regarding that. But my ruling stands on that. I'm going to sort of let that go now, and the State's not going to be allowed to ask Cheryl if you were violent towards her. That's all -- [inaudible] on the record on that, all right?

The defendant has timely objected, and he has a continuing objection. I want to always put that on the record during the recess, outside the presence of the jury. He's -- as far as this Court is concerned, every objection that he's made so far is timely, and preserved for the record. And I don't want any of the record to reflect that, well, he didn't object in time. No, I'm ruling that it's timely and proper.

And one of the objections was that he wanted redacted from Exhibit number 133, I think the information B, I believe it is?

MR. LALLI: I believe so, yes. It's 133B, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The mention -- because it's battery domestic violence, third offense. And then, of course, in any thousands I've seen, you have to list the -- now, Judge Villani ruled that he didn't want a lot of misdemeanors coming in. But he found, of course, the felony could come in. And he said that the admission of facts and circumstances of other cases cannot be admitted.

Now, this is not a fact or circumstances. Facts and -- it's what the information is. I guess that's what your

objection -- you asked me to redact that. 1 2 MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And Mr. Lalli objected to that. You want to make a record on that? 4 MR. O'KEEFE: Well, yes, Your Honor. You know, 5 again -- you know, and I appreciate your patience, and I 6 7 appreciate what you're doing. And I understand about being fair to the State. Yes, Your Honor. I'll be more careful. 8 9 I'll promise you that. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. That's all I ask you. 11 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. 12 THE COURT: That's all I ask. 13 MR. O'KEEFE: I guess I'll handle that. If the State calls their other witness, I have -- I can bring in --14 15 and prove that she's not -- she's being very untruthful. 16 1'11 --17 THE COURT: Who? 18 MR. O'KEEFE: Ms. Morris, with that. So, I'll --19 THE COURT: But as far as the -- violent towards her, if you bring something like that, it's going to open the 20 door again. So, he's subject to recalling Cheryl --21

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

saying, no, no, he was violent. So, it's up to you. You run

MR. O'KEEFE: And I realize what you said. I'll

THE COURT: He's subject to recalling Cheryl, and

22

23

24

25

cautiously --

1 your own case. But --

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

MR. O'KEEFE: Right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- I like to caution you. Maybe -- and run it through Mr. Maningo, if you want. That's up --

MR. O'KEEFE: Right.

THE COURT: -- to you. He's your standby lawyer.
But just don't open the door to that violence. It's going to
hurt you a lot in front of the jury.

MR. O'KEEFE: Well, it's pretty clear what it says right -- but yes, Your Honor. Thank you for that. Okay.

11 Now, as far as the --

THE COURT: If you want to bring it up, and you want

him to -- that's fine. I'm not saying you can't do it, just

be careful.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir, Your Honor. And I appreciate that. Now, as far as the ruling that Judge Villani gave -- and I told you I was searched when I went back for something, I happen to have a copy. The front page is missing. But it can be --

THE COURT: Now, was this relating to the expert
witness? Or --

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor, exactly.

THE COURT: Well, we're on -- we're not on that yet.

MR. O'KEEFE: Oh. I thought you --

THE COURT: We're on the mention of the -- you

wanted to redact that in Exhibit number 33, and I denied that.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes. I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm
sorry.

17.

my notes.

THE COURT: Let's get one at a time, all right?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir, Your Honor. Right.

THE COURT: Then we'll go to that other one. I have

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. Thank you. The misdemeanors clearly -- you know, misdemeanors -- it's very dangerous to use misdemeanors in a court of law. And Villani clearly -- even though his order was very vague, and he did only refer to the felony, and he did clearly -- you verified that he said, you know, the misdemeanors got to stay out. I mean, they already showed the cover, which that -- I had no problem with that.

But I feel that the second page showing the other events should be -- you know, it's not necessary. It was specified it was kind of vague. And again, my standing objection is, they shouldn't be using any of this evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You have that objection. It's preserved. Now, Mr. -- you want to just -- the State's argument regarding that?

MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the order that Judge Villani signed indicates the facts and circumstances of that case are

1 admissible. And so --

THE COURT: Of the felony case?

MR. LALLI: Yes.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LALLI: And we limited it to that. We did not go into -- although in our Petrocelli motion, our motion for bad acts, we sought to go to prove all of those individual events up. Judge Villani said no, but he did allow us to go into the facts and circumstances of this case, the one that we went in. We did not factually elicit that information, but it was a domestic violence third offense.

THE COURT: All right. Well, my ruling stands on that, but a record is preserved on that. Now, as far as -- the State called an expert witness. What was her name again, Mr. Lalli?

MR. LALLI: Elynne Greene.

THE COURT: Ms. Greene. And she just gave general information. She was -- I mean, I think Ms. Mercer qualified her as an expert, although we don't say anymore she's a -- we qualify her as an expert, and we -- you know, we don't do that anymore. But she had the experience to be qualified as an expert witness. And she just testified in general about syndromes, or whatever it is. Now, what's your -- your objection to that was what, Mr. O'Keefe? And again, you've preserved the record on that very timely. You approached the

1 bench.

2 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay.

THE COURT: I think you said Judge Villani specifically ordered the State not to call an expert witness on domestic violence. That was your --

MR. O'KEEFE: On domestic violence syndrome, but it's all related, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you said you had an order that he specifically precluded the State from doing that.

MR. MANINGO: And Your Honor, Mr. O'Keefe did have that, and now just with shuffling papers -- if we could just have a moment.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MANINGO: It was right on top, Your Honor.

(Pause in the proceedings)

THE COURT: May the record reflect that they called the witness, and Mr. O'Keefe approached the bench, and he said that — he said what he said, that Judge Villani precluded that. But he doesn't have the order, and he could maybe look for it tonight. And I had no other choice but to say, well, I'm going to allow it, because I don't have an order. And Mr. Lalli indicated, there is no such order. So, I allowed the testimony, subject perhaps to a motion to strike if I get further information.

MR. LALLI: Right.

THE COURT: So, that's the status of the record.

MR. LALLI: I'm not aware of any such order. But I can inform the Court that on two occasions leading up to this trial, we filed an expert notice indicating that we were going to be calling an expert; specifically, Ms. Greene.

In previous trials, we had taken the position -- for instance, with the bad act motion. We took the position that we would be limited to prior rulings of the judge, and only admit the judgment of conviction with respect to Mr. O'Keefe's prior. In this trial, we've taken different tax. We filed an extensive Petrocelli motion, a bad act motion. We also twice noticed an expert.

THE COURT: So, you properly, timely notified --

MR. LALLI: Yes.

THE COURT: -- an expert.

MR. LALLI: Correct. There was never --

THE COURT: Noticed an expert.

MR. LALLI: -- an objection to that. There was never a motion to strike the notice of experts. There was never a motion in limine by the defendant to tell the Court, hey, they're noticing an expert this time, and I think it violates some prior ruling of the Court; there was none of that.

And so -- and I can tell you, I'm not aware of any order -- specific order by Judge Villani not allowing us to

call an expert, such as the one that we called.

THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay, Your Honor. I did find it right here on page 6 of this.

THE COURT: Of what?

MR. O'KEEFE: Of motion in limine to strike the bad acts -- the expert for battery domestic violence syndrome, and the cycle of battery domestic violence. It clearly says on line 25, page 6 --

THE COURT: Is that an order from Judge Villani?

MR. O'KEEFE: This is a motion that we argued. And Ms. Palm, the attorney at that time, she listed relevant facts and rulings during the motion in limine. And by the way, the motion in limine was the second Petrocelli hearing on the same bad acts that they re-litigated, claiming them to be new evidence, and they (sic) wasn't even new evidence. It was the same acts. They just --

THE COURT: Well, let's stick to the point. Do you have a motion signed by Judge Villani --

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes. Trial --

THE COURT: And what's the date, and show -- read it, and show it to Mr. Lalli.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes. Yes, sir, Your Honor. here, Mr. Lalli. I've got it marked here. Can you see that?

MR. LALLI: Yes, sir.

MR. MANINGO: Let's just look at these two lines right here, Chris.

MR. LALLI: Is this [inaudible]?

MR. O'KEEFE: That's Ms. Palm's motion that she listed, and that -- it was ruled on. That's her motion in limine to the other bad acts.

THE COURT: Motions in limine --

MR. MANINGO: But it cites to the trial transcripts.

THE COURT: -- are not orders.

MR. O'KEEFE: It cites to the transcripts of the --

MR. LALLI: Right.

MR. O'KEEFE: -- ruling made, Your Honor,

MR. LALLI: Can I just maybe enlighten the Court on this? What this motion says is, during the retrial voir dire, the Court also stated that the Court could not discuss battered women's syndrome. That's during the voir dire process.

THE COURT: The voir dire?

MR. LALLI: Yes, of the last trial. We had not noticed an expert witness prior to that trial, like we did at this trial. Judge Villani never ruled that we could not call an expert. But -- and I think the Court actually brought up the fact that -- that fact during this voir dire, when the Court had asked us to approach. That certainly is not an order from the Court saying we could not call an expert in

this case.

MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, may I rebuttal that (sic)? It clearly states during the retrial voir dire -- sure, it does. But it said, the Court, Judge Villani also ruled that the State, Mr. Lalli, could not discuss battery (sic) women's syndrome at all. 8/23/2010, trial transcripts, page 13 to 16.

THE COURT: In the voir dire. Because he didn't notice an expert at that time, but now, he noticed an expert.

I -- that's what Mr. Lalli is saying. It's a different situation now. And if you would have -- what you had to do is he noticed the expert, and you would have said, I'm going to make a motion in limine to preclude him from calling that expert, because whatever reason. You know. And --

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. And then, again -THE COURT: But that's really not an order.

MR. O'KEEFE: — what I brought up to you yesterday, Your Honor, with all due respect, it is important. When the State filed their motion for their second bad acts, and they attached their new witnesses, and they noticed this, that they may call a witness for expert in the battery domestic violence syndrome, they noticed and scheduled that second retrial hearing — or motion for two days after calendar call.

THE COURT: We can argue, and argue, and argue if it's three trials. But your motion to strike -- to not allow the expert is denied. I don't see any direct order from Judge

160

Villani saying that it's ordered by Judge Villani that the

State cannot call in this particular case -- in this

particular retrial, cannot call it. So, but you know, you got

this -- it's appealable; what they call parachutes.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You got like parachutes going up there.

And as I said, it's a win-win situation. If -- you know. If

you lose this case, you got these parachutes. You can

litigate this case for 30 years, you know?

So, that being said, I think we're done. Let's take a couple of minutes and come back, and call your next witness.

MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, could I get one second,

13 please? It's --

5

6

7

B

9

10

11

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Pause in the proceedings)

MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, just again, we have

Litigated all these acts so many times with Judge Villani.

Please, forgive me. And he's changed -- there's been so many
rulings, so many hearings, changes. And now, he's not here,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, no, no. Let me make a clarification on that. I don't know anything about -- I'm a senior judge.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I work for the Supreme Court of Nevada. They're in charge of the senior judge program. I was

appointed by the Supreme Court of Nevada to take Department -- what is it, 17?

THE CLERK: 17.

THE MARSHAL: You got it.

THE COURT: For two weeks. And that was a long time ago. I heard Judge Villani scheduled a well-deserved vacation with his family like a year ago. He's one of the few judges that doesn't -- that doesn't, you know, two weeks from now, say, we're taking off; we want a senior judge to cover.

He replanned his vacation like a long time ago, and it was noted in the senior judge record that we're to be in this department. And you know, things happen. I don't know. He didn't know this was scheduled at the time, perhaps.

Again, this is all hearsay. All I know is he scheduled this like a year ago.

I'm here by way of the Supreme Court. And every judge deserves a vacation. And if it wasn't your trial, he's got a big trial next week involving the Mandalay Bay: that's millions and millions of dollars of money. Not to say it's more important than your life. I mean, but it's — he has to be gone for that, too.

So, it's -- you know, it's one of those things. He didn't plan it this way. There was no intention to dodge this. In fact, Judge Villani is a judge that is the hardest -- one of the hardest working judges in this courtroom. He

goes trial, after trial. He keeps lawyers to their guns. He doesn't like to continue cases.

11.

That's all I can say about that. But you've made the record that he's not here. We have to live with it. That's all I have to say.

MR. O'KEEFE: And at calendar call though, Your Honor, that --

8 THE COURT: Well, he scheduled his vacation, you 9 know --

MR. O'KEEFE: And he clearly left all attorneys and everybody under the impression that -- I let -- his words verbatim was that, I've scheduled two weeks for this trial. We're going to go to trial Monday. And he led everyone to believe.

And we showed the order from the Ninth Circuit, and we just asked, you know, can we just put this off? I'm not going anywhere. This is the third trial. I'm not -- I'li -- you know, we'll have -- since the Ninth Circuit's ruled there's a double jeopardy issue, let's let it properly -- no, no, no, I'm going to hear your trial. Mr. Maningo was there. The AFPD was there. Mr. Lalli was there. Everyone thought -- everyone was under the assumption.

Then I get -- Friday morning, all the sudden, guess what? You're going to be blessed, you got Senior Judge Bonaventure, but Judge Villani went on vacation. And we've

been arguing for three and-a-half years.

1 :

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The whole system -- they say, justice delayed is justice denied. They don't want to continue these cases. They want to keep everything preserved.

And I hope that I feel capable of being an attorney, a private practitioner, a law clerk, a bailiff, a DA investigator, a public defender, a chief public defender for six years, a justice of the peace for ten years, a district judge for about 20 years, a senior judge for three. I think I feel capable of protecting your rights in this case.

So -- but again, your record is preserved. You don't like the fact that Judge Villani didn't continue this case and he went on vacation. It's a matter of record. It is what it is. Another parachute. Thank you.

MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Court recessed at 2:50 p.m. until 3:01 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: Officers and members of the court, Department 17 jurors.

(Within the presence of the jury panel)

20 THE COURT: All right. Parties, stipulate to the 21 presence of the jury?

MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ladies --

sometimes, we have some evidentiary matters that are not to

```
164
   concern you, and you may as well just take a longer break.
 1
    But I'm sorry there was a little delay, but we're ready to go
 2
 3
    again, all right? The State will call it's next witness,
 4
    please.
 5
              MS. MERCER: State calls Joyce Toliver.
              THE MARSHAL: Ms. Toliver, if you will remain
 6
 7
    standing. Please, ma'am, raise your right hand and face the
 8
    clerk.
 9
                JOYCE TOLIVER, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
10
              THE MARSHAL: If you would have a seat, please.
    Slide up to the microphone.
11
12
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
              THE MARSHAL: If you would, ma'am, please state and
13
    spell your name for the record.
15
              THE WITNESS: Joyce, J-o-y-c-e. Benita,
    B-e-n-i-t-a. Harris, H-a-r-r-i-s.
16
17
              MS. MERCER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
18
             THE COURT: Please.
19
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
   BY MS. MERCER:
21
             Ma'am, are you married to a Charles Toliver?
         Q
22
         Α
             Yes, I am.
23
             And does he have a nickname?
24
         A
             Cookie.
25
        Q
             Cookie?
```

```
165
 1
         A
              Um-hum.
 2
         Q
              Is that what his friends call him?
 3
         A
              Yes, it is.
 4
         Q
              Okay. Where are you currently residing?
 5
              1013 North Jones Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada,
         A
    89108.
 6
 7
              And back in November of 2008, where were you
         0
 8
    residing?
 9
         A
              5001 El Parque.
10
              Do you recall which apartment number it would have
         0
11
    been?
12
              29.
         A
13
              Okay. Is that --
         Q
14
         A
              14 years.
15
         Q
              I'm sorry, you were there for 14 years?
16
         A
              Yeah.
17
              Is that here in Clark County, Nevada?
         Q
18
         A
              Yes, it is.
19
         0
              And I take it you lived with your husband?
20
              Yes, I do.
         A
21
              Were you familiar -- I'm sorry. Is apartment 29 on
         Q
22
    the bottom floor, or the top floor?
23
         A
             Bottom.
24
         Q
              Okay.
25
              MS. MERCER: And Your Honor, may I approach the
```

```
166
 1
    witness?
 2
              THE COURT: Yes.
 3
    BY MS. MERCER:
              Ma'am, do you recognize what's already been admitted
 4
         Q
 5
    as State's Exhibit number 2?
 6
         A
              Yes.
 7
         Q
              And how do you recognize it?
 8
              Like I said, we were there 14 years. We lived
         A
 9
    there.
              Okay. Is this a photograph of the apartment complex
10
         0
    that you resided at back in November of 2008?
11
12
         A
              Yes, it is.
              And it fairly and accurately depicts that apartment
13
         Q
14
    complex?
15
         Α
              What did you say?
              Does it fairly and accurately depict the apartment
16
         Q
17
    complex as you remember it?
18
         A
              Yes, it is.
19
              MS. MERCER: Permission to publish, Your Honor?
              THE COURT: Yes.
20
21
   BY MS. MERCER:
22
             Okay. And when I was handing you the photograph,
   you pointed to a specific apartment that was the apartment you
23
   resided in. Can you place an X on it for me? Or is it still
24
25 not working?
```

the same woman residing with him?

25

1 I turned the TV on to watch One Life to Live. 2 off from work, and getting ready to watch One Life to Live. I couldn't watch them in the day, so I did them at night, the 3 4 soap operas. 5 Q Okay. And I'm sorry, where did you tend to watch 6 your soap operas? 7 A In the bedroom. 8 Q Was your husband in the bedroom with you? 9 A Yes, he was. 10 0 What was he doing? 11 A Asleep. 12 And while you were trying to watch your soap operas, Q at about 9:00 p.m. that night, did something unusual happen? 13 14 A lot of ruckus, noise. A lot of noise, which we A didn't have. So, it was like a shock. It was like, what's going on? Just a lot of ruckus. A lot of noise, and bumping 16 and stuff. 17

- 0 And where was the noise coming from?
- 19 Upstairs. The ceiling. A
- 20 Q I'm sorry?

18

- 21 A From my ceiling, my bedroom ceiling.
- 22 0 Okay. So, directly above your bedroom?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 So, it would have been the bedroom in the apartment 25 above you?

10	173
1	that.
2	Q Okay. At some point, did the noise awake your
3	husband?
4	A Yes, it did.
5	Q And how far into the noise going on did that occur?
6	A For I said practically the whole from 9:00
7	until at least 9:30, 9:45. Because he was like, damn, they
8	going to come through the ceiling on us. So, he was awaken by
9	the noise also.
10	Q And when he woke up because of the noise, what did
11	the two of you do to try to stop it?
12	A He got a broom, and heisted up into the ceiling, to
13	hit up there to make them stop.
14	Q Banged on the ceiling with a broom?
15	A Yeah.
16	Q: And who did that?
17	A My husband, Cookie.
18	Q Okay. Did the noise stop at that point?
19	A It stopped for a second, or two, or three.
20	Q And then, did it resume?
21	A Yes, it did.
22	Q Was it still the same volume?
23	A At first, it was like a low it had died down.
24	But then, it intensely got back kind of loud again.
25	Q And what happened at that point?

		174
1	A	My husband was mad. We both had to be at work the
2	next morn	ing at 5:00. He went up the steps in my house shoes.
3	Q	So, he put your house shoes on?
4	A	And went up flew up the steps, yeah.
5	Q	And how long do you think he was out of the
6	apartment	at that point?
7	A	Maybe 10, 15 minutes, if it was 15.
8	Q	When he left the apartment, were you still hearing
9	any noise	from upstairs?
10	A	It had died down. It had died down.
11	Q	What kind of noise were you hearing at that time
12	at the tir	me that he ran out of the apartment?
13	A	Moaning, a loud moan.
14	Q	Was it from a woman, or a man?
15	A	It was from the same person who was up there crying.
16	0	Okay. And can you describe the moaning for me a
17	little bit	more?
18	A	It was like (inaudible). Then it was like,
19	(inaudible), nothing.
20	Q	And how long did that go on?
21	A	From the time my husband started going up the steps.
22	And she ha	d stopped where it was a stop when he just
23	started co	ming down. Because you could see it's not a sturdy
24	the sta	irwell wasn't sturdy. You could just hear going up
25	and down,	if anybody managed to step on the steps.

1	175		
1	Q Okay. So, you could hear your husband going up and		
2	down the stairs?		
3	A Yeah.		
4	Q Even from your bedroom?		
5	A Yeah.		
6	Q The moaning that you heard, was that coming from the		
7	room directly above you?		
8	A Yes, it was.		
9	Q So, from the bedroom in number 35?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q When your husband came back, can you describe for me		
12	his demeanor?		
13	A Wow. I wasn't looking at him when he came down, I		
14	just heard his mouth. And he was just like, baby		
15	Q Well, don't tell me what he		
16	A Okay.		
17	Q Don't tell me what he said. Just tell me what his		
18	demeanor was.		
19	A Wow. He was his eyes was big, and he was		
20	[inaudible]. It was like something surprised him.		
21	Q He was shocked?		
22	A He was shocked. Right, Real shocked.		
23	Q Did he actually come into the apartment, or did you		
24	meet him at the door?		
25	A He came in.		

25

anything --

25

BY MS. MERCER:

		183
3	upstairs	to the apartment where the noise was coming from?
2	A	Yes, ma'am.
3	Q	Was the door open or closed?
4	A	It was open.
5	Q	And when you saw the door was open, did you shout
6	from outs	ide the door, or what did you do?
7	A	I hollered inside, and asked them could they please
8	keep the	noise down, and you all woke me up.
9	Q	And what was the response that you received?
10	A	Cookie, Cookie, come in here. Come in the bedroom.
11	So, I wen	t in there. And he said, she won't wake up.
12	Q	Whose voice was it?
13	A	His.
14	Q	The defendant's?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	So, he told you, come in here, come in here?
17	A	Yes,
18	Q	And when you went into the apartment, where did you
19	go to the	o ne
20	A	I went
21	Q	inside?
22	A	I went through the living room, and I stopped at the
23	bedroom d	oor. And when I seen the blood on the bed, I asked
24	him, what	happened? He said, she just won't wake up. I said,
25	man, let	me get the hell out of here. Excuse my language.

