17	A Correct.
18	Q — earlier?
19	THE COURT: Do you want to mark that separately?
20	MR. BATEMAN: I think it's fine just because it's
21	the one that's in there, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So 56 will be the envelope and
23	contents, which is the recovered cartridge case.
24	THE CLERK: I have it already marked as A, so that's
25	how it's admitted.

1	MR. BATEMAN: That's fine. She's way ahead of me.
2	THE COURT: Okay. We'll mark that as 56A, and that
3	will be admitted unless there's an objection.
4	MS. LEMCKE: [No audible response.]
5	THE COURT: No. It will be admitted. Thank you.
6	(State's Exhibit 56A admitted.)
7	BY MR. BATEMAN:
8	Q I'm going to show you State's Proposed Exhibit 57.
9	What is that?
10	A This is Package 5, from the same event, and it has
11	the location of the incident, as well as my initials, P number
12	and signature on it.
13	Q And what do we expect to find in that bag?
14	A It contains two swabs for possible DNA, two
15	different items.
16	Q So what's in there is the swab that you used to
17	on that firearm to swab the locations that you swabbed?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q As well as the magazine?
20	A Yeah. There's a swab for the gun as well as a swab
21	for the magazine.
22	Q So there's two different swabs in there
23	A That's correct.
24	Q is that right?
25	Okay. And then same process, it has your label, has
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	the two different types of stickers on it; is that right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And on the bottom here, do you know that name there?
4	Does that say Beata Vida?
5	A It appears so.
6.	Q Okay. Would that be someone from the forensic lab?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that's where they put the blue tape back on
9	after they process?
10	A Correct.
11	MR. BATEMAN: I'll move admission of 57, Your Honor.
12	I'm not going to open 57.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MS. LEMCKE: No.
15	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
16	(State's Exhibit 57 admitted.)
17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
18	Q The last one, State's Proposed Exhibit 58, let me
19	show you that bag. What's in that bag?
20	A This is our latent print envelopes. Again, it is
21	marked in the same way with the event number, as well as my
22	name, my P number and the location of the scene. And in here
23	are the photographs of the latent prints that were taken from
24	that ammunition magazine.
25	Q And what's a latent print?

A A latent fingerprint is the friction ridges on your fingers and palms. When you handle an item, the oils in your skin will leave behind the friction ridges on that item, and that is what we consider a latent print if it is invisible to the naked eye.

Q And so how do you — how do you document that print; is it in a photograph, is it on a card, what do you do?

A In this case, because a chemical process was used, the cyanoacrylate fuming makes the print white, and that is photographed. And then a dye stain is used to enhance it, and a laser is used to view that dye stain, and then that is also photographed.

Q And from the photograph, is that what the forensic analysts then compares to known fingerprints?

A Correct.

Q And that's what should be in here?

A The photographs that I took are what should be in here.

Q Okay. And that's from just the — the only print you found was on the magazine; is that right?

A Yes. There was only one print on the magazine. But several exposures were taken, and it was taken just with the cyanoacrylate on there and then also with the rhodamine 6G. So it's just one print, but there will be several photos.

MR. BATEMAN: I'll move admission of Proposed

1	[inaudible].		
2	THE CLERK: Of which one?		
3	THE COURT: 58.		
4	MR. BATEMAN: Proposed 58.		
5	THE COURT: Any objection?		
6	MS. LEMCKE: No, Your Honor.		
7	THE COURT: It will be admitted.		
8	(State's Exhibit 58 admitted.)		
9	MR. BATEMAN: The Court's indulgence.		
10	(Attorneys confer.)		
11	BY MR. BATEMAN:		
12	Q All right. I'm going to show you some items already		
13	in evidence, just generally of the crime scene, if you don't		
14	mind. And Exhibit No. 6, is the crime scene over to the left		
15	of the crime scene tape?		
16	A That's correct.		
17	Q And we'll show you a closer-up. State's Exhibit		
18	No. 8. The crime scene generally is where?		
19	A Is on the sidewalk right in front of that vehicle.		
20	Q In front of the Chevrolet?		
21	A Correct.		
22	Q Can you just circle it on the screen?		
23	A [Complies.]		
24	Q We already talked about you found the the cone		
25	that's on the left, is that where the cartridge case was?		
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT		

