Electronically Filed 09/28/2015 03:38:31 PM

An t. Kelen

1	NOAS	Alun S. Comm						
1	MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215	CLERK OF THE COURT						
2	MATTHEW I. KNEPPER, ESQ.							
3	Nevada Bar No. 12796 Akerman LLP							
4	1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330							
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 634-5000							
5	Facsimile: (702) 380-8572							
6	Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com Email: matthew.knepper@akerman.com							
7								
7	Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to							
8	LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the	2						
9	Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1	\mathcal{C}						
10								
2 <u>2</u> 11								
30-85	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT							
[12] 88] 144 [80] 38 [80] 38 [80] 144 [80] 144 [CLARK COUN	TV NEVADA						
13 13 13 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								
$\Delta_{\rm H}^{\rm H} = 14$								
1 4-500	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST,	Case No.: A-14-704412-C Dept. : XXIV						
2) 634 (2) 634 (2) 634	Plaintiff,	Dept AAIv						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
5 .: I	V	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
TIEL 17	V.	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
17	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
TET 17 18	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
17	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
18	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THORUGH	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
17 18 19 20	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1,	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						
17 18 19	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THORUGH	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL						

 $\{36270336;1\}$

AKERMAN LLP 1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330

Notice is hereby given that U.S. Bank, N.A., solely as Successor Trustee to Bank of America,
N.A., successor by merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage
Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1 (U.S. Bank),
appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada from this Court's order of September 10, 2015 granting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Plaintiff Clover Blossom Ct Trust's Motion for Summary Judgment and Denying U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment.

DATED this 28th day of September, 2015.

AKERMAN LLP

/s/ Matthew I. Knepper

MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215 MATTHEW I. KNEPPER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12796 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1



		1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
		2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 28th day of September, 2015 and pursuant to NRCP 5, I
		3	served through this Court's electronic service notification system ("Wiznet") a true and correct copy
		4	of the foregoing U.S. BANK, N.A.'S NOTICE OF APPEAL on all parties and counsel as
		5	identified on the Court generated notice of electronic filing.
		6	
		7	Michael F. Bohn, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
		8	mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com office@bohnlawfirm.com
		9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
		10	
AKERMAN LLP	E 330)-8572	11	/s/ Lucille Chiusano
	, SUITE 89144 02) 380-	12	An employee of AKERMAN LLP
	DRIVE, SUITE EVADA 89144 FAX: (702) 380-	13	
		14	
AKE		15	
	1160 TOW LAS TEL.: (702)	16	
	11 TE	17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	



Electronically Filed 09/28/2015 03:37:13 PM

Alun D. Colum

1	MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215	CLERK OF THE COURT					
2	MATTHEW I. KNEPPER, ESQ.						
3	Nevada Bar No. 12796 Akerman LLP						
4	1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144						
5	Telephone: (702) 634-5000 Facsimile: (702) 380-8572						
6	Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com Email: matthew.knepper@akerman.com						
7	Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to						
8	Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuri Martagan Lorg Trust 2006 O.41 Martagan	2					
9	Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1	e					
10							
e E 11	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT						
TE 33(80-855	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA						
SUI 89144 12) 38 13 12							
ORIVE, SUITE 330 /ADA 89144 AX: (702) 380-8572 1380-8572 1380-8572							
DER DI O - FA 14	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST,	Case No.: A-14-704412-C					
ENJ 3AS -500	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: XXIV					
C1 (34 CMN C 2) (34 CMN C 2) (34 CMN C 2) (35 CMN C 2) (3	V.	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S CASE APPEAL					
010 ⁴¹ 16		STATEMENT					
¹¹⁰ 1100 1100 1100	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESSOR BY						
18	MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI						
19	MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1						
20	CERTIFICATES SERIES 2000-OAT						
21	Defendants.						
22	U.S. Bank, N.A., solely as Successor Truste	e to Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger					

ASTA

AKERMAN LLP

to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1,
Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1 (U.S. Bank), by and through its
attorneys of record at Akerman LLP, submits its Case Appeal Statement pursuant to NRAP 3(f)(3).
1. The appellant filing this case appeal statement is U.S. Bank, as described above.
2. The order appealed is the order of September 10, 2015 granting Plaintiff 5316 Clover
Blossom Ct Trust's Motion for Summary Judgment and denying U.S. Bank's Countermotion
{36270179;1}{3869359-v1}

AKERMAN LLP

for Summary Judgment and all interlocutory orders incorporated therein by the Honorable Judge Jim Crockett.

- Counsel for appellant U.S. Bank are Melanie D. Morgan, Esq. and Matthew I. Knepper, Esq., of Akerman LLP, 1160 N. Town Center Drive, Suite 330, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144.
- Trial counsel for Respondent 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust is Michael F. Bohn, Esq., 376
 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 140, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119. U.S. Bank is unaware of whether trial counsel will also act as appellate counsel for Respondent.
- Counsel for U.S. Bank are licensed to practice law in Nevada. Trial counsel for Respondent is licensed to practice law in Nevada.
- 6. U.S. Bank was represented by retained counsel in the district court.
- 7. U.S. Bank is represented by retained counsel on appeal.
- 8. U.S. Bank was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis by the district court.

9. The date proceedings commenced in the district court was July 25, 2014.

10. In this action, Respondent alleges that it owns the property located at 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada (Property) free and clear of all liens as a result of an HOA foreclosure sale. Respondent filed a complaint for quiet title to have the court declare that Respondent bought the Property free and clear of U.S. Bank's interests, including the deed of trust held by U.S. Bank (the Deed of Trust). U.S. Bank alleges that the Deed of Trust was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure sale because its predecessor-in-interest's attempted tender satisfied the tender rule, the foreclosure sale was not commercially reasonable, Respondent failed to demonstrate good title, and NRS 116.3116 is unconstitutional. The district court granted Respondent's motion for summary judgment over Appellants'

opposition and Rule 56(f) affidavit attesting that it required additional factual discovery to develop its defenses and denied U.S. Bank's countermotion for summary judgment. U.S. Bank now appeals that order.
11. This case has not previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in

the Supreme Court.

12. This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.

 $\{36270179;1\}$

AKERMAN LLP

13. This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement.

DATED this 28th day of September, 2015.

AKERMAN LLP

/s/ Matthew I. Knepper

MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215 MATTHEW I. KNEPPER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12796 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1



		1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
		2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 28th day of September, 2015 and pursuant to NRCP 5, I
		3	served through this Court's electronic service notification system ("Wiznet") a true and correct copy
		4	of the foregoing U.S. BANK, N.A.'S CASE APPEAL STATEMENT on all parties and counsel as
		5	identified on the Court generated notice of electronic filing.
		6	
		7	Michael F. Bohn, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
		8	<u>mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com</u> <u>office@bohnlawfirm.com</u>
		9	Attorneys for Plaintiff
		10	
AKERMAN LLP	3 330 -8572	11	/s/ Lucille Chiusano
	E, SUITE A 89144 (702) 380-	12	An employee of AKERMAN LLP
	≥ã	13	
	CENTER DRI EGAS, NEVAI 34-5000 – FAX	14	
	Z>3	15	
	1160 TOW LAS TEL.: (702)	16	
	11 TE	17	
		18	
		19	
		20	
		21	
		22	



Electronically Filed 09/10/2015 10:27:45 AM

Alm p. El

COURT

r	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.	Alun J. Euron
2	Nevada Bar No.: 1641 <u>mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com</u>	CLERK OF THE COURT
3	LAW OFFICES OF	
4	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX	
6	Attorney for plaintiff	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9		
10	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST	CASE NO.: A704412
11	Plaintiff,	DEPT NO.: XXIV
12	VS.	
13	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF	Date of hearing: August 20, 2015
14	AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO	Time of hearing: 9:00 a.m.
15	THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE	
16	LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1; and CLEAR RECON	
17	CORPS	
18	Defendants.	
19	FINDINGS OF FACT CON	
20	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONC JUDGMENT GRANT	ING QUIET TITLE
21	The motion of plaintiff 5316 Clover Blossom	Ct Trust for summary judgment and defendant U.S.
22	Bank's National Association's countermotion for su	
23	August 20, 2015, Michael F. Bohn, Esq. appearing o	n behalf of the plaintiff and Melanie Morgan Fsg

1 **JUDG**

24 appearing on behalf of defendant U.S. Bank, and the court, having reviewed the motion and



		ŀ
1	FINDINGS OF FACT	
2	1. The plaintiff acquired the property commonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las	
3	Vegas, Nevada, at foreclosure sale conducted January 16, 2013, as evidenced by the foreclosure deed	
4	recorded on January 24, 2013.	
5	2. Defendant U.S. Bank is the current beneficiary of a trust deed which was recorded as an	
6	encumbrance to the subject property on June 30, 2004.	
7	3. Defendant U.S. Bank acquired it's interest in the deed of trust by assignment which was	
8	recorded on June 20, 2011.	
9	4. Prior to the foreclosure sale, the foreclosure agent recorded the notice of delinquent assessment	
10	lien on February 22, 2012.	
11	5. On April 20, 2012, the foreclosure agent recorded a notice of default and election to sell under	
12	homeowners association lien. The foreclosure agent also mailed the notice to U.S. Bank National	
	Association.	
14	6. On October 31, 2012, the foreclosure agent recorded a notice of trustee's sale. The foreclosure	
15	agent also mailed a copy of the notice of sale by certified mail to U.S. Bank National Association.	
16	7. The foreclosure agent also posted the notice on the property and in three locations throughout	
17	the county.	
18	8. The foreclosure agent also published the notice of sale in the Nevada Legal News.	
19	9. The HOA foreclosure agent issued a deed upon sale which was recorded on January 24, 2013.	
20	The deed contains the following recitals:	
21	This conveyence is used	
22	This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by NRS 116 et seq., and that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, described herein. Default	
23	office of the recorder of said county. All requirements of law may him the	
24	copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with. Said property was sold by said Trustee at public auction on January	

been complied with. Said property was sold by said Trustee at public auction on January
16, 2013 at the place indicated on the Notice of Trustee's Sale.
11. Prior to the HOA foreclosure sale, the defendant tendered what it believed the super priority
amount of the lien. The tender was rejected by the foreclosure agent, and the defendant failed to take any
28

1 additional steps to protect it's interest in the property.

4

2 12. Any findings of fact which should be considered to be a conclusion of law shall be treated
3 as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Summary judgment is appropriate and "shall be rendered forthwith" when the pleadings and
 other evidence on file demonstrate "no genuine issue as to any material fact [remains] and the moving
 party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. See NRCP 56(c); <u>Wood v. Safeway, Inc.</u>, 121 Nev. 724,
 729, 121 P.3d 1026 (2005).

2. To defeat a motion for summary judgment the non-moving party bears the burden to "do more
than simply show there is some metaphysical doubt: as to the operative facts. <u>Wood</u>, 121 Nev. at 732
(citing <u>Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. v. Zenith Radio</u>, 475 U.S. 574, 586 (1983)). Moreover, the nonmoving party must come forward with specific facts showing a genuine issue exists for trial. <u>Matsushita</u>, 13
475 U.S. at 587; Wood P.3d at 1130.

3. When ruling on a motion for summary judgment, the court may take judicial notice of the
public records attached to the motion. See <u>Harlow v. MTC Financial, Inc.</u>, 865 F. Supp 2d 1095 (D. Nev.
2012). The recorded documents attached to the plaintiffs motion are referenced in the complaint and/or
are public records of which the Court may, and did take judicial notice. See NRS 47.150; <u>Lemel v.</u>
<u>Smith</u>, 64 Nev. 545 (1947) (Judicial Notice takes the place of proof and is of equal force.") "Documents
accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment of a notary public or officer authorized by law to take
acknowledgments are presumed to be authentic." NRS 52.165.

4. The defendant did not object to the authenticity of any of the exhibits attached to the plaintiff's
motion for summary judgment.

5. Plaintiff's complaint alleges three claims for relief against defendant U.S. Bank, for declaratory relief, injunctive relief, and quiet title. Summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff on all of

- 25 plaintiff's claims for relief are appropriate.
- 6. The HOA foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited
- 27 to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessment and Notice of Default, and the
 28

1 recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale.

7. There is a public policy which favors a final and conclusive foreclosure sale as to the
purchaser. See <u>6 Angels, Inc. v. Stuart-Wright Mortgage, Inc.</u>, 85 Cal. App. 4th 1279, 102 Cal. Rptr. 2d
711 (2011); <u>McNeill Family Trust v. Centura Bank</u>, 60 P.3d 1277 (Wyo. 2003); <u>In re Suchy</u>, 786 F.2d
900 (9th Cir. 1985); and Miller & Starr, <u>California Real Property 3d</u> §10:210.

8. There is a common law presumption that a foreclosure sale was conducted validly. Fontenot
v. Wells Fargo Bank, 198 Cal. App. 4th 256, 129 Cal. Rptr. 3d 467 (2011); Moeller v. Lien 25 Cal. App.
4th 822, 30 Cal. Rptr. 2d 777 (1994); Burson v. Capps, 440 Md. 328, 102 A.3d 353 (2014); Timm v.
Dewsnup 86 P.3d 699 (Utah 2003); Deposit Insurance Bridge Bank, N.A. Dallas v. McQueen, 804 S.W.
2d 264 (Tex. App. 1991); Myles v. Cox, 217 So.2d 31 (Miss. 1968); American Bank and Trust Co v.
Price, 688 So.2d 536 (La. App. 1996); Meeker v. Eufaula Bank & Trust, 208 Ga. App. 702, 431 S.E. 2d
475 (Ga. App 1993).

9. Nevada has a disputable presumption that "the law has been obeyed." See NRS 47.250(16).
This creates a disputable presumption that the foreclosure sale was conducted in compliance with the law.
10. The recitals in the foreclosure deed are sufficient and conclusive proof that the required
notices were mailed by the HOA. See NRS 116.31166 and NRS 47.240(6) which also provides that
conclusive presumptions include "[a]ny other presumption which, by statute, is expressly made
conclusive." Because NRS 116.31166 contains such an expressly conclusive presumption, the recitals
in the foreclosure deed are "conclusive proof" that defendant bank was served with copies of the required
notices for the foreclosure sale.

11. The court also finds that commercial reasonableness is not an issue in an HOA foreclosure
sale. NRS Chapter 116 does not contain a commercial reasonableness requirement, and the court will
not read a requirement into a statute which is not expressly stated in the statute. <u>Pro-Max Corp. v.</u>
<u>Feenstra</u>, 117 Nev. 90, 16 P 3d 1074 (2001)

Feenstra, 117 Nev. 90, 16 P.3d 1074 (2001).
12. The defendants constitutional challenge to the foreclosure sale is also without merit. NRS
116.31168 specifically incorporates the notice requirements of NRS 107.090 into the foreclosure
procedure and requires that copies of both the notice of default and the notice of sale be mailed to holders
4

Ĺ	of subordinate	interests.

27

28

2	13. NRS 116.31168(a) provides in part that the "provisions of NRS 107.090 apply to the
3	foreclosure of an association's lien as if a deed of trust were being foreclosed." Likewise NRS 107.090
4	provides in part:
5	Request for notice of default and sale: Recording and contents; mailing of notice; request by homeowners' association: offect of request
6	request by homeowners' association; effect of request.
7	
8	3. The trustee or person authorized to record the notice of default shall, within 10 days after the notice of default is recorded and mailed pursuant to NRS 107.080, cause to be deposited in the United States mail on anyalong mailed pursuant to NRS 107.080, cause to be
9	deposited in the United States mail an envelope, registered or certified, return receipt requested and with postage prepaid, containing a copy of the notice, addressed to:
10	(a) Each person who has recorded a request for a copy of the notice; and
11 12	(b) Each other person with an interest whose interest or claimed interest is subordinate to the deed of trust.
12 13 14	4. The trustee or person authorized to make the sale shall, at least 20 days before the date of sale, cause to be deposited in the United States mail an envelope, registered or certified, return receipt requested and with postage prepaid, containing a copy of the notice of time and place of sale, addressed to each person described in subsection 3.
15	14. There is no issue of fact regarding whether the former owner was in default in payment of the
16	assessments as well as whether the lien and foreclosure notices were properly served and posted. The
17	recitals in the foreclosure deed are conclusive as to these issues. Furthermore, the plaintiff presented
18	proof, which was not controverted that the notices were mailed, published, and posted.
19	15. There is no issue regarding whether or not the association foreclosed on the "super-priority"
20	portion of it's lien. As stated in the Nevada Supreme Court in the case of SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC
21	v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408 (2014) as to first deeds of trust, NRS
22	116.3116(2) splits an HOA lien into two pieces, a superpriority piece and a subpriority piece. Unless the
23	superpriority piece has been satisfied prior to the foreclosure sale, the HOA foreclosure sale on it's
24	assessment lien would necessarily include both the same in the same state of the sam

- The defendant failed to present any evidence that the superpriority piece and a subpriority piece of the lien.
 to the foreclosure sale.
 - 16. There is no requirement in NRS Chapter 116 that a purchaser be a bonafide purchaser.

1	17. The tender of the amount the defendant believed to be the super priority amount does not
2	affect the title received by the plaintiff because once the tender was rejected, the defendant failed to take
3	any further steps to protect it's interest.
4	18. Any conclusion of law which should be a finding of fact shall be considered as such.
5	ORDER and JUDGMENT
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff 5316 Clover Blossom
7	Ct Trust motion for summary judgment is granted.
8	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant U.S. Bank National Association countermotion for
9	summary judgment is denied.
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment is entered on behalf of plaintiff 5316 Clover
11	Blossom Ct Trust and against defendant U.S. Bank National Association.
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that title to the real property commonly known 5316 Clover
13	Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada and legally described as:
14	All that certain real property situated in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, described as follows:
15	Parcel I:
16	
17	Lot Ninety two (92) of the Plat of Arbor Gate as shown by map thereof on file in Book 91 of Plats, page 71, in the office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada
18	Parcel II
19	A non-exclusive easement for ingress and egress and enjoyment in and to the Association property as set forth in the Declaration of Case
20	Country Garden (Arbor Gate) a common interest community rate of the line of th
21	Nevada, as the same may from time to time be amended and/on and Clark County,
22	appartenunt to rareer One.
23	s hereby quieted in the name of plaintiff 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as a result of the foreclosure sale conducted on January 16,

```
2013, and the foreclosure deed recorded on January 24, 2013 as instrument number 201301240002549,
the interests of defendant U.S. Bank National Association as well as it's heirs or assigns in the property
commonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada are extinguished.
6
```

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant U.S. Bank National Association as well as it's heirs
 and assigns have no further right, title or claim to the real property commonly known as 5316 Clover
 Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant U.S. Bank National Association as well as it's heirs
and assigns, or anyone acting on their behalf are forever enjoined from asserting any estate, right, title or
interest in the real property commonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada
as a result of the deed of trust recorded on June 30, 2004 as instrument number 20040630-0002408.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant U.S. Bank National Association as well as it's heirs 9 and assigns or anyone acting on it's behalf are forever barred from enforcing any rights against the real 10 property commonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada as a result of the deed 11 of trust recorded on June 30, 2004 as instrument number 20040630-0002408.

7

12 DATED this <u>10</u> day of September, 2015

14

13

20

21

DISTRICT OURT JUDGE

15 Respectfully submitted by:

16 LAW OFFICES OF
MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
17

18 By19 Bohn, Esq.

376 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for plaintiff

Reviewed by: 22

23 AKERMAN LLP

24 25 B

25 By: <u>Melanie Morgan, Esq.</u>
26 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
27 Attorney for U.S. Bank National Association
28

Electronically Filed 08/13/2015 10:36:42 AM

Alun J. Ehrin

		1	SUPP MELANIE D. MODGAN ESO	CLERK OF THE COURT
		2	MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215	
		3	TENESA S. SCATURRO	
		2	Nevada Bar No. 12488 AKERMAN LLP	
		4	1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330	
		5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 634-5000	
		5	Facsimile: $(702) 380-8572$	
		6	Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com	
		7	Email: tenesa.scaturro@akerman.com	
		0	Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to	
		8	Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the	
		9	Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage	
		10	Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1	
		10		
	ITE 330 44 380-8572	11	DISTRICT	COURT
	SUITT 9144 2) 38(12	CLARK COUN	ΓY, NEVADA
LLLP	UVE, VDA 8 X: (70	13		
IAN	NEV/	14	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST,	Case No.: A-14-704412-C
AKERMAN	ENTE BAS, J	17		Dept. : XXIV
AK	VNC VEC	15	Plaintiff,	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S SUPPLEMENTAL
	0 TOW LAS : (702)	16	v. ,	BRIEFING IN SUPPORT OF ITS COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY
	1160 TEL.:	17	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO	JUDGMENT AND OPPOSITION TO
			BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
		18	MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI	JUDGINICANI
		19	MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1,	
		20	MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1	
		20		
		21	Defendants.	
		22		
			Defendant U.S. Bank, N.A., solely as Succe	ssor Trustee to Bank of America. N.A., successor

Defendant U.S. Bank, N.A., solely as Successor Trustee to Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1 (U.S. Bank), hereby submits this supplemental briefing addressing whether Bank of America's super-priority tender extinguished the HOA's super-priority lien and whether the deed recitals contained in the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale are conclusive proof that all requirements of law were satisfied. (3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX) Docket 68915 Document 2015-3233

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment should be granted because Bank of America tendered the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien prior to the foreclosure sale, extinguishing that portion of the HOA's lien. To the extent the super-priority tender did not extinguish the super-priority lien, the HOA's foreclosure sale was still invalid because the HOA's wrongful rejection of the super-priority tender violated the HOA's obligation of good faith, and caused the HOA Lien Statute to operate unconstitutionally as applied to the facts of this case.

Even if U.S. Bank's Countermotion is denied, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should also be denied because the Trustee's Deed recitals are insufficient to prove that the HOA complied with the HOA Lien Statute. Even if this Court were to hold that every recital contained in a deed served as conclusive, irrefutable proof that the recited act took place, the Trustee's Deed in this case only contains recitals related to the notice provided by the HOA. If this Court is not inclined to grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment on the pure legal issue of the constitutionality of the HOA Lien Statute, or based on the unrefuted evidence that Bank of America tendered the super-priority amount prior to the sale, more discovery is necessary to determine whether the HOA complied with the HOA Lien Statute.