184 1 THE COURT: It's all right. 2 BY MS. MERCER: When you -- you saw blood on the bed. Did you see 3 4 anything else? 5 A A handle. Looked like a knife. 6 Were you able to see anyone other than the defendant Q 7 inside the bedroom? 8 A Her legs. 9 Q Okay. 10 MS. MERCER: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 11 THE COURT: Yeah. 12 BY MS. MERCER: 13 Sir, I'm handing you what's been marked for 0 identification purposes as State's proposed Exhibit 22. Can 14 you look at that, and tell me whether you recognize what's 16 depicted in that photograph? Yes. That's what I seen. That's what I just told 17 you I seen. Her legs, and the --18 19 Okay. So, you do recognize the photograph? Q 20 A Yeah. And this is what you just described seeing when you 21 22 walked into Mr. O'Keefe's bedroom? 23 A Yes, ma'am. 24 And is this a fair and accurate picture of how it 25 appeared to you on November 5th of 2008?

```
185
 1
              Yes, ma'am.
 2
              MS. MERCER: Your Honor, move for the admission of
 3
    State's proposed Exhibit 22.
 4
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 5
              MR. O'KEEFE: No, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: 22 will be admitted.
 7
                       (Exhibit 22 is admitted)
 8
              MS. MERCER: May I publish, Your Honor?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes.
10
   BY MS. MERCER:
11
              Mr. Toliver, can you describe fro me -- and the
12
   screen's not working right now, so you won't be able to mark
    for me. But can you describe for me the location of the
13
14
    handle that you observed when you entered the bedroom?
15
         Α
              Right there.
16
         0
              Okay. Is it close to the pillow?
17
         A
              Yes.
18
         Q
             And when you saw that --
19
         A
              Well, see, because I -- since I --
20
         0
              Sure.
21
              I just caught a glance of it. When I seen that,
22
23
         Q
             You bolted out?
24
              -- disappeared.
         A
25
              What did you do when you left?
         0
```

```
187
 1
         A
              Go ahead.
 2
              Okay. So, you get Todd?
         0
 3
              Uh-huh.
 4
              Who is Todd?
 5
              He's --
         A
 6
         0
              He's the maintenance man?
 7
         A
              He was like the maintenance man there at the
 8
    apartments.
 9
         0
              Okay. And you tell -- what do you tell him?
10
         A
              Man, something happened up here. You know. So, we
11
    kind of like went back up there, and looked.
12
         0
              You take him back upstairs to number 35?
13
         A
              Yeah.
14
              And when you go back up the second time, is the door
         Q
15
    still open?
16
         A
              Yeah.
17
         0
              Do you guys just walk in? Do you say something?
18
         A
              Yeah.
19
              What happened?
         Q
20
         A
              I just walked in, and -- because it was still open.
21
    See, I left out of there so quick, I don't even think he knew
22
    I was gone.
23
              Okay. So, when you go back in, do you go all the
         Q
24
    way to the bedroom?
25
              No. Yeah, we went to the bedroom door, and stopped
```

		188
1	right th	mere. We didn't have to go no further.
2	Q	You went up to the bedroom door, but didn't go
3	inside t	he bedroom?
4	A	I didn't go in the bedroom.
5	Q	How far did Todd go into the apartment?
6	Ä	He was standing next to me. He didn't go in.
7	Q	Okay. And did the defendant see you all standing
8	there?	
9	A	Yeah.
10	Q	Did you guys say anything to the defendant?
11	A	He told Todd to get the hell out of his house.
12	Excuse m	e again, Your Honor.
13	Q	When you say "he," are you referring to the
14	defendan	t?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	He told Todd to get the hell out?
17	A	Get the hell out of my house.
18	Q	Do you recall the defendant becoming physically
19	aggressi	ve with Todd?
20	A	No, not really.
21		MS. MERCER: Court's indulgence.
22	BY MS. M	ERCER:
23	Q	When you went back up there with Todd, where was the
24	defendan	t?
25	A	He was sitting on the floor. He was on the floor.

27	iii.	189
1	Q	Where on the floor was he?
5	A	Holding her in his you know, holding her, on the
3	floor.	
4	Q	Okay. Was she still at the foot of the bed?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	And was he sitting next to her? Was he sitting
7	A	Yeah.
8	Q	behind her?
9	A	He was next to her.
10	Q	And what was he doing?
11	A	He was rocking her like you know, he was holding
12	her, lik	e, she won't wake up, she won't wake up.
13	0	That's what he was saying to you?
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	Do you recall do you recall him saying, "Wake up,
16	wake up,	don't do me like this?"
17	A	Yeah. That's yeah. Those are the exact words.
18	Q	Those were his exact words?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Did Todd say anything to the defendant?
21	A	After he seen what was going on, we was kind of like
22	fitting	to go to get the police. You know, we was going to
23	get some	help.
24	Q	Did the defendant ever ask you to go call the
25	police?	28 Maria Mar

25

A

Yeah.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIFT

MS. MERCER: Your Honor, may I approach the witness

23

24

25

again?

BY MS. MERCER:

```
192
              Or, would it refresh your memory if I showed you a
 1
 2
    copy of your taped statement?
 3
              Yeah.
 4
         Q
              It's page 17.
              THE COURT: There's another taped statement, or the
 5
    same one?
 7
              MS. MERCER: It's the same taped statement.
    BY MS. MERCER:
 8
 9
         Q
              This is the statement that you provided to the
10
    police that night?
11
         A
              Um-hum.
12
              And for the record, I'm showing you page 17 of that
         Q
13
    statement.
14
              MS. MERCER: Mr. O'Keefe.
15
    BY MS. MERCER:
16
         Q
              If you could just read from the very top, and read
    it to yourself, please.
17
18
         A
              Yes.
19
         Q
              Does that refresh your memory?
20
        A
              Yes, yes.
21
         0
              And again, your memory would have been fresher back
    then, correct?
22
23
        A
              Yes.
24
        Q
              It's been four years?
25
              But it's been four years. Yeah.
```

```
193
 1
              And you told the police that the defendant drew back
 2
    on Todd?
 3
         A
              Yes.
 4
              As if he was going to hit him?
         Q
 5
              Yes.
              When you were in the apartment for a minute, I know
         Q
    it was only a brief time, did he ever indicate any fear of
 8
    Victoria to you?
 9
         A
              Not to me.
10
              Did he ever try to tell you that she stabbed
11
    herself?
12
         Α
              No.
              And again, he never asked you to go get assistance
13
         Q
    for her?
14
15
         Q
              No.
16
              Never said, call the doctor, or call the ambulance,
         A
17
    call 9-11?
18
              I was the one that said, I'm fitting to get out of
         Α
19
    here and go call the police.
20
              MS. MERCER: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination, Mr.
22
    O'Keefe?
23
              MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. Court's indulgence.
24
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
25
   BY MR. O'KEEFE:
```

```
THE COURT: -- he needs something to refresh his
 1
 2
    memory.
             Just ask him the question.
 3
              MR. O'KEEFE: Okay, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And if he doesn't remember, then we can
 5
    refresh his memory.
              MR. O'KEEFE: Right, okay.
 6
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, [inaudible] do that.
 7
 8
    BY MR. O'KEEFE:
 9
              When you called, they came down, and you said you
         Q
    came in. And I called you. I said, Cookie, come in here and
10
             Do you remember making that -- I said, come in
11
    get her.
12
    here --
13
         A
              Yeah.
14
         Q
              -- help me get her?
15
         A
              She won't wake up.
16
              Okay. So, you do remember that I was saying, come
17
    in here, get her?
18
         A
             Yeah.
             Come help me, come get her?
19
         Q
20
         A
             Yeah.
              Okay. Your apartment, you would agree, Cookie --
21
   you were there longer than me, of course, is exactly like my
22
23
   room?
24
        A
              Yes.
25
         Q
              And there's no light, right?
```

- A Right.
- Q No light fixture?
 - A Well, yeah. In mine, I had one put up in my bedroom, because you didn't have one. I had a hanging lamp.
 - Q But I have no light in mine?
- 6 A No.

3

4

- Q Would you agree with that, the light was off? The 8 only light was coming from the --
- 9 A Living room.
- 10 Q -- the bathroom, living room, whatever.
- A Bathroom, whatever.
- Q There was no light, basically? Okay. At any time though, when I said, come help me, and you seen -- I don't know, there was a lot going on. You ran out and left me. I mean, you ran out, understandably.
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q But you ran out, right?
- 18 A Yes, sir. Yeah.
- 19 Q Do you remember what I was doing or saying?
- 20 A No.
- Q Do you remember prior testimony that you said I was picking her up and trying to hold her, and I was saying --
- A No. You had her in your -- you had her laying in
 your lap, saying, wake up, wake up, she won't wake up. Then I
 asked you -- I said, what have you done? What happened? You

```
197
    just said, she won't wake up, and I left out. I left out of
 1
 2
    there.
 3
              MR. O'KEEFE: No further questions, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
 5
              MS. MERCER: No. Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Thank you very much for testifying.
 6
              THE MARSHAL: Your Honor -- Your Honor, we have --
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Go about your --
 9
              THE MARSHAL: I think we have a question from the
10
    jury.
11
              THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
              MR. MANINGO: Your Honor, may I approach just for
12
13
   the statement?
14
              THE COURT: Pardon?
15
              MR. MANINGO: May I approach just [inaudible] --
              THE COURT: Oh, absolutely. Thank you, Mr. Maningo,
16
17
    for helping. Just a minute, Mr. Toliver.
18
                    (Off-record bench conference)
19
              THE COURT: One of the jurors wants to know,
20
    "Cookie" --
21
             THE WITNESS: Yeah.
22
             THE COURT: Must be getting pretty familiar with
23
    you. "Cookie" --
24
             THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm very known here.
             THE COURT: -- "when entering the defendant's room,
25
```

198 could you tell if he was intoxicated, drunk?" 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 THE COURT: No. THE WITNESS: I didn't pay no attention on that, no. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does that elicit anything else? We'll make this part of the record with no 6 7 objection. All right. Thank you very much. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Next witness, please? 9 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the State calls Jimmy 10 11 Hathcox. 12 THE MARSHAL: Mr. Hathcox, if you will remain standing. Please, sir, raise your right hand and face the 13 14 clerk. 15 JIMMY HATHCOX, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN THE MARSHAL: Please have a seat, sir. Slide up to 16 the microphone. If you would, please state and spell your 171 name for the record. 18 THE WITNESS: Jimmy Hathcox. J-i-m-m-y, 19 20 H-a-t-h-c-o-x. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. LALLI: Mr. Hathcox, I want to direct your attention back to 23 November of 2008. Were you living in an apartment complex at 24 501 (sic) El Parque? 25

```
199
 1
         A
              Yes.
 2
              Did you live in an upstairs unit, or a downstairs
 3
    unit?
 4
         A
              Upstairs.
 5
              I'm going to show you State's Exhibit number 2. Do
    you see the apartment complex that you lived in back then?
 6
 7
         A
              Yes.
 8
              And do you see your specific unit --
         Q
 9
         A
              Yes.
10
              -- that you lived in?
         0
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              What unit number was it?
         0
13
         A
              35, I think. 36, 36,
14
         Q
              All right. So, are we zooming-in to 36 now?
15
         A
              Yes, the one on the left.
16
         O
              And there appears to be something right in front of
    your door there. What is that?
17
              A rail. A metal rail.
18
         A
19
              All right. Now, did you work in the complex?
         0
20
         A
              Yes.
21
         0
              What did you do there?
22
         A
              Maintenance.
23
              So, what sort of things would you work on?
         Q
24
              Getting apartments ready to re-rent, toilets,
25
    [inaudible].
```

or family in the area of Charleston and Hinson. And he stated 1 he would go there; however, he had no way to get to that 2 3 location. Okay. And when he advised you that he had no means 4 of getting to the address off of Hinson and Charleston, what 5 6 did you do at that point? 7 I offered to take him, and I eventually took him to A that location. And is that something that you typically do when you 9 Q 10 respond to a domestic violence call? 11 No, ma'am. I'm pretty sure it's the only time I've A 12 ever done it in my career. In your 15 years with Metro, that's the one and only 13 Q 14 time you've ever done that? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 0 Why did you do that? 17 Basically, when we evaluate the call, we evaluate A 18 prior history --THE COURT: Well, maybe -- I mean, do you --19 20 approach the bench a minute. 21 (Off-record bench conference) 22 THE COURT: All right. Proceed. 23 BY MS. MERCER: 24 Q Do you remember my question? 25 You asked why I suggested he leaves, correct?

Q Why you went out of your way --

A Why I went out of my way? Basically, we evaluate it, prior history. We believed that a battery had occurred. The problem is, while we believe that it had occurred, we couldn't prove that it had occurred to the point of having probable cause to make the arrest. And based upon prior history, what was going on at that time, we feared that if we left the two in the same apartment, that Ms. Whitmarsh would be -- would actually be the victim of a battery.

Q Okay.

A So, we thought it was best to get him out of there, and that way, hopefully prevent a future crime.

Q And did you in fact get him out of there?

A Yes, ma'am. I contact my sergeant, got permission to leave my area. I drove him, and dropped him off at the south side of Charleston at the intersection of Charleston and Hinson.

Q Okay. And when you dropped him off at Charleston and Hinson, how far from the 1825 Lewis address would that have been?

A I'd like to say it's about three and-a-half, four miles. It's on the other side of I15, and what would now be considered Bolden area command.

Q Okay. And shortly after dropping him off, were you then dispatched again to the address at 1825 Lewis Avenue?

1	A Somewhere around an hour and 15 minutes, to an hour		
2	and-a-half after I dropped him off, we were dispatched back to		
3	that location.		
4	Q And again, was it in reference to a Victoria		
5	Whitmarsh and the defendant?		
6	A Yes, ma'am.		
7	Q When you responded the second time, did you make		
8	contact with Victoria?		
9	A Yes, ma'am, I did.		
10	Q What was her demeanor when you made contact with		
11	her?		
12	A Victoria was crying and upset. She had some		
13	injuries on her at this point, and stated that she was again		
14	the victim of a battery.		
15	Q At the hands of the defendant?		
16	A Yes, ma'am.		
17	Q Okay. And I'm sorry, I might have missed this part.		
18	Did you indicate whether you observed any injuries on her		
19	person?		
20	A Yes, ma'am. When we entered the apartment, there as		
21	a chair laying in the middle of the room. She stated that		
22	she'd used that chair to block the door, to prevent the		
23	defendant from coming back inside of the residence.		
24	Q Well, without telling me what she said, did you		

observe any injuries on her physically?

Oh. Yes, ma'am. We observed some scratches, some 1 reddening, and some puffiness around her eye, and she also had 2 3 broken glasses. Okay. What did you do at that point? 4 S At that point, we began to take a report for the 6 domestic battery that had occurred. And --7 Did you make any attempts to contact the defendant? 8 I didn't attempt to contact the defendant. defendant contacted Ms. Whitmarsh on her cell phone, and I 9 10 spoke to him on her cell phone. 11 Okay. So, while you were still on scene, the Q defendant starts calling Victoria Whitmarsh's cell phone? 12 13 Yes, ma'am. A And at some point, you get on the phone with him? 14 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Did he request to speak to you, or did you request Q 17 to speak to him? I probably requested to speak to him, but I can't 18 A 19 tell you for sure. 20 Q And the voice that you spoke to on the phone, was it the same voice that you heard in person when you had spoken to 21 22 him earlier? 23 Yes, ma'am, just about an hour and-a-half earlier. 24 And what did you say to him once you got on the

25

phone with him?

A When I began to speak to him, you could hear basically music in the background. He stated that he had returned to the area. He stated that he was in a bar somewhere close.

And I asked him first if he would come back to the apartment and speak to us about the incident, so he can give his side of the story. He refused to do that. So, then I offered to come to his location to speak to him, so again we can get his side of the story and see what happened, and he refused to tell us where he was.

- Q Okay. So, ultimately, you were not able to make personal contact with him that evening?
 - A No, ma'am, we only took the report.
- Q And when you take a report, but you're unable to make arrest, what happens with it at that point?

A The reports are -- either one to things can happen. If he's contacted within the next 24 hours, then the arrest can be made. If that case doesn't happen, then the case goes forward to the domestic violence detectives. The detectives can submit on the case, and they can submit for a warrant, or a summons, or some other way to get him into court to have the charges heard.

Q Okay. And you are aware that after you submitted your report, the defendant ended up being charged with, and convicted of battery constituting domestic violence, a felony,

	Ŷ.	105
1	correct?	
2	А	Yes, ma'am. I testified in that case also.
3	Q	And that would have been case number C-207835?
4	A	That I couldn't tell you for certain. I'm sorry,
5	Q	If I showed you a copy of the information, would
6	that refr	esh your memory?
7	A	That would.
8		MS. MERCER: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
9		THE COURT: Yes. Is there an exhibit here?
10		MS. MERCER: Yes, Your Honor, Exhibit.
11	BY MS. ME	RCER:
12	o	And for the record, I'm handing you what have been
13	marked as	State's proposed Exhibits 133, 133A, and 133B.
14	A	Okay.
15	Q	If you could take a look at those.
16	Ã	Okay.
17	O	And that's case number C-207835, correct?
18	A	Yes, ma'am.
19	KI Ele	MS. MERCER: And Your Honor, at this point, I would
20	move for	the admission of these certified documents.
21		THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. O'Keefe, other than
22	your prev	ious objection?
23		MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor, May we approach the
24	bench a s	econd?
25		(Off-record bench conference)
8		

1 And a copy of the judgment of conviction from that 2 case as well? 3 A Yes, ma'am. MS. MERCER: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any cross-examination of this witness, Mr. O'Keefe? 6 7 MR. O'KEEFE: I have no cross for this witness, Your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. You're 10 excused. Officer, thank you --11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 12 THE COURT: -- very much for testifying. The State 13 will call it's next witness. 14 MS. MERCER: The State calls Elynne Warnicko (phonetic) Greene. 16 THE MARSHAL: Greene? 17 MS. MERCER: Greene. 18 THE COURT: And again, after their testimony, you have just heard evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts, and 19 20 it's not admissible to prove the character of a person in order to show that he acted in conformity therewith. It may, 21 however, be admissible as proof of motive, intent, or absence 22 of mistake or accident. 23 24 THE MARSHAL: Ms. Green, if you will remain 25 standing. Please raise your right hand, and face the clerk.

	100
1	ELYNNE GREENE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
2	THE MARSHAL: If you would have a seat and slide up
3	to the microphone, please. Ma'am, if you would, please state
4	and spell your name for the record.
5	THE WITNESS: My name is Elynne Greene.
6	E-1-y-n-n-e. Greene, G-r-e-e-n-e.
7	MS. MERCER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. MERCER:
11	Q Ma'am, where are you currently employed?
12	A The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
13	Q In what capacity are you employed with them?
14	A I'm supervisor of victim's services.
15	Q And as supervisor of victim's services, what kinds
16	of activities are you involved in?
17	A I provide direct service to victims of all crime
18	categories, as well as supervise a staff of four full-time,
19	and two part-time, and volunteers that provide direct service
20	to victims of crime.
21	Q Okay. And is a lot of your work dedicated to
22	working with victims of domestic violence?
23	A Yes. That's about 90 percent of my work load.
24	Q What kind of educational background do you have that
25	enables you to deal with victims of domestic violence, and

other victims?

A I have a bachelor's in psychology, and a master's in counseling. And I worked as a therapist for probably about 15 years, and during that time, had trained as a volunteer in domestic violence services, working on a crisis hotline. And since then, have run a shelter, and worked in various positions before coming to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

- Q Okay. You indicated that you became a volunteer while you were still working as a therapist?
- A Yes.
- Q And you worked a crisis hotline?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
 - Q What kind of work -- what did you do when you were working on the hotlines?
 - A Working the hotlines was providing resources and telephone crisis intervention to those that were calling a 24-hour hotline through a domestic violence shelter program.
- Q Okay. And after you quit working as a therapist,
 what was your next employment?
 - A My next employment was in Southern California, working with victims of domestic violence and sexual assault and sexual abuse. I was the director of a crisis response team. They actually physically went out with law enforcement officers, as did I. And then, I also ran a shelter for

domestic violence.

- Q And when you responded as part of the crisis response team, what were your activities directed at?
- A The activities were specifically to assess the situation, to assess the needs of the victim at that immediate time in terms of safety. And to provide resources, either for that exact period of time, or for the future. And also, to let them know about how the criminal justice system would operate, since we were responding with law enforcement.
- Q Okay. When you speak about providing them resources, what kind of resources are we talking about?
- A Resources, basically safety planning, helping them to identify lethality risks. And also, shelter, support groups, protection order information. Whatever it is that they might need in order to be safe. Advising them about advocacy services for their legal needs. We do not provide legal services.
- Q Okay. With respect to lethality assessment, what are you talking about when you use that term?
- A There's actually a standardized form. It's a 13-question form. And then, there's also an additional three-question form that's used nationally. And at the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, we currently use the 13-question form.

The top three questions, through more than 20 years

1] of research, have been determined that they will essentially identify those victims at the greatest risk for lethality, or increasing significant violence. The additional 11 questions at the bottom of the questionnaire, when put together, also increase risks for lethality. And when we use that, we can help victims to identify the risk factors.

- Q Okay. When you talk about increased risk for lethality, you mean at the hands of an abuser, correct?
- 9 A Yes. Correct.

2

3

5

6

7

8

23

24

- 10 0 Okay. And then, when you were running the shelter, 11 how long did you do that?
- 12 I was at the shelter for approximately six years A 13 while I was with the agency.
- 14 Q Okay. And what did you do after that?
- 15 After that, I was in -- let me see. I went from California to Indiana. And while I was in Indiana, I was 17 working as a therapist. I did some training. I had a private 18 practice there. I was also working with the Crisis 19 Intervention Center, and I also worked with offenders for a 20 brief period of time.
- 21 Okay. And when you were working as a therapist, 22 what kinds of patients were you seeing?
 - A I was mostly seeing victims of domestic violence, as well as children that were exposed to violence. Part of my practice was devoted to adolescents.

Q Okay. And when you were working as a therapist in Indiana, how long did you do that?

A I was in Indiana for -- I'm sorry, Indiana came before California. I apologize. I was in Cincinnati after California --

Q Okay.

A -- doing similar work. In Indiana, I was there for approximately two years. And in Cincinnati, for just under two years.

O Okay. And then, at what point did you come out to Las Vegas?

A I came out to Las Vegas 20 years ago, and joined the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Q So, you've been working in the victim services department for 20 years?

A Yes, I have.

Q At this point in your career, how many victims of domestic violence would you say that you've worked with?

A I average approximately 100 a month. We do a month report sheet. So, approximately 100 per month.

Q And in your work with victims of domestic violence, have you testified in court as an expert on domestic violence previously?

A Yes, I have.

Q Approximately how many times?

- A In Clark County, Nevada, approximately 18 to 20 times.
 - Q Okay. And have you testified elsewhere?
- A Yes. In Southern California in San Diego County, approximately ten times.
- Q Okay. With regards to your work with domestic violence, are you familiar with the term, cycle of abuse?
 - A Yes, I am.

- Q What does that term mean?
- A The cycle of abuse was actually developed back in the late 1970's. And what that basically does is describe the relationship between the victim and the abuser. And in describing that relationship between the victim and the abuser, it starts off with a tension building phase. It can either be something that's very overt, or it can be something that is less obvious. And it could be emotional. It could be an escalating of the physical violence.

And during that time, victims will often describe feeling as if they're walking on eggshells. And in many cases, it finally escalates to a point where the tension building becomes the explosive, which is the next part of the phase. And that's where there's the violence.

And then, the last part is often described as the honeymoon phase. And the reason for that is because that's the time the abuser might express guilt or shame, become

apologetic. And many people describe that as being one of the best times in the relationship. And it's often the time when there's forgiveness, and a sense of, we're going to make this work.

During that tension building phase, however, it's not uncommon for victims to --

MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, I object to this. Can we approach the bench?

(Off-record bench conference)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. O'Keefe, has an objection, and a continuing objection on this. But I'm going to hold that in abeyance, subject to any motion to strike, or something. Go ahead. Proceed.

MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor.

15 BY MS. MERCER:

Q I'm sorry. You were trying to explain what -- how victims will behave during the tension building phase.

A Right. During the tension building phase, victims can either -- as I said earlier -- used that term, walking on eggshells. They do everything they can to avoid that explosion.

Or the other thing is, they often -- knowing what's coming, if there has been a repeated pattern, which there often is in that cyclical type of relationship, they will do something to just get it over with. So, those are the two

things that often lead into that acute explosion. And that's part of a safety mechanism for victims, because it becomes more predictable during that tension building phase.

- Okay. So, am I understanding you to say that often times, they will provoke their abuser to go ahead and abuse them, and get it over with?
 - A Right. To get it over with, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- And what kinds of provocation have you seen or heard about in your work with victims of domestic violence? Like, what kinds of things will they do to provoke them?
- It can be as simple as -- we've seen everything from A not cooking the proper dinner, to more graphic things that -or more distasteful things in relationships, such as going out with friends after they have already been told not to, not returning phone calls, not responding to pages, or text messages. So, it could be a variety of things. It could be anything from something very subtle, again, to something that's much more obvious.
- Q Have you seen them lash out physically?
 - A I have on occasion, yes. And -- yes, I have.
- 0 And when a victim of domestic violence becomes physical with their abuser, is their intent the same? 22
 - No. Their intent is often defensive. Many times, what we see is victims lashing out -- well, first of all, it's a tension building for them, too, while they're "walking on

1 eggshells." And I use that term, because it really kind of describes the mental state. But I think that for the victims 2 that lash out, it's often either the built up frustration, or 3 4 the fear; and sometimes, it is defensive.

- 5 Q And with regards to the abuse at the hands of the 6 abuser, what is their intent?
 - A The abuser, it's generally about power and control.
 - Q Can you explain that a little bit --
 - A Yes.

7

8

9

- 10 0 -- for me?
- 11 One person in a relationship can have more power. A And that power -- again, looking at the spectrum, it can be 12 13 subtle. It can be the emotional connection that someone has, or it can be more overt. It can be the more obvious things, 14 where that power and control is, you will do what I say, or 15 16 else.
- 17 Okay. So, when an abuser uses physical violence, it's aimed at controlling -- dominating the other party?
- 19 Generally, yes. A
- 20 What other types of behavior do abusers often use to control their victims? 21
- 22 Coercive. They will often use verbal intimidation. 23 They will use threats. And something that can seem very, very 24 innocent, such as, you know, if you don't do this, nobody's ever going to want you, may not seem as strong to a lot of 25

people. But to victims of domestic violence who already are impacted by self-esteem issues, possibly depression, that can be very, very powerful.

Q Okay. And has it been your experience that relationships start out violent at the very beginning?

A No. Very, very, very few people -- in fact, I don't think I've ever in my entire career worked with someone that thought, gee, I'm going to fall in love with this person, and I just hope they beat me and make my life miserable.

Q How do these relationships typically start out?

A They typically start out very similar to the honeymoon phase that I described earlier, where there is an incredible amount of attention. And in retrospect, victims will often look back and think, you know, I was very flattered by the fact that he was texting me every 20 minutes saying, I love you, I care about you, where are you. I was -- I thought it was just great.

Now, they start to recognize it as being controlling and manipulative later down the road when the abuse enters into it. So, it's a very, very -- often starts off in a very positive, very attentive note.

Q And leading up to the physical abuse, would you say that there's a great deal of mental abuse that precedes that usually?

A There can be. And sometimes, not -- there is no

course that you can say that the cycle is a day-long, or that the escalation to violence is six months. It can be -- it can be a day, it could be an hour, it could be a year in between physical abuse.

- Q Okay. And is there typically some sort of process of tearing the victim down mentally that --
 - A Yes.

- Q -- goes with the physical abuse?
- A Yes. It -- and the term that's often used is grooming. And we hear that a lot of times where offenders sort of prepare their victim by convincing them, you're fat, you're stupid, you're ugly, you're worthless, nobody's going to want you; you have this disability or this challenge, so who's going to want you but me? And it really does kind of start to ring true. They start to understand and get it that they are unlovable, and that they are lucky to have this person.
- Q In your experience with working with victims of domestic violence, have you often seen that victims will be the ones -- if there is a separation period, victims will be the ones to reach out and try to reestablish a relationship with their abuser?
- A Yes, that is not uncommon.
- 24 Q And why is that?
 - A The reason is -- again, going back to something I

said earlier, people start relationships very hopeful, very positive. They don't start hoping to be abused. So, during that time, thinking that if one thing changes -- I've lost 20 pounds, or now he might understand why I need to have this job; or if one thing changes, we're going to be okay. So, they often reach back out with that hope.

Q And what kinds of things make a victim stay in an abusive relationship.

A There's multiple factors. There's not one factor that you can pinpoint. It can be emotional. It can be that connection, that I just described, to the victim. It can be financial. It can be a dependence, because that person meets a certain need, whether they're driving them around.

It could be a security issue. Quite often, victims feel more comfortable and feel safer knowing where their abuser is, and being in that relationship as opposed to not knowing. And that's where we start to see stalking behavior. So, the number of reasons — and love — really truly loving that person, despite the fact that that person has been dangerous for them, is the number one reason.

Q Is there also sometimes embarrassment that goes along with being a victim of domestic violence?

A There's embarrassment, especially when you're looking at religious and cultural values. You're looking at how people perceive you. Fear of being judged; why did you

stay in that relationship, or maybe it was your fault. And 1 that's something that victims are often convinced of. 2 Okay. With respect to power and control dynamics, 3 0 what you were talking about earlier, what typically happens 4 when an abuser feels like they're losing control? 5 6 A They will often escalate. They will become more 7 manipulative in order to retain control, and that's when we start seeing the increase in violence. And those are some of 8 the things that we look at in the lethality assessment. 9 10 0 Thank you. 11 MS. MERCER: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any cross-examination, Mr. O'Keefe? 13 MR. O'KEEFE: I have no cross for this witness. 14 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. O'Keefe. All right. 15 You're excused. Thank you very much. 16 17 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the State calls Cheryl 18 Morris. 19 THE MARSHAL: Ms. Morris, if you will remain

CHERYL MORRIS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE MARSHAL: Just have a seat. Slide up to the microphone. And if you would, please state and spell your

standing. Please raise your right hand and face the clerk.

24 name for the record.

20

21

22

23

25

THE WITNESS: My name is Cheryl Morris. That's

	123
1	Q You don't remember Troy's last name?
2	A No.
3	Q Let me ask you this. Do you see Brian O'Keefe in
4	the courtroom?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	Q Can you please point to him, and tell us what he's
7	wearing today?
8	A He's wearing a brownish-colored suit with what looks
9	like a green shirt, and a yellow speckled tie.
10	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, may the record reflect the
11	witness has identified the defendant?
12	THE COURT: Record will so reflect.
13	BY MR. LALLI:
14	Q So, you're living with Dorothy Robe, and the
15	defendant is living in a trailer, basically?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And if I understand the relationship, there are
18	times when you spend the night with him?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you have a romantic relationship with the
21	defendant?
22	A We did, yes.
23	Q All right. Now, I want to direct your attention to
24	Father's Day of 2008, so, June of 2008. Did you learn that

Victoria Whitmarsh had called the defendant?

25

- A I did after he had spoken to be about it. Yes.
- Q All right. Do you know who Victoria Whitmarsh is?
- 3 A Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

- Q Who is Victoria Whitmersh?
- A Victoria Whitmarsh was his former lover. They met each other back in 2001, I believe.
- Q Okay. So, it was your understanding that the defendant had a relationship with Victoria?
- A Yes.
- Q How is it that you learned that Victoria had called him?
- 12 A He returned from the -- returned to the trailer.
- And he originally was tolling me that he had a phone call from his daughter. And after some time, he turned around and said
- 15 that it wasn't actually his daughter; it was Victoria.
- Q The -- so, where were you when -- were you aware
 that this phone call had occurred at the time the phone call
- 18 occurred?
- 19 A Yes. I knew that the phone call had occurred,
- 20 because he was in the trailer. His best friend came out and
- 21 said, you have a phone call.
- 22 Q So, you and the defendant are in the trailer
- 23 together?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And a friend comes and says, hey, Brian, you have a

1 alcohol he would drink.

A Everyday after work, he would have a few of those large cans. And then he'd stop off -- or he'd go to the store, and get another six-pack or so.

Would he drink to the point where physically, you
6 could tell he's intoxicated?

A Yes.

8 Q Things like slurred speech, maybe wasn't walking 9 steady?

10 A Yes.

7

11 Q Maybe the manner in which he spoke; things of that 12 nature?

13 A Yes.

14 Q During the period that he lived with Dorothy, would 15 he talk to you about Victoria Whitmarsh?

16 A Yes.

17 Q What would be say?

18 A He would talk about how angry he was. And --

19 0 About what?

20 A The fact that Victoria put him in prison.

21 Q How did she put him in prison?

A There was a previous altercation, or a case that she

23 had testified that he hurt her.

Q All right. And so, based upon -- this is what the defendant is telling you?

	128
1	A Yes.
2 .	Q Based upon Victoria testifying against him in a case
3	and sending him to prison, he harbored some anger against her?
4 ;	A Yes.
5	Q Would he ever talk about how he felt about her?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What would he say?
8	A He said that he wanted to kill the bitch because he
9	took away three years of his life.
10	Q So, he had gone to prison for three years?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And he said that, because of that, he wanted to kill
13	the bitch?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Would he would he when you would have these
16	sorts of conversations with him, was this a single
17	conversation? Was it multiple conversations?
18	A They were multiple conversations.
19	Q And what when he would tell you this, would he
20	become animated? Would he become loud? Can you describe his
21	demeanor; his affect when he would talk about Victoria?
22	A When he talked about Victoria, he first started out
23	as being sad. Then he would start to become upset. And then
24	from there, he would just start drinking more, and he would,
25	at one point in time, become outraged.

1	Q During these conversations that you had with him,
2	would he ever talk about his military background?
3	A Yes. He stated that he was in Grenada, and that the
4	government had taught him how to kill people.
5	Q Would he ever act out situations, or do
6	demonstrations for you?
7	A Yes, he did.
8	Q Can you describe that for us?
9	A At one point in time, Mr. O'Keefe would demonstrate
10	to me how he would take a knife and thrust it up through the
11	sternum, and pull up. And then, he would say, that's how you
12	would kill a person.
13	A I'm talking about the middle part of the chest area.
14	Q And for the record, your just pointing to yourself,
15	right in the middle of your chest; is that true?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. When he talked about killing people, would he
18	ever talk about using a gun?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q Would he ever talk about strangulation?
21	A No, sir.
22	Q It was always in terms of a knife?

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

for you the things that he liked about her when they were

When he would talk about Victoria, would he describe

23

24

25

A

Yes.

1 together?

- A He did mention that he liked Victoria because she was submissive.
- Q Do you remember testifying in a prior hearing that be portrayed her as kind of a meek person as well?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Did he ever tell you that he was afraid of her?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Did he ever tell you that he was concerned about
- 10 her?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Did he ever tell you that she had threatened his
- 13 life in any way?
- 14 A No.
- Q During the time that you had a relationship with Brian, did he exhibit any controlling behavior with you, with respect to going to sleep at night?
- A Brian would always want me to go to sleep,
 19 regardless of whether or not I was tired.
- 20 Q With him, or alone, or how would that work?
- A With him. When he went to sleep, I would have to go to sleep with him.
- Q And would be ever describe to you his relationship with Victoria in that regard?
- A He said that Victoria would go to sleep with him.

1 And then, after a while, I guess when he fell asleep, she 2 would slip away.

- Q Toward the end of your relationship with the defendant, did you believe the relationship was deteriorating?
- A l'm sorry?
- Q Toward the end of your relationship, did it become rocky between you and the defendant?
- A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q Can you describe that for us?
- A I was beginning to be concerned, because the fact that Brian displayed inconsistency with coming home. And there was an incident where he had left for about a week, I believe, and then returned, and asked me whether or not we could continue the relationship, but I told him I wasn't certain. And at that point, I had spoken with Dorothy Robe, and I —
- 17 Q Okay. Well, don't --
- 18 A -- confided in her.
- Q Okay. Don't tell us what Dorothy might have said.

 Did you learn where Brian had been during that week's time?
- 21 A Yes. He was with Victoria. I believe that he said 22 he stayed in a condominium with her.
- O All right. If you're trying to have a relationship
 with him, and he is with an old girlfriend, I would assume
 that that upset you? Was it troubling to you?

1,300		
3	relation	ship with Brian?
4	A	No.
5	Q	At same point, do you move out of well, do you
6	start lo	oking for another apartment somewhere?
7	A	Brian suggested to look for an apartment, yes.
8	Q	Okay. So, what do you do?
9	A	We go and look for an apartment. We find one.
10	Не	
11	Q	So, you're doing this with Brian?

It was a little upsetting at first, yes.

Okay. Was it your desire to remain in the

A Yes.

Yes.

A

Q

1

2

12

13

14

15

16

- Q Okay. Why is that? Why would you do that?
- A Because we were living with my friend, and I did not want him to stay in the resident, and me leave. I didn't want to put her in a position where she may not feel comfortable with him there.

appears to be the beginning of a relationship with Victoria?

In spite of the fact that he's having this -- what

- 21 Q Couldn't she just kick him out?
- 22 A Yes, she could. But she's 70-something years-old.
- Q All right. So, you wanted to establish a residence for yourself and Brian somewhere else?
- 25 A Only until I was able to get out, yes.

Q Okay. So, where do you establish that residence? Do you? Do you find an apartment? What happens?

A We find an apartment, and it's located on 5100 -no, I'm sorry. It was 5001 El Parque Avenue, and it was a
two-bedroom apartment.

Q Where is that, roughly, just generally geographically in Las Vegas?

A Near -- let's see. I think it's just off of Decatur and Oakey.

Q All right. So, what happens as you find this new apartment?

A We move all of our items in there, but I didn't want to sign the lease, because I knew I wasn't going to be there. But I had to sign it anyway. And shortly after we move in, I was only there for four days, and I had already told him that I wanted to break it off and that I was going to find myself a place.

Q During the period of time that you're living at the El Parque apartment, did -- was the defendant staying with you the entire time?

A He stayed there off and on. As I said, we were only there for four days. And I believe it was a Wednesday or a Thursday that he went to work, and never came back home.

Q Did he call you sometime after that?

A Yes. I'm not sure how many days after. But he did

	134
1	say that he called, and said that he was going to he
2	wanted to come home, and that he wanted to bring Victoria
3	home.
4	Q He wanted to bring Victoria back to
5	A The apartment.
6	Q the apartment that you shared with the defendant,
7	at least for that short period of time?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And what was your response to him?
10	A I told him that I didn't think it was a good idea,
11	and since they had been out all this time, I'm sure they had a
12	place to go. Or he could come back home, and she could be
13	dropped off at wherever it was that she was staying.
14	Q So, you didn't want Victoria coming over there?
15	A No.
16	Q What do you do after the call is terminated?
17	A 1 call a friend, and I ask this person to come and
18	pick me up, and I left right away.
19	Q Was that the last time that you lived with the
20	defendant?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And that was in September of 2008?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
25	THE COURT: Yes.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

Does that appear to be a fair and accurate depiction

24

25

A

0

Yes.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

THE MARSHAL: If she touch it, it will mark.

MR. LALLI: Oh, you can't see the -- you can't see

23

24

25

the --

1 THE WITNESS: No, we [inaudible] see her movements 2 on the screen. 3 MR. LALLI: Well, it's not showing up. 4 THE MARSHAL: Touch -- touch the screen. 5 THE WITNESS: There's nothing. 6 MR. LALLI: Okay. Let's do it another way. We'll 7 get it working at some point. 8 BY MR. LALLI: 9 Q Do you see an open door in State's Exhibit number 2? 10 A Yes. 11 Q What is the open door? 12 That's the door to my apartment. A 13 Q All right. So, it looks like -- I'll zoom-in here 14 really close. As we zoom-in, we can see what looks like a 15 number 36 on the door to the left of that. 16 A Yes. 17 Is that correct? And then, just to the right of it, we see -- it looks like it's blocked out there, but we can 18 kind of make out a 35? 19 20 A Yes. 21 Now, did you become aware that Brian O'Keefe was arrested in connection with the murder of Victoria Whitmarsh 22 23 on November 5th, 2008? 24 A Yes. 25 Q I want to back up, and talk about just about a week

1	138
1	before them. Did you receive a phone call from the defendant?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Where were you when you received the phone call?
4	A I was shopping in a Walmart.
5	Q And can you tell us what the defendant had to say to
6	you?
7	A Mr. O'Keefe had asked me to come over, and
8	because he wanted to see me.
9	Q Just to see you, or was he interested in more than
10	that?
11	A Well, throughout the conversation, I kept asking
12	him, where was Victoria, and you know, why isn't Victoria with
13	you; why don't you talk to Victoria? And he said, well,
14	Victoria wouldn't mind you coming over and spending time.
15	Q Did you believe that it wasn't just a platonic
16	relationship that he wanted to see you, that he was interested
17	in rekindling the relationship with you?
18	A I'm not sure.
19	Q But it wasn't just a he didn't want you just to
20	pay a visit? Give us some sense of what he was
21	A No.
22	Q asking you to come over for.
23	A He has had in the past in our
24	Q Well, just in that just in that phone call,
25	What
- 1	

```
139
              In that phone call, he had suggested having a
 1
         A
 2
    threesome.
 3
              With you and Victoria?
         0
 4
         A
              Yes.
 5
         Q
             All right.
 6
              MR. LALLI: Your Honor, that concludes direct
 7
    examination.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination, Mr.
   O'Keefe?
 9
10
              MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. Just a couple of
11
   questions.
12
              THE COURT:
13
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. O'KEEFE:
14
15
             Cheryl -- boy, I don't know where to start with all
        Q
16
    that.
              MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to
17
    the defendant's comments --
18
19
              THE COURT: Yeah. It's not proper --
20
              MR. LALLI: -- about the evidence. It's improper.
              THE COURT: -- to comment like that. If you're --
21
22
             MR. O'KEEFE: Yeah, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: -- representing yourself, you just have
24
   to ask questions, and elicit answers. And then, you could --
25
   at your closing argument, you could --
```

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- you could argue.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But we can't have you asking questions and arguing at the same time. They have to be proper questions, and you take notes. And then, you could certainly argue to the jury what you want at the conclusion of this case.

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay.

10 BY MR. O'KEEFE:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11 Q Let's back up to Father's Day, 2008. Okay. You
12 testified that I received a phone call and went to the house,
13 correct?

14 A Yes.

Q And that I came back and told you my daughter called me: it was Father's Day, correct?

17 A Yes.

Q Okay. And you testified that, shortly thereafter,
whatever, I told you that I got to be honest with you, it was
Victoria?

21 A Yes.

Q I was honest with you though, however? Yes or no.

23 A Yes.

Q Okay. And yes or no. Did I say I didn't think it

25 was right to lie to you?

A	Yes.
---	------

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q Thank you. Now, when we met in Arizona Charlie's, and we exchanged phone numbers, if I remember correctly, we met at a coffee shop, correct? Yes or no.
- A Yes.
- Q And the purpose of that, if I may ask -- answer yes or no; was I shared with you that I was on parole?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q That I had gone to prison?
- IC A No.
- 11 Q That's no?
- 12 A No.
- Q Do you remember me telling you that I wanted to come forward and let you know that, you know, I had gone to prison on a domestic violence?
- 16 A Not when we met at Arizona Charlie's.
- Q Okay. Let me rephrase the question. I didn't mean at Arizona Charlie's. I said, we exchanged phone numbers, and we called later in the beginning. And one time, we called -- I called you, and we had coffee --
- 21 A Yes.
- Q -- at some place? That's what I meant.
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And the sole purpose of that was, it was hard for me at my age, in my 40's, and to -- you know, I wanted to

1 come clean; yes or no? 2 Α Yes. MR. LALLI: I'm going to object. It calls for 3 speculation as to what the defendant's motivation was at the 4 5 time. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. I'll give 6 7 some leeway here. But --8 MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- it's cross-examination. And I give 9 10 some leeway, but --11 MR. O'KEEFE: Right. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 BY MR. O'KEEFE: 14 But when we did meet for that cup of coffee, I was Q straightforward, for the last time, that -- what had happened in the past; yes or no? 16 17 A Yes. 18 Okay. I was being honest with you; yes or no? 19 A Yes. Okay. Now, did I ever mention to you that Victoria 20 testified against me in the battery domestic violence case? 21

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

exaggerated her stories when she testified against me? Yes or

Did I state whether she was cruel, and

22

23

24

25

no.

A

Yes.

Okay.

143 A 1 I'm sorry, I don't understand that part. 2 Did I say she testified against me? Yes or no. Q 3 A Yes. 4 If I have proof right here that I can prove that clearly as an untruth, and that Victoria testified for me, 5 would you believe me? Yes or no. 6 7 MR. LALLI: I'm going to object to the form of the 8 question, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Well, maybe rephrase it. I'm not really too sure where you're going with it. 10 11 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. 12 THE COURT: But you know, you could rephrase it and 13 see where it is, all right? BY MR. O'KEEFE: 14 15 Did you get confused -- did you just assume -- yes or no -- this is yes or no questions. Okay, so you're clear 16 17 there. Did you just assume that --THE COURT: And I mean, if you can't answer yes or 18 no, just say I can't answer yes or no, all right? 19 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 BY MR. O'KEEFE: 24 Since she did come to the trial, and I said, you know, she testified, it was never clearly stated whether she

25

against me? That was never talked about, correct? Yes or no. 2 3 I can't answer that question. May I ask why you can't answer that question? You 4 5 don't remember, or --6 No, because it's vague. A 7 Okay. Did I ever tell you distinctly that Victoria Q В testified against me at that trial? 9 A Yes. 10 Don't you think that would be unusual or stupid, when she testified for me, and I have the transcripts, court 11 documents? Doesn't make sense to me. I mean, wouldn't it be 12 foolish of me to say one thing, and it's the other? 13 MR. LALLI: I'm going to object, Your Honor. 14 15 is argumentative.

-- let's be totally honest; whether she testified for or

- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. O'KEEFE: I'll move on, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. O'Keefe.
- 19 BY MR. O'KEEFE:
- O Did I ever brag or boast that I killed people? Yes
- 21 or no.

1

- 22 A Yes.
- Q Did we buy a car together, Cheryl? Yes or no.
- 24 A I cosigned for you, yes.
- Q We did it together?

	145
1	A Yes.
2	Q And when this tragedy happened, who did that fall
3	on?
4	A Me.
5	Q So, I'm sure you were a little angry at that?
6	A No.
7	Q Did we have a bank account together?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And we went in, and basically, you took yourself
10	off, one time or another?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q And did you ever tell the neighbors after this
13	tragedy that you were a little bit surprised at this whole
14	event, that I had never done any violent act toward you at
15	all, to particular (sic), Robin Kolacz, the manager?
16	A Not that I can recall.
17	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, can we approach?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. LALLI: I apologize.
20	(Off-record bench conference)
21	MR. LALLI: Your Honor, based upon our discussion at
22	the bench, I would move that the last question and answer be
23	stricken, and the jury be admonished to disregard it,
24	pertaining to whether this witness told someone else that the
25	defendant was ever violent with her.

THE COURT: Yes. That question will be stricken, 1 2 and you're to disregard it. All right? Proceed then, Mr. 3 O'Keefe. Thank you. MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Okay. 5 I'll be this much quicker. Just close this out. 6 BY MR. O'KEEFE: 7 Just -- after this tragedy happened, Ms. Morris, did Q 8 you go to the -- back to the apartment the following day, or 9 two days later? Yes or no. 10 A No. After this tragedy happened, you were not at the 11 apartment the next day? 13 I'm sorry, what tragedy? The tragedy between you 14 and Victoria? Yes, ma'am. Did you have a discussion with Jimmy 15 16 Hathcox and them? I mean, everybody was there. I'm just 17 asking yes or no. 18 Not that I can recall. 19 Would you dispute about 6, 7 different people stated that you were there? Everybody was there, it was such a 20 21 tragedy. People were there, and a lot of discussion, notes -investigators came and took notes from people. And you're 22 saying that you don't remember? Is that what you're saying, 23 24 you don't remember? 25 What I don't remember is going back there a few days

after your tragedy had happened.

Q Okay. Did you go -- do you remember going back the next day then?

A No, I do not.

MR. O'KEEFE: I have no more questions for this witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. O'Keefe.

Anything else, Mr. Lalli?

MR. LALLI: May I have the Court's indulgence? Your Honor, I have no redirect.

THE COURT: Thank you so much for testifying.

12 You're excused.

13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a recess.

It is your duty not to converse among yourselves, or anyone else on any subject connected with the trial; read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial, by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio. And you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the cause is finally submitted to you.

We'll take about 15 minutes. I got a little something to do here. And we'll be at ease until the jury

leaves.

(Outside the presence of the jury panel)

THE COURT: All right. This is outside the presence of the jury. I just want to make a record on the reason why we had the jury disregard the question. Mr. Lalli, the question was posed to -- was her name Cheryl?

MR. LALLI: Yes, Cheryl Morris, Your Honor. The defendant had asked a question regarding whether Cheryl had ever told another witness that the defendant had never been violent toward her. The fact of the matter is, Cheryl described for me during the course of pretrials several times when the defendant had been violent with her.

