IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF DISH NETWORK DERIVATIVE LITIGATION.

JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND,

Appellant,


vs. GEORGE R. BROKAW; CHARLES M. LILLIS; TOM A. ORTOLF; CHARLES W. ERGEN; CANTEY M. ERGEN; JAMES DEFRANCO; DAVID K. MOSKOWITZ; CARL E. VOGEL; THOMAS A. CULLEN; KYLE J. KISER; AND R. STANTON DODGE, Respondents.

IN THE MATTER OF DISH NETWORK DERIVATIVE LITIGATION.

JACKSONVILLE POLICE AND FIRE PENSION FUND,

Appellant,

vs. GEORGE R. BROKAW; CHARLES M. LILLIS; TOM A. ORTOLF; CHARLES W. ERGEN; CANTEY M. ERGEN; JAMES DEFRANCO; DAVID K. MOSKOWITZ; CARL E. VOGEL; THOMAS A. CULLEN; KYLE J. KISER; AND R. STANTON DODGE, Respondents.



No. 69729

No. 69012

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS

On August 17, 2016, this court entered an order granting motions of the parties to redact and seal portions of the appendices and briefs in this litigation. The Special Litigation Committee (SLC) of Dish Network Corporation has moved for clarification and modification of that

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA order. No opposition has been filed. Having considered the motion, we grant it as follows.

The SLC asks this court to withdraw the redacted opening brief that was filed pursuant to this court's order on August 17, 2016, and to file in its stead the "fully redacted version" of the opening brief that was attached as Exhibit C to the SLC's "Limited Opposition to Appellant's Motion for Leave to Redact Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief and to Seal Portions of the Appendix and Countermotion for Leave to Make Additional Redactions with SEALED Exhibit C," filed June 8, 2016. We note the additional material is subject to a protective order entered by the district court, and we grant the SLC's unopposed motion. See SRCR 4.2. The clerk of this court shall strike the redacted opening brief filed August 17, 2016, and shall detach Exhibit A, the "fully redacted" brief, from the motion for clarification and file it separately. The unredacted version of the opening brief shall remain filed under seal.

The SLC has also filed an unopposed motion to file a redacted reply brief in place of the reply brief filed by appellant on September 27, 2016. The SLC seeks to redact certain language at page 27 of the reply brief that should remain nonpublic pursuant to the district court's protective order. Accordingly, we grant the motion. The clerk of this court shall seal the reply brief filed September 27, 2016. The clerk shall detach Exhibit A, the redacted reply brief, from the SLC's motion and file it separately.

It is so ORDERED.

محمد C.I.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc:

Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossman, LLP
McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Las Vegas
Holley, Driggs, Walch, Fine Wray Puzey & Thompson/Las Vegas
McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Reno
Sullivan & Cromwell, LLP/New York
Sidley Austin LLP/Chicago
Young, Conway, Stargatt & Taylor, LLP
Pisanelli Bice, PLLC
Holland & Hart, LLP/Denver
Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas
Willkie, Farr & Gallagher, LLP
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP/Las Vegas
Reisman Sorokac