1.	O Okay. And then there appears to be an item right		
. 2	here.		
. 3	A Yeah. That is a cellphone.		
4	Q And you recovered that as well?		
5	A That was recovered by detectives.		
6	Q Detectives took it?		
. 7	A Yes.		
8	Q Homicide detectives?		
9	A Correct.		
10	Q And then this, is this clothing here in the middle?		
11	A That's correct.		
12	Q And did you recover that clothing to photograph it?		
13	A Yes, I did.		
14	Q And what do you do to recover and photograph the		
15	clothing?		
16	A The photograph — or the clothing was laid out and		
17	photographed, and any defects in the clothing are indicated on		
18	those photographs, and then they are packaged and impounded		
19	once they are dried.		
20	Q So you spread out the clothing to try to find		
21	defects; is that what you said?		
22	A That's correct.		
23	Q And when you say defects, what are you referring to?		
24	A In this case it would be bullet hole defects.		
25	Q I'm going to show you actually, I don't know that		
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 51		

entirety. 1 2. MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Move admission of 48 through 54, and request to publish. 3 4 MS. LEMCKE: No objection. 5 They'll be admitted. THE COURT: (State's Exhibit 48 through 54 admitted.) 6 7 THE COURT: And you may publish. 8 BY MR. BATEMAN: 9 Can you just describe the -- were there three items Q of clothing that you found? 10 11 Ά Yes. 12 0 And what were the three? 13 It was a white tank top style shirt, a blue T-shirt, Α as well as a long sleeve black hooded jacket. 14 15 I'm going to show you 48. Is that the undershirt? Q 16 Α That is the white tank top. 17 It was supposed to be white; is that right? 0 18 Α That's correct. 19 So any of the red would presumably be? Q 20 Α It's apparent blood. 21 You didn't test it for blood? Q. 22 Α No. 23 Q Just kind of obvious? 24 It came from the victim who was bleeding at Α Yeah. 25 the time.

1	in the po	ockets?	
2	A	Yeah. That's the only thing that was recovered from	
3	the pockets of the jacket.		
4	· Q	And it was recovered from the right pocket?	
5	A	Correct.	
6	Q	And it appears to have a cord on it; is that right?	
Ź	А	Yes.	
8	Q	So it was showing you 53, is this a closer-up?	
9	А	Yes, it is.	
10	Q	Have blood on it, or apparent blood?	
11	А	Yes, it does.	
12	Q	And that's how you found it in the pocket?	
13	A	Correct.	
14	Ω	Loose?	
15	А	Yes.	
16		MR. BATEMAN: The Court's indulgence.	
17	(Attorneys confer.)		
18	BY MR. BATEMAN:		
19	Q	Let me follow up with one last question on the	
20	swabbing	of the firearm. Did you use one swab for the	
21 ·	entirety of the gun?		
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	So the swab you used, you talked about swabbing the	
24	handle and some of the ridges on the slide; is that right?		
25	A	Correct.	
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 56	

converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject 1 2 connected with the trial, or read, watch or listen to any 3 report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including 4 5 without limitation newspaper, television, radio or Internet, and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject 7 connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you. 8 We'll be in recess until 10:25. 9 (Jurors recessed at 10:09 a.m.) Thank you. We're off the record. 10 THE COURT: (Court recessed at 10:10 a.m. until 10:30 a.m.) 11 (Jurors reconvene at 10:30 a.m.) 12 The record will reflect the presence of 13 THE COURT: the defendant with his counsel, the deputies district attorney 14 15 prosecuting the case, all officers of the court, all 12 members of the jury, as well as the three alternates. Will 16 17 counsel so stipulate? MS. LEMCKE: Yes, Your Honor. 18 19 MR. BATEMAN: Yes. Thank you. You may proceed. 20 THE COURT: MS. LEMCKE: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. LEMCKE: So Ms. Vaandering, so when a crime scene is 24 identified, Metro generally first responds; is that right? 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	A	That's correct.
. 2	Q Q	And when they go out, they'll actually cordon
3	off a	the area around the crime scene?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	They'll put up tape?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Yellow tape?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	That's what we commonly see in TV and in real life?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	And they do that so that they can secure the scene?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Preserve it as well as they can?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Make sure that the evidence is photographed and
16	collected	in the state that it is found right after the
17	offense?	
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And they did that in this case?
20	A	Yes, they did.
21	Q	The responding officers responded relatively
22	quickly?	• •
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And they secured the scene?
25	A	Yes.
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Q	Put the yellow tape up and around the area?
2,	·A	That's correct.
3	Q	And that allowed you to come in and do your kind of
4	canvass t	o look for evidence and impound things that might be
5	relevant	to the matter?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	And so when you indicated that you had information
8	that ther	e were two shots fired
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	not three shots?
11	A	From what we indicated it was two, from what I had
12	heard.	
13	Q	Okay. So let me rephrase. Not more than two, just
14	two?	
15	A	That's what I recall, yes.
16	Q	And so you were looking for evidence of those shots,
17	those two	shots that had been fired?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	And one of the things that you might find would be
20	casings tl	nat are ejected from the gun?
21	· A	That's correct.
22	Q	And you indicated that you found one?
23	A ,	Correct.
24	Q	But you didn't find two?
25	A	No.

identification as Defense C and Defense A and Defense B. 1 2 Thank you for keeping those in order. Defense F, Defense S as in Sam, Defense Q, Defense R, Defense D, and then Defense E, 3 4 and ask you to look at those photographs for me. 5 Do those fairly and accurately depict the crime 6 scene as you recall it that evening? 7 Α Yes. 8 And into the early morning hours --Q 9 Ά Well, that morning. 10 Q Morning, I guess I should say. 11 And then same thing with the photographs of the gun 12 and the bullets, does that fairly and accurately depict the 13 gun and the bullets that you recovered? 14 Α Yes. 15 Q As well as the magazine? 16 Α Yes. 17 MS. LEMCKE: Okay. Your Honor, I'd move for the 1.8 admission of those exhibits. 19 THE COURT: Any objection? 20. MR. BATEMAN: No. 21 THE CLERK: A, B, C, D, E, F, S, Q? 22 MS. LEMCKE: Yes. 23 THE COURT: R, D and E. 24 THE CLERK: Oh, okay. I have those too. 25 THE COURT: Those will all be admitted.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	Q Okay. But there — I'm sorry. So there were two
2	locations that you swabbed on the handgun?
3	A Three locations.
4	Q Three locations.
5	A Yes.
6 -	Q But it was done with a single swab?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And then on the magazine, that was a different swab
9	that was used?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And those were the only items that were swabbed for
12	DNA?
13	A Correct.
14	Q The bullets were not swabbed for DNA?
15	A No.
16	THE COURT: Is that cartridges?
17	THE WITNESS: Cartridges, yes.
18	MS. LEMCKE: Cartridges. I call them bullets.
19	Forgive me. Cartridges, yes.
20	The Court's indulgence.
21	(Pause in proceeding.)
22	MS. LEMCKE: I have nothing else, Your Honor.
23	MR. BATEMAN: I just need two quick questions.
24	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
25	

1	Honor.
2	THE COURT: Any recross?
3	MS. LEMCKE: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
5	MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony.
7	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
9	MS. DiGIACOMO: The State calls Timothy Hildebrand.
10	May I approach the clerk, Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	(Ms. DiGiacomo confers with the clerk.)
13	TIMOTHY HILDEBRAND, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
14	THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and
15	last name for the record.
16	THE WITNESS: Timothy Hildebrand, T-i-m-o-t-h-y,
17	H-i-l-d-e-b-r-a-n-d.
18	THE COURT: You may proceed.
19	MS. DiGIACOMO: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	I apologize. The Court's indulgence.
21	(Pause in proceeding.)
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. DiGIACOMO:
24 .	Q All right. Sir, I want to ask you, I want to direct
25	your attention back to December 22, 2013. At that time did
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 70