One of those incidents was in his trailer. She had just finished coming home to visit him. He had been drinking. He grabbed her by the neck, and pinned her against the wall. She -- he ultimately let her go.

There was another incident in a car where he had been drinking vodka. He was obnoxious, pounding the dashboard, pulling at the steering wheel. And then, he had — he had made threatening gestures toward her. I don't know that he had actually ever struck her. But he had made threatening gestures toward her.

So, there is this history of violence between the two of them. I believe that he opened the door to that, and I asked the Court to allow me to go into it. And I'm not

quibbling with the Court's ruling. The Court thought that that would not be appropriate. And I then asked the Court to ask the jury to disregard the question and answer, which the Court did instruct the jury to do.

THE COURT: Well, the reason I bring it up, I wanted to, you know, make a record on why we did that. And Mr. O'Keefe, you've got to be careful now. I -- you know. I'm going to give you a lot of leeway. I mean, you know, I want you to get a fair trial. I mean, but I have to be fair to the State, too. I mean, I -- it's not a one-way street. It's like a tightrope here.

But I think my job is to give you a fair trial, give the State a fair trial. So, you got to be careful now. Even if you disagree with that you were violent towards her, I could have very easily said, if I -- you opened the door, Mr. -- now the jury's going to hear that. And I don't want to do that. I want to try, most of the way, this case on the merits of this case, and not go to other things.

Now, Judge Villani ruled that the other -- the -your felony could be admitted, and gave the limiting
instruction. Or I would caution you, be careful if -- I don't
want you to keep opening the door. That wouldn't be fair to
the State. But --

MR. O'KEEFE: All right.

THE COURT: I don't know if you want to say anything

JURY SWORN

THE COURT: All right. You can sit down. I'll say a few words, as -- I like to say a few words. You know, just basically, ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case as of his own knowledge.

And if any juror discovers during the trial, or after the jury has retired, that he or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, please disclose the situation to me in the abstains of the other jurors.

This admonition means that if you learn during the course of this trial that you are acquainted with the facts of this case, or the witnesses, and you have not previously told me this relationship, you must disclose this fact to me. And you communicate to the Court by way of a marshal.

A few words about your conduct as jurors. First, don't talk to each other about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it, until the end of the case, when you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict.

Second, do not talk to anyone else about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it, until the trial has ended, and you've been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror, but don't tell them

anything about the case until after you have been discharged by me.

Third, do not let anyone talk to you about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, report it immediately to me by way of our marshal.

Fourth, during the course of the trial, any parties, attorneys, or whatever, or court personnel, other than our marshal, are really not permitted to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial, but they are bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you, because it might contaminate the jury.

So, if any of the parties don't say hello to you, or good morning, don't take that as an affront. They're just trying to be professional people, and they don't want to be accused of currying favor.

Fifth, do not read any news stories or articles, or listen to any radio reports, or anything on the internet about this case, or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

Sixth, please do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, computers, internet, or other reference material. And do not make any investigation about this case on your own. You can individually take notes, but don't let that distract you from what you hear on the witness stand.

Seventh, if you need to communicate with me, simply give a signed note to our bailiff, and he'll give it to me.

Next, this new one -- this new rule, jurors are allowed to ask questions. The procedure requires that you write your juror number and question on a sheet of paper, give it to the marshal while the witness is still in the courtroom on the witness stand. If it's a proper question under the court rules, I'll ask it. If not, I'll keep it, and explain after the trial the purpose of the rule that precluded me from asking it.

And basically, the last thing I want to say is, keep an open mind about what the verdict should be until after you have gone to the jury room to decide this case, and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. So, I'd really like you to keep an open mind until then.

I'm going to ask the clerk to read aloud the information and the plea that was made thereto by the defendant.

(Information read by clerk)

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Clerk. That's the reading of the information, the charging document that I indicated is not evidence. It's just a means of getting the defendant here to court.

So, what we're going to do now is take a brief recess. And then, we're going to come back and hear opening

statements. And then probably take a lunch break, and then hear witnesses after the lunch break. So, I want to thank you very much. As I said, it's a very important case, both to the State, and to Mr. O'Keefe. So, you want to keep an open mind.

And I'm going to -- before every recess, I have to admonish you. And I'm going to say it a lot of times fast, but it's very important that -- during this recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves, or with anyone else, on any subject connected with the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of, or commentary on the trial, by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio. You are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the cause is finally submitted to you.

You want to go out there, you can talk about how the Kings won the Stanley Cup, or the [inaudible] --

MR. LALLI: Heat.

THE COURT: The heat, right? Or whatever. I mean, but don't talk about this case. And don't tell anybody you've formed or expressed any opinion. You resolve that in the jury deliberation room. All right. Thank you very much. And we're going to take a 15 minute recess, and then be back at about 11:00 o'clock. We'll be at ease until the jury leaves.

(Outside the presence of the jury panel)

2 of the jury. All right. So, you're going to -- who's going 3 to give the opening statement of the --4 MR. LALLI: I will, Your Honor? 5 THE COURT: All right. And do you need any -- are 6 you going to set up, or do you need anything? 7 MR. LALLI: Yes. 8 THE COURT: You got your --MR. LALLI: I do need a moment to set up. So, I 9 thank the Court for giving us the opportunity to do that. 10 THE COURT: All right. And then, are you going to 11 give an opening statement right after, or not? 13 MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, I will, Your Honor. I will after the State, yes. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right, Is there anything

1

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. This is outside the presence

else to come before the Court then before we take a recess?

MR. LALLI: Yes. I wanted to make a request of the
Court, Your Honor. Mr. O'Keefe has had the ability to walk

around the court freely, walk into the well, and he has acted like a perfect gentleman throughout the proceedings thus far.

When the State begins to call witnesses, however, I think the dynamic of the trial changes somewhat, and he is actually being faced by his accusers. We will be calling a witness by the name of Cheryl Morris, for example, who was once the defendant's girlfriend, and she is afraid of him. We

will be calling neighbors who lived in the area. They are afraid of the defendant.

When the defendant was convicted, while he was in the Nevada Department of Corrections, he sent letters to them that they deemed to be of a threatening manner. I've not actually had the opportunity to see those letters, but a series of witnesses have told me that that is in fact the case.

And so, my concern is that if Mr. O'Keefe would need to refresh a witness with a prior transcript, or show a witness up at the witness stand a photograph, that will have a chilling affect on that witness's ability to testify, and it will negatively impact the State.

And so, what we are requesting is that if Mr.

O'Keefe wants to stand at counsel table, he be allowed to do

so. If he wants to use the podium as is my practice, that he
be allowed to do so. If he needs to actually approach a

witness, it would be our request that either Mr. Maningo do
that, or his investigator, Ms. Brown.

MS. CAMPBELL: Campbell.

MR. LALLI: Campbell. That's our request, Your 22 Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe -- where is the podium that you're talking about?

MR. LALLI: It's right there --

THE COURT: Oh, right here.

MR. LALLI: -- in the center of the courtroom.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Right in front of me. So, you're basically going to stand near the podium most of the time, or at counsel table?

MR. LALLI: Yes, that's correct.

THE COURT: And Mr. O'Keefe, could -- do you like to use the podium, Mr. O'Keefe, or question at the table? You can do either one, I don't care.

MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, I intend to act like a perfect gentleman.

THE COURT: I know. I mean, I --

MR. O'KEEFE: And I will have no problem with that.
But this allegation of letters threatening, that don't cut it,
Your Honor. There was no threatening letters -- threatening
letters, plural, for the record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay?

THE COURT: The record will show that Mr. Lalli said he didn't see them, but apparently some witnesses said. But that's neither here, nor there. That's not part of what the jury hears. But I think it would be good. I mean, I want you to -- if Mr. Lalli's going to stay here at the table, or Ms. Mercer, or go to the podium, you could stay at the table or go to the podium. And --

MR. O'REEFE: I'll go no further than that podium.
Your Honor. That's --

THE COURT: great. That's great.

MR. O'KEEFE: I have no problem with that.

THE COURT: And if you have something you want to show the witness, which will be here -- Mr. Maningo, do you want to do this, or do you want the marshal to do this?

MR. MANINGO: I'm happy to help Mr. O'Keefe however he wants me to.

THE COURT: All right. So, in other words, you will just go -- say, you know, go right there and show it, then take it back, and -- okay.

MR. O'KEEFE: Okav.

В

THE COURT: Everything's good on that. All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: Just now --

THE COURT: Well, we'll --

MR. O'KEEFE: -- I have this one last matter.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. O'KEEFE: Just -- because you're going to love me today, Your Honor. I'm going to be a gentleman, and we're going to move this thing right along. So, after having saying that, just for protection of myself, preservation of the record, okay, I just want to declare that I feel now that the panel has been sworn in that I do believe that this is still again a true double-jeopardy violation, and violation of the

laws and treaties of the United States of America, double jeopardy, and due process and collateral estoppel is implied, too, okay? I just want to state that, that I feel this is --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. O'KEEFE: -- absolutely wrong.

THE COURT: And I want to state that you certainly have a continuing objection for that. And this is about the fourth, and you can do it four more times. I don't care. But the record is preserved.

You're objecting for this trial. You think it should be dismissed, double jeopardy, whatever other reasons. And that's part of the record. The minutes will reflect that. The court records will reflect all of that, that you've made more than ample objections. You'll have continuing objections. And but that's about all I can say. But --

MR. O'KEEFE: Right.

THE COURT: -- you've probably got a win-win situation here. Because no matter what happens, you can maybe convince the jury -- you don't have the burden of proof. But maybe the jury won't be convinced, and maybe you'll be acquitted. Or, if they do, you still have your rights to appeal in federal court. So, it might be a win-win situation, all right?

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. And Your Honor -- and then, just one last --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. O'REEFE: -- matter, I promise. And just, again, you know law better than me, but it's just to protect myself, preservation.

Again, at proper times, not in front of the jury -
I'm not going to -- I may object to some of the evidence based
on the law of the case again. It's not a lesser standard of
proof. It's inadequate. He's rehashing for the record
preservation the same evidence of the first and second trial.
It should have never even came in the second.

With all due respect, I don't care how Judge Villani ruled. His opinion was just his opinion. He's just a general court of limited jurisdiction. His decisions are for appellate review always. We know that. You know that better than anybody, okay?

So, again, for protection, if any evidence is presented that crosses that line of battery domestic violence, I'm going to object. And then, properly in between breaks, if that's more adequate for you, I'll make something for the record.

THE COURT: Good. All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: And after saying that -- because, again, clearly, one last time, I promise you, all this evidence -- the majority of this evidence, he's rehashing to save evidence. And the Ninth Circuit strictly forbids

rehashing the same evidence --1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

144

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: -- from the first trial.

THE COURT: I for the record disagree with you, and I'm going to allow Mr. Lalli and Ms. Mercer to bring that evidence in. But it's absolutely clear that it's over your objections, and you have a continuing objection.

MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: And again, one last thing though, Your Honor, what I'm trying to get at is it's necessarily sometimes not so much the evidence. It's the conduct that they're trying to change, trying to disprove the conduct that's already acquitted of, the battery domestic violence act.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: They can bring all the evidence sometimes necessarily in. But it's actually the conduct, versus Grady and Dixon (phonetic). That's what they're trying to re-change. And that's already been said.

THE COURT: You have an objection, but I disagree with you, so I'm going to allow them to do that. All right?

MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honor, for your time.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you.

THE COURT: We're going to be back in about 10

minutes, and start the opening. 1 2 MR. LALLI: Thank you. (Court recessed at 10:56 a.m. until 11:08 a.m.) 3 (Within the presence of the jury panel) 4 THE MARSHAL: Officers and members of the court, 5 Department 17 jurors. All right. You may be seated, ladies 6 7 and gentlemen. Let's make sure all cell phones are turned off, please. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, stipulate to the presence of the jury? 10 MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe, do you stipulate to the 13 presence of the jury? MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, I do, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: All right. We're going to proceed with opening statements now. Mr. Lalli, opening statement on 16 behalf of the State of Nevada? 17 MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. 18 STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT 19 MR. LALLI: May it please the Court. Good morning, 20 ladies and gentlemen. Brian O'Keefe was found guilty by a 21 jury of the felony offense of battery constituting domestic 22

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

same woman he murdered on November 5th of 2008. The evidence

The victim in that case was Victoria Whitmarsh, the

That occurred in 2006.

23

24

25

violence.

will show that Victoria was stabbed just under her arm. The mechanism of death was something called exsanguination, which means that she bled out over a period of time. Upon being --

1,

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, before we continue, evidence of other crimes, wrongs, or acts is not admissible to prove the character of a person in order to show that he acted in conformity therewith. It may however be admissible as proof of motive, intent, or absence of mistake or accident.

That's an instruction I'm going to give a couple of times, but I just want you to keep that in mind. I'm sorry to interrupt you, Mr. Lalli.

MR. LALLI: Thank you, Your Honor.

It really came as no surprise that the defendant had murdered Victoria. He never got over the fact that she was responsible for sending him to prison when she testified against him. In fact, he told other people that he wanted to "kill the bitch."

After the defendant went to prison, his relationship for — with Victoria had come to an end, and he struck up a relationship with another woman by the name of Cheryl Morris, and you will hear from Cheryl Morris during the course of this trial. What you will notice is that Cheryl has a strikingly eerie and similar look to her, the same one that Victoria has. Both are very small Asian women.

Cheryl and the defendant spent a great deal of time together. And it was in the context of their relationship that the defendant would make statements to her. He would brag, for instance, about being in the military, and tell her how he was trained to kill. And in all of these circumstances, he would always talk about killing, and doing so with a knife.

During the time that his relationship with Cheryl was ongoing, he again rekindled his relationship with Victoria Whitmarsh. At first, this was done secretly; and then, it was more out in the open. And at one point, he was even so bold about this relationship that he told Cheryl Morris that he was going to being Victoria back into the apartment where the two were staying. And Cheryl of course would have nothing to do with this.

And so, eventually, Cheryl moved out, and Victoria moved in. And both Victoria and the defendant lived together in an apartment complex at 5001 El Parque here in Clark County, Nevada.

In many ways, Victoria led a very tortured life.

She suffered from depression. At times, as many people do who suffer from this disease, she would cut herself. The serotonin that would be released would bring her emotional comfort. She took medication for her mental illness. In fact, at the time of her death, a drug called Effexor was in

her blood, and it was a drug that she took for her depression.

Victoria suffered from the disease of hepatitis.

She had hepatitis C. She was also alienated from her husband and her daughter. The evidence will show that Victoria was vulnerable, and even pathetic. She weighed just 108 pounds, was just 5 feet, 5 inches tall. Just the sort of woman that the defendant could control.

The apartment at 5001 El Parque is where the murder occurred. And you will learn that many of the residents who lived in this complex were very close. They knew each other, they were friends, they communicated with one another. The defendant's apartment was number 35. It was upstairs.

The Tolivers lived just below them. And you will hear from Joyce and Charles Toliver. Back on November 5th of 2008, in the evening hours, they were both in their bedroom. And you will learn that their bedroom is directly below the bedroom that was above it. And they hear a disturbance, a banging. And the banging -- the disturbance goes on for the better part of 30 minutes, 40 minutes. By some accounts, maybe even as long as an hour.

Charles Toliver, who was known by his friends as Cookie, he has to work early in the morning, he's annoyed that there's so much noise coming from upstairs. And at one point, he gets up, and walks up the staircase to see what's happening up in the upstairs bedroom. As he's doing that, Joyce

1 Toliver, who is downstairs, hears a woman upstairs. At first, 2 she hears crying, and then mouning, and ultimately, silence.

Charles Toliver walks up the stairs, and enters the back bedroom. And this is what he sees. He sees Victoria laying on the ground. He can only see her legs. There is blood all over the room. He sees the defendant over her. And he says, what have you done? What did you do to her? Mr. Toliver -- Toliver is very frightened. He turns around, and he runs out.

He goes to get a friend, another individual who lives in the complex, a man by the name of Todd Armbrooster.

And both Todd and Charles return to the defendant's apartment, and they see Victoria's body again. The defendant is over her, talking to her, telling her to, come on, come on, baby: words to that effect.

At one point, the defendant, who was obviously intoxicated at the time, stands up. And while this woman is literally dying in his arms, wants to fight with Todd Armbrooster. He kind of squares up with him as though he wants to fight with him. The evidence will show that he never asked anyone for help. He never called the police. He never called the paramedics. He never asked for an ambulance. He never did anything, except watch this woman die at his feet.

Fortunately, the police are called. And members of law enforcement respond to the apartment complex. And they're

1 not sure what they're dealing with at first. The calls are in the order of, there's a woman down, she's bleeding, there's a man with a knife. And so, they're certainly not just going to traipse into a bedroom, and risk being attacked themselves.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

121

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

You will learn that they take a position out in the living room area. And they attempt to ask the defendant to come out of the room so that they can go in and render assistance to Victoria, and he refuses. The defendant refuses to come out.

The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department has a program in place called the CIT program; the crisis intervention team. They are specially trained officers who go out to situations like this. And their job is to de-escalate; to bring the crisis down.

A CIT officer responds, and strikes up a conversation with the defendant, who was still in the back bedroom, trying to get him out, explaining to the defendant that he needs to come out before the officers go in. And it becomes apparent to Metro that they are engaged in a cat-and-mouse game, where at one point, the defendant is saying, she's dead; and another point, he's saying, she's alive, come in and help her.

It's clear that they do not know what it is that they're dealing with. And they make the decision to enter the back bedroom, to try to get the defendant out, and so that

they can render Victoria aid. And they do it in a very tactical manner. They go into the back bedroom. And just as he did with Mr. Armbrooster, the defendant engaged law enforcement in a scuffle. He begins to fight with those officers.

At one point, they deploy a taser in an effort to bring him under control. They eventually are successful in doing that, and are able to remove him from the room.

You will learn that there was a police sergeant by the name of Dan Newberry, who was present. And Sergeant Newberry has training in the medical field as a paramedic. He immediately went over to Victoria's body, who was on the ground, lying naked from the waist-down. He feels for a pulse. There is none. They quickly bring in medical, who tries to revive her, but they are unsuccessful in doing that. And unfortunately, Victoria Whitmarsh died.

The defendant is placed in the back seat of a police car. He is going to be transported over to the homicide office for an interview with the homicide detectives. While he is in the back of that police car, he begins to make statements. Not in response to any specific questions that he was asked, but he just begins to talk.

And there was an officer who was responsible for keeping an eye on him, by the name of Christopher Hutcherson, and you will hear from that police officer. He was very young

at the time, but very wisely wrote everything down that the defendant said. These are some of the things that he wrote down. "I swear to God, V, I didn't mean to hurt you." And "V" is how the defendant would refer to Victoria. He would call her, V. "I swear to God, V, I didn't mean to hurt you. What did I do wrong? Let's go do the ten years;" an obvious reference to prison.

while he is in that patrol car, members of law enforcement begin to respond to the scene. As I indicated before, homicide detectives show up. Crime scene analysts show up. They begin to process the scene. They photograph the scene. They take photographs of Mr. O'Keefe, who's being very belligerent with them at the time; so much so that one of the homicide detectives actually has to take his head and hold it up to the police camera so that he can be photographed.

They notice that he has a cut on his hand, on two fingers. And you will hear from Detective Marty Wildemann, who is an extremely experienced homicide detective who has investigated many stabbing murders and crimes involving knives. And he will tell you that it is not at all uncommon for someone who was utilizing a knife in a violent way to actually suffer cuts on their hands and on their fingers while doing so. But you will see photographs of those cuts on his finger.

The knife that officers recovered from the scene was

analyzed by forensic professionals. You will hear from Ed Guenther, who is a latent print examiner. He is an expert in fingerprints. And you will learn of the various techniques that he employed to try to find fingerprints on the knife. And unfortunately, he was not able to do so. He was not able find any prints that were of sufficient detail to make a comparison.

You can see from the knife, there was blood on it.

And the knife was submitted for DNA testing. You will hear from Analyst Jen Bas, who was a DNA scientist with the Las

Vegas Metropolitan Police Department laboratory. She analyzed the knife, and she found just what we would all expect. There was blood on the handle of the knife. And that blood, without any question, was identified to the defendant.

There was blood just to the left of the handle, or to the -- above the handle. Again, that was the defendant's blood. There was also blood on the tip of the knife. And without any question at all, that blood was identified to Victoria Whitmarsh. You will learn that beyond any doubt, this was the murder weapon that killed her.

The following day, an autopsy was conducted on Victoria's body, and it was determined that she died as a result of the stab wound to the side of her body. As part of an autopsy, the blood of the decedent is collected and analyzed. In addition to the Effexor that she had on board,

you will learn that she had a great deal of alcohol in her blood. Her blood alcohol level was .24, well over the legal limit.

You will also learn that, in addition to the stab wound, Victoria Whitmarsh had a great deal of blunt force trauma on her body, which is just a fancy way of saying she had been struck, or her body had been thrown against a hard object, which landed many places on her body. There was a great deal of bruising on her body.

And you will learn that although she suffered from hepatitis C, and hepatitis C has the affect of making a bruise appear more profound, each and every bruise that she had on her body represented a different area of blunt force trauma. And you will learn that many of those bruises were what forensic experts call acute, or recent; meaning at or near the time of her death.

But you will learn that she had blunt force trauma on her head; on the top of her head, and on the back of her head. She had blunt force trauma on her chest, on her back, on her buttocks, on her left arm, on her right arm, on her left leg, on her right leg. She had so much trauma on her body that the amount of bruising actually was a contributing factor in her death.

An anonymous domestic violence survivor once said that, if you can't be thankful for what you have, be thankful

1 for what you have escaped. Well, unfortunately for Victoria Whitmarsh, she was not able to escape what ultimately came to her as a result of her relationship with the defendant.

At the conclusion of this trial, we will ask you to return a verdict of guilty to murder of the second degree with use of a deadly weapon. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lalli. That completes the State's opening. Mr. O'Keefe, do you want to give an opening statement now?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENSE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. O'KEEFE: Without a doubt, on November 5th of 2008, a tragedy happened, folks. The State's presenting the story, their side, with what evidence that they have. But without a doubt, the evidence is going to show that on November 5th of 2008, prospects of a new job came about. made a lot of phone calls. Talked to an old superintendent. Possible, going back to work the following week at the Hard Rock.

It was just my fiance's birthday two days ago, before that. She was under a lot of stress, depression, just turned down a job. Unemployment left only one week. No money. Medically ill. Host of problems, mental. She was a good person though. I loved her for the way she was.

MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm going to interpose an objection. This is an opening statement. The defendant is now allowed to testify to the jury now, or express his feelings now.

THE COURT: You can just say, the evidence will show.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yeah. You're right, Your Honor,

THE COURT: Thank you.

В

Okay.

MR. O'KEEFE: There's a lot of accusations, and a lot of inconsistencies being made throughout this, folks. The evidence is going to show that we went out to Paris Hotel. We celebrated. Extremely, extremely -- drank a lot of alcohol. The evidence is going to show that, without a doubt, we ended up leaving ultimately. I made V drive. She was upset with that. She wanted to go to dinner.

We were parked at valet at Vons. Told the detectives over and over, check it out. Here's where we was, here's what we did, here's the time frame.

The evidence is going to show, as a matter of fact, before we left the apartment, I said, you know, with the new job, fantastic. I said, you know, maybe I might be going back next week, Hard Rock, 12, 14 hours a day. Let's get this vacuum cleaned, and get it fixed. I broke the belt [inaudible]. The evidence will show that. It was dropped off

at the vacuum cleaner store en route to Paris Hotel, to go out for the night to celebrate her birthday and the job.

They didn't want to talk about that. They wanted to kind of like, hide that. But the evidence will show, because they made that part of their discovery. So, you have the right to show (sic), because they're trying to state that I supposedly wanted to kill this woman. Pay back. Well, why would I want to fix the vacuum cleaner? Why would I spend all day on the phone?

MR. LALLI: I'm going to object, Your Honor. I'm sorry, this is argument now.