II. <u>Argument</u>

A. Bank of America's super-priority tender extinguished that portion of the HOA's lien.

This Court should grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment because Bank of America's super-priority tender extinguished that portion of the HOA's lien prior to the foreclosure

sale. U.S. Bank has produced unrefuted evidence that it tendered \$1,495.00 to the HOA Trustee prior
to the foreclosure sale. U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. H-3. This amount included not only the nine
months of delinquent assessments that constituted the statutorily-defined super-priority amount, but
also \$999.50 for "reasonable collection costs." *Id.* Inexplicably, the HOA Trustee rejected this
payment and proceeded with the foreclosure sale.
(3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX) 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

A tender which has been made and rejected precludes foreclosure and discharges the subject lien. See Bisno v. Sax, 175 Cal. App. 2d 714, 724, 346 P.2d 814 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1959) ("[T]he acceptance of payment of a delinquent installment of principal or interest cures that particular default and precludes a foreclosure sale based upon such a preexisting delinquency. The same is true of a tender which has been made and rejected."); Lichty v. Whitney, 80 Cal. App. 2d 696, 701, 182 P.2d 582, 582 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1947) ("A tender of the amount of a debt, though refused, extinguishes the lien of a pledgee, and will entitle the pledger to recover the property pledged.")

According to Plaintiff itself, the Nevada Supreme Court "said not once, but twice, that ... the bank could have paid the super priority amount to preserve its interest in the property" in SFR Investments. Pltf's MSJ, at 14; see SFR Investments, 334 P.3d at 414 ("[A]s junior lienholder, [the holder of the first deed of trust] could have paid off the [HOA] lien to avert loss of its security[.]"). Other jurisdictions agree that a tender which has been made, even if rejected, precludes foreclosure and discharges the subject lien. See Bisno v. Sax, 346 P.2d 814, 820 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1959) ("[T]he acceptance of payment of a delinquent installment of principal or interest cures that particular default and precludes a foreclosure sale based upon such a preexisting delinquency. The same is true of a tender which has been made and rejected."); Lichty v. Whitney, 182 P.2d 582, 582 (Cal. Dist. Ct. App. 1947) ("A tender of the amount of a debt, though refused, extinguishes the lien of a pledgee, and will entitle the pledger to recover the property pledged."); Segars v. Classen Garage and Service Co., 612 P.2d 293, 295 (Okla. Civ. App. 1980) ("A proper and sufficient tender of payment operates to discharge a lien.").

U.S. Bank has produced unrefuted evidence that it tendered the super-priority amount prior 21 to the sale. U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. H-3. By doing so, U.S. Bank "avert[ed] the loss of its 22

security" according to the Nevada Supreme Court. See SFR Investments, 334 P.2d at 414. This 23 Court's analysis should end here, and summary judgment should be entered in favor of U.S. Bank. 24 In retort, Plaintiff contends that "[U.S. Bank] has produced no evidence ... that plaintiff was 25 made aware that defendant claimed that the HOA had wrongfully prevented it from curing the 26 superpriority lien amount prior to the sale." Pltf's Opposition, at 15. Plaintiff has failed to explain 27 the relevance of this argument. The SFR Investments Court was unequivocal in stating that a pre-28 {3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX} 3

foreclosure tender of the super-priority amount preserved the first-priority position of a deed of trust. See SFR Investments, 334 P.2d at 414. Whether Plaintiff was aware of the super-priority tender is irrelevant to this action.

Even if this Court construes Plaintiff's argument as a good-faith purchaser defense, Plaintiff misconstrues who bears the burden of proof on this point. "In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests with the plaintiff to prove good title in himself." Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 669, 918 P.2d 314, 318 (1996). As discussed in Section C below, Plaintiff attempts to rely solely on the Trustee's Deed recitals as "conclusive proof" that the HOA sale was properly conducted. However, there are no recitals regarding how the foreclosure sale was conducted, or whether the super-priority amount was property calculated under NRS 116.3115. Without any deed recitals, there can be no evidentiary presumption favoring Plaintiff on these points. Rather, U.S. Bank and Plaintiff are on an equal evidentiary footing. Therefore, even if Plaintiff's good-faith purchaser defense is valid, it must produce evidence showing that it was unaware of the superpriority tender to prevail on that defense. Plaintiff has produced none. Even if the defense is valid, Plaintiff's summary judgment motion should be denied.

The HOA Trustee's tender rejection breached the duty of good faith required by the **B**. HOA Lien Statute and violated the Due Process Clause.

18 Even if Bank of America's super-priority tender did not extinguish the super-priority portion 19 of the HOA's lien, it still invalidated the sale for two additional reasons. First, the HOA's decision to 20 reject payment of an amount exceeding the super-priority portion of the lien and instead sell the 21 property for a miniscule amount was made in bad faith. The HOA Lien Statute imposes an 22 obligation of good faith in the "performance and enforcement" of "every duty governed by" the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

15

	billigation of good faith in the performance and enforcement of every duty governed by the
23	statute. NRS 116.1113. When Bank of America offered to pay the super-priority amount to the
24	HOA, the HOA had two choices: (1) accept the super-priority payment and forego foreclosure, or (2)
25	reject the super-priority payment and proceed with the foreclosure. Under either scenario, the HOA
26	would receive the same amount-the super-priority portion of its lien. By capriciously choosing to
27	reject the super-priority tender and proceed with foreclosure, the HOA unnecessarily attempted to
28	
	{3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX} 4
1	

extinguish U.S. Bank's \$147,456.00 lien. This clear violation of the HOA's obligation to act in good faith invalidates the foreclosure sale on which Plaintiff's quiet title claim relies.

Second, because (under Plaintiff's theory) U.S. Bank's property interest was extinguished without it or its predecessors having any notice of the super-priority amount of the lien, the HOA Lien Statute operated unconstitutionally under the Due Process Clause. "[W]hen notice is a person's due, process which is a mere gesture is not due process." Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 315 (1950). The notice U.S. Bank was provided here was, at most, a "mere gesture" of process. Faced with the potential deprivation of its constitutionally-protected property interest, Bank of America¹ tendered the super-priority amount of HOA's lien. U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. H-3. Rather than provide Bank of America with the amount necessary to satisfy the HOA's lien, the HOA Trustee rejected this payment without explanation. Without notice of the super-priority amount, U.S. Bank had no opportunity to protect its property interest prior to the HOA's foreclosure. As applied to the circumstances of this case, the HOA Lien Statute operated unconstitutionally, invalidating the HOA foreclosure sale.

By wrongfully rejecting Bank of America's super-priority tender, the HOA breached its duty of good faith and caused the HOA Lien Statute to operate unconstitutionally as applied to the facts of this case. For those reasons, the HOA's foreclosure sale was invalid. Accordingly, this Court should enter summary judgment in favor of U.S. Bank.

The Trustee's Deed's recitals are insufficient to show full compliance with the HOA **C**. Lien Statute.

Even if this Court denies U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should also be denied because the recitals contained in the Trustee's Deed Upon sale are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22 not conclusive proof that all requirements of law have been satisfied, and any presumption arising 23 from the recitals is limited to the matters actually recited. Specifically, Plaintiff's Motion for 24 Summary Judgment should be denied because (1) the Trustee's Deed's recitation of compliance with 25 the HOA Lien Statute is not a substitute for actual compliance, (2) the Trustee's Deed's recitals are 26 unsupported legal conclusions not entitled to the NRS 116.31166 presumption, (3) the Trustee's 27 28 Bank of America serviced the loan secured by U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust. {3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing, DOCX} 5

Deed contains recitals related solely to notice, and (4) discovery is necessary to determine whether the HOA actually complied with the HOA Lien Statute.

1. The Trustee's Deed's recitation of compliance with the HOA Lien Statute is not a substitute for actual compliance.

Plaintiff's contention that recitations of compliance with the HOA Lien Statute excuses the HOA from actually complying with the statute's notice provisions overlooks the requirements of NRS 116.31166(3). Plaintiff's reading of NRS 116.31166 ignores an axiomatic proposition: no part of a statute should be construed to render another void. See Harris Assocs. v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 119 Nev. 638, 642, 81 P.3d 532, 534 (2003); Banegas v. State Indus. Ins. System, 117 Nev. 222, 229, 19 P.3d 245, 250 (2001) ("[W]ords within a statute must not be read in isolation, and statutes must be construed to give meaning to all of their parts and language within the context of the purpose of the legislation."). Further, where statutory provisions may be viewed as conflicting, they must be harmonized. See, e.g. Int'l Game Tech., Inc. v. Second Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Washoe, 124 Nev. 193, 201, 179 P.3d 556, 561 (2008); Acklin v. McCarthy, 96 Nev. 520, 523, 612 P.2d 219, 220 (1980) ("An entire act must be construed in light of its purpose and as a whole.").

Ignoring these two maxims, Plaintiff reads NRS 116.31166(1-2) to mean that an HOA's compliance with the HOA Lien Statute rests solely on it reciting compliance with the statute's notice provisions in a foreclosure deed. See Pltf's MSJ, at 7. According to Plaintiff, because the Trustee's Deed in the instant case contained these recitations, Plaintiff is entitled to summary judgment on its quiet title claim without producing any evidence of actual compliance with the HOA Lien Statute. See id. However, Plaintiff's interpretation is flawed because it would render the following subsection—NRS 116.31166(3)—void. NRS 116.31166 provides:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

17

18

19

20

22 The recitals in a deed made pursuant to NRS 116.31164 of: 1. (a) Default, the mailing of the notice of delinquent assessment, and 23 the recording of the notice of default and election to sell; (b) The elapsing of the 90 days; and 24 (c) The giving of notice of sale, are conclusive proof of the matters recited. 25 Such a deed containing those recitals is conclusive against the 26 2. unit's former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons. The receipt for the purchase money contained in such a deed is 27 sufficient to discharge the purchaser from obligation to see to the proper application of the purchase money. 28 6 {3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}

3. The sale of a unit *pursuant to NRS 116.31162, 116.31163 and 116.31164* vests in the purchaser the title of the unit's owner without equity or right of redemption.

NRS 116.31166 (emphasis added). Plaintiff essentially contends that the recitals in the Trustee's Deed are conclusive proof that the foreclosure extinguished U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust under NRS 116.31166(1–2). *See* Pltf's MSJ, at 7. Plaintiff's argument ignores NRS 116.31166(3)'s requirement that the foreclosure sale be conducted *pursuant to NRS 116.31162, 116.31163, and 116.31164* to vest the purchaser at the HOA foreclosure sale with title to the Property. The Nevada Supreme Court has explained that the Legislature's use of "pursuant to" means "in compliance with; in accordance with; under...[a]s authorized by; under...[i]n carrying out." *In re Steven Daniel P.*, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 73, 309 P.3d 1041, 1044 (2013) (quoting Black's Law Dictionary at 1356 (9th ed. 2009)). The court further explained that "pursuant to" is a "restrictive term" that mandates compliance. *Id.* at 1044.

Here, by using the phrase "pursuant to" in NRS 116.31166(3) with reference to NRS 116.31162, 116.31163 and 116.31164, the Nevada Legislature mandated compliance with those statutes. Consequently, an HOA's foreclosure sale does not vest title without equity or right of redemption unless the HOA actually complied with NRS 116.31162, NRS 116.31163, and NRS 116.31164, not just NRS 116.31166(1).

In contrast, Plaintiff's interpretation of NRS 116.31166 not only renders the notice requirements of NRS 116.31162, NRS 116.31163, and NRS 116.31164 meaningless, it also would lead to absurd and unjust results. Following Plaintiff's logic, an HOA could fail to record any of the three notices the HOA Lien Statute requires, *falsely* recite that they did in fact send the notices, and the court would be forced to hold that the notices were in fact sent, *even if* the opposing party

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

produced irrefutable evidence that proved the recitals were false. And there is no limiting principle
to Plaintiff's position; a dishonest HOA could collude with a dishonest purchaser to sell property
without any proper announcement to the current owner or other security holders and still take title to
the property free and clear under the aegis of a patently false, yet "irrefutable" recitation. The
Nevada Legislature could not have possibly intended such unjust consequences.
^{(3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}}

2. The Trustee's Deed's recitals are unsupported legal conclusions not entitled to the NRS 116.31166 presumption.

Additionally, Plaintiff is not entitled to the NRS 116.31166 presumption regarding notice because Plaintiff's Trustee's Deed contains only unsupported legal conclusions. Plaintiff relies on the minimal recitations in the Trustee's Deed that, pursuant to NRS 116.31164 and 1116.31166, are allegedly "conclusive proof" that proper notice was provided and proper procedure was followed. See Pltf's MSJ, at 7. However, Plaintiff's Trustee's Deed provides no facts regarding notice. See U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. G. Rather, it contains only legal conclusions not subject to the "conclusive proof" standard of NRS 116.31166(1). See id.

NRS 116.31166(1) is modeled after the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act. UCIOA makes clear that "a recital of the *facts* of nonpayment of the assessment and of the giving of the notices required by this subsection are sufficient proof of the facts recited. . . ." UCIOA § 3-116(1)(4) (emphasis added). Nothing in UCIOA or NRS 116.31166(1) allows a purchaser to rely on unsupported legal conclusions regarding compliance with the statute.

Per NRS 116.31166, the deed recitals² that are conclusive proof of the matters recited are limited to: (a) default, (b) the elapsing of the 90 days, and (c) the giving of notice of sale. NRS 116.31166(1). Here, the pertinent "facts," such as actual dates, are not cited in the Trustee's Deedthe presumption described in NRS 116.31166(1) and UCIOA § 3-116(1)(4) is therefore inapplicable.

18 Specifically, Plaintiff's Trustee's Deed does not attest to any facts showing compliance with 19 the following requirements of the HOA Lien Statute: (1) that the Notice of Delinquent Assessment 20 was mailed; (2) that the Notice of Default was served by certified mail on the owners of record and 21 all parties of interest that requested notice; (3) that 90 days passed between the mailing of the notice 22 of default and the publishing of the Notice of Sale; (4) proof of mailing of all notices as required by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

23

24

25

26

27

28

law; (5) posting of the Notice of Sale on the Property; (6) posting of the Notice of Sale in three

- public places for twenty consecutive days prior to the foreclosure sale; or (7) the publishing of the
- ² The common meaning of "recital" is a formal statement of relevant facts. See Black's Law Dictionary 1435 (Rev. 4th. Ed. 1968) ("Recital: The formal statement or setting forth of some matter of fact, in any deed or writing, in order to explain the reasons upon which the transaction is founded . . . The formal preliminary statement in a deed or other instrument, of such deeds, agreements, or matters of fact as are necessary to explain the reasons upon which the transaction is founded.").

8

{3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}

Notice of Sale in a newspaper for three consecutive weeks prior to the sale. See U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. G; NRS 116.311635(1)(a).

For Plaintiff to have summary judgment granted in its favor, all seven of those requirements must be met. Plaintiff has produced no evidence showing compliance with any of the seven. Rather, Plaintiff contends that the following passage in the Trustee's Deed is "conclusive proof" of all seven requirements: "All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with." See U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex G. This self-serving, conclusory allegation is entitled to no presumption under NRS 116.31166.

The Alaska Supreme Court, interpreting the same UCIOA provision at issue here,³ rejected the argument that conclusory allegations in a foreclosure deed are entitled to any presumption in Rosenberg v. Smidt, 727 P.2d 778 (Alaska 1986). There, the appellants alleged that under Alaska's applicable statute, the recitals in the foreclosure deed were conclusive evidence of compliance in favor of bona fide purchasers. Id. at 783. The deed in that case-strikingly similar to the Trustee's Deed at issue here-stated:

All other requirements of law regarding the mailing, publication and personal delivery of copies of the Notice of Default and all other notices have been complied with, and said Notice of Sale was publicly posted as required by law and published in the Anchorage Times on August 26 and September 2, 9, and 16, 1980.

Id. The parties disputed whether the deed barred the respondents from overturning the sale based on 19 lack of notice. Id. While the appellants alleged that the court should accept the recitals as 20 "conclusive proof," the respondents alleged that only recitals of fact, not conclusions of law, were 21 subject to this standard.⁴ Agreeing with the respondents, the court held: 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- ³ The SFR Investments Court noted that other states' cases interpreting UCIOA provisions are particularly persuasive because one purpose of adopting a uniform act is "to make uniform the law with respect to its subject matter among states enacting it." SFR Investments, 334 P.3d at 410 ("[I]n addition to the usual tools of statutory construction, we have available ... other states' cases to explicate NRS Chapter 116."). Like Nevada, Alaska has adopted and currently uses the 1982 version of UCIOA. See e.g., http://www.uniformlaws.org/LegislativeFactSheet.aspx?title=Common%20Interest%20Ownership%20Act%2 0(1982).
 - ALASKA STAT. 3.20.080(c) provides: The deed shall recite the date and the book and page of the recording of default, and the mailing or delivery of the copies of the notice of default, the true consideration for the 9 {3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}

24

25

26

27

28

17

The fact that .080(c) explicitly calls for factual details in the deed recital concerning recording, price, publication, and sale suggests that facts are also called for concerning mailing or delivery. *Further, requiring a factual recital tends to assure that the requirements of law concerning mailing or delivery are complied with.* A conclusory statement can be a matter placed in a form, or a programmed deed, and will not require the trustee to review what was actually done. A factual recital does require review in each case. While a factual recital requirement does not protect against fraud in all cases, it does tend to prevent the more common failings of oversight and neglect. A conclusory recital, on the other hand, accomplishes little or nothing.

Id. at 786 (emphasis added). The court also reasoned that one of UCIOA's primary purposes was to "require that effective notice of default and sale be given to parties in interest, and to provide a self-effecting method of assuring that such notice is given."⁵ *Id.* To further the intended purpose of the statutory presumption, the court held that "what is required is a recital of fact specifying what the trustee has done, not a mere conclusory statement that the trustee has complied with the law." *Id.* at 785.

Like the foreclosure deed in *Rosenberg*, the Trustee's Deed in this case presents *no facts* entitled to the presumption that the HOA complied with the notice provisions of the HOA Lien Statute. It does not provide, for example, what notice was given, when notices were given, the facts concerning the default which led to the foreclosure, or any detail regarding the conduct of the sale. Because Plaintiff's Trustee's Deed does not provide the proper factual recitations, it is not entitled to any presumption under NRS 116.31166(1). Since Plaintiff is not entitled to the NRS 116.31166(1)

conveyance, the time and place of the publication of notice of sale, and the time, place and manner of sale, and refer to the deed of trust by reference to the page, volume and place of record. 23 ⁵ The line of cases that disallow an expert witness to give an opinion as to legal conclusions provide a helpful illustration. See, e.g., Mukhtar v. Cal. State Univ., 299 F.3d 1053, 1066 (9th Cir. 2002); McHugh v. United Serv. Auto. Ass'n, 164 24 F.3d 451, 454 (9th Cir. 1999); United States v. Duncan, 42 F.3d 97, 101 (2d Cir. 1994). An expert may not state legal conclusions by applying the law to the facts. Oakland Oil Co. v. Conoco, Inc., 144 F.3d 1308, 1328 (10th Cir. 1991). 25 "In no instance can a witness be permitted to define the law of the case." Specht v. Jenson, 853 F.2d 805, 810 (10th Cir. 1988). The law is for a court to determine. Marx & Co., Inc. v. Diner's Club, Inc., 550 F.2d 505, 509-10 (2d Cir. 1977). 26 Just as an expert witness is not allowed to apply the law to facts or to determine the law of the case, a trustee is similarly barred from attempting to accomplish the same result through the mechanism of the trustee's deed upon sale. 27 legislature may not legislate away a court's power to apply facts to law without also violating the separation of powers contemplated under the Nevada and United States' Constitutions. 28

{3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

AKERMAN LLP

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

AKERMAN LLP

1

presumption on which it solely relied, Plaintiff has failed to show that it complied with the HOA Lien Statute. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied.

3. The Trustee's Deed's only recites compliance with the HOA Lien Statute's notice provisions.

The Trustee's Deed in the instant case contains the following recitation: "All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with." U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. G. Even if this recital is deemed conclusive proof of the matter recited, the only matter recited concerns the mailing of the required notices. There are no recitals regarding the myriad other requirements of the HOA Lien Statute, including, but not limited to: (1) whether the HOA lien's assessments were "based on a periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115," as required by NRS 116.3116; or (2) whether the foreclosure sale was conducted in a commercially reasonable manner, as required by NRS 116.1113.6 Without a recital that provides Plaintiff with some presumption regarding the HOA's compliance with these two requirements, Plaintiff must produce some evidence of such compliance to prevail on its instant motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff has produced none. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment should be denied.

4. Discovery is necessary to determine whether the HOA complied with the HOA Lien Statute.

The minimal recitals in Plaintiff's Trustee's Deed are insufficient to provide the HOA's foreclosure sale with any presumption of validity. But even if the deed recitals in this case were sufficient to presume Plaintiff's Deed to be valid, U.S. Bank would still be entitled to discovery regarding whether the HOA actually complied with the HOA Lien Statute. Nevada's Legislature did not intend NRS 116.31166 to render the HOA Lien Statute's notice provisions toothless. This was

confirmed by the SFR Investments Court, which remanded that case for further fact-finding despite

23

24

25

26

27 28 ⁶ By way of example, many of the foreclosure deeds arising from HOA sales contain a recital similar to the following: "Nevada Association Services, Inc. has complied with all requirements of law including, but not limited to, the elapsing of 90 days, mailing of copies of the Notice of Delinquent Assessment and Notice of Default and the posting and publication of the Notice of Sale." In contrast, the Trustee's Deed in the present case does not state that the HOA Trustee "has complied with all requirements of law. U.S. Bank's Countermotion, Ex. G. Even if this Court determines that a deed's recitals are granted a conclusive presumption, this conclusive presumption surely cannot arise for matters that are not even recited in the deed.

11

{3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}

the fact that the foreclosure deed in that case recited compliance with the HOA Lien Statute's notice provisions. 334 P.3d at 419. By its own terms, SFR Investments explained that factual development is necessary for several of a first deed of trust holder's defenses,⁷ including whether the HOA provided all required notices prior to the sale, whether the HOA authorized the sale, whether there was any collusion related to the sale, and whether the sale was commercially reasonable.

As in SFR Investments, discovery is necessary in this case to determine whether the foreclosure sale complied with the HOA Lien Statute, and Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied on that basis alone. But more importantly, Plaintiff has not met its burden to show that the HOA complied with the HOA Lien Statute, and has thus failed to show that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law on its quiet title claim. Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 669, 918 P.2d 314, 318 (1996) ("In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests with the plaintiff to prove good title in himself."). Accordingly, this Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

CONCLUSION III.

This Court should grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment because Bank of America's pre-foreclosure tender extinguished that portion of the HOA's lien. Even if the tender was ineffective to extinguish the lien, the HOA sale was still invalid because the HOA's wrongful rejection of the super-priority tender breached the HOA's obligation of good faith, and caused the HOA Lien Statute to operate unconstitutionally as applied to the facts of this case.

Even if U.S. Bank's Countermotion is denied, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should also be denied because the Trustee's Deed's recitals are insufficient to prove that the HOA

18

19

20

21

						*		Bank's
ourt must take	all factual	allegation	ns in the compla	int as true	and not delv	re into n	natters	asserted
utorily notice	were pro	vided con	nsistent with the	e standard	for deciding	; a mot	ion to	dismiss,
0		*		and at 419	(stating that	a "prop	ber" for	reclosure
nnson Supplementa	Briefing.DOC	X}	12					
	firm by deemin ourt must take that are not ap utorily notices ling that the no red to extinguis	firm by deeming SFR's p ourt must take all factual that are not apparent from utorily notices were pro ling that the notices were red to extinguish a first de	firm by deeming SFR's purchase 'v ourt must take all factual allegation that are not apparent from the face utorily notices were provided cor ling that the notices were provided	firm by deeming SFR's purchase 'void as commerce ourt must take all factual allegations in the compla- that are not apparent from the face of the complain utorily notices were provided consistent with the ling that the notices were provided or sufficient); a red to extinguish a first deed of trust).	firm by deeming SFR's purchase 'void as commercially unread ourt must take all factual allegations in the complaint as true that are not apparent from the face of the complaint); at 417-1 utorily notices were provided consistent with the standard ling that the notices were provided or sufficient); and at 419 red to extinguish a first deed of trust).	firm by deeming SFR's purchase 'void as commercially unreasonable" becourt must take all factual allegations in the complaint as true and not dely that are not apparent from the face of the complaint); at 417-18 (stating on utorily notices were provided consistent with the standard for deciding ling that the notices were provided or sufficient); and at 419 (stating that red to extinguish a first deed of trust).	firm by deeming SFR's purchase 'void as commercially unreasonable" because "[ourt must take all factual allegations in the complaint as true and not delve into r that are not apparent from the face of the complaint); at 417-18 (stating only that a utorily notices were provided consistent with the standard for deciding a mot ling that the notices were provided or sufficient); and at 419 (stating that a "propred to extinguish a first deed of trust).	

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

Countermotion for Summary Judgment, more discovery is necessary to determine if the HOA's foreclosure complied with the HOA Lien Statute.

DATED this 13th day of August, 2015.

AKERMAN LLP

/s/ Tenesa S. Scaturro MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215 TENESA S. SCATURRO Nevada Bar No. 12488 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1

ADA 89144 X: (702) 380-8572 SUITE 330 **AKERMAN LLP** LAS VEGAS, NEVAL TEL.: (702) 634-5000 - FAX: 12 12 12 12 12

{3849200-v1-Johnson Supplemental Briefing.DOCX}





Electronically Filed 07/22/2015 05:00:32 PM

HE COURT

1		MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215	
	2	TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ.	Alun A. Ehrin
	3	Nevada Bar No. 12488 AKERMAN LLP	CLERK OF THE COURT
	4	1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 634-5000	
	5	Facsimile: (702) 380-8572 Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com	
	6	Email: tenesa.scaturro@akerman.com	
	7	Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to	
	8	Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage	2
	9	Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1	
	10	DISTRICT	COURT
JITE 330 144 380-8572	11		
SUITE 39144 2) 380-	12	CLARK COUN	IY, NEVADA
NRIVE, /ADA 8 AX: (70	13	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST,	Case No.: A-14-704412-C
NEV INEV INEV INEV INEV INEV INEV INEV I	14		Dept. : XXIV
CEN EGAS	15	Plaintiff,	U.S. BANK, N.A.'S OPPOSITION TO
AS V 02) 62		v.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
1160 TOWN CENTER DRU LAS VEGAS, NEVA TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX	16	U.S. BANK, N.A., SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO	JUDGMENT AND COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BASED
	17	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. SUCCESOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS	ON THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND TENDER, OR ALTERNATIVELY, FOR
	18	TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1,	RULE 56(F) RELIEF
	19	MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THORUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1	Date of Hearing: 08-06-15 Time of Hearing: 9:00 AM
	20		
	21	Defendants.	
	22	Defendant U.S. Bank, N.A., solely as Succe	ssor Trustee to Bank of America, N.A., successor

by merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-23 OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1 (U.S. Bank), opposes Plaintiff's 24 Motion for Summary Judgment and moves for summary judgment based on the Due Process Clause 25 and Tender. This Opposition and Countermotion is made and based upon the Memorandum of 26 Points and Authorities attached hereto, all exhibits attached hereto, and such oral argument as may 27 be entertained by the Court at the time and place of the hearing of this matter. 28

{34825256;1}

AKERMAN LLP

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

U.S. Bank is entitled to summary judgment because NRS 116, *et seq.*, the HOA foreclosure statute, is facially unconstitutional because it does not mandate that mortgagees receive actual notice of HOA foreclosure sales. The Due Process Clause requires, under *all* circumstances, that a statute authorizing extinguishment of a lien in a foreclosure sale also *mandate* actual notice to those lienholders. Because no provision of NRS 116 mandates actual notice to mortgagees prior to an HOA's foreclosure sale, the statute is facially unconstitutional. Independently, NRS 116 is unconstitutional as applied to the circumstances of this case, because U.S. Bank was not provided any notice of the amount of the super-priority lien that would extinguish its constitutionally-protected property interest when foreclosed. Because the HOA's foreclosure sale was conducted pursuant to a statute which is unconstitutional—both facially and as applied—it is invalid, and summary judgment should be granted in favor of U.S. Bank.

Even if NRS 116 complied with the Due Process Clause, U.S. Bank would still be entitled to summary judgment because the loan servicer tendered payment of the super-priority amount prior to the foreclosure sale, thereby extinguishing the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien. Consequently, to the extent Plaintiff received any interest in the subject property at the HOA's foreclosure sale, that interest in subordinate to U.S. Bank's senior deed of trust.

Even if this Court does not grant summary judgment in favor of U.S. Bank, Plaintiff's
Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied. Instead of offering evidence showing that the sale
of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable, Plaintiff claims that, under *SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A.*, every HOA foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to NRS

116 is commercially reasonable, no matter how diminutive the price. Plaintiff ignores the fact that
SFR Investments was a case decided at the pleadings stage on a motion to dismiss, and the Court
remanded that case for further fact-finding regarding the commercial reasonableness of the sale.
Because issues of material fact remain regarding the commercial reasonableness of the foreclosure
sale, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied.
^(34825256;1)

In the alternative, U.S. Bank is entitled to a Rule 56(f) continuance, as additional discovery is necessary to develop facts integral to U.S. Bank's defenses. If this Court is not inclined to grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment on the pure legal issue of whether NRS 116 is facially invalid under the Due Process Clause, or because the HOA's super-priority lien was extinguished by the pre-foreclosure, super-priority tender, discovery is necessary to develop facts regarding (1) how the HOA Trustee calculated the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien before rejecting Bank of America's tender as insufficient, (2) whether the HOA complied with all requirements of NRS 116, and (3) whether the sale of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable. To the extent the Court is not inclined to grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment or deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment for the reasons set forth below, U.S. Bank is entitled to a Rule 56(f) continuance.

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

AKERMAN LLP

П.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS

The Johnsons borrow \$147,456.00 to purchase a home. A.

In June 2004, Dennis Johnson and Geraldine Johnson (collectively Borrowers) purchased real property located at 5316 Clover Blossom Court, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031 (the Property). To finance this purchase, Borrower took out a loan in the amount of \$147,456.00, which was secured by a deed of trust (Deed of Trust) in favor of Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. Exhibit A. This Deed of Trust was assigned to U.S. Bank via an Assignment of Deed of Trust, which was recorded on June 20, 2011. Exhibit B.

20

The HOA forecloses on its \$5,021.00 lien. **B**.

Alessi & Koenig, LLC (HOA Trustee), acting on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' 21 Association (HOA), recorded two Notices of Delinquent Assessment Liens on February 22, 2012, at 22

9:17 AM, both ostensibly encumbering the Property. One of the Notices stated the Borrowers owed 23 \$1,095.50 to the HOA. Exhibit C. The other Notice stated the Borrowers owed \$1,150.50 to the 24 25 HOA. Exhibit D. On April 20, 2012, the HOA Trustee recorded a Notice of Default and Election to 26 Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien, particularly the Lien attached as Exhibit C, stating the 27 total amount due to the HOA was \$3,396.00. Exhibit E. The HOA Trustee then recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale on October 31, 2012, stating the total amount due to the HOA was \$4,039.00, and 28 3 {34825256;1}

setting the sale for November 28, 2012. Exhibit F. No sale occurred on that date. Rather, on January 26, 2013, the HOA non-judicially foreclosed on the Property. Exhibit G. According to the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, the HOA sold the Property to Plaintiff for \$8,200.00. Id.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

Bank of America's pre-foreclosure, super-priority tender. **C**.

Prior to the foreclosure sale, Bank of America, N.A.,¹ through counsel at Miles Bauer Bergstrom & Winters LLP (Miles Bauer), contacted the HOA Trustee and requested a payoff ledger detailing the specific super-priority amount of the HOA's lien on the Property. Exhibit H-1. Rather than providing a payoff ledger with the exact super-priority amount, the HOA Trustee provided a payoff demand in the amount of \$4,186.00. Ex. H-2. However, the ledger showed the HOA's monthly assessments to be \$55.00, meaning the total amount of the last nine months of delinquent assessments was \$495.00. Exhibit H-2. On December 6, 2012, Bank of America tendered \$1,494.50—which included \$999.50 in "reasonable collection costs" in addition to the \$495.00 for delinquent assessments-to the HOA Trustee to satisfy the super-priority lien. Exhibit H-3. The HOA Trustee refused to accept this tender, and proceeded to foreclose on the Property. Exhibits H-4.

Procedural History D.

Plaintiff filed its Complaint on July 25, 2014. U.S. Bank answered the Complaint on September 25, 2014. On April 23, 2015, Plaintiff filed its Amended Complaint. Plaintiff filed the instant motion for summary judgment on May 18, 2015.

LEGAL STANDARDS 20 III.

Summary judgment is appropriate only if, after viewing the record in the light most favorable 21 to the nonmoving party, "no genuine issue of material fact exists, and the moving party is entitled to 22

judgment as a matter of law." NRCP 56(c); Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 730, 121 P.3d 23 1026, 1030 (2005). "[T]he nonmoving party is entitled to have the evidence and all reasonable 24 inferences accepted as true." Scialabba v. Brandise Const. Co., Inc., 112 Nev. 965, 968, 921 P.2d 25 928, 930 (1996). The moving party "bears the initial burden of production to show the absence of a 26 27 28 At the time, Bank of America serviced the loan secured by U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust. {34825256;1}

genuine issue of material fact." Cuzze v. University and Community College System of Nevada, 123 Nev. 598, 602, 172 P.3d 131, 134 (2007).

Factual disputes are genuine "if the evidence is such that a rational trier of fact could return a verdict in favor of the nonmoving party." Wood, 121 Nev. at 731. If the moving party bears the burden of persuasion at trial, "that party must present evidence that would entitle it to a judgment as a matter of law in the absence of contrary evidence." Francis v. Wynn Las Vegas, LLC, 262 P.3d 705, 714 (2011). Summary judgment is particularly appropriate where issues of law are controlling and dispositive of the case. American Fence, Inc. v. Wham, 95 Nev. 788, 792, 603 P.2d 274, 277 (1979).

IV. ARGUMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

AKERMAN LLP

U.S. Bank is entitled to summary judgment because the HOA Lien Statute is А. facially unconstitutional, as it does not guarantee that mortgagees receive notice and an opportunity to be heard.

 1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330

 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144

 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144

 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 - FAX: (702) 380-8572

 0
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1
 0

 1</td On its face, the HOA Lien Statute is unconstitutional. As an irreducible minimum, courts have universally required that statutes that provide for extinguishment of junior liens in foreclosure also provide for mandatory notice to the junior lienholders. The HOA Lien Statute does not provide for mandatory notice. Rather, the Nevada Legislature has provided only a "request-notice" or "opt-17 in" provision; which requires notice only if the junior lienholder—here the holder of a first deed of 18 trust-requests notice in advance. Such opt-in provisions have met with universal disapprobation in 19 every federal and state court to have considered the question. The reason is clear: where the state 20 will extinguish such a significant interest in real property, it must also mandate that the holder of the 21 lien to be extinguished have notice and some opportunity to remediate. By not mandating such 22 notice, the HOA Lien Statute is unconstitutional on its face. In this case, that means the foreclosure 23 by the HOA and the extinguishment of U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust are both invalid and U.S. Bank is 24 entitled to summary judgment. 25 The Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution requires that, "at a minimum, [the] 26 deprivation of life, liberty, or property by adjudication be preceded by notice and an opportunity for 27 hearing appropriate to the nature of the case." Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 28 5 {34825256;1}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

U.S. 306, 314 (1950) (emphasis added). An "elementary and fundamental requirement of due process ... is notice reasonably calculated, under all circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Tulsa Prof'l Collection Services, Inc. v. Pope, 458 U.S. 478, 484 (1988) (quoting Mullane, 339 U.S. at 314) (emphasis added). Put more simply, state action may not extinguish an interest in real property unless the holder of that interest is afforded notice of that action.

Foreclosures pursuant to the HOA Lien Statute constitute state action, as the Nevada Supreme Court has held that a private party's deprivation of another private party's "significant property interest" pursuant to a Nevada statute entitles the property owner to "federal and state due process." J.D. Construction v. IBEX Int'l Group, 240 P. 3d 1033, 1040 (Nev. 2010). In J.D. Construction, one private party recorded a mechanic's lien on the property of another private party. Id. at 1035. No state actor was involved in placing the lien, yet the Nevada Supreme Court held that "[a] mechanic's lien is a 'taking' in that the property owner is deprived of a significant property interest, which entitles the property owner to federal and state due process." Id. at 1040 (citing Connolly Dev., Inc. v. Superior Court, 553 P.2d 637, 645 (Cal. 1976) (holding that private party's imposition of a "stop notice" lien involved "significant state action" because the imposition is "encouraged, indeed only made possible, by explicit state authorization.").

J.D. Construction provides sufficient binding authority that the state-action requirement is met here. If more evidence were needed, however, the logic and reasoning in Connolly Development, Inc. v. Superior Court, extensively relied upon in J.D. Construction, see 240 P.3d at 1040-41 (citing Connolly at least five times), applies here. In Connolly, the California Supreme Court held that there was "no question" that the state-law "stop notice" lien at issue-which could be enforced by a purely

private procedure "without filing or recordation before any state official"—"involve[d] significant 23 state action" and triggered due-process protections. Id. at 815. The Connolly Court expressly rejected 24 arguments that the lien did not involve state action, noting that the private enforcement procedure 25 "is not just action against a backdrop of an amorphous state policy, but is instead action encouraged, 26 indeed only made possible, by explicit state authorization." Id. at 815 & n.14 (quoting Klim v. 27 28 Jones, 315 F. Supp. 109, 114 (N.D. Cal. 1970)). 6 {34825256;1}

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

Because foreclosures authorized solely by the HOA Lien Statute constitute state action, the HOA Lien Statute must satisfy the Due Process Clause's notice requirements as set forth in *Mullane*. The United States Supreme Court has applied *Mullane*'s principles to the deprivation of a mortgagee's security interests in property that is subject to potential extinguishment in foreclosure, such as the first deed of trust at issue in this case. *Mennonite Bd. of Missions v. Adams*, 462 U.S. 791, 800 (1983). In *Mennonite*, an Indiana county sold mortgaged real property as a result of the borrower's delinquent taxes. *Id.* at 793. The county complied with Indiana's governing notice statute, but that statute required only constructive notice to the mortgagee and actual notice to the borrower. *Id.* at 794. The Indiana courts upheld the tax sale statute against a constitutional due process challenge. *Id.* at 795. But the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the decision upholding the statute, holding that because the "sale immediately and drastically diminishes the value of th[e] security interest" and "may result in the complete nullification of the mortgagee's interest" the mortgagee must receive *actual* notice. *Id.* at 798, 800. The Court held that the Due Process Clause required that mortgagees receive either personal service or mailed notice of the foreclosure sale that could extinguish their property interest.

Nevada's HOA Lien Statute does not require that mortgagees be provided with actual notice of the HOA foreclosure sales that can extinguish their property interest. Indeed, the statute is not only silent on the subject of mandatory notice, but it effectively disclaims that notice is required in all instances. In two key provisions, the statute explicitly and unambiguously disclaims that notice is required to all mortgagees; rather, mortgagees only receive notice if they have previously requested notice from the HOA. In Section 116.31163, the statute provides that a notice of default and election to sell need only be provided to a mortgagee who "has requested notice" or "has notified the

association" more than thirty days before the recordation of the notice of default of the existence of a
security interest. NRS 116.31163(1)-(2). Section 116.31165 similarly limited mortgagee notice of
sale to those mortgagees who have requested notice under Section 116.31163, or those who have
"notified the association." NRS 116.31165(1)(b)(1)-(2). A third provision concerning notice of
delinquent assessments does not require notice to lenders at all. NRS 116.31162.
(34825256;1)

AKERMAN LLP

1

2

As a consequence, the HOA Lien Statute allows for the total extinguishment of the first deed of trust without any notice to the mortgagee holding that deed. If a mortgagee does not request notice—or, put differently, fails to opt in to its right to due process—Nevada law permits the extinguishment of a first deed of trust without notice. Such a result is in direct contravention of *Mennonite*, which held that *actual* notice is required in *all circumstances* where a significant property interest was subject to extinguishment, and rejected the argument that the necessity of actual personal service or mailed notice may vary based on the ability of the mortgagee to protect its own interests. "[A] party's ability to take steps to safeguard its interests does not relieve the State of its constitutional obligation." *Mennonite*, 462 U.S. at 799.

While *Mennonite* did not address an opt-in or request-notice provision, a broad consensus has emerged in state and federal courts that such provisions are unconstitutional under *Mennonite*. The Fifth Circuit, for instance, considered a Louisiana statute that required notice of a foreclosure sale only to those persons who had filed a request for such notice in the mortgage records. *Small Engine Shop, Inc. v. Cascio*, 878 F.2d 883, 885–86 (5th Cir. 1989). The Fifth Circuit applied *Mullane* and *Mennonite*, and held that the statute "as interpreted by the district court, cannot be squared with *Mennonite*'s allocation of notice burdens." *Id.* at 890.

Perhaps more significantly, opt-in provisions have been universally condemned by a consensus of state-court decisions. *See, e.g., Jefferson Tp. v. Block 447A*, 548 A.2d 521, 524 (N.J. 1988) ("We conclude that a person's entitlement to the notice required by due process cannot be conditioned on the requirement that he request it."); *Wylie v. Patton*, 720 P.2d 649, 655 (Idaho 1986) (holding opt-in scheme unconstitutional because the Constitution requires notice "both to mortgagees of record who have requested such a notice and to mortgagees of record who have not

mortgagees of record who have requested such a notice and to mortgagees of record who have not requested such a notice"); *Reeder & Assocs. v. Locker*, 542 N.E.2d 1371, 1373 (Ind. Ct. App. 1989) ("[A]fter *Mennonite* a mortgagee is required to receive actual notice of a tax sale unless the mortgagee's address is not reasonably identifiable."); *City of Boston v. James*, 530 N.E.2d 1254 (Mass. App. Ct. 1988) (holding that a "shifting of responsibility" from the foreclosing party to the mortgagee is unconstitutional "even when the persons deprived of notice are sophisticated and knowledgeable"); *Seattle First National Bank v. Umatilla County*, 713 P.2d 33 (Or. App. 1986) ^(34825256;1) 8
(holding that statute permitting notice only to mortgagee who makes request unconstitutional as violating affirmative duty to provide notice); In re Foreclosure of Tax Liens, 103 A.D.2d 636, 640 (N.Y. App. Div. 1984) ("The Erie County statutes create a real danger that a mortgagee will be forever divested of his property without ever learning of the impending foreclosure."); United States v. Malinka, 685 P.2d 405, 409 (Okla. Civ. App. 1984) ("Mennonite clearly places the onus on the State to provide notice notwithstanding that a mortgagee might take steps to protect its own interest.").

"Constitutional due process protection does not exist only for those who follow the notice statute but encompasses all interests that may be affected by state action." Island Fin., Inc. v. Ballman, 607 A.2d 76, 81 (Md. Ct. Spec. App. 1992). The notice provision here renders the HOA Lien Statute unconstitutional, as Nevada trial courts have previously found. See, e.g., Octavio Cano-Martinez v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A., Dist. Ct. Case No. A-692027-C (EJDC) (May 7, 2015), Summary Judgment Order, p. 4 ("Because the Statute does not does not require the foreclosing party to take reasonable steps to ensure that actual notice is provided to interested parties who are reasonably ascertainable (unless the interested party first requests notice) it does not comport with long standing principles of constitutional due process."); Paradise Harbor Place Trust v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, Dist. Ct. Case No. A-687846-C (EJDC) (Jan. 6, 2014), Dismissal Order, p. 8 (R.A. II, at 302) (holding that HOA Lien Statute's provisions were facially invalid because the statute "expressly does not require notice of the HOA lien sale to be given to all lienholders before their property interests are completely erased by operation of law"). 20

The Nevada Legislature drafted a notice scheme that does not provide for notice of 21 delinquency to mortgagees and then explicitly disclaims the duty to provide notices of default or sale 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

to mortgagees who do not file a prior request for such notice. The case law cited in the two 23 preceding paragraphs provides that such a scheme is plainly unconstitutional. The fact that the HOA 24 Lien Statute does not require notice to the mortgagee is sufficient, standing on its own, to sustain a 25 facial attack on the statute-requiring invalidation of both the statute and the foreclosure at issue in 26 this case. See, e.g., Garcia-Rubiera v. Calderon, 570 F.3d 443, 456 (1st Cir. 2009) (sustaining facial 27 attack on notice provisions and holding that "actual notice cannot defeat [facial] due process claim"). 28 9 {34825256;1}

As to mortgagees, the HOA Lien Statute's notice provisions are constitutionally flawed, rendering the statute invalid on its face. Accordingly, summary judgment should be granted in favor of U.S. Bank because the foreclosure sale is unconstitutional.

B. <u>The HOA Lien Statute is unconstitutional as applied to this case because U.S.</u> <u>Bank was not provided actual notice of the super-priority lien.</u>

Even if the HOA Lien Statute required that mortgagees receive actual notice of HOA foreclosure sales under all circumstances, the statute is still unconstitutional as applied in this case because U.S. Bank was not provided any notice of the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien. "[W]hen notice is a person's due, process which is a mere gesture is not due process." *Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 315. To pass muster under the Due Process Clause, the required "notice must be of such nature as reasonabl[e] to convey the required information," with "reference to the subject of which the statute deals." *Id.* at 314.

The subject of the HOA Lien Statute is the super-priority lien it provides, the proper foreclosure of which extinguishes a mortgagee's constitutionally-protected security interest in the subject property. While granting super-priority to an HOA lien is a "significant departure from existing practice," the HOA Lien Statute's drafters predicted that the effect on secured lenders would be minimal, as the "secured lenders [would] most likely pay the [nine] months' assessments demanded by the association rather than having the association foreclose on the unit." 1982 UCIOA § 3116 cmt. 1 (cited with approval in *SFR Investments*, 334 P.3d at 414). UCIOA's drafters presumed that HOAs and their collection agents would willingly provide secured lenders with the amount of the super-priority lien.

The Nevada Supreme Court made the same assumption when evaluating the mortgagee's due

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

process challenge in *SFR Investments*. 334 P.3d at 418. In that case, the mortgagee argued that due process required specific notice "indicating the amount of the superpriority piece of the lien[.]" *Id.* Importantly, this case was decided on a motion to dismiss, which did not allow the Nevada Supreme Court to consider any facts "not apparent from the face of the complaint." *Id.* at 418 n.6. In this posture, the Court rejected the mortgagee's due process challenge, stating that "nothing appears to have stopped [the lender] from determining the precise superpriority amount" prior to the sale, and (34825256;1) 10

1

stating that "[i]t is well established that due process is not offended by requiring a person with actual, timely knowledge of an event that may affect a right to exercise due diligence and take necessary steps to preserve that right." Id. at 418 (quoting In re Medaglia, 52 F.3d 451, 455 (2d Cir. 1995). The Court did not decide whether due process is offended when a mortgagee exercises due diligence by requesting "the precise superpriority amount in advance of the sale," and the HOA refuses to provide that information. See SFR Investments, 334 P.3d at 418.

Here, the HOA refused to provide U.S. Bank with the super-priority amount prior to the foreclosure sale. None of the documents recorded by the HOA provide notice of the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien. See Ex. C, Ex. D, Ex. E, and Ex. F. Nonetheless, Bank of America, who serviced the loan secured by U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust, reached out to the HOA Trustee and requested a payoff ledger detailing the precise amount of the super-priority lien prior to the foreclosure sale. The HOA Trustee refused to provide the super-priority amount, instead demanding that Bank of America pay off the entire HOA lien, even though the majority of the lien was subordinate to U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust. Ex. H-2. Unlike SFR Investments, where the Court relied on contentions in the complaint that "nothing appeared to have stopped" the lender from determining the super-priority amount, here the record is clear: the only parties with the information necessary to determine the super-priority amount-the HOA and the HOA Trustee-refused to provide U.S. Bank with the super-priority amount.² It is clear that U.S. Bank was never put on actual notice of the amount of the lien that could extinguish its own senior Deed of Trust.

Holding that due process requires HOAs to identify the super-priority amount is not only 20 fundamentally fair-it also implements a policy of the Nevada Legislature. The Nevada Legislature, 21 apparently cognizant of the manipulative and evasive conduct of HOAs like the one here, now 22 requires a foreclosing HOA to identify the "amount of the association's lien that is prior to the first 23 security interest," see NRS 116.31162(1)(b)(2(I)), as amended by Senate Bill 306. The amended 24 25 26 ² As discussed fully in Section C below, Bank of America estimated the amount of the super-priority lien based on the payoff ledger provided, and tendered an amount at least equal to the super-priority amount, 27 extinguishing the super-priority portion of the lien. To the extent Bank of America's tender was inaccurate, such inaccuracy resulted from the HOA and HOA Trustee's refusal to provide Bank of America with actual 28 notice of the super-priority amount. 11 {34825256;1}

15

16

17

18

19

statute also requires the HOA to specifically explain how the holder of a first deed of trust may extinguish a super-priority lien—by tendering the identified super-priority amount no later than five days before the sale. *See* NRS 116.31162(1)(b)(3(II)), as amended by Senate Bill 306. If the holder of the first deed of trust records with the county recorder that it has satisfied the super-priority amount, "the sale may not extinguish the first security interest as to the unit." *Id*.

While U.S. Bank does not suggest the procedures the Legislature laid out in the recent amendments are applicable today or to this case, the amendments demonstrate two key points. First, the Nevada Legislature agrees it is fundamentally unfair to permit a foreclosure of a first deed of trust without ever providing notice or recording with the country recorder (1) the *existence* of a super-priority lien; (2) the *amount* of the super-priority lien; or (3) *how to cure* the super-priority lien before the first deed of trust is extinguished. Second, the amendments demonstrate the modesty of U.S. Bank's position. If the Court rules this particular foreclosure did not comport with constitutional due process requirements because of the HOA's failure to identify the existence or amount of a super-priority lien, that holding would apply to only those cases in which HOAs have been so evasive as to avoid identifying the super-priority amount. It will also do no more than implement a requirement already endorsed by the Legislature.

The Due Process Clause requires that a party be provided *actual* notice and an *actual* opportunity to be heard prior to the deprivation of that party's property interest. *See, e.g., J.D. Constr.*, 240 P.3d at 1040 (Nev. 2010). Providing notice that a lien exists, without specific notice that a super-priority lien exists and the amount of that lien is a "mere gesture" of process. *See Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 315 ("[W]hen notice is a person's due, process which is mere gesture is not due process."). The notice provided to a mortgagee whose security interest is at risk of extinguishment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

must be calculated to afford the mortgagee an opportunity to present its objections or, if necessary,
cure the delinquency. *Id.* at 314. But here, U.S. Bank was provided with no notice, much less actual
notice, of the amount of the super-priority lien which would extinguish the Bank's constitutionallyprotected property interest when foreclosed. Without notice of the super-priority amount, U.S. Bank
had no opportunity to protect its property interest prior to the HOA's foreclosure. As applied to the
(34825256;1)

circumstances of this case, the HOA Lien Statute operated unconstitutionally, invalidating the HOA foreclosure sale. Accordingly, this Court should grant summary judgment in favor of U.S. Bank.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

AKERMAN LLP

C. <u>Bank of America's tender extinguished the super-priority portion of the HOA's</u> <u>lien.</u>

Even if the HOA Lien Statute satisfied the actual-notice requirements of the Due Process Clause, U.S. Bank would still be entitled to summary judgment because Bank of America's superpriority tender extinguished that portion of the HOA's lien prior to the foreclosure sale. As Plaintiff freely admits, in *SFR Investments*, the Nevada Supreme Court "said not once, but twice, that ... the bank could simply have paid the super priority amount to preserve its interest in the property." Mot. at 14; *see SFR Investments*, 334 P.3d at 414 ("[A]s junior lienholder, [the holder of the first deed of trust] could have paid off the [HOA] lien to avert loss of its security[.]"). Here, the loan servicer paid the super-priority amount prior to the sale, and thus preserved the first-priority position of U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust.

Both the drafters of the HOA Lien Statute and the Nevada agency charged with its enforcement agree with Plaintiff's position—tender of the super-priority amount preserves a first deed of trust holder's interest in the foreclosed property. The drafters of the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act (UCIOA), adopted by Nevada as the HOA Lien Statute, contemplated this result when drafting the super-priority provision, stating that "[a]s a practical matter, secured lenders will most likely pay the [nine] months assessments demanded by the association rather than having the association foreclose on the unit." 1982 UCIOA § 3116 cmt. 1 (cited with approval in *SFR Investments*, 334 P.3d at 414.).³ Further, the Nevada Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry (NRED), the agency charged with administering the HOA Lien Statute, has

explained that it is "likely that the holder of the first security interest will pay the super priority lien amount to avoid foreclosure by [an HOA]." 13–01 Op. Dep't of Bus. & Indus., Real Estate Div. 18
³ The Nevada Supreme Court cited to the official comments to UCIOA extensively when evaluating the HOA Lien Statute in *SFR Investments*, 334 P.3d at 412 ("An official comment written by the drafters of a statute and available to the legislature before the statute is enacted has considerable weight as an aid to statutory construction.")
^(34825256;1)

3

4

5

6

7

.8

9

10

(2012) (hereinafter NRED Letter); see also Folio v. Briggs, 99 Nev. 30, 34, 656 P.2d 842, 844 (1983) (explaining that courts "are obliged to attach substantial weight to [an] agency's interpretation" of a statute it is charged with administering). This super-priority amount is equal to the amount of assessments that "would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the nine months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien "See NRS 116.3116(2); accord NRED Letter (explaining that "the total amount of the super priority lien attributable to assessments is no more than 9 months of the monthly assessments reflected in the association's budget.").

Here, Bank of America, who serviced the loan secured by U.S. Bank's senior Deed of Trust at the time, tendered the super-priority amount to the HOA Trustee prior to the foreclosure sale. Shortly after the HOA Trustee recorded the Notice of Default and Election to Sell, Bank of America, through counsel at Miles Bauer, contacted the HOA Trustee and requested a payoff ledger detailing the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien. Rather than providing a breakdown of the nine months of delinquent assessments constituting the super-priority amount, the HOA Trustee provided a payoff demand in the amount of \$4,186.00, which included late fees, interest, and collection costs that fell within the sub-priority portion of the HOA's lien. Ex. H-2. However, the payoff demand showed that, during the nine months preceding the "institution of an action to enforce the lien," namely the recording of the Notices of Delinquent Assessments Lien, the HOA's monthly assessments were \$55.00. Id.

Accordingly, to satisfy the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien, Bank of America, 20 tendered \$1,494.50 to the HOA Trustee on December 6, 2012. Ex. H-3. This amount included not only the last nine months of delinquent assessments, \$495.00, but also \$999.50 for "reasonable 22

21

17

18

19

collection costs," which constituted the sub-priority, rather than super-priority, portion of the HOA's 23 lien. Id. By tendering the full super-priority amount prior to the foreclosure, Bank of America 24 extinguished the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien, thus redeeming the first-priority position 25 of U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust prior to the foreclosure sale. 26 Since the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien was extinguished prior to the foreclosure 27 sale, Plaintiff's interest in the Property, if any, is subordinate to U.S. Bank's senior Deed of Trust 28 14 {34825256;1}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

pursuant to NRS 116.31164(3)(a). This provision provides that the purchaser at an HOA foreclosure receives "a deed without warranty which conveys to the grantee *all title of the unit's owner to the unit.*" NRS 116.31164(3)(a) (emphasis added). Put differently, under Nevada law, the HOA lost the ability to pass clear title when Bank of America's tender extinguished the super-priority lien. This point was not lost on Plaintiff, who states "that the bank could have paid the super priority amount to preserve its interest in the property" prior to the foreclosure sale. Mot. at 14.

According to the *SFR Investments* Court, the drafters of the UCIOA, the NRED, and even Plaintiff itself, tender of the super-priority amount prior to an HOA foreclosure extinguishes the super-priority portion of an HOA's lien, thus preserving the first-priority position of the respective deed of trust. Because Bank of America tendered the full super-priority amount prior to the HOA's foreclosure sale in this case, the super-priority portion of the HOA's lien was extinguished, preserving the first-priority position of U.S. Bank's Deed of Trust. Consequently, to the extent Plaintiff received any interest in the Property by way of the HOA foreclosure sale, such interest is junior to U.S. Bank's senior Deed of Trust, meaning Plaintiff's quiet title claim fails as a matter of law. Accordingly, U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment should be granted.

D. <u>Plaintiff has produced no evidence showing that the HOA's foreclosure sale was</u> commercially reasonable.

This Court should also deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment because (1) every foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to the HOA Lien Statute must be commercially reasonable, and (2) Plaintiff has produced no evidence showing that the HOA's foreclosure sale of the Property at a 94% discount was commercially reasonable as a matter of law.

1 HOA foreclosure sales must be commercially reasonable.

	1. HOA foreclosure sales must be commercially reasonable.
23	While the HOA Lien Statute provides homeowners associations with strong enforcement
24	mechanisms to assure their dues are paid, the statute also provides a check to insure those with first
25	deeds of trust are treated fairly-specifically, that every foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to the
26	statute must be commercially reasonable. Plaintiff's assertions that "NRS Chapter 116 does not
27	contain any language that requires that an HOA foreclosure sale be 'commercially reasonable'" and
28	
	{34825256;1} 15

that "UCIOA also does not contain any language that incorporates Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code" ignores the plain language of the statute. *See* Mot, at 8.

The HOA Lien Statute requires that HOA foreclosure sales be commercially reasonable, stating that "every contract or duty governed by this chapter imposes an obligation of good faith in its performance or enforcement." NRS 116.1113. The drafters of this section defined good faith as follows: "[g]ood faith … means observance of two standards: 'honesty in fact,' and observance of reasonable standards of fair dealing. While the term is not defined, [it is] derived from and used *in the same manner as* … Sections 2-103(i)(b) and 7-404 of the *Uniform Commercial Code*." UCIOA § 1-113 cmt. (1982) (emphasis added). Nevada's version of the UCC defines "good faith" as "honesty in fact and the observance of *reasonable commercial standards* of fair dealing." NRS 104.1201(2)(t) (emphasis added).⁴

Nevada courts have confirmed that this commercial reasonableness standard applies to the disposition of collateral. *See, e.g. Jones v. Bank of Nev.*, 91 Nev. 368, 373, 535 P.2d 1279, 1282 (1975). And courts in other states interpreting the same UCIOA provision at issue here, UCIOA § 1-113, have held that the disposition of the collateral in these cases, real property, must be commercially reasonable. *Will v. Mill Condominium Owner's Ass'n*, 848 A.2d 336, 340 (Vt. 2004) ("Although the rules generally applicable to real estate mortgages do not impose a commercial reasonableness standard on foreclosure sales, the UCIOA does provide for this additional layer of protection.").⁵ Plaintiff's argument that the HOA's disposition of the Property here did not have to be commercially reasonable is misplaced. *See* Mot. at 8.

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572

AKERMAN LLP

⁴ Plaintiff's contention that "UCIOA ... doe [sic] not contain any language that incorporates Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code" is directly at odds with intention of UCIOA's drafters as shown by UCIOA's official comments. *See* Mot. at 10. As noted by the *SFR Investments* Court, "[a]n official comment written by the drafters of a statute and available to the legislature before the statue is enacted has considerable weights as an aid to statutory construction." 334 P.3d at 413.

⁵ Plaintiff contends that the "Supreme Court of Vermont's analysis of Vermont law is not helpful in interpreting Nevada's version of the UCIOA, however, because Vermont law does not include the nonjudicial foreclosure procedure that was 'handcrafted' by the Nevada Legislature in NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168." Mot. at 9. Plaintiff fails to explain how Nevada's handcrafting of those provisions, which mostly concern opt-in notice requirements, somehow effects the commercial reasonableness provision of UCIOA, which has been wholly adopted in both Nevada and Vermont. *Compare* NRS 116.1113, *with* 27A V.S.A. § 1-113.

{34825256;1}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

Granting super-priority to nominal HOA liens over first deeds of trust "represents a 'significant departure from existing practice.'" SFR Investments, 334 P.3d at 412 (quoting the official comments to UCIOA § 1-116). However, NRS 116.1113's requirement that the foreclosure of these super-priority liens be commercially reasonable provides first deed of trust holders with assurance that, in the event of an HOA foreclosure, they will receive some of the value they bargained for when they provided a mortgage loan. The commercial reasonableness requirement is provided in the statutory text, was intended by the statute's drafters, and has been recognized by other courts interpreting the same statutory provision at issue here. Therefore, for Plaintiff to succeed on its instant Motion for Summary Judgment, it must prove that the foreclosure sale of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable as a matter of law. This is a burden Plaintiff cannot meet.

Plaintiff has provided no evidence that the foreclosure sale of the 2. Property at a 94% discount was commercially reasonable.

Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment should be denied because it has failed to provide any evidence showing that the foreclosure sale of the Property for 6% of its ostensible value was commercially reasonable as a matter of law. The Nevada Supreme Court has explained that the conditions of a commercially reasonable sale should reflect a calculated effort to promote a sales price that is equitable to both the debtor and to the secured creditor. See Dennison v. Allen Group Leasing Corp., 110 Nev. 181, 186, 871 P.2d 288, 291 (1994). The "quality of the publicity, the price obtained at the auction, [and] the number of bidders in attendance" are also factors to consider when analyzing the commercial reasonableness of a public sale. Id. While the price obtained at a foreclosure sale is not the sole determinative factor, it is highly relevant in determining whether a sale is commercially reasonable. Id. Importantly, it is well-settled under Nevada law that "a wide

22 23 discrepancy between the sale price and the value of the collateral compels close scrutiny into the 24 commercial reasonableness of the sale." Levers v. Rio King Land & Inv. Co., 93 Nev. 95, 98, 560 25 P.2d 917, 920 (1977); see also Iama Corp. v. Wham, 99 Nev. 730, 736, 669 P.2d 1076, 1079 (1983); 26 Jones, 91 Nev. at 368. 27 28 17 {34825256;1}

1

AKERMAN LLP

17

Such close scrutiny is surely required here, where Plaintiff purchased Property securing a \$147,456.00 loan for \$8,200.6 Ex. A; Ex. G. Put differently, the discrepancy between the sales price and the value of the collateral here was more than 94%. In light of this wide discrepancy, and the close scrutiny into the circumstances of the sale such discrepancy entails, it is not surprising that Plaintiff contends that the HOA Lien Statute does not require an HOA foreclosure sale to be commercially reasonable.⁷ Mot. at 9.

To the contrary, courts analyzing the commercial reasonableness of foreclosure sales have either voided such sales or refused to grant summary judgment in favor of the foreclosing party where the discrepancy between the sales price and the value of the secured property was much less egregious than the present case. For example, in Iama Corp., the Nevada Supreme Court reversed a trial court's finding that a sale of collateral was conducted in a commercially reasonable manner. 99 Nev. at 737. Central to the court's decision was the wide discrepancy-25.1% ---between the fair market value and the sale price of the collateral. Id. at 736. The court then scrutinized whether proper notice was given, whether the bidding was competitive, and whether the sale was conducted pursuant to the sheriffs office's normal procedures. Id. The court ultimately set aside the sale because the pre-foreclosure conduct of the seller had detrimentally affected the price the collateral would bring at auction. Id. at 736-37.

Additionally, courts applying UCIOA have voided commercially unreasonable foreclosure 18 sales. Will, 848 A.2d at 340. In Will, the property was sold pursuant to a homeowners' association 19 lien of \$3,510.10. Id. at 338. The fair market value of the property was \$70,000. Id. The court noted 20 that the comment to UCIOA § 1-113, discussed in Section C(1) supra, "expresse[d] in unequivocal 21 terms the Legislature's intent to import the [UCC's] commercial reasonableness standard into the 22

23	UCIOA." Id. at 341. The court explained that the homeowners association bears the burden to prove
24	
25	⁶ Plaintiff will likely claim that the value of the loan secured by the Deed of Trust is not an accurate indication of the value of the Property. This is yet another reason why Plaintiff's motion is premature. Discovery is
26	needed to determine the exact value of the Property at the time of the foreclosure sale. ⁷ Plaintiff curiously quotes the SFR Investments Court's noting that it declined to reach the commercial
27	reasonableness argument before relying of the SFR Investments decision to say that the price paid at a foreclosure sale has no bearing on commercial reasonableness "pursuant to <u>SFR</u> ." Mot. at 10, 12. Needless to
28	say, a court's "holding" on an issue that it specifically declined to reach does not constitute binding precedent.
	{34825256;1} 18

AKERMAN LLP

the foreclosure was commercially reasonable. *Id.* at 342. The court also stated that the party conducting the sale "must make a good faith effort to maximize the value of collateral," and "have a reasonable regard for the debtor's interest." *Id.* After espousing these standards, the court voided the trustee's sale because the sale was not made in a commercially reasonable manner. *Id.* at 342. Central to the court's finding that the sale was commercially unreasonable was the sale of the condominium for an amount 85% lower than the value of the collateral, and the fact that there was only one bid on the property. *See id.* Because the sale was commercially unreasonable, the court vacated the lower court's grant of summary judgment in favor of the HOA, and voided the sale to the third-party purchaser. *Id.* at 343.

Here, Plaintiff has produced no evidence showing that the sale of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable. Such a wide discrepancy between the sales price and the price of the collateral subjects the commercial reasonableness of this HOA sale to close scrutiny under settled Nevada law. See Levers, 93 Nev. at 98; Iama Corp., 99 Nev. at 736; Jones, 91 Nev. at 368. This close scrutiny entails an inquiry into the bidding process and participants, which U.S. Bank will attempt to uncover through discovery. But currently, "the record is completely devoid of any evidence relating to the bidding process or participants." Dennison, 110 Nev. at 186 (reversing grant of summary judgment in favor of the creditor because the moving party failed to produce evidence showing the sale was commercially reasonable). Further, there is no evidence showing that the HOA "took steps to insure the best price possible would be obtained for the benefit of the debtor." Levers, 93 Nev. at 99 (holding that the secured party failed to meet its burden to show that the sale was commercially reasonable).8 Because Plaintiff has failed to produce any evidence showing that the sale of the Property for 6% of its ostensible value is commercially reasonable, its

⁸ In an effort to distinguish these UCC cases and prove that the foreclosure sale at issue was commercially reasonable without offering a shred of evidence concerning the foreclosure sale, Plaintiff states that the "method, manner, time, and place of an HOA foreclosure sale, unlike a UCC sale are governed by statute – NRS 116.31162 through 116.31168." Mot. at 10. However, NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168 concern notice to the unit's owner, the constitutionally-defective opt-in notice requirements for lienholders, and the effect of an HOA foreclosure sale on title. Nowhere in those statutes does it specify the method or manner in which a foreclosure sale must be conducted, the time it must be conducted, or the place where it must be conducted. NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168 are thus irrelevant to whether "the method, manner, time, [and] place" of an HOA foreclosure sale is "commercially reasonable." *See Levers*, 93 Nev. at 98.

{34825256;1}

quiet title claims fail as a matter of law. Accordingly, this Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

E. <u>In the alternative, U.S. Bank requests a Rule 56(f) Continuance, as additional</u> <u>discovery is necessary to develop facts essential to U.S. Bank's defenses.</u>

This Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment under Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 56(f) because it is premature. U.S. Bank has not had the opportunity to develop several issues central to its defense to Plaintiff's quiet title claim. Specifically, additional discovery is necessary to determine: (1) how the HOA Trustee calculated the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien before rejecting Bank of America's super-priority tender as insufficient, (2) whether the HOA complied with all requirements of the HOA Lien Statute, and (3) whether the sale of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable. To develop the facts around the tender, compliance, and commercial reasonableness issues, U.S. Bank will subpoen the HOA and HOA Trustee, seeking to determine, inter alia, who attended the foreclosure sale, whether the HOA's assessments were based on a periodic budget adopted by the HOA pursuant to NRS 116.3115, what announcements were made at the sale regarding Bank of America's super-priority tender, the particulars of the bidding process, and whether all payments made to the HOA were properly applied. Once these subpoenas reveal knowledgeable parties, U.S. Bank intends to depose those parties, seeking to determine more information regarding the HOA's accounting of the payments it received, how the foreclosure auction was conducted, and the general circumstances of the foreclosure sale.

In accordance with Rule 56(f), counsel has provided the Court with a detailed affidavit providing the reasons that discovery is necessary to fully develop U.S. Bank's opposition to

AKERMAN LLP 1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144 TEL.: (702) 634-5000 – FAX: (702) 380-8572



V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

This Court should grant U.S. Bank's Countermotion for Summary Judgment because the HOA Lien Statute is unconstitutional under the Due Process Clause, both facially and as-applied to the present case. Even if the statute were constitutional, U.S. Bank would still be entitled to summary judgment because Bank of America's super-priority tender extinguished that portion of the HOA's lien prior to the foreclosure sale.

Even if the Court denies U.S. Bank's Countermotion, this Court should also deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. Plaintiff has not shown that the HOA's sale of the Property for a 94% discount was commercially reasonable, as required by the HOA Lien Statute. In the alternative, U.S. Bank is entitled to discovery to determine how the HOA Trustee calculated the super-priority amount of the HOA's lien before rejecting Bank of America's tender as insufficient, whether the HOA complied with the HOA Lien Statute, and whether the manner in which the HOA conducted the sale was commercially reasonable.

DATED this 22nd day of July, 2015.

AKERMAN LLP

<u>/s/ Tenesa S. Scaturro</u> MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8215 TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12488 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Attorneys for U. S. Bank, N.A., successor trustee to Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1

25 26 27

28

23

24

{34825256;1}

21

AKERMAN LLP

1

DECLARATION OF TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF 56(f) CONTINUANCE

I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge. 1.

I am an associate with Akerman LLP and legal counsel for U.S. Bank in this action. 2.

This Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment based on NRCP 3. 56(f). U.S. Bank should be permitted to conduct discovery as to how the HOA Trustee calculated the super-priority amount owed before rejecting Bank of America's tender as insufficient, whether the HOA and HOA Trustee complied with all requirements of NRS 116, et seq., and whether the foreclosure sale was commercially unreasonable in violation of NRS 116.1113.

U.S. Bank requires additional discovery to fully develop several key defenses. U.S. 4. Bank plans to depose the 30(b)(6) witnesses of the HOA and HOA Trustee, the person who actually conducted the auction on the HOA Trustee's behalf, and the 30(b)(6) witness of Plaintiff to determine whether the sale was conducted in accordance with Nevada law. For example, U.S. Bank intends to conduct discovery on whether the HOA impermissibly attempted to foreclose on violation liens, whether the HOA's monthly assessments were based on a periodic budget adopted by the HOA pursuant to NRS 116.3116, whether the homeowner made HOA payments that were not applied, whether there was a payment plan between the HOA and the homeowner that was ignored, whether the HOA approved the sale, and whether the HOA Trustee changed the sale date from the date listed in the Notice of Sale in accordance with NRS 116.31164.

19 Additionally, discovery is necessary to determine-among a host of facts relevant to 5. 20 the commercial reasonableness of the sale-how the HOA Trustee conducted the sale, the market 21 value of the Property at the time of the sale, whether accurate information concerning Bank of 22 America's super-priority tender was communicated to those in attendance at the auction, and the

- 23 relationship, if any, between Plaintiff, the HOA, HOA Trustee, and other prospective purchasers.
- 24 Plaintiff has not in any way disclosed the circumstances of the sale, which must be evaluated to
- 25 determine whether the sale was commercially reasonable, especially in light of the diminutive price
- 26 Plaintiff paid for the Property.

27

18

28

{34825256;1}

22

Additionally, U.S. Bank may retain experts to demonstrate that the property was sold 6. 1 far below its fair market value and that the structure of the sale itself led to bid chilling. 2 This discovery is necessary to determine whether the HOA complied with NRS 116, 7. 3 et seq., a prerequisite to Plaintiff taking any title to the Property by way of the foreclosure sale, and 4 whether the sale was commercially unreasonable in violation of NRS 116.1113. 5 This Court should deny Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to NRCP 8. 6 56(f). 7 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 8 9 DATED this 22nd day of July, 2015. 10 11 /s/ Tenesa S. Scaturro TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

144) 380-8572

LAS VEGAS, TEL.: (702) 634-5000

1160 TOW

SUITE 330

AKERMAN LLP

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 23 {34825256;1}



{34825256;1}







المعادية والمعادية المراجعة المعادية

Assessor's Parcel Number 12431220392 After Recording Return To: 4-MAIL TAX STATENGOTSTD: COUNTRYWICE HOME LOANS, INC. 06/30/2004 11 16:47 T20040047643 Reg LANYERS TITLE OF REVADA

Clark County Recorder Pps 32

MS SV-79 DOCUMENT PROCESSING P.O.BON 10423 Van Nuys, CA 91410-0423 Prepared By: KARLA R. WILSON C. BOLICH

COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.

7350 W. CHEYENNE AVENUS LAS VEGAS NV 89129 (4050200-CV [Space Above This Line For Recording Data]-

04050200 [Escrow/Closing #] 0006348226006004 [Doc ID #]

DEED OF TRUST

MIN 1000157-0003681336-4

DEFINITIONS

و در بین بین میں میں محمد میں ا

.

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated JUNE 24, 2004 together with all Riders to this document.

NEVADA Single Family- Famile Mas/Produle Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Page 1 of 16 (0307) CHL (07/03)(d) VMP Mongage Solutions - (800)521-7281 UMP Mongage Solutions - (800)521-7281 UMP Mongage Solutions - (800)521-7281

승부 문 문 문 안

••• • • •

್ರಾಗ್ ಆರ್. ಎರೆ. ಈ ಕಾರ್ಲೇಕ್ ಹಾಡಿ ಗಡ್ ತಿರಿತ ಆಡಳ ನಗಿನ ರೇಶ ಕಾರ್ಮಕ್ರಿಯ ನಡೆಯ ಆಡಲ್ ಹೊರಗಾರಿಗಳು ಹಾಡುವರು.

ł

(D) "Borrower" is

DENNIS L JOHNSON, AND GERALDINE J JOHNSON, HUSBAND AND WIFE AS JOINT TENANTS

Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument. (C) "Lender" is COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.

Lender is a CORPORATION

organized and existing under the laws of NEW YORK 4500 Park Granada Calabasas, CA 91302-1613 (D) "Trustee" is CTC REAL ESTATE SERVICES

400 COUNTRYWIDE WAY MSN SV-88

SIMI VALLEY, NV 93365

(E) "MERS" is Murtgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting solely as a nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Instrument. MERS is organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. Box 2026, Fluit, MI 48501-2026, tel. (888) 679-MERS.

(F) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated JUNE 24, 2004

The Note states that Borrower owes Lender

ONF HUNDRED FORTY SEVEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED FIFTY SIX and 00/100

Dollars (U.S. 5 147, 455, 00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than JULY 01, 2034

(G) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

(N) "Long" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges, due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.

(I) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower [check box as applicable]:



(J) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.

Initials: W



Page 2 of 16



. Lender's address is

DOC 1D #: 0006348226006004

(K) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.

(L) "Electronic Panda Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.
(M) "Eserow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

(http://www.ucauk. inclus used it in an are included in accurred.

(N) "Mincellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condomnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condomnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property

(O) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loun.

(P) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.

(Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(N) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower

Child (0307) CHIL (07/03) Page 3 of 16

.

Forth 3029 1/01

irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the COUNTY of

(Type of Recording Junisdiction)

CLARK

[Name of Recording Jurisdiction] SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.

which currently has the address of 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM COURT, NORTH LAS VEGAS

[Street/City]

Nevada 89031-C430 ("Property Address"): [Zip Code]

TOCETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter crected on the property, and all casements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Bornower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom. MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to forcelose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands. subject to any encumbrances of record.





Page 4 of 16



· · · · · · ·

.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with famited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Burrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) eash: (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such fonds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note: (b) principal due under the Note: (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding. Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, each payment can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Punds") to provide for payment of amounts due for. (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can altain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums

initials:

CHL (07/03) Page 5 of 16

Form 3028 1/01

....

any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5; and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Morigage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the terra of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be excrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pny Lender the Funds for Escrow Items unless Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow licing at any time. Any such waiver may only be in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Eacrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow liems at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation. Borrower shall pay to Lender all Punds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds this on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Londer shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds. Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Punds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in excrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA. Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments,

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Burrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions altributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Diles, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Burrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or

Initials: DP()

2-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 6 of 16

defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the lien. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given. Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance, Burrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, carthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounta (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrowers choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time tharge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination services and subsequent charges each time remappings or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cust of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional deht of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, not otherwise required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be





.

. . . .

.

paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, relained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

بريد ميد

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of uncarned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.

7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections, Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deterioration or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the Work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.

9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is

Initials: NA

CR-8A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 8 of 16



reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assessing the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument: (b) appearing in court: and (c) paying reasonable attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other code violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Burrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon onlice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Londer required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Morigage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in her of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately raid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Londer required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mongage Insurance. Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mongage insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Londer's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement. between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Morigage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchases the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Morigage Insurance.

Morigage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the morigage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the morigage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the morigage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Morigage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly) amounts that derive

Initials:

GR2-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 9 of 16



.

from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

(a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Morigage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Morigage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.

(b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has - if any - with respect to the Mortgage Innurance under the Bomeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were uncarned at the time of such cancellation or termination.

11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellancous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Londer.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a surgle disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's vecurity would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sams secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sams secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument whether or not the sums are then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Londer to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given. Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.



COL -6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 10 of 16



الاستشارية مريد والمريد المريد الم

.

.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, reinstate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, previodes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lenders interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are auributable to the unpairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Walver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the original Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation. Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, enlities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.

13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Ansigns Bound, Borower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"): (a) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Insurament, (b) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forhear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Burrower's rights and benefits under this Security Instrument. Burrower shall not be released from Burrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall hind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit: and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Burrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

Initiale

E-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 11 of 16



DOC ID #: C006348226006004

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrower's unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be ouly one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated berein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.

16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Propenty is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such attence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.

18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrew agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate: or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any default of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not fimited to, reasonable attorneys' fees,

initials: KM

CR -6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 12 of 16

.

Form 3029 1/01

شمنغدان حد حد حد ا

DOC TD #: 0006348226006004

property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Londer may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash: (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall reit apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.

20. Sale of Note: Change of Loan Servicer: Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments the under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law. There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a vale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchases of the Note, the mostgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser,

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual higant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice gives in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party hereto a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must elapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following aubatances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing aspestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to bealth, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Initials: M

2-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03)

Page 13 of 16



DOC 1D #: 000634822600

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party, that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary. Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.

NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a data, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on ar before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, and without further demand, may invoke the power of sule, including the right to accelerate full payment of the Note, and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shalt be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold, and shall cause such notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall self the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and in any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpose sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall defiver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) in all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums accured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Such person or persons shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge such person or persons a fee for reconveying the Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.

34. Substitute Trustee Lender at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.

25. Assumption Fee. If there is an assumption of this loan, Lender may charge an assumption fee of US. \$ 300.00 initials:

-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 14 of 16



**	 • • •••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	t z ż z w wieże be	•	

BY SIGNING BELOW. Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

Witnesset

(Scal) DENNIS L. JOHNSON -Borrower

GERALDINE **LOOMNSON**

-Borrower

_(Seal)

(Scal) -Borrower

_(Scal) -Borrower

-6A(NV) (0307) CHL (07/03) Page 15 of 16

. .

STATE OF NEVADA Clark COUNTY OF wiedged before me on <u>6-28-04</u> by L. Johnson + Geraldie J. Johnson This instrument was acknowledged before me on ____ Dennis * å. 2mg Venettilli Ċ.

Mail Tax Statements To: TAX DEPARTMENT SV3-24

450 American Street Simi Valley CA, 93065





-

· · · · ·

, .

N 6 N 6 M 6 M 6

.

EXHIBIT "A"

All that certain real property situated in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, described as follows:

Parcel I

÷- -

Lot Ninety two (92) of the Plat of Arbor Gate as shown by map thereof on file in Book 91 of plats, page 71, in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevādā.

Parcel II

A non-exclusive easement for ingress and egress and enjoyment in and to the Association property as set forth in the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictcions for Country Garden (Arbor Gate) a common interest community recorded February 25, 2000 in Book 20000225 as Document No. 00963, of Official Records of Clark County, Nevada, as the same may from time to time be amended and/or supplemented, which easement is appurtenant to Parcel One.

124-31-220-092 Assessor's Parcel Number:

v * * * * * వి. ఈరగ్ర పి. ఈ వి.ర్. లెమర్ ఫరిఘరుగుల లముగ్రరం ల

--

ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

(MTA Index - Payment Caps)

After Recording Return To: COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC. MS SV-79 DOCUMENT PROCESSING P.O.Box 10423 Van Nuys, CA 91410-0423

PARCEL ID #: 12431220092 Prepared By: KARLA R. WILSON

>

~

04050200 {Escrew/Closing #} 0096348226006004 [Doc ID #] ş

CONV • APM PayOption Rider 10729-US (07/02) 01(d)



Page 1 of 7





* 2 3 9 # 1 *

* 0 6 3 4 8 2 2 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 D 7 2 9 *

.

.

THIS ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made this TWENTY-FOURTH day of JUNE, 2004 , and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mongage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersugged ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.

("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at: 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM COURT

NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89031-0490

[Property Address]

THE NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS THAT WILL CHANGE THE INTEREST RATE AND THE MONTHLY PAYMENT. THERE MAY BE A LIMIT ON THE AMOUNT THAT THE MONTHLY PAYMENT CAN INCREASE OR DECREASE. THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT TO REPAY COULD BE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT ORIGINALLY BORROWED, BUT NOT MORE THAN THE LIMIT STATED IN THE NOTE.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Londer further covenant and agree as follows:

A. INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for changes in the interest rate and the monthly payments, as follows:

2. INTEREST

(A) Interest Rate

Interest will be charged on unpaid principal until the full amount of Principal has been paid. I will pay interest at a yearly rate of 1.625 %. The interest rate I will pay may change.

The interest rate required by this Section 2 is the rate I will pay both before and after any default described in Section 7(B) of the Note.

CONV ARM PayOption Rider 10729-US (07/02) 01 Initiats: DU D

Page 2 of 7

(B) Interest Rate Change Dutes

~ · ·

The interest rate I will pay may change on the first day of AUGUST, 2004 , and on that day every month thereafter. Each date on which my interest rate could change is called an "Interest Rate Change Date." The new rate of interest will become effective on each Interest Rate Change Date.

(C) Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the "Twelve-Month Average" of the annual yields on actively traded United States Treasury Securities adjusted to a constant maturity of one year as published by the Federal Reserve Board in the Federal Reserve Statistical Release entitled "Selected Interest Rates (H.15)" (the "Monthly Yields"). The Twelve Month Average is determined by adding together the Monthly Yields for the most recently available twelve months and dividing by 12. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 15 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index".

If the index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give me notice of this choice.

(D) Calculation of Interest Rate Changes

Before each interest Rate Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding THREE 6 25/1000 percentage point(s) (3.025 %) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). This rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Interest Rate Change Date. My interest rate will never be greater than 30.325 %.

CONV * ARM PayOption Ricer 10729-US (07/02)-01 Initials: DLJ

Page 3 of 7

ALCONOMIC ACCOUNTS AN

. . * . .

. .

3. PAYMENTS

(A) These and Place of Payments

I will pay principal and interest by making a payment every month.

I will make my monthly payments on the FIRST day of each month beginning on August, 2004 . I will make these payments every month until I have paid all the principal and interest and any other charges described below that I may owe under this Note. Each monthly payment will be applied to interest before Principal. If, on JULY 01, 2034 . I still owe amounts onder the Note, I will pay those amounts in full on that date, which is called the "Maturity Date."

I will make my monthly payments at P.O. Box 10219, Van Nuys, CA 91410-0219

or at a different place it required by the Note Holder.

(B) Amount of My Initial Monthly Payments

Each of my initial monthly payments will be in the amount of U.S. \$ 517.79 amount may change.

. This

(C) Payment Change Dates

My monthly payment may change as required by Section 3(D) below beginning on the first. day of AUGUST, 2005 . and on that day every 12th month thereafter. Each of these dates is called a "Payment Change Date." My monthly payment also will change at any time Section 3(F) or 3(G) below requires me to pay a different monthly payment.

I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment each month beginning on each Payment Change Date or as provided in Section 3(F) or 3(G) below.

(D) Calculation of Monthly Payment Changes

At least 30 days before each Payment Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Payment Change Date in full on the maturity date in substantially equal installments at the interest rate effective during the month preceding the Payment Change Date. The result of this calculation is called the "Full Payment". The Note Holder will then calculate the amount of my monthly payment due the month preceding the Payment Change Date multiplied by the number 1.075. The result of this calculation is called the "Limited Payment." Unless Section 3(F) or 3(G) below requires me to pay a different amount, my new

ARM PayOption Rider
 10729-US (07/02) 01

Page 4 #17

ALE SALAR A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION

and a second of the second of

required monthly payment will be lesser of the Limited Payment and the Full Payment. I also have the option each month to pay more than the Limited Payment up to and including the Full Payment for my monthly payment.

(E) Additions to My Unpaid Principal

My monthly payment could be less than the amount of the interest portion of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal I owe at the monthly payment date in full on the Maturity Date in substantially equal payments. If so, each month that my monthly payment is less than the interest portion, the Note Holder will subtract the amount of my monthly payment from the amount of the interest portion and will add the difference to my unpaid principal. The Note Holder also will add interest on the amount of this difference to my unpaid principal each month. The interest rate on the interest added to Principal will be the rate required by Section 2 above.

(F) Limit on My Unpaid Principal; Increased Monthly Payment

My unpaid principal can never exceed a maximum amount equal to

ONE HUNDRED FIFTEEN percent (115 %) of the Principal amount I originally borrowed. My unpaid principal could exceed that maximum amount due to the Limited Payments and interest rate increases. In that event, on the date that my paying my monthly payment would cause me to exceed that limit, I will instead pay a new monthly payment. The new monthly payment will be in an amount that would be sufficient to repay my then unpaid principal in full on the Maturity Date in substantially equal installments at the current interest rate.

(G) Required Full Payment

On the fifth Payment Change Date and on each succeeding fifth Payment Change Date thereafter, I will begin paying the Full Payment as my monthly payment until my monthly payment changes again. I also will begin paying the Full Payment as my monthly payment on the final Payment Change Date.

4. NOTICE OF CHANGES

The Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of any changes in the amount of my monthly payment before the effective date of any change. The notice will include information required by law to be given me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

CONV ARM PayOpton Rider 10729-US (07/02) 01 Initials: OP

Hand they addressed by provide a belief a second to a start they be been able to be a second to be a

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument is amended to read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or encrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without. Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law, Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferred as if a new loan were being made to the transferrec; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's wecurity will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Londer may charge a reasonable for as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full. Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

CONV ARM PayOption Fider 10729-US (07/02).01

Page 5 of 7

Indiak

DOC ID #: 0006349226006004 BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Adjustable Rate Rider.

(Seal) JOINSON Bonows

(Seal) -Borrower

(Scal) -Horrowee

(Seal) -Bonower

,

CONV ARM PayOption Rider
 10729-US (07/02)-01

Page / of 7

ne va al'antananan antanan antan a

After Recording Return To-COUNTRYWICE HOME LOANS, INC. MS SV-79 DOCUMENT PROCESSING P.O.BOX 10423 VAR NUYS, CA 91410-0423

- (Space Abuve This Line For Recording Date) •

1-4 FAMILY RIDER (Assignment of Rents)

and we a second are considered as a

PARCEL ID #: 12431220092 Prepared By: KARLA R. WILSON

04050200 (Escrow/Creating #) 0006348226006004 IDec 10 11

MULTISTATE 1-4 FAMILY PICER -Formie Mon/Freddle Most Uniteryn Instrumerst

CONV/VA

Page 1 of 4 VMP MORTGAGE FORMS - (800)521-7291







×

COC ID #: 0006348226006004 THIS 1-4 FAMILY RIDER is made this TWENTY-FOURTH day of JUNE, 2004 . and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned (the "Borrower") to secure Borrower's Note to

COUNTRYWICE HOME LOANS, INC.

(the "Lender") of the same date and covering the Property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM COURT, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89031-0480

[Property Address]

1-4 FAMILY COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A. ADDITIONAL PROPERTY SUBJECT TO THE SECURITY INSTRUMENT. In addition to the Property described in the Security Instrument, the following items now or hereafter attached to the Property to the extent they are fixtures are added to the Property description, and shall also constitute the Property covered by the Security Instrument: building materials, appliances and goods of every nature whatsoever now or hereafter located in, on, or used, or intended to be used in connection with the Property, including, but not timited to, those for the purposes of supplying or distributing heating, cooling, electricity, gas, water, air and light, fire prevention and extinguishing apparatus, security and access control apparatus, plumbing, bath tubs, water heaters, water closets, sinks, ranges, sloves, refrigerators, distwashers, disposals, washers, dryers, awnings, storm windows, storm doors, screens, blinds, shades, curtains and cunain rods, attached mirrors, cabinets, paneling and attached floor coverings, all of which, including replacements and additions thereto, shall be deemed to be and remain a part of the Property covered by the Security Instrument. All of the foregoing together with the Property described in this 1-4 Family Rider and the Security Instrument as the "Property."

B. USE OF PROPERTY: COMPLIANCE WITH LAW. Borrower shall not seek, agree to or make a change in the use of the Property or its zoning classification, unless Lender has agreed in writing to the change. Borrower shall comply with all laws, ordinances, regulations and requirements of any governmental body applicable to the Property.

C. SUBORDINATE LIENS. Except as permitted by federal law, Borrower shall not allow any lien inferior to the Security Instrument to be perfected against the Property without Lender's prior written permission.

D. RENT LOSS INSURANCE. Borrower shall maintain insurance against rent loss in addition to the other hazards for which insurance is required by Section 5.

E, "BORROWER'S RIGHT TO REINSTATE" DELETED. Section 19 is deleted.

F. BORROWER'S OCCUPANCY. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, Section 6 concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property is deleted.



Page 2 of 4



ت. هه مور مور مو

DOC 1D 4: 0006346226306004

G. ASSIGNMENT OF LEASES. Upon Lender's request after default, Borrower shall assign to Lender all leases of the Property and all security deposits made in connection with leases of the Property. Upon the assignment, Lender shall have the right to modify, extend or terminate the existing leases and to execute new leases, in Lender's sole discretion. As used in this paragraph G, the word "lease" shall mean "sublease" if the Security Insumment is on a leasehold.

H. ANSIGNMENT OF RENTS; APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER; LENDER IN POSSESSION. Borrower absolutely and unconditionally assigns and transfers to Lender all the remis and revenues ("Rents") of the Property, regardless of to whom the Rents of the Property are payable. Borrower authorizes Lender or Lender's agents to collect the Rents, and agrees that each tenant of the Property shall pay the Rents to Lender or Lender's agents. However, Borrower shall receive the Rents until: (i) Lender has given Borrower notice of default pursuant to Section 22 of the Security Instrument, and (ii) Lender has given notice to the tenant(s) that the Rents are to be paid to Lender or Lender's agent. This assignment of Rents constitutes an absolute assignment and not an assignment for additional security only.

If Lender gives notice of default to Borrower: (i) all Rents received by Borrower shall be held by Borrower as transe for the benefit of Lender only, to be applied to the sums secured by the Security Instrument; (ii) Lender shall be emitted to collect and receive all of the Rents of the Property; (iii) Borrower agrees that each tenant of the Property shall pay all Rents due and unpaid to Lender or Lender's agents upon Lender's written demand to the tenant; (iv) unless applicable law provides otherwise, all Rents collected by Lender's agents shall be applied first to the costs of taking control of and managing the Property and collecting the Rents, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, receiver's fees, premiums on receiver's bonds, repair and maintenance costs, insurance premiums, takes, assessments and other charges on the Property, and then to the sums secured by the Security Instrument; (v) Lender, Lender's agents or any judicially appointed receiver shall be liable to account for only those Rents actually received; and (vi) Lender shall be entitled to have a receiver appointed to take possession of and manage the Property and collect the Rents and profits derived from the Property without any showing as to the inadequacy of the Property as security.

If the Rents of the Property are not sufficient to cover the costs of taking control of and managing the Property and of collecting the Rents any funds expended by Lender for such purposes shall become indebtedness of Borrower to Lender secured by the Security Instrument pursuant to Section 9.

Borrower represents and warrants that Borrower has not executed any prior assignment of the Rents and has not performed, and will not perform, any act that would prevent Lender from exercising its rights under this paragraph.

Lender, or Lender's agents or a judicially appointed receiver, shall not be required to enter upon, take control of or maintain the Property before or after giving notice of default to Borrower. However, Lender, or Lender's agents or a judicially appointed receiver, may do so at any time when a default occurs. Any application of Rents shall not cure or waive any default or invalidate any other right or remedy of Lender. This assignment of Rents of the Property shall terminate when all the sums secured by the Security Instrument are paid in full.

L CROSS-DEFAULT PROVISION. Borrower's default or breach under any note or agreement in which Lender has an interest shall be a breach under the Security Instrument and Lender may invoke any of the remedies permitted by the Security Instrument.



Page 3 of 4



-	.:	4y.	÷	e í	n magaza	ar the mathematic	

DOC 1D #: 0006348226906004

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and provisions contained in this 1-4 Parnily Rider. $\land \land \land \land \land$

.

و یا بیور ایران بر ایرانستند.

Venniert Johnson	(Scal)
DENNIS L. JOUNSON	+ Bornwar
<u>Auching</u> Johnson	(Seal) - Romower
	(Scal) • Borrower
	(Scal) • Borrower

Form 3170 1/01

۰.

.

·

ar a se se se se anno e se anno e se anno deservezes estanomes que les annomesticos de la construction de anno

After Recording Return To: COUNTRYWICE HOME LOANS, INC. MS SV -79 DOCUMENT PROCESSING P.O.Box 10423 Van Nuys, CA 91410-0423

....

- - -

PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT RIDER

PARCEL TO #: 12431220092 Prepared By: KARLA R. WILSON

•

,

04050200

0006348226006004

ipscrow/closing #1

MULTISTATE FUD RIDER - Single Fairily - Familie Mas/Fredele Mac UNIFORM WETRUMENT









.

ىمەمەسىمەر بىلەر مەمەر بەر بەر بىلەر بەر بىلەر بەر يەر بىلەر بەر يەر بىلەر بەر يەر بەر يەر يەر يەر يەر يەر يەر

THIS PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT RIDER is made this TWENTY-FOURTH day of JUNE, 2004 , and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amond and supplement the Mortgage. Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date, given by the undersigned (the "Borrower") to secure Borrower's Note to

COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.

(the "Lender") of the same date and covering the Property described in the Security Instrument and located at: 5316 CLOVER BLOSSON COURT, NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89031-0480

[Property Address]

The Property includes, but is not limited to, a parcel of land improved with a dwelling, together with other such parcels and certain common areas and facilities, as described in

THE COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, AND RESTRICTIONS FILED OF RECORD THAT AFFECT THE PROPERTY

(the "Declaration"). The Property is a part of a planned unit development known as ABBOR GATE

[Name of Planned Unit Development]

(the "PUD"). The Property also includes Borrower's interest in the homenwhers association or equivalent entity owning or managing the common areas and facilities of the PUD (the "Owners Association") and the uses, benefits and proceeds of Borrower's interest.

PUD COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A. PUD Obligations. Borrower shall perform all of Borrower's obligations under the PUD's Constituent Documents. The "Constituent Documents" are the (i) Declaration; (ii) articles of incorporation, trust instrument or any equivalent document which creates the Owners Association; and (iii) any by-laws or other rules or regulations of the Owners Association. Borrower shall promptly pay, when due, all dues and assessments imposed pursuant to the Constituent Documents.

B. Property Insurance. So long as the Owners Association maintains, with a generally accepted insurance carrier, a "master" or "blanket" policy insuring the Property which is satisfactory to Lender and which provides insurance coverage in the amounts (including deductible levels), for the periods, and against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards, including, but not limited to, carthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance, then: (i) Lender waives the provision in Section 3 for the Periodic Payment to Lender of the yearly premium installments for property insurance on the Property; and (ii) Borrower's obligation under Section 5 to maintain property insurance coverage on the Property is deemed satisfied to the extent that the required coverage is provided by the Owners Association policy.





Page 2 of 4

ಈ ಟೆಲ್ ೯೯೯೪ ೫೪ ೫೫ ೫೫ ೭ ೫೯೫ ಎಲ್. ಎಲ್. ಈ ಇಲ್ಲಾಗ್ ಸ್ ಕರ್ಮಾಟ್ ಎರಡಿ ಕೊಡ್ ಎಲ್. ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಕ್ರಿಕೆಕೆಯ್ ೯ ಎಕ್ರಡ್ಗಳು ಕ್ರಿಕೆ ***

DOC ID 1: 0006348226006304 What Lender requires as a condition of this waiver can change during the term of the loan.

Borrower shall give Lender prompt notice of any tapse in required property insurance coverage provided by the master or blacket policy.

In the event of a distribution of property insurance proceeds in lieu of restoration or repair following a loss to the Property, or to common areas and facilities of the PUD, any proceeds payable to Borrower are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender. Lender shall apply the proceeds to the sums secured by the Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

C. Public Liability Insurance. Borrower shall take such actions as may be reasonable to insure that the Owners Association maintains a public liability insurance policy acceptable in form, amount, and extent of coverage to Lender.

D. Condemnation. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages, direct or consequential, payable to Borrower in connection with any condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property or the common areas and facilities of the PUD, or for any conveyance in lieu of condemnation, are bereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender. Such proceeds shall be applied by Lender to the sums secured by the Security Instrument as provided in Section 11.

K. Lender's Prior Consent. Borrower shall not, except after notice to Lender and with Lender's prior written consent, either partition or subdivide the Property or consent to: (i) the abandonment or termination of the FUD, except for abandonment or termination required by law in the case of substantial destruction by fire or other casualty or in the case of a taking by condemnation or eminent dorsain; (ii) any amendment to any provision of the "Constituent Documents" if the provision is for the express benefit of Lender; (iii) termination of professional management and assumption of self-management of the Owners Association; or (iv) any action which would have the effect of rendering the public liability insurance coverage maintained by the Owners Association unacceptable to Lender.

F. Remedies. If Borrower does not pay PUD dues and assessments when due, then Lender may pay them. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this paragraph F shall become additional debt of Burrower secured by the Security Instrument. Unless Borrower and Lender agree to other terms of payment, these amounts shall bear interest from the date of disbursement at the Note rate and shall be payable, with interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

Initials All 100





.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and provisions contained in this PUD Rider.

DENNIS 2. JOHNSON _(Scal) - Horrower

_ (Scal)

GERALDINE J. JOHNSO

۰.

and the second second

· Berrowee

- Barowar

(Seal)

- Romwer

-7PI (0008).01 Chil. (00/01)

·





	Inst #: 201106200002747
	Fees: \$15.00
	N/C Fee: \$25.00
	06/20/2011 D3:24:45 PM
Recording Requested By:	Receipt #: 817961
Bank of America	Requestor:
Prepared By: Diana DeAvila	CORELOGIC
888-603-9011	*
When recorded mail to:	Recorded By: CYV Pgs: 2
CoreLogic	DEBBIE CONWAY
150 E. Boundary St.	CLARK COUNTY RECORDER
Attn: Release Dept.	
Chapin, SC 29036	
Tax ID: 12431220092	
Property Address:	
5316 Clover Blossom Ct	
North Las Vegas, NV 89031-0480	
NV0-ADT 14157743 6/14/2011	This space for Recorder's use
	$(\alpha \alpha \alpha) = \alpha \alpha \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \beta \beta$

MIN #: 1000157-0003681336-4

36-4 MERS Phone #: 888-679-6377

ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

For Value Received, the undersigned holder of a Deed of Trust (herein "Assignor") whose address is 3300 S.W. 34TH AVENUE, SUITE 101 OCALA, FL 34474 does hereby grant, sell, assign, transfer and convey unto U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-OA1 whose address is 9062 OLD ANNAPOLISRD, COLUMBIA, MD 21045 all beneficial interest under that certain Deed of Trust described below together with the note(s) and obligations therein described and the money due and to become due thereon with interest and all rights accrued or to accrue under said Deed of Trust.

 Original Lender:
 COUNTRYWIDE HOME LOANS, INC.

 Made By:
 DENNIS L JOHNSON, AND GERALDINE J JOHNSON, HUSBAND AND WIFE

 AS JOINT TENANTS

 Trustee:
 CTC REAL ESTATE SERVICES

Date of Deed of Trust: 6/24/2004 Original Loan Ar

4 Original Loan Amount: \$147,456.00

Recorded in Clark County, NV on: 6/30/2004, book N/A, page N/A and instrument number 20040630-0002408

I the undersigned hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has caused this Assignment of Deed of Trust to be executed on $\frac{1}{16-15-20}$

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

By: 12

Martha Munoz, Assistant Secretary

State of California County of Ventura

On <u>JUM 15/2011</u> before me, Carol Marie Littleford, Notary Public, personally appeared Martha: Munoz, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in bis/her/their authorized capacity (izs), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

TNESS my hand and official

Notary Public: Carol Marie Littleford My Commission Expires: 1/2/2014 (Seal)

CAROL MARIE LITTLEFORD Commission # 1875468 Notary Public - California Los Angeles County My Comm. Expires Jan 2, 2014

Attached to: assignment of Deed of Trust

Borrowers: Dennis Loton son Geraldine Johnson





.

Inst #: 201202220001651 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 02/22/2012 09:17:25 AM Receipt #: 1073371 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG LLC (JUNES Recorded By: MSH Pga: 1 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded return to:

ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Phone: (702) 222-4033

A.P.N. 124-31-220-092

Trustee Sale # 29628-5316

NOTICE OF DELINQUENT ASSESSMENT (LIEN)

In accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes and the Association's Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) of the official records of **Clark** County, Nevada, **Country Gardens Owners'** Association has a lien on the following legally described property.

The property against which the lien is imposed is commonly referred to as 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV 89031 and more particularly legally described as: LOT 92 Book 91 Page 71 in the County of Clark.

The owner(s) of record as reflected on the public record as of today's date is (are): DENNIS L & GERALDINE JJOHNSON

The mailing address(es) is: 5225 ELM GROVE DR, LAS VEGAS, NV 89130

The total amount due through today's date is: \$1,095.50. Of this total amount \$1,020.50 represent Collection and/or Attorney fees, assessments, interest, late fees and service charges. \$75.00 represent collection costs. Note: Additional monies shall accrue under this claim at the rate of the claimant's regular monthly or special assessments, plus permissible late charges, costs of collection and interest, accruing subsequent to the date of this notice.

Date: January 11, 2012 By:

Ryan Kerboy, Esq. of Alessi & Roenig, LLC on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Assocation

State of Nevada County of Clark TEB. 17, 3-31 2 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN before me January 11, 2012

(Seal)



(Signature

XY/37 & 33 \$7 \$31 7751 1/3

NOTAKI FUDLIC





Inst #: 201202220001527 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 02/22/2012 09:17:25 AM Receipt #: 1073345 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG LLC (JUNES Recorded By: MSH Pgs: 1 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded return to:

ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Phone: (702) 222-4033

A.P.N. 124-31-220-092

Trustee Sale # 30488-5316

NOTICE OF DELINQUENT ASSESSMENT (LIEN)

In accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes and the Association's Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) of the official records of **Clark** County, Nevada, **Country Gardens Owners' Association** has a lien on the following legally described property.

The property against which the lien is imposed is commonly referred to as 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV 89031 and more particularly legally described as: PLAT BOOK 91 PAGE 71 LOT 92 Book 91 Page 71 in the County of Clark.

The owner(s) of record as reflected on the public record as of today's date is (are): DENNIS L & GERALDINE J JOHNSON

The mailing address(es) is: 5225 ELM GROVE DR, LAS VEGAS, NV 89130

The total amount due through today's date is: \$1,150.50. Of this total amount \$1,075.50 represent Collection and/or Attorney fees, assessments, interest, late fees and service charges. \$75.00 represent collection costs. Note: Additional monies shall accrue under this claim at the rate of the claimant's regular monthly or special assessments, plus permissible late charges, costs of collection and interest, accruing subsequent to the date of this notice.

Date: February 6, 2012

By:

Ryan Kerbow, Esq. of Alessi & Koenig, LLC on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Assocation

State of Nevada County of Clark SUBSCRIBED and SWORN before me February *K*, 2012

(Scal)

LANI MAE U. DIAZ Notary Public State of Nevade No. 10-2800-1 My oppt. exp. Aug. 24, 2014

(Signature)



NOTARY PUBLIC





Inst #: 201204200000428 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 04/20/2012 08:27:12 AM Receipt #: 1136956 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG LLC (JUNES Recorded By: SAO Pgs: 1 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded mail to:

THE ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 West Flamingo Rd., Ste 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Phone: 702-222-4033

A.P.N. 124-31-220-092

Trustee Sale No. 30488-5316

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND ELECTION TO SELL UNDER HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION LIEN

WARNING! IF YOU FAIL TO PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS

IN DISPUTE! You may have the right to bring your account in good standing by paying all of your past due payments plus permitted costs and expenses within the time permitted by law for reinstatement of your account. The sale may not be set until ninety days from the date this notice of default recorded, which appears on this notice. The amount due is \$3,396.00 as of March 27, 2012 and will increase until your account becomes current. To arrange for payment to stop the foreclosure, contact: Country Gardens Owners' Association, c/o Alessi & Koenig, 9500 W. Flamingo Rd, Ste 205, Las Vegas, NV 89147, (702)222-4033.

THIS NOTICE pursuant to that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, recorded on February 22, 2012 as document number 0001651, of Official Records in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, Owner(s): DENNIS L & GERALDINE J JOHNSON, of PLAT BOOK 91 PAGE 71 LOT 92, as per map recorded in Book 91, Pages 71, as shown on the Plan and Subdivision map recorded in the Maps of the County of Clark, State of Nevada. PROPERTY ADDRESS: 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV 89031. If you have any questions, you should contact an attorney. Notwithstanding the fact that your property is in foreclosure, you may offer your property for sale, provided the sale is concluded prior to the conclusion of the foreclosure. REMEMBER YOU MAY LOSE LEGAL RIGHTS IF YOU DO NOT TAKE PROMPT ACTION. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT Alessi & Koenig, LLC is appointed trustee agent under the above referenced lien, dated February 22, 2012, on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Assocation to secure assessment obligations in favor of said Association, pursuant to the terms contained in the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs). A default in the obligation for which said CC&Rs has occurred in that the payment(s) have not been made of homeowners assessments due from January 10, 2011 and all subsequent assessments, late charges, interest, collection and/or attorney fees and costs.

Dated: March 27, 2012

Ban Kell

Ryan Kerbow, Esq. of Alessi & Koenig, LLC on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Assocation



Inst #: 201210310000738 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 10/31/2012 08:04:08 AM Receipt #: 1364103 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG LLC Recorded By: MAT Pgs: 1 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded mail to: Alessi & Koenig, LLC 9500 West Flamingo Rd., Suite 205 Las Vegas, NV 89147 Phone: 702-222-4033

APN: 124-31-220-092

TSN 30488-5316

NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

WARNING! A SALE OF YOUR PROPERTY IS IMMINENT! UNLESS YOU PAY THE AMOUNT SPECIFIED IN THIS NOTICE BEFORE THE SALE DATE, YOU COULD LOSE YOUR HOME, EVEN IF THE AMOUNT IS IN DISPUTE. YOU MUST ACT BEFORE THE SALE DATE. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL Alessi & Koenig at 702-222-4033. IF YOU NEED ASSISTANCE, PLEASE CALL THE FORECLOSURE SECTION OF THE OMBUDSMAN'S OFFICE, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, AT 1-877-829-9907 IMMEDIATELY.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT:

On November 28, 2012, Alessi & Koenig as duly appointed Trustee pursuant to a certain lien, recorded on February 22, 2012, as instrument number 0001651, of the official records of Clark County, Nevada, WILL SELL THE BELOW MENTIONED PROPERTY TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR LAWFUL MONEY OF THE UNITED STATES, OR A CASHIERS CHECK at: 2:00 p.m., at 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite #205, Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 (Alessi & Koenig, LLC Office Building, 2nd Floor)

The street address and other common designation, if any, of the real property described above is purported to be: 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV 89031. The owner of the real property is purported to be: DENNIS L & GERALDINE J JOHNSON

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designations, if any, shown herein. Said sale will be made, without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of a note, homeowner's assessment or other obligation secured by this lien, with interest and other sum as provided therein: plus advances, if any, under the terms thereof and interest on such advances, plus fees, charges, expenses, of the Trustee and trust created by said lien. The total amount of the unpaid balance of the obligation secured by the property to be sold and reasonable estimated costs, expenses and advances at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale is \$4,039.00. Payment must be in made in the form of certified funds.

Date: October 15, 2012

m Clark

By: Ryan Kerbow, Esq. of Alessi & Koenig LLC on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Assocation





Inet #: 201301240002549 Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00 RPTT: \$43.36 Ex: # 01/24/2013 02:33:00 PM Receipt #: 1470974 Requestor: ALESSI & KOENIG LLC Recorded By: ANI Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded mail to and Mail Tax Statements to: 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust PO Box 36208 LAS VEGAS, NV 89133

A.P.N. No.124-31-220-092

TS No. 30488-5316

TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

The Grantee (Buyer) herein was: 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust The Foreclosing Beneficiary herein was: Country Gardens Owners' Assocation The amount of unpaid debt together with costs: \$5,021.00 The amount paid by the Grantee (Buyer) at the Trustee's Sale: \$8,200.00 The Documentary Transfer Tax: \$43.35 Property address: 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV 89031 Said property is in [] unincorporated area: City of North Las Vegas Trustor (Former Owner that was foreclosed on): DENNIS L & GERALDINE J JOHNSON

Alessi & Koenig, LLC (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee under that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, recorded February 22, 2012 as instrument number 0001651, in Clark County, does hereby grant, without warranty expressed or implied to: 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust (Grantee), all its right, title and interest in the property legally described as: LOT 92, as per map recorded in Book 91, Pages 71 as shown in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County Nevada.

TRUSTEE STATES THAT:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by NRS 116 et seq., and that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, described herein. Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the office of the recorder of said county. All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with. Said property was sold by said Trustee at public auction on January 16, 2013 at the place indicated on the Notice of Trustee's Sale.

