1	Thomas A. Ericsson, Esq.		
	Nevada Bar No. 4982		
2	ORONOZ & ERICSSON, LLC		
2	1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889	Electronically Filed	
4	Facsimile: (702) 522-1542	Oct 02 2017 02:31 p	o.m.
·	tom@oronozlawyers.com	Elizabeth A. Brown	
5		Clerk of Supreme C	ourt
	Robert L. Langford, Esq.		
6	Nevada Bar No. 3988		
_	ROBERT L. LANGFORD & ASSOCIATES		
7	616 S. 8 <sup>th</sup> Street		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 960-0686		
0	Attorneys for Appellant		
9			
	IN THE SUPREME COU	URT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
10			
1.1		)	
11	AMMAR HARRIS aka AMMAR ASIM	) CASE NO.: 69509	
12	FARUZ HARRIS,	) ) APPELLANT'S MOTION TO	
12		) ENLARGE TIME TO FILE	
13	Appellant,	) REPLY BRIEF	
	VS.	$(1^{st} \text{ Request})$	
14	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) Death Penalty	
1.5			
15	Respondent.	)	
16		_)	
10			
17	Appellant AMMAR HARRIS, by and through his counsel of record, Thomas A.		
	Ericsson and Robert L. Langford, hereby submits this Motion to Enlarge Time a Reply in this		
18			
10	matter.		
19			

The Appellant's reply brief is currently due on October 2, 2017. This is the first request for an extension of time to file the reply brief. Counsel for Mr. Harris request a thirty (30) day extension in which to file the reply brief. With a thirty-day extension, the reply brief would be due on or before November 1, 2017.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
	2
1	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
	1
	2
-	3
2	4

and

. . .

## FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

This matter proceeded to a jury trial in October and November, 2015, and Ammar Harris was convicted of all charges and sentenced to three death sentences on the three murder convictions. The Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District, Clark County, Nevada, filed a

Notice of Appeal in this matter on January 5, 2016. The Record on Appeal was filed by the

court clerk on February 4, 2016. The Record on Appeal consists of 26 volumes and 5,797 pages.

## ARGUMENT

## GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO ENLARGE THE TIME TO FILE APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF

Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 31(b)(3) provides in relevant part:

**Motions for Extensions of Time.** A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.

(A) Contents of Motion. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:

(i) The date when the brief is due;

(ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 5-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;

(iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;

(iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary;

(v) The length of the extension requested and the date on which the brief would become due.

(D) Motions in Capital Cases. The Supreme Court may grant an initial motion for an extension of time of up to 60 days for filing a brief in a capital case upon a showing of good cause. The court shall not grant additional extensions of time except upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need.

The Appellant's reply brief is currently due on October 2, 2017. This is the first request for an extension. The Appellant now requests a thirty (30) day extension of time to file the reply brief.

As good cause for the extension, Appellant cites the following: this appeal involves a heavily-litigated capital murder case with many pre-trial motions, three weeks of jury trial, and a record on appeal consisting of 26 volumes and 5,797 pages. Appellant has been sentenced to three death sentences. The State of Nevada requested and received an approximately six (6) month extension in which to file its Answering Brief, due in large part to the massive record involved in the appeal. Counsel for Appellant need the additional thirty (30) days to address adequately the State's Answering Brief and incorporate the sections from the record on appeal into the Reply.

Appellant's counsel respectfully move for an enlargement of time of thirty days, making the reply brief due on November 1, 2017. This additional time will give appellant counsel the necessary time to present properly Appellant's issues on appeal.

This motion is made in good faith and not for the purposes of undue delay.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Appellant respectfully requests an enlargement of time of thirty (30) days until November 1, 2017, in which to file his Reply.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/Thomas A. Ericsson</u> THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4982 ROBERT L. LANGFORD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3988 *Attorneys for Appellant* 

1

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada		
3	Supreme Court on October 2, 2017. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made		
4	in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:		
5			
6	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General		
7	STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney		
8	THOMAS A. ERICSSON		
9	ROBERT L. LANGFORD Counsel for Appellant		
10	By: /s/ Rachael Stewart		
11	An employee of ORONOZ & ERICSSON, LLC		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
	4		