## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

AMMAR ASIMFARUQ HARRIS, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 69509

17-38119

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, appellant's motion requesting a second, 30-day extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Appellant shall have until December 1, 2017, to file and serve the reply brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974).

It is so ORDERED.

<u>Cheany</u>, c.j.

cc: Robert L. Langford & Associates Oronoz, Ericsson & Gaffney, LLC Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA