IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA,

Electronically Filed Feb 09 2016 12:49 p.m. No. 69529 Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Appeal from a Judgment of Conviction, Case Number CR15-1461 The Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada Honorable Patrick Flanagan, District Judge

JOINT APPENDIX

JEREMY T. BOSLER

Washoe County Public Defender

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS

Washoe County District Attorney

JOHN REESE PETTY

Chief Deputy

TERRENCE P. McCARTHY

Chief Appellate Deputy

350 South Center Street, 5th Floor One South Sierra Street, 7th Floor

P.O. Box 11130

Reno, Nevada 89520

P.O. Box 11130

Reno, Nevada 89520

Attorneys for Appellant

Attorneys for Respondent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Guilty Plea Memorandum <u>filed</u> on October 14, 2015	. 4
2.	Information <u>filed</u> on October 8, 2015	. 1
3.	Judgment <u>filed</u> on December 3, 2015	47
4.	Notice of Appeal <u>filed</u> on January 3, 2016	49
5.	Transcript of Proceedings: Arraignment <i>held</i> on October 14, 2015 and <u>filed</u> on November 24, 2015	12
6.	Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing <i>held</i> on December 2, 2015	24

FILED Electronically 2015-10-09 11:39:02 AM Jacqueline Bryant Clerk of the Court Transaction # 5180838 : mfernand

DA #15-6066 RPD RP15-012329

CODE 1800 1 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV 89520 (775) 328-3200

> IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. CR15-1461

Dept. No. 7

DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA,

Defendant.

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

INFORMATION

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above entitled Court that DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, the defendant above named, has committed the crime(s) of:

COUNT I. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony, in the manner following:

That the said defendant DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, on the 14th day of April, 2015, or thereabout, and before the filing of this Information, at and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully enter Walgreen's located at 3495 South

Virginia Street and/or Walmart located at 4855 Kietzke Lane, with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

COUNT II. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony, in the manner following:

That the said defendant DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, on the 14th day of April, 2015, or thereabout, and before the filing of this Information, at and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully enter Save Mart located at 4995 Kietzke Lane with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

COUNT III. POSSESSION OF FORGED INSTRUMENTS, a violation of NRS 205.160, a felony, in the manner following:

That the said defendant DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, on or between the 22nd day of March and the 15th day of April, 2015, or thereabout, and before the filing of this Information, at and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully possess a forged bills for the payment of money, to wit: business or personal checks drawn upon the bank accounts of Kimmie's Coffee Shop, Margaret Logie, Donna Surwillo, Ignacio Robles, Dilworth Middle School and/or Portola Station Baptist Church, with intent to pass them or procure them to be uttered or passed, and with intent to defraud Kimmie's Coffee Shop, Margaret Logie, Donna Surwillo, Ignacio Robles, Dilworth Middle School and/or Portola Station Baptist Church, knowing said checks to be forged, at Walgreen's located at 3495 South Virginia Street, Save Mart located at 4995 Kietzke Lane, Walmart

located at 4855 Kietzke Lane, Walmart located at 155 Damonte Ranch Parkway and/or Walmart located at 2425 East Second Street.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By:/s/ ZELALEM T. BOGALE
ZELALEM T. BOGALE
12937
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The following are the names and addresses of such witnesses as are known to me at the time of the filing of the within Information:

CORY AUTREY, RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BYRON CRAGG, RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT
JEREL HALLERT, RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT
ALEX (DIMITRIUS) KASSEBAUM, RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT
IGNACIO V ROBLES, 17864 VALECITO CT RENO, NV 89508
DONNA LYNN SURWILLO, 2699 SPEARPOINT DR Reno, NV 89509
KIMMIES COFFEE SHOP, 6770 S VIRGINIA ST Reno, NV 89511
MARGARET LOGIE, 1057 NORWOOD AVE OAKLAND, CA
VERONICA BETTGER, 85 CLEVELAND RC PLEASANT HILL, CA 94523
ERICA PICONE, 4835 KIETZKE LN Reno, NV 89509
JOHN SURWILLO,

The party executing this document hereby affirms that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons pursuant to NRS 239B.230.

CHRISTOPHER J. HICKS District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By/s/ ZELALEM T. BOGALE
ZELALEM T. BOGALE
12937
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

PCN: RPD1507361C; RPD1502945C-VEJMOLA

CODE 1785 Christopher J. Hicks #7747 P.O. Box 11130 Reno, NV. 89520 (775)328-3200Attorney for Plaintiff

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA,

5

6

1

2

3

4

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR15-1461

Dept. No. 7

Defendant.

GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM

- I, DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, understand that I am charged with the offense(s) of: COUNT I. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony; COUNT II. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony; and COUNT III. POSSESSION OF FORGED INSTRUMENTS, a violation of NRS 205.160, a felony.
- I desire to enter a plea of guilty to the offense(s) of COUNT I. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony; COUNT II. BURGLARY, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony; and COUNT III. POSSESSION OF FORGED INSTRUMENTS, a violation of NRS 205.160, a felony, as more fully alleged in the charge(s) filed against me.
 - By entering my plea of guilty I know and understand

that I am waiving the following constitutional rights:

- A. I waive my privilege against self-incrimination.
- B. I waive my right to trial by jury, at which trial the State would have to prove my guilt of all elements of the offenses beyond a reasonable doubt.
- c. I waive my right to confront my accusers, that is, the right to confront and cross examine all witnesses who would testify at trial.
- D. <u>I waive my right to subpoena witnesses for trial on my</u> behalf.
- 4. I understand the charge(s) against me and that the elements of the offense(s) which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on April 14, 2015, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did, as to Count I, willfully and unlawfully enter Walgreen's located at 3495 South Virginia Street and/or Walmart located at 4855 Kietzke Lane, with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

I understand the charge(s) against me and that the elements of the offense(s) which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on April 14, 2015, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did, as to Count II, willfully and unlawfully enter Save Mart located at 4995 Kietzke Lane with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

I understand the charge(s) against me and that the elements

of the offense(s) which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on or between the 22nd day of March and the 15th day of April, 2015, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did, as to Count III, willfully and unlawfully possess a forged bills for the payment of money, to wit: business or personal checks drawn upon the bank accounts of Kimmie's Coffee Shop, Margaret Logie, Donna Surwillo, Ignacio Robles, Dilworth Middle School and/or Portola Station Baptist Church, with intent to pass them or procure them to be uttered or passed, and with intent to defraud Kimmie's Coffee Shop, Margaret Logie, Donna Surwillo, Ignacio Robles, Dilworth Middle School and/or Portola Station Baptist Church, knowing said checks to be forged, at Walgreen's located at 3495 South Virginia Street, Save Mart located at 4995 Kietzke Lane, Walmart located at 4855 Kietzke Lane, Walmart located at 155 Damonte Ranch Parkway and/or Walmart located at 2425 East Second Street.

 $\cdot 11$

- 5. I understand that I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offenses by pleading guilty. I admit that the State possesses sufficient evidence which would result in my conviction. I have considered and discussed all possible defenses and defense strategies with my counsel. I understand that I have the right to appeal from adverse rulings on pretrial motions only if the State and the Court consent to my right to appeal in a separate written agreement. I understand that any substantive or procedural pretrial issue(s) which could have been raised at trial are waived by my plea.
 - 6. I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty

as to Count I, are that I may be imprisoned for a period of one to ten years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and that I am eligible for probation. I may also be fined up to \$10,000. The sentence on each count may be concurrent or consecutive to each other.

I further understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty as to Count II, are that I may be imprisoned for a period of one to ten years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and that I am eligible for probation. I may also be fined up to \$10,000. The sentence on each count may be concurrent or consecutive to each other.

I further understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty as to Count III, are that I may be imprisoned for a period of one to five years in the Nevada Department of Corrections and that I am eligible for probation. I may also be fined up to \$10,000. The sentence on each count may be concurrent or consecutive to each other.

7. In exchange for my plea of guilty, the State, my counsel and I have agreed to recommend the following: As to Count I, the parties stipulate that I will serve four to ten years (48 to 120 months) in the Nevada Department of Corrections. As to Count II, the parties stipulate that I will serve four to ten years (48 to 120 months) in the Nevada Department of Corrections. The parties recommend that the sentence in Count II run concurrently to the sentence in Count I. As to Count III, the parties stipulate that I will serve two to five years (24 to 60 months) in the Nevada

Department of Corrections. Both parties will be free to argue whether the sentence on Count III will run consecutively or concurrently to the sentence in Count II. At sentencing, the State will dismiss all remaining cases or charges in Reno Justice Court. The State will not pursue or file additional charges or enhancements resulting from the arrest in this case.

- 8. I understand that, even though the State and I have reached this plea agreement, the State is reserving the right to present arguments, facts, and/or witnesses at sentencing in support of the plea agreement.
- 9. I also agree that I will make full restitution in this matter, as determined by the Court. Where applicable, I additionally understand and agree that I will be responsible for the repayment of any costs incurred by the State or County in securing my return to this jurisdiction.
- entitled to either withdraw from this agreement and proceed with the prosecution of the original charges or be free to argue for an appropriate sentence at the time of sentencing if I fail to appear at any scheduled proceeding in this matter OR if prior to the date of my sentencing I am arrested in any jurisdiction for a violation of law OR if I have misrepresented my prior criminal history. I understand and agree that the occurrence of any of these acts constitutes a material breach of my plea agreement with the State. I further understand and agree that by the execution of this agreement, I am waiving any right I may have to remand this matter to Justice Court

should I later withdraw my plea.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11. I understand and agree that pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement stated herein, any counts which are to be dismissed and any other cases charged or uncharged which are either to be dismissed or not pursued by the State, may be considered by the court at the time of my sentencing.
- I understand that the Court is not bound by the agreement of the parties and that the matter of sentencing is to be determined solely by the Court. I have discussed the charge(s), the facts and the possible defenses with my attorney. All of the foregoing rights, waiver of rights, elements, possible penalties, and consequences, have been carefully explained to me by my attorney. My attorney has not promised me anything not mentioned in this plea memorandum, and, in particular, my attorney has not promised that I will get any specific sentence. I am satisfied with my counsel's advice and representation leading to this resolution of my case. I am aware that if I am not satisfied with my counsel I should advise the Court at this time. I believe that entering my plea is in my best interest and that going to trial is not in my best interest. attorney has advised me that if I wish to appeal, any appeal, if applicable to my case, must be filed within thirty days of my sentence and/or judgment.
 - 13. I understand that this plea and resulting conviction will likely have adverse effects upon my residency in this country if I am not a U. S. Citizen. I have discussed the effects my plea will have upon my residency with my counsel.

14. I offer my plea freely, volunta	rily, know	ingly and
with full understanding of all matters set for	th in the	Information
and in this Plea Memorandum. I have read this		
completely and I understand everything contain	ned within	it.

- My plea of guilty is voluntary and is not the result 15. of any threats, coercion or promises of leniency.
- I am signing this Plea Memorandum voluntarily with advice of counsel, under no duress, coercion, or promises of leniency.
- I do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that all of 17. the assertions in this written plea agreement document are true.

