

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRIAN KERRY O'KEEFE,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 69036

**FILED**

SEP 01 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

Appellant has filed, in pro se, a "Filing for Judicial Notice, In the Alternative, 'Substantial Justice.'" The document requests that this court take judicial notice of approximately 24 documents contained in appellant's "Judicial Notice Appendix." Because appellant seeks relief from this court, we elect to treat the document as a motion for judicial notice.<sup>1</sup> See NRAP 27(a)(1) (applications for an order or other relief should generally be made by motion). No cause appearing, the motion is denied.<sup>2</sup> See, e.g., *Mack v. Estate of Mack*, 125 Nev. 80, 91, 206 P.3d 98, 106 (2009).

---


<sup>1</sup>To the extent the document makes additional argument regarding the merits of this appeal or the pending petition for rehearing, appellant has not been granted leave to file additional briefing and we decline to grant such leave. Accordingly, we will not consider any argument relating to the merits of this appeal or the pending petition for rehearing.

<sup>2</sup>We note that many of the documents included in the "Judicial Notice Appendix" are already included in the record on appeal.

The clerk shall strike the "Judicial Notice Appendix" filed on August 22, 2106.

Although appellant's motion was filed, we remind appellant that motions are limited to 10 pages. NRAP 27(d)(2). The clerk shall return, unfiled, any future motions that exceed this limitation.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Brian Kerry O'Keefe  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney