THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry. 1 2 BY MS. GRAHAM: Okay. And isn't it true, sir that 303.9, that 3 diagnosis specifically indicates that the use of alcohol, 5 despite -- that he uses alcohol, despite adverse consequences and distortions in thinking most notably denial is one of 5 7 those; is that correct? 8 Yes. Okay. You were just asked if you knew if Brian 9 O'Keefe had suffered any blackouts or is that what Ms. Palm 10 11 asked you? 12 Yes. A 13 Okay. And based on your recollection, again, if --Q if Mr. O'Keefe would have told you he had never suffered from blackouts, then that's where you would have gotten that 15 16 information; is that correct? 17 Α Yes. Do you recall if he ever told you he suffered from 18 19 blackouta? 20 I believe he did. 21 Okay. And but you would agree with me, sir, that if 22 he told the therapist at the MINES that he denied ever having blackouts, that that would have come from Brian as well? 23 24 A I was a therapist from the MINES facility. 25 O I'm sorry?

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	A	I was a therapist from the MINES facility.
2	Q	Okay. So the records from MINES, you generated
3	those?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. If if I showed you those records, and I
6	I showed	you where you indicated that Brian self-reported he
7	never sui	fered from blackouts, would that be helpful to you?
В	A	Yes.
9		MS. GRAHAM: May I approach the witness?
10		THE COURT: Yes.
11		MS, PALM: Can I see it?
12		MS. GRAHAM: Oh, sure.
13		(Pause in the proceedings).
14		MS. GRAHAM: May I approach, Judge?
15		THE COURT: Yes.
16	BY MS. GR	AHAM:
17	Q	I'm handing you a document, sir. What is that
18	document?	What does it appear to be?
19	A	Looks like a release form.
20	Q	So that a copy of if you read it, sir, does it
21	does it i	ndicate that this is a copy from the custodian of
22	records o	f MINES & Associates (phonetic).
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And that would include his medical records?
25	A	No.

Or his records from MINES. 1 Q 2 A Right. 3 Okay. 0 Yeah. And so if it would have come from the custodian of 5 0 6 records, you're aware, sir, that a custodian of records basically attests to the truth and the accuracy of what's 7 8 contained therein; is that right? 9 A Yes. 10 Q Okay. 11 MS. PALM: Your Honor, I don't think he recognizes this document, and I don't think she's properly refreshing his 12 recollection. I think if they asked him does he recognize it. 13 THE COURT: Do you recognize the document, sir? 14 15 THE WITNESS: No, Bir. It's the first time I've seen 16 it. 17 THE COURT: Did you generate that document? THE WITNESS: I have no idea because again, it -- it 18 19 -- it's -- it's a release form to corporate office, and --BY MS. GRAHAM: 20 21 If you could flip through that and see if that just 22 contains treatment notes pertaining to Brian O'Keefe. 23 MS. PALM: You know, your Honor, I'm happy if she just wants to move the entire document in instead of doing it 25 this way. I don't think the witness compiled the documents in

there. He's not the custodian of records. 1 2 THE COURT: Ms. Graham, are you seeking to admit the active records into evidence? 3 MS. GRAHAM: Court's indulgence. Judge, if I may 4 just save some time and turn to one page and ask Mr. Paisano if 5 this -- this particular page in the records refreshes his 7 recollection. ß BY MS. GRAHAM: If you could just start reading that to yourself Q 10 right here. Okay. 11 A 12 Were those records from MINES? 13 A No, this is from the detox facility, I believe, which is Las Vegas Recovery Center. 15 0 Okay. And what is MINES, then? 16 MINES is the manage care company for the Labors Union 872, which Mr. O'Keefe belonged to. 17 16 Q Okay. I'm a little bit confused because you said you 19 were MINES. 20 I'm a contractor with MINES. Okay. But you would have had access to these

21 22 records.

25

- 23 Not those, unless the facility gave me a copy of the A admit report and the discharge summary.
 - 0 Is that typically something that they would do?

It depends upon whether or not they -- they feel it's 1 necessary for me to have. Usually it -- it's -- they send me a 2 discharge summary, but I don't see the discharge summary in 3 4 that document. 5 Q. Okay, so essentially you treat somebody without looking at the -- the records? 6 7 A Who -- who treats the person? Didn't you testify that you treated Mr. O'Keefe? 8 9 Yes. 10 So you wouldn't feel it was necessary to have records 11 from MINES for your treatment of Mr. --12 A I have --13 -- O'Keefe? 14 I do the records from MINES. You're looking at the A -- the detox facility. I -- I don't have access to their 15 16 records. 17 Okay. And if -- if somebody were to enter detox, 0 18 would they do the same evaluation? You're familiar with the --19 A Yes. 20 -- the evaluations? 21 Right. But -- but their evaluation's more extensive because they're going to be using medications where I don't. 22 Okay. Just then a quick question, sir. During their 23 Q evaluations when they interview somebody that's there for treatment, who provides that information to those -- those 25

```
1
    persons?
  2
         A
               The -- the patient.
  3
         Q
               The patient?
 4
              Um-h'm.
              So that would be Brian O'Keefe?
 5
 6
              Right.
 7
              Okay, so if Brian O'Keefe had told the people at
 8
    impatient --
 9
              MS. PALM: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this
    because this witness doesn't have any knowledge of what Brian
    O'Keefe told the people at treatment. And she's trying to
    testify about the contents of records that she's not willing to
12
13
    move in.
14
              THE COURT: Ms. Graham.
15
              MS. GRAHAM: Well, we can admit them.
16
              THE COURT: Are you -- both sides agree to have those
17
    documents admitted?
18
              MS. GRAHAM: State does.
19
              MS. PALM: I have no problem with admitting the
    documents. I -- I have a problem with her continuing to
20
21
    question him --
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
23
              MS. PALM: -- on the document.
             THE COURT: All right, well, let's have them marked
24
25
   and they'll be admitted in.
```

```
MS. GRAHAM: Just for the record, Judge, this is a
 1
    marked copy. I have --
 2
 3
              MS. PALM: Well --
              MS. GRAHAM: -- (indiscernible).
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Do we have a clean copy?
 6
              MS. PALM: I have a clean copy that I was going to
 7
    move in anyway. And it would be (indiscernible). Defense
    Exhibit five Cs.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
10
                       (Exhibit CCCCC admitted).
11
              MS. GRAHAM: No objection.
    BY MS. GRAHAM:
12
              You heard of the term tolerance, sir?
13
         Q
14
         A
              Yes,
15
              And -- and basically, is it true that -- that
         0
    tolerance is somebody that drinks a period of alcohol for many,
16
    many years, they develop a tolerance to alcohol wherein they
17
    can actually consume more alcohol and still function at a level
18
   whereas somebody that doesn't drink all the time drink; is that
19
20
21
        A
             Yes.
            -- your -- is that -- is that a correct -- you're the
22
23
   therapist, I'm not.
24
        A
             Yes, that's (indiscernible).
25
        Q
             All right. Do you -- are you aware as his therapist
```

1 of the amount of alcohol and the amount of years that Mr. O'Keefe drank? 2 3 A I'm not sure exactly of the amount and years because I don't have that document in front of me. But yes, he did express a length of time and -- and a -- and a high consumption 5 6 rate. 7 Q Okay. And do you recall testifying in a previous 8 hearing? 9 A Yes. 10 In this case? Do you recall when asked how much 11 Brian reported that he drank, it would be five to eight quarts a beer on a daily basis? 13 MS. PALM: Page number? 14 MS. GRAHAM: That's on Page 41. THE WITNESS: I don't have that in front of me. 15 BY MS. GRAHAM: 16 Did defendant O'Keefe indicate to you that he had 17 0 18 severe substance abuse problem? 19 He -- he had mentioned that he had previous problems 20 associated to alcohol. 21 0 Okay. 22 I'm not sure if he indicated a severity level. 23 Q All right, sir, so basically, everything -- when you're treating defendant O'Keefe, when he comes to you, 25 everything that he tells you is self-reported; is that right?

1	A Yes.
2	
	The way a mare chose notes in Front of you to
3	tell us how much he reported to you that he drank?
4	A No, I do not.
5	O Okay. And the length of time that he drank?
6	A I do not have that either.
7	Q Okay. But yet, you were able to assess that he had a
8	severe alcohol problem?
9	A Yes. If I gave him a diagnosis of 303.9.
10	Q Okay. And would somebody that had that diagnosis be
11	able to tolerate alcohol pretty well?
12	A It depends upon the individual because each each
13	individual's metabolism is different.
14	Q Um-h'm.
15	A So you can't I mean, I can't lump everybody
16	together with that.
17	Q In a general sense you've heard the term hold your
18	own liquor, holds liquor well?
19	A Yes, I've heard of it.
20	Q Okay. So that basically, a kind of a slang for
21	tolerance?
22	A I've never heard it in those terms as a as a slang
23	for tolerance.
24	Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
25	THE COURT: Any redirect?

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PALM: 2 Q Mr. Paisano, would it refresh your recollection to 3 look at a transcript of your prior testimony to see whether you 4 ever testified as to how much a day my client drank? 5 6 A I'm -- I'm sorry? 7 Would it help you to look at your prior testimony to see if you ever testified, as Ms. Graham it just stated, as to 8 9 how much my client drank? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. PALM: May I approach the witness? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. PALM: Same Page 41. BY MS. PALM: Here's the question and here's your answer. 15 0 16 A Yes. 17 Does that help you recall? Q 18 A Yes. Did you ever testify as to how much my client drank a 19 20 day? 21 A According to that statement, yes. Q 22 You said -- did you testify you -- you can't answer 23 that truthfully because you didn't have the documentation in 24 front of you? 25 A Yes.

```
1
              So her -- the statement was just the DA's question?
 2
         A
              Yes.
              Okay. And would it refresh your recollection to look
 3
    at a copy of the MINES report to see what the dates of
 4
 5
    treatment were?
         A
              Yes.
 2
              (Indiscernible) records?
 8
              MS. PALM: May I approach?
 9
              THE WITNESS: Okay. These are dates that I --
10
    BY MS. PALM:
11
         Q
              Okay.
12
         A
              -- saw him.
13
         Q
              So it would be from 9/11 of 2008 to early November
    2008?
15
         A
              Yes.
16
         Q
              And he was seeing you several times a week?
17
         A
              Yes.
              And would it be unusual at all for somebody going
18
         Q
    into detox to minimize how bad their problem is?
19
20
         A
              Yes.
              And is alcoholism considered a disease?
21
22
             Yes, it is.
23
         Q
              Why?
              Because it has its own symptom characteristics,
24
         A
25 manifestation period, and it was deemed so by the American
```

1 Medical Association in 1954. Okay. And is part of that because people have a hard 2 3 time stopping? A Yes. 5 Q Okay. And even when they stop they have a hard time staying dry? 6 7 A Yes. MS. PALM: No further questions. Thank you. 8 9 THE COURT: Any recross? 10 MS. GRAHAM: No. Judge. 11 THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? No questions. Thank you, sir, for your testimony. You are 12 13 excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 14 15 THE COURT: Next witness for the defense. 16 MS. PALM: Your Honor, that concludes the lay 17 witnesses. THE COURT: All right. 18 19 MS. PALM: May we approach? 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 (Off-record bench conference) . THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to 22 take a -- just a five minute recess to resolve an issue. It is 23 24 -- during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with 25

1 this case or to read, watch or listen to any report of or 2 commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, 3 newspaper, television, radio or the Internet. You are not to 4 5 form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the case until this matter is submitted to you. It should be very 7 quickly five minutes.

(Outside the presence of the jury).

THE COURT: All right, we're outside the presence of the jury panel. And Ms. Palm, there was a instruction that you were requesting.

MS. PALM: Well --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I mean in regards to that -- regarding the voluntary manslaughter.

MS. PALM: The involuntary manslaughter.

THE COURT: Involuntary, I'm sorry, yes.

MS. PALM: And -- and I have objections to many of their instructions still to put on the record. I don't know when the court wants to do that, but --

THE COURT: But for your client's decision on whether or not he's going to testify, that's dependent on the decision whether or not I will give a involuntary manslaughter instruction; is that correct?

MS. PALM: That's correct, and as -- as well as we 25 | would like to know the outcome of the instruction on whether

1 the jury can consider alcohol intoxication or not. THE COURT: Okay. All right, let's deal with the 2 voluntary instruction. 3 MR. LALLI: The voluntariness? 4 THE COURT: Involuntary. 5 6 MR. LALLI: Oh, and just -- just for the court's edification, the modifications that we had discussed at the last break on the voluntariness, I've made those and I e-mailed 9 the version to the court. 10 THE COURT: Yes, I do have those. MS. PALM: And your Honor, my involuntary instruction 11 is at Page 13 of my instruction packet. THE COURT: All right. Do you have that one, Mr. 13 14 Lalli? 15 MR. LALLI: I do. THE COURT: All right. Do you have any objection to 16 17 the giving of the instruction? 18 MR. LALLI: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. LALLI: A number of objections. Number one, it's not their theory of the case. And I think throughout these 21 22 proceedings and pleadings, while settling instructions, it is 23 | abundantly clear it is not their theory of the case. Their theory is that this was an accident and/or it was some form of 24 25 or some ilk of self-defense. That's their defense, not

involuntary manslaughter.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

The problem with the involuntary manslaughter is what the defense is attempting to do in this instruction, and part of it is taking -- taken from NRS 200.070, they're only citing a portion of the instruction. They're -- they're not citing the complete statute on -- on involuntary manslaughter.

They've -- they've removed a section. When this case was reversed by the Supreme Court, they looked at this issue of involuntary manslaughter and how it operated with second degree murder. Obviously, the court well knows those two things are related. Has to do with when does an involuntary manslaughter become a second degree murder.

I'm entitled to the entire instruction if it's given. The problem is that is precisely the reason it got reversed. And our Supreme Court said there is no evidence to support this. Not only is the instruction improper, but there's no evidence to support it. They said that in their opinion reversing the case.

So it's not their theory, there's no evidence to support it, and -- and just as a matter of the record as -- as we've seen it thus far, there is no evidence to support it. And finally, it creates this issue, this legal issue that the 23 -- the -- the Supreme Court has already said is a problem. So you can't just give part of the statute. You've gotta give all of it. And that is going to create a problem.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

THE COURT: All right, thank you. Ms. Palm.

MS. PALM: Well, your Honor, when the reversal came back it was because the instruction had gone to the jury, which we objected to, and the court had determined not to give, but ended up in the packet anyway addressing a second degree murder based on a felony murder theory unlawful act.

And the court said there's no notice of such a theory and there was no evidence of such an unlawful act. So that's the problem when -- why it got reversed. As far as the involuntary goes, the statute has two alternative ways you can have an involuntary. You can have the lawful act involuntary or the unlawful act involuntary.

What I did with this instruction is I took out the language from the statute for the unlawful act because that's what would be a problem in this case. There's been no notice that he did an unlawful act. But you still have the regular involuntary that's based on recklessness doing a lawful act. And I think that we do have evidence in this case from which the jury could find that.

There's evidence that she was coming at him with a knife. And there was evidence that he was extremely intoxicated. The jury could determine that -- that if there was a killing, it happened as a result of his recklessness. So that is our theory that there is not a murder in this case. However, if there's anything at all, it would be an

involuntary. That's hour theory.

I

So we are entitled to instructions on our theory of the case. I'm just defining involuntary manulaughter based on the lawful act manulaughter that's set forth in the statute.

And instructions are supposed to be tailored, specifically to the facts of the case.

Mr. Lalli is not entitled to instruction based on theories that are not related to the facts of the case and theories upon which we haven't had any notice for an unlawful act involuntary. So we are entitled to those tailored instructions. The State has a burden of -- of proving malice beyond a reasonable doubt. And if they don't prove malice, that they prove something less than malice, there's two types of recklessness. You have either the extreme malignant recklessness, which is malice for murder. Or you have just regular recklessness, which is enough for involuntary.

So it's a subset of that type of murder. It's a lesser included under these circumstances. It's Mr. O'Keefe's theory of the case. We're entitled to tailor instructions and that's all this is -- this is setting forth. This is the instruction we're requesting.

MR. LALLI: In not one document that she's filed with the court has she ever said it's her theory of the case. In fact, in pleadings she said just the opposite. Yesterday it's my recollection she -- I mean, she was incapable of coming up

with a factual scenario that -- that has been adduced in this case that fits that theory.

Her theory is that it was an accident or that it was in self-defense or that the victim committed suicide. I mean, that was a theory that was advanced in the opening. This -- this does absolutely -- it's not a lesser included of second degree murder as we've alleged it as a malice murder. It is not a lesser included. It's not her theory of the case.

THE COURT: Ms. Palm, I don't see this as a lesser included. And with -- without any other testimony or evidence in this case, I don't find that it's appropriate to give the I happen voluntary manslaughter instruction. If your client takes the stand and perhaps some other theory comes up, then the court can address that. But at this point I don't find that it's appropriate. So at this point I'm not going to give the instruction.

If your -- like I said, if your client takes the stand and some other evidence comes out, then the court would be more than happy to revisit this issue.

MS. PALM: And then the other question was the intoxication.

THE COURT: There was a new instruction that came

23 out. I have it here.

MR. LALLI: This would have been the same one that the court printed out yesterday evening.

THE COURT: Do you have an extra copy for Ms. Palm or you can just show Ms. Palm yours, Mr. Lalli.

MS. PALM: And I see the State's instruction, and I think it's -- it's confusing. It shifts the burden of proof. Relieves the State of the burden of proving malice. We have an alternative instruction at Page 28 that is based on the statute that is just the wording of NRS 193.220.

And I understand that Mr. Lalli is going to probably argue, as he did, last evening in chambers. I would say that he cites the Leader (phonetic) case for mere intoxication can't reduce murder. And if the court's not going to give a manslaughter, I guess it's irrelevant any way, that language wouldn't need to be in there. But the no act may be less criminal is part of the statue -- statute. The rest is from Leaders (phonetic), which would not be relevant if the court's not giving the involuntary.

However, I think Leaders was just talking about mere intoxication. It didn't say the jury couldn't consider evidence of intoxication. And here the species of crime is second degree murder. It requires the State to prove malice beyond a reasonable doubt, either expressed or implied malice. And I think that, you no he, in recent years since Leaders the court has strengthened the independent requirements under the statutes for murder. We have Bifurd (phonetic) that was setting forth the -- the separate elements. Coleman (phonetic)

1 talked about the fact that not every murder requires a specific intent to kill does not relieve the State of the burden of proving some kind of malice.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think under a contemporary understanding of Nevada law everybody knows we have to prove malice. And I think that this instruction is our statute, it's relevant to whether they can show malice, that Mr. O'Keefe was so intoxicated that he fell, that he did something he didn't intend to do. I mean, I think this -- their -- their instruction, if you stop just at -- at by reason of his condition, just completely relieves the State of their burden of proving malice.

It says, you know, not to even consider his intoxication. I think the jury's allowed to consider his intoxication but under Nevada law.

MR. LALLI: Your Honor, Leaders says exactly the opposite. And Leaders is still the law today. In Leaders exactly what Counsel is doing here, counsel did in Leaders. They wanted an instruction the effect that voluntary intoxication negates malice. That's what they wanted to do. That's what she's requesting. That is not the law. And when in the statute, the voluntary intoxication statute, they're talking about degrees or species of a crime, they're talking about crimes like murder, which can be of the first or of the second, kidnapping, which can be first or of the second. And voluntary intoxication can be considered if a jury wants to in assessing the various degrees of those types of crimes.

Degree is no longer an issue here. This is a second degree murder. It is the lowest degree of murder. So in obedience to Leaders, I don't think the court can give the instruction that Counsel is asking for. It violates Leaders, and our instruction is taken verbatim from the statute up to that section and then the language that we're using comes almost verbatim from Leaders.

THE COURT: Although Leaders is, I think 2002 or so, Leaders is still good law. It's not been overruled. And in Leaders we deal with the issue of species or degrees of crime and we don't have that anymore in this case. It's one crime at second degree. And so for those reasons, I'm not going to give that instruction.

MR. LALLI: You will give the instruction proffered by State?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LALLI: Thank you.

MS. PALM: Well, and your -- your Honor, it's not -- we're not reducing it to manslaughter anymore, so I don't know why that final sentence should be even in their instruction anymore.

MS. GRAHAM: We changed the sentence.

MR. LALLI: Oh, that's --

MS. PALM: (Indiscernible).

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

MR. LALLI: The -- the -- I'm sorry. 2 MS. PALM: Oh, I see. Okay, I -- you know what, I do have yours, okay. So voluntary intoxication does not negate 3 the element. And if you're giving this instruction, I would 4 say -- well, I guess you're going to give it. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right, do you need to confer 6 7 with your client? 8 MS. PALM: Yes. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MS. PALM: Can we go outside? THE COURT: All right. Well, make sure the 11 corrections officer is with you. 12 13 (Court recessed at 11:40 a.m. until 11:59 a.m.). (Outside the presence of the jury). THE COURT: We're waiting for the late Mr. Lalli. 15 MS. GRAHAM: I think he just -- he's been in --16 17 THE CLERK: He's coming. MS. GRAHAM: -- there this whole time. He might be 18 19 in the bathroom. 20 (Pause in the proceedings). 21 THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Palm, do you have any other 22 witnesses? 23 MS. PALM: Your Honor, I have discussed -- my only final witness would have been Mr. O'Keefe, but after discussing the court's ruling on the alcohol evidence as well as the 25

second or the involuntary as a lesser included, the second degree malice murder, it's our position that the court's ruling 2 have burdened his decision on his right to testify. He does 3 not want to testify at this time because of those rulings. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Is the State going to have any rebuttal witnesses? 6 MR. LALLI: Nope. 7 8 THE COURT: All right, let's just call the jury in. We'll break for lunch. They have a lunch here in the back, 9 10 We'll -- we'll have the jury come back at 1:30. I'll have counsel back at 1:00 o'clock so we can put it on the record all 11 the instructions, number them, and go through that process. 12 13 MR. LALLI: Very good. 14 MS. PALM: And I still have objections on their instructions. Are we going to do that at 1:00? 15 THE COURT: Yeah. That's why --16 17 MS. PALM: Okay. THE COURT: -- I said we'll put the objections on the 18 record. 19 20 MS. PALM: All right. 21 THE COURT: And Mr. Lalli, if you -- if you have all 22 the instructions there, I think you've made the changes, can 23 you be so kind to -- we have so many copies here. If you can be so kind to e-mail them to my JEA, and then we'll print out copies for everybody so we have a complete --25

1 MR. LALLI: Yes, now --2 THE COURT: Copy. MR. LALLI: -- the only ones that I don't have are --3 THE COURT: Well, we'll deal with that in just a 4 minute. 5 6 MR. LALLI: Okay. 7 THE COURT: We'll just tell the jury we're going to take a break. 8 9 (In the presence of the jury). THE MARSHAL: Please be seated. 10 11 (Pause in the proceedings). THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, appreciate your 12 patience. The lunch for the jurors has been delivered and 13 we're going to provide to you at this time. The marshal will escort you to the jury deliberation, not for deliberation. 15 You're not to deliberate because you haven't heard closing 16 17 arguments. We're just going to put you in that room for lunch 18 that court's providing for you. 19 So during this lunch recess, it is your duty not to 20 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this case or to read, watch or listen to any 21 report over commentary on the trial by any person connected 22 with the trial or by any medium of information, including 23 without limitation, newspaper, television, radio or the 24 Internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any 25

```
subject connected with the case until this matter is submitted
   to you. We'll see you back at 1:30.
 2
                  (Outside the presence of the jury).
 3
                      (Pause in the proceedings).
             THE COURT: All right, we're outside the presence.
 5
   Mr. Lalli, you said you had -- you had a question about one of
 6
 7
   the instructions?
             MR. LALLI: No, no, no, what -- what I was -- oh, the
   -- I will forward to the court all the ones that I have either
 9
   typed or formatted. They're -- they're not in a perfect order
10
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             MR. LALLI: -- but I assume we can order those when
13
14
   we --
             THE COURT: Yes.
15
             MR. LALLI: -- when we come back.
16
17
             MS. PALM: And -- and --
             MR. LALLI: The -- I'm sorry.
18
             MS. PALM: Did you get the one that I -- the ones I
19
20
   e-mailed you that were just the blank rewritten ones that I
   said I would do? I e-mailed them to you --
21
             MR. LALLI: I did, but I --
22
             MS. PALM: -- Clark and to Mr. Lalli.
23
             MR. LALLI: I did receive them but I did not reform
24
25
   at them.
```

```
1,
              MS. PALM: Okay, because I thought that's -- you were
 2
    going to do that.
 3
              MR. LALLI: I was going to --
 4
              THE COURT: Can you get --
 5
              MR. LALLI: -- but I didn't get them in time.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay, can you do that now? I mean, do
 7
    you have them in your computer?
 8
              MR. LALLI: I'm sure I can.
 9
              MS. PALM: And Mr. O'Keefe will want the instruction
10
   on testimony -- on his testimony.
11
              THE COURT: Okay, was that in the packet or did you
   pull that one out, Mr. Lalli?
12
13
              MS. PALM: It was --
14
             MR. LALLI: It was -- it should be in the packet that
15
   I sent.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. So -- so you're able to e-mail
   that to the clerk or to my law clerk, my court clerk, my JEA.
17
18
              MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I'm e-mailing these to your
19
    JEA --
             THE COURT: Okay.
20
21
             MR. LALLI: -- so that the batch that I have has --
22
   has just been sent to her.
             THE COURT: And that includes the ones Ms. Palm
23
   provided; is that correct?
             MR. LALLI: Not the ones that she provided this
25
```

```
morning. Although, I can -- do you want me to just forward
 1
 2
    those to your JEA?
 3
              THE COURT: Yes, then I'll --
 4
              MR. LALLI: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- then I'll -- we can put them in order
 5
 6
    and make copies for everybody.
              MR. LALLI: Okay.
              THE COURT: And if we need to reformat we can do that
 8
 9
    this afternoon.
10
              MR. LALLI: Okay, those have been sent to your JEA.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. We'll counsel back at 1:00,
12
    closing at 1:30.
              MR. LALLI: Thank you.
13
            (Court recessed at 12:06 p.m. until 1:42 p.m.).
14
15
                  (Outside the presence of the jury).
16
              THE COURT: We're on the record outside the presence
    of the jury panel. I've been provided with some jury
17
   instructions here that initially have been agreed upon by the
18
19
    court. Ms. Palm, we'll number these in just a minute, but
20
   besides these instructions here, are there additional
    instructions you wish the court to give?
21
             MS. PALM: Well, I had some objections to the
22
   instructions still that we haven't made a record of.
23
24
             THE COURT: Well, I --
25
            MS. PALM: Okay.
```

1 THE COURT: -- always ask that from both sides. MS. PALM: Okay. My objection to the in arriving at 2 3 a verdict in this --THE COURT: No, no, do you have any additional so I 4 5 can --MS. PALM: Oh, additional, yes. 6 7 THE COURT: That's -- that 's what I'm asking for. 8 MS. PALM: As far as malice, their definition of 9 malice -- I'm trying to find which one that is in the stack. Okay, I think it's --1.0 THE COURT: About the fourth or fifth one in. 11 12 MS. PALM: Fourth one in. 13 THE COURT: And there's one with expressed malice right after. 14 15 MS. PALM: Okay. I have a proposed instruction on malice that also has the if -- if the State fails to either 16 prove malice or aforethought or disprove accident or 17 18 self-defense it's your guilty to return a verdict of not 19 guilty. I want them to have a instruction phrased in the 20 negative with respect to the second degree murder, which we 21 don't have in this packet, which I asked for on second degree 22 murder that if the State fails to prove any of elements, then 23 the jury has to return a not guilty verdict. 24 We are entitled to negatively phrased instructions 25 under Crawford (phonetic), and that's cited in my instructions.

```
1
              MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the voluntary -- I'm sorry,
 2
    the malice aforethought instruction in the packet has been
 3
    approved by the Nevada Supreme Court.
              MS. PALM: And they've also approved negatively
    phrased instructions. Meaning that just because there's a
 5
 6
    correct instruction doesn't mean the defendant doesn't get the
 7
    negatively phrased instruction.
              THE COURT: Okay. Let's mark your proposed so you
 8
    can have that as part of your record.
 9
10
              MS. PALM: Well, it's -- they're filed with the
11
    court. Do you --
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, which number is it so you
12
13
    can identify --
14
              MS, PALM: It's on page --
              MR. LALLI: (Indiscernible) a page number.
15
16
             MS. PALM: It's -- well --
             THE COURT: Do you have that?
17
18
             MS. PALM: -- that one is Page 8, and if -- if the
    court wants to do some other tweaking on the language to
    include the negatively phrased, I'll do that, too. But I think
20
   he's entitled to a negatively phrased instruction on -- on
21
22
   that.
23
             The other one is on Page 10.
24
             THE COURT: Okay, let's deal with the malice --
25
             MS. PALM: Okay.
```

THE COURT: -- instruction. Do you have anything else to add, Mr. Lalli, as far as your objection to Ms. Palm's proposed?

