

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA**

MDC RESTAURANTS, LLC, A  
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY; LAGUNA RESTAURANTS  
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY; AND INKA LLC, A  
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK AND THE HONORABLE  
TIMOTHY WILLIAMS, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

PAULETTE DIAZ, AN INDIVIDUAL;  
LAWANDA GAIL WILBANKS, AN  
INDIVIDUAL; and CHARITY  
FITZLAFF, AN INDIVIDUAL, ALL ON  
BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ALL  
SIMILARLY-SITUATED  
INDIVIDUALS,

Real Parties in Interest.

**Case No. 68523**

Electronically Filed  
Dec 23 2015 08:44 a.m.  
Eighth Judicial District Court Case  
No. A-14-701633-0  
Tracie K. Lindeman  
Clerk of Supreme Court

District Court Dept. No. 16  
Honorable Timothy C. Williams

COLLINS KWAYISI, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

vs.

WENDY'S OF LAS VEGAS, INC., AN  
OHIO CORPORATION; AND CEDAR  
ENTERPRISES, INC., AN OHIO  
CORPORATION,

Respondents.

THE STATE OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF  
THE LABOR COMMISSIONER; AND  
SHANNON CHAMBERS, NEVADA  
LABOR COMMISSIONER IN HER  
OFFICIAL CAPACITY

Appellants,

vs.

CODY C. HANCOCK, AN  
INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

ERIN HANKS,

Appellant,

vs.

BRIAD RESTAURANT GROUP, L.L.C.,  
A NEW JERSEY LIMITED LIABILITY  
COMPANY,

Respondent.

**Case No. 68754**

United States District Court, District  
of Nevada, Case No. 2:14-cv-00729-  
GMN-VCF  
Honorable Gloria M. Navarro

**Case No. 68770**

First Judicial District Court Case  
No. 14 OC 00080 1B

District Court Dept. No. 2  
Honorable James E. Wilson, Jr.

**Case No. 68845**

United States District Court, District  
of Nevada, Case No. 2:14-cv-00786-  
GMN-PAL

Honorable Gloria M. Navarro

**RESPONDENT BRIAD RESTAURANT GROUP, L.L.C.'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF**

**APPELLANTS THE STATE OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF THE LABOR  
COMMISSIONER, AND SHANNON CHAMBERS, NEVADA LABOR  
COMMISSIONER IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY, APPEAL OF THE  
DISTRICT COURT ORDER INVALIDATING N.A.C. 608.104(2)**

RICK D. ROSKELLEY, ESQ., Nevada Bar # 3192  
ROGER L. GRANDGENETT II, ESQ., Nevada Bar # 6323  
MONTGOMERY Y. PAEK, ESQ., Nevada Bar # 10176  
KATHRYN B. BLAKEY, ESQ., Nevada Bar # 12701  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937  
Telephone: 702.862.8800  
Facsimile: 702.862.8811

*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Briad Restaurant Group, L.L.C.*

Comes now, BRIAD RESTAURANT GROUP, L.L.C. (“Briad”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, Littler Mendelson, P.C., hereby respectfully files this motion for leave to participate as *amici curiae* in the above-captioned matter pursuant to Nev. R. App. P. 29(c).

**I. Briad’s Interest in Filing an Amicus Brief**

Briad seeks to participate as Amicus Curiae in the appeal proceeding in *The State of Nevada, Office of the Labor Commissioner and Shannon Chambers, Nevada Labor Commission v. Cody C. Hancock*, Case No. 68770 (“*Hancock*”), on the issue of whether NAC 608.104(2) is invalid due to its direction to employers that they may count tips as part of an employee’s gross taxable income for purpose of calculating the cost of health insurance premiums under the Minimum Wage Amendment, Nevada Const. art. 15, § 16 (the “MWA”). This Court has consolidated the *Hancock* matter with Briad’s Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition on the meaning of the term “provide” under the MWA. In addition to Briad’s interest on the meaning of “provide”, Briad now seeks to also present its arguments in support of the validity of NAC 608.104(2) regarding the inclusion of tips as part of gross taxable income under the MWA.

Briad’s interest in this issue regarding the validity of NAC 608.104(2) is due to it owning and operating a business in the state of Nevada wherein it pays some of its employees the minimum wage pursuant to the MWA plus tips. Additionally,

within the last year, Briad has been served with a lawsuit for alleged violations of the MWA. *Hanks et al. v. Briad Restaurant Group, L.L.C.*, D. Nev., Case No. 2:14-cv-00786-GMN-PAL, filed May 19, 2014. In that lawsuit, plaintiffs have asserted that the tip-income they received as part of their compensation from Briad cannot be calculated into their gross taxable income when determining the premium cost of the health insurance made available to them from Briad. Briad has taken the opposite position and, relying in part on N.A.C. 608.104(2), has factored in the tip-income it gives its employees as part of their gross taxable income when calculating the premium cost of the health insurance it makes available to its employees pursuant to the MWA. Thus, the validity of N.A.C. 608.104(2) directly implicates Briad's business practices, its compliance with the MWA, and its potential liability in the above referenced lawsuit, *Hanks et al. v. Briad Restaurant Group, L.L.C.*, D. Nev., Case No. 2:14-cv-00786-GMN-PAL.

As such, it is Briad's position that the District Court erred in invalidating N.A.C. 608.104(2). Therefore, Briad has a direct interest in the issue at hand and setting forth its arguments that support of Appellants the State of Nevada, the Office of the Labor Commissioner, and Shannon Chambers', Nevada Labor Commissioner in her Official Capacity, position that N.A.C. 608.104(2) is a valid regulation which implements and does not conflict with the MWA.

## II. Reasons Why Briad's Amicus Brief is Desirable

The ability for an amicus to file a brief is within this Court's discretion. *See* Nev. R. App. P. 29(a) and 21(b)(3). “Amicus curiae presentations assist the court by broadening its perspective on the issues raised by the parties. Among other services, they facilitate informed judicial consideration of a wide variety of information and points of view that may bear on important legal questions.” *Bily v. Arthur Young & Co.*, 3 Cal. 4th 370, 406 n.14, 834 P.2d 745 (1992). Courts “frequently welcome amicus briefs from non-parties concerning legal issues that have potential ramifications beyond the parties directly involved or if the amicus has ‘unique information or perspective that can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide.’” *NGV Gaming, Ltd. v. Upstream Point Molate, LLC*, 355 F. Supp. 2d 1061, 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2005) (citations omitted).

Here, Briad's Amicus Brief presents additional points and authorities as to why tips are in fact, income “from the employer” contrary to the district court's baseless assumption to the contrary. In its Amicus Brief, Briad cites Nevada and federal law including binding and persuasive authority on the disbursement, payment, taxing and calculation of tips. Indeed, the vast majority of the authority set forth in Briad's proposed *amicus curiae* brief filed concurrently herein has not been addressed by the other parties or the proposed *amici curiae*. Thus, Briad

presents additional considerations that will give the Court a more complete understanding of the applicable law. Moreover, Briad will set forth additional practical considerations that relate to this issue and are directly relevant to the policy considerations that this Court may weigh in answering the question of law presented before it.

## **II. Conclusion**

Briad has a strong interest in participating as Amicus Curiae on this issue and its briefing will be both useful and timely given the importance of the petitioned issue. Accordingly, this Court should grant the Motion for Leave and accept Briad's Amicus Curiae Brief on the issue of tips filed concurrently herewith.

Dated: December 22, 2015

/s/ Kathryn B. Blakey, Esq.  
RICK D. ROSKELLEY, ESQ.  
ROGER L. GRANDGENETT II, ESQ.  
MONTGOMERY Y. PAEK, ESQ.  
KATHRYN B. BLAKEY, ESQ.  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937  
*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Briad  
Restaurant Group, L.L.C.*

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that this brief complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6) because:

☒ This brief has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2010 in 14 point font size and Times New Roman.

I further certify that this brief complies with the page or type volume limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it is either:

☐ Proportionately spaced, has a typeface of 14 points or more, and contains \_\_\_\_\_ words:

☐ Monospaced, has 10.5 or fewer characters per inch, and contains \_\_\_\_ words or \_\_\_\_ lines of text; or

☒ Does not exceed 10 pages.

Finally, I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript or appendix



where the matter relied on is to be found.

I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Dated: December 22, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kathryn B. Blakey, Esq.

PRICK D. ROSKELLEY, ESQ.  
ROGER L. GRANDGENETT II, ESQ.  
MONTGOMERY Y. PAEK, ESQ.  
KATHRYN B. BLAKEY, ESQ.  
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.  
3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5937  
*Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Briad  
Restaurant Group, L.L.C.*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I am a resident of the State of Nevada, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 300, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89169. On December 22, 2015, I served the within document:

**RESPONDENT BRIAD RESTAURANT GROUP, L.L.C.'S MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF  
APPELLANTS THE STATE OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF THE LABOR  
COMMISSIONER, AND SHANNON CHAMBERS, NEVADA LABOR  
COMMISSIONER IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY, APPEAL OF THE  
DISTRICT COURT ORDER INVALIDATING N.A.C. 608.104(2)**

- ☒ By **CM/ECF Filing** – Pursuant to N.E.F.R. the above-referenced document was electronically filed and served upon the parties listed below through the Court's Case Management and Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system.
- ☒ By **United States Mail** – a true copy of the document listed above for collection and mailing following the firm's ordinary business practice in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid for deposit in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as set forth below.

Scott Davis, Esq., Bar #10019  
Deputy Attorney General  
Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General  
555 E. Washington Avenue  
Suite 3900  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
*Attorneys for State of Nevada ex rel  
Office of the Labor Commissioner; and  
Shannon Chambers*

Elayna J. Youchah, Esq., Bar #5837  
Steven C. Anderson, Esq., Bar #11901  
Jackson Lewis P.C.  
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway  
Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169  
*Attorneys for Amici Curiae*

Don Springmeyer, Esq., Bar #1021  
Bradley Schrager, Esq., Bar #10217  
Daniel Bravo, Esq., Bar #13078  
Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman &

Rabkin, LLP  
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor  
Las Vegas, NV 89120-2234  
*Attorneys for Respondent*

Honorable James E. Wilson  
First Judicial District Court,  
Department 2  
885 E. Musser Street, Suite 3031  
Carson City, NV 89701

Honorable Timothy C. Williams  
Eighth Judicial District Court,  
Department 16  
200 Lewis Avenue  
Las Vegas, NV 89155

Honorable Gloria M. Navarro  
United States District Court  
District of Nevada  
333 S. Las Vegas Blvd.  
Las Vegas, NV 89101

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing and for shipping via overnight delivery service. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service or if an overnight delivery service shipment, deposited in an overnight delivery service pick-up box or office on the same day with postage or fees thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 22, 2015, at Las Vegas, Nevada.

/s/ Debra Perkins