1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2		
3	GENEVA M. SIMMONS, an Individual )	
4	Appellant, Electronically Filed	a.m.
5	vs. Clerk of Supreme (	
6		
7	JESUS M. BRIONES, an Individual BRIEF OF AMICUS	
8	) NEVADA JUSTICE ASSOCIATION IN	
9	Respondent.	
10		
11	Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada	
12	The Honorable Rob Bare, District Court Judge	
13	The Honorable Rob Dale, District Court Judge	
14	District Court Case No. A-14-706955-J	
15		
16		
17		
18	Androw I. Thomas, Esa	
19	Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 000017 THOMAS & SPRINGPERG, PC	
20	THOMAS & SPRINGBERG, PC 844 East Sahara Avenue Las Vagas, Navada 20104	
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 (702) 731-9222	
22	<u>athomas@thomasandspringberg.com</u> Attorneys for Amicus Curiae	
23	Nevada Justice Association	
24		
25		
26		
27		

### NRAP 26.1 DISCLOSURE

The undersigned counsel of record hereby certifies that the following are persons and entities as described in NRAP 26.1 (a), and must be disclosed. These representations are made in order that the judge of this Court may evaluate possible disqualification or recusal:

The Nevada Justice Association ("NJA") is a non-profit organization of independent lawyers in the State of Nevada who represent consumers and shares the common goal of improving the civil justice system. NJA also works to advance the science of jurisprudence, to promote the administration of justice for the public good, and to uphold the honor and dignity of the legal profession. NJA did not appear in the district court and has submitted to this Court a motion for leave to file this brief. It is represented in the pending appeal, as amicus curiae, by Andrew J. Thomas, of the law firm of Thomas & Springberg. Dated this 9th day of June, 2016.

#### THOMAS & SPRINGBERG, PC

By: /s/ Andrew J. Thomas

Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 000017 844 East Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Attorneys for *Amicus Curiae Nevada Justice Association* 

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	Table of Au	iii iii
3 4	Identity and	Interest of <i>Amici Curiae</i> 1
5	Summary of	f Argument 1
6 7	Argument	
8	I.	NRS 485.035 defines judgment for purposes of Chapter 485
9 10		as a judgment upon a cause of action arising from the ownership,
11		maintenance or use of a motor vehicle for damages
12 13	II.	A Judgment for attorneys fees and costs only is not a judgment
14		upon a cause of action arising from the ownership, maintenance
15 16		or use of a motor vehicle for damages 3
17	III.	The suspension of driver's license and registrations under NRS
18 19		485.302 does not apply in this case because a judgment for fees and
20		costs only is not a "judgment" as NRS 485.035 defines judgment for
21 22		purposes of Chapter 485.
23	IV.	Nevada's Offer of Judgment Rules provide only for monetary
24		sanctions, not Department of Motor Vehicle sanctions 4
25		
26	Conclusion	
27		11

1	Certificate of Compliance	7
2	Certificate of Service	8
3		0
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	iii	

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Statutog and Dulage
3	Statutes and Rules:
4	
5	NRS Chapter 485 1,2,3,4,5
6	
7	NRS 485.020
8 9	NRS 485.028 to 485.120
10	NRS 485.035 1,3,4,5,6
11 12	NRS 485.301 4
13	NRS 485.301 (1)
14 15	NRS 485.302 (1)
16	NRS 485.302 1,4,5,6
17 18	NRCP 68 1, 2,4
19	Nevada Arbitration Rule 20 1, 2,4
20 21	Short Trial Rule 27         1, 2, 4
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Page 4 of 14

# **IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE**

The Nevada Justice Association ("NJA") is a non-profit organization of independent lawyers in the State of Nevada who represent consumers and shares the common goal of improving the civil justice system. NJA also works to advance the science of jurisprudence, to promote the administration of justice for the public good, and to uphold the honor and dignity of the legal profession. NJA has an interest in insuring the proper interpretation and application of Nevada's Motor Vehicle Insurance and Financial Responsibility Act statutes. NJA has submitted to this Court a motion for leave to file this brief. **SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT** NRS 485.035 defines "Judgment" for purposes of NRS Chapter 485 1. as a "judgment . . . upon a cause of action arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of any motor vehicle for damages, ....." 2. A judgment for attorneys fees and costs only is not a "judgment upon" a cause of action arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of an automobile for damages." Page 2 of 14

1	3.	Appellant's judgment is ONLY for attorneys fees and costs.
2	4.	The suspension of driver's license and vehicle registrations provided
3		The suspension of arriver s needse and vehicle registrations provided
4		for in NRS 485.302 does not apply in this case because there was no
5		"judgment" for purposes of NRS Chapter 485.
6		
7	5.	NRCP 68, Nevada Arbitration Rule 20 and Short Trial Rule 27 Offer
8		of Judgment rules do not provide for suspension of driver's licenses
9		
10		or vehicle registrations. These rules only provide for monetary
11		sanctions.
12		
13		
14		ARGUMENT
15		
16	The f	facts of the case have been described by the parties and need not be
17	repeated he	re, but several points should be noted.
18	-	
19	1.	It appears undisputed that the judgment entered in favor of Geneva
20		Simmons and against Jesus Briones was a judgment for attorneys fees
21		
22		and costs only. The briefs by both parties describe the judgment as a
23		judgment for fees and costs. The description of the facts in the
24		
25		Appellants Opening Brief describes the judgment as resulting from a
26		Motion for Attorneys Fees and Costs pursuant to NRCP 68, Nevada
27		Arbitration Rule 20 and Nevada Short Trial Rule 27 because the jum
		Arbitration Rule 20, and Nevada Short Trial Rule 27, because the jury
		Page 3 of 14