MR. LALLI: Yes, I don't think that instruction as written has ever been approved by the Nevada Supreme Court. Whereas, the one we're submitting has.

THE COURT: All right, the -- the malice aforethought instruction and expressed malice proposed by the State have both been approved by the Nevada Supreme Court. They are accurate statements of the law, and they -- they specifically set forth the definition of those two terms, and so that's why I'm going to give these instructions and I'm not going to give the defense proposed and -- and which number was that again, Ms. Palm, so we can put it on the record?

MS. PALM: It was -- well, I actually had a second one, but Mr. Lalli started talking. I have another definition for malice on Page 10 of mine --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PALM: -- of my proposed instructions. And that explains more what abandonment and malignant heart malice requires. The extreme recklessness regarding homicidal risks, that's from Coleman. I don't think that malice aforethought, as explained in this old instruction, has been given forever adequately defines for the jury the concept of malice.

I don't think that it tells them exactly what that

1 means. And I think this instruction's better. 2 (Pause in the proceedings). 3 THE COURT: Okay, that's instruction 10. MS. PALM: Yes. Well, it's on my Page 10. 4 MS. GRAHAM: It's Page 10. 5 THE COURT: Page 10, I'm sorry, yes. Okay, Mr. 6 Lalli, on her instruction 10. MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the -- her instruction 10 is 8 covered by the malice aforethought instruction that the court has indicated it's going to give. 10 11 THE COURT: That is correct, so I'm not going to give 12 -- actually it's Page 10 of Ms. Palm's proposed instructions, not instruction 10. Yes, Ms. Palm, next. 13 14 MS. PALM: Next is flight. We are requesting the 15 anti-flight instruction. That he is an on Page 27 of my 16 proposed instructions. And I think since the juries are allowed to consider evidence of flight as guilt, they ought to 17 be able to consider evidence of non-flight as indicating the 18 19 opposite. 20 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the citation for this 21 instruction is Caljick (phonetic). This is not the law in the 22 State of Nevada. In fact, the -- the cases in Nevada that talk about flight say that in order to give a flight instruction, 23 24 there has to be no other indication as to why the person left 25 the scene.

1 Here if there were a reverse flight situation in 2 Nevada or non-flight situation, which there's not, it's not as though the defendant was there to embrace law enforcement when 3 they arrived. I mean, the facts are certainly contrary to 5 that. So he didn't say there to turn himself in or -- or to, you know, in a forthright manner tell the police what happened, 7 so it's not supported by the law, and it's factually not 8 appropriate. 9 THE COURT: There isn't any relevant Nevada authority 10 on giving this instruction. I'm not going to give it. 11 However, it does not preclude Ms. Palm from arguing that he didn't run, he stayed (indiscernible) he stayed to provide 12 13 assistance or not. But you're free to argue his non-flight, MS. PALM: And I think that's all that I had as far 14 as additional instructions go. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to number these --17 MS. PALM: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: -- real quick and assuming you have all the same numbers, I mean the same order. The second to last 20 instruction is read back, it should be play back. That would be number 27, but we need to get one for play back. So there 21 22 are 28 ---23 MR. LALLI: I've got a play back, your Honor --24 THE COURT: You have a play back, all right. 25 MR. LALLI: -- if you just want to substitute mine.

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 2 MR. LALLI: Can I have the one you just throw away? I'm just going to put it in my packet and just make the 3 4 amendment to it. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: All right. There's 28 jury instructions. Mr. Lalli, is State familiar with instructions 1 through 28? 6 7 MR. LALLI: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you object to the giving of any of those instructions? 9 10 MR. LALLI: No. THE COURT: All right. And do you request any 11 12 additional instructions? 13 MR. LALLI: No. 14 THE COURT: And you're familiar with the verdict 15 forms and do you have any objections thereto? MR. LALLI: I do not. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Palm, you're familiar with 18 instructions 1 through 28? 19 MS. PALM: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the giving 21 of instruction 1 through 28, other than you've already set 22 forth? 23 MS. PALM: In addition, your Honor, I object to 24 instruction 26. I think that the --THE COURT: I'm sorry, which number? 25

1	MS. PALM: 26.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. PALM: I think
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MS. PALM: that the language as to whether
6	defendant is guilty or not guilty misstates the burden. I
7	think it's misleading. I think it should say whether the State
8	has met its burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the
9	defendant is guilty.
10	MR. LALLI: Which one, 26?
11	THE COURT: That's not 26.
12	MS. GRAHAM: That's 25.
13	MS. PALM: I'm sorry, I have it as 26.
14	THE COURT: All right, 25.
15	MS. PALM: Is it 25? Okay. In arriving at a
16	verdict?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MS. PALM: So we're not starting with one as one.
19	THE COURT: No page one
20	MS. PALM: Or the first page as 1.
21	THE COURT: is 1.
22	MR, LALLI: First page one is 1.
23	MS. PALM: Okay, I've got an extra one in here, then.
24	THE COURT: Well, it's number 25, so your objection
25	is?

MS. PALM: My objection is I think it misstates the burden by saying whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. It ought to say whether the State has met its burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, strike not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Lalli.

MR. LALLI: Well, that's their charge. I mean, there is a guilty verdict on the verdict form and there's a not guilty verdict. So that's their charge. The court has already instructed them in -- in voir dire in these instructions that it's our burden to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

THE COURT: All right, so --

MR. LALLI: In addition, this just talks about whether they can consider punishment.

THE COURT: Yeah, that's correct. So you're objection's noted. Any other numbered instructions?

MS. PALM: As far as their malice aforethought instruction, which is number 5, I think that it should have a period after the first sentence or excused because or what the law considers adequate provocation does not apply to circumstances here, and I think it's confusing.

MR. LALLI: Well, adequate provocation could be, for instance, self-defense. I mean it could be a whole number of things, and it's phrased in terms of what the law considers. So I think the sentence is proper.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't think this -- that instruction 5 is misleading to the jury, so you're objection's 2 3 noted for the record. Any other numbers? MS. PALM: Nope, that was the fine one -- the final 4 objection. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. And besides those objections, do 7 you have any other objections to the giving of 1 through 28? 8 MS. PALM: No. 9 THE COURT: And you're requesting additional instructions other than you've already -- you've already 10 11 discussed on the record? 12 MS. PALM: No. THE COURT: Okay. And are you familiar with the 13 verdict form? Any objection thereto? 15 MS. PALM: No. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right, we'll call the jury in, and Ms. Palm, since we did not -- defense did not rest in front 17 of the jury, I'll ask you if you have any other witnesses and then you'll say rest and I'll ask the State if they have any 19 20 rebuttal and then we'll go into closing. 21 MS. PALM: Okay. 22 THE COURT: Well, jury instructions. 23 MR. LALLI: Okay. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MS. GRAHAM: And, Judge, can we take ten minutes

```
after reading to go into closing?
  1
  2
              MR. LALLI: Well, why don't you set up now?
              MS. GRAHAM: I -- well, I am. I just want to make
 3
    sure technically it's --
 5
              MR. LALLI: Right, let's do it now so --
              THE COURT: Well, set it up now if you have --
 8
 7
              MR. LALLI: -- we don't have to break --
 8
              MS. GRAHAM: Okay. All right.
 9
              MR. LALLI: -- take the jury out and bring them back
10
    in.
11
              MS. GRAHAM: How -- show me.
12
              THE COURT: Yeah, I don't want to --
              MS. PALM: Can I look at your instructions because I
13
    have an extra one in here somewhere. I don't know what it is.
15
                      (Pause in the proceedings).
16
              MR. LALLI: Are we --
             MS. PALM: Found the culprit.
17
18
              MR. LALLI: Are we turned over?
19
              THE CLERK: Yes.
20
                      (Pause in the proceedings).
21
             THE COURT: Are we ready for the jury to come in?
22
             MR. LALLI: It's not reading it. I just need one
23
   second to --
24
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. LALLI: -- reboot it.
25
```

THE COURT: Well, can we work on that while I'm 1 2 reading the instructions? 3 MR. LALLI: Well ---4 MS. GRAHAM: Well, I have to change one --5 MR. LALLI: -- it will be distracting. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 MS. GRAHAM: -- because of Trish's change. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 (Pause in the proceedings). 10 (Court went off the record at 1:58 p.m. until 2:09 p.m.). 11 (Pause in the proceedings). 12 (In the presence of the jury). 13 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the presence of the jury. Please be seated. 14 15 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I want to thank you for your patience. We had a computer problem that's been 16 resolved. Ms. Falm, do you have any other witnesses you wish 17 18 to call in this case? 19 MS. PALM: No, your Honor, but I do have some 20 documents that I need to admit in. 21 THE COURT: All right. Defendant's Proposed Exhibit 22 K. 23 MR. LALLI: No objection. 24 THE COURT: Okay, it will be admitted. 25 (Exhibit K admitted).

1	MS. PALM: And Defendant's Proposed Exhibit E.
2	THE CLERK: E's already admitted.
3	MS. PALM: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm looking at the wrong
4	thing then, I think.
5	THE CLERK: I have K and M.
6	MS. PALM: Oh, I'm sorry, it's five d's.
7	THE CLERK: Five d's? The military records, yes,
8	those are admitted. Sorry, those are not admitted. Five d's,
9	yeah.
10	MR. LALLI: Yeah, I have no objection to that.
11	THE COURT: Okay, they'll be admitted.
12	(Exhibit DDDDD admitted).
13	MS. PALM: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Any other exhibits or
15	MS. PALM: No, your Honor, and the defense rests.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Any rebuttal witnesses for State?
17	MR. LALLI: No, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, both sides have
19	rested in this case. It is now my duty as judge to instruct
20	you on the law that applies to this case.
21	(Jury instructions; not be transcribed).
22	THE COURT: State, your closing, please.
23	STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT
24	MS. GRAHAM: Thank you, Judge. She's poison. I hate
25	her and I want to kill her. She took three years of my life.

She sent me to prison. That's what the defendant said about Victoria Whitmarsh. He killed her on the night of November 5th, 2008. He did it intentionally and he had a motive.

This is the verdict form that you will have in your packet and you're going to be asked to deliberate on. And it's really simple. If you can see it, there are three boxes. The first box is second degree murder with use of a deadly weapon, second degree murder without use of a deadly weapon and not guilty.

I'm going to walk you through the evidence as you've heard it in this past week, past seven days, and -- and I'm going to apply that evidence to the law that the just -- judge just instructed to you on this case. I'm going to show you how (indiscernible) this case. First of all, let's get one thing right out of the way from the get go. There's been all kinds of talk about Brian being intoxicated.

There's no doubt, Detective Wildemann said he smelled of alcohol, that he appeared to be intoxicated. There's been testimony from neighbors that were on the scene on the night of November 5th, 2008, that he appeared to be intoxicated. There were statements by officers that he smelled of alcohol. In fact, I think it was Officer Ballejos who testified that he appeared to be extremely intoxicated. Guess what? That doesn't matter.

MS. PALM: Objection, your Honor. May we approach?

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: You're objection's sustained.

MS. PALM: Thank you.

MS. GRAHAM: Voluntary intoxication is not a defense to second degree murder. No act committed by a person while in the state of voluntary intoxication shall be deemed less criminal by reason of (indiscernible). Voluntary intoxication does not negate the element of malice inherit in the crime of murder as we've charged it, second degree murder. And I'll explain second degree murder just a little bit later.

Ms. Palm has made a point of -- of showing a photograph of the defendant after the -- the interview that he had with Detective Wildemann, and the fact that he was so intoxicated that the photo -- in the photo it depicted another officer having to hold him up. That was in the interview room several hours after he murdered Victoria. This was at the crime scene.

He stood on his own with his hands behind his back.

Didn't have any trouble standing up by himself. He was not so intoxicated that he did not understand what was going on in that interview room.

Deadly weapon. You're going to be asked to determine whether a deadly weapon was used in this case. And the law defines a deadly weapon as in this case any weapon, device, instrument, under the circumstances in which it was used is

readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death.

The weapon in this case, a knife.

В

I think that we can all agree that that knife that was used to stab Victoria Whitmarsh is a deadly weapon that is capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death. Result, knock that out right away. What we have left is not guilty and guilty of murder of a second degree with use of a deadly weapon.

So let's talk about how we get there. First of all, there's been some claims that perhaps defendant acted in self-defense. I think you've heard statements and some evidence throughout these past few days that perhaps Victoria attacked him, that Victoria cut him. This is not -- this is not a case of self-defense.

We're going to go through the instructions of self-defense, but first of all, Victoria was described by many witnesses as petite, small, little girl. The medical examiner testified that she was five foot, four and weighed 108 pounds. She's slight,

Self-defense, the killing of another in self-defense is justified and not unlawful when the person who does the killing actually and reasonably believes that there was eminent danger, that he -- that Victoria, in this case, would have killed him or caused him great bodily injury, and that it is absolutely necessary -- that it was absolutely necessary for

the defendant under the circumstances for him to use in self-defense force or means that might cause the death of Victoria for the purpose -- for the purpose of avoiding death or great bodily injury to himself.

A bear fear of death alone or great bodily injury is not sufficient to justify that killing. To justify taking the life of another in self-defense, the circumstances must be sufficient to excite the fears of a reasonable person placed in a similar situation. The person killing must not act under the influence of those fears alone -- must act under those fears alone and not in revenge.

An honest but unreasonable belief and the necessity for self-defense does not negate malice. Was defendant's belief, if that's his theory, was it reasonable under the circumstances? There's absolutely no evidence to corroborate defendant's claim that he murdered Victoria in self-defense. There isn't, except for his statements.

MS. PALM: Your Honor, I'm going to object to burden shifting at this time.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

MS. GRAHAM: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: It's closing argument.

MS. GRAHAM: You -- we've admitted into evidence the statement that defendant made to Detective Wildemann. It was audio recorded, video recorded. You're going to be able to

have that back into deliberations with you to view again. But there's some interesting things that defendant, you'll note, you know, states in there. Detective Wildemann asked him repeatedly how did you cut your hand?

I challenge you to go back there and count how many times defendant said I don't know, I don't know, I don't know. Later, later he says he grabbed the knife out of V's hand, Victoria's hand, and said what the fuck are you doing, don't be stupid. Prior to that, throughout the whole interview when Detective Wildemann is questioning him on how he got the cut on his happened, I don't know, I don't know, I don't know. But he does know all kinds of other details. That audio/video speaks for itself, folks. It does. It speaks for itself.

Credibility. The judge has given you instruction on credibility. So that's really important here because not just defendant, but all of the witnesses that have testified, you have to judge their credibility. And credibility can be judged based on somebody's fears, motives, interests or feelings.

What were Brian's motives when he made statements to Detective Wildemann? What was his motive?

But, you say, okay, defendant has injuries, what are -- what about defendant's injuries? We've got possibly a rug burn on his face because he was taken into custody, he had to be tazed and forced down and taken into custody at the scene. He's got a cut on his finger. That cut, State submits to you

that cut happened when he stabbed Victoria because he lost his grip when the blood got on his hands. And that's consistent with others that have committed stabbings.

He's got, I don't know, some -- some bruising on his arm there. Well, Detective Taylor testified that when he took him into custody, he had one arm behind his back and defendant wasn't budging even after he had to be -- after he was tazed once. It took a second cycle. The officers had to take him into custody. And then the scratches on the back. I don't know. Defendant's actions are not legally justified by a claim of self-defense in this case.

He murdered Victoria. Now we're going to talk about what second degree murder is. Murder in the second degree is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought. And that's a really strange word, malice aforethought. And it's -- it's -- it's a concept that I'm hoping that I can explain to you because it can be confusing if you're hearing it for the first time.

And malice aforethought can be either expressed malice or implied malice. The unlawful killing may be effective by any of the various needs by which (indiscernible) in this case a stabbing. Malice aforethought means the intentional doing of a wrongful act without legal cause or excuse or what the law considers adequate provocation. For instance, self-defense. We've already ruled that out.

The condition of the mind described as malice aforethought may arise —— okay, so the condition of mind of malice aforethought may arise from anger, hatred, revenge or from a particular ill will, spite or grudge toward the person killed. It may also arise from any unjustifiable or unlawful motive or purpose to injure another person receiving from a heart, excuse me, fatally bent on mischief or with reckless disregard of the consequences of the act.

20 /

Malice aforethought doesn't apply any deliberation, the judge has told you that, or any lacks of time. It denotes an unlawful purpose and a design as opposed to accident or mischance. Victoria's murder was not an accident. She didn't stab herself. Although, that may be another theory that it was an accident. I think that with regard to the accident we have some testimony from Mr. Schiro, I think it was that testified to the State -- or I mean for the -- for the defense accidental stabbing. It wasn't an accident and it wasn't -- and she didn't stab herself.

We can use common sense, guys, but not imagination.

And that's exactly what Mr. Schiro did. He used his imagination when he talked about this being an accidental stabbing. In addition to his report being a supplemental report after he had read some transcripts from a prior proceeding of the defendant's testimony, he expanded -- he expanded his report to include an accidental stabbing. And you

talked to you about how he came to the conclusion that it could have been an accident, and I think he testified he used his imagination.

Well, he didn't use scientific means like a dummy or any kind of taping or any kind of trajectory rods or anything like that. But no, just his imagination. And let me tell you something about that imagination. That imagination assumes that Victoria was standing up when she was stabbed. Now, I think the pictures kind of speak for themselves. You can look at those. But it's highly doubtful based on the photos at the crime scene that Victoria was standing when the defendant stabbed her to death.

This is important, too, to understand, common sense, not imagination. There's a jury instruction on common sense. And the law allows you to use your common sense, and it allows you to use the experiences and background that you bring to the table. You're not supposed to leave that outside the door. You can use your common sense and make reasonable inferences based on your common sense from the evidence that's presented to you from these last few days. And that's what that instruction says, and you have that in your packet when you go back.

Before we go to malice, I just want to make a couple of points on -- on why this is not a suicide either. You know, that -- that was another possible theory that the defendant has

thrown out there for you. And -- and I think, you know, based on the fact that there was a stipulated portion of some medical records that Victoria suffered from depression. She attempted to commit suicide a few times.

And yes, sometimes she used a knife in that attempt to commit suicide, sometimes scissors. But she always slit her wrists. She didn't try to stab herself in an awkward position, which both medical examiners, both Dr. Benjamin who performed the autopsy and their expert medical examiner indicated to you that it was not likely that that stab wound was self-inflicted. It's very awkward, based on the trajectory and the entrance and -- and the positioning, you know, on her right side for her to get up there. It just doesn't even make any sense. Common sense. It doesn't make sense that that was self-inflicted.

The fact that she was depressed and had anger outbursts and that she committed suicide and oh, oh, of course, of course, she was on medication, too. She was on medication and darn it, she was on medication for depression so somehow that makes her an aggressor in the situation. But never in any of those medical records that are stipulated in evidence does it ever state that she ever turned her aggression outwards and went --

MS. FALM: Your Honor --

MS. GRAHAM: -- and tried to harm somebody else.

MS. PALM: -- may we approach?

THE COURT: All right.

(Off-record bench conference).

MS. GRAHAM: Again, folks, there's no evidence (indiscernible) evidence in the record that you've heard that Victoria ever turned a knife on anybody else, scissors on anybody else but herself. Yes, she had episodes of depression, she was on Effexor. Does that give somebody the right to can kill her? To murder her? I don't think so. I don't think so.

All right, so let's get back to malice because as I've explained, second degree murder requires State to prove that the defendant had malice aforethought. So what is malice? Well, we've got expressed malice and implied malice. All right. Expressed malice is deliberate intention unlawfully to take away the life of a human being, which is manifested by external circumstances capable of proof.

Okay, expressed malice requires the intentional act, intentional unlawful act (indiscernible) of an intentional unlawful act, the stabbing. Malice may also be implied when no considerable provocation appears or when all the circumstances of the killing show an abandoned and malignant heart. And I think all the circumstances together definitely show that there's at a minimum implied malice.

Motive versus intent. Okay, that's important here, okay. The State's not required to prove motive. And we'll get to that. To constitute the crime charged in this case it's

second degree murder, there must exist a joint -- a union or joint operation of an act that's forbidden by law and intent to do that act. In summary that means forbidden by law, a murder, a stabbing, and the intent to do the act. The intentional stabbing into Victoria's body.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case. Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind. The state of mind with which the act was done. Motive is not an element of the crime charged, in this case second degree murder. We don't have to prove a motive.

However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive when you come to your decision. The prosecution, we are not required to present direct evidence of the defendant's state of mind. You may infer it from the facts of the case. That means the demeanor, the credibility, all the evidence (indiscernible). We don't have to prove -- it would be kind of hard, actually, to get in somebody's mind and know what they were thinking at that moment. You kind of have to infer that from all of the evidence. And that's going to be your job back in the deliberation room once you have the evidence to use your common sense and infer all of those things.

When defendant murdered Victoria acted with malice aforethought, and although we are not required to prove motive.

1		THE COURT: All right.
2		(Off-record bench conference)
3		MS. PALM: Okay.
4	BY MS. P	ALM:
5	a	So when for the three months or so that you lived
6	with Bri	an and Cheryl, you saw them every day?
7	А	Oh, yes.
8	٥	Okay. And did you ever hear Brian say that he wanted
9	co kill	Victoria?
10	A	Never.
11	٥	Okay. Did you ever see Brian demonstrate how he
12	would ki	ll somebody with knives?
13	A	No. Not at all.
14	Q	Okay. Did you want did you ever tell Brian you
15	wanted h	im out of the house?
16	A	No.
17	o	Did you ever tell Cheryl you wanted Brian out of the
18	house?	
19	А	No, I didn't.
20	Q	When Brian actually moved out of the house and got
21	the apart	ment, did he do that on his own?
32	A	Yes.
23	Q	And then did Cheryl follow him to the apartment?
24	A	Well she they went together, as far as I can
25	remember,	

1	Q	Okay. Did you ever come to know Victoria Whitmarsh?
2	A	I met her twice.
3	Q	Okay. What were the circumstances of that?
4	A	Well one day I think it was twice. They came
5	over, and	Brian washed clothes all day. And I visited with
6	Victoria.	And I can't remember the other time. But I think it
7	was twice	that they were over there.
8	Q	Okay. From the time that you spent with her, would
9	you consi	der her to be a meek person?
10	A	Not at all.
11	Q	Did you have were you able to form an opinion
12	whether s	he was a submissive person?
13	A	Definitely not.
14	0	Did you ever see Brian Chreaten her when she was with
15	him.	
16	A	No, he treated her
17	Q	No
18	A	great.
19	0	Okay, Are you still friends with Brian today?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Thank you, Dorothy.
22		MS. PALM: No more questions.
23		THE WITNESS: That's all?
24		THE COURT: Oh, just
25		MS. PALM: That's all for me.
		I

	l'
1	THE COURT: hang on, ma'am,
2	THE WITNESS: Oh.
3	THE COURT: The district attorney may have the
4	deputy district attorney
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.
6	THE COURT: may have some questions for you.
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. LALLI:
10	Q Ms. Robe, just one question. Were you aware that Mr.
11	O'Keefe actually was convicted and sent to prison for battering
12	Victoria? Did you know that? And just
13	A Yes.
14	Q yes or no. Okay. Does that
15	A Yes.
16	Q Does that change your opinion about how he treated
17	her?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay, thank you.
20	MR. LALLI: Nothing else.
21	THE COURT: Any redirect?
22	MS. PALM: No.
23	THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? No
24	questions. All right. Thank you, ma'am. You are excused.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i

1	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
2	THE WITNESS: Now can I be in the audience?
3	THE COURT: No. you need to
4	THE WITNESS: Oh.
5	THE COURT: leave the courtroom.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. And sit out there
7	(indiscernible).
8	THE COURT: Be careful.
9	THE WITNESS: Okay. (Indiscernible) very shaky
10	today.
11	(Pause in proceedings)
12	THE COURT: Do you have any other witnesses for
13	today, Ms. Palm?
14	MS. PALM: I do not, Your Honor. My witnesses the
15	rest of them are lined up for tomorrow morning.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I've been
17	advised by the attorneys that they will complete the
18	evidentiary portion of this trial tomorrow. We will have
19	deliberation comorrow as well. But there are a couple some
20	more evidence that will be presented to you as part of this
21	trial.
22	Because of your service last week and this week, and
23	we should be getting into closing arguments tomorrow, the Court
24	will provide your lunch for tomorrow. Okay.
25	During this evening recess, it is your duty not to

converse among yourselves, or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial. Or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or the internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until this matter is submitted to you.

Before I give you the exact time, can I have counsel approach, please?

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we'll resume tomorrow at 9:45. I do have a lengthy calendar tomorrow, and I hope to be able to get it through for a -- we may be a few minutes late. But I'm shooting for 9:45 tomorrow. So we'll see you back 9:45. Please have a safe drive home.

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury panel. And State, I think you say you just need to go run back to your office to get your jury instructions?

MR. LALLI: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And Ms. Palm, do you have those with you today?