Ryan Kerbow, Esq. Signature of AUTHORIZED AGENT for Alessi & Koenig, LLC State of Nevada) County of Clark) 1/24/13 SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me WITNESS my hand and official seal. (Signature) (Seal) NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA County of Clark LANI MAE U. DIAZ



Appt. No. 10-2800-1

STATE OF NEVADA **DECLARATION OF VALUE**

1. Assessor Parcel Number(s)	
a. 124-31-220-092	
b.	
๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚๚	
c. d.	
2. Type of Property:	
a. Vacant Land b. Single Fam. Res.	FOR RECORDERS OPTIONAL USE ONLY
e. Apt. Bldg f. Comm'l/Ind'l	Date of Recording:
g. Agricultural h. Mobile Home	Notes:
Other	
3.a. Total Value/Sales Price of Property	\$ <u>8,200.00</u>
 b. Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Only (value of property) 	
c. Transfer Tax Value:	\$ 8,200.00
d. Real Property Transfer Tax Due	\$ <u>43.35</u>
4. If Exemption Claimed:	
a. Transfer Tax Exemption per NRS 375.090, S	ection
b. Explain Reason for Exemption:	
5. Partial Interest: Percentage being transferred: 10	0 %
The undersigned declares and acknowledges, under p	enalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 375.060
and NRS 375.110, that the information provided is c	
and can be supported by documentation if called upo	
Furthermore, the parties agree that disallowance of ar	
additional tax due, may result in a penalty of 10% of	
to NRS 375.030, the Buyer and Seller shall be jointly	
	and the second second and were and an according to the second second second second second second second second
Signature	Capacity: Grantor
Signature for the	
Dimmenteration	Capacity:
Signature	Capacity.
ουν της τος μετάσι εκτοσικέταται	BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION
SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION	
(REQUIRED)	(REQUIRED) Print Name: 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust
Print Name: Alessi & Koenig, LLC	PETER SV2FERE: ALTER VERSYES ALTERSES AND A LEVEL AND A LEVEL
Address: 9500 W Flamingo Rd. Suite 205	
	Address: PO Box 36208
City: Las Vegas	Address: PO Box 36208 City: Las Vegas
	Address: PO Box 36208
City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89147	Address: PO Box 36208 City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89133
City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89147 COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORD	Address: PO Box 36208City: Las VegasState: NVZip: 89133
City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89147 COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORD Print Name: Alessi & Koenig, LLC	Address: PO Box 36208 City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89133
City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89147 COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORD	Address: PO Box 36208City: Las VegasState: NVZip: 89133

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

.





MILES, BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP AFFIDAVIT

State of California } }ss. Orange County }

Affiant being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am a managing partner with the law firm of Miles, Bergstrom & Winters, LLP, formerly known as Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom & Winters, LLP (**Miles Bauer**) in Costa Mesa, California. I am authorized to submit this affidavit on behalf of Miles Bauer.

2. I am over 18 years of age, of sound mind, and capable of making this affidavit.

3. The information in this affidavit is taken from Miles Bauer's business records. I have personal knowledge of Miles Bauer's procedures for creating these records. They are: (a) made at or near the time of the occurrence of the matters recorded by persons with personal knowledge of the information in the business record, or from information transmitted by persons with personal knowledge; (b) kept in the course of Miles Bauer's regularly conducted business activities; and (c) it is the regular practice of Miles Bauer to make such records. I have personal knowledge of Miles Bauer's procedures for creating and maintaining these business records. I personally confirmed that the information in this affidavit is accurate by reading the affidavit and attachments, and checking that the information in this affidavit matches Miles Bauer's records available to me.

4. Bank of America, N.A. (BANA) retained Miles Bauer to tender payments to homeowners associations (HOA) to satisfy super-priority liens in connection with the following

loan:



Borrower(s): Dennis L. and Geraldine J. Johnson

Property Address: 5316 Clover Blossom Court, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031

{34484436;1} Page 1 of 3 5. Miles Bauer maintains records for the loan in connection with tender payments to HOA. As part of my job responsibilities for Miles Bauer, I am familiar with the type of records maintained by Miles Bauer in connection with the loan.

6. Based on Miles Bauer's business records, attached as **Exhibit 1** is a copy of a November 21, 2012 letter from Paterno C. Jurani, Esq., an attorney with Miles Bauer, to Country Gardens Owners' Association, care of The Alessi & Koenig, LLC.

7. Based on Miles Bauer's business records, attached as **Exhibit 2** is a copy of a Statement of Account from Alessi & Koenig, LLC dated November 27, 2012 and received by Miles Bauer in response to the November 21, 2012 letter identified above.

8. Based on Miles Bauer's business records, attached as **Exhibit 3** is a copy of a December 6, 2012 letter from Rock K. Jung, an attorney with Miles Bauer, to Alessi & Koenig, LLC enclosing a check for \$1,494.50.

- 111 111 111 111 111 111
-]]]

{34484436;1} Page 2 of 3 9. Based on Miles Bauer's business records, Alessi & Koenig, LLC returned the \$1,494.50 check to Miles Bauer. A copy of a screenshot containing the relevant case management note confirming the check was returned is attached as **Exhibit 4**.

FURTHER DECLARANT SAYETH NOT.

Date: 7/14/15

Declarant Douglas F. Miles

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California

County of Orange

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 14th day of ______

by $\frac{Douclas \mathcal{E}}{(Name of Signer)}$, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be

the person who appeared before me.

Signature Clehul (Seal) (Signature of Notary Public)



____, 2015,

{34484436;1} Page **3** of **3**

. 4 *

EXHIBIT 1

DOUGLAS E. MILES Also Admitted in California & Illinois JEREMY T, BERGSTROM Also Admitted in Arizona GINA M. CORENA. **ROCK K, JUNG KRISTA J. NIELSON** JORY C. GARABEDIAN THOMAS M. MORLAN Admitted in California STEVEN E. STERN Admitted in Arizona & Illinois ANDREW H. PASTWICK Also Admitted in Arizona & California PATERNO C. JURANI



MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SINCE 1985

2200 Paseo Verde Pkwy., Suite 250 Henderson, NV 89052 Phone: (702) 369-5960 Fax: (702) 942-0411 CALIFORNIA OFFICE 1231 E. Dyer Road, Suite 100 Santa Ana, CA 92705 Phone. (714) 481-9100 Fax. (714) 481-9141

RICHARD J. BAUER, JR. FRED TIMOTHY WINTERS **KEENAN E. MCCLENAHAN** MARK T. DOMEYER Also Admitted in the District of Columbia & Virginià TAMI S. CROSBY L. BRYANT JAQUEZ VY T. PHAM HADIR, SEYED-ALI **BRIAN IL TRAN** CORI D, JONES CATHERINE K. MASON **CHRISTINE A, CHUNG** HANIET, NGUYEN S. SHELLY RAISZADEH SHANNON C. WILLIAMS LAWRENCE R. BOIVIN **RICK J, NEHORAOFF** BRIAN M. LUNA

November 21, 2012

Country Gardens Owners' Association c/o The Alessi & Koenig, LLC 9500 West Flamingo Rd., Ste. 205 Las Vegas, NV 89147

Re: Property Address: 5316 Clover Blossom Court, North Las Vegas, NV 89031 MBBW File No.: 12-H2280

Dear Sir or Madam:

.

This letter is written in response to your Notice of Sale with regard to the HOA assessments purportedly owed on the above described real property. This firm represents the interests of MERS as nominee for Bank of America, N.A., as successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP (hereinafter "BANA") with regard to these issues. BANA is the beneficiary/servicer of the first and second deed of trust loans secured by the property.

As you know, NRS 116.3116 governs liens against units for assessments. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116:

The association has a lien on a unit for:

any penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest charged pursuant to paragraphs (j) to (n), inclusive, of subsection 1 of NRS 116,3102 are enforceable as assessments under this section

While the HOA may claim a lien under NRS 116.3102 Subsection (1), Paragraphs (j) through (n) of this Statute clearly provide that such a lien is JUNIOR to first deeds of trust to the extent the lien is for fees and charges imposed for collection and/or attorney fees, collection costs, late fees, service charges and interest. See Subsection 2(b) of NRS 116.3116, which states in pertinent part:

5316 Clover Blossom Court, North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Page two of two

2. A lien under this section is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:

(b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became definquent...

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of the assessments for common expenses, , which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien.

Subsection 2b of NRS 116.3116 clearly provides that an 110A lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except: a first security interest on the unit..." But such a lien is prior to a first security interest to the extent of the assessments for common expenses which would have become due during the 9 months before institution of an action to enforce the lien.

Based on Section 2(b), a portion of your HOA lien is arguably senior to BANA's first deed of trust, specifically the nine months of assessments for common expenses incurred before the date of your notice of delinquent assessment. For purposes of calculating the nine-month period, the trigger date is the date the HOA sought to enforce its lien. It is unclear, based upon the information known to date, what amount the nine months' of common assessments pre-dating the NOD actually are. That amount, whatever it is, is the amount BANA should be required to rightfully pay to fully discharge its obligations to the HOA per NRS 116.3102 and my client hereby offers to pay that sum upon presentation of adequate proof of the same by the HOA.

Please let me know what the status of the Foreclosure sale that is scheduled for November 28, 2012. My client does not want these issues to become further exacerbated by a wrongful HOA sale and it is my client's goal and intent to have these issues resolved as soon as possible. Please refrain from taking further action to enforce this HOA fien until my client and the HOA have had an opportunity to speak to attempt to fully resolve all issues.

Thank you for your time and assistance with this matter. 1 may be reached by phone directly at (702) 942-0413. Please fax the breakdown of the HOA arrears to my attention at (702) 942-0411. 1 will be in touch as soon as 1've reviewed the same with BANA.

Sincerely,

MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP

Cost.

Paterno C. Jurani, Esq.

· · · ·

. .

.

EXHIBIT 2

DAVID ALESSI*

THOMAS BAYARD *

ROBERT KOENIG**

RYAN KERBOW***

* Admitted to the Colifornia Bar

** Admitted to the California, Nevada and Colorado Bars

*** Admitted to the Nevade and California Bar



A Multi-Jurisdictional Law Firm 9500 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Telephone: 702-222-4033 Facsimile: 702-222-4043 www.alessikoenig.com

ADDITIONAL OFFICES IN

AGOURA HILLS, CA PHONE; 818, 735-9600

RENO NV PHONE: 775-626-2323 & DIAMOND BAR CA PHONE: 909-861-8300

\$1,494.50

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

To:	A Bhamə	Re:	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT/HO #30488
From:		Date:	Tuesday, November 27, 2012
Fax No.:		Pages:	2, Including cover
		HQ #;	30488

Dear A Bhame:

This cover will serve as an amended demand on behalf of Country Gardens Owners' Association for the above referenced escrow; property located at 5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT, North Las Vegas, NV. The total amount due through December 15, 2012 is \$4,186,00. The breakdown of fees, interest and costs is as follows:

Pre NOD		\$90.00
Release of Lien		\$30.00
Demand Fee		\$150,00
Attorney Fees	(1.5)	\$360,00
Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale		\$90.00
Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien - Nevada		\$275,00
Notice of Default		\$345.00
Notice of Trustee Sale		\$275.00
Foreclosure Fee		\$150.00
Total		\$1,765.00

Please be advised that Alessi & Koenig, LLC is a debt collector that is attempting to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
DAVID ALESSI*

THOMAS BAYARD *

ROBERT KÖHNIG**

RYAN KERBOW***

* Admitted to the California Bar

** Admitted to the California, Nevada and Colorado Bars

•

*** Admitted to the Nevada and California Bar



A Multi-Jurisdictional Law Firm 9500 W. Flamingo Road, Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Telephone: 702-222-4033 Facsimile: 702-222-4043 www.alessikoenig.com

FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

1. Attorney and/or Trustees fees:	C \$1,765.00
2. Notary, Recording, Copies, Mailings, and PACER	C \$350.00
3. Assessments Through December 15, 2012	\$1,189.00
4. Late Fees Through December 15, 2012	
5. Fines Through November 27, 2012	\$0.00
6. Interest Through December 15, 2012	\$0.00
7. RPIR-GI Report	C \$85.00
8. Title Research (10-Day Mailings per NRS 116.31163)	C \$275.00
9. Management Company Advanced Audit Fee	C \$200.00
10. Management Account Setup Fee	\$0.00
11. Publishing and Posting of Trustee Sale	C \$175.00
13. Conduct Foreclosure Sale	-\$125.00
14. Capital Contribution	\$0.00
15. Progress Payments:	\$0.00
Sub-Total:	\$4,186.00
Less Payments Received:	\$0.00
Total Amount Due:	\$4,186.00

Please have a check in the amount of \$4,186.00 made payable to the Alessi & Koenig, LLC and mailed to the above listed NEVADA address. Upon receipt of payment a release of lien will be drafted and recorded. Please contact our office with any questions.

ADDITIONAL OFFICES IN

AGOURA HILLS, CA PHONE: 818- 735-9600

RENO NV PHONE: 775-626-2323 & DIAMOND BAR CA PHONE: 909-861-8300

Please be advised that Alessi & Koenig, LLC is a debt collector that is attempting to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

COUNTRY GARDEN ACCOUNT HISTORY REPORT FOR THE PERIOD 01/01/2012 TO 08/31/2012 SINGLE OWNER

PAGE: 1

000029-01 PERFECT ST	ORM, C/O DENNIS&JOANNE JOHNSON STOP PAYMENT	5316 CLOVER BLOS	3SOM CT
TRX DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHARGES CRED	IT'S BALANCE
12/31/2011	BEGINNING BALANCE		490.50
01/01/2012	MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS	* 55.00	545.50
01/31/2012	LATE FEE	₽ 5 50	551,00
02/01/2012	Monthly Assessments	55,00	606.00
03/01/2012	Monthly Assessments	55,00	661.00
03/02/2012	LATE FEE	5.50	Ĝ66.50
03/31/2012	LATE FEE	5,50	672,00
04/01/2012	Monthly Assessments	55,00	727.00
05/01/2012	MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS	55.00	782.00
05/01/2012	LATE FEE	5,50	787.50
05/31/2012	LATE FEE	5.50	793,00
06/01/2012	MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS	55.00	848.00
07/01/2012	MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS	55.00	903,00
07/01/2012	LATE FEE	5,50	908,50
07/31/2012	LATE FEE	5.50	914.00
08/01/2012	MONTHLY ASSESSMENTS	55,00	969.00

1 OWNERS -

RUN DATE: 08/06/2012

REPORT BALANCE AS OF: 08/31/2012

969,00

:

assessment $9 \times 55 = 495$ Late fee = $9 \times 5.50 = 49$, Collection 2,850 = 3 = 95

.

EXHIBIT 3

DOUGLAS E. MILES Also Admitted in California & Illinois JEREMY T. BERGSTROM Also Admitted in Arizona **GINA M. CORENA ROCK K. JUNG KRISTA J. NIELSON JORY C. GARABEDIAN** THOMAS M. MORLAN Admitted in Colifornia **STEVEN E. STERN** Admitted in Arizona & Illinois ANDREW II, PASTWICK Also Admitted in Arizona & Colifornia **PATERNO C. JURANI**



MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP

2200 Paseo Verde Pkwy., Suite 250 Henderson, NV 89052 Phone: (702) 369-5960 Fax: (702) 369-4955 <u>CALIFORNIA OFFICE</u> 1231 E. Dyer Road, Suite 100-Santa Ana, CA 92705 Phone: (714) 481-9100 Fax: (714) 481-9141

RICHARD J. BAUER, JR. FRED TIMOTHY WINTERS **KEENAN E. MCCLENAHAN** MARK T. DOMEYER Also Admitted in the District of Columbia & Virginia TAMIS. CROSBY L, BRYANT JAQUEZ VY T. PHAM HADI R. SEYED-ALI **BRIAN II, TRAN** ANNA A, GHAJAR **CORI B. JONES** CATHERINE K, MASON CHRISTINE A. CHUNG HANH T. NGUYEN **THOMAS B. SONG** S, SHELLY RAISZADEH SHANNON C. WILLIAMS **ABTIN SHAKOURI** LAWRENCE R. BOIVIN **RICK J. NEHORAOFF** BRIAN M. LUNA

December 6, 2012

ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 W. FLAMINGO ROAD, SUITE 100 LAS VEGAS, NV 89147

Re: Property Address: 5316 Clover Blossom Court Account ID: 30488 LOAN #: MMM2260 MBBW File No. 12-H2280

Dear Sir/Madame:

As you may recall, this firm represents the interests of Bank of America, N.A., as successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP (hereinafter "BANA") with regard to the issues set forth herein. We have received correspondence from your firm regarding our inquiry into the "Super Priority Demand Payoff" for the above referenced property. The Statement of Account provided by you in regards to the above-referenced address shows a full payoff amount of \$4,186.00. BANA is the beneficiary/servicer of the first deed of trust loan secured by the property and wishes to satisfy its obligations to the HOA. Please bear in mind that:

NRS 116.3116 governs liens against units for assessments. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116:

The association has a lien on a unit for:

* * *

any penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest charged pursuant to paragraphs (j) to (n), inclusive, of subsection 1 of NRS 116.3102 are enforceable as assessments under this section

While the HOA may claim a lien under NRS 116.3102 Subsection (1), Paragraphs (j) through (n) of this Statute clearly provide that such a lien is JUNIOR to first deeds of trust to the extent the lien is for fees and charges imposed for collection and/or attorney fees, collection costs, late fees, service charges and interest. See Subsection 2(b) of NRS 116.3116, which states in pertinent part:

2. A lien under this section is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:
(b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent...

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of the assessments for common expenses...which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien.

Based on Section 2(b), a portion of your HOA lien is arguably prior to BANA's first deed of trust, specifically the nine months of assessments for common expenses incurred before the date of your notice of delinquent assessment. As stated above, the payoff amount stated by you includes many fees that are junior to our client's first deed of trust pursuant to the aforementioned NRS 116.3102 Subsection (1), Paragraphs (j) through (n). Nevertheless, due to the Nevada Real Estate Division's Advisory Opinion of December 2010, which was recently ratified in the Nevada Supreme Court's *non-published* opinion on May 23, 2012, our client wishes to also make a good-faith tender of your collection costs as part of the super-priority amount. Bear in mind that NRS 116.310313(1) only allows "[a]n association [to] charge a unit's owner reasonable fees to cover the costs of collecting any past due obligation." Here, reasonable collection costs in relation to my client's position as the first deed of trust lienholder, as opposed to a unit owner, is thought to be \$999.50.

Thus, our client has authorized us to make payment to you in the amount of \$1,494.50, which takes into account both the maximum 9 months worth of common assessments as well as reasonable collection costs to satisfy its obligations to the HOA as a holder of the first deed of trust against the property. Thus, enclosed you will find a cashier's check made out to Alessi & Koenig, LLC in the sum of \$1,494.50. This is a non-negotiable amount and any endorsement of said cashier's check on your part, whether express or implied, will be strictly construed as an unconditional acceptance on your part of the facts stated herein and express agreement that BANA's financial obligations towards the HOA in regards to the real property located at 5316 Clover Blossom Court have now been "paid in full".

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. If you have any questions or concerns, I may be reached by phone directly at (702) 942-0412.

Sincerely,

MILES, BAUER, BERGSTROM & WINTERS, LLP



Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom & Winters, LLP Trust Acct

Payee: Alessi & Koenig, LLC

Check #: 17657

12-H2280

Initials: NEG

Date: 12/4/2012 Amount: 1,494.50

Reference #	Description	Inv. Amount	Case #	Matter Description	Cost Amoun
30488	To Cure HOA Deficiency	1,494.50	ļ		
	·				
			{		
		30488 To Cure HOA Deficiency	30488 To Cure HOA Deficiency 1,494.50	30488 To Cure HOA Deficiency 1,494.50	30488 To Cure HOA Deficiency 1,494.50

Miles, Bauer, Bergstrom & Trust Account 1231 E. Dyer Road, #100 Santa Ana, CA 92705 Phone: (714) 481-9100	Winters, LLP.	Bank of America 1100 N. Green Valley Parkway Henderson, NV 89074 16-56/1220 1020 42 H2290	Date:	17657 12/4/2012
Pay \$*****One Thousand, Four Hundred Ninety-Four & 50/100 Dollars to the order of		Amount \$**** 1,494.50 Check Vold After 90 Days		
Alessi & Koenlg, LLC				
				



.

. .

.

•

EXHIBIT 4

	ι
 Andreas (SAM) Statistical (A. A. Car) Andreas (SAM) Statistical (A. A. Car) Andreas (SAM) Statistical (A. Car) Andreas	

,

.

Dennis Johnson 63482260

Page 1 of 2

<u>onp to mo</u>		EROF	ACTIONS 704412-C	
	er Blossom CT Trust, Plaintiff(s) vs. U S Bank National n, Defendant(s)	တ တ တ တ တ	Date Filed:	Department 24
	PART	Y INFORM	IATION	
Defendant	Clear Recon Corps			Lead Attorneys
Defendant	U S Bank National Association			Dana Jonathon Nitz Retained 7023844012(W)
Plaintiff	5316 Clover Blossom CT Trust			Michael F Bohn Retained 702-642-3113(W)
	EVENTS & (ORDERS O	F THE COURT	·
	OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS Case Opened Complaint			
08/13/2014	Complaint Receipt of Copy			
08/13/2014	Receipt of Copy Affidavit of Service			
08/26/2014	Affidavit of Service Affidavit of Service			
09/25/2014				
	Defendant U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor by M Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates			lolders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan
	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure			
	Stipulation and Order Stipulation and Order for Non-Monetary Judgment Between	Clear Rec	con Corp and 5316 Clover Blossom C	t Trust
	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order for Non Monetary Ju Joint Case Conference Report	dgment B	etween Clear Recon Corp and 5316	Clover Blossom Ct Trust
	Joint Case Conference Report			
	Scheduling Order Scheduling Order			
	Case Reassigned to Department 24 District Court Case Reassignment 2015			
	Order Setting Civil Bench Trial Order Setting Civil Bench Trial			
	Substitution of Attorney Substitution of Attorney			
	Motion to Amend Complaint Motion to Amend Complaint			
03/12/2015	Motion to Amend Complaint (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Croc PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINT	:kett, Jim)		
	Parties Present			
	<u>Minutes</u> Result: Granted			
04/23/2015	Amended Complaint Amended Complaint			
04/30/2015	Certificate of Service Certificate of Service			
05/06/2015	Order Granting Order Granting Motion to amend Complaint			
05/07/2015	Notice of Entry Notice of Entry of Order			
05/18/2015	Motion for Summary Judgment			
	Motion for Summary Judgment Motion for Summary Judgment (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer C Status Check (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Crockett, Jim) Status Check - Trial Readiness	Crockett, J	im)	
	Pre Trial Conference (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Crockett, Jin Calendar Call (9:30 AM) (Judicial Officer Crockett, Jim)	n)		

11/16/2015 Bench Trial (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Crockett, Jim) Civil Bench Trial

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Defendant U S Bank Nati Total Financial Assessmen Total Payments and Credi Balance Due as of 05/19/	nt its		223.00 223.00 0.00
09/25/2014 09/25/2014	Transaction Assessment Wiznet	Receipt # 2014-110591-CCCLK	U S Bank National Association	223.00 (223.00)
	Plaintiff 5316 Clover Blos Total Financial Assessmer Total Payments and Credi Balance Due as of 05/19/	nt its		470.00 470.00 0.00
07/25/2014 07/25/2014 05/18/2015	Transaction Assessment Wiznet Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2014-85818-CCCLK	5316 Clover Blossom CT Trust	270.00 (270.00) 200.00
05/18/2015	Wiznet	Receipt # 2015-52005-CCCLK	5316 Clover Blossom CT Trust	(200.00)

Electronically Filed 04/23/2015 09:32:03 AM

4 .0 .