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED this <u>23</u> day of

DEFENDANT

TRANSLATOR/INTERPRETER

ng Defendant's Signature Attorney

Prosecuting Attorney

		Jacquelin Clerk of Transaction	he Bryar he Coui
1	4185	Transaction	# 3243
2	STEPHANIE KOETTING		
3	CCR #207		
4	75 COURT STREET		
5	RENO, NEVADA		
6		=	
7	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT		
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE		
9	THE HONORABLE PATRICK FLANAGAN, DISTRICT JUDGE		
10	000		
11	STATE OF NEVADA,		
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	vs.) Case No. CR15-1461		
14	DENISE M. VEJMOLA,) Department 7		
15	Defendant.)		
16			
17			
18	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
19	ARRAIGNMENT		
20	October 14, 2015		
21			
22	9:00 a.m.		
23	Reno, Nevada		
24	Reported by: STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207, RPR Computer-Aided Transcription		

APPEARANCES: For the State: OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY By: ZELALEM BOGALE, ESQ. P.O. Box 30083 Reno, Nevada For the Defendant: OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER By: CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. 350 S. Center Reno, Nevada

RENO, NEVADA, October 14, 2015, 9:00 a.m. 1 --000--3 THE CLERK: Case number CR15-1461, State versus 4 Denise M. Vejmola. Matter set for arraignment. Counsel and 5 the Division, please state your appearance. 6 MR. BOGALE: Zelalem Bogale on behalf of the 7 State, your Honor. 8 MS. BRADY: Christine Brady on behalf of Ms. 9 10 Vejmola. THE COURT: Ms. Vejmola, the State of Nevada has 11 filed an information against you, charging you with two 12 counts of burglary and one count of possession of a forged 13 instrument. Your attorney is being provided a copy of the 14 information. Ma'am, I understand coming to court always 15 makes people a little nervous, but how do you feel here this 16 17 morning? THE DEFENDANT: As well as can be expected. 18 THE COURT: Have you taken any medication in the 19 20 last 24 hours? THE DEFENDANT: No. 21 THE COURT: Have you spoken to Ms. Brady about 22 23 what we're going to do here this morning? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Brady.

MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor. We are in receipt, your Honor, of the information. Ms. Vejmola is identified correctly on line 12 of the information. We are familiar with the contents of the information and we waive its formal reading, your Honor. The negotiations are that in exchange for her plea of guilty to two counts, Count One and Count Two of burglary, and to, your Honor, one count of possession of a forged instrument, the parties agree to stipulate to 48 months to 120 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections for both Count One and Count Two to run concurrent. We also stipulate to run those two concurrent.

As to Count Three, the parties recommend in Count Three a sentence of 24 to 60 months in the Nevada Department of Corrections, but there the parties are free to argue whether Count Three will run concurrent or consecutive to Counts One and Two.

At sentencing, the State will dismiss all remaining charges that are currently pending in Reno Justice Court. And the State will not pursue or file additional charges or enhancements resulting from the arrest in this case, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Bogale.

MS. BRADY: Restitution will be determined at the

time of sentencing. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 3 MS. BRADY: One more thing. So even though the other cases in Reno Justice Court are going to be dismissed, 4 5 they've been named within these counts here, and she will be responsible for the restitution as to those, even though the 6 7 cases will be dismissed. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bogale. 8 MR. BOGALE: That's an accurate statement of the 9 10 negotiations, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Vejmola, an I 12 pronouncing that name correctly? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Adequately. THE COURT: Adequately? 14 15 MS. BRADY: She's shy to correct your Honor. THE COURT: It's quite all right. Sometimes all I 16 17 do is aspire to be adequate. THE DEFENDANT: It gets slaughtered a lot worse. 18 19 THE COURT: Ms. Vejmola, is that your 20 understanding of the negotiations? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, sir. THE COURT: Ma'am, by entering a plea of guilty, 22 23 you're waiving certain important constitutional rights. I'll explain these rights to you, and if you have any questions, 24

let me know, I'll give you a chance to talk with your 1 attorney. Ma'am, by entering a plea of guilty here today, 2 you're waiving your right to a speedy and public jury trial. 3 Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: By entering a plea of guilty here 6 today, you're waiving your right to cross examine witnesses 7 at that trial. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: By entering a plea of guilty here 10 today, you're waiving your right to the attendance of 11 witnesses that may have testimony in your favor at that 12 trial. Do you understand that? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: By entering a plea of guilty here 15 today, you're waiving your right to the remain silent, 16 because I'll be asking questions that you have to answer. 17 18 you understand that? Uh-huh. THE DEFENDANT: 19 THE COURT: By entering a plea of guilty here 20 today, you're waiving your right to the effective assistance 21 of counsel at trial, because we're not going to have a trial, 22

although you'll still have the good services of Ms. Brady

throughout the rest of these proceedings. Do you understand

23

2.4

that?

2.4

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And by entering a plea of guilty here today, you're relieving the State of its obligation to prove each every and element of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Bogale, if this case had gone to trial, what would the State have been prepared to prove?

MR. BOGALE: Thank you, your Honor. Ms. Vejmola, had this case proceeded to trial, the State would be prepared to prove you committed the following crimes, Count One, burglary, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony, in that you on April 14th, 2015, or thereabout, here in Washoe County, you entered a Walgreen's located at 3495 South Virginia Street, and/or Wal-Mart located at 4855 Kietzke Lane, with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

Count Two, the same count, a violation of 205.060, a felony, in that on April 14th, 2015, here in Washoe County, you entered Save Mart located at 4995 Kietzke Lane with the intent then and there to obtain goods or property by false pretenses therein.