MS. PALM: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. As soon as everyone's ready,

81	
1	we can hash out the instructions.
2	MR. LALLI: Thank you. You want to do that in
3	chambers first? Or how does I'm not sure how
4	THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that.
s	MR. LALLI: the Court does that.
6	THE COURT: And we can
7	MS. PALM: I'm sorry, how much time do we have before
8	we do that?
9	THE COURT: Whatever you need. Whatever
10	MS. PALM: No, I mean
11	THE COURT: both sides need.
12	MS. PALM: I'm just going to talk to her for a minute
13	outside.
14	THE COURT: How long will it take you?
15	MS. PALM: He has to go get his instructions, right?
16	THE COURT: About ten minutes, Mr. Lalli?
17	MR. LALLI: Yeah, at the most.
18	MS. PALM: Okay.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	(Court recessed at 5:27 p.m., until Tuesday,
21	August 31, 2010)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

INDEX

WITNESSES

NAME																										
						_		DĮ	RE	CT		_8	CR	05	S		- 8	RE	DI	RE	CT		R	EC	RO	SS
PLAI	VII.	FF'S	_ W	IT	NES	SE	5:															-				
Danie	el :	Forc	1						8				2	đ			50	34	/2	3						
Joce:			70 P.O.	na	do				8				4				67								68	62
Robb!	ie	Dahr	-						ĭ				8				٠,	, 0	0,	0.5					00	S
Edwa				er					3			- 3	11				1	26	/1	27				3	26	Š.
Jenni								12					15					59		G 1				*	20	
dart:	L W	ilde	ma	nn				16						2				10								
DEFE	ISE'	SW	IT	NE.	SS:																					
orot	hy	Rob	9					21	6			9	223													
										*	*	•														
									35	EXI	415	37'	PS													
ESCF	RIP.	TION	:							===	~		-					_	_	_		_ 2	ADI	YI'	TT,	ED
xhit	its	s 59	,	63	th	ΓO	ugh	7	6	825	136		7		27	-	٠		8	9	18	7		10		1.
xhib	oi t.s	s MM		NN.	. 0	ο.	PP		റവ		P		:0		· m·		1.1	*	л:		this				vv	
D, A	A,	EE,	G	G,	cč	,	FF	** : **	***	5 800 3500	*		****	्र- -		*		113 30	6089 33	**	331)	: :: :::	٠٨,	910 - 100 -	L	23
xhib	i t	132) } } } } }			200		96																		1361
xhib	it.	124	1 20	- 686	62 6		16 67		8	-	8	Ţ	ŝ	1	8	2	旋	遵.	Į.	1	3	1	1	-	7.0	4
xhib											200	e :				8	Š	8	•	7/		•	•	10		= 27.5
					29. 1	•	**	•	**		#3		S-6		•10	***		114		•	•	•	•		•	4
	11.1				35. 3		•		•			•	•	i ÷	•	•	*	•			3.0		14	•	•	4
xhib																										
xhib xhib	it	58	N/	*	35 8	* 5	* 2	*	3	2 ? (*	•	•	() -	(0)	30	•	•	(•)	1	Š	•	•	15		
xhib xhib xhib	it it	58 88,	z,	. Y	(, ,)	в,	AA	Α,	BI	88,	·		•	DE	· D,	· E	Ee	•	FE	F,		iGG		HE	Η,	
xhib xhib xhib II,	it it JJJ	58 BB, J. K	z,	. І	.I.I.		MMM	. 1	MN	1.	00	M.	P	DP		DE	P	C	CC		TT	140	- 6	1727	1	ķī.
xhib xhib xhib II,	it it JJJ	58 BB, J. K	z,	. І	.I.I.		MMM	. 1	MN	1.	00	M.	P	DP		DE	P	C	CC		TT	140	- 6	1727	1	ķī.
xhib xhib xhib II, VV,	it JJJ WWW	58 BB, I, K I, X	Z, KK,	, I	YYY	,	MMM ZZZ		NNI.			ю,	E	PP	•	RF '	·R,				TT	Τ,	•	JUI,	J,	
xhib xhib II, VV,	it JJJ WWW	58 BB, I, K I, X	z, kk, xx,	, I	AA	, ! , :	MMM ZZZ SS.	, ! ss.	NNIN.),))		ю, · ·	PP	PF.		RF ·	iR,		NN	,	TI	T,		JUI,	J,	ķī.
xhib xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK,	it JJJ WWW its II	58 BB, I, K I, X VV	Z, KK, XX,	, I , Y , C EEE	ב, ו מצא ב, ו	, ! U, HH!	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	, ! SS, GC	MNI GGC), 200	Q,	XO,	PP	PF P, FF	o FF	PEF OC	R, · · DD	- N DDD	SS NNN	N,	TT	T,		JUL	J,	49
xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK, BBB,	it JJJ WWW its II AA	58 BB, I, K I, X VV III,	Z, KK, XX,	, I , Y , C EEE	TL, 1	, ! U, HH!	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	, ! SS, G(NNI GGC	200		P WW	PP	PP.	O	OC	R, - DD, DD	S N DDD	SS NNN	N, CC	TT M	MM	M,	πο ι,		ķī.
xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK, BBB,	oit JJJ WWW oits II AA	58 BB, I, K I, X VV III, AA	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y , C EEE	ondi	, I , : U, HH! h &	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	FWW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,		49
xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK, BBB, xhib	it JJJ WWW its AA its	58 BB, I, K I, X VV (II, AA ; 77 ; 12	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y , C EEE	ondi	, I , : U, HH! h &	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	FWW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,		49 59
xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK, BBB, xhib xhib	it JJJ WWW its II AA its its	58 BB, I, K I, X VV III, AA 77 12:	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y EEE	LL (YY JUUI L, J nugl	, I U, HHI h 8	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	FWW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,		49 59 74
xhib xhib II, VV, xhib KKK, BBB, xhib xhib xhib	it JJJ WWW its II AA its its	58 BB, I, K I, X VV III, AA 77 12: 123	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y EEE	LL (YY JUUI L, J nugl	, I U, HHI h 8	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	E WW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,	· ·	49 59 74 92
xhib xhib XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX	it JJJ WWW its its its its	58 BB, K, K, VV (II, AA ; 77 ; 12; 123 ; 123	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y EEE	LL (YY JUUI L, J nugl	, I U, HHI h 8	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	E WW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,).	49 59 74 92 39
Exhib Exhib EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB EXHIB	it JJJ WWW its its its its	58 BB, K, K, VV (II, AA 123 123 133 134	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y EEE	LL (YY JUUI L, J nugl	, I U, HHI h 8	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	E WW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,	J.	49 59 74 92 49 49
Exhib Exhib Exhib EXHIB	oit JJJJ WWW its III AA its its its it	58 BB, K, K, V, K,	Z, KK, XX, VV, EI	, I , Y EEE	LL (YY JUUI L, J nugl	, I U, HHI h 8	MMM ZZZ SS. HH,	ss. GC	MNN GGC	200	00	E WW	PP	P. P. FE	O FF	OC	R,	- N DD	INN INN	N, CC	TT	MM	M,	πο ι,		49 59 74 92 39

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC + (303) 798-0890

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC Littleton, CO 80120 303-798-0890

JULIE LORD. TRANSCRIBER

11-15-10

DATE

ORIGINAL :

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA FILED

Nov 23 18 24 AM 110

CLERK . TIL COURT

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C-250630

VS.

DEPT. NO. 17

BRIAN KERRY O'KEEFE.

Defendant.

Transcript of Proceedings

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 7

TUESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2010

080250630 TRANS Transcript of Proceedings 1970260

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

CHRISTOPHER LALLI, ESQ.

Assistant District Attorney

STEPHANIE GRAHAM, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

PATRICIA PALM, ESO.

Special Deputy Public Defender

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

MICHELLE RAMSEY District Court

VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC.

Littleton, CO 80120 (303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

RECEIVED

NOV 2 3 2010

CLERK OF THE GOLDIN

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 31, 2010, AT 10:15 A.M.

(Outside the presence of the jury).

THE MARSHAL: All right, let's come to order and make sure our cell phones are turned off, please.

THE COURT: Where's our defendant? Okay. We're on the record, Michelle?

THE COURT RECORDER: Yes, we are.

THE COURT: All right, we're outside the presence of the jury panel. Mr. Lalli, during the conference with you and Ms. Palm in chambers, you advised me of a situation on the elevator. If you could put that on the record, please.

MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor, this morning I was coming up to the 11th floor on the elevator and I entered a crowded elevator, and there were a number of people on it, one of whom was Debra Ratanapool (phonetic), Jury No. 6, I believe.

On the way up to the 11th floor two attorneys, Jeanie Wa (phonetic) and Dan Winder were on the elevator having a discussion between themselves. And at one point Mr. Winder, and certainly am not suggesting was intentional or anything of that nature, but Mr. Winder looked at me and he said words to the effect, I understand you're in trial. And I said, yes. And he said, I believe you're doing that with Trish, reference to Ms. Palm, and I said, yes. And he -- he mentioned something about he was supposed to come see part of it or watch part of the trial. And then he said the defendant's name. He said

4

O'Keefe, I think that's a retrial or I heard that's a retrial 1 2 or it is a retrial. Certainly he said something audible about 3 O'Keefe and retrial. And as soon as he did that, I immediately placed my 5 finger to my lips in the be quiet sort of gesture without saying anything to either confirm or deny it. And he acknowledged that. And my concern is that Ms. Ratanapool might have heard it. And if she did, you know, there's always the 9 possibility that she might have disclosed something to another 10 juror, so obviously I've got an ethical obligation to disclose 11 that to the court. And it would be our request that Ma. Ratanapool just come in and perhaps be questioned by the court 12 on a, did she hear anything, what did she hear, and did she 13 14 disclose it to jurors. 15 THE COURT: Right. MR. LALLI: And then depending on what she says, the 16 17 court at some point would have to make a determination to 18 whether she were still fit to be on the jury. 19 THE COURT: Anything to add, Ms. Palm, because I'm 20 going to bring her in? 21 MS. PALM: Not at this point. We'll wait until

MS. PALM: -- because I still need to talk to Mr.

22

23

24

25

after --

O'Keefe about it.

THE COURT: Yeah.

1 THE COURT: Okay, well, can we -- I can bring -- I'm 2 going to bring her in right now. 3 MS. PALM: Yes, no --THE COURT: All right. 4 5 MS. PALM: -- that's fine. THE COURT: Miss, what is it, how do you pronounce 6 7 her name? 8 THE MARSHAL: No. 6, Ratanapool. 9 THE COURT: Ratanapool. Bring just her in. All right, Cliff. Morning, Ms. Ratanapool. 10 11 JUROR NO. 6: Good morning. THE COURT: We called you in here, you're not in 12 trouble, okay. 13 14 JUROR NO. 6: Okay. 15 THE COURT: All right, you look a little nervous. This morning when you were coming up to the 11th floor Mr. 16 17 Lalli saw you in one of the elevators. 18 JUROR NO. 6: (Indiscernible). 19 THE COURT: And he mentioned to me and to Ms. Palm 20 that some attorneys, other attorneys were in the elevator and 21 they may have spoke to Mr. Lalli or may have made some comments 22 about this case. Did you overhear anything? 23 JUROR NO. 6: The only thing I know that happened, which I thought of like after I said it, I was standing next to 25 a lady that probably worked in the court. I think, she had a

1	newborn baby, four-week-old baby, and we were in the middle of
2	two ladies. Darling little baby next to me, like I said, very,
3	very young. She had her the baby had her necklace, her
4	chain necklace in her hand, and all I said was because I know
5	we're not supposed to say anything, but I said it, and then I
6	thought oh, I'm not supposed to talk to anybody, she's got your
7	necklace. And that's all I said. And that's all I heard.
8	(Indiscernible). I thought well (indiscernible) newborn baby
9	and she's got the necklace in her hand, and I just that's
10	all I said and that's all I heard and that's it.
11	THE COURT: Did you overhear any conversations with
12	anyone else in the elevator?
13	JUROR NO. 6: No, I did not.
14	THE COURT: Did you overhear any conversations
15	involving Mr. Lalli?
16	JUROR NO. 6: No.
17	THE COURT: Not directed at you, directed at anybody
18	else?
19	JUROR NO. 6: No.
20	THE COURT: Did you hear anyone
21	JUROR NO. 6: I just I just thought to myself I
22	shouldn't talk, I'm not supposed to.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Did you hear anyone else direct a
24	conversation to Mr. Lelli?
25	JUROR NO. 6: I really wasn't listening to anybody

```
else. I was thinking I wasn't supposed to talk, and I just
    was --
              THE COURT: Well, talking to someone with a baby,
    that's okay, so you're not talking about the case. You're not
    in trouble.
 5
 6
              JUROR NO. 6: Oh, no, okay.
 7
              THE COURT: You're not in -- no, that's --
 8
              JUROR NO. 6: Okay.
 9
             THE COURT: You just can't talk about the case,
    that's all.
10
11
             JUROR NO. 6: No oh, all right.
12
             THE COURT: No, if there's a cute baby in the
    elevator, that's -- that's fine, okay. But you -- you didn't
13
   hear anything regarding this case?
             JUROR NO. 6: No.
15
16
             THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Lalli, do you have any
17
   questions?
18
             MR. LALLI: No questions.
19
             THE COURT: Ms. Palm?
20
             MS. PALM: None, thanks.
21
             THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Ratanapool, this issue's
22
   right here are -- you know, have nothing to do with the
23
   evidence of this case, so I direct you not to discuss these
24
   questions with the jurors.
25
             JUROR NO. 6: Okay.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you have a seat 2 outside, and we'll call everybody in in just a minute. 3 JUROR NO. 6: All right. 4 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 5 JUROR NO. 6: Thank you. б THE MARSHAL: Do we know probably how long the jury instructions will be so --7 THE COURT: We're not going to do them right now. 8 9 THE MARSHAL: -- (indiscernible) get coffee or --10 THE COURT: No, we'll start with the testimony because we're ready. Ms. Palm, do you have anything regarding 11 12 this juror? 13 MS. FALM: No, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: (Indiscernible)? Okay. And at this time 15 since the State has rested, I'm going to give your client the Carter instruction. Mr. O'Keefe, can you please listen very 16 17 carefully. 18 Under the Constitution of the United States of 19 America and the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot 20 be compelled to testify in this case. Do you understand that, 21 sir? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. You may at your own request 24 give up that right and take the witness stand and testify. If 25 you do, you will be subject to cross-examination by one of the

A

deputy district attorneys, and anything that you may say, be it 1 on direct from -- question from Ms. Palm or on 2 1 cross-examination will be the subject of fair comment when you 3 deputy district attorney speaks to the jury in final argument. 4 5 Do you understand that, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: If you choose not to testify, the court will not permit the district attorneys to make any comment to 9 the jury because you have not testified. Do you understand 10 that, sir? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: If you elect not to testify, the court 13 will instruct the jury, but only if your attorney specifically 14 requests as follows: The law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption 15 may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from a 16 failure of a defendant to testify. Do you understand that, 17 sir? 18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions regarding these rights I've just advised you of? 21 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. If you have a felony conviction within the last ten years, sir, the district attorney, if you 24 take the stand, can inquire or your attorney can as far as 25

10411	
1	whether or not you've been convicted of a felony, what was the
2	felony and when did it happen. Do you understand that, sir?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Palm, does your client have
5	any prior felony convictions that you've advised him about?
6	MS. PALM: He does, your Honor, and I have advised
7	him.
8	THE COURT: Okay. All right, anything else before we
9	call the jury? By the defense?
10	MS. PALM: No.
11	THE COURT: By the State?
12	MR. LALLI: No, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. LALLI: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Bring the jury in and call Ms. Palm's
16	witness.
17	MS. PALM: Mr. Burger.
18	THE COURT: Ms. Palm, total witnesses time wise so I
19	can schedule lunch.
20	MS. PALM: Gosh, these witnesses are all really
21	short. But I can tell you the last one's not going to be here
22	until 11:00, so and he's probably going to be a 20 minute
23	witness.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	THE CLERK: (Indiscernible).

1 MS. PALM: Paisano. 2 THE COURT: Ms. Palm, and I understand if for tactical reasons you haven't made a decision yet or I don't 3 know if you're able to tell me whether or not your client's going to take the stand. I'm just trying to schedule the lunch 5 for the jurors to order lunch. 7 MS. PALM: And your Honor, I'm just trying to talk to 8 him about it right now. THE COURT: Okay, all right. And if you feel that 9 would effect your tact as a trial strategy, then feel free to 10 11 say you haven't decided yet, okay. 12 MS. PALM: Okay. I haven't decided at this exact 13 moment. THE COURT: Okay. Officer, could you catch our 14 marshal and ask bim to inquire from the jurors if there's 15 16 anyone vegetarian. 17 THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: (Indiscernible). 18 THE COURT: Yeah. 19 (Pause in the proceedings). 20 THE COURT: Are we ready, Cliff? 21 THE MARSHAL: We're ready, but I know we're waiting 22 on something. 23 THE COURT: What? No, we're ready to go. MS. PALM: Can we approach --24 25 THE COURT: All right.

1	MS. PALM: while we're waiting.
2	(Off-record bench conference).
3	(In the presence of the jury).
4	THE MARSHAL: Officers and members of the court,
5	Department 17 jurors.
6	(Off-record bench conference).
7	THE MARSHAL: Please be seated, ladies and gentlemen.
B	Let's make sure cell phones are turned off, please.
9	THE COURT: All right, Ms. Palm, your first witness,
10	please.
11	MS. PALM: Tracy Burger.
12	THE COURT: Actually, if's not your first witness.
13	We've called some witnesses already.
14	THE MARSHAL: Mr. Burger, if you'll remain standing,
15	please, sir. Raise your right hand and face the clerk.
16	TRACY BURGER, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	THE MARSHAL: Please have a seat. Slide up to the
18	microphone and place state and spell your name for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: My name is Tracy Burger, T-r-a-c-y
20	first name. Last name, Burger, B-u-r-g-e-r.
21	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Palm.
22	MS. PALM: Thank you.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. PALM:
25	Q Good morning, Mr. Burger.

1	A	Morning.
2	0	Can you tell the jury how you're employed, sir?
3	A	Well, presently I'm unemployed.
4	Q	Okay. How were you employed in November of 2008?
5	A	I was the labor superintendent for MJ Dean
6	Construct	ion (phonetic).
7	Q	Okay. And where is that company located?
8	A	Las Vegas, Nevada.
9	Q	And do you know Brian O'Keefe?
10	A	I do.
11	٥	And could you tell the jury where he's seated and
12	what he's	wearing, if he's in here today?
13	A	Sitting at the table there with a black tie or
14	blue tie a	and black suit.
15	Q	Thank you.
16		MS. PALM: May the court reflect the identification?
17		THE COURT: Yes, it will.
18		MS. PALM: Thank you.
19	BY MS. PAI	⊅ 1:
20	Q	And did Mr. O'Keefe ever work for you?
21	A	Yes, he did.
22	Q	How was it he he came to your employment?
23	A	He was dispatched through the labor's union.
24	Q	What labor's union is that?
25	A	Local 872,
- 1		

	4	
1	Q	Okay. Was he an apprentice?
2	A	At that time, he was, yes.
3	٥	Okay. Are all your employees union members?
4	A	Yes, yes.
5	Q	And did he work for you from about November 2007 to
6	July 2008	?
7	A.	Yes, he did.
8	٥	Okay. Was it full time?
9	A	Yes, it was.
10	Q	Do you recall November 5th of 2008 speaking with Mr.
11	O'Keefe?	
12	A	I do.
13	Q	Okay. Was what kind of was that a telephone
14	call, in	person, what?
15	Α	Yeah, Brian it telephoned me.
16	Q	Okay. Do you recall what time?
17	A	It was in in the afternoon around 3:00 o'clock or
18	so, maybe	a few minutes after. 3:00, 3:15, somewhere in that
19	area.	
20	o	Okay. Do you know what he wanted?
21	A	He was
22		MS. GRAHAM: Objection. Hearsay.
23		THE COURT: Sustained.
24	BY MS. PAI	CM:
25	Q	Do you know what the purpose of his phone call was?
- 1		*

	Ĩ	
1,	A	Well, yeah, he inquired he had recently been laid
2		
3		MS. GRAHAM: Objection. It calls for hearsay.
4		THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MS. P	ALM:
6	Q	Did you know Mr. O'Keefe's employment situation?
7	A	Yes, I yes, I did.
8	Q	What was it?
9	A	Well, he was unemployed as far as working for MJ
10	Dean.	
11	Q	Okay,
12	A	Yeah, I assumed he was unemployed.
13	Q	Okay. And how was work going with MJ Dean at the
14	time?	
15	А	It it was slow. It was very slowed compared to
16	normal.	
17	Q	Okay. So when you say he was unemployed, he had been
18	laid off	or
19	A	Oh, yeah, Brian had been reduction in force.
20	a	Okay.
21	A	Had been downsized.
22	Q	Okay. As a result of the phone call, did Mr. O'Keefe
23	have any	hope of going back to work for you?
24	A	Yeah, yeah Brian was eligible for rehire.
25	٥	Okay.
- 1	afi	,

1 MS. PALM: No further questions, thank you, sir. 2 THE WITNESS: Um-h'm. 3 THE COURT: Any cross-examination? MS. GRAHAM: No, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? All right, thank you, Mr. Burger, for your testimony. You are 6 excused. 7 8 THE WITNESS: All right. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Next witness for the 10 defense. 11 MS. PALM: My next witness would be Chelsea Collins 12 (indiscernible). 13 THE COURT: All right. MS. PALM: Your Honor, she's actually scheduled for 14 15 10:30. THE COURT: Okay. All right, ladies and gentlemen, 16 17 what we'll do is we'll just take a quick -- I know you just sat down, but we're just going to take a recess for ten minutes 18 19 until the next witness shows up. 20 During this recess, it is your duty not to converse 21 among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this case or to read, watch or listen to any report of or 22 23 commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial or by any medium of information, including without limitation, 24 25 newspaper, television, radio or the Internet. You are not to

1	form or express an opinion on any subject connected with the
2	case until this matter is submitted to you. We'll see you back
3	approximately 10:30.
4	(Outside the presence of the jury).
5	MR. LALLI: Do you want to work on jury instructions?
6	THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. Let me go get my
7	package. Do you have everyone have their copy of
8	instructions? And the State was going to amend some of the
9	instructions.
10	MR. LALLI: Yes. And I did send those to the court.
11	THE COURT: You did?
12	MR. LALLI: Yeah, I
13	MS. GRAHAM: They were e-mailed, Judge?
14	MR. LALLI: They were e-mailed both to you and your
15	secretary.
16	THE COURT: It you get a copy of those?
17	MS. PALM: No, I mean, I don't have
18	THE COURT: What I'll do is I'll print out
19	MS. PALM: A copy.
20	THE COURT: I'll print out a couple copies right
21	now.
22	MS. PALM: Okay, thank you.
23	THE COURT: Is that to my e-mail or to the law clerk?
24	(Court recessed at 10:21 a.m. to 10:32 a.m.).
25	(Outside the presence of the jury).

THE COURT: All right, State had provided additional 1 instructions over the evening or this morning. Do you have a 2 copy of those Ms. Palm? 3 MS. PALM: I have the actual danger or the killing is 4 5 justified and the statements of the defendant. 6 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the actual danger --7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. LALLI: Yes we've already offered that. So it was just included in the -- in the small group that I sent, but 9 it's already -- it's already being offered. So the court can 10 11 disregard it. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MS. PALM: Well, and, your Honor, I which with respect to that one, he sent over two instructions, and it was my understanding last night you were going to butt them on one 15 16 page because otherwise the killing is justified does not make 17 sense. 18 THE COURT: I thought we were going to put them on the same page. 19 MR. LALLI: My impression was actually just the 20 21 opposite, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Oh, maybe --MR. LALLI: This was the -- the separate portion, and 23 just to recall the court, we were looking at the court's 25 version of Runion of that case, and the court had actually

written in his -- in his -- in his book and had them all as 2 separate instructions and -- and I commented that yeah, that's 3 how they ought to be given. So they were supposed to be separate. 5 I indicated that I would put that instruction into my format so that it matched the other instructions. 6 7 MS. PALM: And that's not my memory at all, your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 10 MS. PALM: That's not the way I remembered. It was 11 going to be one instruction. 12 THE COURT: Okay. But the actual language, you --13 neither party has any objection; is that correct? MS. PALM: No. 14 15 MR, LALLI: We do not, your Honor. It's taken 16 directly from Runion. 17 THE COURT: Actually, I think second thought it does 18 read better if it's in one instruction. So we'll just do that. 19 So do you have any objection to the giving of that instruction. Ms. Palm? 20 21 MS. PALM: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And there's another instruction, 23 statements by the defendant made to homicide detective. 24 MS. PALM: And I will point out to the court that my 25 alternative instruction's on Page 25 of my proposed

instructions. I object to State's instruction. It does not 1 include the language about voluntariness requires a act be a 2 product of rational intellect and free will. That's been the 3 case law for a long time in Nevada. That's under Passima 5 (phonetic), and it's -- I believe that's also cited in the 6 Rosky case, which I have on my instruction. In addition, they don't mention intoxication at all. 7 And there's plenty of case law on intoxication effecting 8 somebody's ability to give a voluntary statement. And the 10 second paragraph of my proposed instruction talks about that a defendant's intoxication will make a statement inadmissible 11 only if the accused is intoxicated to the extent of being 13 incapable of understanding of the meaning of his comments. That is the case law in Nevada. 14 15 Their instruction doesn't mention intoxication and for that reason, I don't believe it's a proper instruction. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. LALLI: Well, I -- first --19 THE COURT: Hang -- actually, can you hang on one 20 second, Mr. Lalli. 21 (Pause in the proceedings). THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Lalli, I'm sorry. 22 23 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, Ms. Palm cited two cases as 24 support for this instruction. And I can tell the court I've never seen anything like this before. And when we were 25

discussing these last night, I indicated that I wanted to read the cases. And these cases say nothing whatsoever of voluntary intoxication, nothing. They're not voluntary intoxication cases.

But they do talk about voluntariness. And the instruction that we proposed in lieu of the original voluntariness instruction that we proposed is taken verbatim from the case that she cites to, which is the Rosky case, R-O-S-k-y versus State. It's cited at 121 Nevada 184, a 2005 case.

And I'll just read verbatim from that case. The prosecution has the burden of proving by preponderance of the evidence that the statement was voluntary. A confession is involuntary if it was coerced by physical intimidation or psychological pressure. Several factors are relevant in deciding whether a suspect statement -- statements are voluntary. The youth of the accused, his lack of education or his low intelligence, his lack of any advice of constitutional rights, the length of detention, the repeated and prolonged nature of questioning, and the use of psychological -- I'm sorry, the use of physical punishment, such as the deprivation of food or sleep. A suspect's prior experience with law enforcement is also relevant -- is also a relevant consideration.

So that is verbatim the instruction that we're

offering. What the defendant's instruction does is it blows
voluntary intoxication or intoxication way out of proportion to
anything else. And so I suppose if the court wanted to add in
this laundry list of things that -- that can be considered
intoxication or voluntary intoxication or -- or -- or however,
certainly that would be fine.

But we're entitled to instruct the jury that they can consider things like whether he was advised of his constitutional rights, his age, whether there was physical force here, whether he has any experience in the criminal justice system, which Rosky specifically says is relevant.

None of those factors that are listed in Rosky are contained within the instruction that is purported to be supported by Rosky. These two really don't mirror each other at all. Nor do they mirror Laursen, L-a-u-r-s-e-n versus State, 97 Nevada 568, a 1981 case, which are listed as support for the instruction.

So certainly the defendant is entitled to have the issue of voluntariness considered by the jury. The question is how do we instruct on it? And I don't think you can go wrong citing language that is specifically been approved or -- or the -- a holding of the Nevada Supreme Court. Not some bastardized version that overly emphasizes aspects of this case.

THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Palm?

MS. PALM: I wouldn't object to adding in the lack of

advice of constitutional rights and prior experience with law 1 2 enforcement. In my instruction it does say experience with the criminal justice system. But I did take that language being 3 incapable of understanding the meaning of his comments directly 4 from a case. I believed it was Rosky or Laursen, I'm trying to 5 get on Lexus right now to find -- verify that or not.

MR. LALLI: Here.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. PALM: No. I'm going to do a search for that language. I'm having a little trouble hooking up with Lexus. That is from a case in Nevada. I just can't get onto Lexus right now.

THE COURT: Well, I -- I've pulled the cases, and the cases don't provide -- about the defendant's intoxication as you've set forth. That's not identified in Rosky, Ms. Palm. (Indiscernible) paragraph two where the instruction lists various factors for the jury to look at, if we added in there intoxication.

MS. PALM: Well, I think the jury still needs to be giving the test of how -- how to consider intoxication. And I'm sorry, if I could get on Lexus, I'd do a search for just that lenguage from that test, but I cannot. I mean, I -- I have no objection to adding intoxication in there. But I also want product of rational intellect and free will.

THE COURT: Say it again which words are you 25 requesting. The product of --

MS. PALM: Voluntariness under the law requires that 1 the act be a product of rational intellect and free will. 2 MR. LALLI: I'm -- I'm not sure that -- free will 3 certainly is an issue related to voluntariness. Rational intellect, I think is more an issue of whether there was a 5 valid waiver, which is a different issue. So I would certainly 6 like to see a case that stands for that proposition. And if --7 if Counsel needs some time to find one --8 9 MS. PALM: Well, I --10 MR. LALLI: -- I --MS. PALM: It's Passima. I believe it's cited in 11 just about every case. I will find it right now. 12 13 MR. LALLI: But -- but I have some obvious concerns with the one that is proffered. 15 THE COURT: Tell us when you find it, Ms. Palm. 16 MS. PALM: All right. Well, it is -- it is cited in 17 just about every case. But I will give you Chambers versus State cites to Passima. Passima is a 103 Nevada 212 at 213, 214. 19 20 THE COURT: Now, if you had a -- let Mr. -- do you mind if Mr. Lalli looks on your screen so he can see the 21 22 specific language from the case? 23 MS. PALM: Sure. It's a little bit slow. A confession is inadmissible unless freely and voluntary given. 25 In order to be voluntary confession must be the product of

rational and intellect and of free will. 1 2 MR. LALLI: That language is there, your Honor. I --3 I don't oppose adding it to my proffered instruction and adding in intoxication as something that a jury can consider. 4 5 THE COURT: So Ms. Palm, beyond just intoxication, 6 what are you requesting? MS. PALM: Well, I'm -- I wanted the test incapable 7 of understanding. That might have -- okay, well, it is from 8 9 Rosky, all right. 10 THE COURT: Which page? I have the case in front of 11 me. 12 MS. PALM: Just a minute. THE COURT: Or which head note? 13 14 MS. PALM: I'm trying to forward to the -- I have to 15 wait until the little tag comes up. Okay. It is footnote 29 16 and Page 697 of the Pacific Reporter. 17 THE COURT: Do you have that, Mr. Lalli? I do have 18 the case here. 19 MR. LALLI: I do. 20 THE COURT: It cites to Kirskey. 21 THE MARSHAL: (Indiscernible). 22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lalli, your position. 23 MR. LALLI: Well, in my review of the case, your 24 Honor, I did not -- I did not see this footnote reference to 25 Kirskey. The court's legal discussion ended in the previous

paragraph. So the -- in Ms. Palm's instruction, starting at 1 line 12, where it says a defendant's intoxication would make a statement inadmissible only if the accused is intoxicated to 3 the extent of being incapable of understanding the meaning of 5 his comments. 6 I think under the -- under the Kirskey, K-i-r-s-k-e-y case, I think she is entitled to that. However, the last 7 sentence is merely a restatement of the first sentence, which 8 overly emphasizes the -- the need of the -- of the jury to 9 consider this. So I don't oppose adding intoxication as one of 10 11 the things that the jury can consider, as well as free will 12 language and this first sentence. 13 So if -- if -- I know we're probably going to receive 14 some testimony. If the court wants me to work on that while we're -- work on further modifications, I can do that. 15 16

THE COURT: Yeah, that's what I'm going to do, Ms.

Palm, because I -- I think the other language is not -- is not really appropriate. The language from Kirskey and also where it says a product of rational intellect and free will is going to be included. Can we do that into one instruction, Ms. Palm? The one that's already proposed?

MS. PALM: You're going to add in both rational intellect and free will?

THE COURT: Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PALM: And -- and that language?

1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MS. PALM: I mean, that does make the instruction 3 better. I guess, you know, it says before the jury may take such statements into consideration, so I guess that fixes it. 5 THE COURT: See, it's on line 7 of the jury's -- of 6 the State, several factors and these two items will be listed 7 as -- as factors. 8 MS. PALM: Well, I -- I don't want it as a factor. I 9 want it as it must be --10 MR. LALLI: Right. 11 MS. PALM: -- the way I have it, voluntariness requires. I want that sentence in there. 13 THE COURT: Can we just add those as paragraphs three 14 and four, Mr. Lalli? 15 MR. LALLI: Well, what I -- what I -- what I would --16 what I would suggest is starting on line 5 of my proposed 17 instruction, where it says a statement is involuntary if it was 18 coerced by physical intimidation or psychological pressure. 19 Voluntariness under the law requires that the act be the 20 product of rational intellect and free will added in that 21 paragraph. Several factors are relevant in deciding whether a suspect's statements are involuntary, the youth of the accused, 22 23 I would add whether the accuses was intoxicated and then --24 THE COURT: To the extent of being incapable of

25 understanding, et cetera.

1 MS. PALM: Well --2 MR. LALLI: Well, then I would at -- at -- at the end 3 of it, the defendant's intoxication will make a statement inadmissible only if the accused is intoxicated to the extent 4 5 of being incapable understanding the meaning the -- the meaning 6 of his comments. So I'd put that whole sentence that she 7 proffers in her instruction into this instruction. 8 THE COURT: And is it paragraph three? 9 MR. LALLI: It's -- yes. 10 THE COURT: All right, Ms. Palm, what I'm going to do is I think -- I think it fits. So that first part where you 11 have voluntariness under the law requires --13 MS. PALM: Yes. THE COURT: -- okay, that will be inserted at line 5 14 15 of the State's proposed instruction. And the sentence starting at line 12 and ending line 14 will be paragraph three of that 16 17 instruction. MR. LALLI: Would the court like me --18 19 MS. PALM: Yes. 20 MR. LALLI: -- to put that together? 21 THE COURT: Yeah, if you could do that. 22 MR. LALLI: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. I think you have your 24 witnesses here, so let's call them in. Where's Cliff? 25 (Pause in the proceedings).

1	(In the presence of the jury).
2	
	THE MARSHAL: Officers and members of the court,
3	Department 17 jurors. You may be seated, ladies and gentlemen.
4	Let's make sure our cell phones are turned off, please.
5	THE COURT: The next witness is Chelsea Collins.
6	MS, PALM: Yes, your Honor,
7	THE MARSHAL: Chelsea. Step up here to the witness
В	stand, raise your right hand, remain standing and face the
9	clerk.
10	CHELSEA COLLINS, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN
11	THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your
12	name for the record.
13	THE WITNESS: Chelsea Collins, C-h-e-l-s-e-a,
14	C-o-l-l-i-n-s.
15	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Palm.
16	MS. FALM: Thank you.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. PALM:
19	Q Good morning, Ms. Collins.
20	A Good morning.
21	Q Can you please tell the jury how you're employed?
22	A I'm a crime scene analyst two with the Las Vegas
23	Metropolitan Police Department.
24	Q And how long have you had that position?
25	A Four and a half years.

1	Q Were you working in that capacity in the early
2	morning hours of November 6th, 2008?
3	A I was.
4	Q Did you respond to 5001 El Parque?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And were you working with another crime scene analyst
7	at that location?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Who was that?
10	A Jocelyn Maldonado.
11	Q Can you explain your respective roles that morning.
12	A I was responsible for doing the photography of the
13	scene, and she was responsible for the collection of the
14	evidence and doing a diagram.
15	Q Okay. And how does it work when you're doing the
16	photography? Do you walk with her or separately or
17	A No, not necessarily together. Initially the
18	photographer goes in and takes pictures of the entire scene the
19	way it's found. And then generally later we'll work together
20	when she's ready to collect the evidence and it will be
21	photographed before she picks it up.
22	Q Okay. And do the detectives direct you at all in
23	what they want photographed?
24	A They will sometimes have things that they want
25	photographed. We work together with them.

```
Q Okay. Did they direct you to a vehicle at that
 1
    location?
 3
         A Yes, they did.
              MS. PALM: May I approach the witness?
 4
              THE COURT: Yes.
 5
 6
              MS. PALM: Have you seen this already?
 7
              MR. LALLI: I think so. (Indiscernible).
    BY MS. PALM:
             I'm showing you Defendant's Proposed Exhibit
    quadruple X, quadruple Y, quadruple Z and five As. I -- I'm
10
11
    not sure how you say that. Sin co As. Do you recognize what
12
   those are?
13
        A
             Yes, I do.
14
         Q
             Is that the car that you photographed --
             Yes, it is.
15
        A
16
         O
             -- that morning?
17
        A
             Yes.
18
             MS. PALM: Your Honor, I would move to admit those
    exhibits.
19
20
             MR. LALLI: No objection.
             THE COURT: They'll be admitted.
21
22
             (Exhibits XXXX, YYYY, ZZZZ, AAAAA admitted).
23
   BY MS. PALM:
24
             Okay. Showing you AAAAA. Can you tell the jury what
25
   that depicts?
```

1	A	That's a view of the front seat, the front area of
2	the vehi	cle.
3	Q	Okay. And was that seat reclined when you went to
4	take the	photographs of the car?
5	А	Yes, it was.
6	Q	Okay. Does that appear to be some glasses in the
7	center c	onsole, center area?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Did you check inside to see what was in those
10	glasses?	
11	A	I did not open the vehicle.
12	Q	Okay. So you didn't move anything around when you
13	checked t	the photographs?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	Did you check them from outside the vehicle.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	You didn't have to open the door?
18	A	No, the windows were closed and they were taken
19	through t	the closed windows.
20	Q	Okay. And quadruple Z, is that another view the in
21	interi	or of the vehicle?
22	A	Yes, it is.
23	Q	Okay. And quadruple Y, what is that photograph?
24	A	The exterior of the vehicle.
25	٥	Okay. And I believe I had one more.