1	ACOM	Alun D. Comm
	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 1641	CLERK OF THE COURT
	mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com LAW OFFICES OF	
4	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX	
6	Attorney for plaintiff	
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST	CASE NO.: A704412
10	Plaintiff,	DEPT NO.: XXIV
11	vs.	EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION:
12	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,	Title to real property
13	SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER	
14	TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE	
15	LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1; and CLEAR RECON	
16	CORPS	
17	Defendants.	
18	AMENDED (COMPLAINT
19 20	Plaintiff, 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust, b	y and through its attorney, Michael F. Bohn, Esq.
20	alleges as follows:	
21 22	1. Plaintiff is the owner of the real property c	commonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct, North
23	Las Vegas, Nevada.	
23 24	2. Plaintiff obtained title by foreclosure sale	e conducted on January 16, 2013.
25	3. The plaintiff's title stems from a foreclos	ure deed arising from a delinquency in assessments
26	due from the former owner to the Country Gardens (Owners' Association, pursuant to NRS Chapter 116.
27	4. U.S. Bank, National Association, Success	sor Trustee To Bank of America, N.A., Successor by
28	1	
		·

Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee To The Holders of The Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, 1 Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-OA1 is the beneficiary of a deed of trust which 2 3 was recorded as an encumbrance to the subject property on June 30, 2004. 5. Clear Recon Corps is the substituted trustee on the deed of trust. 4 5 6. The interest of each of the defendants has been extinguished by reason of the foreclosure sale, which was properly conducted with adequate notice given to all persons and entities claiming an interest 6 in the subject property, and resulting from a delinquency in assessments due from the former owner, to 7 Country Gardens Owners' Association, pursuant to NRS Chapter 116. 8 7. The HOA foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited 9 to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessment and Notice of Default, and the 10 recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale. 11 8. Prior to the HOA foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the super-priority portion of the 12 HOA Lien representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses. 13 9. Nonetheless, defendant U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor Trustee To Bank of 14 America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee To The Holders of The Zuni 15 Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-OA1 has 16 recorded a notice of default and election to sell under its deed of trust pursuant to NRS 107.080. 17 10. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction prohibiting the foreclosure sale from proceeding. 18 19 11. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 20 12. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 11. 21 13. Plaintiff is entitled to a determination from this court, pursuant to NRS 40.010 that the 22 plaintiff is the rightful owner of the property and that the defendants have no right, title, interest or claim 23 to the subject property. 24 14. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs. 25 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 26 15. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 14. 27 28 2

1	16. Plaintiff seeks a declaration from this court, pursuant to NRS 40.010, that title in the property
2	is vested in plaintiff free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, that the defendants herein have no
3	estate, right, title or interest in the property, and that defendants are forever enjoined from asserting any
4	estate, title, right, interest, or claim to the subject property adverse to the plaintiff.
5	17. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs.
6	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for Judgment as follows:
7	1. For injunctive relief;
8	2. For a determination and declaration that plaintiff is the rightful holder of title to the property,
9	free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, and claims of the defendants.
10	3. For a determination and declaration that the defendants have no estate, right, title, interest or
11	claim in the property.
12	4. For a judgment forever enjoining the defendants from asserting any estate, right, title, interest
13	or claim in the property; and
14	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
15	DATED this 23rd day of April 2015.
16	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
17	
18	By: / s / Michael F. Bohn, Esq. /
19	Michael F. Bohn, Esq. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for plaintiff
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET A- 14- 704412- C XVIII

County, Nevada

	Case No	's Office)	
. Party Information (provide both he			
laintiff(s) (name/address/phone):			unt(s) (name/address/phone):
5316 CLOVER BLOSS	SOM CT TRUST	U.S. BAM	NK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF
		AMERICA	, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO
		THE HOL	DERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2008-0A1, MORTGAGE
			SS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-0A1; and CLEAR RECON CORPS
.itomey (name/address/phone):			y (name/address/phone):
MICHAEL F. BC	HN ESO		(hansadaosa pione).
376 East warm Springs		86.8	
Las Vegas, N	***************************************		
(702) 642-			
I. Nature of Controversy (please s	elect the one most applicable filing typ	ve below)	
Civil Case Filing Types			
Real Property	NT - 12		Torts Other Torts
Landlord/Tenant	Negligence		Product Liability
Unlawful Detainer Other Landlord/Tenant	Auto Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct
fanan di sa	Other Negligence		Employment Tort
Title to Property Judicial Foreclosure	Malpractice		Insurance Tort
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other Tort
Other Real Property	Legal		
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting		
Other Real Property	Other Malpractice		
Probate	Construction Defect & Con	tract	Judicial Review/Appeal
Probate (select case type and estate value)	Construction Defect		Judicial Review
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case
General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code		Nevada State Agency Appeal
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Department of Motor Vehicle
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument		Other Nevada State Agency
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract		Other Judicial Review/Appeal
Under \$2,500			
Civi	il Writ		Other Civil Filing
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ		Foreign Judgment
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other Civil Matters
Business C	Court filings should be filed using t	he Busines	s Court civil coversheet.

Date

Signature of initiating party or representative

See other side for jumily-related case filings.

		Electronically Filed 07/25/2014 12:54:25 PM
2	COMP MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 1641 <u>mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com</u> JEFF ARLITZ, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 6558	CLERK OF THE COURT
5	jarlitz@bohnlawfirm.com LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX	
8	Attorney for plaintiff	
9	DISTRICT	COURT
	CLARK COUN	ΓY, NEVADA
10 11	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST	CASE NO.: A- 14- 704412- C DEPT NO.:
12	Plaintiff,	XVIII
13	VS.	EXEMPTION FROM ARBITRATION: Title to real property
14 15 16 17 18	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1; and CLEAR RECON CORPS	
19	Defendants.	
20	COMPI	LAINT
21	Plaintiff, 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust, by	and through its attorney, Jeff Arlitz, Esq. alleges as
22	follows:	
23	1. Plaintiff is the owner of the real property c	ommonly known as 5316 Clover Blossom Ct, North
24	Las Vegas, Nevada.	
25	2. Plaintiff obtained title by foreclosure sale	e conducted on January 16, 2013.
26	3. The plaintiff's title stems from a foreclos	ure deed arising from a delinquency in assessments
27		
28	1	

due from the former owner to the Country Gardens Owners' Association, pursuant to NRS Chapter 116. 1 2 4. U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor Trustee To Bank of America, N.A., Successor by 3 Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee To The Holders of The Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-OA1 is the beneficiary of a deed of trust which 4 5 was recorded as an encumbrance to the subject property on June 30, 2004. 5. Clear Recon Corps is the substituted trustee on the deed of trust. 6 7. The interest of each of the defendants has been extinguished by reason of the foreclosure sale, 7 which was properly conducted with adequate notice given to all persons and entities claiming an interest 8 in the subject property, and resulting from a delinquency in assessments due from the former owner, to 9 10 Country Gardens Owners' Association, pursuant to NRS Chapter 116. 11 8. Nonetheless, defendant U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor Trustee To Bank of America, N.A., Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee To The Holders of The Zuni 12 Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-OA1 has 13 recorded a notice of default and election to sell under its deed of trust pursuant to NRS 107.080. 14 9. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction prohibiting the foreclosure sale from proceeding. 15 10. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs. 16 17 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 18 11. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10. 12. Plaintiff is entitled to a determination from this court, pursuant to NRS 40.010 that the 19 plaintiff is the rightful owner of the property and that the defendants have no right, title, interest or claim 20 to the subject property. 21 13. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs. 22 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF 23 14. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13. 24 15. Plaintiff seeks a declaration from this court, pursuant to NRS 40.010, that title in the property 25 is vested in plaintiff free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, that the defendants herein have no 26 estate, right, title or interest in the property, and that defendants are forever enjoined from asserting any 27 28 2

1	estate, title, right, interest, or claim to the subject property adverse to the plaintiff.
2	16. The plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys fees and costs.
3	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for Judgment as follows:
4	1. For injunctive relief;
5	2. For a determination and declaration that plaintiff is the rightful holder of title to the property,
6	free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, and claims of the defendants.
7	3. For a determination and declaration that the defendants have no estate, right, title, interest or
8	claim in the property.
9	4. For a judgment forever enjoining the defendants from asserting any estate, right, title, interest
10	or claim in the property; and
11	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
12	DATED this 25th day of July 2014.
13	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
14	
15	By: /s/Jeff Arlitz, Esg./
16	By: <u>/ s / Jeff Arlitz, Esq. /</u> Michael F. Bohn, Esq. Jeff Arlitz, Esq.
17	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
18	Attorney for plaintiff
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3

YERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)) 58: COUNTY OF CLARK)

Iyad Haddad, being first duly sworn, deposes and says;

That he is the manager of the trustee of the plaintiff trust and that he has read the foregoing complaint and knows the contents thereof; that the same is true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, he

believes them to be true.

e DAD/RADDAD

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before methis $\underline{25}$ day of \underline{July} , 2014

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State



۲

; •••

	IAFD MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.		
	State Bar No. 1641 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com		
	JEFF ARLITZ, ESQ. State Bar No. 6558		
	jarlitz@bohnlawfirm.com LAW OFFICES OF		
	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140		
6 7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX Attorney for plaintiff		
8	DISTRICT C	OURT	
9	CLARK COUNTY	, NEVADA	
10	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST		
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: DEPT NO.:	
12	VS,		
13	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,		
14	SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER		
15	TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE		
16	LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1; and CLEAR RECON CORPS		
17	Defendants.		
18		FEE DISCLOSURE	
19	INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE DISCLOSURE Pursuant to NRS Chapter 19, filing fees are submitted for the party appearing in the above-		ne above-
20	entitled action as indicated below:		
21	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST, Plain	ntiff	\$270.00
22	TOTAL REMITTED:		\$270.00
23	DATED this <u>25th</u> day of July 2014.		-
24	LAW OFFICES OF		
25		L F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.	
26	By: <u>/s//</u> MIC	<u>Michael F. Bohn, Esq. /</u> HAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.	
27	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119		
28		ney for plaintiff	
	1		

		Electronically Filed 09/25/2014 04:22:12 PM
1	ANSW	Alman S. Echin
2	LAUREL I. HANDLEY (NV Bar #9576) KRISTA J. NIELSON (NV Bar #10698)	
3	PITE DUNCAN, LLP 520 South 4th St., Suite 360	CLERK OF THE COURT
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 991-4630	
5	Facsimile: (702) 685-6342 E-mail: <u>knielson@piteduncan.com</u>	
6	Attorneys for Defendant U.S. BANK, NATION	
7	TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-OA1	
8	~~~~~~	·
9	DISTRICT COURT	
10		NTY, NEVADA
11	5316 CLOVER BLOSSOM CT TRUST,	Case No.: A-14-704412-C
12	Plaintiff,	Dept. No.: XVIII
13	VS.	DEFENDANT U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE
14	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF	TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE
15	AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS	BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE
16	TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-	LOAN TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES
17 18	OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS- THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006- OA1; and CLEAR RECON CORPS,	SERIES 2006-OA1'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	COMES NOW Defendant, U.S. BANK	, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR
22	TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO LASALLE	
23	BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN	
24	TRUST 2006-OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES 2006-	
25	OA1 ("Defendant"), by and through its counsel of record, LAUREL I. HANDLEY, ESQ.,	
26	KRISTA J. NIELSON, ESQ., of PITE DUNCAN, LLP, and hereby files its Answer to Plaintiff's	
27	Complaint.	
28	1.1.1	
	- ANSWFR TO	1 - COMPLAINT
ļ		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

ストレート かんかがる 物質なない クロー・ション コンド・ション・ション アンドロール しょうし

and the second second

estration - registera

the grades

1	1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to
2	form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis denies each and every allegation
3	contained therein.
4	2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to
5	form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis denies each and every allegation
6	contained therein.
7 8	3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to
9	form a belief as to the truth of the allegations, and on that basis denies each and every allegation
10	contained therein.
11	4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained
12	therein.
13	5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained
14	therein.
15	6. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained
16	therein. ¹
17 18	7. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that a Notice of Default was
19	recorded against the real property known as 5316 Clover Blossom Court, North Las Vegas,
20	Nevada 89031, pursuant to the Deed of Trust recorded on June 30, 2004. Defendant lacks
21	sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations, and on that
22	basis denies the remaining allegation contained therein.
23	8. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
24	contained therein.
25	9. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained
26 27	therein.
28	
	¹ There is no Paragraph 6 of the Complaint. - 2 -
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

and a standard of the standard of the

and the second development of the same data and the second s

1.14

1	
2	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
3	10. Defendant repeats each of the responses provided in Paragraphs 1-10 as if
4	fully set forth herein.
5	11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
6	contained therein.
7	12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
8	contained therein.
9 10	13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations
10	contained therein.
12	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
13	14. Defendant repeats each of the responses provided in Paragraphs 1-13 as if
14	fully set forth herein.
15	15. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained
16	therein.
17	14. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained
18	therein.
19	15. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained
20 21	therein.
21	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
23	Defendant sets forth the following distinct and affirmative defenses to each and every
24	purported cause of action alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint, and the whole thereof:
25	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	The Complaint, and each and every alleged cause of action contained therein, fails to
27	state a suitable and cognizable claim upon which relief may be granted.
28	
	- 3 - ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of laches and/or unclean hands.
3	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of equitable estoppel.
5	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	Plaintiff has waived any rights that he may have had for relief from the Court.
7	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	Defendant cannot be deprived of its interest in the Subject Property in violation of the
9 10	Procedural Due Process Clause of the 14 Amendment of the United States Constitution and
11	Article 1, Sec. 8, of the Nevada Constitution.
12	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
13	Defendant has complied with all relevant Nevada and Federal statutes governing the
14	relationship, if any, between Plaintiff and Defendant in regard to the alleged conduct of
15	Defendant alleged in the Complaint.
16	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.
18 19	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Void Foreclosure and Lack of Bona Fide Purchaser Status)
20	The foreclosure sale by which Plaintiff alleges it obtained title to the subject property is
21	void as to this Defendant and Plaintiff is not a bona fide purchaser.
22	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	Pursuant to NRCP Rule 11, Defendant alleges that at this time it has insufficient
24	knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to whether it may have additional, as yet
25	unstated, affirmative defenses available. Defendant therefore reserves herein the right to assert
26	additional affirmative defenses in the event that discovery indicates such unstated affirmative
27	defenses are appropriate.
28	
	- 4 - ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
i	

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
2	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for the following:
3	1. That Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice and that Plaintiff
4	take nothing by way of its Complaint.
5	2. For attorney's fees and costs of defending this action; and
6	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems fit.
7	DATED this 25 th day of September, 2014.
8	DITTE DUNCAN LD
9	PITE DUNCAN, LLP
10	1/02
11	KRISTA J. NIELSON
12	Attorneys for Defendant U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,
13	SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY
14	MERGER TO LASALLE BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE TO THE HOLDERS OF THE
15	ZUNI MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST 2006-
16	OA1, MORTGAGE LOAN PASS- THROUGH CERTIFICATES SERIES
17	2006-OA1
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 5
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

a construction of the second secon

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I, the undersigned, declare: I am, and was at the time of service of the papers herein
3	referred to, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to this action. My business address is 520
4	South Fourth Street, Suite 360, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101.
5	I hereby certify that on September 25, 2014, I electronically transmitted the attached
6	document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice
7	of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrant:
8	Michael F. Bohn, <u>mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com</u>
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
10	foregoing is true and correct.
11	Executed this <u>25</u> th day of September, 2014, at Las Vegas, Nevada.
12	(\cdot, ρ, ρ)
13	Nieol fane
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	

den se an tra tra editor estadore de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constante de la constan Al constante de la constante de

Service of the service

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

U.S. Bank, N.A., as Successor Trustee to Bank No. of America, N.A.,

Appellant

v.

5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust, Respondent. No. 68915 Electronically Filed Oct 23 2015 09:05 a.m. DOCKETING STACKEKILIND CIVIL A Plack of Supreme Court

GENERAL INFORMATION

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 26 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* <u>KDI Sylvan</u> <u>Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department 24
County Clark	Judge Hon. Jim Crockett
District Ct. Case No. <u>A-14-704412-C</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	t:
Attorney Matthew I. Knepper	Telephone <u>702-634-5000</u>
Firm <u>Akerman LLP</u>	
Address 1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330 Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
Client(s) U.S. Bank, N.A., as Successor Truste	e
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomp filing of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s):
Attorney Michael F. Bohn	Telephone <u>702-642-3113</u>
Firm Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Lt	d.
Address 376 E. Warm Springs Road #125 Las Vegas, NV 89119	
Client(s) <u>5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust</u>	
Attornov	Tolonhono
Attorney	
Firm	
Address	

Client(s)

4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):

\Box Judgment after bench trial	Dismissal:
🗌 Judgment after jury verdict	□ Lack of jurisdiction
🖂 Summary judgment	\Box Failure to state a claim
🗌 Default judgment	Failure to prosecute
\Box Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	□ Other (specify):
\Box Grant/Denial of injunction	Divorce Decree:
\Box Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\Box Original \Box Modification
\square Review of agency determination	\Box Other disposition (specify):

5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following?

- □ Child Custody
- 🗌 Venue
- \Box Termination of parental rights

6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal:

Although this case involves familiar issues regarding the interpretation and application of NRS 116.3116, there are no other cases or proceedings presently or previously pending before this court directly related to this appeal.

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

Other than the underlying trial court action, there are no other cases or proceedings presently or previously pending directly related to this appeal.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Respondent alleges that it owns the property located at 5316 Clover Blossom Ct., North Las Vegas, Nevada (Property) free and clear of all liens as a result of an HOA foreclosure sale. Respondent filed a complaint for quiet title to have the court declare that Respondent bought the Property free and clear of U.S. Bank's interests, including the deed of trust held by U.S. Bank (the Deed of Trust). U.S. Bank alleges that the Deed of Trust was not extinguished by the HOA foreclosure sale because its predecessor-in-interest's attempted tender satisfied the tender rule, the foreclosure sale was not commercially reasonable, Respondent failed to demonstrate good title, and NRS 116.3116 is unconstitutional. The district court granted Respondent's motion for summary judgment over Appellants' opposition and Rule 56(f) affidavit attesting that it required additional factual discovery to develop its defenses and denied U.S. Bank's countermotion for summary judgment. U.S. Bank now appeals that order.

9. Issues on appeal. State specifically all issues in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

(1) Whether a tender offer of nine months of assessments and collection costs extinguished the HOA's superpriority lien? (2) Whether the HOA lien statute is facially unconstitutional? (3) Whether the HOA lien statute is unconstitutional as applied because it does not require that notice be provided to lenders of the amount of the superpriority lien? (4) Whether the HOA sale is void as commercially unreasonable based on inadequacy of price and the rejection of the tender offer? and (5) Whether recitals of compliance with the notice requirements of NRS 116 in a trustee's deed are sufficient to establish compliance as a matter of law?

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:

This case is similar to many others currently pending before the Nevada Supreme Court in that it raises several issues regarding the application and enforceability of NRS 116.3116 (as it existed before amended by the Nevada Legislature in 2015). To counsel's knowledge, these other cases also present some of the issues above:

Case Number 68345, 2713 Rue Toulouse Trust v. Bank of America, N.A. (Issues # 1, 2, 3, and 4)

Case Number 68345, Bank of New York Mellon v. Star Golden Enterprises Series 6 (Issues # 1, 2, 4)

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

🗌 N/A

 \boxtimes Yes

🗌 No

If not, explain: U.S. Bank will act concurrently with this statement to provide the proper notice under the rules.

12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?

□ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))

 \boxtimes An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions

 \boxtimes A substantial issue of first impression

 \Box An issue of public policy

 \square An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions

 \Box A ballot question

If so, explain: This appeal involves several significant issues related to NRS 116.3116. The appellants do not seek reversal of any part of this Court's recent decision construing NRS 116.3116 in SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 334 P.3d 408 (Nev. 2014); however, a decision regarding the issues in this appeal could be binding on many other pending cases.

13. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?

Was it a bench or jury trial? N/A

14. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice? No.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

15. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from September 10, 2015

If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

16. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served Sep 10, 2015

Was service by:

 \Box Delivery

🛛 Mail/electronic/fax

17. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59)

(a) Specify the type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and the date of filing.

□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing

NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the time for filing a notice of appeal. *See <u>AA Primo Builders v. Washington</u>, 126 Nev. ____, 245 P.3d 1190 (2010).*

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion

(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served

Was service by:

 \Box Delivery

🗌 Mail

18. Date notice of appeal filed September 28, 2015

If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:

19. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, *e.g.*, NRAP 4(a) or other

NRAP 4(a)

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

20. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

(a)

⊠ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205
□ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376
\Box Other (specify)	

(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: The Court's September 10, 2015 order granted summary judgment for Respondent and against appellant, the only two remaining parties to the case. Accordingly, it is a final judgment that is appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1).

21. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:

-U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor by Merger to LaSalle Bank, N.A., as Trustee to the Holders of the Zuni Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-OA1, Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates Series 2006-OA1 -5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust

(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.

Plaintiff 5316 Clover Blossom Ct Trust was granted summary judgment on its claims for quiet title and declaratory relief on September 10, 2015

23. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?

- \boxtimes Yes
- 🗌 No

24. If you answered "No" to question 23, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?

🗌 Yes

🗌 No

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?

 \Box Yes

🗌 No

25. If you answered "No" to any part of question 24, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (*e.g.*, order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

26. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

U.S. Bank	, N.A.
Name of a	ppellant

Matthew I. Knepper, Esq. Name of counsel of record

10/22/2015 Date

/s/ Matthew I. Knepper Signature of counsel of record

Nevada, Clark County State and county where signed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the <u>22nd</u> day of <u>October</u>, <u>2015</u>, I served a copy of this

completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

 \square By personally serving it upon him/her; or

⊠ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es): (NOTE: If all names and addresses cannot fit below, please list names below and attach a separate sheet with the addresses.)

Michael F. Bohn, Esq. Law Office of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd. 376 E Warm Springs Rd., Suite 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119

Dated this 22nd

day of October

,2015

/s/ Lucille Chiusano Signature