MR. O'KEEFE: I'm saying --

MR. LALLI: It's not an opening statement (sic).

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: I'm saying the evidence will show --

THE COURT: The evidence will show. All right.

17 That's --

MR. O'KEEFE: The evidence will show this --

THE COURT: Be a little careful, but --

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You have a right to -- at the end, to make your summary, and argue all you want. But just as an opening statement, the evidence will show this.

MR. O'KEEFE: Mr. Lalli greatly out-stepped his line, Your Honor, during his opening. So, I'm just --

THE COURT: Well, I --

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir, Your Honor, with all due respect.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'KEEFE: Folks, we went out and celebrated.

Let's just get right to the facts. Got extremely drunk, won a bunch of money. V's like, baby, let's stop, let's get out of here. Cash out, let's go. I want to go eat. I want to go eat now. And I'm like, baby, let me catch up with you.

I had just completed a sobriety program, the evidence will show. They know this. And because of the new job, and she was down -- it was her birthday November 2nd. This happened -- tragedy happened November 5th. I was looking for an excuse. I admitted it. I told them. I was looking for an excuse to drink. I'm a recovering alcoholic.

With the new job prospect, you know, okay, maybe start next week. Let's go out and have some fun. Your birthday; celebrate. Don't worry about anything. And again, she just got the night. She only had one week left of unemployment. She had no money. She was medically ill.

She had to claim a claim in to SSI that got denied for the third time. Her sister called, and said she had to re-appeal it again. Years, years, years. It takes years with the government.

She finally convinced me -- I made a last-minute

bet. She didn't want me to do that. She cashed out all the money, had it all. We got up, and we got one more drink.

Drank 20 to 30 double shots of Absolut. I'm not proud of it.

I'm an alcoholic, and I had just completed a program. And
I'll tell you what, it hit me. I've been drinking for years, but after that little break in time, I was extremely intoxicated.

And I had extremely -- folks, the evidence will show, that's what they're hiding. And they have destroyed that evidence for life. We'll never know -- nobody will ever know. That was wrong.

However, let's eat dinner. Come on, baby, take me to dinner. No, baby, let me get one more drink. Let me bet one more bet. Come on, we're doing good, look at all these chips. Hundreds and hundreds of dollars. And I started with just 60. I was happy. Everything was rolling good. Things were turning around.

Let's go eat right now. No, let me make one more bet. She got angry. She was in anger management classes. Bipolar. Boy, like that, she could go from one end to the other. The evidence will show that.

Finally, okay, baby, let's just leave. Let's go.

Got plenty of money. Whatever you want to do. You know what,
but you want to leave, whatever. I'm pretty intoxicated, the
evidence will clearly show. Let's just go, stop wherever you

want. You're driving now. Oh, boy. That made her mad. Got the car from valet, but the seat back. Go wherever you want, get whatever you want, do whatever you want.

Well, we pull up to the apartment, the evidence will show, parked the car. Seat's back; I'm passed out. Hat falls off from the seat. The evidence will show it, hat's laying right there in the front seat. She gets up. Statement, [inaudible] we're here. Slams -- goes up to the apartment.

Folks, an hour later -- I don't even know exactly what time. I don't want to say -- don't hold me to that. I don't even know. I didn't have a watch on. I didn't have keys. She had everything in her purse. She carried everything for me. Women normally do that. She had my wallet, cigarettes. She had the keys to the apartment. She goes upstairs.

I drank my butt off, and it hit me hard all the sudden. I mean, I was spinning. I had just completed a sobriety program, voluntarily.

Well, the neighbors testified that they hear 20 minutes, 40 minutes -- they've changed their testimony. Said many times, an hour, two hours, they heard the biggest commotion going on upstairs right above them. Right above them. And the neighbors next-door, the testimony will show, through all this banging and commotion -- and I always left my window open on the second floor, as Mr. Lalli showed you.

any talking. There was not even a TV on, the evidence will show. There was not even a radio on. It was at night in this apartment complex. And folks, I'll tell you what, at night time, sound travels. Let's be honest. I'm telling you, I know. You can hear the neighbor across the street talking. I mean, across the side — across the pool, on the inside of the complex, especially at night.

You can hear everybody talking, or everyone's business. Yet, the evidence will show, they heard not one male voice — they did not hear me. They did not even hear Victoria talking. All they heard was thumping, thumping, pounding, [inaudible], doors slamming, boom. Folks, I can't dispute what they heard. I was in the car. The evidence will show, they admit to this.

My next-door neighbor shows me -- testified in prior hearings that approximately 15 minutes, my next-door neighbor saw me coming up the stairs. Bang. Hears me hit the rail. The evidence will show this. Well, you know what? I realized getting upstairs, I'm drunk, I'm feeling good, I got money. Hey, I'm home. I don't even realize -- I didn't even know she's angry. I didn't even know. Didn't care. I was drunk. We're home. Hey, we're here. Let's go up to the place.

But I do remember getting up there, I was asked -- I was like, man, oh my God, I forgot the vacuum in the back of

the car, and I locked the door. Electronic -- a new little car. The evidence will show this. The receipt shows that I fixed it on the way to Paris. Went in, put a new belt on it. I broke the belt cleaning up her room, vacuuming up some screws. They fixed it. Charged me right there, receipt. Put it in the back of the car, shut the hatch, locked it. Got in the car; we went to Paris Hotel. They won't talk about that.

But I had this theory I wanted to kill her. Boy, why go fix the vacuum? Doesn't make sense. Why take her out? Why spend all day on the phone making calls, looking for a job? In 2008, it was hurting.

The evidence will show -- hopefully Mr. Lalli brought them. He had them on his witness list. Let's see how honest they are. The evidence will show the next-door neighbor will say he saw me -- again, folks, this is critical.

Not even 15 minutes before, the downstairs neighbor ran upstairs, was screaming, and went running out of my place after he seen me. And the evidence will show, he will testify he seen me picking her up, saying, V, quote, verbatim, "Don't do me like this. Baby, baby, don't do me like this. Get up."

Now, see, what they don't know -- I knew she was a cutter. I walked in on her when she was in the bathroom. She was up to no good; mad at the world, slamming drawers. She changed her clothes. She had different clothes on. She had taken her makeup off, apparently. She did the female things

she needed to do. They can't dispute this.

But when I walk up, and when the neighbor sees me, he says he pops out, and he seen me hit the rail. And he seen me there, and he said I had a look on my face, I was all -- I was wasted, folks. I had no sleep for about 30 hours. We stayed up all night watching the Obama election. I went to bed about 4:00 in the morning. I told them this.

That's why the couch -- you'll see -- the evidence will show that it was made up -- there was a blanket on the couch. We sat out -- she got all drunk. She drank wine all night. I was still sober at the time. I just finished the program that week. I was still sober, and we watched the -- Obama get elected, win it. Yeah, yeah, yeah.

She went to bed about 1:30 in the morning. I stayed up until about 4:00 watching it. She got intoxicated. I said, baby, go to bed. This -- she went in, and went to bed. I stayed on the couch and I watched the rest of the election. I fell asleep.

I get up early in the morning. Construction was what I was doing. Start making calls. She comes out in the morning, the evidence will show. They can't dispute this, that, you know, she verified the election was won and all this. That's when I made the calls, got the job, said don't worry about anything. She found out again her sister called, or that she was denied again on SSI, because she was in debt

1 to her sister.

And she used her sister's address for the government to send a check to. Her sister was lending her money. She got the two to three-year backup for the SSI for having the hepatitis C and her illnesses, all that for unemployment. She was supposed to get a backup check, and all this. That was between them. I didn't care.

But she was depressed. She got a phone call that day when I was making my calls, after November 5th, when Obama had already won. And that's when I said again, let's go out. Celebrate, forget about it. Let's take the vacuum and go, okay? I know I kind of jump, folks, but you've got to bear with me.

So, again, like I said, when we did go out and we come back, when my neighbor's seen me 15 minutes before the calls and screams went on, oh my God, somebody help me. I go to my apartment door. Makes common sense. The door is open, it's unlocked. But the door's shut.

So, again, let me re-verify, the evidence will show, I get up, I go upstairs. V's already upstairs. They're hearing all this thumping and pounding. I can't dispute it. How do you dispute? I don't know what they heard. I don't know what she was doing. Simple as that. I walk up. The neighbor testifies he sees me not even 15 minutes again before the fatal scream for help.

He looks at me, shuts his door, goes inside. Okay, [inaudible]. We admit, nothing was exchanged. We looked, that's it. I go to my door and open it, and it's unlocked. I walk in, I shut the door. I had to pee bad. I'm telling you, I was going pee in the parking lot. I had just been drinking. I was sweating. I was hot. Had my big old union jacket on. I was proud of it. I just got it, because I was helping the union get elected -- the presidency of the United States. You know that. Local Laborers 872 (phonetic).

Well, when I go in -- the door's unlocked, folks, when I walk up, which simply just proves she was already up there. She had the keys. She had everything. Everything was in the purse. Wallets, everything. When I walk in, I shut the door. I go straight to the bathroom, the spare bathroom I use. I took a pee. Simple as that. It's the truth.

After I got done, stuck my head -- looked in the bedroom, the evidence is going to show, and I seen that the light was on. She was in the bathroom, doing whatever. I didn't care. I walked directly to the kitchen, got a cigarette, went out on the porch.

At this time -- this is critical, folks. And this didn't even take two minutes. Walk in. I went in to pee.

After I got done, left the seat up. Men do that. I stuck my head in the -- the light was on in the bathroom in the master bedroom. The door was cracked, but you could see the light.

There's no night light. The evidence is going to show this. There's no light -- there's no fixture above. You've got to have a lamp in the room somewhere. I just see that she's in there, hear she's doing something. No words were ever exchanged between us. Not one word.

S

B

I go in the kitchen to get a cigarette, and I go out on the porch. When I go out on the porch, I open the door all the way up, like I always do, because I didn't smoke in the apartment because of her health. I at least respected -- I smoked out on the porch. The evidence is going to show -- there's a picture, two little chairs set out there. Everybody -- all the neighbors say I always sat out there and drank in the past, and smoked my cigarettes. Nobody disputes that,

So, when I walk out and I open up the door completely wide open, and I go out there and I smoke my cigarette, folks, you got to remember, I'm extremely intoxicated. The evidence will show that. I forgot I had my jacket on. Smoked a cigarette, finished it.

I had a little steel container there that I put them in, threw it in. I got an oxygen tube, [inaudible]. I filled it with sand and set it in the corner in the second apartment. I threw [inaudible] -- to keep it clean, you know, at least. Finished my cigarettes. Man, I got to get this jacket off. What am I doing? Man, no wonder why I'm so -- my God.

Now, from the time of me waking up and going

upstairs -- folks, you know, I'm going on, and on, and on, but I hope you can relate what I'm saying. It only took a matter of minutes. I woke up. I finally had to pee. I go upstairs. My neighbor sees me. You know, finished a cigarette.

Remember, I had partial cigarettes. [Inaudible] save them. I mean, they're expensive now.

But [inaudible] me, threw it away. Go into the apartment. I go right to the spare bedroom. Pee. I come out, I look, and see that she's in the bathroom. I walk in -- my cigarette drawer, the evidence will show this -- show you where it's at. I go out on the balcony. Finish a cigarette, amoke another cigarette, wondering what I'm going to do now. I wanted to drink some more, even though I was drunk. You know, when I start, I don't want to stop.

But all of the sudden, I started getting hot. It makes sense, folks, the evidence -- it makes total sense. You'll see this jacket. I'm like, man, I got to take this jacket off, man. I take this jacket off.

I walk into my bedroom, the evidence will show.

Once I go into the bedroom, walk around the bed, she hears me.

I don't even know this. But all the sudden, light comes in.

And she flies out of the bathroom, and she's got a knife. An accident happened, folks. I was trapped, and I kept trying to grab the knife. They want to say I stabbed her. I can't sit here and tell you how many times I deflected, or what I did.

But the evidence will show my hand got cut up trying to take it.

Now, of course, in a drunken statement, I said, yeah, I grabbed the knife from her, and I took it, is what they keep trying to beat down. I grabbed the knife, but I never took it from her. She yanked it back out of my hand. I never had the knife.

What they don't want to admit is -- folks, have you watched me? I'm right-handed. Key. Hello. The evidence will show, I'm right-handed right now. The wound's on the opposite side. And it's been determined as possibly accidental also, possibly suicidal. Not necessarily a stab, possibly a puncture. The evidence will show that.

But when she flies out at me, I already had my jacket in my hand. When the door opens up, there's mirrors. You'll see. The evidence will show this. I see her. The light -- it was like E.T. The light comes in, and I see her. I'm swinging my jacket.

I swing my jacket at her. I let it go. It hits the blinds, the little louvers from the glass sliding window. Some of them fall off. This big jacket's landed right there. They can't dispute it. You can't make that up. You can't make it up, being — make this up. The physical evidence is right there.

So, after I swing the jacket, I'm trying to grab it.

I finally get a hold of her, folks, and I push her back on the bed. And when I pushed her back, it went in the side. She's right-handed. There was no light on in the room. I was so drunk. She was so drunk. She was on determined medically -- they don't want to admit it, O.D. level of medication, her SSR's. Her liver could not process them.

You know, I said some things. When you're extremely drunk and they're trying to interrogate -- no, this -- tell us this, you know, they try to hold you to everything. But yet, they've made statements, and they don't want to be held to them. And they're police, and they're trained, and they're not drunk, but their statements are everywhere.

When I pushed her back, there was no intent. It was natural self-defense. My motor skills were so slow. Common sense, folks. They don't want to admit the sharpness of the blade is facing back toward her back. They don't want to admit that they have already determined the wound is from front to back, so it makes sense that I had a hold of her, front to back. So, we were facing each other. And they were admitting that it was from out to in, from the front to back.

Now, I don't care. Common sense, folks. The evidence shows that. So, you go home tonight, and you try to figure out a way -- when the evidence will show the diagram, there's no way if she's laying on the bed that I could stab her in any way that would have that angle. It's impossible.

It's a physical impossibility. I'm right-handed. If I stabbed her first of all -- and they have already determined it was from front -- we were facing each other. It's on the wrong side of the body.

She's right-handed. She had it in her hand. I had my hand on her. If I would have stabbed her, it would have been on the other side. They don't want to talk about that. They call it a stabbing. There's only one wound. It is consistent with a puncture, and it's only four and-a-quarter inches, they determined, yet the knife was eight inches.

And if I wanted to stab her out of vengeance, as the State claims, that knife would have gone all the way in, because they've already determined it didn't hit any bone, cartilage. And without a doubt, it would have gone all the way in if it was intentional. The evidence won't dispute that. It can't. The physical evidence disputes that it's an intentional stabbing, but they don't want to admit it.

All I know, in my dumb drunkenness, and in a dark room, that the evidence will show there was no light, except coming from the bathroom, I freaked out. You want to talk about PTSD. I noticed something started to get wet. I didn't know. And I don't even still remember when I realized it was blood. And she kind of stopped moving, and she dropped the knife. It fell out of her hand. And they — the knife was never hid. It never left the spot where it fell from, her

hand.

And the evidence will show that the knife, by their own expert for the State, police department, that the knife was not wiped off, and there was no fingerprints. But yet, I stabbed her. I didn't need to stab her, if I wanted to hurt her. I freak out, folks, without a doubt. I used bad judgment. But this is a big difference here, folks. Here, I mean, they're claiming murder. Man.

I start picking her up, folks. I don't know how many times I grabbed her. And this is all within a ten-minute period from once I used the bathroom, and I went out, and I went back in to hang up my jacket. The neighbor already said, he seen me 15 minutes before.

But I'm saying, the whole situation didn't even take 60 seconds. It happened so fast, I don't even -- but I know I kept trying to pick her up, folks. I was trying to grab her from the bed, and I dropped her on the floor several times. And that's when I was saying, I didn't mean to hurt you. I dropped her one time. She hit her head so hard. She slipped -- she kept slipping.

And I feel like not a man, because I was drunk, and she -- I kept dropping her. That's what Cookie said he heard. I'm sure the testimony will show, and the evidence already has shown, he says all the sudden, he heard a big thud -- bang. Cookie runs up. The door's still wide open, folks. Because I

-- when I went back out to smoke the cigarette, I left it wide open. I had nothing to hide. Who would do that if you're going to hurt somebody? The window's always open.

R

Cookie comes up because he hears this big thud. He says he's mad, he's angry, he's got to go to work. I would be, too. But they all testify that there was no arguing, no fighting, no shouting, no nothing. But I'm loud, believe me. You think I'm loud now? Imagine me drunk if I'm mad. But they can't dispute they never heard no dispute. There was no battery domestic violence going on.

Cookie says he comes in. He hears me say, help.

Boy, that's a far cry from, I want to hurt her. I'm saying,
help me. Cookie runs in and sees me. He gets scared, and he
runs out, and he leaves me. And he runs out, and he starts
screaming, oh, man, [inaudible]. I don't know this has
happened. But now, the testimony will show that's what was
stated. I don't care. Let it be shown.

There are so many discrepancies to where they're changing, now, oh, yeah, maybe it was only an hour. Maybe it was only 20 minutes. Yeah, now, I was watching this show: I was watching that. There's going to be great discrepancies into when they called the police. You're going to hear evidence of the 9-11 call, where when Cookie runs out, he says he goes into his place, and he makes a phone call. I ask him for help, and he takes off.

I didn't have time to go find the telephone. I don't even know where the cell phone's at. I don't even know where it's at. But yet, they expect me to just drop -- stop what I'm doing; sorry, baby, let me make a call. I need some help.

MR. LALLI: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I'm going to object again. This is now turning to argument, Your Honor, as opposed to an opening statement.

THE COURT: Yeah. The fact that -- you know. It's a fine line here. You know, you've been at this a long time, and you're repeating yourself. But move on and try to conclude this, all right?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor.

This is my life, folks. And a tragedy has truly happened. The evidence is going to show, there are so many discrepancies. He runs out, he asks for help. He says he goes to Todd, he goes here, he goes to the apartment manager. He does this, he does that.

They make a call to the police. You'll hear -- the evidence will show, Robin, the manager of the apartment complex. They say, call 9-11. Someone's up there stabbed. Someone won't let someone in. They won't let anybody in. He won't let anybody in to help. You'll hear it.

She says, nobody's fighting. She's the apartment manager. She knows the place; she's been there for years.

She's -- nobody's fighting, I would know. I'm -- you know, it's not that far. Nobody's fighting here. Who are you talking about? Nobody is fighting. You'll hear it on the 9-11 call. Nobody's fight -- there's no fighting.

2.2

He said, yeah, there's somebody up there in the thing, and he won't let anybody in. So, then, Todd, the second 9-11 call, you hear this guy said, yeah, he's -- the neighbor says that there's a beating going on, he hears all kinds of fighting. See, those were the inconsistencies that gets relayed to the police, so the police come -- now, they want to come to hard charging.

Todd doesn't say on the 9-11 call, or tell anyone that I already asked Cookie, who first came in and I said, help me, I was asking for help. But he makes a 9-11 call, and says I won't let anybody in and help. You're telling two stories. They don't tell the cops that I was asking Cookie to help me. Help me get her in the living room in the light.

There's no disputing, folks, the cops come. They come in force. A lot of stories. A lot of different stories. Their stories are so twisted, they don't even know anymore. Their excuse now, I'm sure you'll probably hear the evidence, I can't remember, will probably be the story.

They say that they could see me in on the bed, talking to her or petting her. The evidence is going to show where I quite clearly was saying, please come in here and help

me. And I even resolved to a lot of profamity, and don't hold that against me.

But I wanted to kill her? And I'm saying, get the fuck in here and help me. Oh, we feel he was baiting us. Ultimately, though, was I? Was I baiting anyone? They clearly said they didn't see any weapons in my hand. They didn't see nothing. I was beside her, and I was talking to her, V.

They had me on the porch for an hour, hour and-a-half. Inconsistency. I'll prove that the evidence will show that there was another lie by the police. It's an untruth. Let's clean it up, an untruth. He says, all the sudden, miraculously, in this prior proceeding, that he presents evidence that I made these statements in the car. Boy, that's unbelievable.

I never made any statements to police, or comments, or anything. And he doesn't know my girl's sick. And I always used to tell her, quit telling me. Their own evidence will show that they know that she was scheduled years ago to have five years to live, if she was lucky, with her continued conduct of over-excessive drinking and medication. She abused it. Anger management problems, bipolar; you name the problems. But didn't mean she was a bad person though. I will say that.

The evidence will show quite clearly that the cops

ultimately came in. When they came in, they came in 5, 6 deep. And there was no room for them to stand. When they came in, they came flying over that bed, a couple of them did. And they landed on her, landed on me. Kicks.

They can say whatever they want, but now, they don't want to. Well, I'm not sure. He was here, and all this.

Yet, they tased me, and they admit that they were fighting me, trying to get my arm. And I'm trying to protect her, don't look at her.

And this clothes thing. They tried to create some perverted thing, or whatever. I don't know where they're going with that. But the whole bottom line is, folks, when the blood was coming down her, and I was trying to get her on the floor, I was trying to figure out how to stop the bleeding.

I didn't know. I was so confused. It was dark, I was freaking out. Blood was everywhere, and it kept coming down. And her pants, and I pull them off, I'm running, I'm trying help. I'm thinking Cookie's coming. I don't know what's going on. I messed up. But I didn't kill her.

Cops tase me repeatedly. This extremely experienced homicide detective, the evidence will show that he says his opinion on these hand wounds. But yet, the evidence is going to show, he didn't take pictures of my thumb. Evidence destroyed again. Only my finger. He doesn't want to admit

that I'm right-handed.

The evidence is going to show he's made statements over and over that, yeah, my experience, from my opinion as a [inaudible], I know what I'm doing. It's commonly that a -- when your hand's all bloody -- so, wait a minute. The evidence is going to show, is I didn't even have the knife. There ain't no fingerprints. It's in the wrong hand, wrong location.

And there wasn't any blood for the knife to slip out of my hand to cut myself. And the cuts -- the location -- I claimed, the evidence is going to show, it was an intentional act. That's up for you to decide. They know the cuts. They know my extreme intoxication. The evidence is going to show they didn't want to collect that evidence.

They didn't want to go to Paris Hotel to collect that we were there, yet I repeatedly asked them over and over. They didn't want to go to Vons, where she went earlier in the day and got wine. They didn't want to do anything. They just wanted to get the case over with. You killed her, because your neighbor said so. Wow. Great job.

There's a lot of reasons, folks, why I'm trying to do this. Please don't hold it against me. There's a lot of things I left out. The evidence is going to show, there's going to be time for this. Folks, the evidence is quite clearly going to show there was no murder. There was no

intentional stabbing. There was no stab at all. There was no intent. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. O'Keefe. That completes the opening statements on behalf of the State and the defense. What we're going to do is take our noon recess. And then, we're going to come back at 1:15, and we're going to hear -- start the State's case in chief. All right? So, thank you very much.

And please, during this recess, it your duty not to converse among yourselves, or with anyone else, on any subject connected with the trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial by any person connected with the trial, or by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, or radio. And you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the cause is finally submitted to you.

So, we'll be back about 1:15. Just wait outside, and our marshal will bring you in all at one time. All right? (Inaudible).

(Court recessed at 12:02 p.m. until 1:21 p.m.)
(Within the presence of the jury panel)

(Pause in the proceedings)

THE MARSHAL: All right. Please remain seated.

Let's come to order, please. Make sure all cell phones are turned off. We're back in session.