1	you know a person by the name of Luis or Lorenzo Pimentel?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Do you see that person that you knew back then in
4	the courtroom here today?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Would you point to that person and identify the
7	clothing that they're wearing right now, describe the
8	clothing.
9	A Gray white shirt, gray jacket [indicating].
10	MS. DiGIACOMO: Your Honor, would the record reflect
11	identification of the defendant?
12	THE COURT: It will.
13	BY MS. DiGIACOMO:
14	Q All right. Back at that time, how long had you
15	known the defendant?
16	A A few months.
17	Q And did you also know a person back then that went
18	by Bobby Holland?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And how long had you known Bobby at that time?
21	A About five, six years.
22	Q Would you consider yourself at that time friends
23	with both Bobby and the defendant?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Now, at that time in December of 2013, do you know
İ	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	whether or not Bobby had a girlfriend at that time?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And who was that?
4	A Amanda.
5	Q Do you know Amanda's last name?
6	A No.
7	Q Do you know about how long that they had been
8	together?
-9	A From what I know of, like a year or two.
10	Q Did you ever well, were you ever around Bobby and
11	Amanda when they were together?
12	A No.
13	Q So you heard about it more from Amanda or Bobby?
14	A From Bobby.
15	Q How much or I guess, how well did you know Amanda
16	at that time?
17	A I didn't know her at all. I only seen her like
18	twice.
19	Q So was one of the times that you saw Amanda on the
20	early morning hours of December 22, 2013?
21	A Yes.
22 .	Q What was the other time you had seen Amanda?
23	A She was with Bobby a couple months before that.
24	Q So everything you learned about the relationship you
25	learned from Bobby?
i	

1	А	Yeah.
2.	Q	Were you able to gather an impression about how
3.	Bobby fel	t about her?
4	A	He was in love with her.
5	· Q	Now, did you know whether or not Bobby and the
6	defendant	were friends?
7 .	A	Yes.
. 8	Q	Do you know about how long they were friends?
9	A	Probably about the same amount of time I knew him.
10	Q .	A few months
11.	A	Yeah.
12	Q	before December?
13		All right. So let's talk about the early morning
14	hours of I	December 22, 2013. In the early morning hours, do
15	you recall	l where you were?
16	A	I was I went to Arizona Charlie's.
17	Q	Who did you go there with?
18	A	My girlfriend, fiance.
19	Q	What's her name?
20	A	Shannon Salazar.
21	Q	So at the time that you go to Arizona Charlie's on
22	that morni	ing, how do you get there?
23	A	Drove.
24	Q	In what car?
25	A	A friend of mine's silver Hyundai Elantra.
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

	18
1	Q And did you drive, or did Shannon drive?
2	A I did.
3	Q And why did you drive the car?
4	A My friend lent it to me.
5	Q Now, does Shannon drive?
6	A No.
7	Q I guess I should ask in December 2013, did she
8	drive?
9	A No.
10	MS. LEMCKE: By she, I'm sorry, who are we referring
11	to with the she?
12	MS. DiGIACOMO: Shannon.
13	MS. LEMCKE: Okay.
14	BY MS. DiGIACOMO:
15	Q So when you get to Arizona Charlie's, did you have
16	any idea that anybody else was there that night?
17	A No.
18	Q So the two of you go there just to gamble?
19	A Yes.
20	Q When you go to Arizona Charlie's, how do you, I
21	guess, what parking lot do you pull into, or where do you go?
22	A I pulled into the well, when you come off of
23	Indios, I pulled into the first spot, the first entrance.
24	Q When you pulled in, did you see anybody you knew?
25	A Yes.