```
1
              MS. PALM: Court's indulgence.
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
                       (Pause in the proceedings).
              MS. PALM: I don't think I had her identify this.
 4
    is EEEEE.
 5
    BY MS. PALM:
 7
         Q
              Do you recognize this?
 В
         A
              Yes, I do.
 9
         Q
              Is that a photograph of -- of the car -- the same car
10
    also?
11
         A
              Yes.
12
              MS. PALM: I would move to admit this document.
13
    EEEEE.
14
              MR. LALLI: I think it's five e's or --
15
              MS. PALM: Five Es.
16
              THE COURT: All right.
17
              MR. LALLI: No objection.
18
              THE COURT: It will be admitted.
19
                       (Exhibit EEEEE admitted).
    BY MS. PALM:
20
21
             And that's the rear of the car?
22
         A
              Yes.
23
         Q
              Okay. Can you tell what's in that back window of the
24
    car?
25
        A
             No, I cannot.
```

1.	Q Okay. And and do you remember what time you
2	arrived at the scene?
3	A At 12:34 a.m.
4	Q Okay. And if detectives had wanted you to open up
5	the car and do anything, you would have done what?
6	A Well, we would have waited for a search warrant most
7	likely. And then after that you mean?
8	Q Yes.
9	A The the
10	MR. LALLI: I'm going to object. This calls for
11	speculation.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	BY MS. PALM:
14	Q Well, no no so you weren't ever directed to go
15	inside the interior of the vehicle, were you?
16	A That's correct, I was not.
17	Q Okay.
18	MS. PALM: Thank you. No further questions.
19	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
20	MR. LALLI: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? No
22	questions. Thank you, you are thank you for your testimony,
23	you excused.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Next witness for the defense.

1 MS. PALM: That would be Bob Paisano. 2 (Pause in the proceedings). 3 THE MARSHAL: Step up here to the witness stand, remain standing, raise your right hand and face the clerk. 4 ROBERT FRANCIS PAISANO, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 5 6 THE CLERK: Please be seated. State and spell your 7 name for the record. 8 THE WITNESS: Robert Francis Paisano, P-a-i-s-a-n-o. 9 MS. PALM: May I proceed, your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. PALM: 13 Q Good morning, Mr. Paisano. 14 A Good morning. 15 Q Can you please tell the jury how you're employed? I'm in private practice as a chemical dependency 15 A 17 therapist. 18 Q Okay. And does part of your practice involve 19 alcoholism treatment? 20 A Yes. Okay. And in your work capacity did you have the 21 Q 22 occasion to meet Brian O'Keefe? 23 A Yes, I did. 24 Q Is he in the courtroom today? 25 A Yes, he is.

describe something he's wearing. A He's wearing a dark blazer with a blue tie, shirt, and he's sitting at the table to my left. Q Thank you. MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual reflect about an issue that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that the was having, and they referred he about an issue that the was having, and they referred he about an issue that the was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue he about an issue he are the referred he about an issue he abou	- 1	
A He's wearing a dark blazer with a blue tie, shirt, and he's sitting at the table to my left. Q Thank you. MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will to the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual reflect as self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment Q at that time? A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	1	Q Could you please point to where he's seated and
shirt, and he's sitting at the table to my left. Q Thank you. MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will to the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual reflect he was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he gookay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	2	ribe something he's wearing.
MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual reflect he was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that time? A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	3	A He's wearing a dark blazer with a blue tie, white
MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual reflect he was a self-referral from his union. What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that time? A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto	4	t, and he's sitting at the table to my left.
the record reflect identification? THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual referred to me by his union. What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he good own. A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	5	Q Thank you.
THE COURT: Yes, it will. MS. PALM: Thank you. How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual the was a self-referral from his union. What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he could be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	6	MS. PALM: Will the court reflect will the may
MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual he was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	7	record reflect identification?
BY MS. PALM: Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actual representation. What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having, and they referred he about an issue that he was having and they referred he about an issue that he was having. A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	8	THE COURT: Yes, it will.
11 Q How did Brian end up coming to you? A He was referred to me by his union, or actually on the was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	9	MS. PALM: Thank you.
A He was referred to me by his union, or actual he was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto	.0 1	3. PALM:
he was a self-referral from his union. Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	1	Q How did Brian end up coming to you?
Q What does that mean self-referral? A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	2	A He was referred to me by his union, or actually, he
A That mean he he talked to somebody at the about an issue that he was having, and they referred he Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	.3	was a self-referral from his union.
about an issue that he was having, and they referred h Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto	4	Q What does that mean self-referral?
Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	.5	A That mean he he talked to somebody at the union
A Yes, I did Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	6 a	an issue that he was having, and they referred him to me.
Q at that time? A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	7	Q Okay. And did you give him an assessment
A Yes, I did. Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	В	A Yes, I did
Q What were the results of that? A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	9	Q at that time?
A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto time.	0	A Yes, I did.
level would be severe, and that he was in need of deto	1	Q What were the results of that?
24 time.	2	A I gave him a diagnosis of alcohol dependency. The
and the second s	3 1	would be severe, and that he was in need of detox at that
Q Okay, And did you send him to detox?		
₩	5	Q Okay, And did you send him to detox?

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q Okay. And where did he go?
3	A Went to the Las Vegas Recovery Center.
4	Q Okay. What happens after detox then?
5	A After detox he returns back to me, and then we go in
5	an outpatient basis.
7	Q Okay. And how does the outpatient basis work?
8	A What we looked at was he had no prior treatment, so
9	what we put him into was an intensive outpatient treatment
10	process, and that was three days a week at three hours per
11	session. And then I saw him at least once a week during at
12	that period of time of six weeks.
13	Q Ckay. And and he's actually living out on his own
14	at that time?
15	A Yes,
16	Q All right. And tell can you tell the jury what
17	kind of background you need to be able to do the therapy that
18	you do?
19	A Well, you have to have extensive training and then
20	you have to have a graduate degree to be licensed.
21	Q And do you have those things?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you're licensed?
24	A Yes, I am.

Q Okay. Now, when Brian was coming to you for the

25

aftercare, did you encourage him to involve support members of 1 2 his family or friends or how does that work? 3 Anybody who we can utilize as a -- as a support A network, we encourage the patient to have them involved. Okay, and did Brian have somebody that he brought 5 Q with him? 6 7 Α Yes, he did. 8 Q Who was that? 9 A. I'm not sure the person's name, but it was a young 10 lady. Q Would it be Victoria Whitmarsh? 11 12 A Yes. 13 Q Okay. And did you have a chance to meet with her? A Briefly. Okay. Did she attend with Brian? 15 Q 16 She attended the -- the IOP process and that was a group education. She accompanied him at the individual therapy 17 sessions that we conducted, but she never participated in the 18 therapy session. Okay. And did Brian attend his aftercare as he was 20 21 supposed to? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Now, did you have any information as to Victoria's 24 drinking pattern at that time? 25 A No, I did not.

1	Q	Okay. So you only knew her as a support person?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	If do you have some experience in in how how
4	drug abus	e might interact with alcohol?
5	A	Yes.
6	o	Okay.
7	· P	MS. GRAHAM: Objection. Relevance.
8		THE COURT: Overruled
9		MS. PALM: All right, I'll I'll move
1.0	!	THE COURT: at this point.
11	BY MS. PA	IM:
12	Q	If a person's taking drugs, should they mix them with
13	alcohol?	
14	A	No, it's not recommended.
15	Q	Okay. Does being an alcoholic tend to cause other
16	source of	problems for an individual in their regular life?
17	A	It generally interferes with the major areas of life.
18	Q	Can you name those?
19	A	Employment, social, family, economic, legal.
20	Q	Okay. When Victoria and Brian were coming to Brian's
21	treatment	sessions, did they appear to be a couple?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Did they make any attempt to hide the relationship?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Did you know whether they had any plans for the

future? MS. GRAHAM: Objection. Speculation. 2 3 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. PALM: 5 Q Were they affectionate with each other? Α Yes. 6 7 Did Brian give you a history of when his problem with alcohol first started? 9 He did, but I don't have any documentation in front 10 of me to -- to cite any dates. Okay. Did you know any events in his life that it 11 Q related to? 13 A There was some issues that came up during his military service, and following that the -- the increase of --15 of alcohol did start to take place. Do you know whether he suffered from blackouts? 16 Q I believe --17 18 MR. LALLI: I'm going to object, your Honor. This is 19 hearsay. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. Unless this witness 21 personally observed the blackout. 22 MS. PALM: Court's indulgence. 23 BY MS. PALM: 24 0 Do you find that alcoholics often have relapse 25 issues?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Do they often minimize their use of alcohol?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And why would people minimize their use of alcohol if
5	they're o	coming for help?
6	А	A lot of times it's it's to decrease the severity
7	of the p	coblem. It's part of the denial system.
8	Q	Is denial part of being an alcoholic?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And is alcoholism a chronic disease?
11	A	Yes, it is.
12		MS. PALM: No further questions. Thank you.
13		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
15	5	MS. GRAHAM: Thank you, Judge.
16	l.	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. GR	LAHAM:
18	Q	Mr. Paisano, is is Brian O'Keefe an alcoholic?
19	А	Yes, he is.
20	Q	Okay. And you indicated that you had not read your
21	notes bef	ore coming here; is that correct?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	So you don't do you recall when you treated Brian?
24	A	I have no recollection of the date.
25	Q	Okay. You indicated that he came to you as a

self-referral; isn't that right? 1 2 Yes. But the truth is, sir, he was actually, he had to 3 come for treatment or else he would have lost his job as an 5 apprentice; isn't that correct? 6 A I'm not aware of that. 7 Okay. Do you recall testifying in a prior 8 proceeding? 9 A Yes. 10 Do you recall when asked whether or not Brian had indicated that he had missed three days of work out of five, 11 and he had to seek alcohol treatment or he could not continue 12 working? 13 14 MS. PALM: Page number? THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm not clear on that. 15 BY MS. GRAHAM: 16 17 Q Okay. So you don't have your notes, sir? 18 A No, I do not. Okay. So you don't -- you can't the say for sure 19 Q 20 whether he was a self-referral? 21 I can because that's how it was on his -- on his 22 assessment form. 23 0 Okay. And tell me about that assessment form. How -- how does that work? 24 25 It's done in two parts. First we have initial A

1	Assessmen	nt to identify problems and then we go into a more
2	extensive	psychosocial process.
3	٥	And do you perform that assessment on on Brian
4	O'Keefe w	when he came to see you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And I assume you ask a series of questions, true?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And the answers that are given to your questions come
9	directly	from Brian O'Keefe; is that correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	So everything that Brian O'Keefe tells you during
12	your asse	ssment is basically self-reported; is that correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. So essentially, what you're relying onto treat
15	him would	be anything that he decides to tell you during that
16	assessmen	t, is that correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And you indicated that he had a severe alcohol
19	problem,	true?
20	A	Um-h'm,
21	Q	Okay, isn't it fact true, sir, that you did make a
22	diagnosis	of him based on your experience of, I believe, it was
23	303.97	
24	A	Um-h'm.
25		THE COURT: Is that a yes?

1		MS. PALM: No objection
2	i.	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
3		(Exhibit 133 admitted)
4	BY MR. LA	ALLI:
5	Q	Who is the person who is the subject of that
6	conviction	on?
7	А	Brian Kerry O'Keefe.
8	Q	And what is the conviction for?
9	A	Battery, (indiscernible) or
10	Q	Constituting?
11	A	Constituting, sorry, domestic violence, a category C
12	felony.	
13	Q	What was the date that the document was filed?
14	А	March 9th, 2006.
15	Q	And was that conviction pursuant to a jury trial?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And did you learn who the victim in that case was?
18	A	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Who was that?
20	A	Victoria Whitmarsh.
21	٥	Was that the same person you investigated as a victim
22	as least	in the homicide that you're here to testify about?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	When you investigate a homicide case, do you do that
25	alone, or	do you have a partner?

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

- 1		
ĩ	A	I have a partner assigned with me.
2	Q	Who was your partner on this day?
3	A	On this day is was Detective Christopher Bunn.
4	Q	And is it uncommon for detectives to divide
5	responsil	oilities with respect to an investigation?
6	A	Not at all.
7	Q	How were responsibilities divided in this case?
В	A	Detective Bunn worked the actual scene itself with
9	crime sce	ene analysts, and I was assigned to interview Mr.
1.0	O'Keefe.	
11	Q	What would the responsibilities be associated with
12	investiga	iting a scene?
13	A	He would go through, they would investigate the
14	scene. I	hey would make sure that the proper evidence was
15	collected	, photographed, preserved, and work hand in hand with
16	the crime	scene analyst.
17	Q	In this particular case, that was not your primary
18	responsib	ility, true?
19	A	No, sir.
20	Q	You indicated that your's was to interview the
21	suspect?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Did you in fact interview him?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	Where did that interview occur?
1		

1	A	It took place at the homicide offices located at	
2	Oakey (p	honetic) and Decatur.	
3	۵	So at some point obviously, you left the crime scene	
4	and trav	eled to the homicide office?	
5	А	Yes.	
6	Q	How was the defendant brought to the homicide office?	
7	A	He was transported by a patrolman in a black and	
8	white patrol vehicle.		
9	Q	Okay. While you were at the scene and perhaps again	
10	at the homicide office, did you notice any cut marks on the		
u	defendan	t's hand?	
12	А	Yes, I did.	
13	Q	I'm going to show you what we've marked and admitted	
L4	as State	's Exhibit 62. Does that appear to you to be the	
1.5	defendan	t's believe it's his right hand?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	What are we seeing there?	
8.	A	You're seeing a cut on the meaty part of the right	
.9	index fi	nger.	
20	o	You mentioned that about 25 percent of the 200 or so	
1	cases you	u've investigated were stabbing cases?	
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	Is it uncommon for people to get cut while stabbing	
4	others?		
5	A	No, it's not uncommon.	

1	Q How does that happen?		
2	A It occurs when depending on their grip of the		
3	knife and how they're gripping it. But usually they encounter		
4	some sort of resistance when the knife hits the body, and thei		
5	fingers will slide up the handle and hit the blade.		
6	Q Okay. Now I want to talk to you a little more about		
7	your interview of the defendant. Specifically where in the		
8	homicide office was the interview conducted?		
9	A We have interview rooms that are equipped for doing		
10	auch interviews as we did.		
11	Q And you said that they're equipped?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q How are they equipped?		
14	A They're equipped with audio and video equipment for		
15	taping, and for restraints if necessary.		
16	Q Was Mr. O'Keefe in restraints?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q Why?		
19	A He was combative at the scene, is what I was told		
20	before I arrived, and them at my briefing. And he was placed		
21	in restraints, it was (sic) in restraints the whole time, and		
22	left in restraints when we got to the homicide office.		
23	Q And was this interview videotaped?		
24	A Yes.		
25	Q And does the video also contain audio?		

1	A	Yes.
2	٥	In addition to the video and the audio, do you also
3	have a se	parate recorder?
4	A	I do, yes.
5	Q	And why the redundancy?
6	A	Just as a back up, just in case we have some sort of
7	an electr	onic failure.
8	Q	Okay. And in the videotape, are you able to see the
9	defendant	restrained?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay, what does that look like?
12	A cc	He's seated at a table. Along the wall is a bar, in
13	which his	right hand is handcuffed to that bar.
L4	Q	Okay. Is that uncommon?
15	A	No.
16	Q	All right. Now at some point, you begin your
17	recording	of your statement with the defendant; is that true?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	But obviously you had been in the room with him a
20	time befo	re that your recorder actually clicked on?
21	A	Yes.
22	ିପ	Can you please describe for us his demeanor before
23	your recorder clicked on?	
24	Ä	He was extremely argumentative, sarcastic,
25	unconcern	ed.

- 1			
1	Q	Okay. Were you assisting him in some regard? Or	
2	were you	offering items that would assist in specifically	
3	with rega	ard to his hand?	
4	A	I provided him with some medical wipes for his hand	
5	to stop t	the blood.	
6	Q	Okay. Prior to the tape coming on, did you ever make	
7	any threats to him?		
8	Ä	No.	
9	Q	Any physical or verbal threats or gestures in any	
10	way?		
11	A	None.	
12	Q	Did you ever pull your gun on the defendant to force	
13	him to speak to you?		
14	A	No.	
15	Q	Did you advise him of his rights pursuant to Miranda	
16	vs. Arizona (phonetic)?		
17	A	Yes, I did.	
18	Q	And was that done on recording?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	a	Did the defendant eventually acknowledge that he	
21	understood those rights?		
22	A	Eventually, yes.	
23	Q	Okay. Now during the course of your interview with	
24	the defer	ndant, did it ever appear to you that he had consumed	
25	alcohol a	et some point earlier in the evening?	

3			
1	A Yes.		
2	Q Can you describe what you saw for us?		
3	A He smelled of alcohol. He his eyes were		
4	bloodshot. He was comprehending what I was saying, but I could		
5	smell an overall odor of alcohol about his person.		
6	Q Was the alcohol that you smelled, based upon your own		
7	observations, something that prevented him from understanding		
8	his Miranda warnings?		
9	A No.		
10	Q Let me ask you this. Did you ever collect a sample		
11	of his blood?		
12	A No.		
13	Q Why not?		
14	A During the course of the interview, it became		
15	apparent that he was in full comprehension of what I was asking		
16	and talking about. He was able to answer questions about prior		
17	events to the event in detail. He was able to recall names of		
18	people who called him and he called during that day, phone		
19	numbers. He was able to also limit his answers at times that		
20	he needed to.		
21	Q Okay. And what do you mean, at times that he needed		
22	to?		
23	A When it was during recollection of like I said,		
24	events prior to the day, he was very detailed. But when it		

came time to --

1 MS. PALM: And Your Honor, I'm going to object to the detective's opinion on what the meaning of his statements was. 2 3 I think the jury can watch the video and determine for themselves. 5 MR. LALLI: Well he was there, Your Honor. I think 6 he can --7 MS. PALM: That's opinion testimony. 8 MR. LALLI: It's consciousness of guilt. 9 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection. 10 MR. LALLI: Okay. BY MR. LALLI: 11 12 Continue with your answer, please. Q 13 A When we spoke specifically about the murder, the 14 defendant would not speak and answer questions. He would go off on tangents not related, or step back to events that were 15 prior in the day. 16 17 Okay. You mentioned that you have a partner, 18 Detective Bunn, who was responsible for the scene. 19 A Yes, sir. 20 Q Did someone else assist you with the interview of the 21 defendant? 22 A Yes. Who was that? 23 0 24 Detective Kyger is another detective who is on my 25 squad who -- we have two detectives present on suspect

1	interviews, and who assisted me.
2	Q Is Detective Kyger depicted in the video?
3	A Yes, she is. It's the other female in the room.
4	Q Okay. And you just anticipated my next question.
5	She is a female detective?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Can you describe if you would the treatment that she
В	received by the defendant during the course of the interview?
9	MS. PALM: I'm going to object again, Your Honor, to
10	opinion testimony. The jury can watch the video and determine
11	themselves how he's treating her.
12	BY MR. LALLI:
13	Q Well I'm not asking for your opinion. I'm asking
14	specifically, how did he address her?
15	THE COURT: Well that's a different question. So
16	MR. LALLI: Yeah. So I'll withdraw that question.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	BY MR. LALLI:
19	Q I'll ask, how did he address her?
20	A As, young lady.
21	Q Would he direct her to do things?
22	A Yes, frequently.
23	Q Can you give us an example?
24	A Ask for coffee, ask her to write things down as he
25	was talking.

1	Q	Okay. I take it during the course of your interview
2	with him y	you spoke about Victoria Whitmarsh?
3	A	Yes.
4	o	Did he, meaning the defendant, refer to her by a
5	certain na	ime?
6	A	Yes, he would call her V as a short name for her.
7	Q	And did you at one point during the course of your
8	interview	attempt to refer to her as, V?
9	, A	Yes.
10	0	And was that acceptable to him?
11	A	No.
12	Q	What happened?
13	A	He stated that he was the only one that was able to
14	call her V	
15	Q	During the course of the interview, does the
16	discussion	of the defendant having placed a phone call to 9-11
17	arise?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	You discussed that with him?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And what did he tell you?
22	A	Eventually he says that he did call 9-11.
23	Q	He did call 9-117
24	A	That's what he says.
25	Q	During the course of your interview?
	6	

- 1	
1	A Yes.
2	Q At some point during the course of the interview, did
3	the defendant say something to the effect of, I've given you
4	enough information?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Is that common?
7	A Not very, no.
8	Q Okay.
9	A Depends on the circumstances of the interview.
10	Q Okay. Is it uncommon for defendants to try to
11	control interviews?
12	A No, that is not uncommon.
13	Q Okay. Did you experience that during the course of
14	this interview.
15	A Several times. He would try to over-speak me, or use
16	his volume over mine, kind of a bully tactic.
17	Q Okay. During the course of your interview with him,
18	did the notion or the subject of Victoria Whitmarsh being
19	deceased come up?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Can you tell us about that?
22	A He asked me I believe three times during the course
23	of the interview the status of Ms. Whitmarsh.
24	Q And what happened?
25	A The first two times, I told him that we were that
- 1	

1	she was being worked on. And I believe the second time I said
2	she was in extremely bad shape. And then I told him that she
3	was deceased.
4	Q And what was his response to being informed that she
5	was deceased?
6	A A short pause, and then he asked for a cup of coffee.
7	And then he went about some rantings.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I've marked a copy of the
LO	videotape as State's proposed 134 for identification purposes.
11	I'd move for it's admission.
1.2	MS. PALM: No objection.
1.3	THE COURT: All right. 134 will be admitted, and you
14	can play it.
LS	(Exhibit 134 admitted)
16	MR. LALLI: Okay.
17	(Video of defendant's statement played for jury, not
8	transcribed)
.9	BY MR. LALLI:
20	Q Now Detective Wildemann, at some point during the
21	video that we just saw, kind of a black screen comes up almost
2	in the middle of what we saw?
13	A Yes.
4	Q Do you have any idea what that is? Not the black
5	screen, but what occurs during that period of time?

1 A We took a break. I think we were out of the room for 2 approximately an hour. 3 Q Okay. During the course -- particularly in the second half of the video, the defendant is making noises as 4 5 though he's crying. Can you tell us what you saw? 6 I saw him doing exactly that, making noises like he 7 was crying. To me it didn't look sincere, there was no tears, 8 there was no runny nose. Kind of came on and off with -- when 9 he wanted it to. 10 Q Earlier in the interview, we talked about how he had 11 indicated that he had called 9-11. And at the end of the 12 interview, he is informed by Detective Kyger that you had 13 checked, the two of -- either she or you, or you collectively 14 had checked, and there was no 9-11 call made by him? 15 A That's correct. 16 What did you do to ascertain whether he had ever 17 called 9-11? 18 A Detective Bunn at the scene looked at his phone at 19 the outgoing, incoming calls. There was no calls to 9-11. 20 Q His phone, meaning who's his? 21 I'm sorry. Brian O'Keefe's phone. 22 Okay. We checked the Cad (phonetic) system, which is 23 a computer assisted dispatch which logs all the calls coming in 24 from your persons reporting of crimes. And there was no phone

number or name of Brian O'Keefe there.

25

- 1	
1	Q Were you aware of any neighbor who indicated that the
2	defendant had told them to call 9-11?
3	A No, I was not aware.
4	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, that concludes direct
5	examination.
6	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Palm?
7	MS. PALM: Thank you.
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. PALM:
10	Q Good afternoon, Detective.
11	A Good afternoon.
12	Q Your Mirandized (phonetic) interview starts about
13	1:45 in the morning? Do you agree with that?
14	A Approximately?
15	Q Okay. It's about two hours, 45 minutes or so after
16	the incident's reported,
17	A Yes.
18	Q does that sound about right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. And then it continues until approximately 2:01
21	a.m., which would be the first break?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Or the break?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. So about 15 minutes, the first section of it?
1	

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Then you take an hour-long break?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And then it goes from 3:06 to 3:28 a.m., about you
5	know, roug	ghly 20 minutes?
6	A	Correct,
7	Q	Okay. And when you come back in later on, do you
8	come back	in with CSA Dan Ford?
9	A	After the interviews, I do come back in with Dan
10	Ford, yes	
11	Q	Okay. Do you know how much later that was?
12	A	I don't know off-hand.
13	Q	Okay. If I told you it was about 3:55, would that
14	sound abou	ut right to you?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. If I understood your testimony, you were
17	saying bet	fore the video that Brian O'Keefe was kind of bossing
18	Detective	Kyger around?
19	A	Yes,
20	Q	Okay. Detective Kyger is a pretty experienced
21	detective,	isn't she?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Can she handle her own?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And when you say he's bossing her around, he's

1	really saying, please, please write this down?
2	A He's making commands that she write things down, yes.
3	Q Okay. But she's the one sitting there with a
4	notepad?
5	A Yes.
6	O Okay. She is the one writing things down?
7	A I had a notepad in front of me, but he keeps
8	referring to her, yes.
9	Q Okay. But at one point, he says, you wouldn't
10	understand, she does; is that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And do you ever find that it's not uncommon
13	with a male interviewee that he might not want to cry in front
14	of a female detective?
15	A I don't know that I could answer that. I don't find
16	that uncommon.
17	Q Okay. Well it seemed like you were implying
18	something by the fact that Mr. O'Keefe asked for a coffee,
19	sending Detective Kyger out of the room before he broke down
20	crying when you told him Ms. Whitmarsh was dead.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Can you understand a situation where a male, a
23	middle-aged male might not be comfortable crying in front of a
24	female?
25	A He cried several times in front of her.

1	Q Okay. So you don't understand that situation ever?
2	A I'm not understanding it in this situation, because
3	he did cry in front of her before, he whimpered before. And at
4	that time, he wanted a cup of coffee.
5	Q Okay. And that would be your interpretation of it?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now if I understand your testimony on the, it's no
8	uncommon to have wounds on a hand because a hand slides on a
9	blade when it's wet?
10	A Could be when it's wet. It could be
11	Q Or
12	A because you encounter resistance. There's several
13	reasons why that could happen.
14	Q In this case, are you aware that the knife did not
15	hit any bones?
16	A I am aware of that, yes.
17	Q Okay. And is there any evidence that it would have
LB	been wet before Ms. Whitmarsh was cut?
19	A I have no idea of the status of the knife, or the
50	status of his hands as sweating. I have no idea.
21	Q Do you have may forensic training? Are you trained
22	in forensic sciences?
23	A I've attended classes.
24	Q Okay, how many?
25	A I don't know. It's been a long career, several.

1	Q Okay. Well can you identify any forensic classes
2	you've attended?
3	A Crime scene preservation. I'd have to pull up my
4	resume.
5	Q But right now you can't recall any specific class?
6	A Crime scene preservation.
7	Q When was that class?
8	A Oh, years ago. I don't know.
9	Q Okay. And you're not a medical doctor?
10	A No.
11	Q When you were in the room directing Mr. Ford to
12	document my client's hand injury?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. And you only pointed out to him a injury to
15	the finger at the time; is that correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. Then how is it that you are able to look at
18	the injuries and determine what they mean if you didn't even
19	see the thumb injury at the time?
20	A I saw the finger injury, and that's the injury that I
21	was interpreting.
22	Q Okay. So you didn't personally examine the thumb
23	injury?
24	A I didn't.
25	Q Okay. And Mr. O'Keefe told you he's right-handed?
- 4	

1	Α	I don't recall.
2	Q	And at the time of the interview, you were a homicide
3	detective	for about seven years?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And when you got Mr. O'Keefe's telephone
6	records,	did they show that he had made roughly 30 calls the
7	day befor	e the incident?
8	A	I didn't look at his telephone records.
9	Q	Okay. You didn't subpoena them?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Was that Detective Bunn?
12	A	Detective Bunn looked at his phone. And I don't know
13	if he	I don't' believe that he subpoensed the records.
14	Q	Okay. If I show you some phone records, would you
15	recognize	whether they came from your detective's file?
16	A	Yes. I well, I don't know. If they're marked.
17		MS. PALM: May I approach the witness?
18		THE COURT: Yes.
19		MS. FALM: I'm sorry (indiscernible).
20	BY MS. PAI	LM:
21	Q	Does that look familiar to you?
22	A	It doesn't look familiar to me, no. But I do
23	recognize	it as a call detail record.
24	Q	Okay.
25	А	If that's what you're asking.

1	Q And does it look like calls dialed out from a certain
2	number, and in?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay, and what is the number that's in common?
5	A 702-6958.
6	Q Okay. And
7	A I'm not sure how to interpret these records here
В	though, but because (indiscernible) seem to have a standard
9	number, destination number, number dialed. So I'm not sure how
10	to interpret that.
11	Q Okay. Can you tell the date of the phone calls?
12	A 11-5 through 11-5.
13	Q Okay. And can you tell about how many calls there
14	are there?
15	A There's several. There's a page-full.
16	Q Okay, thank you. Did you happen to follow up on the
17	information about the Paris Hotel?
18	A No.
19	Q Okay. So you never attempted to obtain a video from
20	Paris (phonetic)?
21	A No.
22	Q Now do you recall testifying previously in this case
23	that you had never heard of a homicide investigation where
24	blood or breath alcohol tests were taken from a suspect?
25	A Yes, I do recall that.

1	Q Okay. And are you aware of any cases at this time?
2	A There is a single case that I'm aware of, yes.
3	Q Okay. And it was one that occurred before Mr.
4	O'Keefe's case?
5	MR. LALLI: I'm going to object, Your Honor, as to
6	relevance.
7	THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.
8	(Off-record bench conference)
9	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection by the
10	State.
11	(Pause in proceedings)
12	BY MS. PALM:
13	Q And Detective, you also testified previously in this
14	case that there's no protocol with the Metropolitan Police
15	Department for taking blood or breath tests from a suspect?
16	A Protocol is different than policy. So I don't know
17	what you're asking.
18	Q Well I'm asking if you testified that there was no
19	protocol.
20	A I believe I testified there was no protocol.
21	Q Okay. So are you familiar with Metro that Las
22	Vegas Metropolitan Department manual, section 52221?
23	A Yes, I am.
24	Q Okay. And are familiar with the document to the
25	extent that it allows non-consensual searches in a felony case

1 that is being investigated where there is probable cause to 2 believe that a felony has been committed? 3 I'm familiar that that's a part of it, yes. Q Okay. And are you familiar that it gives example 4 where a homicide suspect is arrested on probable cause 5 immediately after their crime, appears slightly intoxicated; a 6 7 blood sample can be taken to show the degree of intoxication, 8 which may become an important issue for the State or the 9 defense? 10 MR. LALLI: Is this a question? I object to the form 11 of the question, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is there? 12 13 MS. PALM: Well Your Honor --14 THE COURT: What's the question? 15 MS. PALM: -- I've marked this is defendant's 16 proposed Exhibit L, and I would move to admit it. Do you want 17 to see it? 18 MR. LALLI: I've seen it. 19 MS. PALM: It's a certified record from the Las Vegas 20 Metropolitan Police Department. 21 MR. LALLI: No objection. THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. 22 (Exhibit L admitted) 23 24 MS. PALM: Okay. 25 THE COURT: I'm sorry, was that L?

1 MS. PALM: L. THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 MS. PALM: Yes. BY MS. PALM: 4 Are you familiar with that policy? 5 0 6 I'm familiar -- you've left out some words, but I am A 7 familiar with it. 8 0 Okay. What have I left out? You left out I believe a "can," you've left out an 9 "if," and a couple other words that I can't recall. 10 11 Q Okay. Would you like to show me where I left it out? Sure. 12 A 13 MS. PALM: May I approach? THE COURT: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: "Can," right there. "Can." And I 15 16 thought there was an "if." I don't see an "if," but two 17 "can's." BY MS. PALM: 18 19 Okay. So this section actually tells you that when you're doing an investigation, you can collect a blood sample, 20 21 and that it may be an important issue for the State or the defense? 22 23 Yes. It says, "I can." Yes. A Okay. And that policy was in existence at the time 24 of my clients interview with you? 25

	0)		
1	A	I believe so.	
2	ୁପ	And so when I if I'm understanding you right	
3	today, y	today, you're saying that you testified there was no protocol	
4	earlier	because you considered that a policy?	
5	A	Correct.	
6	Q	Okay. So that's not a protocol, it's a policy?	
7	A	Correct.	
8	Q	And did the district attorney tell you I had	
9	subpoens	aed that document?	
10	A	I believe so, yes.	
11	Q	There's a nurse maintained at the jail 24 hours a day	
12	to colle	ect blood?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	So you could have done it in Mr. O'Keefe's case?	
15	A	I could have, yes.	
16	Q	Okay. And you never offered Mr. O'Keefe the choice?	
17	A	No.	
18	Q	And Mr. O'Keefe smelled heavily of alcohol?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	He was slurring his speech?	
21	A	Slightly.	
22	Q	It was pretty obvious he had been drinking?	
23	A	I could tell that he had been drinking.	
24	٥	You testified before it was obvious, would you	
25	dispute	that now?	
	M.		

1	A No.
2	Q Okay. And you're aware during your investigation
3	there was a possibility that an arresting officer stepped on
4	the bed at the scene?
5	A I was aware that in our initial briefing, that a
6	struggle had occurred in that room. I thought that that could
7	have been a possibility, but I learned throughout the
8	investigation that that hadn't happened.
9	Q Okay. But early on you were aware it was a
10	possibility?
11	A At the initial briefing, at the scene shortly after
12	the crime.
13	Q Okay.
14	MS. PALM: Court's indulgence.
15	BY MS. PALM:
16	Q Do your recall that early on in this case, I had
17	asked for the use of force report prepared by Officer Ballejos?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Do you recall the existence of the document
20	being denied?
21	A I recall Detective Bunn had testified regarding that,
22	уев.
23	Q Okay. And said basically there is no such document?
24	A At the time, we didn't know about any such document.
25	Q Okay. But it was it's existence was denied at one

1	point?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Okay.	And then ultimately, it was turned over?
4	A Not by	ourselves, we're allowed no access to those
5	documents.	
6	Q Okay.	
7	A Soit	was turned over, not by us.
8	Q Okay.	Do you know that was pursuant to court order?
9	A I beli	eve it was, but I'm not sure on that.
ı۵	Q Okay.	Would you agree that it's the only written
11	documentation in	this entire case that shows Mr. O'Keefe was
12	extremely intoxi	cated?
L3	MR. LA	LLI: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence,
L4	and it misstates	the testimony. This detective has already
LS	testified numero	us times that he knew he was intoxicated, and
L6	he preserved it	on the video.
17	MS. PA	LM: And Your Honor, my question was extremely
8	intoxicated. And	d this detective is familiar with the use of
9	force report, be	cause he's testified about it before.
20	34-4003/23/2045309	URT: Well is your question is if the word
21		n the report? Or if this officer has prepared
22		e word "extremely" in it?
23		LM: My question was, is he aware that that's
4	the only document	t in the entire case that shows that Mr.

O'Keefe was extremely intoxicated.

1	MR. LALLI: I object, that misstates the evidence.	
2	THE COURT: Counsel approach, please.	
3	(Off-record bench conference)	
4	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.	
5	MS. PALM: Thank you.	
6	BY MS. PALM:	
7	Q Detective, are you aware that the report, the use of	
8	force report is the only document that states that Mr. O'Keefe	
9	is extremely intoxicated?	
10	A I've never seen that document.	
11	Q You haven't seen it yourself?	
12	A No.	
13	Q All right. Is there another document that states	
14	that Mr. O'Keefe is extremely intoxicated?	
15	A I don't know of one.	
16	Q So none of the police reports state that issue?	
17	A No.	
18	Q State that he's extremely intoxicated?	
19	A Not one that I've seen.	
20	Q Okay. In fact, do any of them even say he's	
21	intoxicated?	
22	A There's several reports. I'm not sure on that.	
23	Q You're not aware of one that does though, are you?	
24	A No.	
25	Q And it's not mentioned in your officer's report or	
173		

1	arrest report, is it?
2	A I don't believe so, no.
3	Q Are you familiar with coroner investigator Staling's
4	(phonetic) report?
5	A I have not looked at that in years.
6	Q Okay. Is it part of your case file in the homicide
7	files?
В	A I believe so, yes.
9	Q Okay. Did you do you recall his notation that Ms.
10	Whitmarsh
11	MR. LALLI: I'm going to object as to hearsay.
12	MS. PALM: Well
13	THE COURT: Sustained.
14	MS. PALM: It's not offered for the truth of the
LS	matter. It's offered for the thoroughness of the
16	investigation, Your Honor.
17	MR. LALLI: It's hearsay.
81	THE COURT: Counsel, approach again.
19	(Off-record bench conference)
50	THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.
21	BY MS. PALM:
22	Q Did you personally look into whether Ms. Whitmarsh
23	was taking her meds or not?
24	A I didn't personally look into that, no.
25	Q Okay. Did you recall any information that made that

1	relevant to you?
2	A I don't know of any information at this point that
3	made that relevant at the time.
4	Q Okay. Would you consider that a relevant issue in a
5	homicide investigation?
6	A If she was taking her meds?
7	Q Yes.
8	A It could be.
9	Q Okay. Did you look into Ms. Whitmarsh's mental
10	health history?
11	A I did not personally, no.
12	Q Okay. Mr. O'Keefe pointed you towards Monte Vista
13	(phonetic) though, did he not?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Did you just not consider that relevant
16	information?
17	A I believe that detective Bunn documented all the
18	meds, and everything that went on with that. And I'm not sure
19	if he pulled up information regarding Monte Vista.
20	Q Okay. In determining whether to proceed with the
21	charges or not, then you did not know Ms. Whitmarsh's
22	psychiatric history?
23	A No.
24	Q Okay. When you're doing the video of the interview,
25	there's a camera in the room?

1	Ä	Yes.
2	Q	Is it an obvious camera?
3	A	No.
4	Q	All right. So going back to the where you say my
5	client wa	as ordering Detective Kyger around, and I guess you
б	didn't 1:	ike it that he was calling her young lady either?
7	A	That's how he addressed her.
8	Q	Okay. He also addressed her as ma'am at one point;
9	is that o	correct?
10	æ A	I believe so.
11	Q	Okay. And he also apologized and said, I'm sorry,
12	Detective	, when he said young lady one time; is that correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. And so the ordering her around was saying,
15	please, p	please, write this down, write this down, please write
16	this down	17
17	λ	Pretty sure there wasn't a "please" every time.
18	Q	Okay. Would you dispute if there's only one time he
19	didn't sa	y, "please?"
20	A	I have no idea, we would have to go through the thing
21	again. 1	'd gladly count them with you.
22	Q	Would you like to?
23	A	We could.
24		MS. PALM: May I approach the witness?
25		THE COURT: Yes.

1	BY MS. PALM:
2	Q Do you remember where they were?
3	A Where every one is that he asked to
4	Q Where he was asking to do something?
5	A Ob, no I don't.
6	Q It's relatively short.
7	MR. LALLI: I'm sorry. I can't hear the question,
В	Your Honor.
9	MS. PALM: I asked him if he recalled which pages he
10	asked her to do something (indiscernible).
11	THE WITNESS: Please can I start over?
12	MS. PALM: Sure. (Indiscernible).
1,3	THE COURT: Ms. Palm, is there a question? Because
14	it's not being picked up by the microphone.
15	MS. PALM: No, it was just
16	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I'm not sure what we're doing
17	here. But unless there's an
18	MS. PALM: Do you want to come and join us? I'm just
19	asking him to look at
20	MR. LALLI: No.
21	THE COURT: No, I mean what
22	MR. LALLI: I just would respectfully ask that
23	counsel be directed to ask a question.
24	MS. PALM: Well, he's looking through the statement
25	at this point.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So you've asked the -- asked 2 Detective Wildemann to look for what specifically? 3 MS. PALM: Places where Mr. O'Keefe orders Detective Kyger around without saying please. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. THE WITNESS: If I miss one, can you let me know? 6 7 MS. PALM: I will. 8 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, again, I'm going to interpose 9 an objection. I think this is a waste of the Court's time. 10 The video is in evidence. The jury can clearly see if he says please or not. Counsel certainly has the right to argue this 11 12 in summation. I'm just not sure this is the best use of our 13 time, so I would object. 14 MS. PALM: Well --THE COURT: Ms. Palm? 15 16 MS. PALM: -- I'm sorry to take up the Court's time. 17 But this is Mr. O'Keefe's trial, and it's very important they characterized him as ordering somebody around. And I have 19 every right to say, where did he order her around, can you show me where he ordered her around. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we take a -- just a 22 quick break for the officer to review the report. 23 Ladies and gentlemen, during this recess, it is your 24 duty not to converse among yourselves, or with anyone else on

any subject connected with the trial. Or to read, watch or

25

1 listen to any report of or commentary on the case by any person 2 connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or 3 the internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any 5 subject connected with this case until this matter is submitted 6 to you. 7 We'll see you in approximately five or ten minutes. 8 The marshal will escort you out. 9 (Outside the presence of the jury) 10 THE COURT: All right, we're outside the presence of 11 the jury panel. Detective, here's some post-it's if you --12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: -- (indiscernible). (Pause in proceedings) 14 15 (Court recessed at 4:45 p.m. until 4:58 p.m.) 15 (In the presence of the jury) THE MARSHAL: Please be seated. 17 THE COURT: Detective Wildemann, do you understand 18 19 you're still under oath? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Falm. 22 MS. PALM: Thank you. BY MS. PALM: 23 24 O Detective Wildemann, did you have a chance to look 25 through the transcript of Mr. O'Keefe's statement?

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q Okay. And you flagged four areas that you thought
3	were statements of Mr. O'Keefe directed to Detective Kyger that
4	were commands?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And the first one, would you agree was, "Why didn't
7	you call 9-11?" And he response (sic), "Check the phone."
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And the second one is when you say, "Where
10	were the stab wounds? No, look at me. " And he says, "Monte
11	Vista. Write this down, Monte Vista." And you say, "No, she's
12	not writing it down." That's the second one?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay.
15	THE COURT: Which page is that so the State can
16	follow along?
17	MS. PALM: I'm sorry, State. It is
18	MR. LALLI: I've got it, Your Honor. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. PALM: Page 20.
21	BY MS. PALM:
22	Q The third one is when you tell him that she's passed
23	away, and he says, "Could I have some coffee?"
24	A Yes.
25	Q And then the final one is, you say, "And you said,

```
1
     yes, you didn't." And he says, "Listen to me?" Do you recall
 2
     flagging that? I think that's --
 3
          A
              I don't know. I don't know if that's --
 4
               MS. PALM: May I approach?
               THE WITNESS: -- my flag or your flag.
 5
 6
               MS. PALM: May I approach?
               THE COURT: Yes.
 7
 B
               MS. PALM: Thank you.
 9
    BY MS. PALM:
10
          Q
               I thought that you had put this here (indiscernible).
    This is not you?
11
              No, that's not me. I didn't (indiscernible) --
12
         A
13
          Q
              Oh, okay.
14
              -- that color.
15
              Okay. So there's basically three of them, and those
    are the three?
16
17
              I thought there were four yellow ones on there.
18
              Did I miss something? Oh, you know what? Well,
19
    you're correct. You did have two yellows ones on the same
    page, so -- on the page (indiscernible) the Monte Vista. You
20
21
    said. "You were with her, where were the stab wounds?" And he
22
    said, "Do me a favor." That was one?
              Okay. Yes.
23
         A
              Do you recall that?
24
         O
25
         A
              Yes.
```

1	Q Okay. So those are the four commands. And was Mr.
2	O'Keefe talking to both of you, or just Kyger during those?
3	A I believe he's directed at Kyger.
4	Q Okay. Did you have it in your mind when you said,
5	"Don't order her around," that you were trying to document
6	somehow that my client was being domineering?
7	A Not at all. I was trying to control the interview.
8	Q Okay. But he was saying the same things to you, and
9	you didn't tell him, don't order me around.
10	A He didn't say it so much to me. Everything that he
11	wanted written, or requested to drink or whatever was directed
12	at Detective Kyger.
13	Q Okay.
14	A I was the one asking the questions at the time, and
15	that was him deflecting to her. And that's why I said, don't
16	order her around. I was trying to control the interview, and
17	get him to answer my questions.
18	Q Okay. But he had told you, you don't understand
19	also, right?
20	A Way back, yes.
21	Q Okay. Have you had any classes on how alcohol
22	excuse me, affects a person's emotions?
23	A I haven't had a formal class, no.
24	Q Okay. So you don't know whether it affects a
25	person's ability to tear up?

1	A	No. I never heard that.
2	Q	Are you familiar with the documents that were
3	obtained	during the search warrant by doctor or by Officer
4	Shoemaker	?:
5	A	I'm not familiar with all the papers that were
6	recovered	, no.
7	o	Okay. Well
8	A	I wasn't there for that.
9	Q	Are all those are all the is the paperwork in
LO	your dete	ctive's file?
11	A	I would yes, I would believe so.
L2	Q	Are you familiar with your detective's file?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked as
15	defendant	's ZZ,
6		MS. PALM: May I approach?
17		THE COURT: Yes.
LB	BY MS. PA	LM:
.9	Q	Do you recognize what these items are?
01	A	I'm sorry. This is a contact information thing for
21	incase of	emergency. And this looks like a sales receipt from
22	Al Vacuum	and Sewing.
23	Q	Okay. And those do you recognize those from your
4	detective	's file?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q Okay. And does the vacuum receipt indicate a time on
2	it of a sale?
3	A 5:46 p.m.
4	Q Thank you.
5	MS. PALM: Your Honor, I'm going to move to admit
6	defense Exhibit ZZ.
7	MR. LALLI: Can I just see it one more time, please?
В	BY MS. PALM:
9	Q And Detective
10	THE COURT: Hang on. Any objection by the State?
11	MR. LALLI: No.
12	THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted.
13	(Exhibit ZZ admitted)
14	MR. LALLI: There's been Your Honor, I would just
15	note there's been now no foundation for it, and it's hearsay.
16	But I don't oppose it being admitted.
17	THE COURT: All right.
16	BY MS. PALM:
19	Q Detective, is it your understanding that those
20	documents came from the search of my client's apartment?
21	A I can't be positive on that. I haven't looked at
22	that section of the file in quite some time. But that's I
23	believe it to be, yes.
24	Q Okay. Thank you. And did you check the DMV
25	registration on the Hyundai that was allegedly driven by Ms.

1	Whitmars	and my client?	
2	A	I believe that I dld.	
3	Q	Okay. Is a registration part of your detective's	
4	file in t	this case?	
5	A	I'm not sure. I'd have to look through. I don't	
6	recall se	eeing it in quite some time.	
7	Q	Do you have it with you?	
8	A	I have it right here.	
9	Q	If I show you the document, could you check if it's	
10	in there?	•	
11	A	Sure.	
12		MS. PALM: Do you want to look at it before I show it	
13	to him?		
14		MR. LALLI: No, I've seen it.	
15	1	MS. PALM: May I approach?	
16		THE COURT: Yes.	
17		THE WITNESS: Okay.	
18	BY MS. PA	IM:	
19	Q	Do you recognize that?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Does it indicate when the car was registered?	
22	A	Oh, this is different type of registration from	
23	(indiscer	(indiscernible). One second. That's when it was ran. No. Is	
24	that it?	Okay. It has an effective date of the plates, and	
25	plates li	sted. And it shows an effective date of 3-8-2008.	

1	Q	Okay. And who was it registered to?
2	А	Cheryl Morris and Brian O'Keefe.
3	o	Thank you. Detective, do you know how the police
4	respondi	ng to the scene learned of Ms. Whitmarsh's hepatitis C?
5	A	No, I don't.
6	٥	Were you aware that they had learned of it?
7	A	I believe it came out at our briefing, so I would
8	think the	at they knew about it. But I'm not sure how.
9	Q	Okay. Did you write the officer's report in this
10	case?	
11	А	No.
12	a	Do you contribute to it?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Do you review it?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Do you recall that it was documented in the
17	report th	nat
18		MR. LALLI; Your Honor, I'm sorry. I object to
19	we're rea	ading reports. I mean, we've got information coming
20	off of a	DMV record. I would object to all of this hearsay
21	without a	any foundation coming in. I think that the
22	respectfu	ally, counsel can just ask the question, did you learn
23	this, did	l you learn that, without eliciting the hearsay. It's
24	not we	e're not making a clean record.
25		THE COURT: Because if he didn't I mean, well the

report is hearsay document, isn't it? 1 MS. PALM: Well he contributes to it, and he reviews 2 it. And it's his and Bunn's collaborative effort as far as my 3 understanding. THE COURT: Well if someone tells someone else 6 something, they put it in the report, it's still hearsay. So 7 I'm going to sustain the objection. You can ask some 8 specifics, but. MS. PALM: Okay. 9 BY MS. PALM: 10 Detective, did you have information that Mr. 11 Q O'Keefe, when officers responding, demanded that officers enter 13 to help her? Did I have information about that? 14 Yes. 15 0 16 A I believe so. 17 Okay. And did you have information that he complied with officers after the second tase? 18 19 Yes. 20 And did you have information that the noises didn't begin until 10:00 --21 22 A I believe so. 23 -- at the apartment? Q 24 A Yes. And did you have information at some point that Mr. 25 Q

i	O'Keefe was attempting to lift Ms. Whitmarsh around the waist?		
2	A I'm sorry, I missed part of that. That he was		
3	attempting to lift her?		
4	Q From the waist.		
5	A From the waist. I believe so, yes.		
6	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, again, this is all this is		
7	all hearsay. I would object. We've had testimony about all of		
В	this.		
9	THE COURT: I'm waiting for the objection. I'm going		
10	to sustain the objection.		
11	MS. PALM: I'm just about done. Court's indulgence.		
12	Okay. No more questions. Thank you, Detective.		
13	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.		
14	THE COURT: Any redirect?		
15	MR. LALLI: Yes.		
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
17	BY MR. LALLI:		
18	Q Detective, Ms. Palm asked you whether you obtained		
19	video from the Paris?		
20	A Yes.		
21	Q And you indicated that you didn't?		
22	A No.		
23	Q Why not?		
24	A I didn't think it was relevant or important to obtain		
25	video footage of them walking through the Paris.		

1	Q F	√hy?
2	Α :	It wasn't really part of the crime that I was
3	investigati	ing, and I believed what he said.
4	Q (Okay. Would the fact that the defendant went to the
5	Paris make	it any less or more likely that he murdered Victoria
6	Whitmarsh?	
7	A i	No.
8	Q I	During the interview, at about the time you're
9	advising th	ne defendant of his Miranda rights, do you make a
10	comment abo	out his intoxication?
11	A 1	I believe I asked him, have you been drinking.
12	Q I	Did you give him the opportunity did you give him
13	the opportu	nity to say something about that while on the
14	recording?	
15	A 3	(es.
16	Q V	Here you trying to hide the fact that he had been
17	drinking th	at day?
81	A M	lo.
19	Q 9	Were you trying to suppress the fact that you
20	believed he	had been drinking that day?
21	A N	lo.
22	Q I	old you try to make it so that defense counsel could
2.3	not learn t	hat in any way?
24	A N	io.
25	Q M	s. Palm asked you whether you checked into

1 Victoria's Medical history before you decided to proceed with 2 charges. Do you remember that question? 3 A Yes. 4 Tell us why you decided to proceed with charges 5 against Mr. O'Keefe. 6 I believed that there was probable cause to arrest him for the murder of Victoria Whitmarsh at the end of the --7 8 conclusion of the interview. 9 Q Okay. 10 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, that concludes redirect. THE COURT: All right, Any recross? 11 12 MS. PALM: No more questions. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: All right. Any questions from any of the 14 jurors? No questions. Thank you, Detective. You are excused. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Next witness for the State? 17 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, at this point the State and 18 the defense have entered into a stipulation with respect to 19 certain records with respect to Ms. Whitmarsh. And I'd ask 20 that that stipulation be placed on the record. THE COURT: You are stipulating, Ms. Palm? 21 MS. PALM: We are, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: All right. MS. PALM: Thank you. 24 25 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the parties have

prepared a stipulation. I'm going to read this into the record. An instruction will tell you that if the parties stipulate to a particular fact, you are to accept that fact as true.

"Records from October 2001, admission to Monte Vista
Hospital show that Victoria Whitmarsh was admitted," in quotes,
"because she had tried to cut her wrist in an attempt to hurt
herself," close quote. "When Victoria Whitmarsh was brought to
Sunrise Hospital prior to transfer to Monte Vista, documents
indicate," in quotes, "patient comes in by EMS with bilateral
wrist cuts.

"Patient states, had fight with husband, got depressed, and tried to cut wrist with a knife. Patient stated, was trying to kill myself," close quote. It's from the triage nursing assessment sheet. "She was diagnosed with laceration on both wrists. Psychiatric evaluation by Dr. Dakay," D-A-K-A-Y, "shows, the patient was brought in after she tried to cut her wrist in an attempted suicide. This has been her fourth suicide attempt, and I was just recently made aware of this."

"Records from September 2006, admission to Monta
Vista Hospital show that Victoria Whitmarsh has self-inflicted
wrist laceration. Admission certification by Dr. Slagel
(phonetic) reports, Ms. Whitmarsh had made at least three
suicide attempts. Recent attempt could have been lethal."

This is the emergency admission form.

"Discharge summary by Dr." -- I'll spell this, A-D-B-K-U-N-I, (indiscernible), A-J-A-Y-A, MD, states, "She had to be intubated after she overdosed with morphine after an argument with her estranged husband. She has been self-mutilating for the past 15 years, and stated that she cuts herself when she is angry. And the last time she cut her left wrist was with a pair of scissors on September 22nd, 2008. She complained" --

MS. PALM: Your Honor, I'm sorry. It was 2006.

THE COURT: 2005. That's correct, Ms. Palm. Thank
you. "She complained of irritability, mood swings, difficultly
sleeping at night because of racing thoughts, poor appetite,
anxiety, low energy, and difficulty sleeping, and difficulty
concentrating. She also reports episodic suphoria, anger
outbursts, and decreased need for sleep."

Discharge summary. "Victoria Whitmarsh reported that in October 2001, admission to Monte Vista Hospital occurred because she was angry, screaming, and she went berserk after an argument with her husband, and overdosed on pills and cut her wrist." "Doctor" --- do you know how to pronounce that, Ms.

Palm? Or anyone know how to pronounce that? It's the same Dr.

MS. PALM: I do not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- (indiscernible), MD, "evaluated

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

Whitmarsh, and noted that she has a long history of poor anger management, and very impulsive behavior. That's from a discharge summary.

*Monte Vista Hospital assessment and referral screening, dated October 29, 2006, indicates, chief complaint in patients own words, why are you here today? Answer, I've been depressed. I cut myself when I am depressed. I also got angry with my husband. That is why I took the pills. Under heading of precipitating events which occurred in previous 72 hours which prompted assessment."

This is in quotes, "Patient also admits to a history of self-mutilation. Most recently, she stabbed herself on her hands, October 22nd, 2006, because I am not happy with myself. Patient admits poor impulse control as evidenced by, cuts self when angry." And that's the extent of the stipulation.

MS. PALM: Thank you.

THE COURT: And the State has rested, correct?

MR. LALLI: Your Honor, we now rest.

THE COURT: Okay, And Ms. Palm, I believe you have a witness this afternoon?

MS. PALM: I do have one witness.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. PALM: I will make sure she's out there, Your

24 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

1	MS. PALM: Your Honor, the defense calls Dorothy
2	Robe.
3	THE COURT: Robe? All right.
4	THE MARSHAL: Step up here to the witness stand.
5	Remain standing, raise your right hand, and face the clerk.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay. Who's the clerk?
7	THE MARSHAL: Right there.
В	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
9	DOROTHY ROBE, DEFENSE'S WITNESS, SWORN
10	THE CLERK: Okay, please sit down.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	THE CLERK: And pull our chair up to the microphone.
13	And state and spell your name for the record.
14	THE WITNESS: This is a microphone?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE WITNESS: My name is Dorothy Robe. And it's
18	spelled excuse me. D-O-R-C-T-H-Y. And the last name is
19	Robe, R-O-B-E.
20	THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel.
21	MS. PALM: Thank you.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. PALM:
24	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Robe. Are you a little bit
25	nervous?

1	A	Oh, I feel like I'm having a total attack.
2	Q Q	Do you have a stress problem with testifying?
3	А	I do, but I'll try to get through it. Okay?
4	Q	Okay. What's your age?
5	A	70.
6	Q	And where do you live?
7	A	424 (indiscernible) Lane.
8	Q	Is that in Clark County, Nevada?
9	A	Yes, it's in Las Vegas.
10	Q	How long have you lived there?
11	A	Nine years.
12	Q	How long have you lived in Clark County?
13	. A	42 years.
14	Q	Okay. And what do you do for a living, if anything?
15	A	I'm a retired school teacher.
16	Q	Okay. Was how long were you a school teacher?
17	A	30 Years.
18	Q	Is that here in Clark County also?
19	A	25 years in Clark County, and five in Montana.
20	Q	Okay. And do you know Brian O'Keefe?
21	A	Yes, I do.
22	Q	Is he the gentleman sitting at the table to my right?
23	A	I can't see him very well.
24		MS. PALM: Can you stand up, Brian?
25		THE WITNESS: Is that Brian?
	1	

1	BY MS. P	ALM:
2	٥	Can you see him okay from there?
3	, A	Yeah, he looks a little different.
4	250	
	Q	Okay. That is Brian.
5	A	Okay.
ક		MS. PALM: Would the Court reflect the
7	identifi	cation, Your Honor?
8		THE COURT: Yes, it will.
9	I	MS. PALM: For the record.
10	BY MS. P	ALM:
11	Q	And do you also know a woman named Cheryl Morris?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	Okay. Was Cheryl Morris living with you at some
14	point?	
15	A	Yes. She lived with me I don't know, it seemed
16	like yea	rs. But maybe it was about a year, I don't know.
17	Q	Okay, was that in 2008?
18	A	I think so.
19	Q	Okay. Was there a time that she lived with you with
20	Brian O'	Keefe?
21	A	Yes, for about three months.
22	Q	Okay. And how often did you see them?
23	A	Every day.
24	Q	Okay.
25		MR. LALLI: Can we approach, Your Honor?

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN K. O'KEEFE, Appellant,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE STATE OF NEVADA Respondent. Supreme Court No.:

District Court Case No.: 08C250630 Filed Electronically Filed Dec 01 2015 10:55 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME XIII - PAGES 2400-2599

MATTHEW D. CARLING 51 East 400 North, Bldg. #1 Cedar City, Utah 84720 (702) 419-7330 (Office) Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Counsel for Respondent

-1-

INDEX O'Keefe, Brian

Document	D N.
(Ex Parte) Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 12/06/13	Page No 4698-4700
"Amended" Exhibits to "Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by	4098-4700
a True Pretrial Detainee filed on 10/03/14	5008-5036
"Evidentiary Hearing Request" (Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas	3000-3030
Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive 1 Based on Subject-Matter of	
Amended Information Vested in Ninth Circuit by Notice of Appeal then	
"COA" Granted on a Double Jeopardy Violation with No Remand Issued	
Since) filed on 10/03/14	4995-5007
"Reply" to State's Response and Motion to Dismiss to Defendant's Pro	1
Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Prsuant to NRS 34.360 filed on	
10/27/14	5052-5061
"True Pretrial Detainee's" Reply to State's Opposition(s) Admitting the	
State has a Jurisdictional Defect by the Aung of a Notice of Appeal	
Which Diveste Jurisdiction of the Matter Appealed; i.e., O'Keefe's	
Pretrial Habeas Matter Appealed to the 9th Circuit on the Subject Matter	
of the Amended Information Already Named a Double Jeopardy	
Violation filed on 10/01/14	4989-4994
Affidavit of Matthew D. Carling, Esq. filed on 06/29/15	5447-5453
Affidavit of the Honorable Michael P. Villani filed on 09/24/14	4981-4983
Amended Information filed on 02/10/09	0175-0177
Amended Notice of Appeal filed on 10/29/15	5565-5568
Appendix of Exhibits for: Motion to Dismiss based Upon Violation(s) of	
the Fifth Amendment Component of the Double Jeopardy Clause.	
Constitutional Collateral Estoppel and, Alternatively, Claiming Res	
Judicata, Enforceable by the Fourteenth Amendment Upon the States	
Precluding State's Theory of Prosecution by Unlawful Intentional	
Stabbing with Knife, the Alleged Battery Act Described in the Amended	Congressor Personalis
Information filed on 03/16/12	3225-34 <u>06</u>
Case Appeal Statement filed on 03/14/14	4850-4851
Case Appeal Statement filed on 04/11/14	4862-4863
Case Appeal Statement filed on 05/21/09	0334-0336
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/04/15	5476-5477
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/12/15	5484-5485
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/02/14	4925-4926
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/04/12	3536-3537
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/24/12	4625-4628
Case Appeal Statement filed on 10/20/15	5547-5548
Case Appeal Statement filed on 10/21/15	5554-5556
Case Appeal Statement filed on 11/04/15	<u>5572-</u> 5573
Case Appeal Statement filed on 11/24/14	5070-5071
Certificate of Mailing filed on 05/03/11	3048

Certificate of Service filed on 06/29/15	5454
Clerks Certificate Judgment Reversed and Remanded filed on 05/06/10	1023-1027
Criminal Bindover filed on 12/26/08	0004-0020
Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case filed on 07/31/13	4662
Defendant O'Keefe's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts Pursuant to NRS 48.045 and Evidence of Domestic Violence Pursuant to 48.061 filed on 01/18/11	2877-2907
Defendant's Brief on Admissibility of Evidence of Alleged Victim's History of Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation (With Knives andn Scissors), and Erratic Behavior filed on 03/20/09	0293-0301
Defendant's Motion to Require Court to Advise the Prosepective Jurors as to the Mandatory Sentences Required if the Defendant is Convicted of Second Degree Murder filed on 03/04/09	0196-0218
Defendant's Motion to Settle Record filed on 03/24/09	0317-0322
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 03/20/09	0302-0316
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 08/23/10	1335-1393
Defendant's Submission to Clark County District Attorney's Death Review Committee filed on 12/31/08	0021-0027
Defendant's Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 03/20/09	0290-0292
Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 08/16/10	1294-1296
District Court Amended Jury List filed on 03/19/09	0245
District Court Jury List filed on 03/16/09	0239
Ex Parte and/or Notice of Motion and Motion to Chief Judge to Reassign Case to Jurist of Reason Based on Pending Suit 3:14-CV-00385-RCJ-WGC Against Judge Michael Villani for proceeding in Clear "Want of Jurisdiction" Thereby Losing Immunity. Absolutely filed on 08/28/14	4903-4912
Ex Parte and/or Notice of Motion filed on 08/28/14	4913
Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail	
filed on 03/10/09	0232-0236
Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time filed on 08/16/10	1292-1293
Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to NRS 34.750 filed on 09/15/14	4950-4952
Ex Parte Motion for Defense Costs filed on 06/30/10	1037-1043
Ex Parte Motion for Production of Documents (Specific) Papers, Pleadings and Tangible Property of Defendant filed on 01/13/14	4714-4720
Ex Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Legal Cost of Faretta Canvassea Defendant to Above Instant Case filed on 12/13/13	4701-4707
Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed on 04/08/11	3041-3042
Ex Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 06/24/15	5438-5441
Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a True Pretrial Detainee iled on 09/15/14	4954-4980
Ex-Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Court Declaring Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis filed on 01/21/14	4722-4747

Ex-Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 01/28/14	4764-4767
Filing in Support of Motion to Seal Records as Ordered by Judge filed on	10.750
04/19/12	3438-3441
Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order filed on 10/02/15	5528-5536
Information filed on 12/19/08	0001-0003
Instructions to the Jury (Instruction No. 1) filed on 09/02/10	1399-1426
Instructions to the Jury filed on 03/20/09	0246-0288
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed on 09/05/12	4623-4624
Judgment of Conviction filed on 05/08/09	0327-0328
Judicial Notice Pursuant NRS 47.140(1)-NRS 47.150(2) Supporting Pro-	
Se Petition Pursuant NRS 34.360 filed on 03/12/15	5082-5088
Jury List filed on 06/12/12	3456
Jury List filed on 08/25/10	1396
Letters in Aid of Sentencing filed on 05/04/09	0324-0326
Motion by Defendant O'Keefe filed on 08/19/10	1329-1334
Motion for Complete Rough Draft Transcript filed on 04/03/12	3430
Motion for Judicial Notice the State's Failure to File and Serve Response	
in Opposition filed on 02/24/14	4800-4809
Motion for Judicial Ruling filed on 05/24/10	1028-1030
Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition Addressing All Claims in	
the First Instance Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with	
Affidavit filed on 06/15/15	5420-5422
Motion for Relief from Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S.	
Court of Appeals has not Issued any Remand, Mandate, or Remittitur	
filed on 07/23/14	4871-4889
Motion to Continue Trial filed on 06/01/12	3450-3455
Motion to Dismiss Counsel filed on 10/03/11	3164-3168
Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 01/27/14	4749-4759
Motion to Place on Calendar filed on 10/26/11	3169-3182
Motion to Place on Calendar filed on 11/28/11	3184-3192
Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed on 04/29/11	3044-3047
Motion to Withdraw Counsel filed on 11/28/11	3193-3198
Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims	1
when I.A.C. Claims Must be Raised Per Statute in the First Petition	
Pursuant Chapter 34 filed on 06/08/15	5148-5153
Motion to Withdraw filed on 09/14/10	1434-1437
Notice of Appeal filed on 03/13/14	4843-4849
Notice of Appeal filed on 04/11/14	4858-4861
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09	0332-0333
Notice of Appeal filed on 07/31/15	5467-5472
Notice of Appeal filed on 08/11/15	5478-5483
Notice of Appeal filed on 08/29/14	4923-4924
Notice of Appeal filed on 10/21/15	5552-5553
Notice of Appeal filed on 11/03/15	

Notice of Appeal filed on 11/21/14	5067-5069
Notice of Change of Address filed on 06/06/14	4864-4865
Notice of Defendant's Expert Witness filed on 02/20/09	0180-0195
Notice of Defendant's Witnesses filed on 03/06/09	0224-0227
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order filed on 10/06/15	5537-5546
Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 03/05/09	0222-0223
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for a Reasonable Bail filed on 09/24/10	1441-1451
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for Discovery filed on 08/02/10	1211-1219
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for Evidentiary Hearing on Whether the State and CCDC have Complied with Their Obligations with Respect to the Recording of a Jail Visit Between O'Keefe and State Witness Cheryl Morris filed on 08/02/10	1220-1239
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management	
Therapy, Self-Mutilation and Errratic Behavior filed on 07/21/10	1064-1081
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation and Erratic Behavior filed on 07/21/10	1099-1116
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives Have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in Another Recent Case filed on 08/02/10	1199-1210
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Dismiss on Grounds of Double Jeopardy Bar and Speedy Trial Violation and, Alternatively, to Preclude State's New Expert Witness, Evidence and Argument Relating to the Dynamics or Effects of Domestic Violence and Abuse filed on 01/07/11	2785-2811
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude Expert Testimony filed on 08/16/10	1284-1291
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or Character Evidence and Other Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his Constitutional Rights filed on 07/21/10	1047-1063
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or Character Evidence and Other	10-77-1003
Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his Constitutional Rights filed on 07/21/10	1082-1098
Notice of Motion and Motion by defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 01/03/11 Notice of Motion and motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Suppress his	1682-2755

1	Statements to Police, or, Alternatively, to Preclude the State from	
2	Introducing Portions of his Interrogation filed on 08/02/10	1152-1198
	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave of Court to File Motion for	
3	Rehearing - Pursuant to EDCR, Rule 2.24 filed on 08/29/14	4914-4921
4	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Admit Evidence of Other Bad	
*	Acts Pursuant to NRS 48.045 and Evidence of Domestic Violence	
5	Pursuant to 48.061 filed on 01/06/11	2762-2784
	Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes filed on	
6	02/02/09	0150-0165
7	Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Polygraph Examination Results filed on 03/29/12	2412 2415
	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss based Upon Violation(s) of the	3412-3415
8	Fifth Amendment Component of the Double Jeopardy Clause,	
9	Constitutional Collateral Estoppel and, Alternatively, Claiming Res	
×	Judicata, Enforceable by the Fourteenth Amendment Upon the States	
10	Precluding State's Theory of Prosecution by Unlawful Intentional	
11	Stabbing with Knife, the Alleged Battery Act Described in the Amended	
11	Information filed on 03/16/12	3201-3224
12	Notice of Motion and Motion to Seal Records filed on 03/22/12	3416-3429
12	Notice of Motion and Motion to Waive Filing Fees for Petition for Writ of	-
13	Mandamus filed on 12/06/13	4695-4697
14	Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed on	
	09/23/15	5517-5519
15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed on 09/29/15	
16	Notice of Motion filed on 01/13/14	5525-5527
	Notice of Motion filed on 01/21/14	4721
17	Notice of Motion filed on 01/27/14	4748
18	Notice of Motion filed on 02/24/14	4760 4810
15.050	Notice of Motion filed on 03/04/14	4833
19	Notice of Motion filed on 06/08/15	5154-5160
20	Notice of Motion filed on 07/23/14	4890
20	Notice of Motion filed on 08/29/14	4922
21	Notice of Motion filed on 09/15/14	4953
l	Notice of Witness and/or Expert Witnesses filed on 02/03/09	0166-0167
22	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed on 02/17/09	0178-0179
23	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/ Judgment Affirmed filed on	V (10 V 17)
	02/06/15	5072-5081
24	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Affirmed filed on	
25	07/26/13	4653-4661
	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on	
26	06/18/14	4866-4870
27	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on 03/12/15	5089-5093
28	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on	

09/28/15	5520-5524
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on 10/29/14	5062-5066
O'Keefe's Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in Another Recent Case filed on 08/13/10	1256 1265
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts filed on 02/06/09	0169-0172
Order Authorizing Contact Visit filed on 03/04/09	0219-0220
Order Authorizing Contact Visit filed on 08/12/10	1253-1254
Order Denying Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 08/13/15	5486-5488
Order Denying Defendant's Ex-Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Declaring Defendant Ingigent and Granting Forma pauperis filed on 03/11/14	4840-4842
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Relief From Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S. Court of Appeals had not Issues any Remand, Mandare or Remittatture filed on 09/04/14	4927-4929
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on 04/11/12	3434-3435
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Seal Recoreds and Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Plygraph Examination filed on 05/24/12	3448-3449
Order Denying Defendant's Petition for Writ of Mandamus or in the Alternative Writ of Coram Nobis; Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Waive Filing Fees for Petition for Writ of Mandamus; Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 01/28/14	4761-4763
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Judifical Notice-The State's Failure to File and Serve Response in Opposition filed on 04/01/14	
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition Addressing all Claims in the First Instance Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with Affidavit filed on 07/15/15	5464-5466
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on03/25/14	4852-4854
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims When I.A.C. Claims Must be Raised Per Statute in the First Petition Pursuant to Chapter 34 filed on 07/15/15	5461-5463
Order Denying Matthew D. Carling's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant filed on 11/19/15	5574-5575
Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed on 10/06/14	5037-5040
Order filed on 01/30/09	0149
Order filed on 11/06/10	1462-1463
Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 10/15/14	5051
Order for Production of Inmate Brian O'Keefe filed on 05/26/10	1032-1033
Order for Return of Fees filed on 11/10/11	3183

: H=		
	Order for Transcripts filed on 04/30/12	3442
2	Order Granting and Denying in Part Defendant's Ex-Parte Motion for	
	Production of Documents (Specific) Papers, Pleadings, and Tangible	10
111	Property of Defendant filed on 02/28/14	4818-4820
	Order Granting Ex parte Motion for Defense Costs filed on 07/01/10	1044-1045
	Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 01/20/11	2966-2967
	Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 04/27/11	3043
	Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 09/14/10	1430-1431
	Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 09/16/10	1438-1439
v: 8	Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, in Part, Motion by Defendant	-
	O'Keefe for Discovery filed on 08/23/10	1394-1395
h l	Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, in Part, Motion by Defendant	T
	O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or	
	Character Evidence and Other Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or	Settle Security of the Security
	Would Violate his Constitutional Rights filed on 09/09/10	1427-1429
	Order Granting, in Part, the State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other	3199-3200
	Bad Acts filed on 03/13/12	
	Order Releasing Medical Records filed on 04/08/11	3039-3040
	Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail or be Committed to	1
	Custody filed on 03/10/09	0230-0231
	Order Shortening Time filed on 08/16/10	1283
	Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or in the Alternative Writ of Coram	
	Nobis filed on 12/06/13	4663-4694
	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus or in the Alternative Motion to	
Ш	Preclude Prosecution from Seeking First Degree Murder Conviction	
	Based Upon the Failure to Collect Evidence filed on 01/26/09	0125-0133
	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive 1	
	Based On Subject-Matter of Amended Information Vested in Ninth	İ
Ш	Circuit by notice of Appeal Then "COA" Granted on a Double Jeopardy	
	Violation with No Remand Issued Since filed on 09/15/14	4940-4949
	Petitioner's Supplement with Exhibit of Oral Argument Scheduled by the	1 -2
$\ \ ^{1}$	Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for November 17, 2014, Courtroom #1	
	filed on 10/01/14	4984-4988
	Pro Se "Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Se Motion to	()
	Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 03/04/14	4821-4832
Ш	ProSe "Reply" to State's Opposition to Defendant's (Ex-Parte) "Motion	
	for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Courts Declaring	
	Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis" filed on 02/24/14	4792-4799
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/03/11	2761
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/12/11	2812
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/12/11	2813
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/18/11	2876
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/27/09	0134
	Receipt of Copy filed on 01/30/09	0146
E	Receipt of Copy filed on 02/06/09	0168

	<u> </u>	
1	Receipt of Copy filed on 03/04/09	0221
2	Receipt of Copy filed on 03/24/09	0323
4	Receipt of Copy filed on 05/24/10	1031
3	Receipt of Copy filed on 06/13/11	3163
	Receipt of Copy filed on 06/30/10	1036
4	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1240
5	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1241
376	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1242
6	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1243
-	Receipt of copy filed on 08/13/10	1255
7	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/14/10	1432
8	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/17/10	1433
	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/21/10	1440
9	Receipt of File filed on 07/01/10	1046
10	Reply in Support of Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	SERVINGS CARSTONS UN
	(Post-Conviction) filed on 08/25/15	5500-5510
11	Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post-Conviction	1970/07/17 00099004
12	Petition for Habeas Corpus filed on 06/16/15	5423-5432
12	Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ	ZESZEMANNI URBI NISKANA
13	of Habeas Corpus filed on 08/24/15	5489-5499
	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 10/21/15	5549-5551
14	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 07/17/12	3458-3460
15	Request for Certified Transcript of Proceeding filed on 09/09/09	0772-0723
558.7X	Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed on 05/21/09	0329-0331
16	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 11/20/12	4629-4631
	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 01/29/09	0135-0145
17	Second Amended Information filed on 08/19/10	1326-1328
18 19	State's Opposition to Defendant's (Ex-Parte) "Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Courts Declaring Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis" filed on 02/07/14	4768-4791
20	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Reasonable Bail filed on 09/27/10	1452-1461
21	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice – The State's Failure to File and Serve the Response in Opposition filed on 03/10/14	4834-4839
22	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on 03/21/12	3407-3411
23	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State from	3407-3411
24	Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 01/12/11	2814-2871
25	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Seal Records filed on 04/05/12	3431-3433
26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress his Statements to Police, or, Alternatively, to Preclude the State from Introducing Portions of his Interrogation filed on 08/17/10	1306-1319
27 28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims When I.A.C. Claims Must be	1300-1313
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 2	Raised Per Statute in the First Petition Pursuant to Chapter 34 filed on 06/25/15	5442-5446
3	State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Leave of Court to File Motion Rule 2.4 filed on 09/12/14	4935-4939
4	State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Chief Judge to Reassign Case to Jurist of Reason Based on Pending Suit Against Judge Michael Villani for Proceeding in Clear "Want of Jurisdiction" Thereby	1202 1009
5	Losing Immunity, Absolutely filed on 09/12/14	4930-4934
6	State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 02/24/14	4811-4817
7 8	State's Opposition to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing on Whether the State and CCDC have Complied with their Obligations with Respect to	-
9	the Recording of a Jail Visit Between O'Keefe and State Witness Cheryl Morris filed on 08/10/10	1244-1247
10	State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation	
11	and Erratic Behavior filed on 08/16/10	1277-1282
12 13	State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives Have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in Another Recent Case filed on 08/10/10	1248-1252
14	State's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and, Alternatively, to Preclude Expert and Argument Regarding Domestic Violence filed on 01/18/11	2908-2965
15	State's Opposition to Motion to Preclude Expert Testimony filed on 08/18/10	1320-1325
16 17	State's Response and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's Motion for Relief from Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S. Court of Appeals had not Issued any Remand, Mandare or Remittatture of filed on 08/07/14	4891-4902
18 19	State's Response and Motion to Dismiss to Defendant's Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive based on Subject-Matter of Amended Information Vested in Ninth Circuit by	
20	Notice of Appeal Then "COA" Granted on a Double jEopardy Violatio with No Remand Issued Since (Post Conviction), Amended Peition and	
21	Accompany Exhibits, Opposition to Request for Evidentiary Hearing, and Opposition to Pro Per Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 10/10/14	5041-5050
22	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State from Introducint at Trial Other Bad Acts or Character Evidence and Other	
23	Evidence that is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his Contitutionsal	1020 (002
24	Rights filed on 08/16/10 State's Response to Defendant's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or in	1268-1276
25	the Alternative Writ of Coram and Response to Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 12/31/13	4708-4713
27	State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 06/02/15	5145-5147
28	State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Petition for Writ	PARTITION OF THE PARTIT

1 of Habeas Corpus and Evidentiary Hearing Request, "Motion for Leave to	-
File Supplemental Petition Addressing all Claims in the First Instance	
Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with Affidavit, "Reply to	1
3 State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post Conviction Petition for	
Habeas Corpus," and "Supplement with Notice Pursuant NRS 47.150(2);	
4 NRS 47.140(1), that the Untied States Supreme Court has Docketed (#14-	
5 10093) the Pretrial Habeas Corpus Matter Pursuant 28 USC 2241(c)(3)	
from the Mooting of Petitioner's Section 2241 Based on a Subsequent	
Judgment Obtained in Want of Jurisdiction While Appeal Pending" filed on 07/09/15	F455 5450
- CO - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	5455-5458
Conviction Petition for Writ of Unbace Comun 61ad on 00/02/15	6611 6616
State's Response to Defendant's Supplement to Supplemental Petition for	5511-5516
Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 07/31/15	5473-5475
State's Supplemental Opposition to Motion to Seal Records filed on	3413-3413
04/17/12	3436-3437
Stimulation and Order Sled on 02/10/00	0173-0174
Substitution of Attorney filed on 06/29/10	1034-1035
Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-	1054-1055
[[Conviction] filed on 07/13/15	5459-5460
Supplement with Notice Pursuant NRS 47.150 (2); NRS 47.140 (1), That	
the United State's Supreme Court has Docketed (#14-10093) The Pretrial	
Habeas Corpus Matter Pursuant 28 U.S.C.§ 2241 ©(3) From the Mooting	
of Petitioner's Section 2241 Based on a Subsequent Judgment Obtained in	
Want of Jurisdiction While Appeal Pending filed on 06/17/15	5433-5437
Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Petition for a Writ of Habeas	
Corpus Exhibits One (1) Through Twenty Five (25) filed on 06/12/15	5161-5363
Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses filed on 07/29/10	1117-1151
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witness filed on 05/17/12	3443-3447
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 01/03/11	2756-2760
Supplemental Notice of Expert witnesses fried on 08/13/10	1266-1267
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 08/16/10	1297-1305
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 01/14/11	2872-2875
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 03/10/09	0228-0229
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 03/11/09	0237-0238
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) filed	ORANO WATER
on 04/08/15	5094-5144
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 06/15/15 Verdict filed on 03/20/09	5364-5419
V. E. C. I. OZOGOO	0289
Verdict filed on 06/15/12	3457
Verdict Submitted to the Jury but Returned Unsigned filed on 09/02/10	1397-1398
Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 01/30/09	0147-0148

TRANSCRIPTS

Document	Page I
Transcript - All Pending Motions and Calendar Call filed on 02/04/11	2996-3038
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 07/10/09	0351-0355
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 08/30/12	3461-3482
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 11/23/10	1464-1468
Transcript - All Pending Motions on 07/10/09	0348-0350
Transcript - Calendar Call filed on 02/04/11	2968-2973
Transcript - Calendar Call filed on 08/30/12	3520-3535
Transcript - Continued Hearing: Motion in Limine to Present Evidence of Other Bad Acts filed on 08/30/12	3483-3509
Transcript - Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) filed on 10/29/15	5560-5564
Transcript - Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Based Upon Violation(s) filed on 08/30/12	3510-3519
Transcript - Defendaat's Motion to Settle Record filed on 07/10/09	0342-0345
Transcript - Entry of Plea/Trial Setting filed on 07/10/09	0356-0358
Transcript - Jury Trail - Day 1 filed on 10/14/09	0724-1022
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 07/10/09	0582-0651
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 07/10/09	0652-0721
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 09/04/12	4278-4622
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 11/23/10	1579-1602
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 07/10/09	0515-0581
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 11/23/10	1603-1615
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 on 09/04/12	4001-4227
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 filed on 07/10/09	0462-0514
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 filed on 11/23/10	1616-1738
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 on 09/04/12	3779-4000
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 filed on 07/10/09	0408-0461
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 filed on 11/23/10	1739-2032
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 on 09/04/12	3600-3778
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 07/10/09	0359-0407
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 09/04/12	3538-3599
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 11/23/10	2033-2281
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 6 filed on 11/23/10	2282-2507
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 7 filed on 11/23/10	2508-2681
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 8 filed on 11/23/10	1469-1470
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 9 filed on 11/23/10	1471-1478
Transcript - Matthew D. Carling's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of	CONTRACTOR OF STREET
Record for Defendant filed on 10/29/15	5557-5559
Transcript - Motions Hearing - August 17, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	1479-1499
Transcript - Motions Hearing - August 19, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	1500-1536
Transcript - Motions Hearing - August 20, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	1537-1578

Transcript – Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 02/04/11	2974-2989
Transcript - Partial Transcript of the Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 03/18/09	0240-0244
Transcript - Petrocelli Hearing filed on 05/19/11	3049-3162
Transcript – Proceedings filed on 01/02/09	0028-0124
Transcript - Sentencing August 16, 2012 filed on 12/03/12	4632-4635
Transcript - Sentencing August 28, 2012 filed on 12/03/12	4636-4652
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 07/10/09	0337-0341
Transcript - Status Check: Availability of Dr. Benjamin for Trial filed on 02/04/11	2990-2995

Q Okay. Okay. Now when you were asked to examine -compare the knife with known exemplars, you were also asked to
compare it to Victoria Whitmarsh's exemplars; is that correct?

A Yes. She was listed on the request as -- which would be typical in this -- in any investigation, to look at all of the people who may have access to a particular item.

Q Okay.

A Elimination -- of these elimination standards. So her listing was also on the request.

Q Okay. Now you had said that the area of the hand, I believe that's called the writer's area; is that the term for it?

A Well the writer's area would actually -- the writer's
-- what we call the writer's palm would actually be out a
little further than what I've described to the jury today.

Q Okay.

A Because in the writer's palm, what we call a writer's palm is this blade, or the end. And it's called that in the vernacular. Because if you put your hand down to sign something, that's the portion of the hand that's going to touch something, as if you're writing.

But normally what happens on that area of the hand is that the ridges begin to get skinnier and skinnier and skinnier, and they eventually kind of blend into the rest of your skin. And I didn't see any of that in this particular

touch. So that's why I believe that the print was more in this 1 2 hypothenar, this area on the fatty part of the hand, as opposed to that writer's blade, 3 Q Okay. And it was in blood? It was a --Well in --5 6 Q -- patent print? 7 It was a patent print. Now it appeared to be blood A to me. I am not a DNA or a blood expert, that the print did 8 9 appear to be in what I would call suspected blood. 10 Okay. And before you subjected the knife to the Q various means of enhancement, was DNA already collected from 11 12 it? Yes. 13 Okay. So when you did the final enhancement where 14 15 you subject the entire knife surface to the super glue method? 16 Only the blade would have been subjected to the super 17 glue process. And the handle would have subjected to the acid 18 yellow process. 19 Okay. If you had previously testified that you use 20 the super glue method on the entire knife surface, would that be incorrect? 21 22 A Oh. 23 Q I can approach if it would --Well the entire --24 A -- help you remember. 25 Q

A Well I suppose the entire knife would have been placed into the super glue tank.

Q Okay.

A The primary area of my interest though would have been the blade. Because the acid yellow at that point, by applying this liquid over the handle. If there had been any residue left there that would have been developed by super glue, it would have been gone, because it would have been simply rinsed away.

So even though I processed -- may have processed the whole item with the glue, and maybe with -- and even maybe dipped it with the -- as I said, that chemical that we use the ALS (phonetic) with, my primary emphasis was on looking at the blade for additional latent prints.

- Q Okay. Once you performed the super glue -- you know
- A Yes.

- Q -- method of analysis, would that have corrupted any DNA that was left there? Or would it have ruined the ability to collect other DNA?
 - A Additional DNA?
 - Q Additional DNA.
- A I believe there has been -- have been -- and maybe the witness who comes after me next can explain this better.

 But I believe that there still are some -- there is still some

1 ability to collect DNA from items even after they have been 2 glued, super glued, is what my recollection is from some articles that I've read. 3 Q Okay. We don't like to do it that way. We like to collect 5 -- obviously collect the blood sample, or anything from the 6 7 item prior to latent fingerprint processing. Okay. And that's why you do the DNA first? 8 Absolutely. It goes through a sequential system 9 through the laboratory, starting with DNA, and moving it's way 10 through the laboratory in an organized manner. 11 Could the blood on the knife handle have been caused 12 Q from dripping, and then laying a hand on the knife? From blood 14 dripping onto the knife, and then a hand resting on it? MS. GRAHAM: Objection. It's beyond his scope of 15 16 knowledge. 17 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. PALM: 18 Are you familiar with how fingerprints are caused? 19 O n Yes. 20 21 And you're familiar with that fingerprints can be imposed on a bloody surface? 23 A Correct.

determine if a fingerprint might have been caused by blood

Okay. Would you -- is it -- are you able to

24

dripping on a surface, and then --

MS. GRAHAM: Objection, Judge. She --

MS. PALM: -- a hand resting on it?

MS, GRAHAM: He's not a blood spatter expert. He's a fingerprint expert analysis.

THE COURT: Ms. Palm, why don't you ask him if he -if Mr. Guenther has that expertise to answer that question.

BY MS. PALM:

Q Do you have the expertise to answer that question, sir?

A Well I'm certainly not a blood spatter expert by any stretch of the imagination. I mean, could give a layman's opinion based on the blood that I've seen on evidence. That would be it.

Q Okay. Well can you give an opinion on a fingerprint might be placed in blood because blood had somehow gotten onto that surface?

- A I could give a generality.
- Q Okay.

A What we normally -- what was normally observed is if
the print -- if the blood is on the fingers, and there is not
too much pressure applied, what you'll see is that the ridge
detail will be in blood. Often if the blood is on a surface,
and the had or finger touches it, and it's -- and once again,
(indiscernible) a very small amount of blood. And the hand

would pull the blood away from that surface, then the ridges would be in what we call a reversed position.

So in other words, they're not as you would normally visualize them. They're going to be kind of flipped backwards, because the ridges actually pulled that blood away, as opposed to the blood being deposited on that surface. Generally speaking, that's the only way you can tell.

Q Okay. And in this situation, there just was not enough clarity for you to be able to determine either way?

A I would not like to venture a guess. There is a -it's a small area there.

Q Okay. Thank you very much.

A Okay.

MS. PALM: No more questions.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. GRAHAM: No. Judge.

THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? No questions. Thank you, Mr. Guenther, for your testimony. You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Guenther.

UNKNOWN MALE SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

THE COURT: All right. Do we have a question? Oh,

24 we did have a question.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

THE COURT: Counsel, approach. 1 2 (Off-record bench conference) THE COURT: Mr. Guenther, we have a question from one 3 of the jurors. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: "Is it possible and or likely prints will 6 7 wipe off the knife?" THE WITNESS: Well in my analysis of the knife prior 8 to the collection that Jen Bas did, because I did examine the 9 10 knife in her presence prior to her collection, and then my 11 subsequent processing, I did not see any indication on the knife anywhere of any kind of wipe marks. Now when I got the knife eventually and I did process 13 it, there were some rub areas on the knife itself. And those 14 15 were caused by Ms. Bas and her collection technique, where they 16 -- and she will explain all of this, how it's -- how blood is 17 swabbed off of a surface. But when I did that initial visual examination in her 18 presence at her work area, I did no see any indicated that the 19 blood -- that the knife had been wiped in any fashion 20 21 whatsoever. THE COURT: All right, thank you. Ms. Graham, do you 22 have any follow up questions to that question? 23

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

MS. GRAHAM: Judge one, Judge.

24

- k	
1	BY MS. GRAHAM:
2	Q Will you always find evidence of a wiping if a wiping
3	occurred?
4	A No. No.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Palm, any follow up?
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. PALM:
8	Q Would you have expected to find some evidence of
9	wiping if a wiping occurred?
LO	A It's hard to say. I it's very difficult to say.
וו	Sometimes if there's moisture on the blood or if there's
12	moisture on the hand, or if there's if say a cloth is used
13	to actually wipe it, or if a hand is used to wipe that surface,
L4	these are all variables that come into whether you know,
15	whether you can actually visualize these things.
16	So it's really difficult to make an assertion about
17	things about that. It truly is. But you can definitely
LB	tell when it's not. But you're asking me if I can tell if it
19	is, it's hard.
20	Q Okay.
21	A It's really difficult.
22	Q Okay. Well there was there was the blood that's
23	on the handle of the knife that you looked at?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. Did that appear to have wipe marks in it?

1	A No.
2	Q Okay. And the knife blade that you examined had some
3	blood on it?
4	A Yes,
S	Q Okay. And there was nothing to indicate to you that
6	it had been wiped off?
7	A No. When I as I explained to the jury, when I saw
8	that knife the very first time in the presence of the DNA
9	analyst, Jen Bas, and I looked at it, there was no indication
LO	of a wipe of any wipe marks on that blade at that time.
1	Q Thank you.
12	MS. GRAHAM: Just briefly, Judge.
13	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
L4	BY MS. GRAHAM:
15	Q And you say indication of any wipe marks. That
6	doesn't mean that there the knife was not wiped off before
7	it was given to you for analysis?
8	MS. PALM: Asked and answered, Your Honor.
.9	THE COURT: No. overruled.
20	BY MS. GRAHAM:
21	Q You can answer.
22	A Oh. Well as I said, when I got the when I
23	eventually received the knife from Jennifer Bas, then,
14	especially after when I did my visual examinations and so
25	forth, I could see where her collection technique had made some

wipe marks on the blade especially, because she was in the 1 process of collecting those blood swabs that were on the blade. 2 3 So yes, at that point I did see some wipe marks from 4 -- caused by the solution and the movement that they use in 5 collecting blood. 6 Um-hum. But my question specifically Mr. Guenther 7 was, just because you didn't see wipe marks that would indicate somebody actually took a knife and wiped it off with a sheet 8 doesn't necessarily mean that didn't happen? 9 10 A No. It doesn't necessarily mean that, no. 11 0 Okay. THE COURT: Ms. Palm? 12 MS. PALM: No more questions. Thank you. 13 THE COURT: Any other questions by the jurors? No 14 questions. Thank you sir, for your testimony. You are 15 16 excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Next witness for the State? 18 THE WITNESS: Jennifer Bas. 19 THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, raise your right hand and face 20 the clerk. 21 JENNIFER BAS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 22 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 THE CLERK: Pull up to your mic. And state and spell 25

your name for the record. 2 THE WITNESS: My name is Jennifer Bas. 3 J-E-N-N-I-F-E-R, B-A-S. DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. LALLI: 5 6 Ma'am how are you employed? 7 I work for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. I'm the DNA technical leader of the biology DNA В detail. 9 10 0 Okay. 11 A Sorry. That's okay. You indicate that you're a technical 0 12 leader? 13 14 A That's correct. 15 What is a technical leader? 16 I am technically responsible for everything that comes out of the DNA lab. I'm responsible for everybody's training, all of our quality control procedures. I am 18 responsible for following the federal -- the FBI's quality 19 assurance standards that set forth what it means to be an 20 accredited DNA lab. Prior to this, for the six last years, I 21 22 was also a forensic scientist specializing in DNA testing. So I take it the position of being a technical 23 Q director is kind of a supervisory position? 24 It's a position that's mandated by the Federal Bureau 25

of Investigation. I do not supervise people. However, I do
have the authority to shut down the laboratory if I felt there
was any issues. I am responsible for everybody that's in
training and the quality of their work that leaves the
laboratory as well.

- Q So it's kind of a quality assurance manager-type position?
 - A Exactly.
 - Q Am I getting closer?
- 10 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B

9

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay, thanks. Now you indicated that in addition to that position, at least at one point, you also did analysis?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Do you still do analysis?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q What kind of analysis?
 - A Since 2004, I've been a forensic scientist specializing in DNA testing. Over the course of that six years, I've processed over 500 cases and thousands of samples for DNA. So I am expected to be a fully trained DNA analyst. That means that I examine evidence, I make comparisons, I issue reports and do all of the things that other DNA examiners do.
 - Q Okay. Can you tell us about your formal education?
 - A Yes. I have a bachelor of science degree in genetics and cell biology from the University of Minnesota. And then I

also have a masters in forensic science specializing in molecular biology from George Washington University. As I mentioned, I've been employed in the forensic DNA testing field for over six years.

And prior to working at Metro, I worked at the Armed Forces DNA identification laboratory in Rockville, Maryland, where my primary job responsibilities were to identify soldiers that had lost their lives in previous work conflicts, or current work conflicts like Iraq and Afghanistan.

- Q Did you do that using DNA?
- 11 A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

В

9

10

17

18

19

24

25

- 12 Q Okay. So how long have you been at Metro?
- 13 A Over three years.
- Q Have you ever testified in the courts of Nevada as an expert in the area of DNA analysis?
 - A I have.
 - MR. LALLI: Your Honor, at this point I would ask that Ms. Bas be allowed to testify as to her opinions in the area of DNA analysis.
- THE COURT: Yes, she can testify in that field.

 21 BY MR. LALLI:
- Q Ms. Bas, can you explain to us what is DNA, and how is it used to assist in criminal investigations?
 - A I like to think of DNA as kind of the blueprint for the human body. It's a molecule that's found in the cell, and

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

it's what makes each of us unique from the person sitting next to you. With the exception of identical twins, no people on this planet have the same DNA profile.

And so it's very useful in investigative situations to try and identify the source of the DNA of who could have been contributing to certain pieces of evidence that I'm examining. And so more generally what I do is I take evidence from crime scenes, and I generate DNA profiles from that.

And I also generate DNA profiles in the form of a buccal swab, which is a swab from the inside of a cheek, for a known individual. And then I can compare those DNA profiles from the unknown samples to the known individual to determine who may be included or excluded as contributing to that DNA.

Q Without getting too much into nitty gritty, can you just explain in very general terms how you make a DNA comparison?

A Yeah. There's a few steps in the laboratory that I have to do. Typically, an item is examined for DNA and a sample is collected, whether I swab that piece of evidence, or a crime scene investigator takes a collection at the scene and gives it to me.

I take that piece of evidence into the laboratory, and I do four basic steps to it. The first thing that I want to do is extract the DNA. It essentially means that I'm going to isolate the DNA and get it by itself so that I can do

further testing on it.

The next thing that I do is, it's called quantitation. And basically I'm just trying to figure out how much DNA is present. The third thing that we do is to amplify the DNA, which basically means I want to go in and look at certain regions of the DNA, and make millions of copies of it so that I can interpret it on the fourth stage, which is analysis on the instrument.

So from start to finish, I go in the lab and I do that extraction, the quantitation, the amplification. Thank you. Thank you.

Q You're welcome.

A And analyze it on the instrument. And then the DNA profile is generated in a format that I can visualize. Once I've generated a profile from a piece of evidence, and I can generate another profile from a known contributor, I compare those visually. So --

0 So --

A Yeah so --

O I'm --

A No, go ahead.

Q That's okay. So the analysis that you were just talking about deals with unknown biological fluid, such as blood, at a crime scene; is that correct?

A That's correct.

1	Q And you compare it with a known sample from an
2	individual?
3	A Yes.
4	Q You used the terminology, a buccal swab. Oftentimes
5	are buccal swabs used as a known?
6	A Yes. Buckle swabs are almost exclusively used as a
7	known reference sample for an individual.
8	Q How is a buccal swab generally collected?
9	A We just take a swab and scrape the inside of the
10	mouth. You know, hopefully this is a really good source of
11	your own DNA. I wouldn't expect anybody else's DNA to be
12	lingering in your mouth. And so that generates a nice profile
13	that we can compare to the evidence items.
14	Q Is that profile sometimes referred to as a standard?
15	A It is.
16	Q Okay. Did in this particular case, what standards
17	or known samples of DNA did you use, and for whom?
18	A May I refer to my case file?
19	Q Did you create a case file
20	A I did.
21	Q as part of your analysis?
22	A I did.
23	Q Did you prepare your notes at or near the time of
24	your comparison?
25	A I did.

1	Q And as you did so, did you accurately record the
2	information in your notes?
Э	A I did.
4	Q You may do so.
5	A Thank you. Two buccal swab standards were submitted
6	to me as known references. The first was from Victoria
7	Whitmarsh, and the second was from Brian O'Keefe.
8	Q Okay. Let's talk about the buccal swab from Brian
9	O'Keefe. Were you able to obtain a DNA profile from that?
10	A I did. I generated a full male profile, which means
11	that I was able to obtain DNA results at all of the locations
12	that I test.
13	Q Okay. And with respect to Victoria Whitmarsh, was
14	that a buccal swab collected at autopsy in an evidence
15	collection kit?
16	A I believe so, yes.
17	Q Okay. And were you able to obtain a full DNA profile
18	from that buccal swab?
19	A I was. It was a full profile consistent with a
20	female.
21	Q All right. Now let's talk about some of the things
22	that you compared. Just for the benefit of the jury, I'm going
23	to publish State's Exhibit number 26. And then State's Exhibit
24	number 29, Crime scene analyst Maldonado testified that she
25	impounded a swab of what appears to be a red substance on a

1 light switch. Did you have the occasion to analyze that swab? I received a swab from Jocelyn Maldonado. It was a 2 3 swab from a light switch cover. I tested it for blood, and it was blood positive. And then I developed a full male profile 4 from that blood. 5 How do you test it to determine whether it is blood 6 7 positive? We do a presumptive test in the laboratory, it's call B phenolphthalein. And when it reacts positively, it's a 9 presumptive test, meaning that it indicates that blood is 10 present. And then when I generate a human DNA profile, in this 11 case the full male profile, that's confirming that that was 12 13 blood. 14 Did you compare that full male profile with the Q profile of the defendant, Brian O'Keefe? 15 I did. A 16 And what were your results. 17 Q A I'm going to refer to my report specifically. 18 19 Q Okay. Thank you. The DNA profile that was obtained from 20 A the blood on the light switch cover was consistent with Brian 21 O'Keefe. We generate a statistic associated with it, and I'll 22 23 read that to you. Well before you read that --24 0

A

25

Oh.

Q -- can you explain to the jury -- I'm not a math person. But can you explain to them -- you indicate that it's consistent. And but you also associate a statistic with that?

A That's correct.

Q Explain what that statistic means.

A Okay. The statistic that I'm going to tell you about, it's called an identity statement. When we have a sample of evidence match or be consistent with a known reference standard, we generate a statistic. The statistic that I generated here is rarer than 100 times the entire Earth's population.

So if you were to take 100 Earths, all of the people on the Earth 100 times over, the statistic was more rare than that. And so we cap it off at 100 times the Earth's population, and we call it an identity statement, meaning that the identity of the blood is assumed to have originated from that person.

Q Okay. To a degree of certainty more than 100 times the population of our Earth?

- A Yes.
- Q And whose blood was that?
- A Brian O'Keefe's.
- Q All right. Now we had some testimony about what is depicted in State's 35, which are some stretch pants located in a bathroom. Did you create some diagrams to help explain areas

- 1		
1	of eviden	nce that were in fact tested?
2	A	I did.
3	Q	All right. Did you create a diagram specifically for
4	some blac	ck stretch pants?
5	A	I did.
6	V	MR. LALLI: May I approach?
7		THE COURT: Yes.
8		MR. LALLI: Thank you.
9	BY MR. I	ALLI:
LO	Q	Showing you what we've marked as State's proposed 123
11	for iden	tification purposes, do you recognize that?
12	A	I do.
13	Q	What is it?
14	A	This is a picture that I took in the laboratory of a
15	pair of	black stretch pants.
16	Q	And is there also some arrows and some diagraming on
17	the phot	ographs?
18	A	Yes.
19	ୃତ	Would this exhibit assist you in explaining the
20	results	of your analysis to the jury?
21	A	It would.
22		MR. LALLI: Your Honor, move for the admission of
23	State's	proposed 123.
24	ž	MS. PALM: No objection.
25		THE COURT: It will be admitted.

(Exhibit 123 admitted) 1 2 MR. LALLI: Okay. BY MR. LALLI: 3 What I will do is -- well why don't you explain, 0 4 there's some labeling up at the top of this? 5 Sure. What is that? 7 The labeling at the very top is the event number В under which I analyzed the evidence. The JB5 is my initials 9 for Jennifer Bas, and 5 meaning it was the 5th item of evidence 10 that I examined. We always create a unique identifier for a 11 piece of evidence. And then in the yellow --Well let's go to the left side first. 0 13 A Sure. 14 And I'll zoom in there. What is that? 15 0 Okay. So this is a picture of one side of the black 16 stretch pants. And here you see my labeling -- oh. Right 17 there you see the labeling of the event number, that unique 18 identifier that I just mentioned, as well as the date that I 19 20 examined it. Let's move over to the lefthand side now. What is 21 this? 22 Okay. This is a picture that I took where it might 23 be kind of hard to see what I had done. But right there, I had 24

the pants laying flat out, and then I took the pant leg, and I

folded it up so that it was laying on top of the top of the 1 pants over here. And so these two circled areas are indicating 2 3 the areas that I took samples of on the pants. Q Okay. So I'm going to zoom in to the lower of those 4 5 two. 6 A Okay. 7 Does that -- well what is it? Is that a blood spot Q В at the bottom of the pants? This is. I noticed a blood spot on the front side of 9 the pant, on the lower left ankle area. And as I had mentioned 10 before, if I see something that appears to look like blood, I 11 do that presumptive test to let me know that it is blood. 12 13 0 Did you do that in this case? I did. A 14 And what were your results? 15 0 It was presumptively positive for blood. 16 A 17 So did you perform an analysis on that area of the Q 18 pants? I did. So I took a sample of that bloodstain, and I 19 took it on to DNA testing. 20 And what did you find? 21 Q The profile that was generated from this particular 22 23 stain was a mixture, meaning that more than one person's DNA

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

So let me just ask you this. We looked at the sweb

was present in that specific location.

24

taken from the light switch. Is that different from this DNA 1 2 result? That was. The swab that was taken from the light A 3 switch was consistent with a single source, meaning that it 4 5 originated from one individual. This particular DNA profile 6 generated from this stain is a mixture. 7 Okay. Are you able to make comparison statements or conclusions with respect to DNA mixtures? 8 A Yes. 9 Okay. Did you compare this particular bloodstain 10 Q 11 against the DNA profiles of the defendant and the DNA profile of Victoria Whitmarsh? I did. A 13 And can you tell us what you found? 14 The DNA that was obtained from this particular stain 15 was consistent with a mixture of two individuals. And those 16 two individuals that could not -- that were included in that 17 mixture were Brian O'Keefe and Victoria Whitmarsh. 18 So you could not exclude them? 19 Q No. I could not exclude either of them. 20 All right. Do -- now you mentioned in the previous 21 Q example a statistical number associated with your comparison? 22 A Yes. 23 Do you also receive statistical numbers with respect 24

to the comparison of mixtures?

- A I generate statistics.
- Q You generate those?
 - A Yes.

B

- Q Do they work a little differently?
- A They do. So this is a different type of scenario.

 Because it's a mixture of two people, I have to generate a statistic that is consistent with a mixture. So the statistic that I generate in essence is saying, what percentage of the population could be excluded from this mixture. And so the statistic was that greater than 99 point 99 percent of other individuals in the population could be excluded from this mixture profile.
 - O Could be excluded?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Are you able to put that number in the inverse, like the identity statement we talked about in the last example? Are you able to give us in other words a frequency of inclusion for that --
- A Yes.
- 20 0 -- mixture?
 - A I can generate -- it's called a combined probability of inclusion. It's slightly different, because again it's a mixture. So the inclusion's statement is basically saying, what percentage of individuals in the population could be included in that mixture.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

Okay. And what number did you reach for that 1 Q statistic? 2 3 A I'm just going to refer to that --If you would. Q 4 -- statistic page, please. The statistic was that 5 approximately 1 in 21,000,890 people could be included. So one 6 7 out of every 21,000,890 individuals. 8 Okay. So if you take a group of what, 21 million --9 over 21 million people, two of those people would be --10 A One --Or one of those people --11 0 I would expect one person to be included for every 12 A 13 million. Got it. Thank you. 14 0 A You're welcome. 15 Now that wasn't the only area on these pants that you 16 looked at, was it? 17 A No. In addition to at the bottom cuff area of the pants, 19 did you also look at another stain on the pants? 20 21 A Yes. And does that -- am I correct that we're looking at 22 almost the very top of the pants, or? 23 Yeah, this is the top. It's kind of the front panel 24 of the pant, underneath the waist drawstring area, or the waist 25

-- elastic waist area.

Q Okay. Did you perform an analysis of the blood in that area?

A Yes. Again I saw red, which indicated blood to me.

I did a presumptive test for blood, and it was positive. From this sample, I generated a single source profile, and that was consistent with Victoria Whitmarsh, meaning she is the source of the blood that was at that location.

Q Okay. Did you analyze a DNA collection kit that was collected at autopsy?

A I examined an evidence collection kit from Victoria Whitmarsh.

Q All right. Can you tell the jury what kinds of things are in a DNA collection kit?

A One of the first things that is collected is a buccal swab. As I mentioned earlier, that's used as the standard known of the individual, where this kit was collected from. I also received some vaginal swabs, some cervical swabs, rectal swabs, an oral swabs (sic), which just means from the mouth, the oral cavity. I received a pubic hair brushing, and some nail clippings from the right and the left hands.

Q Okay. Did you analyze the vaginal swabs, the cervical swabs, the rectal swab, the oral swab for the presence of semen?

A I did.

1	Q And what were your results.
2	A The vaginal swabs, the cervical swabs, and the rectal
3	swabs were all semen negative, meaning I did not detect any
4	semen at all. And the oral swabs, they were negatively
5	presumptive for semen.
6	Q Okay.
7	A I think I had mentioned earlier that I kind of do
В	I do a presumptive test, and then I can do a confirmatory test.
و	So for the first three, the vaginal, cervical and oral, I was
10	able to confirm that semen was not there. For the oral swabs,
11	I did that first presumptive test. It was negative, and I
12	stopped testing at that point.
13	Q Okay. Now did you also have the occasion to analyze
14	nail clippings?
15	A I did.
16	Q And when those are collected, are they designated as
17	from the right hand and from the left hand?
18	A In this case, they were.
19	Q All right. What if anything did you find with regard
20	to the right hand nail clippings of Victoria Whitmarsh?

A There was blood in the right hand fingernail clippings, it was positive for blood. And the profile generated from that was a full female profile, and it was Victoria Whitmarsh.

Q What about the left hand nail clippings?

1 Again, there was also blood on the fingernails. And the DNA from that blood was Victoria Whitmarsh as well. 2 3 Q Okay. I'm going to show or publish for the just State's Exhibit number 62. Did you analyze a swab that was collected by Dan Ford (phonetic) from the right index finger of 5 the defendant? 6 7 A I did. 8 Okay. And you subjected it to the same sort of analysis that we've been -- cops, I can't really tell what that 9 is. What if anything did you find in that analysis? 10 The swab that was submitted to me was from the right 11 A index finger of Brian O'Keefe. That was positive for blood. 12 13 And I generated a full male profile, and the source of that blood was Brian O'Keefe. 14 In your report, you indicated that there were 15 Q additional alleles below threshold which were detected. 16 17 Okay. Is that correct? 18 That's correct. 19 And I don't want to get overly technical here, but 20 Q can you explain to us what that means? 21 Sure. The DNA profile that I generate is essentially 22 made up of alleles. And what I like to think of alleles as is different forms of a gene. And so maybe you might have heard 24

that if you're a female, you have X and X chromosomes, and

maybe you've heard that males have an X and a Y.

В

And so I -- that's a really good example of what an allele is. Even through they're from the same location or in the same general area, they're two different forms of the same gene. So males are X and a Y, and females are X and X.

And so the alleles are the profile that I generated of Brian O'Keefe, you know, that's what his alleles are or his DNA profile. And in this case, there was an indication that there was some other DNA there. But it wasn't above my threshold, so I couldn't make any determinations about it one way or the other.

Q So for you to make a conclusion with respect to biological fluid, am I correct that there has to be enough information there for you to do it?

A That's correct.

Q Mr. Guenther just testified that he was able to find a partial patent print on the knife, and there just wasn't enough information there for him to conduct an analysis. Is that kind of the same situation here?

A That's correct. I do not -- the DNA that I talking (sic) to you about in court has to meet a certain threshold for me to report it. So the DNA profile that I obtained, Brian O'Keefe's profile met that threshold, and it exceeded that threshold. There was a little bit of something else there, but it didn't meet that threshold that I need to determine what