1		verdict at the short trial / trial de novo was less than Simmons' Offer
2		of Judgment and also because the verdict failed to exceed the
3		
4		arbitration award by at least 20% percent.
5	2.	There is no mention, claim or argument by either party in the opening
6	2.	There is no montion, chain of argument of chiner party in the opening
7		briefs that the Simmons judgment was for anything other than
8		attorneys fees and costs.
9		attorneys rees and costs.
10	3.	There is no mention, claim or argument by either party in the opening
11		briefs that Geneva Simmons made any counter-claim or asserted any
12		oners that Geneva Simmons made any counter-claim of asserted any
13		cause of action of her own in the underlying car accident lawsuit.
14		
15		
16	Chap	ter 485 of the Nevada Revised Statutes is the Motor Vehicle Insurance
17	and Financi	al Responsibility Act.
18		
19	NRS	<b>485.301 (1)</b> provides:
20		Whenever any person fails within 60 days to satisfy any judgment
21		whenever any person rans within oo days to satisfy any judgment
22		that was entered as a result of an accident involving a motor vehicle,
23		the judgment creditor or the judgment creditor's attorney may forward
24		the judgment creditor or the judgment creditor's attorney may forward
25		to the Department immediately after the expiration of the 60 days a
26		contified convert the indement
27		certified copy of the judgment.

NRS 485.302 (1) provides:

Suspension for nonpayment of judgment; exception. The Department shall, upon the receipt of a certified copy of a judgment, suspend the license, all registrations and any nonresidents operating privilege of any person against whom the judgment was rendered, .... NRS 485.028 to 485.120 contain the definitions of terms as used in NRS Chapter 485. NRS 485.020 states: **Definitions.** As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires, the words and terms defined in NRS 485.028 to NRS 485.120, inclusive, have the meanings ascribed to them in those sections. I. NRS 485.035 DEFINES "JUDGMENT" FOR PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 485 AS A JUDGMENT UPON A CAUSE OF ACTION ARISING FROM THE OWNERSHIP, MAINTENANCE OR USE OF A MOTOR VEHICLE FOR DAMAGES.

NRS 485.035 specifically defines "judgment" for purposes of the NRS Chapter 485:

NRS 485.035 "Judgment" defined. "Judgment means any judgment which shall have become final by expiration without appeal of the time within which an appeal might have been perfected, or by final affirmation on appeal rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction of any state or of the United States, upon a cause of action arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of any motor vehicle for damages, including damages for care and loss of services because of injury to or destruction of property, including the loss of use thereof, or upon a cause of action upon an agreement of settlement for such damages. (emphasis added) II. A JUDGMENT FOR ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS ONLY IS NOT A JUDGMENT UPON A CAUSE OF ACTION ARISING OUT OF THE **OWNERSHIP, MAINTENANCE OR USE OF A MOTOR VEHICLE FOR** DAMAGES The Simmons judgment was only for attorneys fees and costs. The Page 6 of 14

judgment that Simmons obtained was NOT for damage to her car or for her medical bills or for any physical injuries to herself. The judgment that was granted to Appellant Simmons was not for any cause of action for damages that was asserted by Simmons. More particularly, the judgment that was granted to Appellant Simmons was not for any cause of action for damages arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of a motor vehicle. The judgment was only for attorneys fees and costs, based upon the offer of judgment rules contained in NRCP 68, Nevada Arbitration Rule 20 and Short Trial Rule 27. Simmon's judgment was based upon fee-shifting and cost-shifting procedural rules, not upon any cause of action for damages. III. THE SUSPENSION OF DRIVER'S LICENSE AND REGISTRATION **UNDER NRS 485.302 DO NOT APPLY IN THIS CASE BECAUSE** A JUDGMENT FOR FEES AND COSTS ONLY IS NOT A **"JUDGMENT" AS NRS 485.035 DEFINES JUDGMENT FOR** Page 7 of 14

# PURPOSES OF CHAPTER 485

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Because the judgment obtained by Appellant Simmons was not a "*judgment* ... upon a cause of action arising out of the ownership, maintenance or use of any motor vehicle for damages, ...," it is not a "judgment" as that word is defined by NRS 485.035 for the purposes of NRS Chapter 485. Therefore, the penalties prescribed by NRS 485.301 and NRS 485.302, such as the suspension of drivers license and vehicle registrations, for failing to pay a "judgment" cannot apply in this case. IV. <u>NEVADA'S OFFER OF JUDGMENT RULES PROVIDE ONLY FOR</u> MONETARY SANCTIONS, NOT DMV SANCTIONS

