# **EXHIBIT 8**

# **EXHIBIT 8**

Electronically Filed 03/04/2016 11:39:28 AM

1 **NEOJ** MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) CLERK OF THE COURT MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 4 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 5 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention 7 Acres Medical, LLC 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada Case No.: A710597 11 limited liability company, Dept. No.: XX 12 Plaintiff, 13 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER **GRANTING INTERVENOR ACRES** 14 MEDICAL, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S 15 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF **COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST ACRES** THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 16 MEDICAL, LLC HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation and political 17 subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT 18 AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV 19 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 20 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100, 21 Defendants. 22 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, 23 Plaintiff in Intervention, 24 25 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 26 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 27 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, 28

LV 420644483v1 153342.010300

Page 1 of 3

	1
	2
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
ay ay	12
orth da 89169 792-3773	13
ard Hugh te 400 No as, Nevac e: (702)	14
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LL 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 400 North Las Vegas. Nevada 89168 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002	15
<b>9</b> 8	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER GRANTING INTERVENOR ACRES MEDICAL, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST ACRES MEDICAL, LLC ON PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ON DEFENDANT NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC'S COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT was entered in the above-captioned matter on the 3rd day of March, 2016.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2016.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/Moorea L. Katz

MARK E. FERRARIO (NV Bar No. 1625)

MOOREA L. KATZ (NV Bar No. 12007)
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention
Acres Medical, LLC

# 

## 

....

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 4th day of March, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be filed and served via the Court's Wiznet E-Filing system. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

/s/ Joyce Heilich

An employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

LV 420644483v1 153342.010300

Page 3 of 3

Electronically Filed 03/03/2016 11:38:47 AM

ORDR MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) **CLERK OF THE COURT** MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 4 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 5 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com katzmo@gflaw.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada Case No.: A-14-710597-C 10 limited liability company, Dept. No.: XX 11 Plaintiff, 12 ٧. 13 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 14 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF ORDER GRANTING INTERVENOR ACRES THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 15 MEDICAL, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S a municipal corporation and political 16 COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST ACRES subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT MEDICAL, LLC 17 AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV 18 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 19 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100. 20 Defendants. 21 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC. 22 Plaintiff in Intervention, 23 24 25 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 26 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, 27 a municipal corporation and political 28 subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF

Page I

LV 420625328v1

CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

#### Defendants in Intervention.

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on January 26, 2016, on ACRES MEDICAL, LLC'S ("Acres" or "Intervenor") Motion to Dismiss GB Sciences Nevada, LLC's Counterclaim Against Acres Medical, LLC ("Motion"), Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC: Defendant STATE OF NEVADA. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLeaf CLV Dispensary LLC, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor Acres. having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court having stated its conclusions on the record, the Court being fully advised in the premises, and good cause appearing, NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

GB Sciences Nevada, LLC's ("GB Sciences") counterclaims for declaratory relief and equitable estoppel against Acres are subject to dismissal. GB Sciences cannot seek a provisional Medical Marijuana Establishment ("MME") certificate from the Division via a claim for declaratory relief or equitable estoppel against Acres. If GB Sciences wishes to challenge the score or rank its MME application received from the Division, counterclaims against Acres is not the proper method to do so. Acres is simply a fellow MME applicant in the City of Las Vegas with no legal or contractual relationship with GB Sciences.

Additionally, GB Sciences has failed to allege any facts sufficient to state a claim for equitable estoppel against Acres. GB Sciences bases its claim for equitable estoppel on its allegations that (1) Acres delayed to intervene in this action; and (2) Acres did not name GB Sciences as a party in separate writ proceedings against the Division seeking a correction of Acres'

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LV 420625328v1

Tin

28

111

Z		
	į	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	2	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
	3	Todd I Rice Fea
	4	Todd L. Bice, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4534 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
	5	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
	6	
	7	Approved/Disapproved as (e Form) and Content:
	9	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
	10	Vinde Canderson
	11	Linda C. Anderson, Esq. Chief Deputy Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 4090
	12	Nevada Bar No. 4090 555 E. Washington Ave., #3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101
GREINBERG TRAURIO, LLP 1773 Howard Hughes Perkuro, Sulla sido North Las Vegas Aeveda (1913) Teleprope (102) 722-3773 Hadmile: (702) 732-3100	13	Las Vegas, NV 89101
TRAUR Hughes P Rio North Neveda E (702) 792	14	
REENBEKG 7773 Howard P Suite 48 Las Vegas, R Telephone (	15	
GAR STE SEE	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24   25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

LV 420625328v1

# EXHIBIT 7

# **EXHIBIT 7**

Electronically Filed 03/04/2016 11:41:04 AM

Alm & Lum

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

1 **NEOJ** MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) 2 MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 5 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention 7

Acres Medical, LLC

8

100

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DISTRICT COURT

**CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 

Case No.: A710597

MOTION FOR PARTIAL

RECONSIDERATION

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING

PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA,

LLC'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND

JUDGMENT; OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE

Dept. No.: XX

GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100,

Defendants.

ACRES MEDICAL, LLC,

LV 420644504v1 153342.010300

Plaintiff in Intervention,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS,

Page 1 of 3

\*\*

′ ∥

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
2008-26	13
me: (707)	14
racsimile	15
_	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	20

a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT; OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION was entered in the above-captioned matter on the 3rd day of March, 2016.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2016.

#### GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/Moorea L. Katz

MARK E. FERRARIO (NV Bar No. 1625)

MOOREA L. KATZ (NV Bar No. 12007)

3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention
Acres Medical, LLC

## 

# 

## 

### 

### 

### 

#### 

#### 

### 

### 

## 

### 1.

### 

# 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 4th day of March, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be filed and served via the Court's Wiznet E-Filing system. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

### /s/ Joyce Heilich

### An employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

LV 420644504v1 153342.010300

Page 3 of 3

ENBERG TRAURIG, LI

Electronically Filed 03/03/2016 11:40:57 AM

1 ORDR MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) CLERK OF THE COURT GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 4 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 5 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada Case No.: A-14-710597-C 10 limited liability company, Dept. No.: XX 11 Plaintiff. 12 13 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 14 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF GB THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 15 SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION TO HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT; OR, IN a municipal corporation and political 16 THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION 17 AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV 18 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 19 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100, 20 Defendants. 21 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, 22 Plaintiff in Intervention, 23 24 V. 25 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 26 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES: CITY OF LAS VEGAS, 27 a municipal corporation and political 28 subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF

Page 1

LV 420625540v1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention.

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on January 26, 2016, on GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S ("Plaintiff") Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative Motion for Partial Reconsideration ("Motion"), Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC; Defendant STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLcaf CLV Dispensary LLC, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor ACRES MEDICAL, LLC ("Acres"). having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP; the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court having stated its findings and conclusions on the record, and good cause appearing, NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

GB Sciences has not demonstrated that the Court's December 14, 2015 Order ("December Order") was clearly erroneous and therefore has not met the standard for reconsideration. See Masonry and Tile Contrators Ass'n of S. Névada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 941 P.2d 486, 113 Nev. 737 (1997). Nor has GB Sciences demonstrated that the Court's December Order should be amended based on accident or error pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 59(a).

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment: or, in the Alternative Motion for Partial Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 29 day of February

DISTRICT COURT

ERIC JOHNSON

X

LV 420625540v1

Page 2

1	Respectfully Submitted by:
2	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
3	and the same of th
4	Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
5	Nevada Bar No. 1625 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
	Suite 400 North
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC
7	
8	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
9	none ranna
10	SMITH & SMAPIRO, PLEC
11	James E. Shapiro, Esq.
12	Nevada Bar No. 7907 2520 Saint Rose Parkway, Suite 220
13	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorneys for Plaintiff GB Sciences Nevada, LLC
14	Manneys for I tuning OD Sciences Norman, ELA
15	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
16	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
17	Todd L. Bice, Esq.
18	Nevada Bar No. 4534
19	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101
20	Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
21	
22	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
23	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
24	
25	Linda C. Anderson, Esq.
26	Chief Deputy Aftorney General Nevada Bar No. 4090
	555 E. Washington Ave., #3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101
27	
28	

LV 420625540v1

GREINBERG TRAURIO, ELP 3773 Fouard Bagnes, Fernany Suite 40th Morti-Las Vegas, Nevado 59169 Teneprone (1702) 722: 9773 Fansimie: (702) 790-9012

Page 3

	1	Respectfully Submitted by:
	2	GREENBERG TRAUBAG, LLP
	3	
	4	Mark E. Ferrario, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1625
	5	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
	6	Suite 400 North   Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
	7	Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC
	8	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	9	SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLÆĆ
	10	
	11	James E. Shapiro, Esq.
	12	Nevada Bar Ño. 7907 2520 Şaint Rose Parkway, Suite 220
3, LL 5 Meter 3769 8778 8002	13	Henderson, Nevada 89074  Morneys for Plaintiff GB Sciences Nevada, LLC
RAURIE ghes P7 7 Morth (reds 88 (2) 792-4	14	
GREENBERG TRALIENG, LLP 9773 Extrest Hughes Parkway 128 Unite 400 houth 128 Veges, Neveste 89159 1 Rephone, (702) 792-9702 Fousinie: (702) 792-9002	15	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
REENE 3773 Hz Las V Telep Facsi	16	
e	17	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
		Todd L. Bice, Esq.
	18	Nevada Bar No. 4534 400 South 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300
	19	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensory LLC
	20	Autorneys for Nuteuf CLV Dispensory LLC
	21	
	22	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	23	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
	24	Linda Canderan
	25	Binda C. Anderson, Esq. Chief Deputy Attorney General
	26	Nevada Bar No. 4090 555 E. Washington Ave., #3900
	27 Las Vegas, NV 89101	
	28	

LV 420625540v1

# **EXHIBIT 6**

# EXHIBIT 6

**Electronically Filed** 12/15/2015 10:55:34 AM

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

1 **NEOJ** MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) 2 MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 5 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention 7 Acres Medical, LLC 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada 11 limited liability company, 12 Plaintiff, 13 14 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 15 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 16 HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation and political 17 subdivision of the State of Nevada: DESERT AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited 18 liability company; NULEAF CLV 19 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 20 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100, 21 Defendants. 22 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, 23 Plaintiff in Intervention, 24 v. 25 26 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 27 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 28 HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS,

Case No.: A710597 Dept. No.: XX

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ON DEFENDANT NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC'S **COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** 

LV 420591969v1 153342.010300

Page 1 of 3

24 33 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		
25 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 8 10 8 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10		2
25 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 8 10 8 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10		3
25 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 8 10 8 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10 10 11 12 8 10		4
77 28 8 9 9 10 11 123 Howard Nather Care Invanil Care Invanil Radius (ICD) 133 Howard Holles Parkway 2014 Holles Parkway 123 Howard Holles Parkway 123 Howard Holles (ICD) 135 Howard Holles (ICD) 135 Howard Holles (ICD) 136 Holles (ICD) 137 Howard 137 Holles (ICD) 137 Holles (IC		5
20 CREENBERG I RAURIC, LLP 3/13 Howard Hollers Parkway 3/13 Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard Howard Howard Hollers Parkway 1/2 Howard H		6
99 100 111 122 133 Howard Highes Parkway 3323 Howard Highes Parkway 133 Howard Highes Parkway 134 Howard 1999 159 160 1703) 792-9002 1703) 792-9002 1703) 792-9002 1703) 792-9002 1703 1704 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705 1705		
10 11 12 13 13 14 19 11 12 13 13 14 19 11 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		8
111 122 133 1404ad Highes Parkway 3713 Howard Highes Parkway 1313 Howard Highes Parkway 1313 Howard Highes Parkway 1314 Howard Highes Parkway 132 Howard Highes Parkway 133 Howard Highes Parkway 134 Howard Highes Parkway 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233 244 255 266 277		
12 CREENBERG I RAUNIG, LLP 20 1373 Howard Hughes Parkway 2011 A 140 Novard Hughes Parkway 2011 A 14		10
13 CREENBERG IRAURIG, LI CREENBERG IRAURIG,		11
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	ay 33 2	12
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	AURIG, I les Parkw lorth da 8916 792-377: 792-900	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	RG I Re ard Hugh lite 400 N as, Neva ne: (702) e: (702)	14
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	TT3 How Su Las Veg Telephol Facsimil	15
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	5 °°	16
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		17
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		18
21 22 23 24 25 26 27		19
22 23 24 25 26 27		20
23 24 25 26 27		21
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		22
25 26 27		23
26 27		24
27		25
		26

a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an ORDER ON PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND ON DEFENDANT NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC'S COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT was entered in the above-captioned matter on the 14th day of December, 2015.

DATED this 15th day of December, 2015.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/Moorea L. Katz

MARK E. FERRARIO (NV Bar No. 1625)

MOOREA L. KATZ (NV Bar No. 12007)
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention
Acres Medical, LLC

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Nev. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(D) and E.D.C.R. 8.05, I certify that on this 15th day of December, 2015, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DISPENSARY, LLC'S JUDGMENT **AND** ON DEFENDANT NULEAF CLV COUNTERMOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT to be filed and served via the Court's Wiznet E-Filing system. The date and time of the electronic proof of service is in place of the date and place of deposit in the mail.

/s/ Joyce Heilich

An employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

LV 420591969v1 153342.010300

Page 3 of 3

ORDR 1 2 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Case No. A-14-710597-C Electronically Filed 4 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada Dept. No. XX limited liability company, 12/14/2015 11:51:04 AM 5 Plaintiff. 6 VS. 7 CLERK OF THE COURT STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC 8 AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN 9 SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT AIRE 10 WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, 11 LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and ROE ENTITIES 1 12 through 100, 13 Defendants. 14 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, 15 Plaintiff in Intervention, 16 VS. 17 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE 18 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a 19 municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF CLV 20 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a 21 Nevada limited liability company, 22 Defendants in Intervention. 23

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX

24

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX

#### ORDER

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Summary Judgment (the "Motion") and on Defendant NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC ("NuLeaf") Countermotion for Summary Judgment ("Countermotion"); Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC; Defendant STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General, through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLeaf, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor ACRES MEDICAL, LLC ("Acres"), having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

#### FINDINGS OF FACTS

- In 2013, Senate Bill 374 was passed which provided for the registration of medical marijuana establishments authorized to cultivate or dispense marijuana or manufacture edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products for sale to persons authorized to engage in the medical use of marijuana. Senate Bill 374 was codified into N.R.S. Chapter 453A.
- 2. Under N.R.S. § 453A.320 et seq., the Division was tasked with processing and ranking applications for Medical Marijuana Establishments ("MMEs") for each local jurisdiction in Nevada.
- 3. There were five types of MME's, including Dispensaries, Cultivation Facilities, and Production Facilities. The MME at issue in this lawsuit is a Dispensary.
  - 4. The City of Las Vegas was allocated twelve Dispensary provisional certificates.

- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

ERIC JOHNSON DEPARTMENT XX

- 5. The Division, as well as the local jurisdiction, played a role in the ultimate licensing of MMEs. Specifically, the local jurisdiction was tasked with considering issues such as site plans, zoning and proximity to other business or facilities (the "Local Application Process") while the Division focused on public health, public safety, and marijuana as a medicine (the "Division Application Process").
- 6. In accordance with its responsibilities, the City of Las Vegas enacted Ordinance No. 6321 and 6324 to establish zoning regulations, licensing regulations, and standards for MME locations.
  - The Division issued its application packet (the "*Division Application*"). 7.
- 8. While the Division was allowed to accept all applications submitted, under N.R.S. § 453A.322, the Division could only issue a medical marijuana establishment registration certificate (a "Provisional Certificate") if the applicant's application included six (6) specific items and if the applicant otherwise met the requirements established by N.R.S. Chapter 453A.
- 9. One of the six (6) items required by law before the Division could issue a Provisional Certificate is found in N.R.S. § 453A.322(3)(a)(5), which states:
  - (5) If the city, town or county in which the proposed medical marijuana establishment will be located has enacted zoning restrictions, proof of licensure with the applicable local governmental authority or a letter from the applicable local governmental authority certifying that the proposed medical marijuana establishment is in compliance with those restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements. (NRS § 453A.322(3)(a)(5)).
- Plaintiff, Acres, and Nuleaf were three of the 49 applicants for a Dispensary License 10. in the City of Las Vegas.
- On October 28-29, 2014, the Las Vegas City Council held a special meeting to 11. consider each applicant for a special use permit and compliance permit for an MME Dispensary.

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE

DEPARTMENT XX

- 12. The City of Las Vegas denied special use permits and compliance permits to ten (10) applicants, including Nuleaf.
- 13. On October 30, 2014, the City of Las Vegas sent a letter to the Division notifying the Division that Nuleaf's application for a special use permit and compliance permit from the City of Las Vegas had been denied as not in compliance with land use restrictions and city code and incligible for a business license.
- 14. The City of Las Vegas letter was intended to comply, and did comply, with NRS 453A.322(3)(a)(5).
- 15. Specifically, pursuant to Las Vegas Municipal Code Section 6.95.080, the letter was to give notice to the Division, as intended in subsection 3(a)(5), as to those medical marijuana applicants which the City of Las Vegas had found to be or not to be in conformance with land use and zoning restrictions, and eligible for consideration for a business license. This letter described the applicable building requirements and zoning restrictions as outlined in the statute.
- 16. Notwithstanding, on or about November 3, 2014, the Division registered Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establishment and issued a provisional registration certificate for an MME Dispensary (the "*Provisional License*").
- 17. At the time the Department registered Nuleaf and issued a Provisional License, Nuleaf did not meet the requirements of N.R.S. § 453A.322, which specifically permitted the Division to register a medical marijuana establishment and issue a registration certificate if the business seeking to register had completed all of the requirements of subsection 3(a), including providing a letter from the applicable local authority certifying that the proposed medical marijuana establishment is in "compliance with [zoning] restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements."

18. The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services should have registered and issued the registration certificate to the medical marijuana establishment to the top twelve ranked applicants which met all the requirements of the statute.

- Nuleaf and issued it a registration certificate as Nuleaf had not met all the requirements of the statute. The Court's reading of the statute is consistent with the apparent goal of the statute and the legislature to quickly move the opening and operation of dispensaries in the state. This goal can best be achieved through the Division registering certificates for the most qualified applicants who have obtained preliminary approval that they are in "compliance with [zoning] restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements" of the municipality. In view of the time limitations the statute sets for when the Division may register certificates, the legislature clearly sought to avoid the situation where the Division approved an applicant but the applicant then failed to obtain zoning or business licensing from the municipality, resulting in a delay in the opening of the desired number of dispensaries.
- On November 9, 2015, the Court heard oral argument on intervenor Acres Medical, LLC's ("Acres") Motion to Intervene as a Matter of Right Pursuant to NRCP 24 on Order Shortening Time ("Motion to Intervene"). Acres' Motion to Intervene argued that Acres, not Plaintiff GB Sciences, was next in line to receive a provisional registration certificate, should one become available. Acres argued that pursuant to District Court order dated October 8, 2015, in Acres Medical, LLC v. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, et al., Case Number A-15-719637-W, Acres should have been the thirteenth ranked applicant on November 3, 2014. The premise for Acres' intervention was that Acres was entitled to the relief sought by GB Sciences in this action and Acres was adopting the arguments asserted by GB Sciences. The Court granted Acres' Motion to Intervene at the November 9, 2015 hearing.

1 0

21. The Court may take judicial notice, whether requested or not, of facts capable of verification from a reliable source. See NRS 47.150(1). The Court takes judicial notice that pursuant to District Court order dated October 8, 2015, in *Acres Medical, LLC v. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, et al.*, Case Number A-15-719637-W, Acres should have been the thirteenth ranked applicant on November 3, 2014. Accordingly, Acres, not Plaintiff GB Sciences, is the next applicant in line to receive a registration certificate should one become available.

22. If any of the forgoing findings of fact are properly conclusions of law, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 23. Summary judgment is appropriate where the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, admissions and affidavits on file, show that there exists no genuine issue as to any material fact, and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Bird v. Casa Royale W., 97 Nev. 67, 624 P.2d 17 (1981).
- 24. The Nevada Supreme Court has noted that "Rule 56 should not be regarded as a 'disfavored procedural shortcut'" but instead as an integral part of the rules of procedure as a whole, which are designed "to secure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of every action." Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 730, 121 P.3d 1026, 1030 (2005).
- 25. NRS § 30.040 gives this Court the ability to make certain declarations regarding the rights, status or other legal relations of parties to a lawsuit.
- 26. Further, this Court has the authority to issue mandatory injunctions "to restore the status quo, to undo wrongful conditions." <u>Leonard v. Stoebling</u>, 102 Nev. 543, 728 P.2d 1358 (1986); <u>Memory Gardens of Las Vegas, Inc. v. Pet Ponderosa Memorial Gardens, Inc.</u>, 492 P.2d 123, 88 Nev. 1 (Nev., 1972).

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

- 27. One of the stated purposes of mandatory injunctions is "compelling the undoing of acts that had been illegally done." City of Reno v. Matley, 378 P.2d 256, 79 Nev. 49 (Nev., 1963).
- 28. The Division has acknowledged that a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief is appropriate.
- 29. The issuance of the Provisional Certificate to Nuleaf was in error and contrary to NRS § 453A.322(3).
- Nuleaf should have been disqualified due to their non-compliance with NRS § 30. 453A.322(3)(a)(5).
  - 31. The Plaintiff and Acres have an inadequate remedy at law.
- To require the Plaintiff or Acres to simply apply again as part of a new application 32. period is to deny the Plaintiff and Acres all of their remedies, not only because it delays their ability to proceed forward with the initial applicants, but also because there is no guarantee that the Plaintiff or Acres would even qualify for a Provisional License the second time around when comparing the Plaintiff or Acres to the second, new set of applicants.
- It would be inequitable and inappropriate to deprive the City of Las Vegas of one of 33. the twelve Provisional Certificates allocated to it due to an error by the Division.
- At the hearing on the motions on November 9, 2015, counsel for the Division raised 34. the fact the City of Las Vegas sent its letter on October 30, 2014, four days before and only onc business day before the Division's planned issuance of registration certificates on November 3, 2014. The Division was not aware of the letter and those entities in conformance with City of Las Vegas land use, zoning and building requirements at the time it issued registration certificates. However, counsel stated the Division in issuing certificates looked at submitted applications without considering the local approval requirement of the statute or whether any of the applicants in municipalities throughout the state had received a letter of approval from the municipality where

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX they were located. Consequently, the Court finds the timing of the letter and whether the Division should have been aware of it presents no excuse for the Division failing to comply with the provisions of the statute. The Division was not looking for, inquiring, following up or even considering whether applicants had complied with the statutory requirement of an approval letter from the municipality where the applicant's business would be located.