	11	
1	Q	Who was that?
2	A	Bobby.
3	Q	Can you describe Bobby to the jury?
4	A	He's tall, pretty husky.
5	Q	Big guy?
6	A	Yeah. He's a big guy.
7	Q	Is he taller than you?
. 8	A	Yes.
9	Q	How tall are you?
10	А	Six-two.
11	Q	So he's how much taller than you would you say he
12	was?	
13	А	By 2 inches, 2, 3 inches.
14	Q	So he's at least six-four, six-five?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And you said he's a big husky guy. How much would
17	you estima	ate he weighed?
18	A	Probably 280, 300 maybe.
19	Q	So you see you pull into the parking lot. Where
20	is Bobby?	Where do you see him?
21	A	He was standing in the parking lot.
22	Q	And what happened at that point?
23	. A	He asked me he stopped me and asked me if I would
24	go into Ār	rizona Charlie's and ask Amanda to come out and talk
25	to him.	
	1	

	l <b>i</b>	$\cdot$
1	Q	Now, what was his demeanor at this time?
2	A	He was kind of agitated.
3	Q	Seem upset?
. 4	A.	Yeah.
5	Q	And was he doing anything that made you think he was
6	upset?	
7	. A	Yeah. He was pacing back and forth.
8	Q	So he's pacing back and forth, and then he says will
9	you go in	and ask Amanda to come out and talk to him?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	So he was concerned about Amanda?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did he tell you why he wanted to talk to Amanda?
14	A	No.
15	Q	What did you tell him when he said, hey, can you go
16	in and get	t Amanda for me?
17	A	I told him, Man, don't worry, forget about her.
18	. Q	Now, why did you say forget about her?
19	А	Because she wasn't worth it.
20	Q	Because you could tell he was upset?
21	A	She just used his she just used him.
22		MS. LEMCKE: Well, I would object to that.
23		THE WITNESS: I think. That's my opinion.
24		THE COURT: What's the basis of your objection?
25		MS. LEMCKE: Speculation.
l		

1		THE COURT: Overruled.
2	BY MS. D	iGIACOMO:
3	Ω	So is it fair to say that you didn't think that
.4	Amanda wa	as good for Bobby?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Okay. So you said, hey, just leave her alone?
7	, A	Yeah.
8	Q	Or leave it alone, and
9	А	I said, Yeah, just leave it alone.
10	Q	And what did Bobby say?
11	A	He said, Nah, I just want to talk to her, tell her
12	to come o	out here.
13	Q	When you saw Bobby, did he appear — like could you
14	tell whet	her or not he might have been drinking or was under
15	the influ	mence of anything?
16	A	[No audible response.]
17	Q	No?
18	A	No.
19	Q	You couldn't tell?
20	A	No. He wasn't drinking.
21	Q	Okay. Did he appear
22	A	He didn't look like he was under the influence
23	either.	
24	Q	So he just seemed normal?
25	A	Yeah.
1		

	if .	
1	Q	So does Bobby take your advice and just leave it
2	alone?	
3	A	No.
4	Q	So do you go inside and find Amanda?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	When you go inside, where is she?
7	A	Sitting at the machines with Lorenzo.
8	Q	And by Lorenzo, when you refer to him, it's the same
9	person yo	u identified in court today?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Do you recall when you walked up how she was
12	sitting?	
13	A	She had her feet up on his stool, Lorenzo's stool,
14	and he ha	d his hand on her leg, on her knee.
15	Q	And what was the impression you got?
16	A	That something was going on.
17	Q	Between the two of them?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	So who did you talk to first, Lorenzo or Amanda?
20	A	Lorenzo.
21	Q	What'd you tell him?
22	A	I told him, I said, Hey, Bobby wants her to come out
23	and talk	to him. And he said
24	Q	What did he say?
25	A	F Bobby.

78 -

1	Q	Well, I don't want you to give us the clean version.
2	Just tell	us exactly what Lorenzo said.
3	A	He said, "Fuck Bobby."
4	. Q	What was his demeanor like when he said that?
5	A	Kind of agitated. He was pissed off.
6	Q	And when he said, Fuck Bobby, did you say anything?
7	A	Yeah. I told him, I said, What do you mean fuck
8	Bobby.	
9	Q	What did he say?
10	А	He said that he said, Fuck Bobby. I said, What
11	do you mea	an fuck Bobby, man, you know that's his girlfriend,
12	you know,	she's off limits.
13	Q	And what did what did he respond?
14	A	He said, Fuck Bobby.
15	· Q	Did he say anything about whether or not he was in a
16	relationship with her at that time?	
17	А	No.
18	Q	Do you recall giving statement to police a few weeks
19	after this incident?	
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Do you recall telling the police that he said, Man,
22	fuck that,	, I ain't fucking her anyway?
23	$\mathbf{A}$	Yeah. Yes.
24	Q	Did Lorenzo say that?
25	A	Yeah.