THE COURT: All right. Parties, stipulate to the 1 2 presence of the jury? 3 MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor. MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. We of course picked a jury. 6 We had opening statements. And now, we're going to proceed on 7 the plaintiff's case -- excuse me, the State's case in chief. 8 So, the State will call it's first witness, please. MR. O'KEEFE: Your Honor, with all due respect, 9 could we approach the bench before we call this witness, and 10 11 clarify a matter quickly? Thank you. 12 (Off-record bench conference) 13 THE COURT: All right. Who's your first witness? 14 MS. MERCER: Lieutenant Price, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Lieutenant Price? 16 MS. MERCER: Yes. 17 THE MARSHAL: And Lieutenant Price, if you will remain standing. Please, sir, raise your right hand and face 18 19 the clerk. 20 ROGER PRICE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 21 THE MARSHAL: If you would have a seat, sir. 22 up to the microphone. And if you would, for the record, 23 please state and spell your name. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. My name is Roger Price. 25 That's R-o-g-e-r, P-r-i-c-e.

1 THE COURT: All right. Before we proceed, ladies 2 and gentlemen, I again limit -- or give you a limiting 3 instruction. You're about to hear evidence of other crimes, 4 wrongs, or acts. And they're not admissible to prove the character of a person in order to show that he acted in 5 conformity therewith. It may, however, be admissible as proof 6 7 of motive, intent, or absence of mistake or accident. 8 With that instruction, proceed now. 9 MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MERCER: 11 12 0 Sir, where are you currently employed? 13 A I'm the graveyard lieutenant at the Enterprise area 14 command with LVMPD. 15 Q Is that Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 And how long have you been employed with Metro? Q 18 15 years. A 19 Back in April of 2004, what was your position with 0 20 Metro? 21 I was a swing shift police officer at the downtown A 22 area command, assigned to downtown 32. 23 Q Okay. So, you were on patrol? 24 A Yes, ma'am. 25 And on April 2nd, 2004 at about 9:00 p.m., were you Q

dispatched to an incident that took place at 1825 Lewis? 1 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 When you arrived at that -- well, did you arrive at that location? 4 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 And what information did you have in regards to why 0 7 you were being dispatched there? B We were dispatched to a domestic disturbance, where the female half, Victoria Whitmarsh, had alleged that her 9 boyfriend, Brian O'Keefe, had battered her. 11 Q Okay. And when you arrived at 1825 Lewis, did you 12 in fact make contact with Victoria Whitmarsh and Brian 13 O'Keefe? 14 A Yes, ma'am, we did. 15 0 Do you see Brian O'Keefe in the courtroom today? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Could you please point to him, and identify an 0 article of clothing that he's wearing? 18 19 A Right over there. A yellow tie. 20 MS. MERCER: Your Honor, may the record reflect that

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

how do you typically proceed with your investigation?

THE COURT: The record will so reflect.

When you respond to a domestic violence incident,

he's identified the defendant?

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. MERCER:

A We separate both parties involved. We interview both parties to get basically their side of the story. You get what each of them say. Then, you evaluate the evidence at the scene, and see if the evidence corroborates one story or the other, or if the two stories corroborate each other.

Q Okay. And when you say evidence at the scene, can you give me some examples of what you look for?

A If there's an allegation that there was some physical damage in the residence, you look for that. You look for bruising, reddening, scratches, cuts; any marks that would confirm the battery had occurred.

Q Okay. Now, you indicated that at 9:00 p.m., when you were dispatched to that address, Victoria Whitmarsh had alleged that she was battered by the defendant, Brian O'Keefe?

- A That's correct, ma'am.
- Q Were you -- did you speak with both parties?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.

Q And does the law require that you make an arrest in certain situations when you respond to a domestic violence call?

A If there is probable cause that a battery had occurred within the last 24 hours, and a domestic relationship can be established, then the law requires an arrest be made.

- Q And do you know why that is?
- A Basically, for the protection of the victims of the

domestic battery. And a lot of times, we get a case where we go, and there was a battery that occurred, and the victim does not want to press charges at that point. And it's basically to protect the victim from some of their own decisions, basically is the best way to put it.

Q Okay. And is it to prevent something more serious from happening?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q Were you able to confirm that a battery did in fact occur at the -- when you first responded to that address?

A Not on that call. There wasn't enough physical evidence to support the allegation of battery.

Q When you made contact with Victoria Whitmarsh initially, did she have any visible injuries?

A No, ma'am, she did not.

Q How did you proceed at that point?

A At that point, we spoke to both halves. And based upon the totality of the circumstances, we thought it would be best that the two separate for the night, and basically allow cooler heads to prevail. So, we suggested that one of them leave the apartment.

Q Okay. And do you recall which party you recommended leave the apartment?

A Initially, we just asked -- we recommended that one of them leave. Mr. O'Keefe stated that he had either friends

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN K. O'KEEFE, Appellant, vs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE STATE OF NEVADA Respondent. Supreme Court No.:

District Court Case No.: 08C750630 Filed
Electronically Filed
Dec 01 2015 10:58 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME XXI - PAGES 4000-4199

MATTHEW D. CARLING 51 East 400 North, Bldg. #1 Cedar City, Utah 84720 (702) 419-7330 (Office) Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas. Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 Counsel for Respondent

- 1 -

INDEX O'Keefe, Brian

<u>Document</u>	Page N
(Ex Parte) Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 12/06/13	4698-4700
"Amended" Exhibits to "Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a True Pretrial Detainee filed on 10/03/14	5008-5036
"Evidentiary Hearing Request" (Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive 1 Based on Subject-Matter of Amended Information Vested in Ninth Circuit by Notice of Appeal then "COA" Granted on a Double Jeopardy Violation with No Remand Issued Since) filed on 10/03/14	4995-5007
"Reply" to State's Response and Motion to Dismiss to Defendant's Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Prsuant to NRS 34.360 filed on 10/27/14	5052-5061
"True Pretrial Detainee's" Reply to State's Opposition(s) Admitting the State has a Jurisdictional Defect by the Aung of a Notice of Appeal Which Diveste Jurisdiction of the Matter Appealed: i.e., O'Keefe's Pretrial Habeas Matter Appealed to the 9th Circuit on the Subject Matter of the Amended Information Already Named a Double Jeopardy Violation filed on 10/01/14	4989-4994
Affidavit of Matthew D. Carling, Esq. filed on 06/29/15	5447-5453
Affidavit of the Honorable Michael P. Villani filed on 09/24/14	4981-4983
Amended Information filed on 02/10/09	0175-0177
Amended Notice of Appeal filed on 10/29/15	5565-5568
Appendix of Exhibits for: Motion to Dismiss based Upon Violation(s) of the Fifth Amendment Component of the Double Jeopardy Clause, Constitutional Collateral Estoppel and, Alternatively, Claiming Res Judicata, Enforceable by the Fourteenth Amendment Upon the States Precluding State's Theory of Prosecution by Unlawful Intentional Stabbing with Knife, the Alleged Battery Act Described in the Amended Information filed on 03/16/12	3225-3406
Case Appeal Statement filed on 03/14/14	4850-4851
Case Appeal Statement filed on 04/11/14	4862-4863
Case Appeal Statement filed on 05/21/09	0334-0336
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/04/15	5476-5477
Case Appeal Statement filed on 08/12/15	5484-5485
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/02/14	4925-4926
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/04/12	3536-3537
Case Appeal Statement filed on 09/24/12	4625-4628
Case Appeal Statement filed on 10/20/15	5547-5548
Case Appeal Statement filed on 10/21/15	5554-5556
Case Appeal Statement filed on 11/04/15	5572-5573
Case Appeal Statement filed on 11/24/14	5070-5071
Certificate of Mailing filed on 05/03/11	3048

Certificate of Service filed on 06/29/15	5454
Clerks Certificate Judgment Reversed and Remanded filed on 05/06/10	1023-1027
Criminal Bindover filed on 12/26/08	0004-0020
Criminal Order to Statistically Close Case filed on 07/31/13	4662
Defendant O'Keefe's Opposition to Motion in Limine to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts Pursuant to NRS 48.045 and Evidence of Domestic Violence Pursuant to 48.061 filed on 01/18/11	2877-2907
Defendant's Brief on Admissibility of Evidence of Alleged Victim's History of Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation (With Knives and Scissors), and Erratic Behavior filed on 03/20/09	0293-0301
Defendant's Motion to Require Court to Advise the Prosepective Jurors as to the Mandatory Sentences Required if the Defendant is Convicted of Second Degree Murder filed on 03/04/09	0196-0218
Defendant's Motion to Settle Record filed on 03/24/09	0317-0322
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 03/20/09	0302-0316
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 08/23/10	1335-1393
Defendant's Submission to Clark County District Attorney's Death Review Committee filed on 12/31/08	0021-0027
Defendant's Supplemental Proposed Jury Instructions filed on 03/20/09	0290-0292
Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 08/16/10	1294-1296
District Court Amended Jury List filed on 03/19/09	0245
District Court Jury List filed on 03/16/09	0239
Ex Parte and/or Notice of Motion and Motion to Chief Judge to Reassign Case to Jurist of Reason Based on Pending Suit 3:14-CV-00385-RCJ-WGC Against Judge Michael Villani for proceeding in Clear "Want of Jurisdiction" Thereby Losing Immunity, Absolutely filed on 08/28/14	4903-4912
Ex Parte and/or Notice of Motion filed on 08/28/14	4913
Ex Parte Application for Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail filed on 03/10/09	0232-0236
Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time filed on 08/16/10	1292-1293
Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel Pursuant to NRS 34.750 filed on 09/15/14	4950-4952
Ex Parte Motion for Defense Costs filed on 06/30/10	1037-1043
Ex Parte Motion for Production of Documents (Specific) Papers, Pleadings and Tangible Property of Defendant filed on 01/13/14	4714-4720
Ex Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Legal Cost of Faretta Canvassea Defendant to Above Instant Case filed on 12/13/13	4701-4707
Ex Parte Motion for Release of Medical Records filed on 04/08/11	3041-3042
Ex Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 06/24/15	5438-5441
Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a True Pretrial Detainee filed on 09/15/14	4954-4980
Ex-Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Court Declaring Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis filed on 01/21/14	4722-4747

Ex-Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 01/28/14	4764-4767
04/19/12 Of Motion to Seal Records as Ordered by Judge filed on	3438-3441
Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order filed on 10/02/15	5528-5536
Information filed on 12/19/08	0001-0003
Instructions to the Jury (Instruction No. 1) filed on 09/02/10	1399-1426
Instructions to the Jury filed on 03/20/09	0246-0288
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed on 09/05/12	4623-4624
Judgment of Conviction filed on 05/08/09	0327-0328
Judicial Notice Pursuant NRS 47.140(1)-NRS 47.150(2) Supporting Pro-	002.0020
Se Petition Pursuant NRS 34.360 filed on 03/12/15	5082-5088
Jury List filed on 06/12/12	3456
Jury List filed on 08/25/10	1396
Letters in Aid of Sentencing filed on 05/04/09	0324-0326
Motion by Defendant O'Keefe filed on 08/19/10	1329-1334
Motion for Complete Rough Draft Transcript filed on 04/03/12	3430
Motion for Judicial Notice the State's Failure to File and Serve Response	3430
in Opposition filed on 02/24/14	4000 4000
Motion for Judicial Ruling filed on 05/24/10	4800-4809
Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition Addressing All Claims in	1028-1030
the First Instance Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with Affidavit filed on 06/15/15	5420-5422
Motion for Relief from Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S. Court of Appeals has not Issued any Remand, Mandate, or Remittitur filed on 07/23/14	4871-4889
Motion to Continue Trial filed on 06/01/12	3450-3455
Motion to Dismiss Counsel filed on 10/03/11	3164-3168
Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 01/27/14	4749-4759
Motion to Place on Calendar filed on 10/26/11	3169-3182
Motion to Place on Calendar filed on 11/28/11	3184-3192
Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed on 04/29/11	3044-3047
Motion to Withdraw Counsel filed on 11/28/11	3193-3198
Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims	5 2 2 2 9
when I.A.C. Claims Must be Raised Per Statute in the First Petition	
Pursuant Chapter 34 filed on 06/08/15	5148-5153
Motion to Withdraw filed on 09/14/10	1434-1437
Notice of Appeal filed on 03/13/14	4843-4849
Notice of Appeal filed on 04/11/14	4858-4861
	0332-0333
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09	
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09 Notice of Appeal filed on 07/31/15	5467-5472
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09 Notice of Appeal filed on 07/31/15 Notice of Appeal filed on 08/11/15	5467-5472 5478-5483
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09 Notice of Appeal filed on 07/31/15 Notice of Appeal filed on 08/11/15 Notice of Appeal filed on 08/29/14	5478-5483
Notice of Appeal filed on 05/21/09 Notice of Appeal filed on 07/31/15	

Notice of Appeal filed on 11/21/14	5067-5069
Notice of Change of Address filed on 06/06/14	4864-4865
Notice of Defendant's Expert Witness filed on 02/20/09	0180-0195
Notice of Defendant's Witnesses filed on 03/06/09	0224-0227
Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order filed on 10/06/15	5537-5546
Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 03/05/09	0222-0223
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for a Reasonable	0222-0223
Bail filed on 09/24/10	1441-1451
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for Discovery filed on 08/02/10	1211-1219
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe for Evidentiary Hearing on Whether the State and CCDC have Complied with Their Obligations with Respect to the Recording of a Jail Visit Between O'Keefe and State Witness Cheryl Morris filed on 08/02/10	1220-1239
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation and Errratic Behavior filed on 07/21/10	1064-1081
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation and Erratic Behavior filed on 07/21/10	1099-1116
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives Have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in Another Recent Case filed on 08/02/10	1199-1210
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Dismiss on Grounds of Double Jeopardy Bar and Speedy Trial Violation and, Alternatively, to Preclude State's New Expert Witness, Evidence and Argument Relating to the Dynamics or Effects of Domestic Violence and Abuse filed on 01/07/11 Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude Expert	2785-2811
Testimony filed on 08/16/10	1284-1291
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or Character Evidence and Other Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his	
Constitutional Rights filed on 07/21/10	1047-1063
Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or Character Evidence and Other	
Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his Constitutional Rights filed on 07/21/10	1082-1098
Notice of Motion and Motion by defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 01/03/11	1682-2755
Notice of Motion and motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Suppress his	

Statements to Police, or, Alternatively, to Preclude the State from Introducing Portions of his Interrogation filed on 08/02/10	1152-1198
Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave of Court to File Motion for	1132-1190
Rehearing - Pursuant to EDCR, Rule 2.24 filed on 08/29/14	4914-4921
Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Admit Evidence of Other Bad	7717-4721
Acts Pursuant to NRS 48.045 and Evidence of Domestic Violence	
Pursuant to 48.061 filed on 01/06/11	2762-2784
Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes filed on	2702-2764
02/02/09	0150-0165
Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Polygraph	
Examination Results filed on 03/29/12	3412-3415
Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss based Upon Violation(s) of the	
Fifth Amendment Component of the Double Jeopardy Clause	I ^m
Constitutional Collateral Estoppel and, Alternatively, Claiming Res	
Judicata, Enforceable by the Fourteenth Amendment Upon the States	
Precluding State's Theory of Prosecution by Unlawful Intentional	
Stabbing with Knife, the Alleged Battery Act Described in the Amended	
Information filed on 03/16/12	3201-3224
Notice of Motion and Motion to Seal Records filed on 03/22/12	3416-3429
Notice of Motion and Motion to Waive Filing Fees for Petition for Writ of	1
Mandamus filed on 12/06/13	4695-4697
Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed on 09/23/15	5517-5519
Notice of Motion and Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record filed on	2217 2319
09/29/15	5525-5527
Notice of Motion filed on 01/13/14	4721
Notice of Motion filed on 01/21/14	4748
Notice of Motion filed on 01/27/14	4760
Notice of Motion filed on 02/24/14	4810
Notice of Motion filed on 03/04/14	4833
Notice of Motion filed on 06/08/15	5154-5160
Notice of Motion filed on 07/23/14	4890
Notice of Motion filed on 08/29/14	4922
Notice of Motion filed on 09/15/14	4953
Notice of Witness and/or Expert Witnesses filed on 02/03/09	0166-0167
Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed on 02/17/09	0178-0179
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/ Judgment Affirmed filed on	
02/06/15	5072-5081
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Affirmed filed on	
07/26/13	4653-4661
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on	1991
06/18/14	4866-4870
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on	1570 1010
03/12/15	5089-5093
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on	

09/28/15	5520-5524
NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Dismissed filed on 10/29/14	5062-5066
O'Keefe's Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in Another Recent Case filed on 08/13/10	1256-1265
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts filed on 02/06/09	0169-0172
Order Authorizing Contact Visit filed on 03/04/09	0219-0220
Order Authorizing Contact Visit filed on 08/12/10	1253-1254
Order Denying Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit filed on 08/13/15	5486-5488
Order Denying Defendant's Ex-Parte Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Declaring Defendant Ingigent and Granting Forma pauperis filed on 03/11/14	4840-4842
Order Denying Defendant's Motion for Relief From Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S. Court of Appeals had not Issues any Remand, Mandare or Remittatture filed on 09/04/14	4927-4929
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on 04/11/12	3434-3435
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Seal Recoreds and Defendant's Motion to Admit Evidence of Plygraph Examination filed on 05/24/12	3448-3449
Order Denying Defendant's Petition for Writ of Mandamus or in the Alternative Writ of Coram Nobis; Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Waive Filing Fees for Petition for Writ of Mandamus; Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 01/28/14	4761-4763
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Judifical Notice- The State's Failure to File and Serve Response in Opposition filed on 04/01/14	4855-4857
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition Addressing all Claims in the First Instance Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with Affidavit filed on 07/15/15	5464-5466
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on03/25/14 Order Denying Defendant's Pro Pro Maria at 1871 In Section 1881	4852-4854
Order Denying Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims When I.A.C. Claims Must be Raised Per Statute in the First Petition Pursuant to Chapter 34 filed on 07/15/15	E#21 5056
Order Denying Matthew D. Carling's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant filed on 11/19/15	5461-5463
	5574-5575
	5037-5040
Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed on 10/06/14	ΔΙΔΟ
Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed on 10/06/14 Order filed on 01/30/09	0149
Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed on 10/06/14 Order filed on 01/30/09 Order filed on 11/06/10	1462-1463
Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed on 10/06/14 Order filed on 01/30/09	

Order for Transcripts filed on 04/30/12	3442
Order Granting and Denying in Part Defendant's Ex-Parte Motion for	
Production of Documents (Specific) Papers, Pleadings, and Tangible	
Property of Defendant filed on 02/28/14	4818-4820
Order Granting Ex parte Motion for Defense Costs filed on 07/01/10	1044-1045
Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 01/20/11	2966-2967
Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 04/27/11	3043
Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 09/14/10	1430-1431
Order Granting Request for Transcripts filed on 09/16/10	1438-1439
Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, in Part, Motion by Defendant	1
O'Keefe for Discovery filed on 08/23/10	1394-1395
Order Granting, in Part, and Denying, in Part, Motion by Defendant	
O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Other Act or	
Character Evidence and Other Evidence Which is Unfairly Prejudicial or	
Would Violate his Constitutional Rights filed on 09/09/10	1427-1429
Order Granting, in Part, the State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other	3199-3200
Bad Acts filed on 03/13/12	3200
Order Releasing Medical Records filed on 04/08/11	3039-3040
Order Requiring Material Witness to Post Bail or be Committed to	2027 2040
Custody filed on 03/10/09	0230-0231
Order Shortening Time filed on 08/16/10	1283
Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or in the Alternative Writ of Coram	
Nobis filed on 12/06/13	4663-4694
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus or in the Alternative Motion to	1003-1074
Preclude Prosecution from Seeking First Degree Murder Conviction	Į.
Based Upon the Failure to Collect Evidence filed on 01/26/09	0125-0133
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive 1	0123-0133
Based On Subject-Matter of Amended Information Vested in Ninth	
Circuit by notice of Appeal Then "COA" Granted on a Double Jeopardy	
Violation with No Remand Issued Since filed on 09/15/14	4940-4949
Petitioner's Supplement with Exhibit of Oral Argument Scheduled by the	12-10-1297
Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for November 17, 2014, Courtroom #1	
filed on 10/01/14	4984-4988
Pro Se "Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Se Motion to	1207-1200
Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 03/04/14	4821-4832
roSe "Reply" to State's Opposition to Defendant's (Ex-Parte) "Motion	7021-7032
or Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Courts Declaring	
Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis" filed on 02/24/14	4792-4799
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/03/11	2761
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/12/11	2812
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/12/11	2812
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/18/11	2876
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/27/09	
Receipt of Copy filed on 01/30/09	0134
	0146
Receipt of Copy filed on 02/06/09	0168

- 22		
1	Receipt of Copy filed on 03/04/09	0221
2	Receipt of Copy filed on 03/24/09	0323
	Receipt of Copy filed on 05/24/10	1031
3	Receipt of Copy filed on 06/13/11	3163
100	Receipt of Copy filed on 06/30/10	1036
4	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1240
5	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1241
- 170	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1242
6	Receipt of Copy filed on 08/02/10	1243
7	Receipt of copy filed on 08/13/10	1255
7	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/14/10	1432
8	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/17/10	1433
_	Receipt of Copy filed on 09/21/10	1440
9	Receipt of File filed on 07/01/10	1046
10	Reply in Support of Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	1010
254.8	(Post-Conviction) filed on 08/25/15	5500-5510
11	Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post-Conviction	
100	Petition for Habeas Corpus filed on 06/16/15	5423-5432
12	Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ	
13	of Habeas Corpus filed on 08/24/15	5489-5499
	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 10/21/15	5549-5551
14	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 07/17/12	3458-3460
15	Request for Certified Transcript of Proceeding filed on 09/09/09	0772-0723
13	Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed on 05/21/09	0329-0331
16	Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 11/20/12	4629-4631
	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 01/29/09	0135-0145
17	Second Amended Information filed on 08/19/10	1326-1328
18 19	State's Opposition to Defendant's (Ex-Parte) "Motion for Reimbursement of Incidental Costs Subsequent the Courts Declaring Defendant Indigent and Granting Forma Pauperis" filed on 02/07/14	4768-4791
20	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a Reasonable Bail filed on 09/27/10	1452-1461
21 22	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Judicial Notice - The State's Failure to File and Serve the Response in Opposition filed on 03/10/14	4924 4930
35.55°	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed on 03/21/12	4834-4839
23	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State from	3407-3411
24	Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 01/12/11	2814-2871
25	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Seal Records filed on 04/05/12	3431-3433
26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress his Statements to Police, or, Alternatively, to Preclude the State from Introducing Portions	
27	of his Interrogation filed on 08/17/10	1306-1319
28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Counsel for Conflict and Failure to Present Claims When I.A.C. Claims Must be	
- 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Raised Per Statute in the First Petition Pursuant to Chapter 34 filed on 06/25/15	5442-5446
State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Leave of Court to File Motion Rule 2.4 filed on 09/12/14	4935-4939
State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Chief Judge to Reassign Case to Jurist of Reason Based on Pending Suit Against Judge Michael Villani for Proceeding in Clear "Want of Jurisdiction" Thereby Losing Immunity, Absolutely filed on 09/12/14	4930-4934
State's Opposition to Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Modify and/or Correct Illegal Sentence filed on 02/24/14	4811-4817
State's Opposition to Motion for Evidentiary Hearing on Whether the State and CCDC have Complied with their Obligations with Respect to the Recording of a Jail Visit Between O'Keefe and State Witness Cheryl Morris filed on 08/10/10	1244-1247
State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Pertaining to the Alleged Victim's Mental Health Condition and History, Including Prior Suicide Attempts, Anger Outbursts, Anger Management Therapy, Self-Mutilation and Erratic Behavior filed on 08/16/10	1277-1282
State's Opposition to Motion to Admit Evidence Showing LVMPD Homicide Detectives Have Preserved Blood/Breath Alcohol Evidence in	12/1-1282
Another Recent Case filed on 08/10/10	1248-1252
State's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and, Alternatively, to Preclude Expert and Argument Regarding Domestic Violence filed on 01/18/11	2908-2965
State's Opposition to Motion to Preclude Expert Testimony filed on 08/18/10	1320-1325
State's Response and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's Motion for Relief from Judgment Based on Lack of Jurisdiction for U.S. Court of Appeals had not Issued any Remand, Mandare or Remittature of filed on 08/07/14	4891-4902
State's Response and Motion to Dismiss to Defendant's Pro Per Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to NRS 34.360 Exclusive based on Subject-Matter of Amended Information Vested in Ninth Circuit by Notice of Appeal Then "COA" Granted on a Double jEopardy Violatio	Jiš
with No Remand Issued Since (Post Conviction), Amended Peition and Accompany Exhibits, Opposition to Request for Evidentiary Hearing, and Opposition to Pro Per Motion to Appoint Counsel filed on 10/10/14	5041-5050
State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Preclude the State from introducint at Trial Other Bad Acts or Character Evidence and Other Evidence that is Unfairly Prejudicial or Would Violate his Contitutionsal Rights filed on 08/16/10	1760 1776
State's Response to Defendant's Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or in he Alternative Writ of Coram and Response to Motion to Appoint	1268-1276
Counsel filed on 12/31/13	4708-4713
State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post-Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 06/02/15 State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Supplemental Petition for Writ	5145-5147

of Habeas Corpus and Evidentiary Hearing Request, "Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Petition Addressing all Claims in the First Instance Required by Statute for Judicial Economy with Affidavit," "Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Pro Per Post Conviction Petition for Habeas Corpus," and "Supplement with Notice Pursuant NRS 47.150(2);	
NRS 47.140(1), that the United States Supreme Court has Docketed (#14-10093) the Pretrial Habeas Corpus Matter Pursuant 28 USC 2241(c)(3) from the Mooting of Petitioner's Section 2241 Based on a Subsequent Judgment Obtained in Want of Jurisdiction While Appeal Pending" filed on 07/09/15	5455-5458
State's Response to Defendant's Reply in Support of Supplemental Post- Conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 09/03/15	5511-5516
State's Response to Defendant's Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 07/31/15	5473-5475
State's Supplemental Opposition to Motion to Seal Records filed on 04/17/12	3436-3437
Stipulation and Order filed on 02/10/09	0173-0174
Substitution of Attorney filed on 06/29/10	1034-1035
Supplement to Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 07/13/15 Supplement with Notice Pursuant NRS 47.150 (2); NRS 47.140 (1), That	5459-5460
the United State's Supreme Court has Docketed (#14-10093) The Pretrial Habeas Corpus Matter Pursuant 28 U.S.C.§ 2241 ©(3) From the Mooting of Petitioner's Section 2241 Based on a Subsequent Judgment Obtained in Want of Jurisdiction While Appeal Pending filed on 06/17/15	5433-5437
Supplemental Appendix of Exhibits to Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Exhibits One (1) Through Twenty Five (25) filed on 06/12/15	5161-5363
Supplemental Notice of Defendant's Expert Witnesses filed on 07/20/10	1117-1151
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witness filed on 05/17/12	3443-3447
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 01/03/11	2756-2760
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 08/13/10	1266-1267
Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 08/16/10	1297-1305
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 01/14/11	2872-2875
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 03/10/09	0228-0229
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 03/11/09	0237-0238
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) filed on 04/08/15	5094-5144
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 06/15/15	5364-5419
Verdict filed on 03/20/09	0289
Verdict filed on 06/15/12	3457
Verdict Submitted to the Jury but Returned Unsigned filed on 09/02/10	1397-1398
Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 01/30/09	0147-0148

-11-

TRANSCRIPTS

Document	Page No
Transcript - All Pending Motions and Calendar Call filed on 02/04/11	2996-3038
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 07/10/09	0351-0355
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 08/30/12	3461-3482
Transcript - All Pending Motions filed on 11/23/10	1464-1468
Transcript - All Pending Motions on 07/10/09	0348-0350
Transcript - Calendar Call filed on 02/04/11	2968-2973
Transcript - Calendar Call filed on 08/30/12	3520-3535
Transcript - Continued Hearing: Motion in Limine to Present Evidence of Other Bad Acts filed on 08/30/12	3483-3509
Transcript - Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) filed on 10/29/15	5560-5564
Transcript - Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Dismiss Based Upon Violation(s) filed on 08/30/12	3510-3519
Transcript - Defendant's Motion to Settle Record filed on 07/10/09	0342-0345
Transcript - Entry of Plea/Trial Setting filed on 07/10/09	0356-0358
Transcript - Jury Trail - Day 1 filed on 10/14/09	0724-1022
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 07/10/09	0582-0651
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day I filed on 07/10/09	0652-0721
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 09/04/12	4278-4622
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 1 filed on 11/23/10	1579-1602
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 07/10/09	0515-0581
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 11/23/10	1603-1615
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 2 on 09/04/12	4001-4227
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 filed on 07/10/09	0462-0514
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 filed on 11/23/10	1616-1738
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 3 on 09/04/12	3779-4000
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 filed on 07/10/09	0408-0461
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 filed on 11/23/10	1739-2032
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 4 on 09/04/12	3600-3778
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 07/10/09	0359-0407
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 09/04/12	3538-3599
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 5 filed on 11/23/10	2033-2281
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 6 filed on 11/23/10	2282-2507
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 7 filed on 11/23/10	2508-2681
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 8 filed on 11/23/10	1469-1470
Transcript - Jury Trial - Day 9 filed on 11/23/10	1471-1478
Transcript - Matthew D. Carling's Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Defendant filed on 10/29/15	
Franscript - Motions Hearing - August 17, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	5557-5559
Transcript - Motions Hearing - August 19, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	1479-1499
Transcript - Motions Hearing - August 20, 2010 filed on 11/23/10	1500-1536
- Additions recurring - Adgust 20, 2010 filed on 11/25/10	1537-1578

- 12 -

Transcript - Notice of Motion and Motion by Defendant O'Keefe to Preclude the State from Introducing at Trial Improper Evidence and Argument filed on 02/04/11	2974-2989
Transcript - Partial Transcript of the Jury Trial - Day 2 filed on 03/18/09	0240-0244
Transcript - Petrocelli Hearing filed on 05/19/11	3049-3162
Transcript - Proceedings filed on 01/02/09	0028-0124
Transcript - Sentencing August 16, 2012 filed on 12/03/12	4632-4635
Transcript - Sentencing August 28, 2012 filed on 12/03/12	4636-4652
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 07/10/09	0337-0341
Transcript - Status Check: Availability of Dr. Benjamin for Trial filed on 02/04/11	2990-2995

- 13 -

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC Englewood, CO 80110 303-798-0890

JULIE LORD, TRANSCRIBER

8/24/12

DATE



DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-250630

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. XVII

VS.

BRIAN KERRY O'KEEFE.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SENIOR JUDGE JOSEPH BONAVENTURE

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

CHRISTOPHER LALLI, ESQ.

ELIZABETH A. MERCER, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

BRIAN KERRY O'KEEFE

Pro Per

LANCE MANINGO, ESQ.

Stand by counsel for defendant

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

MICHELLE RAMSEY District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 4 2012

CLERK OF THE COURT

DECYCLOSTO
TRANS
Transcript of Proceedings
1850013

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012, 9:52 A.M. (Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel)

В

THE MARSHAL: All rise and come to order.

Department 17 of the Eighth Judicial District is now in session. The Honorable Judge Joseph Bonaventure presiding. You may be seated. Remain in order. Make sure your cell phones are turned off, please.

THE COURT: All right. This is outside the presence of the jury. Did somebody want to put something on the record?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. With all due respect, I just wanted to ensure here real quickly that my standing is -- I'm going to ask for a standing objection where we left off yesterday, so I don't have to interrupt this honorable Court, to try to save time. And I'm going to -- you're going to be very happy. We're going to move this case along. We're going to get this show on the road.

But just to protect myself, preserve myself, again, for the reason, I just want to clearly state for the record that I feel that Mr. Lalli is trumping all over my presumption of innocence. The due process; you're entitled to innocence beyond a reasonable doubt, until proven guilty. He is negatively giving an inference to the jury that a battery domestic violence has been committed.

And the law of the case of the first appeal has

clearly been established, and I'm just establishing it for the record, Your Honor. You know, again, I want to reiterate that -- Byford vs. State, the case that Mr. Lalli prosecuted, and I thought I heard you say you were on --

THE COURT: Yeah, that was my case.

MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, sir. So, you know; you're very well aware, and I'll be real brief.

The law of the case of the first appeal can only be set by the Supreme Court of Nevada. They adjudicated this issue, Your Honor, with all due respect. Your ruling, no offense — Judge Villani's prior ruling on the battery domestic violence, that they're trying to refer to the jury that happened, they've ruled it didn't happen. The evidence didn't support it beyond a reasonable doubt.

Not only that, this is the same standard of proof. It's the same level of charge.

THE COURT: Well, what didn't happen?

MR. O'KEEFE: They ruled that no unlawful act was committed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No, I think they said --

MR. O'KEEFE: Even if the charging --

THE COURT: They said --

MR. O'KEEFE: The charging document did not charge, and the evidence presented that Mr. O'Keefe committed any unlawful act, and the evidence presented at trial did not

support this theory of second degree murder, verbatim.

B

THE COURT: "This theory" means the felony. The felony. This is this theory. That's what I thought it said.

MR. O'KEEFE: No. And in the fast-track response that I put in the judicial --

THE COURT: Well, I don't care what you put in. I'm looking at the Supreme Court order and reversal. "The charging document did not allege this alternative theory," which, the instruction regarding the felony. Is that right, Mr. Lalli?

MR. LALLI: Yes, Your Honor.

any -- it just says, no evidence supported this theory. And then, the Supreme Court said here, the district court abuses discretion when instructed the jury that second degree murder includes involuntary killing that occurs in the commission of an unlawful act, because the State's charging document did not allege that O'Keefe killed the victim while he was committing an unlawful act. And the evidence presented at trial did not support this theory of second degree murder."

MR. O'KEEFE: And --

THE COURT: That's all it says, this theory about the unlawful act, and that's why they reversed it. Am I correct, or not correct?

MR. LALLI: You are correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, it's not -- it doesn't say the evidence didn't support any theory. It just says, "this theory." And that's why the -- pursuant to the instruction, they said, we're going to give you a second chance.

B

MR. O'KEEFE: And Your Honor, again, very quickly, just for preservation of the record again. If you go to instruction 2, it says, "this theory," then, quickly, Your Honor. "This theory." This theory, if you look at instruction 18, number 2, has intent, act, unlawful, knowledge. It's all the elements involved.

And in the opening statement, and during the trial, and in closing argument, the State stated it was a battery act. The law states -- and the charging document doesn't have to allege any act.

They -- what I'm trying to say, Your Honor, just for the record preservation also, trying to make sure you're advised, even the State of Nevada that did the fast-track response on the pill, said, we don't know what you're talking about. We're not even talking about no felony (sic). We're saying that we have the right to show implied malice, and that's how it would be proved, by an unlawful act.

Unlawful was the first requirement you've got to find before you even find if anybody was killed. You have to find first that it was unlawful; second, that a killing happened; and then, it happened with malice, aforethought; and

1 then, how.

Number two, when it says, "that theory," Your Honor, that theory it's -- it's equivalent to theory -- they're equal in culpability. It doesn't matter whether it's this theory, or that theory. It would equate to second degree murder in the State of Nevada.

THE COURT: So, what -- to clarify in summary, your objecting --

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay.

THE COURT: -- to Mr. Lalli doing what?

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. He keeps giving inferences to the jury that this homicide was committed during a battery. A battery — the battery act of intentional stabbing. The jury at the first trial, when Mr. Lalli wasn't here — it wasn't his problem. He wasn't around. It was Mr. Smith at that time.

He in opening statement stated, the act was an intentional stabbing. You either did, or you didn't. The jury of the [inaudible] at that time acquitted me of intentional stabbing with intent. They said, he didn't do it. We don't feel that you proved beyond a reasonable doubt, at least. Your Honor. This is just for preservation of the record.

I want you to -- they returned a second degree based on implied malice; murder. In Nevada, that is by an unlawful

act. Okay. The Supreme Court is wise. They realize what the fast-track statement was saying, and what the fast-track response was saying.

And they looked at both arguments, and they looked at the record on appeal. And they said, okay. We understand what you're saying here; we understand what you're saying here. But our job is, after reviewing the record presented at trial — and it says explicitly in the reversal order, the evidence presented at trial did not support that theory.

So, you have to go to theory [inaudible] and look at it. Then, you have to look at the facts and circumstances based on this individual case. This was nothing but a battery alleged murder. It was a single alleged battery act of the stabbing. She died, God bless her soul. They turned it into a murder. It's not a battery, on top of a battery, on top of a battery.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's you're repeating -- but you have a continuing --

MR. O'KEEFE: So --

THE COURT: -- objection for that. And Mr. Lalli, do you want to respond to this? I can't go on and on.

MR. LALLI: I understand, Your Honor. The only thing I want to point out, too, and another thing that Mr. O'Keefe has not quite right, the jury never acquitted him of an intentional killing. They acquitted him of a wilful,

deliberate, and premeditated killing, which obviously is first degree murder. Second degree murder, implied malice or expressed malice. Expressed malice specifically includes an intentional killing, which is one of the theories that's alleged in the open murder. But other than that, we've already made our record on that.

THE COURT: All right. So, I think the record is clear. Mr. O'Keefe has a continuing objection. But I'm going to allow Mr. Lalli to go into that, because I think that's the state of the law right now. All right?

MR. O'KEEFE: And for the record, thank you, Your Honor, for allowing me --

THE COURT: Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. O'KEEFE: -- to make that preservation.

THE COURT: No problem. I'll allow you to --

MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- make a record any time. You want to bring the jury in?

THE MARSHAL: Officers and members of the court, Department 17 potential jurors.

(Within the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: Everybody can sit down. All right.

This is the continuation of trial C-250630, State of Nevada

vs. Brian O'Keefe. Good morning, everybody, and thank you

25 very much for your indulgence here.

We're still in the process of selecting a jury. 1 2 once we get the jury selected, we're going to -- we're going to have to select the 12. And then, we're going to select two 3 alternate jurors, in case we have some problems down the road. 4 And then, the rest of you will be excused once we do that. 5 6 And then, we'll begin opening statements and testimony. 7 Again, thank you very much. I appreciate it. So, I think what we have to do now is the clerk will call another 8 9 prospective juror to fill seat number 5. 10 THE CLERK: That will be Deborah Leonard. THE COURT: All right. Deborah, is she here? 11 12 THE MARSHAL: She's here. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm coming. THE COURT: All right. How long have you been in 14 15 this area? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Five and-a-half years. 17 THE COURT: And where did you come from? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Ohio. THE COURT: And what did you do as far as work is 19 20 concerned in Obio? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Mostly homemaker, and I 22 had a screen printing business. 23 THE COURT: What do you do here? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Homemaker. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Are you married then?

	10
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
2	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: He's a sheet metal
4	worker.
5	THE COURT: Children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Three.
7	THE COURT: Too young to work?
8	PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 044: No.
9.	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: They work.
11	THE COURT: What do they do?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D44: One is she just
13	graduated from Le Cordon Bleu. So, she's going to try to be a
14	chef.
15	THE COURT: Great.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: And another just
17	graduated from cosmetology school. And my son is working, and
18	he's going to go back to graphic art school.
19	THE COURT: Have you ever been in the military?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
21	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with anybody in law
22	enforcement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: My father. My step-dad.
24	THE COURT: What does your father do?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: He was he's a retired
- 1	

11 I deputy sheriff. 2 THE COURT: Where? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: In Ohio. THE COURT: All right. And again, that follow up 4 question. The fact that somebody -- you know somebody, your 5 relative; you're not to give greater weight to police 6 7 officers' testimony, simply because they're a police officer. You give it the weight you deem appropriate. Do you 8 9 understand that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And have you or anyone closely 12 associated with you ever been the victim of a crime? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: We had -- in our 14 business, we had fraud. Just --15 THE COURT: Yeah. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: -- a bad check. 16 Someone 17 tried to steal from us. 18 THE COURT: Was it here in Las Vegas, or Ohio? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: In Ohio. 20 THE COURT: Did they ever prosecute the person? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well, the bank actually 21 22 found the person --23 THE COURT: All right. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: -- and settled it 25 before.

1	THE COURT, 31) which mount
	THE COURT: All right. That's not going to affect
2	your deliberation?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
4	THE COURT: And have you or anyone closely
5	associated with you ever been arrested for a crime?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: My son.
7	THE COURT: How old was he when he was arrested?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: He was 18, for stealing.
9	THE COURT: Here in town?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And what were the results of that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It was a misdemeanor
13	charge. It was just a
14	THE COURT: Was it an adult it was an adult? I
15	guess 18
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well, he you know, he
17	might have been 17.
18	THE COURT: Well, did he go to juvenile court, or
19	the regular court? Do you remember at all?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I was in Ohio. My
21	husband was here with him,
22	THE COURT: All right. All right. Well, again, it
23	was resolved, and you think he was treated fairly?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
25	THE COURT: So, that's not going to affect your

	13
1	deliberation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
3	THE COURT: And if you're picked as a juror, you can
4	follow my instructions of law?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And could you be fair in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. State,
9	questions; pass for cause?
10	MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor. Ma'am, have you
11	ever witnessed an incident of domestic violence?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I have not seen. My
13	sister is a victim.
14	MS. MERCER: Your sister is a victim of domestic
15	violence?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: She also was an abuser.
17	MS. MERCER: I'm sorry, she's also what?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: She also did some
19	abusing.
20	MS. MERCER: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It was
22	MS. MERCER: And was she a victim at the hands of
23	the same person?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
25	MS. MERCER: Is it an ongoing relationship?

	14
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No. It's over.
2	MS. MERCER: How long did the relationship last?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: About two and-a-half to
4	three years.
5	MS. MERCER: And was it abusive during the entire
6	course of the relationship?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No. Mostly like the
8	middle of the relationship, and near the end.
9	MS. MERCER: Did you how closely were you
10	living here at the time, or were you living in Ohio?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I was in Ohio. So, this
12	was probably ten years ago.
13	MS. MERCER: Okay. And were you closely involved in
14	it with your sister's life at the time?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: She would confide in me.
16	MS. MERCER: Based on what you knew, was he the one
17	that typically initiated the violence, or was it her?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: She would say things to
19	set him off.
20	MS. MERCER: Do you think that that's a legitimate
21	excuse for becoming violent?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
23	MS. MERCER: When did she finally leave the
24	relationship?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well

	15
1	MS. MERCER: In other words, what did it take to
2	finally make her leave?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I think she just got
4	they just both decided it was time to leave.
5	MS. MERCER: Do you know whether she went through
6	any counseling as she going through that domestic violence?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, she did not.
8	MS. MERCER: Is there anything about that experience
9	that would make you biased against either side in this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
11	MS. MERCER: What who violent was the abuse?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Mostly, it was a lot of
13	verbal, some pushing. He choked her once.
14	MS. MERCER: Okay. Did you ever observe any
15	injuries on her, anything like that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No. She would only tell
17	me.
18	MS. MERCER: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Questions; pass for cause,
20	Mr. O'Keefe?
21	MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. Just real brief,
22	Your Honor, [Inaudible]. [inaudible]. Do you think that
23	somebody drunk could be easily confused?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm sorry, somebody
25	what?

MR. O'KEEFE: Somebody drunk could be easily 1 2 confused? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. So then, by that answer, I 4 understand that if somebody was extremely drunk, that would 5 6 greaten it? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well, usually when they're extremely drunk, they really seem to not know what 9 they're doing at times. 10 MR. O'KEEFE: So, then --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Or remember. MR. O'KEEFE: -- that would be relevant, then, to 12 know if someone was truly proven -- the state -- the level of 13 intoxication they may possibly be under. Do you feel that's 14 15 correct? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. 17 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. Thank you. Do you feel detectives learn to possibly manipulate people when they do an 18 19 interrogation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No. I think people 20 21 might be intimidated. 22 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. Could you explain on that a 23 little bit more, expand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Like, this is a little 24 intimidating to me, so. 25

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's just, you know, some people might say things that maybe they think later on, well, that's not really what I meant to say, or something.

MR. O'KEEFE: So, human error. Sometimes, someone extremely drunk may say something right off the bat, but not, wait a minute, you didn't get that right; no, that's what you said, too late. You know what I mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.

MR. O'KEEFE: Especially under the condition of extremely drunk. Okay, great. Do you think though -- I understand detectives have a job to do, especially in a homicide situation. Let's be honest about that. But do you think that maybe they become a little more skilled and trained, and may intentionally sometimes try to trip people up? Do you just think it's possible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: You mean, like ask them a trick question?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yeah, try to set -- you know, hey, part of a -- you know, hey, part of a -- you know.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Try and get different answers from them at different times?

MR. O'KEEFE: Yeah, exactly.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: That's possible. I don't think they're trying to do it intentionally, just to

1 maybe see --

MR. O'KEEFE: But could they possibly -- some maybe -- some often, you know, [inaudible].

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I suppose, yes.

MR. O'KEEFE: And that's -- I appreciate your honesty on that. It's just a possibility.

During an interrogation by detectives, if they admit by their own paperwork or words that someone is extremely, extremely, possibly mentally distressed, extremely intoxicated, no sleep; they pass out during an interrogation, and then they come in and they wake this individual up; start answering me right now, (inaudible), do you think that's appropriate?

Or do you think that maybe they should be re-advised of what's going on? Or do you think that the police are bound by any certain conduct that they should -- hey, you're here, you know, let me read you your Miranda Rights again; do you understand what's going on? Or do you think it's fair that they can just instantly in a matter of seconds, say, answer me now, tell me. Do you think that's inappropriate for the police to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I guess it would depend on the situation.

MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. That's a fair enough answer. Thank you for that. Do you feel -- last question, that

detectives may learn through experience in years that, man, I 1 know this guy -- hypothetical situation. He's extremely 2 intoxicated, he's out of his mind. And I know, man, the law. 3 Man, if I take a test, and it shows he's .3, .4; whatever. 4 Man, I know this whole questioning is worthless. So, if I 5 6 don't do this test, we don't know affirmatively forever --7 that's destroyed. We never know what his true level of 8 intoxication was. 9 My point being -- the question is now -- I'll clarify. Do you think maybe if they know, and if it's policy, 10 11 that they should take that type of evidence and let it been seen for both sides? Yes or no? Simple answer. Should they 12 13 take that evidence? 14 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, I don't think the --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes. 16 MR. LALLI: -- juror can answer that yes or no. 17 THE COURT: Well --18 MR. LALLI: Many compound components to it. 19 MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, okay. 20 THE COURT: Yeah, it is a compound. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah, that is a big, 21 22 long question. 23 MR. O'KEEFE: Okay. What I'm trying to say is --24 it's simple. If the police know -- ma'am, simple. police know you're extremely intoxicated, you know, don't you 25

1 think you'd want to say, well, let's take a blood -- breath test, and let's see. As they say, is he under any drugs or drinking? We already admit that he's so drunk, let's find out 3 4 how drunk he is, and let it be seen. Let's share that information. Let's be fair about it. If you're in handouffs, 5 do you think they should do that? They have a duty. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's fair to do that, 7 8 yes. 9 MR. O'KEEFE: Thank you very much. No further 10 questions, Your Honor. I'll pass for cause. 11 THE COURT: All right. Now, the State can exercise it's fourth and final peremptory challenge. 23 MR. LALLI: Your Honor, the State would waive it's fourth peremptory challenge. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lalli. The -- Mr. O'Keefe, you can exercise your fourth, and this is the final 16 peremptory challenge. Of course, we're going to have two 17 18 alternate jurors. You'll have one preempt on that. MR. O'KEEFE: Okay, Your Honor. Just 30 seconds, 19 20 sir, please. 21 THE COURT: Well, take your time. I'm not rushing 22 you. 23 (Pause in the proceedings) 24 MR. O'KEEFE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for your patience. Juror number 13 in seat 11, I'd like to thank and 25

	21
1	excuse Mr. David Patton, I do believe.
2	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Patton, please report
3	back to the jury commissioner. Clerk, call another
4	prospective juror.
5	THE CLERK: Joseph McCrink.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Here.
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. McCrink, how long have
8	you been in the Las Vegas area?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Almost two years.
10	THE COURT: And where did you come from?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: San Diego. We were
12	there for five years.
13	THE COURT: And
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Originally, New Jersey.
15	THE COURT: Did you work in San Diego?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I did. I have a
17	home-based promotional items business.
18	THE COURT: And then, you moved here two years ago?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Correct, almost two
20	years ago.
21	THE COURT: And what do you do? Do you still work,
22	or not?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I do. I have the same
24:	business.
25	THE COURT: And what is it exactly, the business?

