IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE MATTER OF:) SUPREME COURT NO. 69047
AAMIYAH DE'NASIA LAMB AND CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. Minors.	District Court No. D-11-446967-R Electronically Filed Feb 04 2016 02:43 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
KEAUNDRA DEBERRY,	.)))
Appellant,))
vs.)
CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES,)))
Respondents.	, ,)

CO-APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME 3

DAVID M. SCHIECK #0824
DEANNA MOLINAR #12045
Clark County Special Public Defender
330 S. Third St. Suite 800
Las Vegas, NV 89155
(702) 455-6266
CHRISTAL DIXON #09009
725 E. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, NV 89104
(702) 386-1070
ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLANT

STEVEN WOLFSON #01565 RONALD CORDES #4955 Clark County District Attorney 601 North Pecos Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-5320 ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

VOLUME	PLEADING	PAGE NO.
1	Affidavit for Service by Publication (5/26/11)	109-122
1	Affidavit of Service by Certified Mailing (6/15/11) 139
1	Affidavit of Publication (7/5/11)	140
3	Case Appeal Statement (5/28/13)	580-582
6	Court Minutes D-11-446967-R	1068-1077
6	Court Minutes J-10-319959-P1	1078-1089
1	Court Order (2/4/11)	8
3	Decision (TPR trial1/23/12-3/15/13)	565-570
6	Decision on Remand (9/21/15)	1058-1064
1	Department of Family Services Confidential Repo (lodged not file date of report 2/22/11)	ort 29-51
1	Department of Family Services Confidential Repo (Case plan) (lodged not filed date of report 3/15/1	ort 1) 72-79
1	Department of Family Services Confidential Repo (lodged not filed date of report 4/25/11)	ort 93-100
1	Department of Family Services Confidential Report (lodged not filed date of report 9/14/11)	
1	Ex Parte Motion for Typewritten Transcript; CD; or Memory Stick for the Purpose of Filing an Objet (3/23/11)	
4	Ex Parte Order Re: Representation (1/28/15)	789-790

1	Findings and Order of Reasonable Efforts to Prevent Removal (6/18/10)
1	Findings of Fact, Recommendation, and Order of Approval - Petition No. 1 (2/24/11)
1	Findings of Fact, Recommendation, and Order of Approval - Petition No. 1 (3/1/11)
6	Joint Notice of Appeal (10/23/15) 1066-1067
4	Judgment Supreme Court No. 63311 (12/11/14) 766-776
4	Motion for Visitation, Motion to Appoint CAP for Subject Minors and Motion to Change Permanency Plan to Reunification (11/21/14)
1	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record (6/11/12) 173-7
3	Notice of Appeal (5/28/13) 576-577
3	Notice of Appeal (6/4/13) 578-579
4	Notice of Appearance (1/23/15) 788
3	Notice of Entry of Order (4/30/13)
4	Notice of Entry of Order (1/29/15) 791-794
6	Notice of Entry of Order (Decision on Remand) (9/22/15)
1	Notice of Hearing to Terminate Parental Rights (6/10/11)
1	Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing (1/11/12) 146-147
3	Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing (1/2/13) 562-563

1	Objection to Masters Findings of Fact, Recommendation and Order of Approval - Petition 1 (2/18/11)
4	Opposition to Keaundra Deberry's Motion for Visitation, Motion to Appoint CAP for Subject Minors, and Motion to Change Permanency Plan to Reunification (12/24/14)
1	Opposition to Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation (4/11/11)
1	Order Appointing Counsel (7/11/12)
1	Order Denying Objection to Masters Finding of Fact, Recommendation and Order of Approval - Petition #1
1	Order for Confirmation of Appointment of Counsel (6/22/10)
1	Order for Publication of Notice (6/2/11) 123-124
1	Order Shortening Time (6/28/12)
1	Out-of-Home Placement Order - Unlicensed Relative (3/29/11)
1	Out-of-Home Placement Order - Unlicensed Relative (6/6/11)
1	Out-of-Home Placement Order - Unlicensed Relative (9/30/11)
1	Out-of-Home Placement Order - Unlicensed Relative (3/30/12)
3	Out-of-Home Placement Order - Licensed Foster Home (5/2/13) 571-575

1	Petition - Abuse/Neglect (5/13/10) 1-3
1	Petition to Terminate Parental Rights D-11-446967-R (5/24/11)
1	Pretrial Memorandum Pursuant to EDCR 7.27 (11/2/12)
3	Receipt of Copy (12/6/12) 561
1	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (3/7/12)
1	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (4/11/12)
1	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (9/26/12)
3	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (8/30/13) 583-620
4	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (3/3/14)
4	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (8/28/14)
5	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (2/27/15)
5	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (3/4/15)
6	Report for Permanency and Placement Review (8/28/15)
1	Request for Order Shortening Time (6/25/12) 178-181

1	Stipulation and Order (4/5/12) 162-16	4
1	Stipulation and Order to Continue the Termination of Parental Rights Petition (2/1/12)	9
2	Transcripts Trial Volume III for hearing on November 2, 2012 Pages 71-256 (7/29/13) 203-38	8
3	Transcripts Trial Volume III for hearing on November 2, 2012 Pages 257-335 (7/29/13)	7
3	Transcripts Trial Volume IV for hearing on March 15, 2013 (7/29/13)	0
5	Transcripts Trial Day One for hearing on March 10, 2015 (10/29/15)	1
6	Transcripts Trial Day Two for hearing on March 25, 2015 (10/29/15)	8
1	Trial exhibits case J-10-319959-P1 (unfiled, Trial Date 2/4/11)	:0
1	Trial exhibits case D-11-446967-R (unfiled, Trial Date 11/2/12)	12

1	testimony, I may need to call a rebuttal witness relative to
2	the domestic violence issue.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	THE MARSHAL: Raise your right hand.
5	THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are
6	about to give in this action shall be the truth, the whole
7	truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
8	MS. DOUGLAS: Yes, I do.
9	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state your name for the
10	record.
11	MS. DOUGLAS: Michelle Douglas.
12	` THE CLERK: Thank you.
13	MS. DOUGLAS: You're welcome.
14	MICHELLE DOUGLAS
14 15	MICHELLE DOUGLAS having been called as a witness by The State and being first
15	having been called as a witness by The State and being first
15 16	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
15 16 17	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
15 16 17 18	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CORDES:
15 16 17 18 19	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CORDES: Q Michelle, will you spell your last name for the
15 16 17 18 19 20	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CORDES: Q Michelle, will you spell your last name for the record?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	having been called as a witness by The State and being first duly sworn, testifies as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CORDES: Q Michelle, will you spell your last name for the record? A D-o-u-g-l-a-s.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 12

13

14

15

- 16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

- A Nine years.
- Q And in what capacity are you employed with the Department of Family Services?
 - A I am a supervisor.
- Q And as a supervisor with the Department of Family Services are you responsible for the Christopher Bynum and Aamiyah Lamb case?
 - A Yes, I am.
- Q In your capacity as a supervisor what are your responsibilities for this specific case?

A Well, I'm there to monitor the case plan. I'm there to take a look and read any type of assessments that will come in and try to match what the assessment says to services that would be appropriate to help the individual. Our stated goals are to reunify and put families back together if it can be safely done and appropriate. I provide supervision to the caseworker, and I will also work directly with the client depending upon what type of services the client needs. If the client would like to speak to me, I'm available. I attend Child and Family Team meetings. I attend meeting of professionals. I also consult with providers to make sure that a client is getting what they need or if a client can articulate exactly what they need I will be able to assist

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q All right. So in reviewing the file maintained by the Department of Family Services for this case, did it reflect that Aamiyah and Christopher were placed into protective custody in May of 2010?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Okay. To your knowledge, has there ever been a return of those children to any parental figure?
 - A Not to my knowledge.
- Q In your management of this case, were case plans developed for Ms. DeBerry with objectives for her to be reunified with the children?
 - A That is correct.
- Q So as it relates to Ms. DeBerry's case plan, it's my understanding that that was approved by The Court in or about March of 2011. Does that sound about right?
 - A Correct.
- MS. SIMPKINS: Objection, Your Honor. The problem is I've got a case plan in March of 2011. It's signed by a different supervisor, not by Ms. Jordan (sic). And so I'm objecting on the basis of hearsay.
- MR. CORDES: Well, it's already been admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Is it in here?

this case in November of 2011. Upon receiving the North Unit

24

1	at that time I did go through all cases, and I did review all
2	case plans.
3	Q Okay.
4	A I did, in fact, go through the case plan with the
5	mom, telephonically and in-person later and date.
6	Q All right. So when you first went over the case
7	plan with Ms. DeBerry, was that telephonically or in the face-
8	to-face meeting?
9	A That was telephonically.
10	MS. SIMPKINS: Objection, foundation as to when.
11	MR. CORDES: All right.
12	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed
13	MR. CORDES: I will get there, if I may. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: with your foundation questions.
15	BY MR. CORDES:
16	Q All right. So you became the supervisor November
17	2011. Do you recall when you may have had that telephone cal
18	or discuss this telephonically with Ms. DeBerry?
19	A Yes. That's going in to like May of 2012 when Ms.
20	Jordan, the actual caseworker, went out on medical leave.
21	Q Okay. And do you recall when you may have had the
22	face-to-face meeting with Ms. DeBerry to go over the case
23	plan?
24	A Yes. The face-to-face meeting I cannot recall the

1	actual date, but it was the date that I actually located Ms.
2	Fortune as an appropriate, um, counselor for Ms. DeBerry while
3	I was sitting in the office with her previous attorney and the
4	District Attorney.
5	Q And
6	A So I would say May of 2012 if it goes along with
7	what we were saying accordingly.
8	Q Okay. All right. And in discussing the case plan
9	objectives with Ms. DeBerry, did you go over the component
10	relative to the injury that Christopher had sustained?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What do you recall discussing with Ms. DeBerry
13	during your telephonic review of the case plan in or about May
14	of 2012?
15	A Well, I will say that the conversation began as
16	hostile. It was very difficult at the time to speak to Ms.
17	DeBerry.
18	MS. SIMPKINS: Objection, non-responsive.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
20	MR. CORDES: If I may be heard, it is responsive. I
21	asked her what does she recall from the conversation.
22	MS. SIMPKINS: No, you asked her what she talked about.
23	THE COURT: Relative to
24	MR. CORDES: The discussion of the case plan.

- 22

23

24

THE COURT: Well, it was actually relative to the discussion of a specific portion of the case plan having to do with abuse.

MR. CORDES: Correct.

THE COURT: Just read -- well, start over.

MR. CORDES: All right, I'm sorry.

BY MR. CORDES:

Q During the telephonic discussion with Ms. DeBerry in or about May of 2012 regarding her case plan, what do you recall?

A I recall that it was very difficult to speak with Ms. DeBerry. But I tried to focus on the report given to us by Doc -- by the psychologist, Pharr -- the counselor, Pharr, to explain to Ms. DeBerry that the illusion that it gave was that it was not actual therapy. And that it was more like a topic discussion session where she went in to her therapist and said, I need a definition for abuse, I need a definition for physical abuse, let's talk about a definition for appropriate parenting, let's talk about a definition for, um, an estranged relationship. So it was more, you give a topic, you give a definition and the session was over. In fact, if you look at the actual written report, it reflects that. When I could finally talk to Ms. DeBerry and it was after about three or four phone calls, we finally got to an understanding

of what that looked like and what the Department was needing and what, in fact, Hearing Master Femiano had requested of her. So my goal at that time was a, to help her assimilate back where she was in North Carol -- in North Carolina so that she could find an appropriate therapist, okay, that we could take what she did and give her credit for that. But now we needed to take it a step further. So, okay, you start class, you get a syllabus and you define the problems. And now we need to dig deep into the problems. And I do not believe that that had happened. So that is why I found Ms. Fortune so that she could, in fact, take what she had learned in Ms. Pharr, giving her some credit for that piece, but now it was time to swim in the pool --

Q Okay.

A -- and dig deep into the definitions and why we were having her do the type of in-depth soul searching as a parent.

- Q When you spoke to Ms. DeBerry did she ever tell you that she had actually physically abused Christopher Jr.?
 - A No.
- Q What was Ms. DeBerry's explanation for Christopher Jr.'s injuries when you spoke to her in May of 2012?
 - A That her son kissed an iron.
- Q How about during the face-to-face meeting when you again went over the case plan with her? What was her

The case plan uses terms, articulate the sequences of events which resulted in the physical abuse. Has Ms. DeBerry ever provided a sequence of events that led to the injury sustained by Christopher Jr.?

Α No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The case plan for Ms. DeBerry indicates that she needs to address the precipitating risk facts, triggers, sequence of events that led to the physical abuse and participate in any kind of safety planning that may develop To your knowledge, has Ms. DeBerry ever from there. accomplished that perspective of her case plan?

No. Α

As long as she persists in the explanation that Q Christopher Jr. caused this injury to himself, will the Department of Family Services ever be able to safety plan the return of the children to her care?

Α No.

During the time you've been responsible for 0

That is correct.

Do you remember what month of 2012 it may have taken

I want to say August, but I'm not sure. It was the last time Ms. DeBerry actually physically flew here.

And when you had the discussion with Ms. DeBerry relative to her fear and power and control with Mr. Bynum, Sr., did you make any recommendations?

And what recommendations did you make?

That she explore why That she continue in therapy. she, in fact, picks men of that nature. We talked about the fact that she met this man, really didn't know this man, became pregnant within three months of their relationship, had no idea of his past criminal history, really didn't know anything about his past at all, and what -- where it led up to It was actually a very heartfelt conversation. Um...

Okay. Did Ms. DeBerry disclose any domestic

Correct.

Okay. What did she disclose about the domestic

She did not disclose physical abuse but more of the power and control. We talked about that on numerous

18

17

20

19

2122

23

24

occasions. We talked about being belittled. We talked about a weight issue. We talked about him kind of being the empowered person in the home, dictating to her what goes on, made her kind of frazzled. We even talked to her -- talked on a few occasions about the fact that now that he was gone and he was in jail, how empowered she is and how wonderful she looked and how much different her attitude is. Because prior to her actually coming here and speaking to me, it was always an offensive conversation. When she came here, Michelle Jordan was so happy to bring her to me and introduce me to this changed person and how she was quiet, she was reserved, she was intact, she was articulate. Um, she was -- I don't want to say remorseful, but just very, very humble and kind. And that's what we see -- we see today. So it was very difficult for me to understand what had just occurred two weeks prior over the phone. It was like two different people. Um, so yeah.

Q Okay. And so in making referrals, how were you able to locate Ms. Fortune?

A Well, in having a discussion over and over, we talked a little bit and Ms. DeBerry said that she really didn't understand why she needed another therapist. Number two, after talking about adding the -- talking about the DV, talking about the self-esteem, talking about PTSD, talking

. 16

15

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

about trauma, talking about all the different things that led up to this that was going on in her life including the issue with this co-worker and we talked about the boundaries there which she had acknowledged absolutely that those were taken, you know -- done incorrectly from her being a -- a supervisor and a subordinate. You know, to get into a therapy where she felt comfortable, where she could go in and interview. -- and so looking at that in a very rural area we weren't sure. So we were in -- I was in a meeting. Ms. DeBerry was on the telephone. I was with her, her former attorney and the District Attorney, and just went on my cell phone basically and found Ms. Fortune. And so it was wonderful to hear that it was a great match. But I did that. Um, there was no -- no observed or no reported effort on Ms. DeBerry's part to do the And it was pretty quick and I'm -- was glad that there was --

- Q Okay.
- A -- a relationship.
- Q As a result of finding Ms. Fortune for Ms. DeBerry, did the Department of Family Services put together any kind of referral packet or identify the circumstances of the case so that Ms. Fortune would have an understanding of why the counseling was necessary?
 - A I don't know if it was written, but I do know that

Ms. Jordan did have a conversation with Ms. Fortune as to make it so that it was a mutual report. So we had a self report from our client and also a collateral report where the Department would call in and speak to the therapist to make sure that the things that they are working on are correct, and that we didn't get the same type of definition -- you know, fact, definition type of report or assessment that she got at her other -- at the other therapist.

Q Okay. Where are the children currently placed by the Department of Family Services?

A They are placed with the maternal grandmother,

Bonita and her husband and they're -- in the -- on the Air

Force Base in placement.

Q And pursuant to that placement is there any kind of report from the state of Louisiana, ICPC or I'm not sure because they're on a base, if some other organization is reporting the welfare of the children.

A Absolutely. There's always an ICPC report and we make contact with the ICPC worker every thirty days. We have phone -- and the norm is to get a quarterly written report.

Q Okay. And just so the record's clear, what does ICPC stand for?

A It's an interstate compact. And what it is, is an agreement that our state prepares. They work through a common

23

24

cell in Carson City and we ask for supervision so that children may go live with relatives out of state. And when we cannot comfortably provide an answer if they're safe or not, the -- the supervising state will go out and do the work of a social worker and report back to us.

- Q Okay. And so are those quarterly reports received in this matter for these children?
 - A I believe so.
- Q Based on the length of time that the children have been in care, has the Department of Family Services identified a permanency plan for these two children?
 - A That is correct.
 - Q What is the permanency plan?
- A It's adoption by the maternal grandmother and grandfather.
- Q And do you have an opinion as to whether or not that would be in the children's best interest?
 - A I do.
 - Q And what is that opinion based upon?
- A That at this -- at this juncture it is in the children's best -- best interest to remain where they are.
- Q Now there's been some testimony relative to a child that Ms. DeBerry gave birth to in the state of Louisiana.
 - A Correct.

1	Q I believe it's Keaundre.
2	A Correct.
3	Q Had Keaundre been born in the state of Nevada, would
4	there have been proceedings initiated to take protective
5	custody of that child?
6	A Absolutely.
7	MS. SIMPKINS: Objection, speculation.
8	MR. CORDES: I don't believe it's speculation for this
9	person who testified
١0	THE COURT: Overruled.
11	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
12	BY MR. CORDES:
13	Q Do you know if any legal proceedings were initiated
14	in any other jurisdiction relative to Keaundre?
15	A I do not bel no.
16	Q As you sit here today is there any evidence that Mr.
17	Bynum Sr. has participated in any of his case plan objectives
18	for reunification?
19	A No.
20	Q We heard some testimony from the mom that there is a
21	father of Aamiyah. I believe it was Joseph
22	THE COURT: Lamb.
23	MR. CORDES: Lamb.
24	BY MR. CORDES:

A I don't recall the actual date, but it would be at the last six month hearing.

- Q Do you have any concerns relative to the possibility of the children being returned to the care of Mr. Bynum, Sr.?
 - A Many concerns.
 - Q What would those be?
- A His lack of follow through. Um, his current criminal situation. Um, some of the concerns expressed to me from our client, Ms. DeBerry. And also concerns expressed to me by Bonita about him, who is Ms. DeBerry's mother.
- Q And can you be a little bit more specific about the concerns that you might have relative to the criminal case?
 - A The current criminal case I do not know.
- Q Okay. Do you have any concerns relative to the children possibly being reunified with Ms. DeBerry?
 - A I do.
 - Q What concerns do you have?
- A I think the overall truthfulness of the situation that happened, more so the dis-acknowledgment of what really went on in their relationship and her past relationships with men. And the fact that the trauma and the PTSD needs to be opened up and talked about and worked on, because it takes many, many, many years to do that. To change -- it doesn't make -- it didn't make sense to me today when the testimony

24

talked about her wanting to change her life and then not being able to disclose any issues with Mr. Bynum when there were issues previously disclosed about him. My concern also was the fact that her mother disclosed a lot of information to me about her concerns and about family concerns about Mr. Bynum indeed --

MS. SIMPKINS: I'm going to object as to what the mother said, Your Honor, as hearsay.

THE COURT: Well so far she hasn't said what the mother said other than the general concerns is she hasn't stated any specific facts. And it's limited to that. The objection's overruled. If you try to go further, I can't hear it.

MR. CORDES: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. CORDES:

- Q So concerns that were raised by family members.
- A That is correct.
- Q Now, were you aware that there was a family reunion that Ms. DeBerry had visitation with the children during?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. How did you become aware of that family reunion?
 - A I received a phone call from Ms. DeBerry's mother.
 - Q Following the phone call from Ms. DeBerry's mother,

were you able to explore what happened during that family reunion with Ms. DeBerry herself?

- A Not until she came here and we had a conversation.
- Q Okay. So what did Ms. DeBerry tell you about the family reunion that took place in June or July of 2012?
- A She didn't really say much about the reunion. She just told me that she got to visit with her children and that really un -- just no affect. I mean, that she had a visit with her kids and that it went well.
- Q Okay. Did she discuss with you any presence of Mr. Bynum, Sr. being in the South Carolina home or any concerns that the family was raising?
 - A After I asked.
 - O Okay. So tell me what happened.
- A Um, I did ask Ms. DeBerry if he was present at the reunion.
 - Q When you say he...
- A Mr. Bynum, Christopher Bynum Sr. I asked her if he was living in the home, which -- Christopher Bynum Sr., if he frequently visited the home, Christopher Bynum Sr., and if he was even in North Carolina, Christopher Bynum Sr., being he.
- Q Okay. You keep saying North Carolina but I show Mom's in --
 - A I'm sorry.

1	Q South Carolina.
2	A It is South Carolina.
3	Q Okay. All right.
4	A I never was good
5	Q So
6	A in geography.
7	Q you asked Ms. DeBerry those questions.
8	A Correct.
9	Q What was her response to the discussion?
10	A Um, her response was that Mr. Christopher Bynum doe
11	not live there. That Mr. Christopher Bynum visits. That Mr.
12	Christopher Bynum does not have any belongings in her home.
13	Um, and that basically that he is not there.
14	Q Why did you discuss his belongings being in the hom
15	with Ms. DeBerry?
16	A Because I was told by a family member that that is
17	one of her concerns.
18	Q Okay. And so did Ms. DeBerry offer to provide an
19	explanation as to who was living in the home or what the
20	circumstances were around the reunion time?
21	A No.
22	Q Now, Ms you said Ms. DeBerry indicated that Mr.
23	Bynum does visit South Carolina?
24	A That he had visited. Yes.

1	Q You had this conversation with Ms. Byffull (SIC) in
2	August of 2012 when she was present in Nevada?
3	A I had it again in August when she was present, and I
4	had it on the telephone call or calls when I was trying to
5	assist Ms. DeBerry. And, again, the difficulty was in the
6	communication and her not screaming and being offensive
7	towards me.
8	Q Based on the physical abuse that The Court found
9	against Christopher Jr., do you have any concerns relative to
10	him being returned to Ms. DeBerry?
1	A Yes, I do.
12	Q What concerns do you have?
13	A Um, I don't think she was truthful about what
14	happened. I do not believe that the move was pre-planned
15	based upon a conversation I had with Ms. Bonita, who is Ms.
16	DeBerry's mother, who told me that the ticket was purchased
17	because
18	MS. SIMPKINS: Objection.
19	THE WITNESS: her daughter called her.
20	MS. SIMPKINS: Hearsay, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: What Ms. Bonita told you will be stricken as
22	hearsay.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. CORDES:

Q	So just what your concerns are relative to the			
safety of	Christopher. You said truthfulness about what			
actually h	nappened and then the information regarding the move			
Any other issues?				

- A Regarding the move and truthfulness about her past.
- Q Now you had an opportunity to hear Ms. Fortune testify today, right?
 - A I did.
- Q Okay. And do you recall her testifying about the past behavior being an indicator for future behavior?
 - A Oh, yes.
- Q Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not a parent who physically abuses a child in the past is likely to physically abuse a child in the future?
 - A I do.
 - Q What opinion do you have?
- A I think that when you do not address the issue and you continue to cover up the issue or issues that led to your frustration, that led to -- because as we know child abuse is usually a symptom of something -- there's no way that you can move forward because you have never acknowledged truthfully what happened that day.
- Q You've heard Ms. Fortune testify that Ms. Bynum (sic) engaged in counseling from May 2012 through June 27th of

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2012. Anything in that testimony that indicated there was progress on behalf of Ms. DeBerry?

Well I -- I have concerns. Again, it's a very short Α amount of time. I think they touched on issues. They started -- the issues were sort of brought to the surface with Ms. Pharr or with the Department. Ms. Pharr defined them. she kind of got her head outside the water, per se. But I think it's time that she needs to swim and really dwelve (sic) into the issues. I was extremely surprised to see the short amount of time and the fact that she hadn't gone back until October, considering the enormity or the severity of the injury to her child. And also the fact that the in depth conversations that we had had knowing we were moving forward to this and knowing that we really wanted to try to figure out how to reunify that all of those months basically went empty without any type of therapeutic session. So, respectfully, with my experience in this field, I don't really call what she went through was therapy. I think it was a therapeutic session to open up issues and to take some definitions. somebody -- when somebody discloses to me as a supervisor the type of past that she's had, the trauma that she's endured, even the removal of children, the entire escapade that happened with the move and the police and not getting in touch with our department and the doctors and discrepancies -- I

1 m
2 t
3 t
4 a
5 c
6 j
7 m
8 a
9 s
10 c
11 t
12 t
13 t

15

. 16

14

18

17

19 20

21

22

2324

mean, again, that's all trauma. And every time somebody is traumatized, it's -- it's almost like they -- they regress, they regress. It's like when a child is removed after remove after removal, the affect is there. So my concerns are, again, with what had happened. And I -- and just within the last two years with our department, not to mention her past relationships that she has disclosed to me about the power and control, the self-esteem, the lowering of self-esteem, the belittling and all those behaviors, I don't call that therapy at all. I call that self disclosure. I think our Department did an appropriate job in finding her a therapist again. Michelle Jordan, who is my subordinate, let the therapist know that it is a concern for us and continued to be a concern. As you --

MS. SIMPKINS: Objection, hearsay.

THE WITNESS: So that was under --

MS. SIMPKINS: As to what Ms. Jordan told the therapist, Your Honor.

MR. CORDES: Well, Ms. Jordan's going to be here to testify, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I didn't know that -- I didn't hear her say what Ms. Jordan had told the therapist.

Did you say what the --

THE WITNESS: Under my direction -- I can rephrase that,

Your Honor. I instructed as the supervisor on this case for Ms. Jordan to let the therapist know as part of our collateral referral that domestic violence, not necessarily physical but emotional, power and control, things of that nature, were disclosed to us -- to me, as a supervisor, and to Ms. Jordan, okay, so that we want to have them evaluated and looked at as part of her treatment. So I can say what I have said and instructed my staff to do.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. CORDES: Thank you. I have no further questions for this witness.

THE COURT: All right. Before your cross I've got a question.

I think you indicated that Ms. Pharr, the first therapist, had written a report --

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

THE COURT: -- that you had reviewed. And in going through State's Exhibit 1 I found a one-page report attached to the permanency and placement review dated 9/14/2011.

Jill?

(THE MARSHAL BRINGS THE DOCUMENT TO THE WITNESS STAND.)

I just ask you if this is the report or if there's more that's not contained in the case plan that you received

i d	
1	from Ms. Ph
2	THE WI
3	Т
4	issues that
5	defined.
6	THE CC
7	that report
8	address the
9	right?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

arr.

Thank you. TNESS:

his is the report, Your Honor. It's the six core they have defined and -- I mean, identified and

All right. And you describe from reading DURT: t you described, in your opinion, that it didn't erapy so much as an educational process.

THE WITNESS: I believe it -- yes, that it was more like an educational process.

THE COURT: All right. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Yep.

THE COURT: All right. Now, you may cross.

MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MICHELLE DOUGLAS

testifies as follows on:

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

- Ms. Douglas, you were supervisor -- you came on this case in November of 2011. That was your testimony, correct?
 - Α Correct.

1	Q Okay. So have you been a supervisor the whole time
2	with Department of Family Services?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And where were you prior to November of 2011?
5	A I was a supervisor for the emergency response team
6	for Child Protective Services here.
7	Q So anything that you testify to that took place
8	prior to November 2011 is based on your review of the file,
9	correct?
10	A That is correct.
11	Q Who was the supervisor before you?
12	A Um, Cheryl Cook.
13	Q And does to your knowledge does Ms. Cook still
14	work for the Department of Family Services?
15	A Yes, she does.
16	Q Now, Ms. Jordan has been the same caseworker
17	throughout the life of this case, hasn't she?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q And she is familiar with Mom?
20	A (No audible response.)
21	Q Yes?
22	A I believe so.
23	Q And she's familiar with the children?
24	A I believe so.

