## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS GODOREDO PIMENTEL, III, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 68710

MAY 0 4 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN RK OF SUPREME COURT

16-13970

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until June 27, 2016, to file and serve the answering brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

C.L

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA