

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

YACOV JACK HEFETZ,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
CHRISTOPHER BEAVOR,  
Respondent.

No. 68438

YACOV JACK HEFETZ,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
CHRISTOPHER BEAVOR,  
Respondent.

No. 68843

**FILED**

**FEB 22 2016**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE*


These consolidated appeals are from a district court order granting a motion to dismiss in a breach of contract action and an order granting a motion for attorney fees and costs. Our initial review of the docketing statements and amended docketing statement reveals potential jurisdictional defects.

First, although we directed appellant to file a fully and accurately completed amended docketing statement and attach all necessary documentation, the amended docketing statement does not appear to contain any information regarding the resolution of the claims asserted by Alis Cohen and/or the claims asserted against Samantha Beavor. Second, although appellant asserts that the counterclaims were resolved by the June 17, 2015, order, that order does not address any counterclaims or the request for punitive damages. Accordingly, it appears that the district court may not have adjudicated all the rights and liabilities of all the parties such that the June 17, 2015, order is

appealable as a final judgment. *See* NRAP 3A(b)(1); *Lee v. GNLV Corp.*, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000). And in the absence of a final judgment, the order awarding attorney fees and costs is not appealable as a special order after final judgment. *See* NRAP 3A(b)(8);

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to show cause why these appeals should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. In responding to this order, appellant should submit documentation that establishes this court's jurisdiction, including, but not necessarily limited to, copies of any district court order(s) formally resolving the above-mentioned claims and issues. We caution appellant that failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of these appeals. Respondent may file any reply within 11 days of service of appellant's response. The preparation of transcripts and the briefing of these appeals are suspended pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Cohen-Johnson LLC  
Dickinson Wright PLLC  
Judy Chappell, Court Recorder