	22
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: It's promotional items.
2	It's imprinted calendars, imprinted
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: pens, that sort of
5	stuff.
6	THE COURT: All right. Are you married?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I am.
8	THE COURT: Does your wife work, or she works with
9	you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes. She works
11	separate. And she works she's a director of treasury for
12	one of the major casinos here.
13	THE COURT: Do you have children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: We don't.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever been in the military?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I haven't.
17	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with anybody in law
18	enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No. no.
20	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
21	with you ever been the victim of a crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Many, many years ago, my
23	sister it's probably close to 30 years ago. She was
24	waiting tables down in the Virgin Islands, and a couple of
25	guys were holding up the case register, and she was stabbed.

```
And it was a small knife, so fortunately, she wasn't hurt.
 1
    But that was the end of it. I don't know -- I don't think
 2
    they ever caught the guys, but --
 3
 4
              THE COURT: That was about 30 years ago?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: That was probably about
 6
    30 years --
 7
              THE COURT: And your sister's all right now?
 8
    She's --
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: She was even fine --
10
              THE COURT: Because it's very traumatic, I'm sure.
11
   All right.
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Right.
13
              THE COURT: Well, that's not going to affect your
   deliberation in this case, is it?
14
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Not at all.
16
              THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
17
   with you ever been arrested for a crime?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
19
              THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No.
21
              THE COURT: Are you going to be able to listen to my
22
    instructions of law, and follow my instructions of law?
23
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
24
             THE COURT: And you could be fair and impartial in
25
   this case as to both sides?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I believe so. I I have one -- one slight reservation, and that would just be -- and I 2 3 will certainly follow your directions, and I know the defendant has a cloak of innocence on him. But I feel as 4 though it makes it a little hazier when he's defending 5 himself, as opposed to having a professional counsel do it for 6 him, because I know he's very emotionally tied to it. 7 8 And even picking the jury, he has displayed some emotion, which I understand. But it can make it a little 9 hazier I think, just in deciphering the facts. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, Mr. Lalli 11 mentioned about, it's a constitutional right. But Mr. Lalli, 12 13 proceed. MR. LALLI: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, 14 15 Mr. McCrink. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Good morning. 16 17 MR. LALLI: How are you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Good. Thank you. 18 MR. LALLI: I guess when we see jury trials on 19 television or in the news, there's usually lawyers on both 20 sides of the courtroom. And your -- seems like you're more 21 22 comfortable in that sort of a situation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I believe I would be. 23 Yes. 24 25 MR. LALLI: Okay. Maybe if you were charged with a

crime, you would want a lawyer to represent you, as opposed to representing yourself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I would definitely have done that, yes --

MR. LALLI: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: -- for myself.

.MR. LALLI: As Judge Bonaventure indicated, and I mentioned a time or two yesterday, one of the rights that the constitution allows is, if we want to represent our self, we can do that. And the defendant, for whatever reason, has exercised that right. Will you hold that against him in any way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No, no. I won't hold it against him. I -- I think -- the best way to explain it is, I just think, you know, I know he wants the facts displayed, and you want the facts displayed. And I think if they were done in a non-emotional way, it would be a little bit, you know, clearer. But, you know, it's just a little extra work to decipher through it. That's the best way I can explain it.

MR. LALLI: Sure. And do you think you can do that?

Do you think you have the ability to decipher -- you mentioned emotion. And --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Um-hum.

MR. LALLI: -- maybe emotion's sincere; maybe it's not sincere. People have all kinds of motivations in acting

1 how they do in front of others. Do you think you've got the ability to weed through the evidence, and kind of sort out the 2 3 nonsense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Um-hum. I believe so. 4 5 I guess it's to be seen, but I believe so. MR. LALLI: Okay. So, having said all that, do you 6 think you can still be a fair juror in this case, knowing that 7 8 the defendant's representing himself? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I believe so. 10 MR. LALLI: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 045: I believe so. 11 12 MR. LALLI: You'll do the best that you can? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: 1'11 do the best I can. 13 14 MR. LALLI: All right. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes. MR. LALLI: Fair enough. I wanted to ask you about 16 your job. And I understand that you -- either you work for a 17 18 company that makes promotional items, or is it your company? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Oh, it's my company, 19 20 actually. 21 MR. LALLI: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: And you know, they don't 22 -- I don't make the promotional items. I'm more like a vendor 23 for many different manufacturers throughout the country. 24 25 MR. LALLI: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. C45: And the -- kind of a 1 2 middle man. And so, it gave me a lot of flexibility, for instance, when we were in San Diego, since I work out of the 3 home. And my wife's job was winding down there. You know, 4 5 nice way of saying she was being laid off. She at the same 6 time got a nice offer out here. And being the way the economy 7 was back a few years ago, I said, well, it might be a good 8 idea if we take it. And I'm glad we did. 9 MR. LALLI: All right. Do you -- you work out of 10 the home. Do you supervise employees? Do you have employees? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: No. just myself. 12 MR. LALLI: Have you ever had employees? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Maybe once or twice, but 13 14 it was -- they were kind of like an independent contractor. I 15 wasn't -- and they were just making a commission. 16 MR. LALLI: Do you -- do you engage of the process of sales? So, do you go visit clients, or is your work done 17 18 online? How is it that you interact with customers? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: A little of each. Over 20 the years, it's progressively gotten less personal because of the internet, and people are just busier. So, it's -- you 21 22 know, occasionally if I have to go see somebody, I'll do it, 23 but it's very rare. Years ago, I would do a lot more of that.

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

MR. LALLI: Can you explain for us your educational

24

25

background?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I have a bachelor's 2 degree in business. MR. LALLI: Was that back in New Jersey somewhere? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: That's correct. It was 5 Mont Claire State College (phonetic). MR. LALLI: How long have you been employed for 6 yourself? How long have you been doing that? 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Probably since college. 9 My father was a fire captain, and he did this business on the side, and he passed away when I was 17. And I kind of just 10 11 fell into it that way. 12 MR. LALLI: All right. And your wife is -- she does 13 accounting work, I take it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Well, treasury is 14 similar to accounting. It's in --16 MR. LALLI: What's the difference? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: -- finance. It's a little different. They kind of take the money from the 18 19 casino, and invest it in different --20 MR. LALLI: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: -- ways. And so, a 21 little more interesting than accounting, but it's a finance 22 23 department. 24 MR. IALLI: Okay. All right. What did you -- what went through your mind when you learned that this was a murder 25

case?

PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 045: Serious. That -- you know, serious about -- you know, I -- it's -- it's something you take a lot more serious than, say something -- a robbery or something, obviously. And that's the first word I can think of, I felt this was serious.

MR. LALLI: You heard Bonaventure -- or Judge Bonaventure yesterday say that it's the State's burden to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. If we don't do that, he's legally, ethically, morally entitled to a verdict of not guilty. If we fail to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, could you return a verdict of not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Absolutely.

MR. LALLI: And conversely, if we were to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that he was guilty of murder, would you convict him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes, I would.

MR. LALLI: Do you understand that the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt -- and Judge Bonaventure will instruct you if you're selected on the jury as to what that is.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Um-hum.

MR. LALLI: But that burden, beyond a reasonable doubt, is the same burden in every criminal trial. Regardless if it's a murder or robbery, a burglary, a fraud case, the

quantum of proof is the same. Do you understand that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I do now. I've never been on a jury. I didn't know if there was -- the definition 3 4 would be a little slightly different --5 MR. LALLI: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: -- for a murder case. 6 7 But I was waiting for the Judge, if I --8 MR. LALLI: All right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: -- got that far, to tell 9 10 me. 11 MR. LALLI: So, just because it's a murder case doesn't mean the burden of proof is any higher; it's the same? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I comprehend, yes. 13 14 MR. LALLI: Okay. Now, do you -- do you have any 15 personal experience with domestic violence? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: None. 17 MR. LALLI: Do you believe that domestic violence is 18 an important issue in our community? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes. 20 MR. LALLI: Why? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Well, I -- I don't like 22 anybody to be abused in any way. So, I think it's very 23 important, yes. MR. LALLI: Do you -- some people believe -- and 24 again. I apologize for repeating this kind of from yesterday. 25

Some people have the opinion that what happens between a man and a woman, or domestic partners, whatever it is, in the home, is a private matter, and ought to stay there. The government shouldn't involve itself in that. Do you believe that, or do you think there are times when the government needs to get involved with what happens in the home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: The latter. I think they definitely should get involved. I think sometimes people are emotionally beaten down, where, you know, they don't even know how to defend themselves anymore. So, I would be all for them getting involved.

MR. LALLI: Do you have any experience in dealing with people who are mentally ill, or do you have any experience in knowing people who are mentally ill?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Well, my mother, who died last November, had Alzheimer's disease. And you know, obviously, she was fine before she had the disease. So, but it's certainly a mental illness, and a very serious one that she died from.

MR. LALLI: Sure. Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Besides that, my wife, maybe many, many years ago had a little bout of depression, and got over it. And you know, I think it was just a down point in her life.

MR. LALLI: Understood.

that would be about it.

MR. LALLI: Did she seek professional help for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I think just a regular doctor, and she might have just temporarily taken an antidepressant. And you know, I think it was just a low point, and she got off them. And it was — that was quite a few years ago, too.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: You know, but I think

MR. LALLI: All right. She was able to see a doctor, get some medication, and that medication helped kind of bring her back to where she should be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I think it did help, yes.

MR. LALLI: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.

MR. LALLI: During that period of time when she was suffering from depression, would you classify her as maybe being vulnerable in some respects?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.

MR. LALLI: How so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Just -- I would say more sensitive. And just -- at that time, I would also say just harder for her to cope with things in general, because she was feeling low.

MR. LALLI: All right. Do you think you can be fair

	33
1	to Mr. O'Keefe and to the State?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I'll do my best. I
3	believe I can, yes.
4	MR. LALLI: Great. Thank you. Your Honor, we'll
5	pass for cause;
6	THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe, questions; pass for cause?
7	MR. O'KEEFE: I like him. No questions, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Pass for cause?
9	MR. O'KEEFE: Pass for cause.
10	THE COURT: All right. All right. Let's call two
11	potential alternate jurors. And the first juror that's
12	called, go on the top seat, right next to Mr. Derfelt, right?
13	Yeah. And then, the second one, sit at the bottom there. All
14	right. Alternate Juror number 1?
15	THE CLERK: Manhas Madan.
16	THE COURT: And Alternate Juror number 2 on the
17	bottom? And they're going to stay, alternate 1 and 2, in that
18	respect, all right?
19	THE CLERK: Justin Johnson.
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Madam, how long have you
21	been in this area?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: One and-a-half years.
23	THE COURT: And where did you come from?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: India.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Did you come right from India a

```
year and-a-half ago, or did you live in another state?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I come from India. I
 2
    don't -- what did you say?
 3
 4
              THE COURT: Who? I'm sorry.
 5
              UNKNOWN MALE SPEAKER: He doesn't speak very --
   English very well.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. You should have heard my father.
 7
    Right from Sicily. Well, any objection -- perhaps if he's --
 8
 9
    you know, in another --
10
              MR. LALLI: No, Your Honor,
11
              THE COURT: -- area, he's --
12
              MR. LALLI: No objection.
13
              THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. O'Keefe, if we excuse
14
    him?
15
              MR. O'KEEFE: No. Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Then why don't you go back
   to the jury commissioner, and tell them I excused you. And
17
   this is still Alternate Juror number 1. We'll call another
   prospective Alternate Juror number 1.
19
20
              THE CLERK: Alexis Byrd.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Ms. Byrd, how long have you
22
   been in this area?
             PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: For about like five or
23
24
   six years.
25
             THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
```

	35
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I play basketball at a
2	university.
3	THE COURT: You go to a university?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: And you're a student at the university?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah. And with that, I
7	start summer school like next week, but I'm supposed to be
8	going out of town this week.
9	THE COURT: Well, I'm very
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: So, I'm just letting you
11	know up front.
12	THE COURT: I'm very soft-hearted for students,
13	because I like education and everything. So, any objection if
14	we excuse her?
15	MR. LALLI: No. Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Mr
17	MR. O'KEEFE: No. Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Go tell the jury
19	commissioner I excused you.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Okay.
21	THE COURT: We'll call another potential Alternate
22	Juror number 1.
23	THE CLERK: Eric Mikuski.
24	THE COURT: Eric, how long you been in this area?
25	PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 053: About 13 years.

	36
1	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I am a student at the
3	University of Wisconsin, Medicine.
4	THE COURT: So, you're on summer break?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
б	THE COURT: So, you could you're all right. And
7	you just your parents live here, or?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
9	THE COURT: And what do they do for a living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: My mother's a secretary
11	at Nellis Air Force Base. And well, my father lives in
12	Tennessee.
13	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are you married at
14	all?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
16	THE COURT: Children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
18	THE COURT: Never been in the military?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
20	THE COURT: And are you acquainted with anybody in
21	law enforcement?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
23	THE COURT: And have you ever you or anyone
24	closely associated ever been a victim of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: My house was broken into

	3.7
1	a couple of years ago, but
2	THE COURT: Here in town, or in
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: In Las Vegas.
4	THE COURT: Did they catch the individual?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
б	THE COURT: All right. That's not going to affect
7	your deliberation here, right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053; No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What about, you or anyone closely
10	associated been arrested for a crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
12	THE COURT: You ever served on a jury before?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
14	THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair in this
15	case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18	MS. MERCER: Thank you, Your Honor. What are you
19	studying in school?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I'm studying journalism,
21	with an emphasis in public relations.
22	MS. MERCER: And what year are you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I just finished my
24	freshman year.
25	MS. MERCER: So, you'll be a sophomore next year?

	(2973)
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
2	MS. MERCER: And you said your father lives in
3	Tennessee. What does he do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: He works as security on
5	merchant ships fighting, you know, pirates.
6	MS. MERCER: Okay. So, he doesn't he's out at
7	sea most of the time?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: He's out at sea right
9	now, he's in the States. But yes, he works out in sea.
10	MS. MERCER: Okay. Have you ever witnessed an
11	incident of domestic violence?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
13	MS. MERCER: Do you know anybody close to you that's
14	been a victim of domestic violence?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
16	MS. MERCER: Do you have any strong feelings about
17	it, one way or another?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Not particularly.
19	MS. MERCER: Is there anything that would prevent
20	you from being fair and impartial to both sides in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
22	MS. MERCER: Pass for cause, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe, questions; pass for cause?
24	MR. O'KEEFE: No questions, Your Honor. Pass for
25	cause.

	ود
1	THE COURT: Pass for and is it Justin?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: How long have you been in this area?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: 16 years.
5	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm an assistant dairy
7	manager at Smith's.
8	THE COURT: All right. Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
10	THE COURT: Children? Why does everybody
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Oh, no.
12	THE COURT: say no?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Because I'm young. Why
14	else?
15	THE COURT: That's fine. I mean, I you should
16	sew your wild oats, I guess. You don't have any children?
17	Never been in the military?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. sir.
19	THE COURT: And have you or are you acquainted
20	with anybody in law enforcement?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. sir.
22	THE COURT: And have you or anyone closely
23	associated with you ever been a victim of a crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, sir. I've gotten
25	speeding tickets though.

```
THE COURT: Well, so, were you ever arrested for
 1
 2
    that? Or you just got a ticket, and you --
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 048: No, just got a ticket.
 4
              THE COURT: And that's not going to affect --
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Almost got arrested,
 6
    but.
 7
              THE COURT: That's not going to affect your
 8
    deliberation?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
              THE COURT: You think you were treated fairly by the
10
    police because you got a ticket, right?
11
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm sorry?
13
              THE COURT: Do you think you were treated fairly by
14
    the police?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah, I was going way
16
    too fast.
17
              THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. sir.
19
              THE COURT: Could you be fair in this case?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Try my best.
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lalli?
21
22
              MR. LALLI: Thank you. Mr. Johnson, do you
23
    supervise other employees as an assistant dairy manager?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. I'm sorry. I
25
    forgot. When I said my occupation, I'm also a full-time
```

student. Sorry, I forgot to add that in. No, I do not. 1 2 MR. LALLI: Where do you attend school? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Nevada State College. 4 MR. LALLI: Are you enrolled in the summer term? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. Currently I'm on 5 summer vacation. 7 MR. LALLI: Okay. And I take it being on this jury 8 for the rest of the week, maybe into the Monday of next week, 9 wouldn't interfere with any of your school work? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Well, right now, I'm preparing for the summer term. Also during the summer, I 11 12 really work hard to raise funds for my college sessions in 13 spring and fall. And you know, so this is right now -- as far as sitting on this trial yesterday and today, it's hurting me 14 financially for school, along with my single mother, who I 15 16 live with; along with my 69 year-old grandmother, so. 17 THE COURT: All right. Any objection we let him go do his school work, Mr. O'Keefe? Do you have any objection? 18 19 MR. O'KEEFE: No, Your Honor. No objection. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Lalli? MR. LALLI: I don't object, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Report back to the jury 23 commissioner. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Thank you, sir. 25 THE COURT: The clerk will call another prospective

1 Alternate Juror number 2. 2 THE CLERK: Krista Francisen. 3 THE COURT: All right. How long have you been in 4 this area? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: About three years. 6 THE COURT: Where did you come from? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Kentucky, for about 15 8 years there. 9 THE COURT: Did you go to school there? Did you 10 work? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yeah. I'm a full-time student here in Nevada, and I work as a CNA at a hospital. 12 13 THE COURT: You're off from school right now? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yeah, just working overtime at work to help pay for my next year's -- next 16 semester's tuition. 17 THE COURT: You must have heard what he had to say, 18 huh? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's not a pretty --19 20 it's basically a pretty penny. 21 THE COURT: What? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's a pretty penny 22 trying to pay for nursing school, with the tuition and the 23 24 books. 25 THE COURT: So, you want to be excused because of --

```
you need that money for school?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Well, yeah.
 2
    scheduled to work the rest of this week, so I kind of --
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
 5
              MR. LALLI: No, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 7
              MR. O'KEEFE: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Report back to the jury
 8
    commissioner. Clerk, call another prospective juror number 2.
 9
10
              THE CLERK: Gilbert Pacheco -- Pacheco.
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Pacheco.
12
              THE COURT: Do you go to college or high school, or
13
    anything?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: What's that?
15
              THE COURT: Do you go to college or high school?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. sir.
16
              THE COURT: How long have you been in this area?
17
18
   What do you got these micro things on for?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm kind of hard at
20
   hearing.
21
              THE COURT: Could you hear what's being said? I
22
   mean, do you --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, these helped a
23
24 little bit. But they start to hurt my ears after having them
25
   on so long.
```

	44
1	THE COURT: I think we need somebody to hear. Any
2	objection we excuse him?
3	MR. LALLI: I'm sorry? No. Yes.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: What's that?
5	MR. LALLI: No objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Mr. O'Keefe he's a little hard at
7	hearing, Mr. O'Keefe. Any objection we excuse him?
6	MR. O'KEEFE: No, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Report back to the jury
10	commissioner.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: Okay, Another prospective juror number
13	2 or alternate number 2?
14	THE CLERK: Patricia Stewart.
15	THE COURT: What are those things on your ears?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Because I have a cold,
17	and my ears are clogged.
18	THE COURT: What?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have a cold, and my
20	ears
21	THE COURT: All right. Any objection we excuse her
22	to get rid of her cold?
23	MR. LALLI: No, Your Honor.
24	MR. O'KEEFE: No. Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right, Go ahead. That's it, My

	46
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D62: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you ever been in the military?
3	PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 062: No.
4	THE COURT: Are you acquainted with anybody in law
5	enforcement?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Who's that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. D62: I have a niece and her
9	husband, who are state [inaudible].
10	THE COURT: Here in town?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
12	THE COURT: The fact that you have some relatives in
13	law enforcement, that's not going to affect your deliberation,
14	is it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
16	THE COURT: And you understand you're not to give
17	greater weight or lesser weight to a police officer's
18	testimony, simply because they're a police officer. You give
19	it the weight you deem necessary, you understand?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever been in the military? I
22	think I
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
25	with you ever been the victim of a crime?

		41
3	PROSPECTIVE ;	TUROR NO. 062: No.
2	THE COURT: (Or arrested for a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE C	JUROR NO. 062: No.
4	THE COURT: Y	ou ever serve on a jury?
5	PROSPECTIVE J	ЛUROR NO. 062: Yes.
6.	THE COURT: F	Now many times?
7	PROSPECTIVE J	JUROR NO. 062: Once.
8	THE COURT: H	low long ago?
9	PROSPECTIVE 3	TUROR NO. 062: At least ten years ago.
10	THE COURT: H	Here in town?
11	PROSPECTIVE J	TUROR NO. 062: Yes.
12	THE COURT: W	Was it a criminal, or civil case?
13	PROSPECTIVE J	TUROR NO. 062: Civil.
14	THE COURT: Y	ou were picked as a juror?
15	PROSPECTIVE J	TUROR NO. 062: Yes.
16	THE COURT: D	oid you deliberate the case?
17	PROSPECTIVE J	TUROR NO. 062; Yes.
18	THE COURT: W	Pere you picked sometimes, you don't
19	deliberate it. It goes	away without deliberation.
20	PROSPECTIVE J	TUROR NO. 062: No, we had to
21	deliberate.	
22	THE COURT: A	nd were you picked as foreperson?
23	PROSPECTIVE J	UROR NO. 062: No.
24	THE COURT: W	ithout telling me what the verdict was,
25	did you reach a verdict	5

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
2	THE COURT: And could you be fair in this case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
4	THE COURT: All right, Thank you.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: You're welcome.
6	THE COURT: Questions, pass for cause?
7	MR. LALLI: We're fighting over who gets to take
8	you, Ms. Billich. How are you this morning?
9	PROSPECTIVE JURGE NO. 062: I'm good.
10	MR. LALLI: As an alternate, you're in the
11	unfortunate position of maybe not actually deliberating with
12	the rest of the jury if that happens. And the temptation is
13	maybe to say, well, I don't have to pay as I'm not saying
14	you would do this. But some people would say, hey, I don't
15	have to pay as close of attention because I'm not really in
16	the game here. Do you understand what I'm saying?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
18	MR. LALLI: Is that a concern that we should have
19	with you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
21	MR. LALLI: All right. Do you have any experience
22	with domestic violence?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: None.
24	MR. LALLI: All right. Have you ever encountered
25	anyone who's suffered from mental illness?

3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No. 2 MR. LALLI: If we convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty, would you convict him? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes. 5 MR. LALLI: Thank you. Your Honor, I'll pass for 6 cause. 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 MR. O'KEEFE: No questions, Your Honor. I'd pass 9 for cause. 10 THE COURT: All right. Any preempt on either one of 11 these alternate jurors? 12 MR. LALLI: No, Your Honor. We'll waive it. 13 THE COURT: You'll waive it? Any preempt? 14 MR. O'KEEFE: I'll waive mine. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. O'Keefe. Well, that constitutes the jury now. All right? Before I excuse the 16 17 jury, everything's all right? Okay. All right. Behind the railing, thank you so much. Please report back to the jury 18 19 commissioner. 20 I'm going to ask the clerk to swear in the jury. Do 21 you swear in the alternates separate, or not? All at the same 22 time? 23 THE CLERK: All at the same time. 24 THE COURT: Please remain -- stand up, raise your 25 right hand, and be sworn by the clerk.