

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TITLEMAX OF NEVADA, INC., A  
NEVADA CORPORATION,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA  
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND  
INDUSTRY, FINANCIAL  
INSTITUTIONS DIVISION,

Respondent.

No. 69807

**FILED**

DEC 14 2016

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY S. Young  
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION*

This is an appeal from a district court order dismissing a complaint for declaratory relief. Respondent has filed a motion to dismiss this appeal as moot, or alternatively to “stay” the appeal. Having considered the motion, opposition, and reply, we conclude respondent fails to demonstrate that this appeal is moot. *See Personhood Nevada v. Bristol*, 126 Nev. 599, 602, 245 P.3d 572, 574 (2010). Accordingly, we deny the motion to dismiss at this time. Respondent’s request to “stay” this appeal is also denied.

Respondent requests that this court clarify the issues under consideration in this appeal and whether this court desires briefing about the merits of appellant’s complaint for declaratory relief. Appellant states in its opposition that its appeal is limited to challenging whether the district court properly dismissed its complaint. And the issues identified in appellant’s opening brief appear only to challenge the dismissal of its

complaint. Accordingly, respondent need not brief any additional issues unless otherwise ordered by this court.

Respondent shall have 30 days from the date of this order to file and serve the answering brief. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Holland & Hart LLP/Las Vegas  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Attorney General/Las Vegas