

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF THE COURT

REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER 200 LEWIS AVENUE, 3rd FI. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160 (702) 671-4554 Electronically Filed Jan 28 2016 03:58 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

> Brandi J. Wendel Court Division Administrator

Steven D. Grierson Clerk of the Court

January 28, 2016

Tracie Lindeman Clerk of the Court 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702

RE: NUVEDA, LLC; SHANE M. TERRY; JENNIFER M. GOLDSTEIN vs. PEJMAN BADY; POUYA MOHAJER S.C. CASE: 69648 D.C. CASE: A728510

Dear Ms. Lindeman:

On January 22, 2016 we submitted a Notice of Appeal, filed January 19, 2016, for the above referenced case. The packet included a Notice of Deficiency. Enclosed is a certified copy of the Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Denying Defendant's Countermotion for Preliminary Injunction and Joinder, and Entering Provisional Remedy Pursuant to N.R.S. 38.222 that satisfies the deficiency.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (702) 671-0512.

Sincerely, STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

Electronically Filed 01/27/2016 04:52:48 PM

1 2 3 4 5 6	GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP ERIKA PIKE TURNER Nevada Bar No. 6454 Email: eturner@gtg.legal DYLAN T. CICILIANO Nevada Bar No. 12348 Email: dciciliano@gtg.legal 650 White Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel: (725) 777-3000/Fax: (725) 777-3112 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Alter to Lawrence CLERK OF THE COURT
7	DISTRICT	COURT
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
9	NUVEDA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability	Case No.: A-15-728510-B
10	company; SHANE M. TERRY, a Nevada resident; and JENNIFER M. GOLDSTEIN, a	Dept. No.: XXV
11	Nevada resident;	
12	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF
13	vs.	FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
14	PEJMAN BADY; POUYA MOHAJER; DOE	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION,
15	Individuals I-X and ROE Entities I-X, inclusive;	COUNTERMOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
16 17	Defendants.	JOINDER, AND ENTERING PROVISIONAL REMEDY PURSUANT
17		TO N.R.S. 38.222
10		
20	Please Take Notice that a Findings of Fac	ct and Conclusions of Law Denying Plaintiffs'
21	Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Denying	Defendant's Countermotion for Preliminary
22	Injunction and Joinder, and Entering Provisional 1	Remedy Pursuant to N.R.S. 38.222 was entered
23	on the 13 th day of January, 2016, a copy of which	is attached hereto.
24		
25		
26	••••	
27		
28		
Garman Turner Gordon 650 White Dr., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (725) 777-3000	1 of	3

1	Dated this 27th day of January, 2016.	
2		GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP
3		1-1 Dedan Civiliana
4		/s/ Dylan Ciciliano ERIKA PIKE TURNER Nevada Bar No. 6454
5		Nevada Bar No. 6454 DYLAN T. CICILIANO Nevada Bar No. 12248
6		Nevada Bar No. 12348 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7		650 White Drive, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
8		Tel: (725) 777-3000/Fax: (725) 777-3112 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
Garman Tumer Gordon 650 White Dr., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (725) 777-3000	2	e of 3

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3	I hereby certify that the foregoing Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
4	Law Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Denying Defendant's
5	Countermotion for Preliminary Injunction and Joinder, and Entering Provisional Remedy
	Pursuant to N.R.S. 38.222 was submitted electronically for filing and/or service with the Eighth
6	Judicial District Court on the 27th day of January, 2016. Electronic service of the foregoing
7	document shall be made in accordance with the E-Service List as follows: ¹
8	Kolesar and Leatham
9	Contact Email
10	Christi Colucci, Legal Assistant <u>ccolucci@klnevada.com</u> Cindy Kishi, Legal Assistant <u>ckishi@klnevada.com</u>
11	eFiling District <u>nvdistrict@klnevada.com</u>
12	Eric Waltherewalther@klnevada.comKristina R. Colekcole@klnevada.com
13	Matthew T. Dushoff <u>mdushoff@klnevada.com</u>
	Vincent J. Aiello <u>vaiello@klnevada.com</u>
14	
15	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct copy
16	thereof, postage prepaid, addressed to:
17	Pouya Mohajer 2700 Las Vegas Blvd, #2709 Southern Nevada Pain Specialist
18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 9280 W. Sunset Rd., #412 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
19	Via Fax: 702-798-8841
20	
21	<u>Via Fax: 702-798-8841</u> Pouya Mohajer
22	Southern Nevada Pain Specialist 9280 W. Sunset Rd., #412
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
	/s/ Dohun Comphall
24	<u>/s/ Robyn Campbell</u> An employee of GARMAN TURNER GORDON
25	
26	¹ Pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a), each party who submits an E-Filed document through the E-Filing System consents to
27	electronic service in accordance with NRCP 5(b)(2)(D).
28	
Gordon uite 100 la 89119	2 - 62
100	3 of 3
- 1	

