

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9641  
CHRISTINE VIEW,

Appellant,

vs.


FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE  
ASSOCIATION,

Respondent.

No. 69419

**FILED**


**AUG 22 2016**

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until August 26, 2016, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Ltd.  
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg  
Aldridge Pite, LLP  
Arnold & Porter, LLP  
Fennemore Craig Jones Vargas/Reno