And Count Three, possession of a forged

```
instrument, a violation of NRS 205.060, a felony, in that on
 1
    or between the 22nd day of March and the 15th day of April
    2015, here in Washoe County, you possessed forged bills for
 3
    the payment of money, to wit, business or personal checks
 4
 5
    drawn upon the bank accounts of Kimmie's Coffee Shop,
    Margaret Logee, Donna Serilla, Dilworth Middle School and/or
 6
 7
    Portola Station Baptist Church with the intent to pass them
    or procure them to be uttered or passed and with the intent
 8
 9
    to defraud said victims, knowing said checks to be forged at
    Walgreen's located at 3495 South Virginia Street, Save Mart
10
    located at 4995 Kietzke Lane, Wal-Mart located at 4855
11
    Kietzke Lane, Wal-Mart located at 155 Damonte Ranch Parkway,
12
13
    and/or Wal-Mart located at 2425 East Second Street.
               THE COURT: Now, ma'am, do you understand what the
14
    maximum sentence is that may be imposed on these counts?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
               THE COURT: Tell me what they are.
17
18
              THE DEFENDANT: Ten years.
              THE COURT: All right.
19
20
              THE DEFENDANT: Five years.
              THE COURT: And is probation available?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.
22
               THE COURT: Now, did you sign this guilty plea
23
24
    memorandum?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. 2 THE COURT: Did you read it? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. THE COURT: Did you understand it? THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. 5 THE COURT: Did you speak with your attorney about 6 7 it? THE DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. THE COURT: Ms. Brady, any question in your mind 9 of your client's competency to understand the nature of these 10 11 proceedings, enter a plea or assist counsel at trial? MS. BRADY: No, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Now, ma'am, you understand, although 13 you've made an agreement with the State, sentencing is in the 14 15 sole discretion of the Court. As I sit here now, I don't know what the sentence is going to be. At the time of 16 sentencing, I'm going to listen to you, I'm going to listen 17 to your attorney, I'm going to listen to the State's 18 19 attorney, I'm going to review and consider all the information provided to me by the Division of Parole and 20 Probation. But do you understand that sentencing is in the 21 22 sole discretion of the Court? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Now, other than that which is

contained in the plea agreement, has anybody threatened you 1 2 or promised you anything in order to induce you to plead quilty here this morning? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty here freely 5 6 and voluntarily? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Tell me what happened. 8 THE DEFENDANT: I made a bad decision to commit 9 burglary in Washoe County. 10 THE COURT: All right. Now, based upon everything 11 we've done here this morning, do you have any questions of me 12 about these proceedings so far? 13 14 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, as to the charges 15 contained in the information, what are your pleas, guilty or 16 17 not guilty? THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 18 THE COURT: The Court finds that the defendant 19 understands the nature of the offense charged, the 20 consequences of her pleas, has made a knowing, voluntary and 21 intelligent waiver of her constitutional rights. The Court 22 will accept her plea at this time. Ms. Clerk, do we have 23

24

date for sentencing?

THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor. Sentencing scheduled for December 2nd at 9:00 a.m.. THE COURT: Ma'am, you're going to be given a packet of material from the Division of Parole and Probation. Fill it out as complete as possible. It's mostly biographical information. The more information the Court has about you, the better job I'm going to be able to do. Do you have any questions of me about what we've done here today? THE DEFENDANT: No. THE COURT: Good luck, ma'am. --000--

STATE OF NEVADA 1)) ss. 2 County of Washoe 3 I, STEPHANIE KOETTING, a Certified Court Reporter of the 4 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and 5 for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify; 6 That I was present in Department No. 7 of the 7 above-entitled Court on October 14, 2015, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., and took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had upon the arraignment in the matter of THE STATE OF 10 NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. DENISE M. VEJMOLA, Defendant, Case No. CR15-1461, and thereafter, by means of computer-aided 11 12 transcription, transcribed them into typewriting as herein 13 appears; 14 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 15 through 12, both inclusive, contains a full, true and 16 complete transcript of my said stenotype notes, and is a 17 full, true and correct record of the proceedings had at said 18 time and place. 19 20 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 23rd day of November 2015. 21 22 S/s Stephanie Koetting STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207 23 24

1	4185
2	STEPHANIE KOETTING
3	CCR #207
4	75 COURT STREET
5	RENO, NEVADA
6	
7	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
9	THE HONORABLE PATRICK FLANAGAN, DISTRICT JUDGE
10	00
11	STATE OF NEVADA,
12	Plaintiffs,
13	vs.) Case No. CR15-1461
14	DENISE VEJMOLA,) Department 7
15	Defendant.)
16	***************************************
17	
18	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19	SENTENCING
20	December 2, 2015
21	9:00 a.m.
22	Reno, Nevada
23	
24	Reported by: STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207, RPR Computer-Aided Transcription

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State:	
3		OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY By: ERICA JONES, ESQ.
4		P.O. Box 30083 Reno, Nevada
5		Nello, Nevada
6	For the Defendant:	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER
7		By: CHRISTINE BRADY, ESQ. 350 S. Center
8		Reno, Nevada
9		
10		
11 12	1	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	RENO, NEVADA, December 2, 2015, 9:00 a.m.
2	
3	000
4	THE CLERK: Case number CR15-1461, State versus
5	Denise Vejmola. Matter set for sentencing. Counsel and the
6	Division, please state your appearance.
7	MS. JONES: Erica Jones on behalf of the State.
8	MS. HORNBARGER: Karin Hornbarger with the
9	Division.
10	MS. BRADY: Christine Brady on behalf of
11	Ms. Vejmola, who is present and in custody.
12	THE COURT: This is the time set for sentencing in
13	the above-entitled case. The Court is in receipt of a
14	presentence investigation report prepared November 18th,
15	2015. In addition, there's a substance abuse evaluation
16	conducted on September 30th, 2015. Have counsel had an
17	opportunity to review the presentence investigation report,
18	and are there any facts, errors or omissions you wish to
19	bring to the Court's attention? Ms. Brady.
20	MS. BRADY: Yes, your Honor. As a substantive
21	matter, Ms. Vejmola informs me where it states that she has
22	served two prison sentences, that is incorrect. She served
23	one prison sentence.
24	THE COURT: But on two charges?

1	MS. BRADY: No, just the same incident. Just one
2	sentence. She never went to prison twice.
	-
3	THE COURT: That's significant. Ms. Hornbarger?
4	MS. HORNBARGER: Your Honor, she was sentenced
5	twice. Even though they ran, probably, concurrent, she still
6	had to two prison sentences. That's how we view it.
7	THE COURT: But she just went in once?
8	MS. HORNBARGER: She went in once, but they were
9	concurrent, because she was sentenced twice.
10	THE COURT: Why does the Division do this?
11	MS. HORNBARGER: It's a matter of how many times
12	she's actually sentenced.
13	THE COURT: As opposed to how many times she walks
14	through the door?
15	MS. HORNBARGER: Yes.
16	THE COURT: I think I'm arguing with the wrong
17	person here. Thank you, Ms. Hornbarger. I don't mean to
18	challenge the messenger. The message makes no sense.
19	Ms. Hornbarger, would that alter the calculations?
20	MS. HORNBARGER: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Ms. Brady.
22	MS. BRADY: Thank you, your Honor. What I'd like
23	to do today is we are asking you, your Honor, to follow the
24	negotiations on Counts One and Two, which are 48 to 120, but
24	inegociacions on council one and two, which are to so tro, but

to run them concurrent. And then on Count Three, your Honor, we are asking for you to run that concurrent to Count One and Two. So we're asking for all of the -- all of her charges to run concurrent. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. BRADY: So that's what we're asking for. And in support of that, your Honor, I want to address mitigating information, some of which was presented in the substance abuse evaluation that was filed. I do want to address the victim impact statement, your Honor, that was submitted to the Court. Did the Court --THE COURT: Go ahead. MS. BRADY: Did the Court have an opportunity to read that? THE COURT: Yes, I have. MS. BRADY: So starting off with mitigating information, your Honor, Ms. Vejmola has experienced quite a bit of trauma in her life. The evaluation outlines trauma related to sexual abuse, sexual abuse by her mother's boyfriend, sexual abuse by her brothers. Additionally, she had a brother that passed away in 2003 and that was traumatic for her as well. The trauma there, I bring that up to state that,

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 028

your Honor, it is often that someone who has experienced this

kind of trauma does often end up with a substance abuse 1 issue, turning to substance abuse if they don't get proper 2 3 help. Ms. Vejmola's mother Gloria is present. I don't think she wants to speak. I asked her about the sexual 5 abuse, and she denies the extent of it that Denise feels 6 she's only aware of the boyfriend touching her breast once. 7 But she's not aware of the -- Denise's mother is not aware of 8 9 anything past that. THE COURT: All right. 10 MS. BRADY: Notwithstanding, your Honor, even if 11 it was the touching for the breast for a young girl, that 12 could still be very traumatic. 13 THE COURT: I'll accept that. 14 MS. BRADY: So that is something that she really 15 never got help for. She self-medicated with the 16 methamphetamine that Janice Fung did diagnose her with 17 methamphetamine disorder in remission in a controlled 18 19 environment. Notwithstanding that trauma and that substance 20 abuse, she struggled with herself to really try and be a 21 better person. She wanted to be. She had periods of time in 22 her life where she was employed, where she was doing well, 23

where she was caring for children, where she was caring for

24

her mother. She had a lot of responsibilities on her that she for large periods of time in her life was able to hold it together.

I'd like to address the letter, now, that was rather shocking and, quite frankly, in discussing it with Ms. Vejmola, there's some actually defamatory statements in there that have been made. It is true she went to prison, and it is not true, though, as the letter would state, that she went to prison for stealing information from the City of Oakland.

She left the City of Oakland in 2005, I believe it was -- 2004 -- and then committed the crimes, the financial crimes after that in 2005, convicted in 2007 of those. And so those other financial crimes happened. She left the City of Oakland where she was doing well, because her brother died, and she went on a downward spiral after that and lost her job before any of that happened.

There is a newspaper article that the victim places in there and that they don't say she stole from the City of Oakland, but in the newspaper article, they mention the former employee of the City of Oakland, and she did work for the City of Oakland and worked hard there. And that was a period of time in her life that she's proud of. The period after that is the period she wasn't proud of.

The other thing that the letter was mentioning, it showed pictures of money fanned out. And that on first glance, considering and looking back at these instant crimes, can come across as offensive. However, that money that was shown, if you look at the Facebook page that the victim pulled out, you will see that the posting of those was from 2013. Prior --THE COURT: Was this her posting? MS. BRADY: Huh?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Was this her posting?

MS. BRADY: Well, it was her daughter's money. the first picture, her daughter had won money gambling. So she posted a picture of her daughter's money and that was back in 2013. And then the other picture was a picture in 2014 of her tax refund. Nothing to do with any of this.

> THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BRADY: So that's one thing that while I have sympathy with the victim who is looking at this, she's taking things out of context and presenting them to the Court, going beyond the impact that this she's had and presenting them to the Court in argument as if it's fact, and it's incumbent upon me, your Honor, to clarify those points.

In terms of the victim impact statement, I didn't see in there where she mentioned an actual -- of course,

there's all of the loss and the insecurity and all of that, that even in the prior case the victim mentioned. She was very articulate, the victim in this case, in expressing, you know, how she feels about it and all of the hassles she went through and is going through.

I didn't see a dollar amount in there specifically. I know there's been some dollar amounts that were calculated in terms of other evidence that was provided in the case. But she didn't say a specific dollar amount there.

In terms of anything associated with her driver's license, not getting that back, to be honest with you, your Honor, that would be something that it seems the victim's advocates and the State, she -- her driver's license is in the custody of the sheriff. Ms. Vejmola entered a guilty plea over a month ago, your Honor, and it is not within Ms. Vejmola's power to give that back to the victim.

There are prosecutorial standards and things with victims, how the State is supposed to communicate and respond to the victim needs. I as a defense attorney can't say what was done and wasn't done with regard to that. But to the extent that this victim hasn't received her driver's license yet, when this case, she's already pled guilty, there's not going to be a trial, the evidence -- there's pictures of the

evidence, but there's other ways to preserve that as evidence to give it back to the lady. I can't see where Ms. Vejmola should be held responsible for the fact that the State hasn't given that back.

MS. BRADY: In terms of Ms. Vejmola, she has three children. In that statement, I don't know where five children came from, but she's got two grown children, and

THE COURT: I won't hold her responsible for that.

then she does have a four-year-old child is her youngest.

Getting into more as to why we're asking you to follow the negotiations. Ms. Vejmola is extremely remorseful for what she has done. She would like an opportunity, and in response to that, she has stipulated as part of the negotiations to time in prison, to not -- not insignificant time in prison.

But what she would like is an opportunity when she gets out to be able to get out within a few -- within the four years, four or five years, and to be able to put her life back together. And we're asking, your Honor, that you follow, again, the negotiations, run Counts One and Two -- Count Two concurrent to Count One and Count Three concurrent to Count Two, based on the fact that the trauma that she's withstood, on her remorsefulness, her willingness to take responsibility for this crime.

Keeping in mind, your Honor, even if you look at the police reports, she was not the only one involved. She was willing to help assist the State in identifying the other person. The State was not interested in pursuing that to help find the other person. But there was someone else involved who is still at large. But my client is taking responsibility of that. And I ask you, your Honor, to run all of the sentences concurrent.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Let me hear from the State.

