1	Thomas A. Ericsson, Esq.		
	Nevada Bar No. 4982		
2	ORONOZ, ERICSSON & GAFFNEY, LLC		
2	1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120		
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 878-2889	Electronically File	d
4	Facsimile: (702) 522-1542	Nov 01 2017 11:2	
	tom@oronozlawyers.com	Elizabeth A. Brow Clerk of Supreme	
5	·	Clerk of Supreme	Court
	Robert L. Langford, Esq.		
6	Nevada Bar No. 3988 ROBERT L. LANGFORD & ASSOCIATES		
7	616 S. 8 th Street		
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
8	Telephone: (702) 960-0686		
	Attorneys for Appellant		
9	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
10			
)	
11	AMMAR HARRIS aka AMMAR ASIM	Ó CASE NO.: 69509	
12	FARUQ HARRIS,) APPELLANT'S MOTION TO	
12	Appellant,	EXTEND TIME TO FILE REPLY	
13		BRIEF	
	VS.	(Second Request)	
14	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	Death Penalty	
15	Respondent.)	
	1)	
16		2	
17	Appellant AMMAR HARRIS, by and through his counsel of record, Thomas A.		
-	Ericsson, Esq. and Robert L. Langford, Esq., hereby submits this Motion to Extend Time to File		
18			
19	Reply Brief.		
1)	Mr. Harris' Reply Brief is due November 1, 2017. This is the second request for an		
20	entension of time to file the Donly Drief Councel for Mr. Herris request a further extension of		
2.1	extension of time to file the Reply Brief. Counsel for Mr. Harris request a further extension of		
21	time within in which to file the Reply Brief because of the complexity and volume of the record		
22			
	in this matter, and the novel arguments raised by the State in the Answering Brief.		
23			
24	///		
4			
	1		

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On February 20, 2013, a verbal exchange took place between occupants of a Range Rover and a Maserati on Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada. Shots were fired. One passenger in the Maserati was killed, the other injured. The Maserati entered the Flamingo and Las Vegas Boulevard intersection and struck a taxicab. The taxicab exploded, and the two occupants of the taxicab were killed.

This matter proceeded to a jury trial in October and November, 2015, and Ammar Harris was convicted of all charges and sentenced to three death sentences on the three murder convictions.

The Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District, Clark County, Nevada, filed a Notice of Appeal in this matter on January 5, 2016. The Record on Appeal was filed by the court clerk on February 4, 2016. The Record on Appeal consists of 26 volumes and 5,797 pages.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure 31(b)(3) provides in relevant part:

Motions for Extensions of Time. A motion for extension of time for filing a brief may be made no later than the due date for the brief and must comply with the provisions of this Rule and Rule 27.

- **(A) Contents of Motion.** A motion for extension of time for filing a brief shall include the following:
 - (i) The date when the brief is due;
- (ii) The number of extensions of time previously granted (including a 5-day telephonic extension), and if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due;
- (iii) Whether any previous requests for extensions of time have been denied or denied in part;

1	(iv) The reasons or grounds why an extension is		
2	necessary; and		
3	(v) The length of the extension requested and the		
4	date on which the brief would become due.		
5	(D) Motions in Capital Cases. The Supreme		
6	Court may grant an initial motion for an extension of time of up to 60 days for filing a brief in a capital case upon a		
7	showing of good cause. The court shall not grant additional		
8	extensions of time except upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need.		
9	Mr. Harris' Reply Brief is currently due November 1, 2017. This is the second request for		
10	an extension on this brief. Mr. Harris requests a 30-day extension.		
11	Due to the lengthy record in this matter, the novel arguments raised by the State in the		
12	Answering Brief, and counsel's mindfulness of the standards under ADKT 411, an extension of		
13	time is required within which to file Appellant's Reply Brief.		
14	Mr. Harris therefore respectfully moves for an extension of 30 days, rendering the Reply		
15	Brief due on December 1, 2017.		
16	This motion is made in good faith and not for the purpose of undue delay.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, Mr. Harris respectfully requests an extension of 30 days, allowing until December 1, 2017 to file his Reply Brief. DATED this 1st day of November, 2017. Respectfully submitted, By: /s/Robert L. Langford THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4982 ROBERT L. LANGFORD, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3988 Attorneys for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on November 1st, 2017. Electronic service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General

STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney

THOMAS A. ERICSSON ROBERT L. LANGFORD Counsel for Appellant

By: <u>/s/ Matthew J. Rashbrook</u>

An employee of ROBERT L. LANGFORD & ASSOCIATES