IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS GODOREDO PIMENTEL, III, Appellant, vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 68710

FILED

FEB 1 4 2017 ELIZABETH A. BROWN

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for leave to file an amended opening brief and an amended reply brief or, alternatively, a motion to strike certain portions of those briefs. Appellant asserts that he cited to incorrect statutory language in his briefs. No good cause appearing, the motion is denied.¹ The clerk of this court shall return, unfiled, the amended opening and reply briefs received via E-Flex on February 10, 2017.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

1. 4. 6. 1.

्रंे

¹However, the court acknowledges that appellant made an incorrect reference to statutory language in his opening and reply briefs. In its disposition of this appeal, the court will read appellant's briefs to refer to the version of NRS 200.120 that was in effect at the time of appellant's trial, which took place in May 2015.