- 35. The Court further finds no evidence presented suggests the City of Las Vegas sought to use the zoning or land use process as a subterfuge for the City to determine the most qualified applicants in place of the Division. The City made a determination as to applicants' compliance with its zoning restrictions and satisfaction of applicable building requirements as it was specifically expected to do pursuant to the statute before the registering of certificates.
- 36. If any of the forgoing conclusions of law are properly findings of fact, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

#### NOW THEREFORE:

- 37. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.
- 38. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED to the extent Plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that Nuleaf should not have been registered or issued a certification of registration as a medical marijuana establishment because it had not met all the necessary requirements of 453A.322(3)(a).
- 39. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Division shall rescind or withdraw the registration of Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establishment.
- 40. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED to the extent Plaintiff seeks the re-issue of Nuleaf's registration to Plaintiff.

1	l
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

41. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Division register intervenor Acres and issue Acres a registration certificate.

42. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendant Nuleaf's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

DATED this <u>//</u> th day of December, 2015.

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I caused the foregoing Order to be served as indicated below:
3	JAMES E. SHAPIRO, ESQ.
4	jshapiro@smithshaprio.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Counter Claimant, Intervenor Defendant
5	TODD L. BICE, ESQ.
6	tlb@pisanellibice.com Attorney for Defendant, Intervenor Defendant
7	MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ.
8	lvlitdock@gtlaw.com Attorney for Counter Defendant, Intervenor Plaintiff
9	/s/Kelly Muranaka
10	Kelly Muranaka Judicial Executive Assistant
11	Judiciai executive Assistant
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

# **EXHIBIT 5**

# **EXHIBIT 5**

Electronically Filed 03/03/2016 11:38:47 AM

1 ORDR MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ. (NV Bar #1625) 2 MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 4 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 5 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada 10 limited liability company, 11 Plaintiff, 12 17. 13 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 14 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 15 HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a municipal corporation and political 16 subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT 17 AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV 18 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 19 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100, 20 Defendants. 21 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC. 22 Plaintiff in Intervention. 23 24 ٧. 25 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 26 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, 27 a municipal corporation and political

....

**CLERK OF THE COURT** 

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENOR ACRES MEDICAL, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S COUNTERCLAIMS AGAINST ACRES MEDICAL, LLC

Case No.: A-14-710597-C

Dept. No.: XX

subdivision of the State of Nevada: NULEAF

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention.

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on January 26, 2016, on ACRES MEDICAL, LLC'S ("Acres" or "Intervenor") Motion to Dismiss GB Sciences Nevada, LLC's Counterclaim Against Acres Medical, LLC ("Motion"), Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC; Defendant STATE OF NEVADA. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLeaf CLV Dispensary LLC, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor Acres, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court having stated its conclusions on the record, the Court being fully advised in the premises, and good cause appearing, NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

GB Sciences Nevada, LLC's ("GB Sciences") counterclaims for declaratory relief and equitable estoppel against Acres are subject to dismissal. GB Sciences cannot seek a provisional Medical Marijuana Establishment ("MME") certificate from the Division via a claim for declaratory relief or equitable estoppel against Acres. If GB Sciences wishes to challenge the score or rank its MME application received from the Division, counterclaims against Acres is not the proper method to do so. Acres is simply a fellow MME applicant in the City of Las Vegas with no legal or contractual relationship with GB Sciences.

Additionally, GB Sciences has failed to allege any facts sufficient to state a claim for equitable estoppel against Acres. GB Sciences bases its claim for equitable estoppel on its allegations that (1) Acres delayed to intervene in this action; and (2) Acres did not name GB Sciences as a party in separate writ proceedings against the Division seeking a correction of Acres' LV 420625328v1

Page 2

Page 3

LV 420625328v1

an

		11
	į	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	2	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
	3	
	4	Todd L. Bice, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4534
	5	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101
	6	Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
	7	
	8	Approved/Disapproved as (o Form) and Content:
	9	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
	10	Vinda Canderson
	11	Linda C. Anderson, Esq. Chief Deputy Attorney General
	12	Nevada Bar No. 4090 555 E. Washington Ave., #3900
ans st	13	Las Vegas, NV 89101
JR(G, 8 Park (f) 9 20-377 92-372	1.3	
NEKG TRAURIG, nward Hughes Pari, Suite 4:20 North egas, Neveda E91; nore (702) 702-377	14	
GREIINBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3773 Howard Fughes Perlump Sulle 400 Nurth Les Vegas, Merede E0159 Telepinere (702) 702-3773 Hacainille (702) 702-3773	15	#
	16	
	17	,
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	

LV 420625328v1

# EXHIBIT 4

# EXHIBIT 4

Electronically Filed 03/03/2016 11:40:57 AM

ORDR MARK E. FERRARIO, ESO. (NV Bar #1625) MOOREA L. KATZ, ESQ. (NV Bar #12007) CLERK OF THE COURT GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 5 E-mail: ferrariom@gtlaw.com 6 katzmo@gtlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada Case No.: A-14-710597-C 10 limited liability company, Dept. No.: XX 11 Plaintiff. 12 V. 13 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF 14 PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF GB THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND 15 SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S MOTION TO HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT: OR, IN 16 a municipal corporation and political THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION 17 AIRE WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV 18 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and 19 ROE ENTITIES 1 through 100. 20 Defendants. 21 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC. 22 Plaintiff in Intervention, 23 24 V. 25 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF 26 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, 27 a municipal corporation and political 28 subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF

LV 420625540v1

Page 1

CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Defendants in Intervention.

THIS MATTER, having come before the Court on January 26, 2016, on GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S ("Plaintiff") Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative Motion for Partial Reconsideration ("Motion"), Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC; Defendant STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLeaf CLV Dispensary LLC, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor ACRES MEDICAL, LLC ("Acres"), having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP; the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court having stated its findings and conclusions on the record, and good cause appearing, NOW THEREFORE, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

GB Sciences has not demonstrated that the Court's December 14, 2015 Order ("December Order") was clearly erroneous and therefore has not met the standard for reconsideration. See Masonry and Tile Contrators Ass'n of S. Nevada v. Jolley. Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 941 P.2d 486, 113 Nev. 737 (1997). Nor has GB Sciences demonstrated that the Court's December Order should be amended based on accident or error pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 59(a).

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative Motion for Partial Reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 29 day of February , 2016

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ERIC JOHNSON

Ka

LV 420625540v1

Page 2

	1	Respectfully Submitted by:
	2	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
	3	and the same of th
	4	Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
	5	Nevada Bar No. 1625 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
		Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
	6	Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC
	7	
	8	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	9	mrenum.
	10	SMITH & SMAPIRO, PLLC
	11	James E. Shapiro, Esq.
	12	Nevada Bar No. 7907 2520 Saint Rose Parkway, Suite 220
50 may 120 may	13	Henderson, Nevada 89074
XUX46, ms. Fem. forth de. 591 782-37		Attorneys for Plaintiff GB Sciences Nevada, LLC
T See See T	14	
GREINBERG TRAURIO, ELP 3773 foorard Hagina, Ferroray Suite Juli Acrin Las Vegos, Macach 18189 Paterrane, 1702, 780-90111 Facsimite 1702, 780-90111	15	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
SAS 2. 1	16	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
	17	70 117 35
	18	Todd L. Bice, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4534
	19	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101
	20	Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
	21	
	22	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	23	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
	24	THURST CONCERN
	25	Linda C. Anderson, Esq.
		Chief Deputy Aftorney General Nevada Bar No. 4090
	26	555 E. Washington Ave., #3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101
	27	7200 1 0800 14 1 03 10 1
	28	

