IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GRUPO FAMSA, S.A. DE C.V., A
MEXICAN CORPORATION,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ROB
BARE, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
B.E. UNO, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 69119

FILED

APR 2 1 2016

CLERK OF SUPPEME COURT
BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original petition for writ of mandamus challenging a district court order requiring a supersedeas bond.

Grupo Famsa, S.A. de C.V. (Grupo) challenges the district court's order fixing a supersedeas bond in the amount of \$1,000,000 in connection with a temporary stay pending resolution of its writ petition in Docket No. 68626. As the issuance of a bond is not required by rule or statute, but lies within the discretion of the trial court, we review the district court's decision to fix a bond for an abuse of discretion. See NRAP 8(a)(2)(E); see also Bowler v. Leonard, 70 Nev. 370, 386, 269 P.2d 833, 840-41 (1954).

We hold that the district court abused its discretion in fixing the \$1,000,000 supersedeas bond. The bond was premised on a judgment

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

16-12418

in an earlier action to which Grupo was not a party. Although it appears Grupo may have contractually guaranteed satisfaction of that judgment, Grupo's liability has not been adjudicated and, in this instance, the supersedeas bond serves as a procedurally improper pre-judgment writ of attachment. See NRS 31.010-31.235. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition GRANTED AND DIRECT THE CLERK OF THIS COURT TO ISSUE A WRIT OF MANDAMUS instructing the district court to vacate its order fixing the supersedeas bond.

fendesty, J.

Hardesty

J.

Saitta

Pickering

_, J.

cc: Hon. Rob Bare, District Judge Fennemore Craig Jones Vargas/Las Vegas Levinson Arshonsky & Kurtz, LLP Goold Patterson Eighth Judicial District Court Clerk