17		
		Electronically Filed 01/13/2016 04:03:56 PM
1	FFCL	Alm J. Ehrin
2		CLERK OF THE COURT
3		
4	DISTR	ICT COURT
5	CLARK CO	DUNTY, NEVADA
6 7	NUVEDA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; SHANE M. TERRY, a Nevada resident; and JENNIFER M. GOLDSTEIN, a Nevada resident;	CASE NO.: A-15-728510-B DEPT. NO.: XI FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS
8 9 10	Plaintiffs, v.	OF LAW DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, DENYING DEFENDANT'S COUNTERMOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND JOINDER, AND
11	PEJMAN BADY; POUYA MOHAJER; DOE Individuals I-X and ROE Entities I- X, inclusive;	ENTERING PROVISIONAL REMEDY PURSUANT TO N.R.S. 38.222 Hearing Date: December 28, 2015 and
13	Defendants.	January 6 - 8, 2016
14	This matter having come on for an	evidentiary hearing related to Plaintiffs' Motion for
15 16	Preliminary Injunction (the "Motion") and	Defendant Bady's Countermotion for Preliminary
10	Injunction (the "Countermotion") before th	e Court on December 28, 2015 and January 6 - 8,
18	2016. ¹ Plaintiffs Terry and Goldstein appea	ared individually and as representatives of NuVeda,
19	LLC ² by and through their counsel of reco	ord Erika Pike Turner of the law firm of GARMAN
20	TURNER GORDON; Defendant Bady appeare	ed individually and by and through his counsel of
21	record Vincent Aiello and Matthew Dusho	off of the law firm of KOLESAR & LEATHAM; and
22	Defendant Mohajer appeared individually a	nd by and through its counsel of record A. William
23	Maupin and John Naylor of the law firm M	AUPIN NAYLOR BRASTER; the Court having read and
24 25	considered the pleadings filed by the parties	s; having reviewed the evidence admitted during the
RECEIVED 30630	pending issues.	visional remedy under NRS 38.222 be made on the epresenting NuVeda on any derivative claims. 1

٠.

CLERK OF THE COURT

5

1	evidentiary hearing; and having heard and carefully considered the testimony of the witnesses
2	called to testify; the Court having considered the oral and written arguments of counsel, and with
3	the intent of deciding the limited issues before the Court related to the Motion and
4	Countermotion. ³ The Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:
5	
6	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
7	1. On July 9, 2014, the parties entered into an Operating Agreement for NuVeda,
8	LLC ("NuVeda") ⁴ to operate dispensaries, cultivation and processing facilities for medical
9	marijuana ("MME") pursuant to licenses obtained from certain political subdivisions.
10	2. Certain disputes have arisen between the parties over the existence and vesting of
11	certain membership interests, management and control of NuVeda.
12	3. Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendants acted "in concert" in certain actions that
13	they allege are "self dealing".
14	4. Section 6.2 of the Operating Agreement permits the expulsion of a member under
15	
16	certain conditions. ⁵
17	
18 19	³ The findings made in this Order are preliminary in nature based upon the limited evidence presented after very limited exchange of documents and may be modified based upon additional evidence presented to the Court at the ultimate trial (or arbitration) of this matter.
20	⁴ NuVeda LLC and its subsidiaries are referred to as "NuVeda" collectively for purposes of
21	this decision.
22	⁵ The Operating Agreement at Section 6.2 provides:
23	A Member's interest in the Company may be terminated or expulsed only upon agreement
24	of the Disinterested Voting Members by a vote of 60% or more of Disinterested Voting Interests. Expulsion may only be made by a majority vote of 60% or more of the
25	Disinterested Voting Interests that the expulsed member was not acting in the best interest of the Company or was otherwise acting in a manner that was contrary to the purpose of
26	the Company. For purposes of this provision, the "Disinterested Voting Members" shall be those Members who's membership in the Company is not then being voted upon, and
27	"Disinterested Voting Interests" shall be the total percentage of the Ownership Interests held by the Disinterested Voting Members. By means of example only, if the Members
28	sought to expel Member A, who owned a 20% Voting Interest, the Disinterested Voting 2