```
else it was.
1
              That something else there, is it -- can you tell
2
         Q
3
    whether it's human DNA?
              I would have to look at the profile. I mean -- yes.
4
    Because the markers that we amplify are human specific, it's
5
    just not there at a level that I can make any conclusive
 7
    statements about it one way or the other.
         0
8
              Okay.
              MR. LALLI: May I approach the witness?
9
              THE COURT: Yes.
10
    BY MR. LALLI:
11
              Ms. Bas, I want to show you what we've marked as
    State's proposed --
13
                        (Pause in proceedings)
14
    BY MR. LALLI:
15
              -- State's proposed 121 and 122. Do you recognize
         Q
17
    those?
         A
              I do.
18
              Are those similar explanatory diagrams, except with
19
20
    respect to a knife?
         A
              Yes.
21
               MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I would move for the
22
    admission of State's proposed 121 and 22.
23
              MS. PALM: No objection.
24
              THE COURT: They will be admitted.
25
```

1	(Exhibits 121 122 admitted)	
2	BY MR. LALLI:	
3	Q During the course of this trial, Ms. Bas, there's	
4	been a lot of discussion about a knife that was recovered from	
5	the crime scene.	
6	A Okay.	
7	Q And so I want to show you your diagram, State's	
8	Exhibit 121. What is that?	
9	A These are some pictures of the knife that I take for	23
La.	my own recollection, and I keep these pictures in my case file	×
11	Up at the top again, you see the event number under which the	
12	knife was collected. And then the initials, JB4, again JB for	j
13	my name, and 4 being that it was the fourth item of evidence	
4	that I examined.	
15	Q Okay. Let's zoom in to the lefthand photo.	
16	A This is a picture of how the knife was packaged	
17	inside the box at the time that I received it.	
LB	Q And there appear to be the initials JM, and the P	
L9	number in between. Do you see that?	
20	A Yeah, that Jocelyn Maldonado's P number and initials	į
21	Q Have you analyzed items impounded by Ms. Maldonado	
22	before?	
23	A Quite frequently.	
24	Q Okay. And let's move to the top lefthand photo.	
35	What's that?	

1	A This is a picture of one side of the knife.
2	Q And is there what appears to be a ruler type
3	device above it?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. So on one side of the knife, do you see any
6	biological what you later confirmed to be biological fluid
7	on that side of the knife?
8	A Well I can see there's some, you know, red staining
9	up here. And I also see a little bit over here. And this is
10	just based on this picture.
11	Q Sure. Okay. Now let me just go down to right below
12	it. What's that?
13	A And this is the other side of the knife. And here I
14	can see some, you know, more distinct stains.
15	Q Did you analyze both sides of the knife?
16	A I analyzed different areas of the knife. I would
17	rather look at the picture with the circled items.
18	Q Okay. Let's do that. Let's move to State's Exhibit
19	number 121. And I'll zoom out just for a moment so we can get
20	some perspective. And I'll go over to the lefthand side.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Okay. What are we looking at there?
23	A The areas that are in yellow are specific areas that
24	I tested on the knife. I think when you look at it, if you can

see as I can see, you know, there's other areas where there was

some red-brown staining.

However, I don't test every single area where there's staining on a knife. I want to make sure that I'm getting a representative sample of what's there, but also leaving enough evidence in case, you know, there ever needs to be testing in the future.

So I chose to test four areas on this knife. The first three are indicated right here. This is the first -- this one over here is the first sample that I took, and I designated that as JB4A.

Q Okay. Tell us about your analysis on that spot.

A I noticed that there was blood at this location of the knife, and I tested it, and it was positive for blood. And I actually -- in my report, I call this the middle of the blade. I call this the top of the blade, and down here was the tip of the blade. So in the middle area on this one side of the knife, it was positive for blood, and it was a mixed profile. Again, it was DNA from more than one person there.

Q Did you compare that mixture profile with the standard profiles from the defendant and Victoria Whitmarsh?

A I did.

Q What did you find?

A In this case, there was a major DNA profile. What that means is that one person had the majority of the DNA that was present. You know, maybe one person had this much DNA --

and I'm just giving you a visual here with my hands. Maybe 1 somebody else contributed a little bit. So --2 3 Q And just for the record, you're holding one hand up higher than the other hand? 4 Exactly. I'm just demonstrating that somebody is A 5 contributing more DNA here. So the major DNA profile was Brian 6 7 O'Keefe. 8 Q And were you able to create a statistical statement associated with that? 9 10 Yes. What was it? 11 O The statistic associated with that was that the 12 A frequency of that major profile was rarer than 100 times the 13 Earth population, or it's rarer than one in 650 billion. 14 So you can without any question say that's Brian 15 O'Keefe's blood? 16 He is the source of that major profile. 17 Okay. What about a minor profile? Were you able to 18 come to any conclusions in that regard? 19 Yes. And then Victoria Whitmarsh could not be 20 excluded as the minor contributor to that mixture. 21 And were you able to reach any statistical statements 22 Q with that finding? 23 Yes. Greater than 99 point 99 percent of individuals 24

in the population could be excluded as as contributor to that

1 mixture. Okay. Now the next area that you looked at, just 2 0 3 kind of following your photograph across --A Um-hum. 4 0 -- from left to right is -- oh --5 Whoa. 6 -- now you're screwing up our -- okay. 7 I didn't do it. 8 Okay. You want to try one more time? There you go. 9 10 Okay. Is that JB48? That is my JB4B. 11 A 12 Q Okay. And you can see I had swabbed a little stain right 13 A there at the top of the blade. And again, it was blood 14 positive. And this one was a single source, full male profile. 15 And the source of that blood was Brian O'Keefe. 16 All right. What about JB4C? 17 A This sample, I called it the bottom of the handle. I 18 was actually swabbing on the underside of the handle. Again, 19 this was positive for blood, and it was a mixture profile. The 20 mixture again had a major contributor, and the major 21 contributor was Brian O'Keefe. And again the statistic was 22 rarer than one in 650 billion. However in this instance, the 23 minor profile was so low that I couldn't make a conclusion 24

25

about it at all.

1	Q Okay. So someone else's DNA was there, but you can't
2	tell us anything about that person?
3	A Because it didn't meet that threshold that I had
4	talked about previously.
5	Q Let me ask you this. Mr. Guenther testified that
6	there was what he called a patent print on the handle.
7	A Ckay.
8	Q Is that what you swabbed, or did you swab something
9	else?
10	A No, I did not swab the print.
11	Q Okay.
13	A In my experience, if I see something that looks like
13	a print, even though I'm not a latent print examiner, I know
14	enough to leave it alone and let the latent print examiners
15	handle that.
16	Q All right. Now all three of those samples came from
17	the same side of the knife?
18	A 4A and 4B did. 4C is the underside of the handle.
19	Q Fair enough, fair enough. Okay. Now I believe on
20	the other side of the knife, (indiscernible) the top photo, you
21	have an area near the tip that you analyzed?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q What did you find in that regard?
24	A Again, the staining here on the tip of the knife, it
20	was positive for blood. And I concreted a full female profile

```
1
    and the source of that blood was Victoria Whitmarsh.
              Was there a statistical number associated with the
2
    frequency of Victoria Whitmarsh having been the donor of the
3
    blood if you will on the tip of that knife?
              Yes. Again, it did meet that identity statement
         Α
5
    threshold. It was rarer than one in 650 billion.
6
7
         Q
              So can you tell us without any question at all
 6
    whether that was Victoria Whitmarsh's blood?
              Yes. Yes.
9
              It was her blood?
         Q
10
              She's the source of the blood on the tip of the
11
         A
    knife.
12
              MR. LALLI: Your Honor, that concludes
13
    cross-examination.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Palm?
15
              MS. PALM: Thank you.
16
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
17
    BY MS. PALM:
18
         0
              Good afternoon, Ms. Bas.
19
         A
              Good afternoon.
20
21
         Q
              When you --
                         (Pause in proceedings)
22
              MS. PALM: Court's indulgence.
23
24
                         (Pause in proceedings)
    BY MS. PALM:
25
```

- 1		
1	Q	When you swabbed the JB49 and in State's Exhibit
2	121, was	that did that actually look like a drop of blood,
3	and you s	wabbed the middle of it out?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. So what you see there isn't what it actually
6	looked li	ke before you swabbed it, that's after the swabbing?
7	A	That is correct.
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	Yes,
10	Q	Okay. And as to mixtures, you have no idea how two
11	sources b	ecame mixed, do you?
12	A	No.
13	Q	Okay. And you don't make any statement about that in
14	your repo	rt?
15	A	No. I only make statements about what the source of
16	that mixt	ure is.
17	Q	Okay. And the ankle on the stretch pants, that was
18	the front	ankle?
19	A	The front left ankle.
20	Q	Okay. And the other portion where you took the
21	cutting f	rom, is that what part of the pants was that?
22	A	The first sample?
23	Q	Yes, the
24	А	JB5A?
25	Q	Yes.

1	A	Was the front of the pant.
2	Q	Okay. Did you take any from the back side?
3	A	I did not.
4	Q	Okay. So those were the only two you took from the
5	pants?	
6	A	That's correct.
7	Q	Were you able to tell if those pants were contained a
8	lot of bl	ood at one point?
9	A	They did.
10	Q	Okay.
11	A	I could see quite a bit of blood on them.
12	Q	Okay. So but you chose to test two different areas,
13	not becau	se that's the only areas that were there?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	When a knife or a print on a knife is enhanced,
16	for examp	ale the knife in this case, by super glue, can you
17	still collect DNA afterwards, or does that compromise your	
18	ability t	.0?
19	A	In my experience, I've never done that, because it's
20	not a bes	st practice to do that. Typically the items are
21	examined	for DNA first, and then they're moved on to the other
22	area of t	he laboratories.
23	Q	Okay.
24	A	So I've never done it.
25	Q	And in the storage of items that are bloody, are

precautions taken to store them so that fluids don't seep to other places?

A Typically, samples that are wet or have wet fluid on them should be dried, and then packaged appropriately so that it maintains the dryness.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

B

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yes.

Q And I wasn't sure if I heard -- I note, correct me if I'm wrong, these swabs, the oral swabs, and the vaginal swabs, and the rectal swabs were all negative for semen?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. And the pubic hair brushing, was that negative for pubic hair also?

A There were no pubic hairs present in the pubic hair brushing. So I did not -- there was nothing to test.

Q Okay. And I just want to talk a little bit about alleles. You had mentioned that alleles were present on the wound that was swabbed. Can you explain how alleles transfer from one person to another.

A It really could be anything. You know, if I had touched this glass, and then you had touched this glass, both -- the chances are that both of our DNA would be present here.

Q Okay.

A So how an allele gets there, I can't say, much like we had just described, I can't say how it gets there.

1	Q Okay. So if I touch somebody, they might have my
2	alleles on them?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And that would not be uncommon at all?
5	A No, it's quite frequent to see mixtures in a lot of
6	samples that I test.
7	Q And the DNA under Ms. Whitmarsh's fingernails was
8	only her DNA?
9	A That is correct.
10	MS. PALM: Court's indulgence. Pass the witness.
11	Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Any redirect?
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	MR, LALLI: Just one area, Your Honor.
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. LALLI:
17	Q Ms. Bas, with respect to the DNA profile that you
18	obtained in State's Exhibit 121 from the tip of the knife, were
19	there any other alleles in that sample?
20	A No.
21	Q No indication that anybody else's
22	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Let me rephrase that.
23	Yes, there were additional alleles below threshold detected on
24	that (indiscernible).
25	Q Okay. On the in the tip of the knife?

1	A Yes, my sample JB4D.
2	Q Okay. Thank you very much.
3	MR. LALLI: Nothing further.
4	MS. PALM: Nothing further, thank you.
5	THE COURT: Any questions from any of the jurors? We
6	do have a question. Counsel, approach.
7	(Off-record bench conference)
8	THE COURT: Ms. Bas, we have a question from one of
9	the jurors. "Was there DNA skin cells of the defendant under
10	Victoria's nails?"
11	THE WITNESS: Under the fingernails, there was blood,
12	and that blood was Victoria Whitmarsh's. I have no way of
13	knowing if there was skin cells under there. I don't have a
14	test for skin cells. I just know that there was blood under
15	her fingernails, and that was her blood.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Lalli, anything follow up any
17	follow up?
18	MR. LALLI: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Ms Palm?
20	MS, PALM: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Any follow up question by any of the
22	jurors? All right, no questions. Thank you, Ms. Bas, for your
23	testimony. You are excused.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
25	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take

a quick recess before our next witness.

В

During this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves, or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspaper, television, radio, or the internet. You are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until this matter is submitted to you. We'll see you back in 5 or 10 minutes.

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Now we are outside the presence of the jury panel. Mr. Lalli, with this next witness, or your prior or subsequent thereto, are you going to be admitting the conviction into evidence?

MR. LALLI: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Well at what point, so I can -and have you reviewed the limiting instruction that Ms. Palm
has proposed?

MR. LALLI: I will.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well give me enough time to -- you know, if we need to discuss it if you have any objection. Do you know which one it is?

MS. PALM: You've got it?

THE COURT: Yeah, I have it.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

1	MS. PALM: Okay.
2	(Pause in proceedings)
3	(Court recessed at 2:58 p.m. until 3:12 p.m.)
4	(Outside the presence of the jury)
5	THE COURT: All right, let's bring the jury in.
6	MS. GRAHAM: Are we going to bring Juror 2 in?
7	MR. LALLI: Oh, yeah. What do you want to do about
8	the procedural
9	MS. GRAHAM: Are we going to bring Juror 2 in?
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
11	MR. LALLI: What about
12	MS. GRAHAM: Are we going to bring Juror 2 in?
13	MS. FALM: Yeah, we should probably find out what
14	that procedural thing is.
15	THE COURT: Oh, that's right. Can you Ms.
16	Graham, if you can catch the marshal.
17	MS. GRAHAM: Sure.
18	THE COURT: There was a note from one of the jurors.
19	Maybe he's going to discuss proper techniques for DNA.
20	MS. GRAHAM: Bringing Juror number 2 in.
21	THE COURT: Sir, you can just have a seat in the
22	front here. Or just anyplace. Take a seat wherever you're
23	comfortable.
24	JUROR NO. 2: Okay.
25	THE COURT: All right. Sir, the marshal advised me

that you had a question for me regarding some procedural matter.

JUROR NO. 2: Yeah, I don't know if it's important.

But on witness Ed Guenther, I don't remember any questions

about his notes, and him being a fair representative, like they
do on every other witness.

THE COURT: So --

В

JUROR NO. 2: It didn't seem like his notes -- he could use his notes.

THE COURT: Okay. As far as admitting the notes into evidence; is that what you're referring to?

FUROR NO. 2: Well usually it's -- and I have no legal expertise. But in every other witness, they've established -- they've asked the witness if the notes are a fair and accurate representation of their reports, and they did not do that.

THE COURT: Well I don't think it goes so much to reports. It's been typically the photographs that one of the attorneys would ask, does this photograph appear to be a fair and accurate depiction of the scene of the crime, a car accident, whatever it may be. That's what you're referring to, sir?

JUROR NO. 2: No. It seemed like they did that with the notes as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NO. 2: Something to that effect. 1 THE COURT: They only do that when something's 2 actually being admitted into evidence. And so his notes were 3 not admitted into evidence. JUROR NO. 2: Okay. 5 MR. LALLI: Well, can we approach? 6 7 THE COURT: Sure. MR. LALLI: I think I understand what he's saying. 8 (Off-record beach conference) 9 THE COURT: Sir, actually I think we do understand 10 what you're saying. That matter that bring up is a part of 11 evidentiary foundation that you need not be concerned about. 12 JUROR NO. 2: Okay. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. 14 JUROR NO. 2: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Why don't you go out with the marshal, 16 and then we'll bring you back in together. 17 JUROR NO. 2: Okay. 18 THE COURT: But thank you very much, sir. 19 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the presence of the jury. 20 (In the presence of the jury) 21 THE MARSHAL: Please be scated. 22 THE COURT: All right. State, your next witness? 23 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, State calls Detective Martin 24 25 Wildemann.

THE COURT: All right.

THE MARSHAL: Step up to the witness stand. Raise your right hand, face the clerk, and remain standing.

MARTIN WILDEMANN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please be seated. And state and spell your name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Marti Wildemann. W-I-L-D-E-M-A-N-N.

THE COURT: (Indiscernible).

MR. LALLI: Your Honor, before I begin this witness,
I intend to elicit evidence admitted pursuant to NRS 48045.
And so I would just ask that the Court read the requisite
admonishment to the jury.

THE COURT: And that was the instruction you previously approved of?

MR. LALLI: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LALLI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, evidence that Brian O'Keefe committed the felony offense of domestic battery or is alleged to have made statements indicating an intent to harm Victoria Whitmarsh, and evidence that he is alleged to have indicated an ability to kill with a knife by cutting a person in the sternum area was not received, and my not be considered by you to prove that he is a person of bad character, or to prove that he has a propensity to commit any crime.

Such evidence was received, and may be considered by 1 you only for the limited purpose of determining the issue of 2 whether or not Brian O'Keefe had a motive or intent to commit 3 the crime occurred. Neither the felony conviction nor other 5 acts if believed necessarily establish proof of motive or 6 intent to commit the crime charged. You must weigh this 7 evidence in the same manner as you do all other evidence." 8 Thank you, Mr. Lalli. MR. LALLI: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. LALLI: 11 Sir, can you please tell our jury how you're 12 O employed? 13 A I'm a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan 14 15 Police Department. Do you have a specific assignment within Metro? 16 I am currently assigned to homicide for the last 17 eight and-a-half years. 18 How long total have you been with Metro? 19 Q 20 Almost 23 years. In the -- is it almost eight years, or just over 21 eight years in homicide? 22 Almost nine years, coming up on nine. 23

homicide detective, can you give us a sense of the number of

24

25

Okay. In the almost nine years that you've been a

Î		
1	cases tha	t you've investigated?
2	A	I would estimate well over 200 cases.
3	Q	And approximately how many of those involve stabbing?
4	A	I would say roughly 25 percent of them.
5	Q	Okay. Now Detective Wildemann, I want to direct your
6	attention	to November, actually probably the 6th of 2008. Were
7	you assig	ned the investigation of a homicide on that early
В	morning?	
9	A	Yes, I was.
10	Q	Where was the homicide located?
11	A	It was at an apartment complex on El Parque. I'm not
12	exactly s	ure of the exact numbers.
13	Q	5001?
14	A	5001 is it, yes.
15	Q	Okay. And do you travel to that location
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	for a crime?
18	А	Yes. I leave my house, and travel directly there.
19	Q	Okay. Is there a person in custody when you arrive?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Who is that?
22	A	Brian O'Keefe.
23	Q	Do you see Mr. O'Keefe in the courtroom?
24	А	Yes, I do.
25	Q	Can you please point to him and identify something

he's wearing today? 1 He's wearing a green sports jacket, seated at the 2 3 defense table. MR. LALLI: Your Honor, may the record reflect the 4 witness has identified the defendant? 5 THE COURT: Yes, it will. 6 7 BY MR. LALLI: Did I ask you, or were you asked to research whether В the defendant had ever been convicted of an offense of domestic 9 10 battery? 11 A Yes. Q Did you do that? 12 13 A Yes. MR. LALLI: May I approach the witness? 14 15 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. LALLI: 16 Detective Wildemann, does that appear to be a 17 Q judgment of conviction? A Yes, sir. 19 20 Q Okay. And is that marked as State's proposed Exhibit 21 number 133 for identification purposes? Down at the bottom. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. 22 A 0 23 Okay. MR. LALLI: Your Monor, move for the admission of 24 25 State's proposed 133.