NRCP 68 provides that a party who fails to obtain a better result than an offer of judgment may be ordered to pay the opposing party's court costs and attorneys fees. Nevada Arbitration Rule 20 and Short Trial Rule 27 provide that parties who request a Trial de Novo from an arbitration award and do not obtain a result at least 20% better at Trial de Novo may be ordered to pay the opposing party's court costs and attorneys fees. Neither NRCP 68 nor Nevada Arbitration Rule 20 nor Short Trial Rule 27 provide for suspension of drivers license or

1	vehicle registrations.
---	------------------------

#### IV.

## **CONCLUSION**

The authority of the DMV Hearing Officer and the Courts to apply the sanctions provided by NRS Chapter 485 are limited by the terms and definitions set forth in that Chapter.

It would have been an abuse of authority in this case if the DMV Hearing Officer had imposed sanctions under NRS 485.302 based upon a judgment that does not meet the NRS 485.035's definition of a "judgment" for the purposes of NRS Chapter 485.

Likewise, it would have been an abuse of discretion if the District Court had over-turned the hearing officer's decision and expanded the definition of "judgment beyond how NRS 485.035 defines "judgment."

What is being attempted in this case is to deny ordinary citizens access to justice by threatening to take away their driver's licenses if they pursue personal injury cases and lose or win, but not by enough.

The suspension of a drivers license and vehicle registration is a severe sanction which imposes enormous practical hardship on most people. Such severe

1	sanctions should only be imposed in strict conformity with the statute which
2	authorizes it.
3	
4	In this case, both the DMV Hearing Officer and the District Court correctly
5	recognized that GEICO Insurance Company is trying to deny people access to
6	
7	justice by ignoring NRS 485.035 and mis-using NRS 485.302 for a purpose which
8	the Legislature never intended.
9	
10	Wherefore, the Nevada Justice Association respectfully requests that the
11	Judgment of the District Court be affirmed.
12	
13	
14	Dated this 9th day of June, 2016.
15	
16	THOMAS & SPRINGBERG, PC
17	
18	
19 20	By: <u>/s/ Andrew J. Thomas</u> Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 000017
20	844 East Sahara Avenue
21 22	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Attorneys for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Nevada Justice Association
22	
23	
25	
26	
20	
	Page 10 of 14

## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

I hereby certify that this proposed brief complies with the formatting 1. requirements of NRAP 32(a)(5) and the type style requirements of NRAP32(a)(6) because this brief was prepared in a proportionally-spaced typeface (14-point Times New Roman font) using Word Perfect. I further certify that this brief complies with the page-or-type-volume 2. limitations of NRAP 32(a)(7) and NRAP 29(e) for an Amicus brief, because excluding the parts of the brief exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it does not exceed 15 pages. 3. I hereby certify that I have read this amicus curiae brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular NRAP 28(e)(1), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the records to be supported by a reference to the page and volume number, if any, of the transcript of appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the

Page 11 of 14

1	Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.
2	Dated this Oth day of Juna 2016
3	Dated this 9th day of June, 2016.
4	THOMAS & SPRINGBERG, PC
5	
6	By: /s/ Andrew J. Thomas
7	Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 000017
8	844 East Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104
9	By: <u>/s/ Andrew J. Thomas</u> Andrew J. Thomas, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 000017 844 East Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Attorneys for <i>Amicus Curiae</i> <i>Nevada Justice Association</i>
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	Page 12 of 14

1	<b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>
2	
3	Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 31 I certify that I am an employee of Thomas &
4 5	Springberg and on this <u>9th</u> day of June, 2016, I electronically filed and
6	served a true and correct copy of the foregoing
7 8	BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE OF THE NEVADA JUSTICE
9	ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT by electronic mail and by
10	
11	regular mail by depositing a copy of same for mailing in the United States Mail, in
12	a sealed envelope addressed to:
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	Dennis L. Kennedy, Esq. Sarah E. Harmon, Esq. Amanda L.Stevens, Esq. BAILEY KENNEDY 8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302 Email: <u>Dkennedy@BaileyKennedy.com</u> Email: <u>Sharmon@BaileyKennedy.com</u> Email: <u>Astevens@BaileyKennedy.com</u> Attorneys for Appellant Geneva M. Simmons
20 21 22 23	Cliff W. Marcek. Esq. CLIFF W. MARCEK, PC 700 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Email: <u>cwmarcek@marceklaw.com</u> Attorneys for Respondent Jesus Manuel Briones
24	
25	
26	
27	Page 13 of 14

1	Adam Paul Laxalt, Esq. Attorney General Adam D. Honey, Esq. Deputy Attorney General 555 East Washington Ave. Suite 3900
2	Adam D. Honey, Esq.
3	555 East Washington Ave.
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Email: <u>ahoney@ag.nv.gov</u> Attorneys for Respondent Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles
6	
7	/s/ Linda Applin Linda Applin, an employee of Thomas & Springberg, PC
8	Thomas & Springberg, PC
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	Page 14 of 14