

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE BOARD OF REVIEW NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT
TRAINING & REHABILITATION,
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION;
AND THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
EMPLOYMENT, TRAINING AND
REHABILITATION, EMPLOYMENT
SECURITY DIVISION,

Petitioners,

vs.

THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
WASHOE; AND THE HONORABLE
SCOTT N. FREEMAN, DISTRICT
JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

MCDONALD'S OF KEYSTONE,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 69499

FILED

JAN 13 2016

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges a district court order refusing to dismiss, for lack of jurisdiction, a petition for judicial review of an unemployment benefits decision that failed to name the claimant in the petition, instead allowing amendment. Having reviewed the petition, it appears that an answer may assist this court in resolving this matter. Therefore, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 30 days from the date of this order to

file and serve an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioners shall have 15 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply.

It is so ORDERED.¹

1. J. J. J., A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Scott N. Freeman, District Judge
State of Nevada/DETR
The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq.
Washoe District Court Clerk

¹Petitioners' request for a stay is denied without prejudice to petitioners' right to seek a stay by separate motion, NRAP 27, demonstrating compliance with NRAP 8(a)(1).