MS. JONES: Your Honor, the difference between taking responsibility in terms of taking a plea bargain, and taking responsibility saying, I am 100 percent accountable for all of these things, are different. She was facing somewhere in the nature of, if I could estimate, 60 felonies for the amount of checks that she took and the amount of checks that she fraudulently passed, and she pled to three.

In addition to that, your Honor, we have evidence in this case that when she was arrested, she called her daughter to instruct her to throw away her laptop computer, to destroy evidence of what she had been -- the crime she had been committing. Called another individual to destroy the printer as well. And then had also called to apprise an unknown subject of the checks that she was in trouble for,

the checks from her job, and that they had pictures of her at Wal-Mart. That's different than coming to court and saying I'm taking full responsibility for everything that I did.

She was trying to destroy the evidence, but she wasn't able to. We were able to get surveillance, which showed her, as very distinguishable as being her vehicle and herself. And in addition, your Honor, we have now statements that she used her job, this place of trust that she had in order to tell people, oh, that check is for the incorrect amount, we need to keep that check as a voided check. That's part of our policy. I'll just put it in the register here and you can write me a new check.

The amount of trust that she is given in that position, people listen to her, they think that's the policy, they give the voided check, and what she does with it is pass 22 fraudulent checks at various locations.

In addition to that, your Honor, you have the fact of her criminal history. She has at her young age nine convictions, four of which are felonies. So she is a habitual criminal by our statute, by our definition. Now she has three more. Whether or not she went to prison once, she has no ability to be supervised, zero. She gets rearrested every single time she gets discharged with her probation violations, whether she gets terminated or revoked. She has

zero ability to follow the rules.

She can't follow the basic concepts that we have in our society of a social contract where a check is just a piece of paper, unless it means something in terms of me giving it to you for work done or for an item you're selling me, she uses it as her own currency, other people's checks.

In this case, your Honor, she has been given a tremendous opportunity to plea to three cases. She's also been given a tremendous opportunity to have two of them by way of the State recommending that they run concurrent. What the victim wanted it, the one who wrote a very eloquent statement, who, quite frankly, should be a law enforcement officer for the amount of research that she did on this case, I couldn't say it better than she did. For the fact that she said she wants the maximum amount of time, even though she knows the costs to the community to put her into prison.

She says in her statement that jail is where she belongs and that we are all paying for you and for what you've done. I couldn't say that better. Not only will we be paying for her literally out of all of our pockets to put her in prison, but for what she has done, for the breakdown of our society, for the fact that this is not a victimless crime. And the victim very nicely pointed that out in saying to the very small thing that I can't rent a car, so I have to

get a taxi at eight times the cost, because I can't use my 1 2 TD. MS. BRADY: Objection, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Why? 4 MS. BRADY: I don't hear any argument in favor of 5 the negotiations. I believe that the State is arguing in 6 favor of maxing her out right now, your Honor, and the 7 negotiations are at least that the Count One and Count Two 8 run concurrent, and I hear no argument in favor of the 9 negotiations, which --10 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 11 MS. BRADY: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: All she's pointing out is what the 13 victim is asking for in her statement. But your objection is 14 15 sustained. MS. BRADY: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Jones. 17 MS. JONES: And I actually already said that, I 18 said she's been given the benefit of the State recommending 19 that Counts One and Two run concurrent. 20 There's a chance for people in society to take 21 their past, to take who they are and what's happened to them 22 and do something good with it or do something bad with it. 23 don't -- I'm not without understanding of a history. Most 24

16

defendants come to this Court with a history, and a lot of it bad, a lot of it negative. Some of it much worse than this defendant, some of it much less, but you decide what to do with them.

You decide if you're going to make something of yourself and try to get past that, to help other people to see the kind of life that you could have, and that I did something good with it, or you can be a criminal and you can take advantage of other people.

This is a case in which the defendant has spent her young life taking advantage of people, of committing crimes and not doing what she could be doing, which is turning her life around. Even the victim at the end of her statement says, I wish for you the best. I want you to do something more with your life, but I want you to go to prison. That is the amount, she is in fact taking some prison time as part of this plea negotiations. She did stipulate to the 48 to 120 months. What the victim is asking for in this case, your Honor, is to, by virtue —

THE COURT: I read the statement.

MS. JONES: -- a maximum, she's asking for them to run consecutive, your Honor, and we have the ability to ask that Count Three be can consecutive to the other two.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Jones.

Ma'am, the law affords you an opportunity to address the Court at the time of sentencing in terms of the presentence investigation report, mitigation, punishment, any matter you wish to bring to the Court's attention, I invite you to do that at this time, if you wish.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, it would take about six months to try to explain to tell you what --

THE COURT: Take your time.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm very remorseful. I understand the crimes I committed, and I'm not trying to make excuses to you. I know I was wrong. The fact of the matter is, I have no excuse for committing these crimes. I went to college. I got a degree. I had a good job with the City of Oakland. I struggled with drug abuse early in my life.

In 2003, not only was my brother passed away, he was brutally murdered, shot in the head execution style.

They didn't find his killer. I don't know if that's a time for me that things changed a lot.

My criminal history after that, a year later, I was in prison. I was working for Jerry Brown at the time, you know. I had everything. My life was going how it should have been. Then at 30 years old, this happens, and I don't know, some -- my past of doing drugs came back to me and it hasn't settled. And I just wish I could figure out what

broke in me.

In California, I wish I would have had you as a judge ten years ago, because you're compassionate. You seem like you care about your community. California, they just slap you with a conviction, let you out. They don't give you programs like they do here. I wish I would have had the opportunity ten years ago, before all of this came about, you know.

I'm not trying to make my mother feel about the sexual molestation that happened to me as kid, but that man molested for eight years. He died four years ago. My mom stayed with him for my whole life. I was left with 35 years, because that man just died and she stayed with him. She still won't admit what he did to me. That hurts me, your Honor, and I'm not trying to make her feel bad, because I love my mother. She's caring for my kids while I'm fucking up, excuse me French.

Her health is bad now. On Thanksgiving last week, she came to see me, and she was on oxygen. That's my biggest fear. I should be there taking care of my mom. She shouldn't be taking care of my kids. I'm so deeply sorry of all the pain I put to my victims, my family, my kids, my mother, everybody.

I never would have relapsed and this crime

