

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WESTERN CAB COMPANY,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE  
LINDA MARIE BELL, DISTRICT  
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

LAKSIRI PERERA; IRSHAD AHMED;  
AND MICHAEL SARGEANT,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF  
OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,  
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 69408

**FILED**

NOV 07 2016


ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER REGARDING MOTION*

On November 3, 2016, we entered an order granting the motion of real parties in interest to supplement the record to the extent that we will consider the proposed supplement if our review of the petition reveals that it is appropriate. *See* NRAP 21(a)(4); *Carson Ready Mix v. First Nat'l Bank*, 97 Nev. 474, 476, 635 P.2d 276, 277 (1981); *Cal. State Auto. Ass'n v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court*, 106 Nev. 197, 788 P.2d 1367 (1990). Petitioner has now filed its own motion to supplement the record in which it states that while it "does not believe [the proposed supplement submitted by real parties in interest] is relevant," if the court does consider it, that is also supplement the record with and consider petitioner's own proposed supplement in response. The same considerations expressed in our November 3 order apply to petitioner's proposed supplement, and because the propriety of petitioner's proposed

supplement is likewise intertwined with our review of the merits, we grant the motion to the extent that we will consider petitioner's supplement if our review of this matter reveals that it is appropriate.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Hejmanowski & McCrea LLC  
Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation  
Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP/Las Vegas  
Thierman Buck LLP