1 -	Q	And what was your response when he says, I ain't
2	fucking	her anyway?
3	A	I was just like, yeah, right, okay.
4	Q	You didn't believe it based on what you saw?
5	A	No. No, I didn't believe it.
6	Q	So at this point, is this the end of the
7	conversa	tion you have with Lorenzo?
8	A	Yeah. Well, he asked me — well, we went and walked
9	outside a	and they both got up and
10	Q	Well, don't I'm just talking about right there
11	when they	're at the machines, was that kind of the end of the
12	conversat	cion?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. When you're having this conversation with the
15	defendant	t, was Amanda there?
16	A	Yes.
17	· Q	Was she listening or saying anything?
18	Α	She was listening.
19	Q	But she didn't make any comments?
20	A	No.
21	Q ,	All right.
22	A	Except I think she said she didn't want to talk to
23	him.	
24	Q	Okay. So at this point what happens?
25	A	We got up and walked outside, and then we got
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 80

1		nd I among and Dalaha at a talk and ing hade and forth
1	outside a	nd Lorenzo and Bobby started arguing back and forth.
2	Q	So did you walk out with Amanda and Lorenzo?
3	A	Yes.
4	. Q	Was there anyone else with them?
5	A	I don't think so.
6	Q	When you walk outside well, let me ask I guess
7	back up.	Did you go in alone, or did Shannon come in with
8	you?	
9	А	She went in oh, no. I went in by myself.
10	Q	Okay. So Shannon stayed in the car?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And where was that car parked?
13.	A	I don't I can't remember. I think I parked on
		formet of the like Dailding 6 on whatever
14	the in	front of the like Building 6 or whatever.
14 15	the in Q	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?
15	Q	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?
15 16	Q A	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel? Yes.
15 16 17	О А О	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel? Yes.
15 16 17 18	Q A Q outside?	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby
15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q outside? A	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby  Yeah. He was in the parking lot.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q outside? A Q	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby  Yeah. He was in the parking lot.  And so what happens at that point?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q outside? A Q A	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby  Yeah. He was in the parking lot.  And so what happens at that point?  Him and Lorenzo started arguing back and forth.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q outside? A Q A	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby  Yeah. He was in the parking lot.  And so what happens at that point?  Him and Lorenzo started arguing back and forth.  What were they arguing about?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q outside? A Q A Q	Building 6 of rooms for the hotel?  Yes.  So you walk out with them and you said — is Bobby  Yeah. He was in the parking lot.  And so what happens at that point?  Him and Lorenzo started arguing back and forth.  What were they arguing about?  Her. Amanda.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
2 3	LUIS PIMENTEL, ) No. 68710				
4	Appellant,				
5	vi.				
6	) THE STATE OF NEVADA, )				
8	Respondent.				
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VII PAGES 1501-1750				
10					
11	PHILIP J. KOHN  STEVE WOLFSON  Clark County Public Defender  Clark County District Attorney				
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610  Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155				
13	Attorney for Appellant  ADAM LAXALT  Attorney General  100 North Carson Street				
15	100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538				
16	Counsel for Respondent				
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE				
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada				
19	Supreme Court on the day of 1, 2015. Electronic Service of the				
20	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:				
21	ADAM LAXALT HOWARD S. BROOKS STEVEN S. OWENS WILL WATERS				
22	STEVEN S. OWENS  I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and				
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:				
24	LUIS PIMENTEL				
25	NDOC # 1144889 c/o ELY STATE PRISON				
26	PO Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301				
27	BY				
28	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office				