1	5. In late November 2015, without a meeting, ⁶ Plaintiffs and certain other members
2	attempted expulsion by written consent of both Defendants. Issues have arisen about the
3	methodology used by Plaintiffs to calculate the Disinterested Voting Interests.
4	6. In retaliation, the following week, without a meeting, Defendants and certain other
5	members attempted expulsion by written consent of both Plaintiffs. Issues have arisen about the
6	basis used by Defendants as the basis for the expulsion of Plaintiffs.
8	7. The activities of Bady and Mohajer alleged by Plaintiffs to permit the aggregation
9	of the Disinterested Voting Interests do not rise to the level of a conspiracy as argued by Plaintiff.
10	
11	8. The activities of Plaintiffs in attempting to expulse Defendants do not constitute
12	activities which would permit the expulsion of Plaintiffs.
13	9. On November 18, 2015, at a meeting of NuVeda, where Plaintiffs were present,
14	the transaction with CW was discussed.
15	10. In early December 2015, the majority of membership interest approved a
16	transaction with CW which results in the transfer of certain assets but retains the membership
17	interest held currently by NuVeda members in NuVeda. At the time of the evidentiary hearing,
18	not all of the documents for the CW transaction had been finalized.
19	11. If any finding of fact is properly a conclusion of law, it shall be treated as if
20	appropriately identified and designated.
21	Members would be all Members other than Member A, and the vote would require 60% of
22	the 80% Disinterested Voting Interests to carry. In order to terminate a Member's interest a meeting of the Voting Members must be held in accordance with the provisions of
23 24	Section 4.3.
24 25	⁶ Section 4.3 provides in pertinent part:
26	No regular, annual, special or other meetings of Voting Members are required to be held. Any action that may be taken at a meeting of Voting Members may be taken without a
27	meeting by written consent in accordance with the Act. Meetings of the Voting Members, for any purpose or purposes, may be called at any time by a majority of the Voting
28	Members, or by the President of the Company, if any
	3

..

l

1	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
2	10 A multiplicate interaction is sucilable if an applicant can show a likelihood of
3	12. A preliminary injunction is available if an applicant can show a likelihood of
4	success on the merits and a reasonable probability the non-moving party's conduct, if allowed to
5	continue, will cause irreparable harm. The district court may also weigh the public interest and
6	the relative hardships of the parties in deciding whether to grant a preliminary injunction.
7	13. Additionally, the purpose of a preliminary injunction is to preserve the status quo
8	until the matter can be litigated (or arbitrated) on the merits.
9 10	14. The terms of an Operating Agreement should be given their plain meaning.
11	15. The evidence at the evidentiary hearing shows that, while certain groups of
12	members acted together in accomplishing activities related to the business of NuVeda, these
13	activities did not rise to the level that would permit aggregation.
14	16. In order for a civil conspiracy to be found, two or more persons act together to
15	accomplish an unlawful objective.
16	17. While the Defendants acted together at certain times, Plaintiffs have not
17 18	demonstrated a reasonable probability that Defendants attempted to accomplish an unlawful
10	objective.
20	18. The parties attempts to expulse each other is one that is subject to an order for a
21	provisional remedy under NRS 38.222.
22	19. There is a reasonable probability that the parties' attempts to expulse each other on
23	the existing factual basis presented to the Court during the evidentiary hearing, if allowed to
24	continue, will cause irreparable harm to NuVeda.
25	
26	20. The Court, based upon the evidence presented during the evidentiary hearing, finds
27	that there is no basis to disturb the decision made by the majority of membership interests to
28	transfer certain assets of NuVeda to CW. 4

.

21. However, since additional actions need to be taken by NuVeda to finalize the	
transaction, the Court declines to grant the Countermotion as all members should have an	
opportunity to have input on the remaining documents to finalize the CW transaction.	
22. A security bond is not required for the Court's provisional remedy.	
23. If any conclusion of law is properly a finding of fact, it shall be treated as if	
appropriately identified and designated.	
ORDER	
THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the	
Motion and Countermotion are denied.	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pending the	
completion of the contemplated arbitration, the parties are to take no further action to expulse	
each other on the factual basis presented to the Court during the evidentiary hearing.	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the request to seal these proceedings is denied.	
138	
Dated this 8 th day of January, 2016.	
El Aller	
DISTRICT COURT HADGE	
Certificate of Service	
I hereby certify, that on the date filed, this Order was served on the parties identified on	
Wiznet's e-service list.	
Dan Kutinac	
5	

.

1.



Clerk of the Courts Steven D. Grierson

200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155-1160 (702) 671-4554

January 28, 2016

Case No.: A728510

CERTIFICATION OF COPY

Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full, and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Denying Defendant's Countermotion for Preliminary Injunction and Joinder, and Entering Provisional Remedy Pursuant to N.R.S. 38,222 filed 01/27/2016



now on file and of

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Eighth Judicial District Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 3:37 PM on January 28, 2016.

STEVE LERK OF THE COURT