1	Q	Mr. Cordes was asking you she was the caseworker
2	in Decembe	r of 2010, correct?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q Q	And Mom was or actually gave birth at the time
5	with Keaun	dre, correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Okay. And she has that baby now?
8	А	That is correct.
9	Q	And was there ever any call made to a hotline out of
10	state from	you or, to your knowledge, Ms. Jordan or anyone
11	else in th	ne Department of Family Services?
12	A	Um, I can only attest to Ms. Jordan and myself.
13	Q	Okay. Did so you didn't make a call to a hotline
14	and neithe	er did Ms. Jordan?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Now, has the case plan ever been changed since it
17	was first	initiated back in March of 2011?
18	A	I believe so.
19	Q	Do you recall what was changed?
20	A	No, I don't.
21	Q	Do you know how many times it was changed?
22	A	No, I don't.
23	Q	Do you know if drug treatment was taken out?
24	A	My understanding from the testimony today, yes.

1	Q	So you don't know personally, just from what you
2	heard tod	ay?
3	A	Um, from what I heard today.
4	Q	Did you review the file prior to your testimony
5	today?	
6	A	I did refer review the file. However, um, drug
7		
8	Q	That's all I need to know.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	Are you aware that Mom has housing?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And are you aware that even Mom has pretty much had
13	housing t	hroughout the life of this case?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. And you're aware also that Mom has kept in
16	contact w	with the Department of Family Services, correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And, in fact, you testified to several
19	conversat	cions that you allegedly had with her, correct?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Specifically, one in May of 2012, right?
22	A	Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
23	Q	And when you have conversations with clients you're
24	5920ccus	to note it in UNITY, aren't you?

A Um, ye	es.
----------	-----

- Q Okay. Would it surprise you to learn that there's no note of any conversation that you had with my client in May of 2012?
 - A It would not.
- Q Now, you spoke about on your testimony my client supposedly told you about fear and power and control when she's talking about Mr. Bynum and that she would have low self-esteem and was belittled and not empowered.

A Yes, and --

MR. CORDES: Objection, Judge. I'm just going to object to the way the question is phrased. Ms. Simpkins phrased it, supposedly. That's not the testimony. The evidence before The Court is this conversation took place.

MS. SIMPKINS: Your Honor, there's no case note of this conversation and this is -- as you just --

THE COURT: Well there may not be a case note but there's direct testimony --

MR. CORDES: Correct.

THE COURT: -- that the conversation took place.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

Q When you testified that this conversation took place, what therapy did you refer Mom to with regard to domestic violence?

A I had her since we were looking at a very rural area in which I am not familiar with that part of the United States in terms of how rural it is, talked to Mom about let's try to find someone somewhere that's very close to the house, that has the credentials. So that if we reported to what you need, and Mom you talk about what you need, then we'll be able to cover the issues at hand. So that's how we had to go about it based upon the fact that we didn't have a lot of choices.

Q Okay, Ms. Douglas, the question is what therapy did you refer her to?

A I referred her to, um -- just lost my train of though as to the therapist's name, I'm sorry. The therapy --

THE WITNESS: Ms. Fortune, thank you. And I referred here there to address issues of concern of past trauma, domestic violence, abuse and neglect, what happened to her child and what has happened over the past year with this case. I also made the referral to say that she did, in fact, see a therapist prior to coming into the Carolina, and that we didn't want to rehash something like that. We wanted to take it a step further.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

- Q So it's your testimony that --
- A And a step further.

THE COURT: Fortune.

1	Q you're the one that sent the referral to Ms.
2	Fortune?
3	A No, I am not. I am the supervisor on the case, and
4	I staff my cases weekly if not daily at times with my
5	caseworkers. And it was very clear to the conversation that
6	had with Ms. DeBerry what was going to happen. And I relayed
7	that information and the name of the counselor to my
8	subordinate, Michelle Jordan, to make an appropriate referral
9	Q Did you see the referral before it went out?
10	A Um, I usually do not and I don't have to.
11	Q And this is the same Ms. Fortune that I believe you
12	testified earlier you found on your cell phone?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Did you check out her credentials before you
15	referred?
16	A Um, what I did was I check
17	Q Yes or no.
18	A did I check her credentials
19	Q Did you check her credentials
20	A meaning what?
21	Q You let me rephrase the question. You just
22	testified that one of the things you were looking for in a
23	rural area

Um-hmm (in the affirmative).

Α

1	Q	And until she's able to do that, the plan is going
2	to remain	termination of parental rights?
3	A	That is correct.
4	Q	Now you also were talking about a family reunion.
5	Do you kno	ow when that family reunion was?
6	A	It was, I believe, at the end of June, beginning of
7	July of 20	012.
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	It was the last time she had a visit with her
10	children,	that her mom drove them down to see her.
11	Q	And Mom told you that Mr. Bynum was not at that
12	reunion?	
13	A	Mom meaning who?
14	. Q	Mom Keaundra.
15	A	That is correct.
16	Q	Other people indicated contrary to what Mom said?
17	A	That is correct. Whether well, that he was in
18	North Car	olina, not that he was in the reun at the reunion.
19	I don't k	now if he was at the party itself.
20	Q	Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
21	A	But I was told that he was present.
22	Q	During that time? The time
23	А	That is correct.
24	1 0	Okav.

1	A Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
2	Q Were you aware that Mr. Bynum was actually arrested
3	and booked in the Bossier City Sheriff's Department on June
4	1st, 2012?
5	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor.
6	MS. SIMPKINS: What's the objection?
7	MR. CORDES: Lack of foundation.
8	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm asking if she was aware.
9	THE COURT: She's asking if she's aware. Actually, I
10	guess it assumes facts not in evidence.
11	MR. CORDES: Facts not in evidence. Right.
12	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
13	Q Do you know when he was booked?
14	A No.
15	Q You never obviously, you never saw him there,
16	correct? You never went?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q How did you find out he was incarcerated or do
19	you know that he was incarcerated?
20	A The only thing incarceration that I know of is
21	his present incarceration. And that is because Ms. DeBerry
22	articulated to me over the telephone, and in person again,
23	that she received a call from the police department wanting t
24	find out where he was based upon knowledge that he was with

-¹∥	The Cook!: Sustained as to the, bother, phrase.
2	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
3	Q Ms. Douglas, didn't you have a meeting with my
4	client on July 12th, 2012 in person?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And at that point in time you discussed her case
7	plan completion and her relationship with her new therapist?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And at that point in time, Mom was able to discuss
10	aspects of her case and what she had learned, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And didn't you note in her in your case note with
13	regard to this conversation that Ms. DeBerry was appropriate
14	and was able to articulate her wrongdoings as well as some
15	changes that she's making in her life?
16	A Correct.
17	(BRIEF PAUSE.)
18	MS. SIMPKINS: Court's indulgence. I'm sorry, Judge.
19	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
20	Q When was Ms. Jordan on leave?
21	A Uh, I'm going to say from March March of 2012 to
22	June of 2012 into July.
23	Q Of 2012?
24	A No. You know what, I I don't know remember

signature.

1	Q Okay.
2	(MS. SIMPKINS RETURNS TO HER TABLE.)
3	Yeah, I thought Cheryl's signature's a little
4	A Yeah, that's mine.
5	Q more legible. No offense.
, 6	A Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
7	Q Okay.
8	THE COURT: That's your signature (chuckle)?
9	MS. SIMPKINS: Now
. 10	THE WITNESS: I'll work on it, Your Honor (chuckle).
11	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
12	Q Termination of parental rights was the primary
13	permanency goal in March of 2012, correct?
, 14	A Correct.
15	Q When did it change when did the primary
16	permanency goal change from reunification to termination of
17	parental rights?
. 18	A On that date, correct?
19	Q I don't know. That's why I'm asking you.
20	A May I look at the report?
21	MS. SIMPKINS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
22	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
23	Q Do you recall when it was changed? I have to do
24	this the right way.

24

Α :	Ι	don't		I	don't	recall	dates.
-----	---	-------	--	---	-------	--------	--------

Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at the report?

A It would -- it would help.

MS. SIMPKINS: Okay. May I approach?

THE COURT: You may.

(MS. SIMPKINS APPROACHES THE WITNESS.)

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MS. SIMPKINS: Uh-huh (in the affirmative). Let me know when you're done reading.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Second to the last page.

THE WITNESS: Okay. It's on page 3, Your Honor, with the permanency goal and projected date of achievement. It was changed then termination of parental rights and adoption by a relative. And then you were saying the second to last page which would be the summary.

THE COURT: Right. Unless I'm not reading it correctly.

THE WITNESS: Yes, if you look at what I wrote on page -I apologize -- 6 in the summary and recommendations, there's a
goal for the next review period which is a TPR with adoption
by maternal grandparents. And the recommendations of this
Department at the time of moving forward with TPR in achieving
permanency for the children.

1	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
2	Q Ms. Jordan (sigh).
3	A Just call me Ms. Jordan at this point.
4	MR. CORDES: (Chuckle.)
5	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm sorry.
6	THE WITNESS: It's all right. It's a long day.
7	MS. SIMPKINS: I am really sorry, I apologize. Ms.
8	Douglas. I'm going to
9	THE COURT: The record's going to reflect that any
10	reference to North Carolina is actually South Carolina.
11	MR. CORDES: Thank you.
12	MS. SIMPKINS: (Laughing.)
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14	THE COURT: And any reference to Ms. Jordan's actually to
15	this witness.
16	MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
18	Q In re-reviewing these documents very briefly, would
19	it be fair are you sure that that is the first
20	recommendation to change the permanency plan or could it have
21	been done before?
22	A Your Honor, it could be it could be done before.
23	Q Okay.
24	A However

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Let me show -- however what?
- I'm sorry. The removal date is 5/21 in 2010.
- Um-hmm (in the affirmative).

And so in normal situations I look at a case at a seven-month mark, a ten-month mark and a twelve-month mark depending upon what the actual progress in the case plan are doing. I have at times approached The Court on certain cases and asked for Your Honor to approve a change in the goal based upon the circumstances of the case at an earlier date. I have done it as early as six months based upon abandonment and some other factors. I have asked The Court at seven months. asked The Court at ten months, and I've asked The Court at twelve months depending upon the case. And I've reversed that I work a concurrent plan from the beginning. as well.

Is it true that the concurrent plan as of April -well, when -- let me back up. When did you say that Ms. DeBerry got her case plan?

- I didn't say that. Α
- Q Okay.
- I don't remember. Α
- Do you recall when Ms. DeBerry got her initial case Q plan?
- Her initial case plan should have been developed Α within forty-five days of the plea.

1	plan.
2	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
3	Q Okay. So February 2011. And then by April of 2011,
4	are you aware that the in your review of the file prior to
5	your testimony today that there was added a concurrent
6	permanency goal of termination of parental rights?
7	A I was not the supervisor at that time. But, yes, I
8	can see that being put in a court report.
9	Q And that by September of 2011 the goal the
10	primary permanency goal became termination of parental rights?
11	A Correct.
12	MS. SIMPKINS: Okay. May I approach the witness to
13	retrieve my
14	THE COURT: Yeah, you can approach. But what was the
15	last question?
16	MS. SIMPKINS: That by September 2011 September 14th,
17	2011 the primary permanency goal became termination of
18	parental rights.
19	THE COURT: Well
20	MS. SIMPKINS: And she said that sounds about right, I
21	think, is what you said.
22	THE WITNESS: Um-hmm (in the affirmative). That it could
23	have it could be that.
24	THE COURT: And that Counsel, that's reflected where

on the report? 1 MS. SIMPKINS: It's reflected in the report of September 2 14th two --3 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm looking at it. 4 MS. SIMPKINS: Okay. 5 THE COURT: And it shows -- on page 3 it shows permanency 6 goal and projected date of achievement as --7 MS. SIMPKINS: It's on page 3 of the report, Your Honor, 8 9 term --THE COURT: Termination of parental rights. 10 MS. SIMPKINS: Yeah. That's the primary permanency goal. 11 That's when it became the primary. 12 THE COURT: And there's a concurrent permanency goal --13 MS. SIMPKINS: Concurrent goal --14 THE COURT: -- of reunification. 15 MS. SIMPKINS: -- reunification. 16 THE WITNESS: Um-hmm (in the affirmative). 17 MS. SIMPKINS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MS. SIMPKINS: The prior report the perm -- primary 20 permanency goal was still reunification. 21 THE COURT: I see. All right. 22 MS. SIMPKINS: And then -- okay. 23 THE COURT: I'm not as intimately familiar with these 24

20

21

22

23

24

reports as you are.

MS. SIMPKINS: Sorry, Judge.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

- Q Now, in March -- let me -- back to the March of 2012 report you maintained that the primary permanency goal would remain termination of parental rights even though you noted that Mom actively participated in physical abuse counseling, correct?
 - A Correct. And that is because as a concurrent plan.
 - Q Just yes or no would be just fine.
 - A Okay.
- Q And even though the counselor indicated that Mom displayed an understanding of the concept of physical abuse and a greater insight into the effects of physical abuse and mental abuse, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And you still maintain TPR was the goal even though the report notes that another doctor examined the child and found that there was no evidence of abuse?
 - A Correct.
- Q And you also note in that report that Mom completed her case plan?
 - A I did not.
 - Q You didn't note in the report that Ms. DeBerry has

1	completed her case plan and has the knowledge and tools to
2	effectively parent her children?
3	A Well, obviously, you're reading it to me so I may
4	have.
5	THE COURT: What report
6	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
7	Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at it?
8	A I would like to see it, yes, so I can explain,
9	please.
10	THE COURT: What's the date of the report, Counsel?
11	MS. SIMPKINS: The date of the report is it's file
12	date is March 7th, 2012. I'm looking on the last well,
13	it's the next to the last page. There's a blank page attached
14	to the back, about line 7, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right.
16	(MS. SIMPKINS APPROACHES THE WITNESS AND HANDS HER A
17	DOCUMENT.)
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	MS. SIMPKINS: Just let me know when you're finished
20	reading it, Ms. Douglas. Got it right that time.
21	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
22	Q Is that what you indicated in the report?
23	A Yes, but I explained my
24	Q Well, no you indicated that Mom had completed her

case plan in the report. Yes or no. 1 2 Yes. 3 Q Okay. (MS. SIMPKINS RETRIEVES DOCUMENT FROM THE WITNESS.) 4 You also went on to say that Ms. DeBerry's actual 5 comprehension of her role in this act of physical abuse versus 6 her child just having an injury due to him not being 7 supervised, it was difficult for you to ascertain -- let me 8 back up. You went on to say, "conversely, it is difficult for 9 DFS to ascertain the information detailing Ms. DeBerry's 10 actual comprehension of her role in this act of physical abuse - 11 versus her child just having an injury due to him not being 12 supervised." Correct? 13 That is correct. 14 Α Okay. Based on that alone you were still - 15 recommending termination of parental rights, correct? 16 Correct. 17 Α Now, if there was a report in March and we usually 18 0 have review hearings every six months --- 19 Um-hmm (in the affirmative). 20 Α -- why is there a report filed in April? 21 0 There may have been a status check. 22 Α Do you recall what that status check was for? . 23 0 No, I don't. 24 Α

1	Q Did you draft the April report or what do you
2	know if Ms. Jordan was still on leave at the time?
3	A I don't recall. Obviously, I would need to see the
4	report.
5	MS. SIMPKINS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
6	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
7	Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at the
8	report?
9	A Yes, it would.
0	THE COURT: You may.
11	(MS. SIMPKINS APPROACHES THE WITNESS AND HANDS HER A
12	DOCUMENT.)
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Yes, I drafted this report.
14	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
15	Q Okay. Thank you.
16	A Yep.
17	Q Now, you indicate in that report that Dad was living
18	with Mom, right?
19	A That is what I was told.
20	Q And that was a concern for the Department because
21	Dad was the one that the Department believed held the iron to
22	the baby's face?
23	A Incorrect.
24	O So when Ms. Mossman previously testified that she

24

believed the dad was the one that held the iron to the baby's face, that's not your theory of the case? Is that what you're saying?

- A That's Ms. Mossman's theory.
- Q Okay. Isn't it true that the Department's theory is that Dad was the one responsible for the injury to the child?
 - A That is what was Ms. Mossman testified.
- Q I'm asking what the Department -- isn't it true that the Department's theory of the case was that Dad was the one that injured the child?

MR. CORDES: Objection. Just as to lack of foundation as to when the theory was formulated or if it's still the theory.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

- Q Is that still the theory today, Ms. Douglas?
- A I think it's one of them. But I don't like to categorize in theories.
- Q So is it your testimony today that the Department now believes that Mom was the one that physically abused the child?
- A The Department believes that we do not know who physically abused the child, but that only one or two parents were with the child at the time of his abuse.
 - Q And there's also another child present, right?
 - A That's correct.

23

24

	Q	And there's been no evidence that the Department has
been	able	to dig up in any of the interviews with any of the
peop?	le inv	volved that indicates that Mom was present in the
room	when	the child was injured?

- A Can you say that -- repeat that?
- Q Was there any indication that Mom was present in the room when the child was injured?

MR. CORDES: Judge, I'm just going to object as to vague and ambiguous based upon the mother's prior testimony as to the description of the room. She described, if you'll recall, the room set up.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. CORDES: And so I just think that --

THE COURT: Whether or not the bathroom is a --

MR. CORDES: Correct.

THE COURT: -- separate room.

MR. CORDES: Correct. So I'm just going to lodge that objection.

THE COURT: All right. Well if you can answer the -- the objection's noted, if you can answer the question. So if you can't answer the question, then just tell us what you think or what you can answer.

Can you rephrase your question?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

1	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
2	Q Was there any indication that Mom was present in
3	in deference to Mr. Cordes in the area in
4	A I can answer it now.
5	Q Is there any indication that Mom saw the injury take
6	place to Christopher Jr.?
7	A (No audible response.)
8	Q Anyone
9	A She could have based upon her testimony today and
0	her description of the room.
1	Q Really? I didn't hear her say anything about line
2	of sight.
13	A She's
14	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor, argumentative as
15	phrased.
16	THE WITNESS: She
17	THE COURT: Sustained.
18	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
19	MR. CORDES: You don't have to answer it as phrased.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
22	Q Did she have line of sight?
23	A According to her testimony today, yes.
24	Q Well I thought her testimony was she was in the

1	bathroom,	the child was in the bedroom. It was the same area,
2	correct?	Correct me if I'm wrong.
3	A	She's testified that it was a master suite.
4	Q	Yes.
5	А	That there's no door.
6	Q	I don't recall her saying that.
7	A	That it was a doorway.
8	Q	Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
9	A	To the bathroom. And that it was open. So the
10	answer is	, yes, she could have been in line of sight of her
11	children.	
12	Q	Hmm. What if the what if the doorway was I
13	don't kno	w what it perpendicular to the master bedroom?
14	What she	around
15	A	That's not how she des
16	Q	Is it possible
17	A	cribed it.
18	Q	Are you familiar with the layout of that bedroom?
19	Have you	ever seen it before?
20	A	I've never been in it.
21	Q	Okay. Thank you. So other than she could have
22	possibly	seen it, according to her testimony today, do you
23	have any	other testimony or any other evidence or any other
24	witnesses	that indicate that Mom saw the injury to the child?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: Well, hold on.
3.	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
4	THE COURT: It's kind of a compound question. First, are
5	there any other witnesses?
6	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
7	Q Any other witnesses?
8	A (No audible response.)
9	Q Were there any witnesses to this injury to the
10	child?
11	MR. CORDES: Judge, I'm just going to object as phrased
12	because there are witnesses to the injury itself that we've
13	already heard about. Now, how it was caused I think is what
14	Ms. Simpkins is asking.
15	MS. SIMPKINS: Well, Your Honor
16	MR. CORDES: So
17	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm trying to get into this because she
18	had indicated that they weren't sure who caused the injury.
19	But I'm trying to establish that the Department has no
20	indication that Mom was the one that caused the injury despite
21	the findings of the hearing master.
22	MR. CORDES: I Judge, I still have the objection. I
23	don't think Ms
24	THE COURT: I'm not sure, quite frankly, what the

The mother who lives out of state?

22

23

24

already been done.

Well, I was informed by her mother. So it had

1	A	I'm sorry. I didn't know you were
2	Q	You never told Mom not to have Mr. Bynum around did
3	you?	
4	A	I may have.
5	Q	Now, at the time you filed the April 2012 report,
6	when was	the last time you had contact with Dad?
7	A	With Mr. Bynum, Sr.?
8	Q	Uh-huh (in the affirmative). Senior.
9	A	I didn't have contact with Mr. Bynum, Sr.
10	Q	Do you know when if Ms. Jordan ever had contact with
11	Mr. Bynu	n?
12	A	It was reported to me. Yes.
13	Q	Okay. When was the last contact she had with him?
14	A	I don't recall the date.
15	Q	Would it been before the April 2012 report?
16	A	I don't recall.
17	Q	Now we talked about Dad being currently
18	incarcer	ated. You're aware of that, aren't you?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Do you know how long his sentence is?
21	A	I don't.
22	Q	Do you know what he's incarcerated for?
23	А	I don't.
. 24	Q	And I think we've previously established you don't

MR. CORDES: I'm going to object, Your Honor. Call for speculation.

MS. SIMPKINS: Well, did the --

THE COURT: Just rephrase.

MS. SIMPKINS: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

Q Did Ms. Jordan contact, to your knowledge, Red Rock Psychological Services with regard to the subjects that they cover in their physical abuse classes so that she could relay them to the therapist in Louisiana?

A I don't recall but that is something that we would do to provide assistance with an appropriate referral for a client.

Q And you were -- your testimony, correct me if I'm wrong, was that you were dissatisfied with the treatment because you don't think that it covered enough in depth?

A Right. I think that it was more like an assessment, that it defined those core issues, but did not personalize those issues. And when you're in therapy you need to personalize issues in order to get at the root of stuff in order to make like sufficient progress to overcome and make lifestyle changes and fulfill an obligation to The Court in a case plan.

O And so when Mom found out that the Department was

- O So Mom was willing to go into therapy --
- A Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O -- with Ms. Fortune?

case plan and attend therapy. So, yeah.

- A Absolutely. Yes.
- Q Now, on your direct examination with Mr. Cordes you mentioned a current criminal case. What were you talking about?
 - A Current criminal case -- for Mr. Bynum?
 - O I don't know.
 - A Mr. Bynum.
- Q Okay. And that's the one -- were you referring to what he's in prison for now?
 - A Correct.
 - O Was there a criminal case as to Mom with regard to

1	this issue?	
2	. A	I believe so.
. 3	Q	And do you know what happened on that?
4	A	I do not.
5	Q	To your knowledge, was Mom ever arrested?
6	A	No.
- 7	Q	Were you ever called to testify at a preliminary
8	hearing?	
9	A	No.
10	Q	Now your testimony earlier when you were talking
- 11	about the	rapy, you indicated and I'm paraphrasing that
12	when you	cover up issues there's no way you can move forward
13	in your t	herapy.
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	Okay. That's your personal opinion, correct?
16	A	It's a professional opinion as well.
17	Q	Are you a licensed counselor?
18	A	I am not a licensed counselor. However
. 19	Q	That's all I need to know.
20	A	Okay.
21	MR.	CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. She should be
22	allowed t	to finish the answer.
- 23	THE	COURT: You can inquire on redirect.
24	MS.	SIMPKINS: The an the question was is she a

1	licensed counselor, yes or no. Done.
2	THE COURT: You can elaborate on redirect if you wish,
3	Mr. Cordes.
4	MR. CORDES: Thank you.
5	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
6	Q And it's your indication today that the therapy that
7	Mom went through with Ms. Fortune wasn't sufficient?
8	A I don't call it therapy.
9	Q But did you ever do you ever speak to Ms. Fortune
10	about your concerns?
11	A I just heard her testimony today.
12	Q So that's a no?
13	A Correct.
14	Q You actually relied on Ms. Jordan to speak to Ms.
15	Fortune?
16	A That's correct.
17	MS. SIMPKINS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Cordes?
19	MR. CORDES: Thank you.
20	MICHELLE DOUGLAS
21	testifies as follows on:
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. CORDES:
24	Q All right. So the report that you filed April of

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SIMPKINS: We don't have a new date yet anyway.

MR. CORDES: All right. So --

THE COURT: I do.

MS. SIMPKINS: Oh, you do (chuckle).

MR. CORDES: (Chuckle.)

BY MR. CORDES:

Q Ms. Douglas, Ms. Simpkins was asking you some questions about the March 2012 report and a reference within there that you indicate Mom had completed her case plan.

A Correct.

Q Okay. And when you wrote the report in March of 2012 referencing her completion of the case plan, why was it phrased in that manner?

A Um, it was phrased in that manner because you can actually complete a case plan. You can go through the steps, you can attend different classes, you can attend therapeutic sessions, assessments, evaluations. However, if you cannot model or report behavior change, then, in fact, completing a case plan doesn't mean you learn anything from it. And the Department looks for behavior change. We look for improved skills. We look for a maturity on behalf on the parents which is usually a very different circumstance from the day that they come in to enter a plea when their children are just removed or even at the scene when an investigator goes out and

confronts them to when we start to work with them and motivate them to reunify. Um, I did put that in there. However, the next sentence was, conversely, this is what I needed to see in order to make a different type of determination.

- Q Okay. And following the report being filed with the Juvenile Court in March of 2012 --
 - A Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
- Q -- did The Court continue the permanency plan for these two children as termination of parental rights and adoption?
 - A Yes, they did.
- Q Now the petition seeking to terminate the parental rights in this matter was filed back in March of -- excuse me, May 24th of 2011. Were you aware of that?
- A I was not the supervisor on the case at the time.

 Yes, I was aware from a review.
- Q Okay. And so since May 24, 2011 up and through today's date, is the position of the Department of Family Services that termination of parental rights and adoption is the permanency plan for these children?
 - A Correct.
- Q Given everything you know about the mother's circumstances, the historical information she provided to you as well as the counseling services and the children's

1	placement, do you believe that termination of parental rights
2	would be in these children's best interest?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. CORDES: Thank you. No further questions.
5	MICHELLE DOUGLAS
6	testifies as follows on:
7	RECROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
9	Q You don't think the children should remain together?
10	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor.
11	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
12	Q You don't think it's in the children's best interest
13	to remain together?
14	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor.
15	MS. SIMPKINS: What's the objection?
16	THE COURT: Well
17	MR. CORDES: As phrased. The children are the two
18	children in this case. And the two children in this case, by
19	testimony and evidence, are together. So
20	MS. SIMPKINS: There's a sibling, Your Honor.
21	MR. CORDES: Unless it's phrased differently
22	MS. SIMPKINS: There's been evidence that there's a
23	sibling.
24	mum course. Well no I don't understand why you're

asking the question, you don't think they should be together. 1 MS. SIMPKINS: She -- I'm --2 THE COURT: There's been no indication they're not going 3 4 to be together. MS. SIMPKINS: Well no there's one child -- there's --5 there are three children, Your Honor. My client has one. 6 7 THE COURT: We --MS. SIMPKINS: I'm talking about the two siblings and the 8 9 one with Mom. THE COURT: Okay. Well then just clarify your question. 10 Okay. MS. SIMPKINS: 11 BY MS. SIMPKINS: 12 You don't think it's in the best interest of the 13 0 siblings to be together, the sibling with Mom and the two 14 siblings with Grandma? 15 It is not in the best interest of Aamiyah and 16 Α Christopher Jr. to be reunified with their mother. It is in 17 the best interest for all three siblings to have visitation, 18 and they will based upon the current appropriate placement of 19 them being with her maternal -- with their maternal 20 grandmother. 21

22

23

24

to this new baby?

A This baby is placed in another state. I have no

And -- but you have no concerns with Mom with regard

jurisdiction over this child in another state. I have not read any reports from another state. But I can't give an opinion based upon the fact that I have no jurisdiction over this child at all.

- O You're a mandatory reporter are you not?
- A However --
- Q Is that yes or no?
- A I'm a mandatory reporter. Correct.
- Q Okay. And you have as a professional worker with the Department of Family Services and there's an open case if you have those concerns --
 - A Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
- Q -- are you not required to report them to the hotline in that state under the mandatory reporter law?
 - A I am.
 - O Okay. And you didn't do so in this case, did you?
 - A No, I did not.
- Q Okay. Now, when you talked about Mom's case plan and basically checking off boxes rather than modeling a behavior change --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- I believe is how you put it, really what you needed to see was an admission from Mom that she burned this child, right?

A I needed to see a comprehension of Mom of -- or an admission of what was going on in her life that may have led to her doing this.

- Q And --
- A I did not see that.
- Q When you say you wanted to see Mom model a behavior change, you've never observed Mom with the current child, have you?
 - A No, I have not.
- Q And when you say you wanted to see a behavior change, you were here and present when Ms. Fortune was testifying about how Mom came in to her office and how Mom was now, right? You heard that?
 - A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And so as you sit here today you have no indication of Mom's skills in raising a child, specifically the child she has, right?
- A I have an indication that Mom has not reached the maturity level to openly and effectively communicate honest behaviors in her life and situations in her life that have compromised her ability to parent Christopher and keep him safe appropriately.
- Q So you have no knowledge of Mom's skills in parenting as they stand today, especially since she has a

1	child in her care?
2	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. Been asked and
3	answered.
4	MS. SIMPKINS: And it was and I would move to strike
5	that answer because it was not not responsive.
6	THE COURT: Actually, the objection is sustained. The
7	motion to strike is overruled.
8	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
9	Q You said you wanted to see improved skills, right?
0.	A Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
1	Q As far as Mom is concerned, correct?
2	A Correct.
3	Q But you have never had the opportunity to observe
4	her parenting skills as they stand today, have you?
15	A No, I have not.
16	MS. SIMPKINS: No further questions.
17.	MR. CORDES: Nothing further.
18	THE COURT: Nothing further?
19	All right. You're not free to go, but you may step
20	down.
21	MR. CORDES: (Chuckle.)
22	MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
23	MR. CORDES: And with that, Judge, The State would rest.
24	MS SIMPKINS: And Your Honor, I'm sure you I just

let my witness go. We're not going to get to her today obviously. It's -- Michelle Jordan's my last witness.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, no, it's four twenty-five.

Okay. I previously indicated that I correctly assumed we would not complete the case today. The -- other than the Wednesday before Thanksgiving my calendar is packed every other day of the week with trials or hearings until December the 14th. That is a Friday.

MR. CORDES: Judge, State is available. Thank you.

MS. SIMPKINS: I am usually available unless I have another TPR. Hold on.

(MS. SIMPKINS LOOKS FOR PAPERS IN HER BOX.)

Mr. Cordes, I won't let you get away from me at all.

Looks good. December 14th.

THE COURT: Nine a.m.

MS. SIMPKINS: Nine a.m.

MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. CORDES: Appreciate your time and consideration.

THE COURT: All right, thank you. And as previously indicated -- now I don't know how you're going to do this.

MS. SIMPKINS: Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Molinar has a contested hearing that day. Can we get another day?

THE COURT: Not until next year.

MS. MOLINAR: Well I can reschedule if Jason's willing to.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think you need to reschedule the contested hearing.

MS. MOLINAR: If you can tell Jason to do it; that's fine. All right.

MS. SIMPKINS: December 14th.

MR. CORDES: Judge, The State will make arrangements to stipulate --

THE COURT: Reschedule?

MR. CORDES: -- to a continuance of that matter so Ms. Molinar can yet be present.

THE COURT: All right. As Ms. DeBerry -- as far as she's concerned, we'll be able to -- we'll be able to call her and she can appear telephonically through the court system and it's going to be, you know, recorded. Everything will be recorded; you'll be able to hear the testimony.

However, you're not going to be able to consult with her through the system. So you'll need to make some arrangements if she chooses not to be here, some arrangements to be on a cell phone or something with her separately if consultation with her is necessary. I'm willing to make an accommodation and recess between direct examination and cross examination so that you can have some time to consult with her

-	before you do your cross and vice versa. But I don't know
2	what other way to accommodate her physical absence from the
3	courtroom.
4	MS. SIMPKINS: Well I'm sure if she chooses to be here
5	she can. We'll put our heads together and see if if we can
6	come up with a solution.
7	THE COURT: Yeah, absolutely. I mean, the preference is
8	that she be here.
9	MS. SIMPKINS: Um-hmm (in the affirmative).
10	THE COURT: But my point is the next date is going to go
11	forward
12	MS. SIMPKINS: Right.
13	THE COURT: whether she's here or not.
14	THE MARSHAL: No outstanding documents or evidence,
15	exhibits?
16	THE COURT: Just what I've got.
17	THE MARSHAL: Okay.
18	MR. CORDES: I believe the judge has them.
19	THE MARSHAL: Perfect.
20	MR. CORDES: Thank you.
21	Thank you, Judge.
22	THE MARSHAL: Have a nice weekend everybody.
23	MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: All right. Have a good weekend.

(THE PROCEEDING ENDED AT 16:41:59.)

correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-

entitled case to the best of my ability.

I do hereby certify that I have truly and

Transcriber II

ATTEST:

. 10

. 14

. 18

DEBERRY

11/02/12

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES 601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

TRANS

2

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

· 12

13

14

15

- 16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

FLED

Jul 29 2 52 M 13

COPY

CLERKIE THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT THE COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the)	CASE NO.	D-11-446967-R
Parental Rights as to:)		
)	DEPT.	D
AAMIYAH DE'NASIA LAMB,)		
CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM,	JR.,)		
)		
Minors.)		
)		

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. TEUTON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT RE: NON-JURY TRIAL VOL. IV

FRIDAY, MARCH 15, 2013

22

. 23

INDEX OF WITNESSES

2	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
3	FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2012				
4	STATE'S WITNESSES				
5	ROBERTA CUMMINGS By Mr. Cordes 145				
6	By Ms. Molinar	162			
7	KEAUNDRA DEBERRY By Mr. Cordes 175		243/256	_ _	232
8	By Ms. Molinar	224		254	=;=
9	MICHELLE DOUGLAS By Mr. Cordes 257		323		
10	By Ms. Simpkins	283		327	
11	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES				
12	JANE FORTUNE				
13	By Ms. Simpkins 88 By Mr. Cordes	 111	136/143 		84
14	By Mr. Cordes By The Court 141				
15		* * * * *	•		
16	FRIDAY, MARCH 15, 2013				
17	STATE'S WITNESSES				
18	(None offered herein.)				
19	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES				
20	MICHELLE DOUGLAS By Ms. Simpkins 344	- -			
21	MICHELLE JORDAN				
22	By Ms. Simpkins 346 By The Court 359	-	382	- - -	
23	By Mr. Cordes	370	· 	388	

D-11-446967-R DeBERRY 03/15/13 TRANSCRIPT VOL. IV
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES
601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2			IDE	NTIFIED	ADMITTED
3	FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2012				
4	DEFE	NSE'S EXHIBITS			
5	D-A	Document; 7-7-12 Letter from Jane Fortune, MA,		102	106
6 7	D-B	Document; Deed of Distr Case #1999-ES-17-74	ibution	229	235
8	D-C	Document; Wilcohess, LL for pay period ending 1		236	237
10	STAT	E'S EXHIBITS			
11	P-1	Document; Copy of Juven case file J-10-319959-P			106
12 13	P-2	Document; 6-6-12 Assessment letter	•	136	136
14	P-3	Document; Birth certifi	cate for		175
15 16	P-4	Aamiyah De'Nasia Lamb Document; Birth certifi Christopher Lamont Bynu			175
17	FRII	* DAY, MARCH 15, 2013	* * * *		
18	DEFE	ENSE'S EXHIBITS			
19 20	D-D	Document; Las Vegas Mur Court Case #C0716427A	nicipal		392
21		*	* * * *		
22	OPEN	NING STATEMENT By Ms. Simpkins 77			
23	CLOS	SING STATEMENT By Mr. Cordes 393	· .		
24		By Ms. Simpkins 400			
	1.				

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

PROCEEDING BEGAN AT 09:26:57.)

(THE MARSHAL IS DISCUSSING THE CONFERENCE CALLING SYSTEM TO

THE ATTORNEYS.)

THE COURT: Why don't we get her on the phone first and then I'll --

(PHONE RINGS OUT AND GOES TO VOICEMAIL.)

I hope it's a long mailbox.

(CLERK AND MARSHAL HAVE A BRIEF DISCUSSION REGARDING ANOTHER

ATTEMPT FOR THE PHONE CALL.)

(PHONE RINGS OUT AGAIN AND VOICEMAIL PICKS UP.)

THE MARSHAL: Okay. What do you want to do?

MS. SIMPKINS: Borrow your gun.

MS. MOLINAR: (Chuckle.)

THE MARSHAL: With or without bullets.

MR. CORDES: Take your client first before you make any decisions about yourself (chuckle).

MS. SIMPKINS: (Laughing.)

(WHEREUPON, THE MATTER WAS TRAILED AT 09:28:55 AND RECALLED AT

09:42:37.)

(ANOTHER ATTEMPT AT PHONE CALL.)

(PHONE RINGS OUT AND NO ANSWER.)

THE MARSHAL: Okay. Are you ready to go on the record,

1

THE COURT: Yeah, just a minute.

3

THE MARSHAL: Okay.

4

(WHEREUPON THE MATTER WAS TRAILED AT 09:43:28 AND RECALLED AT

5

09:43:57.)

6

446967.

7

8

_

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay, we are on the record in Case Number

Appearances, please.

MR. CORDES: Good morning, Your Honor. Ron Cordes, Clark County District Attorney's Office, Bar Number 4955. With me is Michelle Douglas from the Clark County Department of Family Services.

MS. SIMPKINS: Melinda Simpkins, Deputy Special Public Defender, Bar Number 7911, appearing on behalf of natural mother, Keaundra DeBerry.

MS. MOLINAR: Deanna Molinar, Deputy Special Public Defender, Bar Number 12045, also present on behalf of the natural mother, Keaundra DeBerry.

THE COURT: Alright. The record should reflect that Ms. Berry (sic) was authorized to appear telephonically since her testimony had previously been taken, and she was subject to examination and cross examination. That this morning we've spent considerable time calling two different numbers, receiving voice mailbox messages. She's not answered the

phone.

Pursuant to the Court rules, it's incumbent upon the party, or the person who is going to be appearing telephonically, to make arrangements to be available at the specified time. We will proceed in her absence.

MR. CORDES: Thank you.

MS. SIMPKINS: And, Your Honor, just for the record, ethically, I have a duty to my client, so if I could just -- I would be requesting a continuance. She has been in almost constant contact with my office for the past two weeks. We've gotten this telephone number from her. She -- my understanding is made arrangements with her employer so that she could be present today. And I'm sorry, I don't know why we can't reach her. I hate to think something had happened to her, but I know that she did make special arrangements. And like I say, she has been, repeatedly, calling me. So I would ask for the continuance, and I have no other choice.

THE COURT: Alright.

MR. CORDES: Judge, State's opposed. Obviously she knew about today's date, based upon those representations. She was supposed to be available. I ask to proceed. This matter has been now cont --

THE COURT: Alright. I appreciate the necessity of you making a record. The motion to continue is denied.

24

MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright. So where are we? Has the State actually rested? I think -- because I know we took the testimony of the counselor/therapist --

MS. SIMPKINS: Out of order for me.

THE COURT: -- Ms. Fortune. And I don't remember if we took that out of order or as your first witness.

MS. SIMPKINS: We did take that out of order. She was the first witness called. She was taken out of order, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright.

MS. SIMPKINS: And --

MR. CORDES: I do believe the State rested and this --

THE COURT: Okay. Fine.

MR. CORDES: -- we were on the Respondent's case.

THE COURT: Ms. Simpkins?

MS. SIMPKINS: Very briefly. We would call Michelle Douglas to the stand.

THE COURT: Alright.

(MS. DOUGLAS TAKES THE STAND.)

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to give in this action, shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

MS. DOUGLAS: Yes. I do.

1	THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record.
2	MS. DOUGLAS: Michelle Douglas.
3	THE CLERK: Thank you.
4	MICHELLE DOUGLAS
5	having been called as a witness by the Respondent and being
6	first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
9	Q Ms. Douglas, are you still the supervisor of this
0	case over this case?
1	A No, I am not.
12	Q Okay. What unit did it go or who's the case
13	worker now? Do you know?
14	A I'm the supervisor. I transferred it to was Patrick
15	Mitchell. I'm not sure who the supervisor is I mean, who
16	the case worker is at this time.
17	Q Are you aware that the children have been pulled
18	from their grandmother in Louisiana?
19	A No.
20	Q Okay.
21	MS. SIMPKINS: No further questions, Your Honor.
22	MR. CORDES: I have no follow-up on that, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: You sure? Alright. You may step down.
24	Thank you very much.

1	MS. DOUGLAS: You're welcome.
2	(MS. DOUGLAS LEAVES THE STAND.)
3	MS. SIMPKINS: Michelle Jordan. She's in the hallway.
4	(THE WITNESS ENTERS THE COURTROOM.)
5	THE MARSHAL: Do you want to leave your stuff back here
6	or at the corner of the table?
7	MS. JORDAN: Yeah. I'll take it with me.
8	THE MARSHAL: Okay. Right there.
9	(THE WITNESS TAKES THE STAND.)
10	THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise your right
11	hand.
12	You do solemnly swear the testimony you're about to
13	give in this action shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
14	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
15	MS. JORDAN: I do.
16	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please state your name for the
17	record.
18	MS. JORDAN: Michelle Jordan.
19	THE CLERK: Jordan?
20	MS. JORDAN: Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
21	THE CLERK: Thank you.
22	MS. JORDAN: Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
23	THE MARSHAL: Okay. Just speak loud enough for everybody
24	to hear.

1	MS. JORDAN: Okay.
2	THE MARSHAL: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: You may proceed.
4	MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	MICHELLE JORDAN
6	having been called as a witness by the Respondent and being
7	first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
10	Q Ms. Jordan, are you familiar with my client,
11	Keaundra DeBerry?
12	A I am.
13	Q And how are you familiar with her?
14	A I was her caseworker.
15	Q When were you on her case?
16	A I'm not exactly sure the dates when I started. So,
17	I think I started with her either in 2010, 2008. I'm not
18	really sure which date I started with her.
19	Q Were you the on well, when did you stop working
20	with her?
21	A Um, I stopped becoming a caseworker in December of
22	2012.
23	Q Okay. Were you on her case from were you
24	well, let me back up. Were you her permanency worker from the

She has indicated that she wants to.

24

Α

1	Q Okay.
2	A Um, so that's what it that's what I did.
3	Q And did she do that, to your knowledge?
4	A She did.
5	Q Okay. So to your knowledge, has there ever been any
6	Child Protective Services involvement with the new baby?
7	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: What
9	MR. CORDES: She would lack personal knowledge.
10	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
11	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm asking
12	THE COURT: Well
13	MS. SIMPKINS: about her knowledge.
14	THE COURT: she asked to her knowledge.
15	MR. CORDES: Well, it would be based upon hearsay. It
16	wouldn't be on any personal observation. She said she'd never
17	seen the child. She's only getting this information from
18	speaking to other individuals.
19	THE COURT: Well let me ask. Was the baby born in Clark
20	County?
21	THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. Not to my knowledge. Was
22	not.
23	THE COURT: Do you have knowledge of reports that are
24	made to CPS agencies in other states as a matter of your job?

1	THE WITNESS: I do not have knowledge of it.
2	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
3	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
4	Q Do Aamiyah and Christopher Jr. visit with the new
5	baby?
6	A Um, according to the grandmother, yes, they have
7	visited with the new baby.
8	Q Was there ever a sibling visitation order in this
9	case?
10	A No.
11	Q Now you submitted a case plan on this case around
12	about March of 2011, correct?
13	A I'm I'm not sure what the date is, so cuz I'm
14	not looking at dates. But
15	Q Does that sound about right to you?
16	A Um, I I think one may have been submitted before
17	that, and that's why I'm saying I'm not sure. Um, I know a
18	case plan was submitted.
19	Q Okay. Would it refresh your recollection to look at
20	the case plan?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. I have three different ones.
23	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
24	Q Does that sound about right? That there were

1	A It could be.
2	Q more than one.
3	A Yes. It could be.
4	MS. SIMPKINS: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
5	THE COURT: You may. Just show Mr. Cordes what you're
6	going to show the witness.
7	(MR. CORDES REVIEWS THE DOCUMENT.)
8	MR. CORDES: Thanks.
9	MS. SIMPKINS: Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
0	Take a look at each of those please, and let me know
1	when you're done.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	(PAUSE.)
14	Okay.
15	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
16	Q Does that refresh your recollection, Ms. Jordan?
17	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
18	Q Okay. And did you submit a case plan in March of
19	2011?
20	A Yes. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
21	MS. SIMPKINS: May I approach, Your Honor, to retrieve
22	them?
23	THE COURT: You may.
24	BY MS. SIMPKINS:

T #	nousing?	
2	A The original	housing was in Louisiana
3	Q Uh-hmm (in t	he affirmative).
4	A when I fi	rst started with her. It was in
, 5	Louisiana. And then,	I believe, she has moved to South
6	Carolina.	
7	Q Uh-hmm (in t	he affirmative).
8	A So I think h	er housing is my last knowledge she
, 9	9 was in South Carolina.	
10	Q And that was	the house she inherited from her
11	1 father, correct?	
12	A That's corre	ect.
. 13	Q Okay. Now,	did Keaundra keep in touch with you
14	throughout the life of the case?	
15	A She did.	
16	Q What about i	ncome? Has she had income?
. 17	A She has. Uh	n-hmm (in the affirmative).
18	Q And income a	really wasn't the main concern for her,
19	was it?	
20	A No. Mm-hmm	(in the negative).
. 21	Q Now with req	gard to physical abuse, she completed two
22	treatment programs. (one in Louisiana and one in South
23	Carolina, correct?	
24	A Uh-hmm (in t	the affirmative).

1	Q Is that a you got to say, yes or no.
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. Now the first one that she completed, that
4	was the one in Louisiana. Did you submit that to Court to
5	the Court as well?
6	A I did.
7	Q Okay. And in September of 2011, I believe, the
8	Court found that wasn't good enough, right?
9	A Yeah. The Court wanted her to do more.
0	Q And that was despite the Department being satisfied
۱1	with the program that she had completed, right?
12	A We were satisfied that she had completed the
13	program.
14	Q Isn't it true that in September of 2011, you advised
15	the Court that Mom had completed physical abuse counseling,
16	parenting and had stable housing, and asked the Court to
17	postpone the termination of parental rights?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Okay. Now, the Court wanted Mom to admit that she
20	had held the iron to the baby's face, didn't they?
21	A That's my understanding.
22	Q Okay. And, but for Mom's refusal to admit that she
23	held the iron to the baby's face, she could have had her
24	children back, couldn't she?

1	Q From the counselor?	
2	A No.	
3	Q Now, Ms. Jordan, let me ask you a little bit about	
4	DFS policies and procedures. You you filed several court	
5	reports with the Court over with your tenure on the case,	
6	didn't you?	
7	A I did.	
8	Q Okay. And is it DFS policy that you have to have	
9	your supervisor sign off on those?	
10	A That is. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).	
11	Q Okay. And as far as you're concerned, did you have	
12	your supervisor sign off on on all of your court reports?	
13	A I did. If yeah.	
14	. Q And did you write well, was there a time when you	
15	were on leave during your time on Keaundra's case?	
16	A There was. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).	
17	Q Do you remember when that was?	
18	A Um, I was out from, I would say, almost like the	
19	the beginning of January I was in and out because I was	
20	dealing with some my mom was terminally ill. And then, I	
21	again was out, myself, in February, all the way until April.	
22	Q And that's 2012?	
23	A 2012, yes.	
24	THE COURT: Effectively you were off January to April	

1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: of 2012?
3	THE WITNESS: I was in and out in January, and then I was
4	out in and out. And then I was gone for some time there.
5	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
6	Q So, did you write there was court report that was
7	dated March of 2012. Did you write that report?
8	A I would I don't think I was here for March 2012.
9	I wasn't in the office, March 2012.
10	Q Okay. Who was your supervisor at the time?
11	A Michelle Douglas.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who was it?
13	THE WITNESS: Michelle Douglas.
14	(BRIEF PAUSE.)
15	MS. SIMPKINS: Court's indulgence. I'm trying to
16	differentiate between the questions I've already asked Ms.
17	Douglas and the questions I need to ask Ms. Jordan.
18	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
19	Q There was a report filed April 11th, 2012. Were you
20	still on leave when that report was filed?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Ms. Jordan, during your time on the case, has
23	Keaundra maintained contact with her children?
24	A Yes. She has, to my knowledge.

1	Q How often? Do you know?
2	A Um, I think she had and then I don't know a
3	hundred percent for sure, cuz they were out of state. But
4	so I can't really say how often she was with them, but I would
5	say regular contact.
6	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. Then it would call
7	for speculation.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	MS. SIMPKINS: Well, Your Honor, as part of her job duty,
10	she is supposed to gather this information and present it to
11	the Court.
12	MR. CORDES: Well
13	THE COURT: Well, it may have been the phrasing that the
14	witness used or I don't know. You need to rephrase.
15	MICHELLE JORDAN
16	testifies as follows on:
17	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
18	BY THE COURT:
19	Q Did you receive information from your counterparts
20	in Louisiana?
21	A I did.
22	Q Alright.
23	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
24	Q And did that information include information of

That's correct. Okay. Do you know when the last 0 1 time Dad had any contact with Mom? 2 MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. 3 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. CORDES: Call for speculation. 5 MS. SIMPKINS: I asked her if she knew. 6 THE COURT: Alright. Either based upon personal 7 knowledge or information as reported to you from Louisiana, do 8 you know the contact information concerning the father? 9 THE WITNESS: Um, based on, um, information from, 10 Keaundra, the last time she had contact with him was, um, . 11 right before he went to jail. I think somewhat -- he was 12 arrested in South Carolina and extradited to Louisiana. But I 13 don't know what the time frame is on that. 14 _ 15 (BRIEF PAUSE.) BY MS. SIMPKINS: 16 So let me move forward a little bit. When Mom found 17 out, or Keaundra found out, that the Court was dissatisfied 18 with the treatment she received in Louisiana, do you know what 19 20 she did? Um, I can't say what she did. Um --Α 21 Well, did there come a time when she obtained other 22 23 treatment?

She did. She obtained other treatment.

1	Q Okay. And who was that through?
. 2	A In and I wasn't instrumental in getting that
3	treatment started. So I don't I know it was from Jane
4	Fondue, is what I later found out. I think her name
5	Q Fortune?
. 6	A Fortune. See, excuse me.
7	Q That's okay (chuckle).
8	A I made her a dip. Whatever.
9	Q Is that correct? Fortune correct? Or Fondue
, 10	correct?
11.	A It's Fortune.
12	(LAUGHTER IN THE COURTROOM.)
13	THE COURT: You were thinking of fondue, but
, 14	THE WITNESS: I know.
15	MS. SIMPKINS: You're making me hungry.
16	THE WITNESS: Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
17	MS. SIMPKINS: Okay. Alright.
18	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
19	Q Did you, during your course of being the permanency
20	worker on the case, did you have occasion to contact Ms.
21	Fortune with regard to the treatment?
22	A Yes. I have.
23	Q Did you do essentially the same thing with Ms.
24	Fortune that you did with the Louisiana therapist and inform

believe that was your -- the language that you used in the report, you mean to say that she burned the child with an iron herself?

A I don't know if she did that or not, so I can't -- I wasn't there. So I can't say if she did that.

- Q Okay. So what did you want her to articulate then?
- A I wanted her to accept the responsibility that either she burned her child or somebody burned her child, and that she was responsible for that child, whether she did it or somebody else.
- Q So, did you have cause to discuss this with Ms. Fortune? Specifically, what you were looking for from Mom?
- A Um, I don't know if I would -- I'm not sure if I went in detail with Ms. Fortune on it or not.
- Q Were you satisfied with Ms. Fortune's assessment of Keaundra's therapy?
 - A I was. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
- Q Did you have any further concerns or any areas that you thought Ms. Fortune needed to cover with Mom in therapy?
- A Um, I think I wanted her to -- to discuss her role in the injury of the child if she was not going to accept the fact that she actually burned him, she needed to accept the role that the child has an injury. And the injury is there for some -- something put the injury there --

1	Q	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
2	Α	and that she was just not she wasn't
3	supervisir	ng him
4	Q	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
5	А	I she burned him or she wasn't supervising him
6	when he go	ot burned. And so it was hard for us to get her to
7	understand	d her role in his injury.
8	Q	Okay. When was the last time you talked to Ms.
9	Fortune?	Do you remember?
10	A	I I think the same time when we were here in
11	court.	
12	Ď	Oh.
13	. A	And I I only said hello to them. I didn't have
14	conversat	ions with them.
15	Q Q	Okay. Let me
16	· A	That was just the last time.
17	Q	when you talked
18	A	Yeah, okay.
19	Q	Let me rephrase that question then. When was the
20	last time	you talked to Ms. Fortune, in depth, about this
21	case?	
22	A	Um and that I don't recall, actually, when I
23	spoke to	her, cuz I have spoken to her on a couple of
24	occasions	3. It was probably prior to her coming down here for

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

court.

So -- and I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, Q Ms. Jordan, so correct me if I'm wrong.

Okay. Α

You would have been satisfied if Mom would have been able to articulate how she had lacked supervision over the child which caused the child to be burned?

I would have been -- I would have been satisfied if she had gone through the physical abuse program.

- Uh-hmm (in the affirmative). 0
- Α Okay.
- Uh-hmm (in the affirmative). Q
- And be able to articulate what happened to her son. Α
- Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
- And move forward from there. Α
- Okay. Fair enough. Q

Excuse me. Mmmm.

Have you noticed any -- in your contact with Keaundra, from the very beginning of the case, until you were off the case in December 2012, have you noticed any change in Mom's demeanor or behavior since you got on the case, until you got off the case?

- Α I have.
- What did you notice?

A Well, when I first met her, she was over-the-top; very loud and, you know, just wanting to explain, "I didn't do this to him. I blah, blah." She was very adamant that she didn't do it. She was very loud, and she could be intimidating. And so it was hard, sometimes, to actually talk to her because she was just -- she was totally convinced that, "I didn't do this, and somebody has to listen to me. If I have to call the FBI, somebody's going to listen." And then, over -- over time we were able to get her to, um, bring her levels down, so that somebody could actually hear her, and listen to her, and have an intelligent conversation with her. So she changed from being over-the-top, to somebody that you could actually -- actually talk to and listen to you.

Q Okay. During your time on the case, did you ever interview the daughter who was actually present when this happened?

A I don't know if I want to say as much as an interview; I did talk to her.

Q Uh-hmm (in the affirmative). Did she -- she's never indicated to you that Mom held the iron to the baby's face, has she?

A No. She did not indicate that to me.

Q Okay. And has she ever changed her story as to what happened?

but we were told that it's already been decided on.

Q Okay. And during your time on this case, was
Keaundra ever able to articulate to you, the signs and
symptoms of physical abuse, and some things that she could do
to avoid physical abuse of her child?

A She was able to. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).

Q Okay.

THE COURT: Said she was?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

Q Now, when you met with Keaundra in July of 2012, your case notes indicate you -- that she demonstrated change of behavior. What did you mean by that?

A Um, she was just a changed person. She was a person who was -- who was ready to, um, to listen, you know, to some of the -- the charges that were against her. Because she was just totally in disbelief that the charges were there and that this is how the system goes. So, she was able to sit down and look at the paperwork, and start to say, "Okay. That's not what I thought was going on." Whereas before, she just wasn't listening to anything. So she had changed, by, "Now I'm ready to listen. I see what's going on now, and I can understand what you're saying now."

Q Ms. Jordan, during your tenure on the case and the various reports that were filed, there are references to

Q Okay. And during your time on this case, was
Keaundra ever able to articulate to you, the signs and
symptoms of physical abuse, and some things that she could do
to avoid physical abuse of her child?

A She was able to. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).

Q Okay.

THE COURT: Said she was?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MS. SIMPKINS:

Q Now, when you met with Keaundra in July of 2012, your case notes indicate you -- that she demonstrated change of behavior. What did you mean by that?

A Um, she was just a changed person. She was a person who was -- who was ready to, um, to listen, you know, to some of the -- the charges that were against her. Because she was just totally in disbelief that the charges were there and that this is how the system goes. So, she was able to sit down and look at the paperwork, and start to say, "Okay. That's not what I thought was going on." Whereas before, she just wasn't listening to anything. So she had changed, by, "Now I'm ready to listen. I see what's going on now, and I can understand what you're saying now."

Q Ms. Jordan, during your tenure on the case and the various reports that were filed, there are references to

1	another doctor in Louisiana who saw the child directly, the
2	child that was injured. Did you see those records?
3	A I did.
4	Q Okay. Do you know who obtained those records?
5	A They were obtained by the investigator, actually.
6	They were actually in the file.
7	MS. SIMPKINS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Cordes, any questions?
9	MR. CORDES: Yes, Your Honor.
10	MICHELLE JORDAN
11	testifies as follows on:
12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. CORDES:
14	Q Alright. So, Ms. Jordan, your last contact with the
15	mother was November 2012. Is that correct?
16	A That's that's that I can think, yeah.
17	Q Okay. And during that contact, it was a brief
18	contact here at the court where you said, "Hello."
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Did you discuss any substantive issues with the
21	mother during that contact?
22	A Not at all.
23	Q Now, the mother told you that the father was
24	arrested in South Carolina. Is that correct?

1	A That's what she told me.
2	Q Okay. When did she tell you that information?
3	A I'm going to say it was probably on a phone call and
4	it was at had to be in I don't know if it was November,
5	December. I'm not really sure when she told me that though.
6	Q Of what year?
7	A Of 2012.
8	Q Okay. So November or December of 2012
9	A It was
10	Q you had a phone call with the mother, where she
11	told you he was arrested in South Carolina.
12	A Right. And I'm not really sure when she told me
13	that, is what I want to say. I'm just guessing when I say, at
14	that time frame. But it was in 2012.
15	Q Okay. What do you recall about the conversation
16	with the mother involving the father's arrest in South
17	Carolina?
18	A I recall her saying that he was there and that
19	someone, I don't know how the police ended up there, okay, cuz
20	I'm not really sure how they ended up there. But that they
21	had, um, transported him back to Louisiana.
22	Q Okay. And
23	A Extradited him there.

And did the mother indicate whether or not the

24

contact with the father occurred around the same time that the family reunion had taken place?

A I don't know if she's -- if she's saying during that time or not, either. And, I'm -- I'm almost thinking she said that she was told to call the police because he was there, but I'm not really sure.

- Q So, then the mother's last contact with the father, was in South Carolina, some time in 2012?
 - A To my knowledge.
 - Q Based on your conversation with the mother?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, the mother's explanation for the injury to the child was that the child kissed an iron. Is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And that explanation has been consistent since the initial removal in 2010 up and through your last interaction with the mother some time towards the end of 2012. Is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q She has never provided another explanation to you relative to the burn to the face. Is that correct?
 - A No.
- Q Now, did Ms. DeBerry discuss with you any domestic violence issues that she had with the father of the child?

į	A	She ha	s hin	ted ar	oun	ıd to	and	I thir	nk it	came 1	more
from :	her	mother,	that	there	's	domesti	c vic	lence	issue	s goi:	ng
on.	She	and I h	ave d	liscuss	ed	domesti	c vic	lence.	. So,	we d	id.
She d	id n	ot tell	me c	f an a	.ct	with hi	.m, bu	t we h	nave d	iscus	sed
domes	tic	violenc	e bec	ause h	er	mother	said	there	's dom	estic	
viole	nce	going o	n. S	So							

- Q Okay. So when you say we discussed it --
- A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
- Q -- what was Ms. DeBerry's response to you --
- A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
- Q -- relative to allegations that there may be domestic violence in her relationship with the father?
- A She did not, outright, say, "Yes, we have domestic violence." She just engaged with the conversation as I was saying, "You know, if there's domestic violence, you should seek treatment for it." So...
- Q To your knowledge, did she ever engage in any domestic violence counseling?
- A I don't know if she discussed it with this -- no, I don't know if she did or not.
 - Q When you spoke to Ms. Fortune --
 - A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
 - O -- in South Carolina --
 - A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).

1	Q	back in 2010
2	A	No.
3	Q	until now.
4	A	He was with the grandma.
5	Q	Did any father claiming to be the father of the
6	children,	come forward to establish paternity, or any way
7	assert the	eir rights as a father?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay.
10	A	No.
11	Q	Did you ever have contact with Christopher Bynum's
12	alleged f	ather or putative father?
13	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative). I had
14	Q	What contact
15	A	phone contact with him.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
18	Q	And when did that take place? Way back in 2010?
19	Ą	It did. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	I've talked to him, actually yeah, I've talked to
22	him then.	I may have spoken to him twice.
23	Q	Throughout
24	A	Throughout, yes.
	11	

1		Okay.
2	A U	Th-hmm (in the affirmative).
3	QI	Oo you recall, approximately, when the last
4	conversation	on was?
5	A]	I would say more like, maybe in 2011, maybe 2012.
6	Q (Okay. And then after that conversation, ever
7	receive any	y financial support for the benefit of his child?
8	A I	No.
9	Q Z	Any cards, gifts, letters? Did he make any kind of
10	attempt to	provide emotional support for his child?
11	. A 1	Not that not to my knowledge.
12	Q ¹	Were you clear with him that his child was in foster
13	care?	
14	A	I was. I would say that, um, Mr. Bynum did visits
15	with his -	- with his children, along with with Ms. DeBerry
16	when they	would visit at the grandparent's house. He has, on
17	öccasion,	been there for a visit with the kids.
18	Q	When they lived in Louisiana together?
19	A	When they lived in Louisiana, yes. And Mr. Bynum
20	was trying	to get his son to his mother.
21	Q	Okay. And then how about as to Aamiyah's father, or
22	possible f	ather? Any contact with that individual?
23	A	No. I've tried to do I did diligent searches for
24	thom cont	- letters for them, and everything. But I was never

1	able to get anyone to to respond.
2	Q Okay. And so did any man claiming to be the father
3	of Aamiyah provide any financial support for the benefit of
4	that child while you were the case manager?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. Did anyone claiming to be the father of
7	Aamiyah provide any emotional support by way of letters,
8	gifts, cards, anything for the benefit of Aamiyah's emotional
9	support?
10	A No.
11	Q So, as you sit here today, the injury to Christopher
12	is still unexplained based on the lack of a medically
13	consistent explanation. Is that correct?
14	A I'm sorry. Can you
15	Q As you sit here today
16	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
17	\mathtt{Q} the injury to Christopher is still unexplained
18	based upon no medically consistent explanation. Is that
19	correct?
20	A We do have two different explanations. So
21	Q Correct.
22	A Yeah.
23	Q And you understand that both of those explanations
24	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).

1	Q	have been checked with a doctor
2	А	Okay.
3	Q	and are not consistent with the explanation. Is
4		
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	that your understanding?
7	A	Yes. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
8	Q	Okay. As you sit here today, based on all of your
9	conversat	ions with Ms. DeBerry
10	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
11	Q	you don't know if she, in fact, burned
12	Christoph	ner, do you?
13	A	I do not, cuz, I was not there. So I mm-hmm (in
14	the negat	cive).
15	Q	Okay. And as you sit here today, you don't know for
16	a fact th	nat Mr. Bynum burned or excuse me, Mr
17	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
18	Q	Bynum burned Christopher, right?
19	A	I do not.
20	Q	Okay.
21	А	Mm-hmm (in the negative).
22	Q	Now, was it your understanding from speaking to Ms.
23	DeBerry	that Mr. Bynum was actually in the home with her and
24	the child	dren on the day of the injury?

1	safety asse	essment on this family?
2	A	I do not.
3	Q 2	And do your policies and procedures require that you
4	do a safety	y assessment before each court hearing?
5	A	That's correct. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
6	Q i	And when you do that safety assessment for the
7	children,	are you assessing their current location, or are you
8	assessing	the parental home?
9	. A	Um, it should be the home that that the actual
10	kids are i	n.
11	. Q	Okay.
12	A	That's the one
13	Q	So, in September
14	A	that I was assessing.
15	Q	of 2012
16	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
17	Q	before the last court report that you generated
18		
19	A	Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
20	Q	you would have done a safety assessment based
21	upon the o	children's location with their maternal grandmother.
22	Is that co	orrect?
23	A	That's correct. Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
24	Q	And do you recall that the safety assessment at that

1	time was that the children were safe with their grandmother?
2	A It would have been if there was one done .
3	Q Since the children were removed from the care of the
4	parents
5	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
6	Q would you ever have personally conducted a safety
7	assessment of Ms. DeBerry's home, or would that have been the
8	investigator?
9	A Since they were removed?
10	Q Correct.
1	A Um, since she was out of state, I would not have
12	been able to do a safety assessment of her home.
13	MR. CORDES: Thank you, I have no further questions for
14	this witness.
15	THE COURT: Any redirect?
16	MS. SIMPKINS: Yes, Your honor.
17	MICHELLE JORDAN
18	testifies as follows on:
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
21	Q Ms. Jordan, let me back you up a little bit. Mr.
22	Cordes was asking you about the telephone call from Mom in
23	November, December of 2012, where she indicated to you that

the dad had been arrested.

1	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
2	Q Okay. That happened prior to the telephone call,
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you know how how much prior to the telephone
-6	call?
7	A I do not.
8	Q I mean, it wasn't the day before, was it? It had
9	been a while, hadn't it?
10	A I cannot I honestly cannot say. I'd have to
11	actually look and see. When he was actually arrested, I don't
12	know.
13	Q Okay. Do you think it would refresh your
14	recollection to look at a printout from the Bossier, Louisiana
15	Bossier City, Louisiana Sheriff's Office?
16	A I guess that would show me when he was arrested, but
17	it's I don't know if I spoke to her, if he had been just
18	arrested a couple of days ago, or a month ago. That's the
19	part I'm not sure, um, when I talked to her versus him being
20	arrested.
21	Q Well, your previous testimony was, you talked to her
22	in November, 2000 or December 2012, right?
23	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative). I talked to her in
24	December of in I talked to her either November or I

⁺ ∥	KIIOW I Cal	.Red to her in November, cdz
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	she was here.
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	But I spoke to her about him being arrested, prior
6	to that.	So but I don't know exactly when.
7	Q	Okay. You don't know when you talked to her?
8	A	Mm-hmm (in the negative). I could have talked to
9	her in Nov	rember, and she said, "He's arrested." And then she
0	came down	here. And I know haven't I didn't speak to her
.1	after Nove	ember.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	So, it would be prior to November
4	Q	So
5	A	or prior.
6	0	It could have been from January 1st to November 1st
17		
۱8	A	Could have been.
19	Q	2012.
20	A	Yeah.
21	Q	Okay. So there's really no way for you to tell.
22	A	Mm-hmm (in the negative).
23	Q	Okay. Fair enough. Now, when Mr. Cordes was asking
24	you about	Mom, stating that the baby kissed the iron, that's

$1 \parallel$	what the daughter told you, isn't it?
2	A That's what the daughter told me.
3	Q Okay. So, was Mom repeating what the daughter had
4	said?
5	A No. Mom didn't repeat what the Mom didn't repeat
6	what the daughter said.
7	Q Okay.
8	A Mom mom repeated Mom told me that she was not
9	in the room
10	Q Okay.
11	A is what she said.
12	Q Okay. Now, with regard to the domestic violence
13	issues, Ms. Jordan, isn't it true that domestic violence was
14	dismissed from the petition by Hearing Master Femiano after
15	the court hearing?
16	A To my knowledge.
17	Q Okay. And with regard to the bond, Mr. Cordes was
18	asking you about the bond between the children and Aamiyah,
19	always stating to you that she, "Wanted to go home. When can
20	I go home." Do you know where the children are now?
21	A I do not.
22	Q Okay.
23	A Mm-hmm (in the negative).
24	Q Are you aware that they had been removed from the

1	grandmother?
2	MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for
3	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm asking as to
4	MR. CORDES: speculation.
5	MS. SIMPKINS: her knowledge.
6	MR. CORDES: She just said she doesn't know where they
7	are.
8	MS. SIMPKINS: Well, being where they are, versus had
9	they been removed, are two different questions.
10	MR. CORDES: Okay. Alright. Well it assumes facts not
11	in evidence, Your Honor.
12	MS. SIMPKINS: How can I put them into evidence if I
13	can't ask a question.
14	THE COURT: Well, she's overruled. Go ahead.
15	BY MS. SIMPKINS:
16	Q Are you aware that they've been removed from the
17	grandmother, or no?
18	A Um, I just just recently became aware. I have no
19	idea where they're at.
20	Q Okay. With regard to you indicated that there
21	were two different explanations as to the injury to this
22	child. Were you talking about the two different doctor's
23	explanations?

Α

Yes.

1	A Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).
2	Q like you sent to the first therapist, correct?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Okay.
5	A It was pretty specific.
6	Q Okay. But as far as outcomes, as far as exactly
7	what you want Mom to say, exactly what you want to see from
8	Mom, that wasn't very specific was it?
9	A I don't know, cuz I think it was all spelled out.
10	It was all spelled out in the forms that we sent to her.
11	MS. SIMPKINS: Your Honor, I have no further questions.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Cordes, any follow-up?
13	MR. CORDES: Just briefly.
14	MICHELLE JORDAN
15	testifies as follows on:
16	RECROSS EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. CORDES:
18	Q Ms. Jordan, you are aware that the Court sustained
19	the physical abuse allegations against Ms. DeBerry, based upon
20	a trial, correct?
21	A I am aware of that, Your Honor
22	Q Okay.
23	A I mean, Sir.
24	Q Yes. And so, as it stands right now, Christopher

1	was physically abused by Ms. DeBerry, resulting in the child
2	being placed into the care of the Department. Is that
3	correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And at the time the Court made that determination,
6	they were aware that a doctor had rendered a different
7	opinion, after the children had been taken to Louisiana and
8	hidden by Ms. DeBerry and Mr. Bynum, correct?
9	MS. SIMPKINS: I'm going to object, Your Honor. I I
10	don't that would have been the CPS investigator.
11	MR. CORDES: Judge, it's part of the juvenile file.
12	There was an allegation.
13	MS. SIMPKINS: Well, that evidence was not allowed in
14	during the contested hearing. It's in the findings because i
15	wasn't certified copy.
16	THE COURT: So there's no evidence in the record, then,
17	that a medical doctor has
18	MS. SIMPKINS: Well there
19	THE COURT: found these not to be
20	MS. SIMPKINS: No. There's there's
21	THE COURT: abuse?
22	MS. SIMPKINS: repeated references in the J file to
23	these reports by the Department, in their reports to the
24	Court. Okay.

24

THE COURT: The Louisiana reports.

MS. SIMPKINS: Right. And in the findings from the hearing master, she refused to allow that to be admitted as evidence because there weren't certified copies.

THE COURT: And there's no evidence in the record that --MR. CORDES: That that opinion exists.

THE COURT: -- that these injuries were anything other -- that these injuries were of a non-accidental nature. Is that what you're saying?

MS. SIMPKINS: No. I -- I'd disagree with that, Your Honor. Because the Department has --

THE COURT: Well if the records --

MS. SIMPKINS: -- repeatedly --

THE COURT: -- weren't admitted --

MS. SIMPKINS: -- indicated. But the Department has repeatedly admitted that those records exist. So that's an admission of party-opponent, and I would suggest to you that that is evidence.

MR. CORDES: Well, Judge, respectfully, I disagree with that interpretation. Just because the Department references inadmissible evidence, doesn't then make it admissible.

MS. SIMPKINS: Well, it's in front of the Court now.

MR. CORDES: There's nothing in front of the Court, other than this testimony.

1	THE COURT: No objection?
2	MR. CORDES: It's a certified copy of a legal document.
3	THE MARSHAL: Ma'am.
4	THE COURT: Alright. That'll be Defense Exhibit what?
5	THE CLERK: "D".
6	THE COURT: Okay. It'll be admitted.
7	(DEFENSE'S EXHIBIT D IS ADMITTED.)
8	MS. SIMPKINS: And at this time we would rest, Your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: Any rebuttal?
11	MR. CORDES: No rebuttal witnesses, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Are the parties prepared to argue?
13	MR. CORDES: Yes, Your Honor.
14	MS. SIMPKINS: Could we take two minutes. I need to run
15	to the
16	THE COURT: Yeah, as a matter of fact
17	MS. SIMPKINS: Yeah.
18	THE COURT: it might be a good idea. Well take a
19	MR. CORDES: Want to do five?
20	THE COURT: We'll take ten minutes.
21	MR. CORDES: Ten minutes.
22	THE COURT: Quarter of.
23	MR. CORDES: Okay.
24	MS. SIMPKINS: Okay.

~

⁻ 18

MR. CORDES: Thanks, Judge.

(WHEREUPON, THE MATTER WAS TRAILED AT 10:39:17 AND RECALLED AT 10:54:08.)

THE COURT: Okay. We are back on the record in 446967. Counsel and Ms. Douglas are present.

Mr. Cordes?

CLOSING STATEMENT BY MR. CORDES

MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor. Judge, as set forth in the Petitioner's pretrial memorandum, the State respectfully submits that the evidence by way of the witnesses that you've heard, as well as the documentary evidence that has now been admitted into the Court record, clearly, and convincingly establishes that Christopher and Aamiyah were removed from the parents' care in 2010.

As such, this Court is required, by the statutes, to apply certain presumptions in 128.109. Specifically, this Court must presume that the termination is in these children's best interest based upon the length of time that the children have been out of the home, the fact that the parents have neglected the children for a period in -- approximately thirty-four months, up and through today's date, and other parental faults that exist. Specifically, the Court should apply 128.109, token efforts as to both parents, and specifically, a failure of parental adjustment based upon the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

length of time from the original case plan in March 2011, up and through today's date.

Additionally, Your Honor, the State would submit that the evidence has demonstrated that Christopher sustained an unexplained injury. The explanation previously provided by the mother, which she remains consistent to through the testimony she provided before Your Honor, is that Christopher was accidentally burned with an iron. That explanation was determined by medical experts at the time of the underlying adjudicatory hearing to be inconsistent with the extent and nature of the injuries sustained by Christopher. The mother has not provided any additional explanation, which may justify

(COURTROOM PHONE RINGS)

MR. CORDES: -- the injuries sustained by Christopher.

As such --

THE MARSHAL: Defense, they're calling you now.

MR. CORDES: Oh.

MS. SIMPKINS: You're kidding me.

THE MARSHAL: I'm sorry. Do you want me to --

(PAUSE WHILE THE MARSHAL ANSWERS COURTROOM PHONE.)

Department "D."

Right. Hold on, hold on.

(THE MARSHAL INQUIRES TO THE COURT.)

1	So do we want to call her back at this number so she
2	can hear the end of it?
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	THE MARSHAL: Rosa, do you have
5	THE CLERK: Yes.
6	THE MARSHAL: 318
7	THE CLERK: I got it.
8	THE MARSHAL: 617-8319?
9	THE CLERK: Yes. She needs to hang-up though.
10	(THE MARSHAL SPEAKING TO THE CALLER.)
11	THE MARSHAL: Okay, Keaundra. What I need you do. We're
12	going to hang up and then we're going to call you, so make
13	sure you stay by the phone, so you can get the phone call.
14	(BRIEF PAUSE.)
15	Okay. Give me that number; 843-487-8256. Okay,
16	stay right there, we're going to call you. Bye.
17	Did you get the number, Rosa?
18	THE CLERK: 843-487-8256.
19	THE MARSHAL: Perfect.
20	(BRIEF CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE MARSHAL AND THE CLERK AS THE
21	NUMBER IS DIALED.)
22	(PHONE DIALS OUT.)
23	MS. DeBERRY: Hello.
24	THE MARSHAL: Hello, is this Keaundra?

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. DeBERRY: Yes, it is.

THE MARSHAL: Okay. Can you hear me? This is the Family Court in Las Vegas.

MS. DeBERRY: Yes, Ma'am. I can hear you all.

THE MARSHAL: Okay. We're just doing closing arguments in your case, because we started this morning at 9:00 a.m. So

MS. DeBERRY: Okay.

THE MARSHAL: -- you're going to hear the attorneys.

THE COURT: Ms. DeBerry, this is --

MS. DeBERRY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- this is Judge Teuton. Can you hear me alright?

MS. DeBERRY: Yes.

THE COURT: Alright. Mr. Cordes and Ms. Douglas from the Department of Family Services are present, as are your attorney, Melinda Simpkins and Ms. Molinar.

Mr. Cordes -- we called repeatedly -- this isn't directed at you. We did call two or three times to separate numbers, before we proceeded taking testimony this morning, and we got your voicemail. At this stage of the proceedings, Mr. Cordes is making his closing argument. You can listen to that, and then you can hear Ms. Simpkins argue on your behalf, and any rebuttal.

- 20

21

22

23

- 24

Alright?

MS. DeBERRY: That -- could you repeat that for me one more time?

THE COURT: Yeah. Basically, Mr. Cordes is now arguing, Ms. Simpkins will then argue. Mr. Cordes will have an opportunity to respond, and then the case will be submitted to me for a decision.

Alright?

MS. DeBERRY: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Cordes, will you say something just to make sure she can hear you?

MR. CORDES: Yes. Good morning, Ms. DeBerry.

MS. DeBERRY: Good --

THE COURT: Did you hear Mr. Cordes?

MS. DeBERRY: -- morning.

THE COURT: Were you able to hear Mr. Cordes.

MS. DeBERRY: Yes. I was able to hear him.

THE COURT: Alright. Ms. Simpkins.

MS. SIMPKINS: Hi Keaundra.

MS. DeBERRY: Hi.

THE COURT: Alright.

Okay. Mr. Cordes you may proceed.

MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor.

Additionally, Your Honor, I believe the testimony

that you heard, combined with the documentary evidence, supports the fact that, in light of the nature of the injury, which the District Court has already confirmed as being physical abuse by Ms. DeBerry, renders her to qualify as an unfit parent.

- 11

. 19

. 23

As such, the State is requesting the Court make a finding that Ms. DeBerry is unfit, based upon the nature of the injuries that Christopher sustained, that her failure to successfully make behavioral changes by way of the case plan objectives set forth for her, specifically, her failure to identify the nature of the injuries Christopher sustained, and the circumstances that led to the physical injuries sustained by Christopher, is a failure of parental adjustment.

The evidence also supported, by clear and convincing testimony and documentary evidence, that Ms. DeBerry has only made token efforts to comply with her case plan, change her circumstances, and avoid being an unfit parent.

As to the fathers. The evidence has demonstrated that Mr. Bynum and any other man claiming to be the father of these children, has abandoned the children. They have not provided any financial support, or emotional support, in at least the last six months, and specifically, Mr. Bynum, has not been involved in these children's lives since sometime in 2010, shortly after their removal, but for one brief

. 11

, 15

conversation, according to Ms. Jordan, wherein he was informed that the children are still in care, and he took no further steps after that. Indications by way of Ms. Bynum's -- or Ms. DeBerry's conversations with Ms. Jordan, indicate that he may actually have committed further criminal conduct and may have been incarcerated towards the end of this case, rendering him to either abandon or have neglected the children.

Additionally, Your Honor, the evidence has demonstrated neglect for a period of approximately thirty-four months, during which time this case has been regularly reviewed by the Juvenile Court, determination has been made that the children could not be returned to the care of the parents based upon their circumstances and the nature of these injuries. And we would be submitting a request that the Court make a finding of neglect by Ms. Deberry, as well as the named father, and any potential fathers.

In assessing the best interest, Your Honor, the Court is required to determine the parental fitness, as well as the best interest together. And given the length of time that these children have been in the care of the Department, in foster care, respectfully submit that the evidence has also demonstrated that their best interest would be achieved by freeing them for purposes of adoption.

And with that, we would respectfully submit it.

THE COURT: Ms. Simpkins?

CLOSING STATEMENT BY MS. SIMPKINS

MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

It's been a while, so I've written down a lot of things. Your Honor, in the Petition to Terminate Parental Rights, the State alleged every ground, and I believe, we can pretty much dispense with abandonment. I further believe that we can dispense with token efforts. I believe the evidence is clear that by the time Mom eventually got to the contested hearing, and got her case plan, the State was basically ready to file for termination of parental rights because due to the numerous continuances, she — that worked against her.

Once she obtained a case plan, I would submit she immediately started working that case plan. And that at the start of this trial, I told you -- and the evidence presented throughout the trial, indicated that Mom has completed that case plan. And that's what the Department has repeatedly put in their reports to the Court.

Accordingly, Your Honor, I would submit that token efforts does not apply even under the presumptions in NRS 128.109. So I would submit that we're left basically with four allegations of parental fault: neglect, unfitness, risk of harm and failure of parental adjustment. And that's basically to correct the conditions which led to the removal.

10

11

12

13

· 14

15

16

17

· 18

19

20

21

- 22

23

24

Starting with neglect. Neglect regards, again, "The condition of a child, lack in proper parental care by reason of the fault or habits of the parent. If the parent neglects or refuses to provide proper or necessary care, subsistence, education, medical or surgical care, or other care necessary for the child's health, morals or well being, or it's a parent who engages in a situation" -- I'm sorry -- "Put's the child in a situation that's dangerous to life, limb, injurious to health or morals, and the neglect need not be willful."

Again, that's NRS 128.014.

And the Supreme Court has explained this definition in more detail in the <u>Champagne</u> decision which, although reversed on other grounds, I cite it every time I'm in front of you, Your Honor. I know the Court is familiar with it, but it is the seminal law on this case, and it's a term applied to the child. And basically it's a child who lacks the proper parental care by reason of parental fault. And what this -- what the <u>Champagne</u> court said is, to provide a jurisdictional basis for termination, neglect must be serious and persistent and be sufficiently harmful to the child so as to mandate forfeiture of parental rights.

Now, in the matter currently before the Court, there's no indication that these children have lacked necessary care due to the faults or habits of their mother.

· 21

The issue as propounded by the State throughout these proceedings as physical abuse. And I would submit, Your Honor, nobody really believes this happened. That wasn't the State's theory of the case, that my client physically --

MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor --

MS. SIMPKINS: -- abused this child at the contested hearing.

MR. CORDES: -- that mis-states the evidence.

MS. SIMPKINS: Well, Your Honor, it's in front of the Court already.

THE COURT: Well, we're kind of limited to the findings that have been made.

MR. CORDES: "No one really believes that" is not the evidence before the Court, Your Honor. So...

MS. SIMPKINS: Again, that's argument, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You can argue, Ms. Simpkins.

MS. SIMPKINS: Further, the evidence established that my client kept in contact with the children while they were with her mother and out of her care, and that she provided for these children while they were in her mother's care. And, in addition, I'd take this opportunity to remind the Court that Keaundra DeBerry has a child in her care. And so, if she's so neglectful, and so unfit, you would think that she couldn't have these children, that she wouldn't have that child.

8

6

7

10

9

12

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES

unsuitable to maintain a parental contact."

03/15/13

NRS 128.016 and -- oh -- I'm sorry -- 106 and 107,

TRANSCRIPT VOL. IV

There's been no injury to this after-born child.

There was no call to the hotline in South Carolina.

not report to authorities. And as we stand here today, this

child continues to reside with his mother, again, with no ill

effects. Your Honor, the -- all the evidence presented to

this Court, indicates that the injury to Christopher Jr. was

an isolated incident. You've heard nothing about Mom using

improper discipline to discipline these children. You have

involvement with CPS. So, based upon the Nevada Supreme

heard nothing about any prior instances of abuse or neglect or

Court's explanation of the neglect statute, every indication

is that there was not serious and persistent neglect. And,

accordingly, the State's argument on this ground must fail.

parent of a child, who, by reason of the parent's fault or

habit or conduct toward the child or other persons, fails to

provide such child with proper care." And again, unfitness

deals with the condition of the parent. Champagne has

persistent, as such to render the child -- the parent

indicated also, "That the unfitness has to be severe and

indicate, "Specific considerations when determining the

neglect and unfitness and when a child is not in the physical

With regard to unfitness, that's under 128.018, "A

custody of the parent." And I would submit that there are -there are two that really apply, or that may apply here. And
one is the, "Conduct to a child of a physical, emotional, or
sexually cruel or abusive nature." And the second one is,
"Unexplained injury."

- 11

· 15

- 19

- 23

With regard to conduct of a child -- conduct toward a child of a physically abusive nature, when this case came in, the basic allegation was that in -- was that Christopher Bynum Sr. had burned the baby with an iron. And, Your Honor, I would submit, again, that was the theory of the case in the beginning. But if you look at how this case started, and the record submitted in this case -- but I would submit you have to look at how this case started, the records submitted in this case, and Ms. Fortune's testimony; the therapist, Jane Fortune, who came to testify.

We know from Ms. Jordan's testimony, that the daughter has repeatedly said, "The baby kissed the iron." The daughter who was the witness, who was in the room -- the only witness in the room at the time, and she has never changed her story. There is repeated reference by the Department in court reports as to a doctor in Louisiana who actually, physically examined the child and found no evidence abuse -- of abuse.

And they made these representations to the Court, even despite Dr. Mehta's testimony that there was physical abuse, at the

contested hearing, and even despite the fact that the hearing master denied the request to admit those records because they weren't certified.

. 11

- 19

. 23

Clearly, set out as the only reason to deny admission in the findings, is lack of certification. And I would note, that Counsel couldn't have obtained those records, because they were from a doctor out of state in Louisiana. Our subpoenas don't work there. And our office tried to obtain the records; we were unsuccessful. I would note that the only way Counsel could have received those records was through DFS with the State. So the question as to authenticity is not really taken well.

Nonetheless, the Department continued to reference this doctor who examined the child and they found that no abuse occurred. In addition, there was evidence that DFS requested that the DA take another look at the case, even after the contested hearing had already been decided.

The Department referred Mom to two therapists, one in Louisiana and one in South Carolina. The reports from both therapists are included in the "J" file. Mom successfully completed that therapy. And Jane Fortune, who came to testify, has extensive -- whose extensive experience in working with abusive parents and abused children, repeatedly indicated to this Court, that Keaundra DeBerry does not

exhibit any symptoms of being an abusive parent.

. 11

ູ 15

She talked about past behaviors being predictors of future behaviors, and Mr. Cordes repeatedly asked her about this issue. And she indicated that past behaviors were not a good indicator, that past behaviors indicate only a propensity for future behaviors. And when I asked her to elaborate, she gave examples. She indicated in child abuse, when a person has abused a child, they will not typically say, "I want to go abuse my child again. I want to go hurt my child again." But red flags in Ms. Fortune's head, are that, "Mommy and Daddy beat me, and it worked well for me. I believe in spanking." "What do you spank with?" "I spank with a switch. I spank with a belt." That's the predictor that they are verbalizing another intent. If they're verbalizing behavior, Ms. Fortune indicated, until the behavior takes place, you can only form some prediction of the future based on what they're saying.

Now, I would submit, Your Honor, that we predict things every day. Who's going to win a basketball game? You know, what numbers are going to come up in the lottery? If I put three dollars in Mega Bucks, will that -- will I win millions? What's the weather going to be like? Am I going to get a raise this year? I've got a lottery ticket. That's my prediction of what those numbers are going to come up. And, I can tell you, that based on the numbers that I've picked,

every single one of those numbers has come up before.

Now, you carry -- what the State was advocating to its logical conclusion, I should be winning that lottery.

Because the -- those numbers have come up in the past, now that's a good indicator that they're going to come up now. I can tell you that UNLV has won the NCAA tournament in the past. Is that a good indicator that they're going to win this year? I can tell you that it rained in Las Vegas before. Is that a good indicator that it's going to rain today? No, Your Honor, there has to be more.

Ms. Fortune was right. Past behavior is an indicator, but without more, only the propensity is there. There must be other signs or indications. Every parent, I would submit, has the propensity to abuse the child. Does that mean that we should terminate every parents' rights because of that propensity?

Ms. Fortune stated that she saw no indication of any red flags, nothing indicating any more than a propensity to abuse children, even knowing that the hearing master found that Keaundra physically abused her child. Ms. Fortune believes that Keaundra is a low risk to re-offend, regardless of the hearing master's finding, which I submit to you could never be wrong. And, for the record, I'm being very sarcastic here. No one actually believes that Keaundra DeBerry

physically abused her child.

With regard to the unexplained injury, which is the crux of the State's case, I submitted a memorandum pursuant to Eighth Judicial District Court Rule 7.27, outlining the concerns with mandating that a parent admit to a crime in order to be reunified with their child. I would note that Keaundra has never admitted that she held an iron to the baby's face, and she never will. This, however, is exactly what the Court and what DFS is requiring her to do, what the State is requiring her to do. And this is, in a nutshell, is why we're here today.

Now, you take a look at her case plan. One of the action steps is, and I quote, "She will be able to articulate in dialogue, with the specialist and therapist, the sequence of events which resulted in physical abuse as sustained by the Court, and how she will be able to ensure that no future physical abuse to Christopher Jr. occurs."

Now, the Court found that Keaundra physically abused this child resulting in a burn to the child's face. What Doctor Mehta testified, and it's in the findings, was that the burn was caused by holding an iron to the baby's skin. This is in -- again, in the findings. Thus, in order to meet this objective of her case plan, Keaundra would have to say that she held an iron to her baby's face, and she's simply not

1 going to do it. That's a crime, Your Honor. And, I would 2 submit, not only is the County being sued over this very issue 3 right now, but the extensive case law --4 MR. CORDES: Objection. 5 MS. SIMPKINS: -- which I've cited in my --6 MR. CORDES: Objection, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: As to the --MR. CORDES: The County being sued over this very thing. 8 They are being sued over this very thing, 9 MS. SIMPKINS: 10 Your Honor. It's been in the newspaper. There's no evidence before the Court. 11 MR. CORDES: There's no evidence before the Court. 12 THE COURT: No. MR. CORDES: Counsel is still limited in her argument to 13 the evidence --14 15 THE COURT: To the evidence. 16 MR. CORDES: -- that was admitted. 17 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 The extensive case law, which I cited in 19 MS. SIMPKINS: 20 my brief, indicates that this violates -- this practice violates a parent's rights and that a court cannot require a 21 parent to admit to a crime before their children will be 22 returned. The Court -- what the Court can require is therapy, 23

but they can't require an admission. The question is whether

24

that therapy would be successful without an admission. Has the parent corrected the conditions? Again, failure of parental judgement, has the parent corrected the conditions which led to the removal?

With regard to that issue, I would urge the Court to look at the M.D.O. case that is out of Minnesota that I cited in our brief. There, the Court found, that if the State is advocating that there can be no rehabilitation without an admission, they bear the burden of proving that assertion. Here, we only have the testimony of Jane Fortune. A professional with years of experience in treating both physically abused children and physically abusive parents. Her testimony is un-rebutted by any equally qualified witness. DFS may be dissatisfied with the treatment, that's questionable because of what they put in their court reports.

The State is certainly dissatisfied with the treatment, but neither DFS nor the State has any type of contact with Keaundra DeBerry or did not have the type of contact with Keaundra DeBerry that Jane Fortune had. We engage professionals, like Ms. Fortune, every day because that's the type of expertise we lack, the necessary training and experience to evaluate a parent and to make a determination.

Ms. Fortune's determination was that Ms. DeBerry's

treatment was successful, and she's low risk to re-offend.

Accordingly, the State cannot prove failure of parental adjustment. And that's all the evidence that has been offered to this Court regarding her treatment. And even though the State has yet to hear the explanation of the injury that they want to hear, the State has not proven that that treatment was unsuccessful. Unexplained injury, again, is only one factor in an unfitness determination, Your Honor, and it is not dispositive of the issue.

With regard to risk of harm, NRS 128.105 allows this Court to, "Terminate parental rights based upon risk of serious physical, mental, or emotional injury to the child, if the child were returned to or remains in the home of his parent or parents." Neither Nevada case law or statutory law defines this term, other than that simple definition. However, one could extrapolate that based upon the requirements of neglect and unfitness, the risk of harm also has to have serious injury and be severe and persistent, more than just a simple risk.

Further, as Ms. Fortune told you, the only -- a good indicator -- or an indicator, I'm sorry, of future risk would be by prior actions. And Ms. Fortune explained that theory in detail. I'm not going to go over it again because I've already argued it, but I'll take this opportunity to remind

the Court that Ms. Fortune sees no indicators and she sees no red flags from Keaundra DeBerry of future abusive treatment.

Injury by the plain language of the statute is required to be severe. And with that being said, as to the risk of harm, we take that risk every day a child is placed with a foster parent. We take that risk every time a child is placed with an adoptive parent or guardian. We take that risk every time a child is placed in foster care. Children are abused in foster care on a regular basis, even when the State has stepped in to protect them.

I'd submit, Your Honor, that such risk can never be fully negated. And thus in order to terminate, it has to be a severe risk, not just any risk. And, again, Keaundra successfully completed her therapy. She completed her case plan and has demonstrated changed behaviors as testified to by Ms. Fortune, and has admitted, by the Department, in stating that — in the court reports that she has completed her case plan and completed therapy. Now, not only has Keaundra found — been found to be a low-risk to re-offend, but again, she has another child in her care with no involvement from CPS in any state.

So I would submit any risk of harm has been mitigated, and the State has failed to prove this ground as well.

The Court's indulgence, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Uh-hmm (in the affirmative).

MS. SIMPKINS: With regard to what Ms. Jordan said on the stand that she had never really, fully, articulated to Jane Fortune what they expected to see or what they expected to hear from Keaundra, there were no details. I would submit the Champagne case indicates that -- in the Champagne case, many plans proposed to the Murphy's, failed to specify relevant criteria to determine successful completion.

The -- in <u>People vs. C.A.K.</u>, that's a court out of Col -- that was a case out of Colorado, the lower court order -- court's order terminating parental rights for the appellant's failure to successfully complete treatment plans was reversed. The Colorado court held that if a trial court intends the successful completion of a treatment plan, as a condition for the return of the child, then the treatment plan must specify what the relevant criteria will be to determine success. Even after all that testimony there's no clear indication what the relevant criteria is that Keaundra must meet to determine her success, other than admitting to a crime.

NRS 128.107 includes considerations where a child is not in the physical custody of the parent, services provided or offered to the parent to facilitate reunification. DFS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

. 12

13

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

provided services to Keaundra, Keaundra participated in every single one. And when it comes time for DFS, basically to put their money where their mouth is and give Keaundra's children -- or, I'm sorry, recommend returning Keaundra's children, they oppose because she hasn't admitted to a crime.

Your Honor, a case plan is a defined term under NRA -- NRS 128.0155, and it's, "A written agreement between the parents of a child who is the subject -- who is subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court, and the agency having custody of the child which the primary objective -- with the primary objective of reunifying the family, or if the parents fail to comply with the terms, freeing the child for adoption." Again, an agreement between Keaundra and DFS, it's She's not contracting for the return of the child. What she's contracting for is DFS's recommendation to return the child, and DFS failed to live up to their end of that bargain.

They indicate they haven't seen behavioral changes. But I would submit, Your Honor, how can Keaundra demonstrate these changes to anyone, if the two -- if you won't allow her to have unsupervised visits, or even return the children to her? We have to -- and when we look at the child that Keaundra does have in her care, there haven't been any problems.

9.

. 12

As to the desires of the child. Ms. Jordan testified that the child, at least the daughter who was verbal at the time, has repeatedly requested to come home to her mom, has begged to come home to her mom. Every time she talked to Ms. Jordan, she wanted to come home to her mom.

Efforts of the parents to adjust their circumstances. I would submit Keaundra has done everything that she's been asked to do, and there have been no additional services offered or required. There's no evidence that they asked her to do anything additional than what she had already done. Keaundra's bonded to these children, she maintained contact with her mother about the children. She sends support for the children when they were with her mother. She has housing for herself and the kids, and she can support herself and the children.

The other factor is whether additional services would be likely to bring about a lasting change, enabling the return of the child within a predictable period. And if it's a concern, she could continue counseling with Jane Fortune. We could have involvement, they've -- you've heard testimony about a family reunion in South Carolina. There's family everywhere. Family -- we could have family involvement. We could place the children in South Carolina with family there, and Mom could progress to unsupervised visitation, overnights,

. 11

. 15

weekends and then home. It doesn't have to go quickly, but I would submit, Your Honor, it should have moved forward. We shouldn't be sitting here now, with no further services offered to this family. We cannot judge whether Keaundra has made a lasting change unless we give her the chance to demonstrate that.

As for the best interest of the children, there's evidence that she -- they are bonded, or at least the daughter is bonded to her mother. She begs to go home. Mom calls the children numerous times; she sends support for them. I mean, I've already gone over all this, so I won't bother you with it now. But she shows a deep and loving bond with her children and wants them back in her care. She's completed her case plan in order to effectuate that reunification, and the only issue is her refusal to admit to a crime. I would submit, Your Honor, termination of parental rights is not in the children's best interest.

with regard to the domestic violence, and I've submitted proof to the Court that there -- no charges have been filed against my client. The State asked my client a number of questions about DV, they asked Ms. Jordan about DV. Keaundra denied DV. Again, no charges ever filed, no conviction ever existed, nothing has been provided to this Court with regard to that. And further, I would note that

this was heard by the hearing master at the contested hearing and denied, despite my client's testimony regarding the same incident that she described to you. It's in the findings.

And I know Your Honor is aware that you can't impeach a witness with a misdemeanor conviction unless it involves veracity, and we don't even have a misdemeanor conviction here. So I would ask this Court not to be distracted by this issue. This is not an issue at all.

A last word about the presumptions. Again, continuances requested by former counsel and by the DA's office, work to deprive the family of time -- timely resolution. Keaundra has done more than token efforts. She has complied with the case plan within six months after the date on which the plan was commenced, and there are no presumptions, given that token efforts is out -- that apply to parental fault. In order to terminate, again, there must be parental fault, there must be best interest.

I would submit, Your Honor, Mom is in a position to immediately care for these children, but she has never been given that opportunity and based upon her refusal to admit to a crime. There have been no objective standards articulated by the Department against which the Court can measure Keaundra's progress. The only evidence presented as to her rehabilitation or risk to re-offend was presented by Ms.

Fortune. It's un-rebutted. Therapy has been successful.

As Ms. Fortune indicated, "My experience in working with women who have battered children or battered spouses, typically, they have a history of abuse themselves by a parent, a spouse, boyfriend, girlfriend. There's anger.

There's trauma which manifests as anger, and it's taken out on the children. I don't see that in her, in Keaundra. I don't hear it in her history, I have to stretch to believe that of her, and I don't stretch that far." And I would submit, I would ask the Court, you shouldn't stretch that far either.

So, with that, we would request that the petition be denied.

MR. CORDES: Judge, you don't need to go outside of the jurisdiction. Case law in the state of Nevada is very clear.

K.D.L., specifically instructs this Court to look at a parent's case plan compliance. And it's not simply going to classes, checking off the boxes that I went. It is doing the behavioral changes. We have not seen that from Ms. DeBerry because she hasn't even started to address the issue that brought Christopher and Aamiyah to the attention of the Department back in 2010.

She needs no additional time; she's had plenty of time. So this red herring about these delays and the evidence, all that was resolved. She had a case plan in March

of 2011. She still, through this date, has not completed the case plan. For Counsel to argue that it's completed, is contrary to the evidence that you heard. Ms. DeBerry, herself, sat on the stand and said, "What I told the Court back in 2010, is how Christopher was injured." Well, we know that a hearing master and a District Court judge, both believe 7 that that explanation by Ms. DeBerry was not consistent with the totality of the evidence. 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Counsel would insist that, "Well, we dismissed the domestic violence, but you know how hearing masters could be wrong sometimes. So the physical abuse finding is not correct." That's contrary to the evidence. The evidence is very clear. Hearing Master made a determination after assessing the credibility and weighing the evidence, and a District Court judge affirmed that decision. Ms. DeBerry is a physical abuser of a child. There is no dispute. So, to try and couch this any different way, is a disservice to this Court and these children.

Ms. DeBerry failed, failed to tell the Court, failed to tell the Department of Family Services, how Christopher sustained that very serious physical injury to his face, which was consistent, based on medical testimony of an iron being held to his face and burning him.

Ms. DeBerry is unfit. Case law in Nevada is very

clear. Statutorily, it is set forth in NRS 128. There's no dispute based upon this evidence that she is an unfit parent. Your Honor, the presumptions apply, she needs no additional time in this matter. Obviously, any additional time is not going to bring her to the point of helping her in counseling. She's told Your Honor she is never going to say she burned that child. If she never tells the Department or this Court what happened, appropriate counseling cannot be undertaken.

You heard Ms. Fortune. She wasn't a very respectable professional. I think her testimony was questionable on numerous levels. As it relates to her counseling, very minimal involvement with Ms. DeBerry. If you'll recall, there was a couple sessions, no counseling for a number of months, and then a quick session that Ms. DeBerry attempted to get re-engaged in.

Your Honor, these children need to be done with foster care. The only way to achieve that, in these three years, is to grant this termination; free them for adoption.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Alright. Well, unfortunately, I need to go back and -- I want to review my notes. But as I'm listening to the argument today, if I understand -- and I'm going to ask each of you to comment. If I understand the statutes, failure of parental adjustment, compliance with the case plan, and

correcting those faults or habits or whatnot of the parent, that caused the child to come into custody. There's been argument that I am bound in this proceeding by finding that Ms. DeBerry was the physical abuser as the hearing master had found below.

The -- quite frankly, the issue I've got is that the evidence that's presented in this proceeding, may be different than the evidence that was presented before the hearing master. And, in fact, I doubt if there was evidence before the hearing master which came in, in this case, that Aamiyah, the daughter, had told the investigator, Ms. Cummings, that she was the one that was supposed to be watching Christopher. But, that the boyfriend, that is Mr. Bynum, had said that Christopher had tried to kiss the iron, which indicates to me that the boyfriend was present and gave an explanation to Aamiyah.

We have Ms. DeBerry testifying before the hearing master and testifying before this court, that Mr. Bynum was not even present. Her testimony was that Mr. Bynum didn't return to the residence till that afternoon, after he picked up Aamiyah from school. It's interesting that Mr. Bynum, following the child sustaining this injury, left the state to Louisiana with the child -- flew the child to Louisiana.

What concerns me in this case is that Ms. DeBerry

continues to maintain the position that she did not, and Mr. Bynum did not, injure this child, that this was an accidental injury. I am convinced, based upon the review of the findings of the hearing master, that this injury was non-accidental; that it was intentionally inflicted.

The question I've got, and I want to add one last finding from Ms. Fortune, and she was asked about this.

Discussing the incident with Ms. Fortune, her report, and she testified, "That Ms. DeBerry stated that the father of the children was in the apartment." Which is absolutely inconsistent with her testimony before the hearing master, and her testimony before this court.

The issue in my mind, is not necessarily compliance with the case plan, but the question of unfitness in that the evidence would support a finding that she has consistently protected an abusing individual from appropriate punishment and prosecution, by allowing that individual to leave the state with the victim, by testifying before a hearing master, and testifying in this court, that that person wasn't present.

And if that is the finding of this Court, then it would seem to me, that unfitness would be the appropriate finding and not necessarily failure of parental adjustment, if the failure of parental adjustment criteria is restricted to a case plan, which required an admission to a factual finding

that was made as a result of testimony presented in that proceeding, which may not, in fact, be a correct factual finding based upon subsequently discovered testimony and evidence. That's the issue I'm wrestling with in my mind.

Mr. Cordes, do you care to comment? Ms. Simpkins, do you care to comment?

MR. CORDES: I do, Your Honor. I believe, as well as unfitness, then the findings by the Court, or the topic that you have just discussed, also leads to a determination as to an unreasonable risk of harm to the children or child, should you return the children. Because, if in fact, Ms. DeBerry has not been truthful before the hearing master, not been truthful before this Court, and really knows that Mr. Bynum was the perpetrator of the physical abuse, she has maintained contact, at least we know by the testimony, that he was in South Carolina within the same area that she lives in, was arrested, and returned to Louisiana based upon her own testimony and statements to Ms. Jordan.

So, you -- the Court, I believe, should question whether or not a return would potentially subject these children to future contact with Mr. Bynum as a result of Ms. DeBerry's willingness to protect him from appropriate punishment. So -- and I do understand as it relates to the failure of parental adjustment. But the State and the Court

are bound by the evidence that was admitted, and therefore prepared a case plan upon the testimony.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. CORDES: And so I don't think the State or these children, specifically, should be punished by the fact that Ms. DeBerry was not forthcoming with evidence that could have changed the case plan.

THE COURT: Ms. Simpkins?

MS. SIMPKINS: Well, Your Honor, I had occasion to review Ms. Fortune's testimony last night. And, all due respect to the Court, you asked her about that when we submitted the report. You asked her about that, and she indicated to you, after I questioned her, that that was -- she had mistakenly written that in her report, that Mr. Bynum was in the apartment. She indicated to you that she -- that was her mistake, that Mom had been completely consistent with her, and that Mr. Bynum was not in the apartment. That's -- that's the evidence that is before the Court.

I don't -- I can't recall any specific time that my client ever indicated that Mr. Bynum was in the apartment.

Her testimony, if I recall correctly, and again, it's been a long time, was that Mr. Bynum was at the apartment, he dropped -- I believe he dropped off the children and then he left.

And at the time of the injury, Mom was in the bathroom and the

2

3 4

5

testimony.

will go back and review it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Comments on that. MS. SIMPKINS: Well, the -- in -- when you -- and I'm not

or offered in the underlying proceeding.

03/15/13

TRANSCRIPT VOL. IV

D-11-446967-R DeBERRY EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES 601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

child, her daughter, was standing right there. So, I don't

THE COURT: Okay. Alright. On -- on the factual issue,

have any indication that Mr. Bynum was ever --

I will -- luckily it's the last note I have from her

MS. SIMPKINS: Right. It's only the last one.

THE COURT: So I don't have to listen to all of it.

during cross examination or if, in fact, it was my question,

was subsequently admitted -- or the Defense Exhibit 2 -- no

states that the father was in the room but not -- was in the

home but not in the room, which is what it says in her report.

issue as to the interplay between the case plan, the findings

that were made in the underlying proceeding, versus findings

that may be made as a result of testimony that wasn't admitted

But on the subsequent issue -- or the underlying

it's the State's Exhibit 2, January 2nd, 2012, Defendant

I'll go back and listen to the actual testimony, just to

verify or refute, what my notes and what her report says.

but I make the note that the State's proposed Exhibit 2, which

But -- and I think, I can't tell from this if it was

Ι

exactly sure, exactly what you're asking me, Your Honor. My
initial reaction was that there was a recent case out of the
Supreme Court, and I'm sorry, I can't -- it's not coming to my
brain right now. About how the hearing master's findings are
not binding on the District Court, but I know that you have a
District Court hear -- master already supporting these
findings.

But I would submit to this Court, that you are free to make your own findings, because this is a different proceeding. Although, that is evidence in this proceeding, I think you're free to make your own findings here. If that answers your question.

THE COURT: Yeah, it tends to. Alright.

In any event, I will take this matter under advisement, and I'll try to make the decision as soon as possible. It has been --

MS. SIMPKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- pending for quite a while.

MR. CORDES: Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate your time this morning.

MS. DOUGLAS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(THE PROCEEDING ENDED AT 11:37:58.)

2324

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

* * * * *

- 24

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

DARRYL THOMAS, Transcriber II

□ URIGINAL □

1 2 3 4 5 6	ROC DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar No. 0824 MELINDA SIMPKINS, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 7911 330 South Third Street, Ste. 800 Las Vegas, NV 89155-2316 (702) 455-6266 (702) 380-6948 msimpkins@clarkcountynv.gov Attorneys for Keaundra Deberry	Electronically Filed 12/06/2012 03:41:58 PM Alan A. Laluna CLERK OF THE COURT	
8	DISTRICT COURT		
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA, .)	CASE NO. D-11-446967-R	
11	Plaintiff,	DEPT. NO. D	
12	vs.		
. 13	KEAUNDRA DEBERRY,		
14	Defendant.) }	
16	15 RECEIPT OF COPY		
17	The state of the s		
18	1		
19			
20	Dated: 12-06-12		
21			
22		DISTRICT ATTORNEY OFFICE - JUVENILE RON CORDES, ESQ.	
23			
24		Gely Mann	
25		601′N. Pecos Rd. Las Vegas, NV 89101	
26			
27			
28			
SPECIAL PUBLIC DEPENDER			
CLARK COUNTY		1	

NEVADA

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FILED
JAN 2 9 56 AM 13

American Comment

IN THE MATTER OF THE PARENTAL RIGHTS OF:
AAMIYAH LAMB, CHRISTOPHER BYNUM, JR.,
MINOR(S).

CASE NO: D-11-446967-R DEPARTMENT C

NOTICE OF RESCHEDULING OF HEARING

Please be advised that the date and time of a hearing set before the **Honorable ROBERT W. TEUTON** has been changed. The **Trial**, presently scheduled for the 29th
day of January at 1:30 pm, has been <u>rescheduled</u> to the **15th day of March**, **2013**, at **9:00 AM**.

HONORABLE ROBERT W. TEUTON

Ву:	rosa ardesch	
•	Rosa Ardesch	
	Court Clerk – Department D	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the above file stamped date:
mailed, via first-class mail, postage fully prepaid the foregoing Notice of
Rescheduling of Hearing to:
Christopher Lamont Bynum 10 W End ST Tallulah LA 71282
Joseph Lamb 660 E New Hope RD APT #A5 Goldsboro NC 27534 Graves Virgil 506 16th AVE Dillon SC 29536 Ronald L Cordes 601 North Pecos Road
Las Vegas NV 89101 Melinda E Simpkins, Esq. (Deanna Molinar, Esq.) 330 South Third Street Suite 800 Las Vegas NV 89155
I placed a copy of the foregoing Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing in the appropriate

attorney folder located in the Clerk of the Court's Office:

Ronald L. Cordes Melinda E. Simpkins Deanna M Molinar

ROSA ARDESCH

Rosa Ardesch Court Clerk – Department D

Electronically Filed 04/30/2013 11:38:24 AM

1

2

3

. 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ROBERT W. TEUTON DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101 DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Alm & Lame

CLERK OF THE COURT

In The Matter of:

Aamiyah Lamb,

CASE NO. D-446967

And

NEO

DEPT. D

Christopher Bynum.

Minors.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

Please take notice that a DECISION was entered in the foregoing action and the

following is a true and correct copy thereof.

Dated: April 29, 2013.

Jaclyn Millsap, Esq.

Law Clerk

Family Division, Department D

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing <u>DECISION</u> was:

Placed in the attorney folders for the Plaintiff and Defendant and/or placed in the U.S. Mail to the following persons:

Ron Cordes, Esq.

Attorney for the Clark County Department of Family Services 601 N. Pecos Road Las Vegas, NV 89101 Deanna Molinar, Esq.

Attorneys for Respondent

601 N. Pecos

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Jaclyn Millsap, Esq.

Law Clerk

Family Division, Department D

5

6

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

BERT W. TEUTON

DISTRICT JUDGE FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT, D LAS VEGAS NV 89101

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CLERK OF THE COURT

In the Matter of the Parental Rights of:)
Aamiyah Lamb,)
and) Case No. D-446967) Department D
Christopher Bynum.	··· }
Minors.	

DECISION

This matter was initiated by the filing of the Petition to Terminate Parental Rights on May 24, 2011. Service of Process was completed and the first hearing was held August 26, 2011 and was continued to a status check on October 7, 2011. The first trial was scheduled for January 23, 2012, and following a number of continuances and intervening motions, finally commenced November 2, 2012 and closing arguments were held March 15, 2013. Chief Deputy District Attorney Ron Cordes presented evidence and argument on behalf of the Department of Family Services. Deputy Special Public Defenders Melinda Simpkins and Deanna Molinar represented Respondent mother Keaundra Louise Deberry. Respondents Virgil Graves, Joseph Lamb and Christopher Lamont Bynum made no appearances. Service was made by publication on June 13, 20 and 27, 2011 and July 5, 2011. Accordingly, this Court has proper jurisdiction over this matter.

The Court heard testimony from Roberta Cummings, Michelle Douglas, Michelle Jordan, Jane Fortune and Keundra Deberry. Additionally, the birth certificates of the two minor children, Aamiyah De'Nasia Lamb and Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., were

admitted into evidence as was a certified copy of proceedings in case J-10-319959, an assessment letter dated June 6, 2012, a letter from Jane Fortune dated July 12, 2012, a deed of distribution of land, respondent's pay stubs and a certified City of Las Vegas Municipal Court Judgment of dismissal in case C0716427A.

The Court has taken into consideration the testimony of the named witnesses, reviewed the admitted documentary evidence and considered argument of counsel.

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that the allegations in paragraphs I through VII of the Petition in this case are true.

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that the minor children were taken into protective custody on May 12, 2010 and have continuously resided outside Respondents' home ever since, a period of time through commencement of the trial in this matter of 30 months and 35 months through the date of this decision.

THE COURT FINDS that the statutory presumptions set forth in NRS 128.109 (1) (a) (token efforts) and NRS 128.109 (2) (best interest of the child) apply and that the burden is shifted to the parents to demonstrate by a preponderance of evidence that their parental rights should not be terminated.

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that Joseph Lamb had no contact with Aamiyah Lamb since 2005; and failed to provide any financial support on behalf of Aamiyah Lamb. Accordingly,

THE COURT FINDS that the parental rights of Joseph Lamb as to Aamiyah Lamb be terminated as Joseph Lamb abandoned and neglected Aamiyah Lamb.

RÖBERT W. TEUTON DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101

DISTRICT JUDGE

BERT W. TEUTON

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101 THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that any other person claiming paternity of Aamiyah Lamb had no contact with Aamiyah Lamb since birth and failed to provide any financial support on behalf of Aamiyah Lamb.

THE COURT FINDS that the parental rights of any other person claiming paternity of Aamiyah Lamb should be terminated as said person has abandoned and neglected Aamiyah Lamb.

THE COURT FINDS that the natural mother of Aamiyah Lamb had named Virgil Graves as a father of Aamiyah Lamb and further finds that DNA testing was done and that Virgil Graves was excluded as the father of Aamiyah Lamb.

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that Christopher Bynum had no contact with Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., since 2010 and failed to provide any financial support on behalf of Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr. Accordingly,

THE COURT FINDS that the parental rights of Christopher Bynum as to Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., should be terminated as Christopher Bynum abandoned and neglected Christopher Bynum, Jr.

THE COURT FINDS that a case plan was developed as a result of the sustained allegations against Christopher Bynum which included, inter alia, substance abuse assessment and follow recommendations, maintain contact with the Department of Family Services, complete a domestic violence assessment and follow recommendations.

THE COURT FINDS by clear and convincing evidence that Christopher Bynum failed to comply with his case plan within a reasonable period of time.

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2425

26

27

28

ROBERT W. TEUTON DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101 THE COURT FINDS that the parental rights of Christopher Bynum as to Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., should be terminated due to failure of parental adjustment, unfitness, and token efforts.

THE COURT FINDS that Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., was the subject of a petition in J-319959 which alleged he was physically abused and/or improperly supervised resulting in his sustaining a triangle shaped burn to his face consistent with the shape of an iron. Keaundra Louise Deberry, natural mother of both children, was found to have physically abused Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr. The finding was predicated upon testimony that the injury was not accidental based on the burn not being a glancing type burn, but an impression burn indicating that the iron had been held against the skin. Additionally, the degree of the burn indicated that it was held to the skin, as the natural reaction would have been to pull away from the iron. Finally, Keaundra Louise Deberry testified that she was the only adult present at the time of the injury. A case plan was developed which required, inter alia, that Keaundra Louise Deberry complete age appropriate parenting classes, maintain housing and provide proof of income. The case plan objective concerning physical abuse required her to "[t]horoughly, comprehensively, convincingly, and in a forthright manner, address precipitating risk factors, triggers and sequence of antecedent events that led to the physical abuse sustained by the Court as to his/her children (sic) Christopher Bynum, Jr. and actively participates in the development of a safety plan to prevent recurrence." The action steps to achieve the physical abuse objective included completing an assessment and engaging in counseling.

4 5

7

6

9

10 11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

ROBERT W. TEUTO DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101 THE COURT FINDS that Keundra Deberry consistently maintained that the injury to Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., was accidental, in that the child pulled on the electrical cord causing the iron to fall off the furniture and it accidentally burned him.

THE COURT FINDS that Keundra Deberry completed age appropriate parenting classes and otherwise complied with the case plan. However, she failed to comply with that aspect of the case plan which required her to address the risk factors and sequence of events that lead to the physical injury sustained by Christopher Bynum, Jr. Jane Fortune, the counselor Keundra Deberry saw in South Carolina, testified at the trial. Additionally, two written statements from Ms. Fortune were admitted into evidence. Her Diagnostic Assessment Summary (June 6, 2012; State Exhibit 2) recounts Ms. Deberry as stating, in part, "she was in her apartment getting ready for work when her then one year old son burnt his face with a hot iron she had sat up on the dresser." This report recounts Ms. Deberry's statement that the father (Christopher Bynum, Sr.) "was in the apartment, however, was not in the room were (sic) the incident occurred." Ms. Fortune elaborated on this aspect of her report by stating that Ms. Deberry said over and over to her that the father had been in the apartment but had left. Respondent's Exhibit A, Ms. Fortune's report dated July 7, 2012, indicates that Keundra Deberry was counseled on accepting responsibility for failing to supervise the child which neglect resulted in the injury to the child.

THE COURT FINDS that the statutory presumption that she has only engaged in token efforts has not been overcome by a preponderance of evidence.

THE COURT FINDS that Ms. Deberry has not substantially complied with the case plan in that, although she has technically completed the parenting courses and

ROBERT W. TEUTO DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. D LAS VEGAS NV 89101 engaged in counseling, the "circumstances, conduct or conditions" which lead to the removal of Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., from her care were not remedied.

Accordingly,

THE COURT FINDS clear and convincing evidence of failure of parental adjustment which renders Keundra Deberry an unfit parent as well as Keundra Deberry having engaged in no more than token efforts.

THE COURT FINDS clear and convincing evidence that it is in the best interest of Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr., that the parental rights of Keundra Deberry (both children), Joseph Lamb (Aamiyah Lamb), any other person claiming paternity of Aamiyah Lamb, and Christopher Bynum, Sr. (Christopher Lamont Bynum, Jr.) be terminated so rendering the children eligible for adoption.

The Clark County District Attorney is directed to prepare an Order terminating the respective parental rights and submit it directly to the Court for signature.

DATED this 29 of April, 2013.

ROBERT W. TEUTON
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

05/0

Electronically Filed 05/02/2013 11:17:10 AM

CLERK OF THE COURT

STEVEN B. WOLFSON
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Jennifer Kuhlman
Deputy District Attorney
Invenile Division

Juvenile Division
Nevada Bar No. 10113

601 North Pecos

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 455-5320

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION - JUVENILE

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of:

AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB Date of Birth: 01-04-2004

A Minor, 9 Years and 02 Month(s) of

Age.

CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR Date of Birth: 03-28-2009

A Minor, 4 Years and 00 Month(s) of Age.

COURT CASE NO.: J-10-319959-P1

DEPT.: FAMILY JUVENILE

COURTROOM: HM FEMIANO - #22

OUT-OF-HOME PLACEMENT ORDER - Licensed Foster Home

This matter having come on for Permanency and Placement Review before the Family Court, Eighth Judicial District, County of Clark, State of Nevada, Clark County Department of Family Services, on this 28 day of March, 2013, with parent(s) DEBERRY, KEAUNDRA; BYNUM SR., CHRISTOPHER L. (father a to CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR.; LAMB, JOSEPH (father as to AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB) not being present in Court, and subject minor(s) being available to the Court, and the Court finding that the minor(s) come(s) within the provisions of NRS 432B.410, 432B.550, 432B.580, 432B.590, and 432B.600, and good cause being shown;

THE COURT FINDS that continuation of the minor(s) in the home of the parent(s)/guardian(s), DEBERRY, KEAUNDRA; BYNUM SR., CHRISTOPHER L. (father a to CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR.; LAMB, JOSEPH, is contrary to the welfare of the child(ren);

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that reasonable efforts have been made as cited in the Permanency and Planning Review Report to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the child(ren) from DEBERRY, KEAUNDRA home;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that reasonable efforts have been made as cited in the Permanency and Planning Review Report to make it possible for the child(ren) to return to the home of DEBERRY, KEAUNDRA; accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR are continued as Wards of the Family Court as children in Need of Protection;

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that legal custody remains with the Clark County Department of Family Services for placement until AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR reach(es) the statutory age as prescribed by law, or until further Order of the Court. Control and custody is awarded with all necessary authority and power to furnish, provide, and authorize care and services to the subject minor(s) as may seem necessary and proper, and in the child(ren)'s best interest and welfare, including but not limited to: food, clothing, shelter, education, and routine medical care and treatment;

 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Clark County Department of Family Services shall have legal authority to access and obtain any records that relate to the child's well being to include but not limited to: medical, dental, educational, mental health, and substance abuse;

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Permanency Plan goal of Adoption as recommended by the Clark County Department of Family Services is in the child(ren)'s best interest and shall be adopted by the Court;

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that continuation of reasonable efforts to reunify AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR with DEBERRY, KEAUNDRA , as required by NRS 432B.393 is consistent with the Permanency Plan;

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the following efforts that have been made by the Clark County Department of Family Services to meet the permanency goal are reasonable efforts: -Maintained communication with Ms. Deberry

-Submitted diligent searches for Mr. Bynum

Submitted diligent searches for Mr. Lamb

-Contacted Bossier Parish Maxium Security Facility in Louisiana as to obtain information related to Mr. Bynum's release date.

-Contacted Bossier City Police Jail in Louisian as to otbain information as to Mr. Bynum's release date.

	K. DeBerry J-10-319959-P1
2	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this matter be reviewed on the 5th
3	day of September, 2013, at the hour of 2:30 p.m., Department:
5	Family Juvenile.
6	You are hereby notified that you have a right to a rehearing pursuant to Eighth
7	Judicial District Court Rule 1.46. An application for rehearing must be filed within five days
8	after receipt of the Referee's Findings and Recommendations.
9	Dated this 2 day of April 20_13
[1]	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}$
12 13	JUVENILE HEARING MASTER UD
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Dated this 29 day of April 20 13
16 17	CMAWL.
18	DISTRICT JUDGE – JUVENILE P Cynthia N. Giuliani
19	Submitted by: Submitted by:
20 21	STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY
22	Luseux Minger By
23	LESLEY DAMPEER CASE MANAGER Deputy District Attorney
24	DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES
25	COURT CASE NO.: J-10-319959-P1
26	
27	

Electronically Filed NOAS DAVID M. SCHIECK 05/28/2013 02:42:34 PM SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER levada Bar #0824 MELINDA SIMPKINS, ESQ. 3 Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar#7911 **CLERK OF THE COURT** DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #12045 330 South Third Street, Suite #800 _as Vegas, Nevada 89155 702) 455-6266 702) 380-6948 fax msimpkins@clarkcountynv.gov Attorney for KEAUNDRA DEBERRY EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 12 In the Matter of the Parental Rights as to: 13 |AAMIYAH DE'NASIA LAMB, CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR Case No.: D-11-446967-R Dept. No. Minors. 16 Courtroom: 17 18 NOTICE OF APPEAL 19 20 TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA, STEVE WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA and DEPARTMENT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, FAMILY DIVISION, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK. 22 23 NOTICE is hereby given that Respondent, KEAUNDRA DEBERRY., by 24 land through his attorneys, DAVID M. SCHIECK, Special Public Defender and MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ., and DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ., Deputy Special Public Defenders, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Terminating Parental Rights filed on April 29, 2013, and served on counsel by mall on April

1	29, 2013, as to the above-captioned minor child.	
2	DATED this 28 th day of May, 2013	
3	DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER	ļ <u></u> -
4		FOR
5	By MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender	10
6	Nevada Bar #7911 330 So. Third St., Suite #800	
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265	
8		
9	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>	
10	certify that on the 28th day of May, 2013, I mailed a true and correct copy	
11	of the above and foregoing Notice of Appeal in the Post Office at Las Vegas,	
12	Nevada, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first-class postage was fully	
13	prepaid, addressed to the following:	
14	Ronald Cordes, Esq.	
15	Deputy District Attorney, Family Division 601 No. Pecos Rd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
16		
17 18	$\mathcal{N}()$	
19		
20	VEROMICA AYALA	
21	()	
22	· -	
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28	\cdot	

Electronically Filed NOAS Electronically Filed Jun 04 2013 03:41 p.m. Tracie K. L|ndeman DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 05/28/2013 02:42:34 PM Nevada Bar #0824 Clerk of Supreme Court MELINDA SIMPKINS, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7911 CLERK OF THE COURT DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender Vevada Bar #12045 330 South Third Street, Suite #800 as Vegas, Nevada 89155 702) 455-6266 702) 380-6948 fax msimpkins@clarkcountynv.gov Attorney for KEAUNDRA DEBERRY 9 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 12 In the Matter of the Parental Rights as to: 13 AAMIYAH DE'NASIA LAMB, CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR 15 D-11-446967-R Case No.: Dept. No. 16 Minors. Courtroom: 17 18 NOTICE OF APPEAL 19 20 TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA, STEVE WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA and DEPARTMENT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, FAMILY DIVISION, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK. 22 23 NOTICE is hereby given that Respondent, KEAUNDRA DEBERRY., by 24 and through his attorneys, DAVID M. SCHIECK, Special Public Defender and MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ., and DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ., Deputy Special Public Defenders, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Terminating Parental Rights filed on April 29, 2013, and served on counsel by mall on April

1	29, 2013, as to the above-captioned minor child.		
2	DATED this 28th day of May, 2013		
. 3	DAVID M. SCHIECK		
4	SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER		
5	By MECINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ.	FOR	
6	Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7911		
7	330 So. Third St., Suite #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155		
8	(702) 455-6265		
. 9	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
10_	I certify that on the 28th day of May, 2013, I mailed a true and correct copy		
11	of the above and foregoing Notice of Appeal in the Post Office at Las Vegas,		
12	Nevada, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first-class postage was fully		
13	prepaid, addressed to the following:	ŀ	
14	Ronald Cordes, Esq.		
. 15	Deputy District Attorney, Family Division 601 No. Pecos Rd.		
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
17			
18			
19	VERONIÇA AYALA		
20	$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}})$		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27`			
28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2		

Electronically Filed 05/28/2013 02:43:42 PM

ASTA CLARK COUNTY SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER DAVID M. SCHIECK Nevada Bar #0824 **CLERK OF THE COURT** <u>MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ.</u> 3 Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7911 4 DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #12045 330 South Third Street, Suite #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265 7 Attorneys for KEAUNDRA DEBERRY 8 DISTRICT COURT JUVENILE DIVISION 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 In the Matter of the Parental Rights as to: 11 AAMIYAH DE'NASIA LAMB, 12 CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR D-11-446967-R Case No.: 13 Dept. No. Minors. Courtroom: 11 14 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 15 1. Appellant filing this case appeal statement: Keaundra Deberry 16 2. Judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: 17 Honorable Robert W. Teuton. 18 3. All parties to the proceedings in the district court, family division (the 19 use of et al. to denote parties is prohibited): State of Nevada, Petitioner; 20 Aamiyah Lamb, minor; Christopher Bynum, Jr., minor; Keaundra Deberry and 21 Christopher Bynum, Sr., Respondents; and all persons claiming paternity of 22 the above-captioned children. 23 4. All parties involved in this appeal (the use of et al. to denote parties 24 is prohibited); Keaundra Deberry, Appellant; The State of Nevada, 25 Respondent. 26 5. Name, law firm address, and telephone number of all counsel on 27 appeal and party or parties whom they represent: 28

	1 2 3 4 5	DAVID M. SCHIECK Clark County, Nevada Special Public Defender MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender DEANNA M. MOLINAR, ESQ. Deputy Special Public Defender 330 South Third Street, #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265			
	6	Counsel for Appellant Counsel for Respondent			
	7				
	8	6. Whether Appellant was represented by appointed or retained			
	9	counsel in the District Court: Appointed.			
	10	7. Whether Appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel			
	11	on appeal: Appointed.			
	12	8. Whether Appellant was granted leave to proceeding forms pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such leave: N/A			
	13	9. Date proceedings commenced in the District Court (e.g., date			
	14	complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed): Petition to			
	15	Terminate Parental Rights filed on May 24, 2011.			
	16	DATED this 28 th day of May, 2013.			
	17	DAVID M. SCHIECK			
	18	CLARK COUNTY SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER			
	19				
	20	MELINDA E. SIMPKINS, ESQ.			
	21	Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7911			
-	22	330 So. Third Street, Suite #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265			
	23	(102) 400-0200			
	24				
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28	•			

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I certify that on the 28th day of May, 2013, I mailed a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Case Appeal Statement in the Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first-class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following: Ronald Cordes, Esq.
Deputy District Attorney, Family Division
601 No. Pecos Rd.
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Electronically Filed 08/30/2013 12:27:35 PM

1 CLARK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES 2 121 SOUTH MARTIN LUTHER KING BLVD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89106 3 (702) 455-7200 4 5 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **FAMILY DIVISION - JUVENILE** 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 In the Matter of: 8 AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB 9 Date of Birth: 01-04-2004 A Minor 9 years, 00 Months of Age 10 11 CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. 12 Date of Birth: 03-28-2009 A Minor 4 years, 05 Months of Age 13 14 REPORT FOR PERMANENCY AND PLACEMENT REVIEW 15 Date of Hearing: 16 Time of Hearing: 01:30 PM 17 Courtroom: HM FEMIANO - #22 18 Attachment: A: AAMIYAH's Mental Health 19 20 21 CONCERNING: 22 Father: JOSEPH LAMB DOB: 07-30-1986 23 Address: 24 25 26

27

28

CLERK OF THE COURT

COURT CASE NO.: J-10-319959-P1

B: CHRISTOPHER's Children's Uniform

Mental Health Assessment.

DEPT.: FAMILY JUVENILE

JOSEPH LAMB (is the father as to AAMIYAH LAMB) DOB 7/3/86. Last known address: 500 Whitfield Drive, Goldsboro, NC 27530

CHRISTOPHER BYNUM SR. DOB: 01-06-1975 (Mr. Bynum is the father as to minor CHRISTOPHER BYNUM JR. and Keaundre Bynum), Last Known Address: Bossier City Jail: 620 Benton Road, Bossier City, LA 71111.

09-05-2013

Assessment

C: NVICPC Emails

1

1	the home at the time the alleged abuse/neglect (432B.130.	occurred for purposes of NRS	
2	4325.130.		
3	(b) MS. DEBERRY physically abused and/or im CHRISTOPHER, JR. resulting in CHRISTOPHE	<i>. ,</i>	
4	shaped burn to his left cheek consistent with the		
5	(c) MR. BYNUM physically abused and/or impro		
6 .	CHRISTOPHER, JR. resulting in CHRISTOPHE shaped burn to his left cheek consistent with the		
7	 (d) MS. DEBERRY neglected CHRISTOPHER, to seek medical treatment for the burn sustained 		
8	(e) MS. DEBERRY'S drug use adversely affects care of the children.	her ability to provide for the	
9 10	(f) MR. BYNUM neglected CHRISTOPHER, Ji seek medical treatment for the burn sustained to		
11	(g) MR. BYNUM and MS. DEBERRY engage in	acts of domestic violence	
12	against each other. Their propensity to engage in acts of domestic violence adversely affects their ability to provide for the care of the children.		
13	DEBERRY-J-10-319959-P1		
14	(h) MS. DEBERRY and MR. BYNUM refused to allow the Department of Family Services access to CHRISTOPHER, JR. in order to access his safety.		
15	Additionally, while knowing there was an open investigation, MS. DEBERRY, and MR. BYNUM left Clark County, Nevada and fled to Louisiana.		
16	(i) MR. BYNUM is a registered felon for: Felon in Possession of a Firearm; Assault with a Deadly Weapon; Possession of a Controlled Substance;		
17 18	Possession of Marijuana. (j) MR. BYNUM'S drug use adversely affects his ability to provide for the care of the children."		
19			
20	PREVIOUS COURT ORDER DEEMED EFFORT	S BY THE DEPARTMENT	
l	TO ACHIEVE THE PERMANENCY PLAN:	For AAMIYAH LAMB and	
21	☑ Were Reasonable Efforts	CHRISTOPHER BYNUM, JR	
22	☐ Were Not Reasonable Efforts	For:	
23	PERMANENCY GOAL AND PROJECTED DAT	E OF ACHIEVEMENT:	
24	Reunification	With:	
25	Termination of Parental Rights and Adoption	By:	
26	☐ Guardianship ☐ Long Term Relative Placement	By: With:	
- 1	Other Planned Permanent Living	With:	
27	Arrangement Projected Date of Achievement:	June 2014	
28 1	Liploman paró ar romanmente	TWILD AN IT	

1	CONCURRENT PERSONAL AND A LI
	CONCURRENT PERMANENCY GOAL:
2	Reunification Termination of Parental Rights and Adoption By:
3	☐ Guardianship By:
4	Long Term Relative Placement With: Other Planned Permanent Living With:
5	Arrangement
6	RATIONALE FOR PERMANENCY PLAN:
7	On April 29, 2013, Ms. DeBerry, Mr. Lamb and Mr. Bynum Sr.'s Parental
8	Rights were Terminated. Therefore during this review period efforts were made to have AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER with their great maternal uncle, Darrell
9	Deberry and his wife, Tasha DeBerry, in South Carolina of whom has been identified as an adoptive resource. ICPC was referred on April 17, 2013. There
10	have been ongoing delays in processing this referral and repeated requests to
11	obtain a status update to determine the barriers of the delay. Specialist received a response on June 21, 2013, stating that SCICPC was unable to open the
12	electronic files and failed to notify NVICPC of the issue. It was at this time the ICPC referral was resubmitted. In August 2013, ranother equest was submitted
13	to inquire the status of the ICPC referral. It was reported that the case was once again delayed because the referral was sent to the wrong county by ICPC, as the
14	address was on the borderline of another county, hence located in Dillon County
15	instead of Marion County. SCICPC has acknowledged their mistake and reported that they would expidite the ICPC process. The County Director of Dillon County
16	Karen English, contact person in Dillon county for ICPC studies, was notified
17	about the delay. On August 29, 2013, DFS SUP, Michelle Maese, sent a third email requesting information. Due to the delay in the ICPC. The Department will begin recruitement for an adoptive home.
18	
19	PARENTS' PROGRESS:
20	Visitation: Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb, and Mr. Bynum Sr. 's Parental Rights were Terminated as of April 29, 2013.
21	
22	Housing: Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb and Mr. Bynum Sr.'s Parental Rights were Terminated as of April 29, 2013.
23	
24	Employment: Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb and Mr. Bynum Sr.'s Parental Rights were Terminated as of April 29, 2013.
25	
26	Counseling: Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb and Mr. Bynum Sr.'s Parental Rights were Terminated as of April 29, 2013.
27	
28	Parenting: Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb and Mr. Bynum Sr.'s Parental Rights were Terminated as of April 29, 2013.

Other:

б

CHILD (REN)'S CURRENT PLACEMENT:

AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER are placed in separated foster homes.

It is unknown if these placements are within close proximity to the parent(s) for AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. Parental rights of Ms. Deberry, Mr. Lamb, and Mr. Bynum Sr. were terminated on April 29, 2013.

This placement is the least restrictive for AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPER LAMONT BYNUM JR.

CHILD (REN)'S WELL BEING:

Education – AAMIYAH completed her 2012-2013 school year at Elise L. Wolff, E.S. located at 1001 Seven Hills Dr., Henderson, NV 89052; Office: (702) 799-2230; Fax: (702) 799-2257, where she was enrolled in Ms. Ms. Chailton's 3rd grade class During her enrollment AAMIYAH did not have any academic or disciplinary issues, as she successfully advanced to the 4th grade. AMMIYAH has returned to Elise L. Wolff, E.S. during this 2013-2014 school year where she is enrolled in Ms. Thorne's 4th grade class. In speaking about AAMIYAH about the starts of the school year, she reports of her excitement to return to school

CHRISTOPHER's Individualized Educational Program "hereinafter", IEP, was completed via Child Find on May 23, 2013. Per the outcome of the IEP, CHRISTOPHER was assigned to the eligibility category of Developmental Delay, which reflects deficits in his self-help skills and social and emotional development. During the 2013-2014 school year, CHRISTOHER will begin Pre-K at Gordon McCaw, E.S. located at 330 Tin St., Henderson, NV 89015-7330; Office: (702) 799-8930; Fax: (702) 799-8910.

Placement – AAMIYAH has been at her current Higher Level of Care "hereinafter", HLOC, foster home since May 23, 2013, where she resides with three other female foster children who are of similar in age. Initially, AAMIYAH struggled with adjusting to her new placement as evident by her testing boundaries, refusing to adhere to house rules or directives, not accepting responsibility for her actions, manipulation, dishonesty, etc. However, with the, dedication of her foster parents, and AAMIYAH feeling safe, AAMIYAH's behaviors improved. According to AAMIYAH's foster parents reported, that had adjusted well and stated that there are not major issues to report. They reported AAMIYAH getting along well with her foster siblings. Foster parents' reports that they are a very active family and the children attended the Boys and Girls Club during the summer where they were going swimming and field

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

1.7

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

trips. She adds that AAMIYAH is also participating in a church play. During a routine home visit, this Specialist has observed AAMIYAH interactions between foster family. This Specialist noticed AAMIYAH's happy demeanor as she jokes and laughs with her foster family. When given a directive, AAMIYAH is very respectfully. This Specialist has also observed AAMIYAH and her foster siblings playing Barbie dolls, playing school etc. AAMIYAH has reported to this Specialist the she like her foster family and reported of no concerns regarding her placement or foster parents. Prior to AAMIYAH's current placement, her previous placement was disrupted due to AAMIYAH's sexual reactive behaviors towards another 14 year male foster child. As a result, AAMIYAH was placed in a HLOC home with only female foster children.

CHRISTOPER has been at his current HLOC foster care placement since August 16, 2013. Prior to this placement, his previous foster parents were unable to manage CHRISTOPHER's impulsive and physically aggressive behaviors; as a result, his placement was disrupted. Presently, CHRISTOPHER is placed in a foster home, which implement plethora of consistent and stern boundaries, routines and also attend fun outings which include attending movies, going out to dinner, etc. Despite CHRISTOPHER's issues, the foster parents are willing to work with CHRISTOPHER and ensure that his well-being needs are being met.

Emotional/Counseling - AAMIYAH is seen by Christina Cosner form All About You Counseling located at 2904 West Horizon Ridge Parkway Suite 10, Henderson, NV. 89052; Office: (702) 292-3774; Fax: (702)754-0808. AAMIYAH intake assessment was completed on May 7, 2013 (Attachment A) and currently receiving weekly one-hour therapy sessions with Ms. Cosner. Per Ms. Cosner, AAMIYAH was given the following diagnoses, 309.28 Adjustment Disorder with Mixed Anxiety and Depressed Mood and 995.53 Sexual Abuse of Child (Attention on the Victim). AAMIYAH's therapy treatment goals focus on assisting AAMIYAH to resolve feelings of loss, fear, and depression from being separated from biological mother; reduce the intensity of emotional symptoms related to AAMIYAH's history of abuse; and to stabilize AAMIYAH's anxiety level while increasing her ability to function on a daily basis. In addition to weekly therapy sessions, AAMIYAH also receives Basic Skills Training "hereinafter" BST and Psychosocial Rehabilitative "hereinafter", PSR, services. AAMIYAH's BST treatment goals focus on the assisting AAMIYAH on the appropriate develop of social skills and self-care skills. AAMIYAH's PSR treatment goals focus on behavioral management, which AAMIYAH learn how to positively reflect anger, manage conflicts, express frustrations verbally, and learn the relationship between actions and consequences; and developing effective communication skills.

CHRISTOPHER is seen by Annah-Lizah Vaquilar of Early Childhood Mental Health Services located at 4538 W. Craig Rd. Ste 290, N. Las Vegas, NV 89032; Office: (702) 486-5614; Fax: (702)486-5630. CHRISTOPHER completed his intake assessment on March 26, 2013 (Attachment B), where he

3

5

4

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27 28 was provided the following diagnoses 312.9 Disruptive Behavioral Disorder and 995.52 Neglect of Child (Attention is on Victim). Christopher attends weekly individual therapy session with care provider participation. According to Ms. Vaquilar she is working with the foster parents in developing and implementing a behavioral chart which will assist with reinforcing CHRISTOPHER's positive behaviors. In addition, she assist CHRISTOPHER in learning to identify and label feelings as well as teach CHRISTOPER relaxation techniques. In addition, to therapy, CHRISTOPHER is scheduled to begin Day Treatment services beginning September 3, 2013 via Early Childhood Mental Health Services of which he will attend Monday through Thursday from 12:30 -3:00 P.M.

Medical/Dental - AAMIYAH is seen by Dr. Charles Crispen of Family/Children's Urgent and Care Pediatric Clinic located at 1600 W. Sunset Road, Suite A, Henderson, NV 89014; Office: (702) 898-6400; Fax: (702) 898 -7032, for all medical needs. AAMIYAH next wellness check up has been scheduled for August 30, 2013 at 8:00 A.M. On September 20, 2013 at 11:30 A.M., AAMIYAH is scheduled to seen by Dr. Carlos Luna, a pediatric cardiologist of the Children's Heart Center located at 10001 S Eastern Ave Ste 300, Henderson, Nevada 89052-3908; Office: (702) 732-1290. Reason for the appointment is to address AAMIYAH weight gain. In addition, AAMIYAH is scheduled to be seen on September 10, 2013 at 10:15 A.M. to be seen by an Ear, Nose and Throat Specialist, Dr. Randall T. Weingarten located at 10410 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 110, Henderson, NV 89052; Office: (702) 617-9599; Fax: (702) 614-8937. Purpose of this appointment is to address AAMIYAH's enlarge tonsils. AAMIYAH last Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment hereinafter, EPSDT, was held on March 14, 2013. AAMIYAH's immunizations are current. AAMIYAH is seen at Dentalville located at 9210 S Eastern Ave #130m Las Vegas, NV 89123; Office: (702) 492-6606 for all dental needs. AAMIYAH's dental hygiene is good as there are no concerns at this time.

CHRISTOPHER is seen at Positively Kids /Child Haven for all medical needs. CHRISTOPHER last EPSDT appointment was held on August 22, 2103 with DR. Ghaenian for the purpose of a well check. CHRISTOPHER's immunizations are and there are no major medical concerns to report at this time. CHRISTOPHER is seen at Positively Kids/Child Haven for all dental need. CHRISTOPHER last dental was held on June 7, 2013 of which Dr. Matthew Matteucci conducted CHRISTOPHER's dental examination of which CHRISTOPHER had 5 cavities filled. He is scheduled for a follow up appointment in six months.

Safety – This Specialist will continue to conduct routine child contact visits and will complete quarterly out of home placement safety checks as to ensure AAMIYAH LAMB and CHRISTOPHER BYNUM, JR. are safe. If needed, referrals will be submitted on behalf of AAMIYAH LAMB and CHRISTOPHER BYNUM, JR. as to address any concerns or needs.

Psychiatric Services -

Are the children listed in report currently on any psychotropic medication?

Has a Court appointed a person to be legally responsible for the children's psychiatric services?

When was the children's last appointment? Not Applicable When will the children have an appointment? Not Applicable

SIBLING CONTACT:

 Not Placed Together –Keaundre Bynum: DOB (12/29/10) resides with Ms. DeBerry in South Carolina.

AMMYIAH and CHRISTOPHER are placed in a separate HLOC foster home due to the specific needs of the children. In March 2013, both were placed together in a DFS foster home, however due to AAMIYAH's sexual reactive behaviors within the home, a 10 day notice was submitted. There are continued efforts to locate a home for both children that is conducive to meet the needs of each child. An ideal home for AAMIYAH was to be placed in a HLOC foster home with no other male siblings, with the exception of her brother; and for CHRISTOPHER an ideal HLOC foster home with parents who will be patient in addressing CHRISTOPHER's hyperactive and physical aggressive behaviors. As a result, the children were separated and placed in separated foster homes. AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER have sibling visits every Saturday in the community and supervised by the foster parents, and well as phone contact. In addition to the community visits, AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER also participate in Child Focus' Sibling Preservation Program.

REASONABLE EFFORTS TO ACHIEVE THE PERMANENCY PLAN: On behalf of the parents:

1) TPR was granted on April 29, 2013 as to Ms. Deberry; Mr. Lamb; and Mr.

On behalf of the child (ren):

27

28

- Specialist maintained on going communication with AAMIYAH and CHRISTOHER's care providers via telephone, email and in person.
- 3) Specialist completed and submitted an ICPC referrals for AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER on April 17, 2013 to be placed and adopted by great maternal uncle Darrell Deberry in South Carolina.
- 4) Specialist has maintain communication with Mr. Darrell Deberry via telephone.
- 5) Specialist maintained contacted with NV ICPC monthly as to otbain update date status as to AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER's ICPC referral.

- 2

- 8

- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- 6) Specialist has maintained on going communication with AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER's services providers via in person, phone and email.
- 7) Specialist has coordinated siblings visits.
- 8) Specialist had completed and submitted referrals on behalf of AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER to participate in Child Focus's Sibling Preservation Program.
- 9). Specialist attended Child and Family Team Meetings on behalf of the AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER.
- 10). Specialist coordinated and attend CHRISTOPHER's Child Find assessment.

GOALS FOR THE NEXT REVIEW PERIOD:

Establish permanency for the AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM, JR.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

On April 29, 2013, Parental Rights were Terminated as to Ms. DeBerry, Mr. Lamb, and Mr. Bynum Sr.

AAMIYAH began her 4th grade year at Elise L. Wolff, E.S. During AAMIYAH previous school year, there are no academic or behavioral issues to report. CHRISTOPHER began his Pre-K year at Gordon McCaw, E.S., where he has been assigned an IEP to address his developmental delays in the area of selfhelp skills and social/emotional.

In April 2013, AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER placement was disrupted due to problematic behaviors of AAMIYAH exhibiting sexually reactive behaviors towards another male foster sibling. Despite the efforts to implement a safety plan, and increase supervision, at a child and family team meeting, the foster parent reported that her home was not conducive to meet the needs of the children. Therefore, diligent efforts were implemented to locate a HLOC foster home for the placement of the AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER. Presently, the children are placed in separate HLOC foster homes as of May 2013. Despite initial challenges, both AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER are adjusting well to their placements.

AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER receive weekly individual therapy services. In addition, AAMIYAH participate in BST and PSR services as CHRISTOPEHR participate in BST services and is schedule to begin day treatment services with Early Childhood Mental Health Services on Sept. 3, 2013.

Overall AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER are healthy children as there are no major health issues to report at this time. AAMIYAH and CHRISTOPHER's immunization are us are up to date.

It is the recommendations of Clark County Department of Family Services that AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. remain under the jurisdiction of the Family Court and in the legal custody of Clark County Department of Family Services. That AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. remain in an out of home placement until on adoption is achieved. The Department respectfully request that a recruitment order to begin exploring adoptive resources in the event that ICPC in South Carolina is not approved.

CHILD SUPPORT:

Not Applicable

WHEREFORE, DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

- That AAMIYAH DE NASIA LAMB and CHRISTOPHER LAMONT BYNUM JR. remain under the jurisdiction of the Family Court and in the legal custody of the Clark County Department of Family Services:
- (2) That the efforts made by the Department of Family Services are found to be reasonable efforts as outlined in this report;
- The Department respectfully request that a recruitment order to (3) begin exploring adoptive resources in the event that ICPC in South Carolina is not approved.
- That this matter be brought back for Formal Review in six months. (4)

MICHELLE MAESE SUPERVISOR

Submittéd

CASE MANAGER CLARK COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF FAMILY SERVICES

DATE: August 28, 2013

COURT CASE NO.: J-10-319959-P1

Client Name: Lamb, Aamiyah___



All About You Counseling and Support Services 2904 West Herizon Ridge Parkway Suite 101 Henderson, NV 89052

()

Phone: 702-292-3774 Fax: 702-754-0808

()

www.allaboutyoucounseling.org

ententillen Såken og	INITIAL MENTAL HEALT	TH ASSESSMENT
Client Na	me: <u>Lamb, Aamiyah</u>	Date of Assessment: <u>05/07/2013</u>
Insuranc Sex: <u>Fem</u>	e Number: 00000602597 Insurance Type: FFS ale Age: 2	Date of Birth: 01/04/2004
Clinician	: Christina Cosner, CSW-Intern	, ,
Legal Gu Relations	GUARDIAN(S) INFORMATION: ardian(s) Name: <u>Lesley Dampeer</u> hip to Client: <u>DFS Worker</u>	
189 d L (),	S22 E. Lake Mead Pkwy Suite S Henderson, NV 89015	Phone - Cell <u>591-0192</u> Phone - Work: <u>455-8448</u> Phone -
E-mail: L	esley.dampeer@clarkcountyny.gov	
Custodiar	NCE INFORMATION: 1(s) Name: <u>Child Haven (Beazer Cottage)</u> hip to Client:	
Address:	701 N. Pecos Rd. Bldg K	Phone - Work: 455-6536
	Las Vegas, NV 89101	Phone -
		Phone -
E-mail: _		
Axis I:	309.28 Adjustment Disorder with Mixed Anxiety of 995.53 Sexual Abuse of Child (Attention on the Vi	
Axis II:	799.9 Deferred	
Axis III:	799.9 Deferred	
Axis IV:	 ☑ Primary Support Group: Client is separated from grandparents, and has been sexually abused by seven in Social Environment: ☐ Educational: ☐ Occupational: ☑ Housing: Client has been removed from several fost a temporary foster placement ☐ Economic: ☐ Access to Health Care: ☐ Legal System/Crime: ☐ Other Psychosocial and Environmental Problems: 	eral close family members.
Axis V:	Current: GAF = 40 Past: GAF (Highest in	Children Chi

Page 1 of 10

DOB: 01/04/2004

Rev. 02/2013

05-22-'13 10:13 FROM-

CASII Score: 25 Level: 05 Date of Scoring: 05/10/2013	ECS II Score: Date of Scoring:	LOCUS Score: Date of Scoring:	Level:
		1	,

Department of Family Services (DFS) reports Aamiyah and her 4 year old brother previously resided with their maternal grandparents for three years in Louisiana under an ICPC agreement. However, on February 14, 2013 and CPS Investigation was initiated as a result of information of Aamiyah's disclosure of being touched inappropriately by her 16 year old uncle who resided in the home. The investigation revealed that the sexual incidents, which involved penetration, between Aamiyah and her uncle occurred between the summer of 2012 and December 2012. It is also suspected that she witnessed the abuse of her younger sibling by mom's statement that she was present, and it is suspected that she witnessed domestic violence between her biological mother and step-father.

DFS is requesting that Aamiyah receive victimization therapy services.

Aamiyah was moved back to Las Vegas and placed into foster care. Aamiyah was removed from the first foster care placement to reunify siblings, and was removed from the second foster placement for allegations against Aamiyah stating she tried to perform oral sex on 14 year old foster brother. It was reported that Aamiyah stated the foster brother forced her into performing oral sex. The last foster placement was on April 30, 2013 in which the foster parents picked up Aamiyah and sibling and returned them the same day because of Aamiyah's presenting sexual behaviors towards a younger foster child; Aamiyrah was found in bed unclothed with a foster sibling (7yrs old) who appeared to be terrified. It was recently disclosed by Aamiyah that she was sexually abused by her step-father who has been placed in jail and under investigation. It is reported that Aamiyah displays increased preoccupation with sexual behaviors (boundaries). Some of her preoccupations with sexual behaviors reported are unusual closeness with her siblings, peeking on other foster siblings while they are in the bathroom, and entering into bathroom with foster siblings when repeatedly told not to.

Aamiyah is currently residing at Child Haven. As a result, Aamiyah has changed schools several times with changes in foster placements. While at Child Haven, she occasionally sleep walks and complains of being scared of a ghost named "Toby" who she sees at night; she states when she turns on the lights she is no longer frightened. It is reported Aamiyah eats about 6 times per day and is at an unhealthy weight.

It is reported Aamiyah occasionally becomes physically aggressive towards toddlers, making statements such as "I don't like babies". It is suspected that she presents these feelings because her 2 year old brother is living with her biological mother, and she may hold some resentment.

Aamiyah reports that she becomes angry when someone hits her or takes her toys. She becomes sad when discussing her mom and related she misses her mom.

Yes	jugasanikelopaanaheninelijae)mizes □ No	Not Assessed	
Comments:			
Presenting Problem(s):	REFERRAL INFORMATION;		
Client Name: Lamb, Aamiyah		DOB: 01/04/2004	Rev. 02/2013

Page 2 of 10

DFS Worker reports Aamiyah and her 4 year old brother previously restued with their maternal grandparents for three years in Louisiana under an ICPC agreement. However, on February 14, 2013 and CPS Investigation was initiated as a result of information of Aamiyah's disclosure of being touched inappropriately by her 16 year old uncle who resided in the home. The investigation revealed that the sexual incidents, which involved penetration, between Aamiyah and her uncle occurred between the summer of 2012 and December 2012. I am requesting that Aamiyah receive victimization therapy services.

Referral Source:

Lesley Dampeer, DFS worker

BIO-PSYCHO-SOCIAL HISTORY:

1. Client Demographic Information:

Aamiyah is a 9 year old female, black, black hair, brown eyes, average height, and reported by DFS to be over weight based on her age.

2. Family Information and living environment:

Aamiyah has 2 younger brothers age 4 and 2 years; one (4 yr old) is currently residing at Child Haven in Howard Cottage and the other (2 yr old) lives with the biological mother in South Carolina. It was reported that over 3 years ago, Aamiyah's younger brother had an unexplained injury on his face that was reported to CPS and an investigation was opened. Shortly after, Asmiyah's biological mother neglected to get the younger sibling necessary medical attention and unlawfully moved herself and all three children to Louisiana with the maternal grandparents. Aamiyah and two siblings lived with the maternal grandparents for 3 years in Louislana under and ICPC agreement and in February 2013, Aamiyah reported that she was being sexually molested by her 16 year old uncle. After investigation, it was determined that there was penetration and her uncle was prosecuted. Following, Asmiyah was moved back to Las Vegas and placed into foster care. Asmiyah was removed from the first foster care placement to reunify siblings, and was removed from the second foster placement for allegations against Aumiyah stating she tried to perform oral sex on 14 year old foster brother. It was reported that Aamiyah stated the foster brother forced her into performing oral sex. The last foster placement was on April 30, 2013 in which the foster parents picked up Aamiyah and sibling and returned them the same day because of Aamiyah's presenting sexual behaviors towards a younger foster child; Aamiyrah was found in bed unclothed with a foster sibling (7yrs old) who appeared to be terrified. It was recently disclosed by Aamiyah that she was sexually abused by her step-father who has been placed in jail and under investigation. Asmiyah's biological mother denies any sexual abuse; but it is believed that the biological mother is lying to protect her husband.

DFS worker reports that Aamiyah's biological mother's rights have been terminated on April 30, 2013. Aamiyah's biological father lives in North Carolina, but has no contact with Aamiyah. It is believed he may have been involved in Aamiyah's life when she was an infant and suspected abuse against Aamiyah, but it is unknown.

3. Family Mental Health History:

It is reported that Aamiyah's biological mother was recently diagnosed with situational depression.

4. Family Substance Abuse History:

It is reported that Aamiyah's step-father was a chronic marijuana and methanphetamine user and it is suspected that Aamiyah was exposed to substance abuse.

5. Mother's Health During Pregnancy/Birth:

Did the mother use any alcohol, tobacco, drugs,	or prescribed medication during	or 3 months prior becoming
pregnant with the client?		-

⊠ No	Yes	Unknown	∐ Probable		٠	
Client Name	e: Lamb, Aan	niyah		DOB: 01/04/2004	. •	Rev. 02/2013
	•			•		

Page 3 of 10

()

CommentAamiyah's blological mother denies any sul	ostance use.			
6. Client Developmental History - Did the child mee ☐ No ☐ Yes ☒ Unknown	t milestones on target?			
Comments: (walking, talking, potting training).	•			
(For the client's age gro	oup, check all symptoms that apply):			
Based upon the reported information, the client demo Excessive crying Cannot be consoled by caregiver Other (Specify): None of these Comment: It is unknown.	onstrated: Arching/stiffening when held or touched Requires extensive assistance to initiate/maintain sleep			
Any of the above, plus: Extremely destructive, dangerous, violent behaviors Persistent, intentional aggression Challenging behaviors/does not follow directions Other (Specify): None of these	Excessive, fréquent tantrums Excessive, persistent self-injurious behaviors Absence of fear or awareness of danger			
Comment: It is unknown.				
Any of the above, plus: Unintelligible speech Does not play, interact with peers Clear loss of previously attained skills Other (Specify):	Excessively withdrawn Unusual cating patterns or non-food items None of these			
Comment: It is unknown.				
☐ Physical abuse victim ☐ Sexual abuse victim	whose the week violent behavior. ✓ Witnessed physical abuse ✓ Witnessed sexual abuse ✓ Witnessed domestic violence orm oral sex on 14 year old foster brother. It was reported rming oral sex.			
Comment: It is reported that Aamiyah is a victim of sexual abuse. It is suspected that she witnessed the abuse of her younger sibling by mom's statement that she was present, and it is suspected that she witnessed domestic violence between her biological mother and step-father.				
Impulsive verbal outbursts	Excessive non-compliance Requires constant direction, supervision in activities			
Client Name: Lamb, Aamiyah	DOB: 01/04/2004 Rev. 02/2013			

Page 4 of 10

omments: Client Substance Abuse History: No	☐ Mo	thadone ne of these	DB: 01/04/2004	Rev. 02/2013
O. Client Substance Abuse History: No	☐ Mo	orphine P Madone		
O. Client Substance Abuse History: No	☐ Mo	orphine P Madone		
D. Client Substance Abuse History: No Yes Alcohol Heroin/Opium Cocaine LSD Marijuana Other (Specify):	☐ Mo	orphine P Madone		
D. Client Substance Abuse History: No Yes Alcohol Heroin/Opium Cocaine LSD Marijuana Other (Specify):	☐ Mo	orphine P Madone		
D. Client Substance Abuse History: No	☐ Mo ☐ PC ☐ Me	orphine P Madone		
O. Client Substance Abuse History: No	☐ Mo	orphine P		
. Client Substance Abuse History: No Yes Alcohol Heroin/Opium		orphine		
). Client Substance Abuse History: No Yes Alcohol				
. Client Substance Abuse History: No Yes				
omments:				
		,		
None reported at the time of intake.		Tanana Andrews	THE PARTY OF THE P	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
vedealor same	jadosigė aliatotecia	on velle les soll	Silita Date 25 and	Biografica (Cal
Current Medication(s):	nersby reported at a	to time of make.		
Client Mental Health History: o mental health history or previous the	hanows was what of the	a sima atiwaka		
- ,	,			
] Loss of consciousness omments: It is reported Asmiyah h	· ·	Unknown ged tonsils.	☐ Not Applie	BDIS
Head Trauma		Blurred vision	Headaches	
las the client ever had an accident or	injury resulting in:	select all that app	ly).	T 29 14 5-1
. Client Medical History:				
Comment:				
None of these		· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Transcenations (including accombination) Other (Specify):	ag manoen)			•
Excessive, compulsive self-etimulati Hallucinations (including alcohol/dr		Excessive, compuls	ive self-injurious b	ohaviors .
Fire-setting		Cruelty to animals		A STORY THE STORY OF THE STORY OF
	solichi schibitzathi	Arcen in the market		
while they are in the bathroom, and	entering into bathro	om with foster sib	ings when repeate	eary tota not to.
increased sexual behaviors reported				
that Aamiyrah had to constantly be a				eted. Some of her
Comment: It is reported that Aamiya	me menheral a measures.			er parent related
None of those	ah displays increase			
Other (Specify): Increased sexual be None of these	ehaviors			
Fails to respond to limit setting, oth Other (Specify): Increased sexual be	ehaviors	d sexual behaviors		
Wanders the house at night ☐ Fails to respond to limit setting, oth ☐ Other (Specify): Increased sexual be	ehaviors	Excessive truancy d sexual behaviors	and previous fos	
Pails to respond to limit setting, oth Other (Specify): Increased sexual be	ehaviors	d sexual behaviors	aregiver's relations and previous fos	

05-22-'13 10:14 FROM-	T-176 P0006/0017 F-282				
12. Client's Current Educational Information and Aamiyrah is in 3 rd grade and recently just started Aamiyrah does well in school and has never recesseems to act differently in school settings.	any significant Educational History: a new school at new placement of child haven. It is reported ived reports for negative behaviors. It was related that she				
13. Client Social Relationship(s) (Peers, School): Aamiyrah makes friends easily, but becomes inar	propriate in a one-on-one and secluded setting.				
Reckless, Puts Self In Danger Suicide Plan Suicide Attempt(s) None of these Other (Specify): Comment: (Frequency and duration)	Suicidal Ideation Suicide Gesture Cutting/Self-harm Head Banging				
☐ Physical Assault ☐ Other (Specify): ☐ None of these Comment: It is reported Aamiyah occasionally b statements such as "I don't like babies". It is susp	Sexual Assault, Molestation comes physically aggressive towards toddlers, making ected that she presents these feelings because her 2 year old she may hold some resentment. It is suspected that Aamiyah nger biological siblings.				
Family Separation Family Accident Death/Loss in the Family Parent or Caregiver Job Change Family Move Other (Specify); None of these	i Family Divorce Family Illness Death/Loss in a Close Relationship Client Changes School Bamily Financial Problems				
Comment: It is reported that Aamiyah has been separated from her biological mother and maternal grandparents, and been placed in foster care at Child Haven. As a result, Aamiyah has changed schools several times with changes in foster placements.					
Yes No Comment:	Ithatthay viller in Dize of Elleto Unknown				
Parche ghene (Ven (car dicha a annily Yes No No	nemic Loveryood elskivit he injuidit it it leiv				
A Million Daniel and Date of Date of Continued and	Authoral Breatleans				

14. Client Personal Beliefs, Religious, Spiritual, or Cultural Practices: Client was unable to articulate any specific practice at the time of intake.

15. Strengths and Interests of the Client:
Asmiyah reports that she enjoys watching TV and listening to music.

Client Name: Lamb, Aamiyah_

DOB: 01/04/2004

Rev. 02/2013

Page 6 of 10

1615 SY 1830 1121	evariacionica principalica American
Sleeping Patterns:	
	lems: (select all that apply) Early Awakening? Nightmares? Sleep Walking? Enuresis? Enuresis? has been placed at Child Haven, she occasionally sleep walks and "Toby" who she sees at night; she states when she turns on the
Eating Patterns:	
☐ Normal (breakfast, lunch, dinner)? ☐ Lack of Appetite? ☐ Increased Appetits? ☐ Pica? — ☐ Other (Specify): Comments: It is reported Aamiyah eats about 6	Average Weight? Weight Loss? Weight Gain? times per day and is at an unhealthy weight.
Mood Disorder:	
☐ No Impairment ☐ Apprehensive ☑ Angry ☐ Anxious ☐ Mood Swings Comments: Aamiyah reports that she becomes sad when discussing her mom and related she	Hostile Depressed Fearful Sad Other (Specify): s angry when someone hits her or takes her toys. She becomes misses her mom.
Schizophrenia and Other Psychotic Disorders:	
Hostility or Suspiciousness Deterioration of Personal Hyglene Inability to Cry or Express Joy Depression Oversleep or Insomnia Other (Specify): Comments:	☐ Delusions ☐ Hallucinations ☐ Disorganized Thinking ☐ Disorganized Speech ☐ Flat or Inappropriate Affect ☐ None of these
Anxiety Disorders:	
Feeling of Panic, Fear, and Uneasiness Uncontrollable, Obsessive Thoughts Cold or Sweaty Hands and/or Feet Shortness of Breath Oversleep or Insomnia Other (Specify): Comments:	Palpitations Dry Mouth Nausea An Inability to be Still and Calm Dizziness None of these
lient Name: Lamb, Aamiyah	DOB: 01/04/2004 Rev. 02/2013

Page 7 of 10

₹ <i>1</i>							
Conduct:	, ,						
Aggression to People and/or Animals? Destruction of Property? Decentfulness or Theft? Serious Violation of Rules? Other (Specify): Comments: None reported at the time of intake.							
Attention/Concentration/Hyperactivity:							
☐ Difficult Paying Attention ☐ Easily Distracted ☐ Inability to Sustain Attention ☐ Procrastination ☐ Frequent Shifts in Conversation ☐ Other (Specify): Comments: Previous foster mother reports Aamiyrah	Frequent Shifts from on Uncompleted Activity to Another Fallure to complete Tasks (e.g. homework, chores) Pidgeting Often Talking Excessively Getting Up Frequently to Walk or Run Around None of these becomes easily distracted and tends to "zone out" with						
Impulse Control:							
Aggressive Impulse (e.g. serious assault or destruction of property) Stealing Objects not Needed for Personal Use or Monetary Value Pathological Gambling Pulling Hear Out for Pleasure, Gratification, or Relief of Tension Other (Specify): Comments: None reported at the time of intake.							
Physical Abuse and Neglect: None reported at the time of intake.							
Sexual Abuse: It is reported that Asmiyah was sexually abused by her maternal uncle, and suspected by her step-father and biological father. It was reported Asmiyah tried to perform oral sex on 14 year old foster brother. It was reported that Asmiyah stated the foster brother forced her into performing oral sex.							
Suicidal Ideation: Denied at the time of intake.							
Homicidal Ideation: Denied reported at the time of intake.							
 16. Was a safety plan discussed and created with client (including appropriate contact provided)? No ☐ Yes Comments: 							
General Functioning: Appearance:	AN HOUSE VALVE SEED OF						
Physically Unkempt, Unclean Disheveled Inap	propriate Attire						
Psychomotor and Behavioral Functioning: Speech:	·						
Client Name: Lamb, Aamiyah	DOB: 01/04/2004 Rev. 02/2013						

Page 8 of 10

		()			
Rate: Natural Slow Rapid/Pressured	Tone: Natural Loud Soft-Spoken Monotone	Articulation: Clear/Coherent Stuttered Mumbled Slurred			
Psychomotor Movement: Psychomotor Retardation Abnormal Movement Tremors Unnatural Gait Restless/Fidgety	Eye Contact: Natural Intense/Unwavering Avoidant	Client's Behavior Town Cooperative Uncooperative Guarded Agitated	ard Assessor: Threatening Aggressive Provocative		
Client's Reported Daily Pattern of Functionin Energy Level: Natural Fatigusd Increased	g: Sexual: Natural Decreased Libido increased Libido Unknown	•			
Emotional Functioning: Mood: Embyratio Sadness/Depressed Anhedomia Anger/Hestility Irritation/Frustration	Affect: Congruent Incongruent Blunted/Flat Alexithymic	-			
Cognitive Functioning: Sensorism: Attention/Concentration: Oriented to: Alert/Orleuted Person Disoriented/Incoherent Place Easily Distracted Time Situation	Memory: ☑ Immediate Recall Memory Impairment: ☐ Short Term ☐ Long Torm	Judgment: Appropriate Conclusio Impaired to Reasonable Impulsive Judgments/E	Decisions		
Insight: Thought I Awareness ☐ Denial ☐ Tangen ☐ Projection/Blaming Others ☐ Confus					
Thought Content: General:			intent		
17. Client confidentially consent was reviewed verbally and client was provided with a hard copy? No Yes Comments: Client is residing in Child Haven. Client confidentiality was reviewed with client and client acknowledged understanding. Copy of rights wasnot given thue to the location of the client at time of intake. When client is placed in a foster placement she will be provided with documentation regarding policy on confidentiality.					
SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION	S ₁	•			
Client Name: Lamb, Asmiyah	Page C of 10	DOB: 01/04/2004	Rev. 02/2013		

. 05-22-'13 10:15 FROM-				T-176	P0010/0017	F-282
Individual Therapy Family Therapy Group Therapy Paychiatric Services Comments:	()	Psychosocial Rehabilitation Basic Skills Training School Re-Entry Psychological Testing	()			
Assessing Chalcian Signature	<u>"</u>	<u></u>			Date: 05/10/2	2013

Client Name: Lamb, Asmiyah_____

DOB: 01/04/2004

Rev. 02/2013



Child's Name:

BYNUM, CHRISTOPHER

Medical Record #:

905015931

Child's DOB:

3/28/2009

Assessing Program / Agency:

Assessment Date:

3/26/2013

Assessing Professional / Title:

VAQUILAR, ANNAH-LIZAH

Data Entry By: Annah-Lizah Vaquilar

Data Entry Date: 4/8/2013



Legal Custodian / Guardian response:

The Department of Family Services (DFS) worker, Lealey, referred Christopher for services due to aggressive behaviors towards authority figures and peers in the previous foster home.

1. What issues do the family / caregiver and the child identify as problematic and in need of treatment? Parent / Caregiver response:

Foster mother, Melanie, reports that Christopher does not follow directions. He seems to be in his own little world. He will look at you and will not respond. He has sexual behaviors, defiance, noncompliance, and impulsivity. He has hit a teacher and threw a chair at school.

2. What strengths and abilities (skills and talents) can each bring to bear on those issues? Legal Custodian / Guardian response:

Christopher is a determined young kid.

Parent / Caregiver response:

Chiletopher has excellent communication and is very smart. He knows his shapes, colors, and can count.

- 1. Has the child been a danger to others?
 - None of these
- 2. Has the child been a danger to self?
 - None of these
- 3. Has the child experienced any of the following stressful events within the past 12 months?

Child changes schools

Other significant event

Other: Christopher is in his fourth placement.

- 4. Has the child ever feared that she/he will be injured or killed? No
- 5. Has the child ever feared that a family member will be injured or killed? No

For the client's age group, all symptoms that apply include the following:

01-18 months:

Need's asst. to initiate/maintain sleep

18 - 36 months: Any of the above, plus

Extremely destructive dangerous behavior

Persistent, Intentional aggression

Challenging / does not follow directions

3 - 5 years: Any of the above, plus

Excessive withdrawn

Has the child experienced or been exposed to extreme, violent behavior in the last 90 days?

None of these ---

Does the child manifest persistent difficulties or disruptive behaviors sufficient to jeopardize home or school placement?

Data as of: 4/19/2013

Excessive non-compliance
Constant challenging of authority
Req constant supervision in activities
Requires total attention
Fall respond limit setting / discipline
Has the child exhibited bizarre or unusual behavior in the last 90 days?
None of these

1. With whom does the child live?

Since 03/01/13 Christopher and his sister, Asmiyah (9), have been placed with Melanie (foster mother), Melanie's daughter (21), Melanie's son (13), Melanie's adopted son (7), and a foster daughter (20 months).

2. As a family /caregiver, what strengths and positive influences do you find in your current living arrangement / relationships?

The family provides safety, patience, routine, and structure.

- 3. What is the child's current living situation: physical arrangements, others living in the home? Christopher shares a room with Melanie's adopted son (7).
- 4. How would you characterize the child's relationships and interactions with the family/caregiver, siblings, and /or others living in the home?

Christopher to closest to Asmiyah. Christopher has conflict with everyone in the home but he has the most conflict with Melanie's adopted son.

- What stressors can you identify in your current family's living arrangements / relationships?Christopher needs constant line of sight supervision.
- In what social / recreational activities or hobbles does the child engage?
 Christopher likes trucks, balls, super heroes, riding the tricycle, and going to McDonelds.
- 7. Are there any social / recreational activities or hobbles the family does together? The family enjoys going to the park and watching movies at home.
- 8. Do you have any personal, religious, spiritual, or cultural practices or beliefs that you want to be taken into account when working with you and your child?

The family is Christian. Christopher goes to the youth program and gives the teachers a hard time. He would always climb on Aamiyah and kise her. Christopher and Melante's adopted son were separated into a younger class.

9. Is there anything else you'd like us to know?
Termination of parental rights have been filed. There has not been a ruling yet. DFS may file for an ICPC with a maternal uncle in South Carolina who is an adoptive resource.

Mother's Health During Pregnancy / Birth:

1. In the three months before pregnancy, did the mother use any alcohol, tobacco, drugs, or prescribed medications? Unknown

If yes, what specifically was used? Natural mother, Keaundry Deberry, was not available for the assessment. Her phone numbers were out of service and she did not respond to the clinician's email.

- 2. During the pregnancy, did the mother continue to use alcohol, tobacco, drugs, or prescribed medications? Unknown
- 3. Didithe mother... (Select all that apply)
 Unknown

Medications used:

- 5. Was the child born on schedule? Unknown
- 7. Was the delivery...
 Unknown
- 8. Any health complications for the mother following birth? Unknown Child's Postnatal Health:
 - 10. Any problems during infancy regarding...

Unknown

Comment:

Data as of: 4/19/2013

Child's Milestones:

1f. At what age did the child (in months):

Months

Begin to sit up? Yes Use single worrds? (e.g., mams, dadds) Yes

Begin to crawl? Yes String two or more words together? Yes

Begin to walk? Yes Toilet Training? Yes

How would you characterize the child's general medical condition?
 Christopher has allergies, eczema, and had a sinus infection.

2. Does the child have: (Select all that apply)
Allergies

When was the child's last physical examination? 3/14/2013
 If yes, describe: Christopher was diagnosed with allergies and a sinus infection.

4. Are the child's Immunizations current? Yes

Does the child see a doctor regularly? Yes
 If yes, describe: Christopher sees Dr. Pimeltel at northwest Pediatrics for well checks.

6. Has the child ever been hospitalized for a medical condition? Unknown If yes, how often, for what condition(s), duration, and outcome(s)?

Has the child had a history of accidents or repeated accidents? Unknown If yes, describe...

Has the child had an accident or injury resulting in: (select ell that apply)
 Unknown

9. Does the child experience any elemping problems: (select all that apply)

Falling asleep

If yes to "Falling asleep", then where does the child fall asleep and what is used to help sleep (TV, parent, video, radio, bottle, pacifier, other)

Christopher sings and talks to himself.

Does the child experience: (salect all that apply)
 Not applicable

11. Any other medical or physical issues regarding the child that should be noted? No if yes, describe...

Any other medical or physical leaves regarding the child's family / caregivers that should be noted?
 Yes

13. How would you rate the child regarding his/her:

Hearing	Good
Vision	Good
Gross motor coordination	Good
Fine motor coordination	Good:
Speech articulation	Excellent
Emotional regulation	Poor*
Sensory integration	Good

^{*} Please describe any difficulties: Christopher is aggressive.

3. Does the child's family / caregivers have a current / past history of alcohol or substance abuse? Identify family member role(s) and details including treatment outcomes:

Christopher's father sold marijuana.

4. Have there been any legal? other consequences of family / caregiver substance abuse? Yes

If yes, describe: Christopher's father was released from Jall In Louisiana in December of 2012 and his current whereabouts are unknown.

6. Has the child had any alcohol or substance abuse treatment, to include: (select all that apply) Not applicable Outcomes:

Data as of: 4/19/2013

Page 3 of 6

M		
1.	Has the child reached puberty	? No
3.	is the child sexually active?	No
4.	Has the child received sex ad	any inangrapista sayusi bahaylor? Y98
0.	Have describer Christopher t	rock Melanie's son's pillow and "humped" it. He has poked a peer's private area at
	daycare. When Melanie gave i Describe any history of sexua	him a bath Christopher rubbed his pants. He also kisses Aamiyah inappropriately.
0,	Aamiyah waa aexualiy ebused	but it is unknown if Christopher was abused as well. The children were placed with
	their grandparents but it was fo	und that the perpetrator was living in the home and they were removed.
4	Hae the child ever: (select all	等。 第一天中國大學工作學的發展了一個大學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學術學的學
	None applicable	,
2.		t or past involvement with the Child Welfare system?
	Comment: Christopher in In DFS custody.	
3.	Does your child have an assig	ned Child Welfare worker? Yes
	Name:	
13	Lesley Dampeer	
1,	Has the child ever received a	mentel health diagnosis?
2,	Has the child had psychologic	of emotional or nhusical shuge? YOS
٥.	if yes, describe: Christopher V	vas burnt on his face with an Iron, he had other unexplained injuries, the islinity lailed to
	seek medical treatment, they all Has the child ever been expos	osconded to Louisiana, and he was brought back to Las vegas.
4.	lf yes, describe: There was do	omestic violence from Christopher's father towards his mother.
5.	Has the child ever been presc	ribed medication(s) for psychological, emotional, or behavioral problems?
	No .	
	· .	
	revisio algorita	
(3444) 1	Describe the child's education	nal strengths and resources:
• •	Christopher does not attend a	chool.
2	List daycare, preschools, sci Christopher attends Watch; me	nouls attended; e Grow daycare and was in daycare in Louisiana.
3.	Child's current grade level:	п/а
4	Describe how the child is cur	rently functioning academically:
***	Christopher knows his colors,	numbers, shapes, some letters, and spacial positions. rs in achoel and abilities / difficulties in getting along with teachers, principals,
	lenginateur	
•	Christanhau in controccius Alia	ry day, more than once a day. This occurred in Louisiana as well. This is mostly with
	peers. Once when he was ret	lirected by a teacher he hit the teacher. He called a peer a "bitch". He may be asked
	to leave. Has the child:	The state of the s
	None of the above are applic	able. Yes
	Appearence	Appropriate
		Neat
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Page 4 of 6

	•							
,	Behavior	Loud Domineering Restless		,				
	Mood	No impairment						
	Perception	No Impairment						
. •	Intelligence	No impairment						
	Functioning				·			
	Orientation	No impairment						
Axis 1 Axis 1 Axis 1	Diagnosie: Axis 1 - 1; 312.9 / DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DISORDER NOS Axis 1 - 2; 995.52 / NEGLECT OF CHILD (IF FOCUS OF ATTENTION IS ON VICTIM) Axis 1 - 3; / Unknown Principle Diagnosie: 312.9 / DISRUPTIVE BEHAVIOR DISORDER NOS							
	ialifies as Severely Emo	Nonelly Meturbad (S	EN)					
SE	ED Date Y/N	SED Determine	d By	<u></u>				
3/2	26/2013 Yes	· VAQUILAR,AN	VAH-LIZAH					
Asses: CAFAS	sment johildren 72 months and	alder]	Date	Scare 0				
PECFA	S [children 36-72 month	si	3/26/2013	110				
NECSE	T / CASII							
ECSII						,		
	FAssessment 3/28/20 Entry By: Annah-Lize		Entry On:	4/3/2013 / 02:33 PM		·		
	Degree Of Safety				4			
	Caregiving Relationship Caregiving Environment	it .			7			
	A. Caregiving Enviror B. Caregiving Enviror	nment Strengths ment Stressors	ŧ		2 4			
IV. Functional / Developmental Status								
V. Impact of Medical / Developmental / Mental Health Problems 4								
Final convictor interior Executive								
VI.	Services Profile A. Final Involvement: i. Child Involve ii. Caregiver im B. Fit C. Effectiveness	ment						
Final	Final Services Profile Score							
		The state of the s	West growner and the company of the		eastmeastment to the State	CONTROL VIOLENCE CONTROL		

Page 5 of 6

^{1.} Clinical summary of assessment findings and identification of current family strengths and needs.

Christopher came to the office for an assessment with foster mother, Melanie, and DFS worker, Lesley. Christopher ambulated well and was appropriately dressed.

At the previous placement Christopher kicked the foster mother in the groin and threw things at her. Christopher has broken toys and a bed out of anger. He has hit and spit at Melanie's 7 year old adopted son. He has pushed, hit, and snatched toys from the 20 month old foster sister. Christopher has taken the door off the hinges. He will rip his own pillow, and punches the bed. Sometimes he will cry, yell, and scream. He pinches peers at daycare. Christopher will Just stare at the caregiver when given directions. In the moming he will scream at the baby and go into Aamiyah's (sister) room even though he was redirected not to. He went into Melanie's son's room and grinded on his pillow. When Melanie took it away from him he cried "like it was the end of the world". He tries to go into the bathroom with Aamlysh and she does not set boundaries with him. He has ameared his feces. He frequently climbs under the bed and gets stuck. He has poor self-help skills and did not know how to brush his teeth or bathe independently.

Christopher lays down for bad between 7:00pm-7:30pm. He gats out of bad, runs into other people's rooms, talks, and sings himself to sleep. He falls asleep by 8:30pm and sleeps through the night. He wears pull ups and wets it. Melania wakes him up at 6:00am. He refuses to take a nap. He receives 11 of the 11.5 recommended hours of sleep. No sensory leaves have been noted.

When Christopher was placed Melanie told Christopher her name and since then he has called her, Ms. Melanie. He frequently interrupted and engaged Melanie in conversation. He transitioned well to clean up and leaving.

Christopher seems to fit the criteria for disruptive behavior disorder NOS and physical abuse/neglect.

2. Summary of family/caregiver and childhood expectations for intervention and anticipated outcomes. Melanie expects Christopher to be able to follow directions and accept limits. She excepts him to stop being aggressive with peers. She expects him to stop all sexualized behaviors.

3. Clinical recommendations regarding treatment approach.

- 1. Christopher does qualify for mental health services at this time to address his aggression, not following directions, and sexual behaviors.
- 2. Christopher is eligible for targeted case management services. A targeted case management assessment is being recommended to identify specific needs.
- 3. Christopher does not need mental health rehabilitative services at this time.
- Christopher is currently having his needs met in a family foster home.
- 4. Discharge Planning Christopher may be in therapy for 6-12 months. The discharge criteria is to stop all sexualized behaviors against others, reduce to 2 incidents of hitting per month, to fall asleep within 30 minutes, and to follow directions within 2 prompts. A TPR has been filed against the natural parents. There is a possible ICPC to a maternal uncle in South Carolina in progress.

Submitted by:

VAQUILAR, ANNAH-LIZAH

4/8/2013

Approved by:

Lesley Dampeer

From:

NV ICPC [nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov]

Sent: To: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 9:07 AM

To:

SCICPC@dss.sc.gov Lesley Dampeer

Subject:

FW: Status Updates

Please see email below requesting a status update. Thank you.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III Nevada ICPC Division of Child & Family Services 4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor Carson City, NV 89706 775-684-4420 Direct Line

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 7:54 AM

To: NV ICPC
Cc: Lesley Dampeer
Subject: Status Updates

775-684-4456 FON

Dear NVICPC...

May I receive a status update as to the following case:

Case #: 1362112

Subject minors: Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum, Jr.

To be placed with: Maternal uncle, Darrell DeBerry

State: South Carolina

Thank You

Lesley Dampeer, MA
Family Services Specialist II
Clark County Department of Family Services
522 E. Lake Mead Pkwy., Suite 5
Henderson, NV 89015
Office: (702) 455-8448
Fax: (702) 455-7961
Email: Lesley Dampeer@ClarkCountyNV.gov

[Please note my regular day off is every other Monday and on the alternate Monday I am off at 4 P.M.]

Sherrie,

I am not locating this case here. Was this sent by email?

Patricia i Cokley

Program Coordinator II

SC DSS, ICPC Unit

803-898-7330 (O) 803-898-7897 (Fax) patricia.cokley@dss.sc.gov (email)

SCICPC@dss.sc.gov for emails with attachments

From: NV ICPC [mailto:nvlcpc@dcfs.nv.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 4:33 PM

To: ICPC

Cc: Lesley Dampeer (<u>lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov</u>)

Subject: FW: Status Updates

2nd Request for Opdate

Please see email below requesting a status update.

Thank you.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III

Nevada ICPC

Division of Child & Family Services

4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor

Carson City, NV 89706

775-684-4420 Direct Line

775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 1:17 PM

To: NV ICPC

Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: RE: Status Updates

Dear NV ICPC,

Unfortunately, I never received a status update in regards to the following case,

Case #: 1362112

Subject minors: Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum, Jr.

To be placed with: Maternal uncle, Darrell DeBerry

State: South Carolina

A court hearing is approaching and I would like to present to the judge an update as it relates to the status of the children's ICPC referral.

Thank You

From: NV ICPC [mailto:nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 9:07 AM

To: SCICPC@dss.sc.gov Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: FW: Status Updates

 \mathcal{P} lease see email below requesting a status update.

Thank you.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III

Nevada ICPC

Division of Child & Family Services

4126 Technology Way, 3th Floor

Carson City, NV 89706

775-684-4420 Direct Line

775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 7:54 AM

To: NV ICPC

Cc: Lesley Dampeer Subject: Status Updates

Dear NVICPC,

May I receive a status update as to the following case:

Case #: 1362112

Subject minors: Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum, Jr.

To be placed with: Maternal uncle, Darrell DeBerry

State: South Carolina

Thank You

Lesley Dampeer, MA
Family Services Specialist II
Clark County Department of Family Services
522 E. Lake Mead Pkwy., Suite 5
Henderson, NV 89015
Office: (702) 455-8448
Fax: (702) 455-7961

Email: Lesley.Dampeer@ClarkCountyNV.gov

(Please note my regular day off is every other Monday and on the alternate Monday I am off at 4 P.M.)

Lesley Dampeer

From:

NV ICPC [nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov]

Sent: To:

Thursday, June 20, 2013 1:33 PM SCICPC@dss.sc.gov

Cc: Subject:

Lesley Dampeer FW: Status Updates

2nd Request for Update

Please see email below requesting a status update.

Thank you.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III

Nevada ICPC

Division of Child & Family Services

4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor

Carson City, NV 89706

775-684-4420 Direct Line

775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2013 1:17 PM

To: NV ICPC Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: RE: Status Updates

Dear NV ICPC,

Unfortunately, I never received a status update in regards to the following case,

Case #: 1362112

Subject minors: Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum, Jr.

To be placed with: Maternal uncle, Darrell DeBerry

State: South Carolina

A court hearing is approaching and I would like to present to the judge an update as it relates to the status of the children's ICPC referral.

Thank You

From: NV ICPC [mailto:nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 9:07 AM

To: SCICPC@dss.sc.gov Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: FW: Status Updates

Please see email below requesting a status update. Thank you.

Sherrie Connell
Administrative Assistant III
Nevada ICPC
Division of Child & Family Services
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4420 Direct Line
775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 7:54 AM

To: NV ICPC Cc: Lesley Dampeer Subject: Status Updates

Dear NVICPC,

May I receive a status update as to the following case:

Case #: 1362112

Subject minors: Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum, Jr.

To be placed with: Maternal uncle, Darrell DeBerry

State: South Carolina

Thank You

Lesley Dampeer, MA
Family Services Specialist II
Clark County Department of Family Services
522 E. Lake Mead Pkwy., Suite 5
Henderson, NV 89015
Office: (702) 455-8448
Fax: (702) 455-7961
Email: Lesley Dampeer@ClarkCountyNV.gov

(Please note my regular day off is every other Monday and on the alternate Monday I am off at 4 P.M.)

Lesley Dampeer

From: Sent: NV ICPC [nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov] Friday, June 21, 2013 2:22 PM

To: Subject: Friday, June 21, 2013 2:22 P Lesley Dampeer

Subject: Attachments: FW: Status Updates New Referral Documents

Lesley, SCICPC was unable to open the electronic files to the case mentioned below so they have never received the documents. NVICPC is mailing the documents today.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III
Nevada ICPC
Division of Child & Family Services
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4420 Direct Line
775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: NV ICPC

Sent: Friday, June 21, 2013 12:34 PM

To: 'ICPC'

Subject: RE: Status Updates

Yes, I have attached the documents for you. Please confirm receipt.

Sherrie Connell

Administrative Assistant III
Nevada ICPC
Division of Child & Family Services
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4420 Direct Line
775-684-4456 Fax

*Please send all ICPC inquiries to nvicpc@dcfs.nv.gov

"Devoted to every child's journey home" ~NVICPC

From: ICPC [mailto:scicpc@dss.sc.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2013 12:28 PM

To: NV ICPC

Subject: RE: Status Updates

1

Michelle Maese

Subject:

RE: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

From: Laura Parks [mailto:lparks@dcfs.nv.gov] On Behalf Of NV ICPC

Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 8:41 AM

To: Michelle Maese

Cc: 'Bobby.Seepersaud@dss.sc.gov'
Subject: RE: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

I sent this message to SC ICPC yesterday. Please be patient as all agencies are busy with new referrals and all of the paperwork that goes with them.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Lialson 4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor Carson City, NV 89706 775-684-4445 Fax: 775-684-4456

PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV

"DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

From: Michelle Maese [mailto:Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Friday, August 30, 2013 8:39 AM

To: NV ICPC

Cc: 'Bobby, Seepersaud@dss.sc.gov'
Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Please assist.

From: Michelle Maese

Sent: Thursday, August 29, 2013 11:53 AM

To: Laura Parks; Traci Nellis; Lesley Dampeer; Jason Frierson

Subject: Re: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Hi Laura,

I am writing to request an update ASAP as to the status of this ICPC. I know you have worked diligently to get us an answer, however we still do not have one. As you know, the TPR was granted in April and the ICPC was submitted by the worker shortly after. The worker was informed almost 90 days later that ICPC sent it to the wrong county and they could not open the attachment.

It was discussed below that ICPC would be expedited. We have heard nothing and I know you have tried. We have court next Thursday. The children are free for adoption and the family is an adoptive resource, however we have no word if we can place them because we have no approval / denial.

Can you please let us know the status.

We will be submitting recruitment paperwork for another home concurrently as the children are in separate homes.

Thank you MM

Sent from my HTC EVO 4G LTE exclusively from Sprint

---- Reply message -----

From: "Laura Parks" < parks@dcfs.nv.gov>

To: "Micheile Maese" < Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov>

Subject: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280 Date: Thu, Aug 22, 2013 8:10 AM

Hello Michelle,

I sent a request for update yesterday. I have not received a response back from SC ICPC. Once I do I will forward the response immediately.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW
Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Liaison
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4445
Fax: 775-684-4456
PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES
SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV

From: Michelle Maese [mailto:Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Thursday, August 22, 2013 5:52 AM

"DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

To: Laura Parks Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: Re: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Can we please have an update.

Sent from my HTC EVO 4G LTE exclusively from Sprint

---- Reply message -----

From: "Michelle Maese" < Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov>

To: "Laura Parks" < iparks@dcfs.nv.gov>

Cc: "Lesley Dampeer" < lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov>, "Michelle Maese"

<<u>Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov></u> Subject: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280 Date: Tue, Aug 20, 2013 11:01 AM Hi Laura,

I am checking on this case listed below. We have court next week and the Hearing Master would like an update about expediting the ICPC.

From: Lesley Dampeer

Sent: Friday, August 16, 2013 3:27 PM

To: Michelle Maese

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Importance: High

From: Laura Parks [mailto:iparks@dcfs.nv.gov] On Behalf Of NV ICPC

Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2013 2:03 PM

To: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Importance: High

Hello Lesley,

Please see response from SC ICPC below.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW
Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Lialson
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4445
Fax: 775-684-4456

PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV

"DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

From: Seepersaud, Bobby [mailto:Bobby.Seepersaud@dss.sc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2013 1:49 PM

To: NV ICPC Cc: English, Karen

Subject: RE: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Importance: High

Laura,

This case is DELAYED because it was sent to the wrong county – the address was on the borderline of another county. It was finally determined that the resource resides in DILLON County instead of MARION County. As you may have noticed on the last transmittal dated 7-24-13, that's the date that I sent it to Dillon county. I am hoping that they will speed this study up as requested by me to Dillon DSS. Please be patient with us a s we work through this. Karen English is the County Director and my contact person in Dillon county for ICPC studies. I have copied her on this email.

In order to expedite your request please do not staple or send 2 sided copies. PLEASE INCLUDE SC CASE NUMBER IN ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO ME.

THANKS

BOBBY SEEPERSAUD
ICPC CONSULTANT
SC DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
1535 CONFEDERATE AVENUE EXT.
PO BOX 1520
COLUMBIA, SC 29202-1520
TEL: 803-898-7504

FAX: 803-898-7897

From: Laura Parks [mailto:lparks@dcfs.nv.gov] On Behalf Of NV ICPC

Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2013 3:51 PM

To: Seepersaud, Bobby

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

RE: AAMYIAH LAMB and CHRISTOPHER BYNUM

Hello Bobby,

May we please get an update regarding the above case? Please see email chain.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Liaison 4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor Carson City, NV 89706 775-684-4445 Fax: 775-684-4456

PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV "DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

From: Lesley Dampeer [mailto:lesdam@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, August 07, 2013 11:35 AM

To: NV ICPC

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Dear NVICPC

I received an update this ICPC referral regarding placement of subject minors (Aamiyah Lamb and Christopher Bynum Jr.) with great maternal uncle in South Carolina.

Thank You

From: Michelle Maese

Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2013 10:14 AM

To: 'Laura Parks'

Cc: Lesley Dampeer

Subject: RE: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Thank you. Does this mean the home was approved?

From: Laura Parks [mailto:lparks@dcfs.nv.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2013 8:08 AM To: Michelle Maese

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Hello Michelle,

Please see response from SC ICPC. I will forward the locals response once I receive it.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW
Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Liaison
4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor
Carson City, NV 89706
775-684-4445
Fax: 775-684-4456
PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES
SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV
"DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

From: Seepersaud, Bobby [mailto:Bobby.Seepersaud@dss.sc.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2013 8:06 AM

To: Laura Parks Cc: English, Karen

Subject: FW: DeBerry [SC# 0001155280

Hi Laura,

This case for foster home licensing was sent to my local on 7-24-2013. I don't have any specific updates at this time. But I will definitely follow up with the local for a feedback/update

KAREN,

Can you assist as to the progress on this foster home study request?

From: Laura Parks [mailto:lparks@dcfs.nv.gov] On Behalf Of NV ICPC

Sent: Monday, July 29, 2013 2:16 PM

To: ICPC

Subject: FW: DeBerry

Hello SC ICPC,

Please see email below from NV Local requesting status of home study.

Thank you,

Laura Parks, LSW

Social Services Program Specialist II, ICPC Liaison

4126 Technology Way, 3rd Floor

Carson City, NV 89706

775-684-4445

Fax: 775-684-4456

PLEASE DO NOT RESPOND OR REQUEST INQUIRIES THROUGH MY EMAIL ADDRESS REGARDING ICPC CASES. ALL INQUIRIES SHOULD BE MADE TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS:

NVICPC@DCFS.NV.GOV

"DEVOTED TO EVERY CHILD'S JOURNEY HOME"

From: Michelle Maese [mailto:Mitchelm@ClarkCountyNV.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 29, 2013 10:38 AM

To: NV ICPC
Cc: Lesley Dampeer
Subject: DeBerry

Hi,

Can we please have an update on the DeBerry Case. AAMYIAH LAMB and CHRISTOPHER BYNUM. Placement will be with great maternal uncle in South Carolina. His name is Darrell Deberry.

Thanks,

Respectfully,

Michelle R. Maese

Department of Family Services
Family Services Supervisor
522 E. Lake Mead Parkway, Henderson NV 89015
Phone (702)455-1183: Fax (702) 455-7961
Child Abuse and Neglect hotline: 399-0081

[&]quot;The only person you are destined to become, is the person you decide to be"

^{*} Ralph Waldo Emerson*