```
wouldn't have happened, if it wasn't for this horrible,
horrible drug. And I wish California would have given me the
treatment I needed ten years ago, instead of just slapping me
with a felony, go home, misdemeanor, go home. They never
gave me an opportunity for a program.
          And I wish I would have had that then, where I
could -- I'm 41 years old now, your Honor, and I just wish I
would have figured it out ten years ago and not now away from
my family. I just pray everything that I'm feeling is coming
across to your Honor, because I am sincere, and I'm truly
sorry to the family, to the Court, to society.
          If you would give an me opportunity, your Honor, I
would make you guys proud. I need to get counseling. I need
to figure out what happened. I just wish you give me a
second chance, give me an opportunity to make this Court
proud. I don't know what else to say.
          THE COURT: Thank you. Deputy, is there somebody
at the door that wants to come in?
          THE DEFENDANT: That's my son.
          THE COURT: All right. Okay.
         THE DEFENDANT: It's my four-year-old.
         THE COURT: All right.
         THE DEFENDANT: And my daughter.
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you. This is a
```

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

difficult case. It's a case of quite a bit of sophistication. It certainly has gone on for a long period of time, not just this case, but your ability to manipulate financial records is a marvel. It's a skill. It's unfortunate that it's criminal.

This is a crime that evidences an abuse of trust, and one in which you have ensnared family members. I mean, immediately after you're booked, you're calling your daughter and telling her to stash the computer, the printer, getting her involved in this criminal enterprise. And I don't know who this unknown individual is that you're calling, and I know, because I've seen it — strike that. I've heard that there is a sign by the telephones in the jail that says these phone calls can be recorded. Is that sign in English? Just curious. Because it never ceases to amaze me how much incriminating evidence comes from that telephone immediately after an individual like you are arrested.

There were by law enforcement accounts in this particular case 22 other transactions. The State references some 60 checks. I don't see that here and I'm not going to consider that.

MS. JONES: Not 60 checks, your Honor. 20 checks can be burglary, it can be possession --

THE COURT: I see.

MS. JONES: So they multiply by three, each check did.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That clears that up. But, certainly, it does appear that is how you support yourself. You get out of prison, and within a short period of time, you're cranking out checks.

These are very sophisticated crimes. A lot of people think that white collar crime doesn't hurt anybody, but there's almost -- it's almost victimless, all you're doing is you're taking money from a bank or an insurance company or a business. It's sort of faceless. But those businesses hire people. Those people get a paycheck, they go home, they pay rent, they pay for groceries, they put gas in the car, there's taxes. Those gas taxes pay for people in jail.

I've read the victim impact statement and I was moved, as I am oftentimes in reading victims' statements. I will not consider the information relating to California. That is not before me. I take your good lawyer's word with respect to the cash that is displayed. I don't even presume to understand Facebook or computers, social media. So I have no basis whatsoever to attribute that cash to you or anybody, so I'm not going to. But you are a threat to any society, whether it's California or Nevada.

You're 41 years old. Ma'am, you are going to die. You will. We all are going to die. And when we leave this earth, we are going to take with us everything we came into it with, which is nothing. But we will all leave something behind, could be children, maybe our parents, but it will be memories, and those memories are yours to make. Whatever happens here, it will be behind you.

But you're going to be facing the same demons you're facing today, whether it's methamphetamine, whether it's a tough, terrible childhood. Those aren't going away. But you have it in you, I believe we all do, to tackle those demons and put them behind you.

This Court has taken a lot of the -- taken everything into consideration, and based on that, Ms. Clerk, it will be the order of the Court the defendant is to pay a \$25 administrative assessment fee, \$3 genetic marking, \$150 DNA. I'll waive the attorney's fees in this case. Find the defendant has no resources to pay it.

As to Count One, it will be the order of the Court the defendant is sentenced to the custody of the Nevada

Department of Corrections for a term of imprisonment of 48 to 120 months. Restitution in the amount of \$4,897.59.

As to Count Two, the defendant is sentenced to the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections for a term of

1	imprisonment of 48 to 120 months.
2	As to Count Three, the defendant is sentenced to
3	the custody of the Nevada Department of Corrections for a
4	term of imprisonment of 24 months to 60 months.
5	Count Three is to run consecutive to Count Two.
6	Count Two is to run consecutive to Count One. Credit for
7	time served?
8	MS. HORNBARGER: 196 days, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: 196 days. That will be the order of
10	the Court.
11	THE CLERK: Can I get counsel to stipulate to the
12	categories of felonies?
13	THE COURT: Ms. Jones.
14	MS. JONES: Counts One and Two are B felonies, and
15	Count Three is a C felony.
16	MS. BRADY: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. That will be the order.
18	000
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

```
STATE OF NEVADA
 1
                         ) ss.
 2
     County of Washoe
         I, STEPHANIE KOETTING, a Certified Court Reporter of the
 3
 4
    Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and
 5
     for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify;
         That I was present in Department No. 7 of the
    above-entitled Court on December 2, 2015, at the hour of 9:00
    a.m., and took verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings
 8
    had upon the sentencing in the matter of THE STATE OF NEVADA,
 9
    Plaintiff, vs. DENISE VEJMOLA, Defendant, Case No. CR15-1461,
10
    and thereafter, by means of computer-aided transcription,
11
    transcribed them into typewriting as herein appears;
12
         That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1
13
    through 25, both inclusive, contains a full, true and
14
    complete transcript of my said stenotype notes, and is a
15
    full, true and correct record of the proceedings had at said
16
    time and place.
17
18
      DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 1st day of February 2016.
19
20
                              S/s Stephanie Koetting
21
                              STEPHANIE KOETTING, CCR #207
22
23
24
```

CODE 1850

3

4

2

5

6 7

8

9

..

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22 23

2425

26

27 28 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

vs.

Case No. CR15-1461

DENISE M. VEJMOLA,

Dept. No.

7

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

The Defendant, having entered pleas of Guilty, and no sufficient cause being shown by Defendant as to why judgment should not be pronounced against her, the Court rendered judgment as follows:

Denise M. Vejmola, is guilty of the crime of Burglary, a violation of NRS 205.060, a Category B Felony, as charged in Count I of the Information, and that she be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada State Prison for a minimum term of Forty-Eight (48) months, to a maximum term of One Hundred and Twenty (120) months, with One Hundred and Ninety-Six (196) days credit for time served, and by payment of restitution in the amount of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Seven Dollars and Fifty-Nine Cents (\$4,879.59).

Denise M. Vejmola, is guilty of the crime of Burglary, a violation of NRS 205.060, a Category B Felony, as charged in Count II of the Information, and that she be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada State Prison for a minimum term of Forty-Eight

(48) months, to a maximum term of One Hundred and Twenty (120) months, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count I.

Denise M. Vejmola, is guilty of the crime of Possession of Forged Instruments, a violation of NRS 205.160, a Category C Felony, as charged in Count III of the Information, and that she be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada State Prison for a minimum term of Twenty-Four (24) months, to a maximum term of Sixty (60) months, to be served consecutively to the sentence imposed in Count II.

It is further ordered that the aggregate sentence imposed is a minimum of One Hundred Twenty (120) months with a maximum of Three Hundred (300) months.

It is further ordered that the Defendant shall pay the statutory Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment fee, the Three Dollar (\$3.00) administrative assessment fee for obtaining a biological specimen and conducting a genetic marker analysis, the One Hundred Fifty Dollar (\$150.00) DNA testing fee, and submit to a DNA analysis to determine the presence of genetic markers, if not previously ordered, and attorney's fees are hereby waived by the Court.

Any fine, fee or administrative assessment imposed upon the Defendant today as reflected in this Judgment of Conviction constitutes a lien, as defined in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS 176.275). Should the Defendant not pay these fines, fees or assessments, collection efforts may be undertaken against her.

Dated this $\frac{3 \, \mathcal{D}}{}$ day of December, 2015.

Podrick Flamagan DISTRICT JUDGE

FILED
Electronically
2016-01-03 01:33:15 FM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 5301986 : yviloria

1 2 3 4 5	CODE NO. 2515 WASHOE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER JOHN REESE PETTY, State Bar Number 10 350 South Center Street, 5th Floor P.O. Box 11130 Reno, Nevada 89520-0027 (775) 337-4827 Attorney for Defendant
6 7	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
10	Plaintiff,
11	vs, Case No. CR15-1461
13	DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, Dept. No. 7
14	Defendant.
15	NOTICE OF APPEAL
16	DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA, the defendant above named, appeals to the Supreme
17	Court of Nevada from the judgment of conviction entered in this action on December 3, 2015.
18	This is a Fast Track Appeal.
19	The undersigned hereby affirms, pursuant to NRS 239B.030, that this document does not
20	contain the social security number of any person.
22	DATED this 3rd day of January 2016.
23	JEREMY T. BOSLER WASHOE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
24	By: /s/ <u>John Reese Petty</u>
25	JOHN REESE PETTY, Chief Deputy
26	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Washoe County Public Defender's Office, 3 4 Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, and that on this date I forwarded a true copy of the foregoing 5 document addressed to: 6 DENISE MICHELLE VEJMOLA (#1152120) Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 7 4370 Smiley Road 8 Las Vegas, Nevada 89115-1808 9 TERRENCE P. McCARTHY Chief Appellate Deputy 10 Washoe County District Attorney's Office (E-mail) 11 12 ADAM LAXALT Attorney General State of Nevada 13 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701 14 15 16 DATED this 3rd day of January 2016. 17 18 /s/ John Reese Petty JOHN REESE PETTY 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 9th day of February 2016.

Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Terrence P. McCarthy, Chief Appellate Deputy, Washoe County District Attorney's Office

I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:

Denise Michele Vejmola (#1152120) Florence McClure Women's Correctional Center 4370 Smiley Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89115

> John Reese Petty Washoe County Public Defender's Office