LV 420625540v1

Page 3

	1	Respectfully Submitted by:
	2	GREENBERG TRAUBIG, LLP
	3	
	4	Mark E. Ferrano, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1625
	5	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
	6	Suite 400 North  Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
	7	Counsel for Plaintiff in Intervention Acres Medical, LLC
	8	,
		Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	9	SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLEC
	10	
	11	James E. Shapiro, Esq.
2	12	Nevada Bar No. 7907 2520 Şaint Rose Parkway, Suite 220
56, E.J. 57, E.S. 57,	13	Henderson, Nevada 89074  Attorneys for Plaintiff GB Sciences Nevada, LLC
FRAUP Ughes P 20 Morth leveds 8 702) 752	14	
GREENBEIRG TRAUBIG, LLP 3773 Haward Hughes Parkway 3:de 400 horth 1.as Veges, Neverae 85199 1 elephone (702) 792-3772 Fossimile: (702) 192-5002	15	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
GREE IN SECTION	16	PISANELLI BICE, PLLC
	17	
	18	Todd L. Bice, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4534
	19	400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101
	20	Attorneys for Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC
	21	
	22	Approved/Disapproved as to Form and Content:
	23	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General
	24	Sinds C anderson
	25	Dinda C. Anderson, Esq. Chief Deputy Attorney General
	26	Nevada Bar No. 4090 555 E. Washington Ave., #3900
	27	Las Vegas, NV 89101
	28	

# **EXHIBIT 3**

# **EXHIBIT 3**

4/19/2016

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Location : District Court Civil/Criminal Help Search Close

#### REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. A-14-710597-C

GB Sciences Nevada LLC, Plaintiff(s) vs. Nevada State Department of Health and Human Services, Defendant(s) 8 co co co Som

Case Type: Other Civil Matters 12/02/2014 Date Filed: Location: Department 20 Cross-Reference Case A710597

Number:

Supreme Court No.: 69909

#### PARTY INFORMATION

**Lead Attorneys** 

Defendant Nevada State Department of Health and

**Human Services** 

Linda Christine Anderson

Retained 702-486-3420(W)

Defendant Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC

Todd L Bice Retained 702-214-2100(W)

Intervenor Defendant

**GB Sciences Nevada LLC** 

James E. Shapiro Retained 702-796-4000(W)

Intervenor Nevada State Department of Health and

Defendant **Human Services**  Linda Christine Anderson

Retained

702-486-3420(W)

Intervenor Defendant

North Las Vegas, City of

Intervenor Defendant

Nuleaf CLV Dispensary LLC

Todd L Bice Retained

702-214-2100(W)

Intervenor

Acres Medical LLC

**Plaintiff** 

Mark E. Ferrario, ESQ Retained 702-792-3773(W)

Plaintiff **GB Sciences Nevada LLC** 

James E. Shapiro Retained 702-796-4000(W)

### EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

#### 01/26/2016 All Pending Motions (3:00 PM) (Judicial Officer Johnson, Eric)

#### Minutes

01/26/2016 3:00 PM

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR PARTIAL RECONSIDERATION...PLAINTIFF IN INTERVENTION ACRE'S MEDICAL, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC'S COUNTERCLAIM AGAINST ACRES MEDICAL, LLC Mr. Shapiro advised the issues for trial were with Nuleaf, which have been resolved and would request the trial date be vacated as he is not prepared to go to trial against Acres and that it can be reset after the hearing today. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Smith had no objection. COURT ORDERED, calendar call and trial date VACATED. Arguments by Mr. Shapiro and Mr. Ferrario in support of their respective positions. Statements by Mr. Smith and Ms. Anderson. Following lengthy arguments, COURT ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Reconsideration is DENIED and Plaintiff in Intervention Acre's Medical, LLC's Motion to Dismiss GB Sciences Nevada, LLC's Counterclaim Against Acres Medical is GRANTED. CASE CLOSED. Mr. Ferrario to prepare the Order.

Parties Present Return to Register of Actions

# EXHIBIT 2

# **EXHIBIT 2**

**ORDR** 1 2 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Case No. A-14-710597-C
Electronically Filed 4 GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Dept. No. XX 12/14/2015 11:51:04 AM 5 Plaintiff, 6 VS. 7 CLERK OF THE COURT STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC 8 AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a 9 municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; DESERT AIRE 10 WELLNESS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, 11 LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOES 1 through 100; and ROE ENTITIES 1 12 through 100, 13 Defendants. 14 ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, 15 Plaintiff in Intervention, 16 VS. 17 STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH OF THE 18 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a 19 municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Nevada; NULEAF CLV 20 DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC, a 21 Nevada limited liability company, 22 Defendants in Intervention. 23

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX

24

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX

### **ORDER**

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on GB SCIENCES NEVADA, LLC's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Summary Judgment (the "Motion") and on Defendant NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC ("NuLeaf") Countermotion for Summary Judgment ("Countermotion"); Plaintiff, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, SMITH & SHAPIRO, PLLC; Defendant STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (the "State" or "Division"), having appeared by and through ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General, through his Chief Deputy Attorney General, LINDA C. ANDERSON; Defendant NuLeaf, having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, PISANELLI BICE, PLLC; Intervenor ACRES MEDICAL, LLC ("Acres"), having appeared by and through its attorneys of record, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, the Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing, THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES:

## FINDINGS OF FACTS

- In 2013, Senate Bill 374 was passed which provided for the registration of medical marijuana establishments authorized to cultivate or dispense marijuana or manufacture edible marijuana products or marijuana-infused products for sale to persons authorized to engage in the medical use of marijuana. Senate Bill 374 was codified into N.R.S. Chapter 453A.
- 2. Under N.R.S. § 453A.320 et seq., the Division was tasked with processing and ranking applications for Medical Marijuana Establishments ("<u>MMEs</u>") for each local jurisdiction in Nevada.
- 3. There were five types of MME's, including Dispensaries, Cultivation Facilities, and Production Facilities. The MME at issue in this lawsuit is a Dispensary.
  - 4. The City of Las Vegas was allocated twelve Dispensary provisional certificates.

- 5. The Division, as well as the local jurisdiction, played a role in the ultimate licensing of MMEs. Specifically, the local jurisdiction was tasked with considering issues such as site plans, zoning and proximity to other business or facilities (the "Local Application Process") while the Division focused on public health, public safety, and marijuana as a medicine (the "Division Application Process").
- 6. In accordance with its responsibilities, the City of Las Vegas enacted Ordinance No. 6321 and 6324 to establish zoning regulations, licensing regulations, and standards for MME locations.
  - 7. The Division issued its application packet (the "Division Application").
- 8. While the Division was allowed to accept all applications submitted, under N.R.S. § 453A.322, the Division could only issue a medical marijuana establishment registration certificate (a "Provisional Certificate") if the applicant's application included six (6) specific items and if the applicant otherwise met the requirements established by N.R.S. Chapter 453A.
- 9. One of the six (6) items required by law before the Division could issue a Provisional Certificate is found in N.R.S. § 453A.322(3)(a)(5), which states:
  - (5) If the city, town or county in which the proposed medical marijuana establishment will be located has enacted zoning restrictions, proof of licensure with the applicable local governmental authority or a letter from the applicable local governmental authority certifying that the proposed medical marijuana establishment is in compliance with those restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements. (NRS § 453A.322(3)(a)(5)).
- 10. Plaintiff, Acres, and Nuleaf were three of the 49 applicants for a Dispensary Licensc in the City of Las Vegas.
- 11. On October 28-29, 2014, the Las Vegas City Council held a special meeting to consider each applicant for a special use permit and compliance permit for an MME Dispensary.

- 12. The City of Las Vegas denied special use permits and compliance permits to ten (10) applicants, including Nuleaf.
- 13. On October 30, 2014, the City of Las Vegas sent a letter to the Division notifying the Division that Nuleaf's application for a special use permit and compliance permit from the City of Las Vegas had been denied as not in compliance with land use restrictions and city code and ineligible for a business license.
- 14. The City of Las Vegas letter was intended to comply, and did comply, with NRS 453A.322(3)(a)(5).
- 15. Specifically, pursuant to Las Vegas Municipal Code Section 6.95.080, the letter was to give notice to the Division, as intended in subsection 3(a)(5), as to those medical marijuana applicants which the City of Las Vegas had found to be or not to be in conformance with land use and zoning restrictions, and eligible for consideration for a business license. This letter described the applicable building requirements and zoning restrictions as outlined in the statute.
- 16. Notwithstanding, on or about November 3, 2014, the Division registered Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establishment and issued a provisional registration certificate for an MME Dispensary (the "*Provisional License*").
- 17. At the time the Department registered Nuleaf and issued a Provisional License, Nuleaf did not meet the requirements of N.R.S. § 453A.322, which specifically permitted the Division to register a medical marijuana establishment and issue a registration certificate if the business seeking to register had completed all of the requirements of subsection 3(a), including providing a letter from the applicable local authority certifying that the proposed medical marijuana establishment is in "compliance with [zoning] restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements."

18. The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services should have registered and issued the registration certificate to the medical marijuana establishment to the top twelve ranked applicants which met all the requirements of the statute.

- Nuleaf and issued it a registration certificate as Nuleaf had not met all the requirements of the statute. The Court's reading of the statute is consistent with the apparent goal of the statute and the legislature to quickly move the opening and operation of dispensaries in the state. This goal can best be achieved through the Division registering certificates for the most qualified applicants who have obtained preliminary approval that they are in "compliance with [zoning] restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements" of the municipality. In view of the time limitations the statute sets for when the Division may register certificates, the legislature clearly sought to avoid the situation where the Division approved an applicant but the applicant then failed to obtain zoning or business licensing from the municipality, resulting in a delay in the opening of the desired number of dispensaries.
- On November 9, 2015, the Court heard oral argument on intervenor Acres Medical, LLC's ("Acres") Motion to Intervene as a Matter of Right Pursuant to NRCP 24 on Order Shortening Time ("Motion to Intervene"). Acres' Motion to Intervene argued that Acres, not Plaintiff GB Sciences, was next in line to receive a provisional registration certificate, should one become available. Acres argued that pursuant to District Court order dated October 8, 2015, in Acres Medical, LLC v. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, et al., Case Number A-15-719637-W, Acres should have been the thirteenth ranked applicant on November 3, 2014. The premise for Acres' intervention was that Acres was entitled to the relief sought by GB Sciences in this action and Acres was adopting the arguments asserted by GB Sciences. The Court granted Acres' Motion to Intervene at the November 9, 2015 hearing.

21. The Court may take judicial notice, whether requested or not, of facts capable of verification from a reliable source. See NRS 47.150(1). The Court takes judicial notice that pursuant to District Court order dated October 8, 2015, in *Acres Medical, LLC v. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, et al.*, Case Number A-15-719637-W, Acres should have been the thirteenth ranked applicant on November 3, 2014. Accordingly, Acres, not Plaintiff GB Sciences, is the next applicant in line to receive a registration certificate should one become available.

22. If any of the forgoing findings of fact are properly conclusions of law, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 23. Summary judgment is appropriate where the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, admissions and affidavits on file, show that there exists no genuine issue as to any material fact, and that the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Bird v. Casa Royale W., 97 Nev. 67, 624 P.2d 17 (1981).
- 24. The Nevada Supreme Court has noted that "Rule 56 should not be regarded as a 'disfavored procedural shortcut'" but instead as an integral part of the rules of procedure as a whole, which are designed "to secure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of every action." Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 730, 121 P.3d 1026, 1030 (2005).
- 25. NRS § 30.040 gives this Court the ability to make certain declarations regarding the rights, status or other legal relations of parties to a lawsuit.
- 26. Further, this Court has the authority to issue mandatory injunctions "to restore the status quo, to undo wrongful conditions." <u>Leonard v. Stoebling</u>, 102 Nev. 543, 728 P.2d 1358 (1986); <u>Memory Gardens of Las Vegas, Inc. v. Pet Ponderosa Memorial Gardens, Inc.</u>, 492 P.2d 123, 88 Nev. 1 (Nev., 1972).

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX

- 27. One of the stated purposes of mandatory injunctions is "compelling the undoing of acts that had been illegally done." City of Reno v. Matley, 378 P.2d 256, 79 Nev. 49 (Nev., 1963).
- 28. The Division has acknowledged that a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief is appropriate.
- 29. The issuance of the Provisional Certificate to Nuleaf was in error and contrary to NRS § 453A.322(3).
- 30. Nuleaf should have been disqualified due to their non-compliance with NRS § 453A.322(3)(a)(5).
  - 31. The Plaintiff and Acres have an inadequate remedy at law.
- 32. To require the Plaintiff or Acres to simply apply again as part of a new application period is to deny the Plaintiff and Acres all of their remedies, not only because it delays their ability to proceed forward with the initial applicants, but also because there is no guarantee that the Plaintiff or Acres would even qualify for a Provisional License the second time around when comparing the Plaintiff or Acres to the second, new set of applicants.
- 33. It would be inequitable and inappropriate to deprive the City of Las Vegas of one of the twelve Provisional Certificates allocated to it due to an error by the Division.
- At the hearing on the motions on November 9, 2015, counsel for the Division raised the fact the City of Las Vegas sent its letter on October 30, 2014, four days before and only one business day before the Division's planned issuance of registration certificates on November 3, 2014. The Division was not aware of the letter and those entities in conformance with City of Las Vegas land use, zoning and building requirements at the time it issued registration certificates. However, counsel stated the Division in issuing certificates looked at submitted applications without considering the local approval requirement of the statute or whether any of the applicants in municipalities throughout the state had received a letter of approval from the municipality where

ERIC JOHNSON DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT XX they were located. Consequently, the Court finds the timing of the letter and whether the Division should have been aware of it presents no excuse for the Division failing to comply with the provisions of the statute. The Division was not looking for, inquiring, following up or even considering whether applicants had complied with the statutory requirement of an approval letter from the municipality where the applicant's business would be located.

- 35. The Court further finds no evidence presented suggests the City of Las Vegas sought to use the zoning or land use process as a subterfuge for the City to determine the most qualified applicants in place of the Division. The City made a determination as to applicants' compliance with its zoning restrictions and satisfaction of applicable building requirements as it was specifically expected to do pursuant to the statute before the registering of certificates.
- 36. If any of the forgoing conclusions of law are properly findings of fact, they shall be treated as if appropriately identified and designated.

#### NOW THEREFORE:

- 37. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.
- 38. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is GRANTED to the extent Plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that Nuleaf should not have been registered or issued a certification of registration as a medical marijuana establishment because it had not met all the necessary requirements of 453A.322(3)(a).
- 39. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Division shall rescind or withdraw the registration of Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establishment.
- 40. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion is DENIED to the extent Plaintiff seeks the re-issue of Nuleaf's registration to Plaintiff.

41. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Division register intervenor Acres and issue Acres a registration certificate.

42. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendant Nuleaf's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is DENIED.

DATED this // th day of December, 2015.

ERIC JOHNSON

DISTRICT COL/RT JUDGE

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that I caused the foregoing Order to be served as indicated below:
3.	JAMES E. SHAPIRO, ESO.  jshapiro@smithshaprio.com  Attorney for Plaintiff, Counter Claimant, Intervenor Defendant
5	TODD L. BICE, ESQ.
6	tlb@pisanellibice.com Attorney for Defendant, Intervenor Defendant
7	MARK E. FERRARIO, ESQ.
8	lvlitdock@gtlaw.com Attorney for Counter Defendant, Intervenor Plaintiff
9	/s/Kelly Muranaka
10	Kelly Muranaka Judicial Executive Assistant
11	Jadiolai LACCATYO ASSISIATE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	,
22	
23	į.
24	
25	
26	
27	

# **EXHIBIT 1**

# **EXHIBIT 1**

### DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

November 13, 2015 **Other Civil Matters COURT MINUTES** A-14-710597-C GB Sciences Nevada LLC, Plaintiff(s) Nevada State Department of Health and Human Services, Defendant(s)

November 13, 2015 7:30 AM Minute Order

**HEARD BY:** Johnson, Eric

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Keri Cromer

### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- The Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:
- 1. On October 30, 2014, the City of Las Vegas sent a letter to the Division of Public and Behavioral Health of Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (the Division ) informing the Division that Defendant Nuleaf's application for a medical marijuana special use and compliance permit had been denied as not in compliance with land use restrictions and city code and ineligible for a business license.
- 2. The City of Las Vegas letter was intended to comply, and did comply, with NRS 453A.322(3)(a)(5). Specifically, pursuant to Las Vegas Municipal Code Section 6.95.080, the letter was to give notice to the Division, as intended in subsection 3(a)(5), as to those medical marijuana applicants which the City of Las Vegas had found to be or not to be in conformance with land use and zoning restrictions, and eligible for consideration for a business license. This letter described the applicable building requirements and zoning restrictions as outlined in the statute.
- 3. On or about November 3, 2014, the Division registered Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establishment and issued a registration certificate.
- 4. At the time the Department registered Nuleaf and issued a registration certificate, Nuleaf did not meet the requirements of NRS 453A.322, which specifically permitted the Division to register a medical marijuana establishment and issue a registration certificate if the business seeking to register had completed all of the requirements of subsection 3(a), including providing a letter from the applicable local authority certifying that the proposed medical marijuana establishment is in compliance with [zoning] restrictions and satisfies all applicable building requirements. Pursuant

PRINT DATE: Page 1 of 2 Minutes Date: November 13, 2015 11/13/2015

#### A-14-710597-C

the plain terms of the statute, the Division should not have registered Nuleaf and issued a registration certificate as Nuleaf had not met all the requirements of the statute.

5. The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services should have registered and issued the registration certificate to the medical marijuana establishment to the top twelve ranked applicants which met all the requirements of the statute.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. It is GRANTED to the extent Plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that Nuleaf should not have been registered or issued a certification of registration as a medical marijuana establishment because it had not met all the necessary requirements of 453A.322(3)(a). It is hereby ORDERED the Division shall rescind or withdraw the registration of Nuleaf as a medical marijuana establish. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is DENIED to the extent Plaintiff seeks the re-issue of Nuleaf's registration to Plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Division register intervenor Acres Medical, which, pursuant to District Court order dated October 8, 2015, in Acres Medical, LLC v. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public and Behavioral Health, et al., Case Number A-15-719637-W, should have been the thirteenth ranked applicant on November 3, 2014, approved by the City of Las Vegas as in compliance with land use restrictions and city code and eligible for a business license, and meeting all other requirements of NRS 453A.322(3)(a).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Defendant Nuleaf's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is DENIED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED intervenor Acres Medical provide the court with a proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and order in Word format for the Court pursuant to EDCR 7.21 to provide a more fulsome decision.

PRINT DATE: 11/13/2015 Page 2 of 2 Minutes Date: November 13, 2015

## In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH; ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; and GB SCIENCES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondent.

GB SCIENCES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Cross-Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, DIVISION OF PUBLIC AND BEHAVIORAL HEALTH; NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; and ACRES MEDICAL, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Cross-Respondents.

Electronically Filed
Supreme Court No App 20 2016 08:30 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
District Court No. Clerkos Supreme Court

DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL CROSS-APPEAL

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to attach documents as requested in this statement, completely fill out the statement, or to fail to file it in a timely manner, will constitute grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See Moran v. Bonneville Square Assocs.*, 117 Nev 525, 25 P.3d 898 (2001); *KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman*, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1.	Judicial District: <u>Eighth</u> Departmen	t: XX County: Clark
	Judge: The Honorable Eric Johnson	
2.	Attorney filing this docket statement:	
	Attorney: James E. Shapiro, Esq.	Telephone: (702) 318-5033
	Firm: Smith & Shapiro, PLLC	<u> </u>
	Address: 2520 St. Rose Pkwy., Suite 220, Hender	rson, NV 89074
	Clients: Cross-Appellant, GB Sciences, LLC, a N	
		f multiple cross-appellants, add the names and addresses n an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that
3.	Attorney(s) representing cross-respondent(s):	
٥.	Attorney: <u>Todd L. Bice, Esq., Dustun H. Holmes</u> ,	Fac. Talanhana (702) 214 2100
	Firm: PISANELLI BICE, PLLC	, <u>Esq.</u> Telephone. (702) 214-2100
		NV 90101
	Address: 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300, Las Veg	38, NV 89101
	Clients: Cross-Respondent, Nulear CLV Dispensa	ary, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company
	Attorney: Adam P. Laxalt, Linda C. Anderson, Estrim: STATE OF NEVADA, ATTORNEY GEN Address: 555 E. Washington Avenue, #3900, Last Clients: Cross-Respondent, State of Nevada, Dividealth and Human Services	ERAL
	Attorney: Mark E. Ferrario, Esq., Landon I. Lerne	er, Esq. Telephone: <u>(702)</u> 792-3773
	Firm: GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
	Address: 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 40	00 North, Las Vegas, NV 89169
	Clients: Cross-Respondent, Acres Medical, LLC,	a Nevada limited liability company
4.	Nature of disposition below (check all that app	ıly):
	☐ Judgment after bench trial	■ Grant/Denial of injunction
	☐ Judgment after jury verdict	■ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief
	■ Summary judgment	☐ Review of agency determination
	☐ Default judgment	☐ Divorce decree:
	□ Dismissal	☐ Original ☐ Modification
	☐ Lack of jurisdiction	■ Other disposition (specify) Motion to Alter or
	☐ Failure to state a claim	Amend Judgment, Pursuant to NRCP 59
	☐ Failure to state a craffi ☐ Failure to prosecute	Amena Juagment, 1 arsuant to tire 37
	☐ Other (specify)	
	☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	

5.	Does this cross-appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:	
	<ul><li>☐ Child custody</li><li>☐ Venue</li><li>☐ Adoption</li></ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Termination of parental rights</li> <li>☐ Grant/denial of injunction or TRO</li> <li>☐ Juvenile matters</li> </ul>
6.		<b>this court.</b> List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original pending before this court which are related to this cross-appeal:
	Name: Nuleaf CLV Dispensary, LL Docket number: 69909	C v. The State of Nevada Department of Health and Human Services et al.

7. **Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this cross-appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None.

8. **Nature of the action.** Briefly describe the nature of the action, including a list of the causes of action pleaded, and the result below:

Nature of the action: The action involves the issuance of provisional registration certificates ("<u>Provisional</u> <u>Certificates</u>") by the State of Nevada to applicants for medical marijuana establishment ("<u>MME</u>") dispensaries in the City of Las Vegas, pursuant to the provisions of N.R.S. Chapter 453A. Notwithstanding the fact that Cross-Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary, LLC ("<u>Nuleaf</u>") did not satisfy the requirement identified in N.R.S. § 453A.322(3)(a)(5), Cross-Respondent the State of Nevada issued a Provisional Certificate to Nuleaf. The District Court revoked Nuleaf's Provisional Certificate but awarded it to intervening party, Cross-Respondent Acres Medical, LLC ("<u>Acres</u>"). Nuleaf appealed the decision. Cross-Appellant agrees that Nuleaf's Certificate should have been revoked, but contends that it should have been awarded to Cross-Appellant.

Causes of action: (1) Declaratory Judgment, (2) Injunctive Relief, (3) Petition for Judicial Review, and (4) Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

Result below: On November 13, 2015, the District Court entered a Minute Order in relation to competing motions for summary judgment, in which the Court revoked Nuleaf's Provisional Certificate and directed that it be issued to Acres. On December 14, 2015, the material terms of the Minute Order were memorialized in a written Order. On January 26, 2016, the District Court entered a Minute Order in relation to Cross-Appellant's motion to alter or amend the December 14, 2015 Order, and Respondent Acres' Motion to Dismiss Cross-Appellant's counterclaims against Acres. On March 3, 2016, the District Court entered an Order denying Cross-Appellant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Reconsideration and granting Respondent Acres' Motion to Dismiss Cross-Appellant's Counterclaims against Respondent Acres.

9. **Issues on cross-appeal.** State concisely the principal issue(s) in this cross-appeal:

Whether the District Court erred in applying res judicata effect to an Order entered in a separate matter to which Cross-Appellant was not a party, defeating the claims of the Cross-Appellant to the Provisional Certificate at issue.

Whether the District Court erred in awarding the Provisional Certificate to Acres as a result of a summary judgment hearing which took place before Acres' had filed a Complaint in Intervention making claim to the Provisional Certificate.

Whether the District Court erred in awarding the Provisional Certificate to Acres, when Acres did not have a motion for summary judgment on file at the time, either to support such a result or containing a prayer for such relief.

11.	Constitutional issues. If this cross-appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this cross-appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?  N/A  Other issues. Does this cross-appeal involve any of the following issues?  Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))  An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
12.	agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this cross-appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?  N/A  Other issues. Does this cross-appeal involve any of the following issues?  Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))  An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
12.	Other issues. Does this cross-appeal involve any of the following issues?  ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))  ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
	<ul> <li>□ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))</li> <li>□ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>□ A substantial issue of first-impression</li> <li>□ An issue of public policy</li> <li>□ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions</li> <li>□ A ballot question</li> </ul>
	If so, explain:
13. ′	Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? N/A
,	Was it a bench or jury trial? N/A
14.	Judicial disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation on this cross-appeal? If so, which Justice? No
	TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL
:	Date of entry of written judgment or order cross-appealed from November 13, 2015, December 14, 2015, January 26, 2016, March 3, 2016, and March 3, 2016  Attach a copy. If more than one judgment or order is cross-appealed from, attach copies of each judgment or order from which a cross-appeal is taken.
	(a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review: N/A.
	Date written notice of entry of judgment or order served December 15, 2015, March 4, 2016, and March 4, 2016 . Attach a copy, including proof of service, for each order or judgment cross-appealed from.
(	(a) Was service by delivery Yes (e-service) or by mail (specify).

17.	If the time for filing the notice of cross-appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59),
	(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date and method of service of the motion, and date of filing.
	NRCP 50(b)Date servedBy deliveryOr by mailDate of filing
	NRCP 52(b) Date served By delivery Or by mail Date of filing .
	NRCP 59(e) $\overline{X}$ Date served $\overline{12/23/15}$ By delivery $\overline{}$ Or by mail $\overline{12/23/15}$ Date of filing $\overline{}$ Date of filing $\overline{}$ Or by mail $\overline{}$ Date of filing $\overline{}$ Date of filing $\overline{}$ Or by mail $\overline{}$ Date of filing $\overline{}$ Date of fili
	* e-served on all parties registered and listed as Service Recipients in Wiznet.
	Attach copies of all post-trial tolling motions
	NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motion for rehearing or reconsideration do not toll the time for filing a notice of cross-appeal.
	(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion March 3, 2016 Attach a copy.
	(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving motion served <u>March 4, 2016</u> . Attach a copy, including proof of service.
	(i) Was service by delivery or by mail <u>X (e-served)</u> (specify).
18.	Date notice of cross-appeal was filedMarch 30, 2016
	(a) If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal: On March 2, 2015, Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary, LLC filed the initial Notice of Appeal in this matter, with respect to the December 14, 2015 Order
19.	Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of cross-appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(a), NRS 155.190, or other: $NRAP \ 4(a)(1)$ , $NRAP \ 4(a)(2)$ , $NRAP \ 4(a)(4)(C)$ .
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
20.	Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order cross-appealed from:
	NRAP 3A(b)(1) X NRS 155.190 (specify subsection)
	NRAP 3A(b)(2) NRS 38.205(specify subsection)
	NRAP 3A(b)(3) X NRS 703.376 (specify subsection) Other (specify)
for	Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:  NRAP 3A(b)(1) provides the basis for this appeal because it is an appeal from a final judgment entered in an ion or proceeding commenced in the court in which the judgment is rendered. NRAP 3A(b)(3) provides the basis this appeal because the Court denied Cross-Appellant a mandatory injunction against the State of Nevada to issue Provisional Certificate at issue to Cross-Appellant.
21.	List all parties involved in the action in the district court:
	Cross-Appellant: GB Sciences, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Cross-Respondent: State of Nevada, Division of Public and Behavioral Health of the Department of Health and Human Services Cross-Respondent: Nuleaf CLV Dispensary, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Cross-Respondent: Acres Medical, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company Defendant City of Las Vegas

Defendant Desert Aire Wellness, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company

- (a) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this cross-appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this cross-appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other: Defendant City of Las Vegas was voluntarily dismissed as a party on January 23, 2015. Defendant Desert Aire Wellness, LLC was voluntarily dismissed as a party on April 1, 2015.
- 22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims or third-party claims, and the trial court's disposition of each claim, and how each claim was resolved (i.e., order, judgment, stipulation), and the date of disposition of each claim. Attach a copy of each disposition.

Cross-Appellant's claims against Respondent State of Nevada, Division of Public and Behavioral Health of the Department of Health and Human Services:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Issue Certificate to Cross-Appellant.
- (iii) Petition for Judicial Review: Review Decision to Issue Certificate.
- (iv) Petition for Writ of Mandamus: Compel issuance of Provisional Certificate to Cross-Appellant.

December 14, 2015 Judgment: claims (i) and (iii) granted, but (ii) and (iv) denied.

Cross-Appellant's claims against Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Issue Certificate to Cross-Appellant.

December 14, 2015 Judgment: claim (i) granted, but (ii) denied.

Cross-Appellant's claims against Defendant City of Las Vegas:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Not Consider SUP Applications.

January 23, 2015 voluntary dismissal.

Cross-Appellant's claims against Defendant Desert Aire Wellness:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Issue Certificate to Cross-Appellant.

April 1, 2015 voluntary dismissal.

Cross-Respondent State of Nevada, Division of Public and Behavioral Health of the Department of Health and Human Services' counterclaims against Cross-Appellant: None.

Cross-Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary's counterclaims against Cross-Appellant: None.

Defendant City of Las Vegas' counterclaims against Cross-Appellant: None.

Defendant Desert Aire Wellness's counterclaims against Cross-Appellant: None.

Cross-Respondent Acres Medical's claims in intervention against Cross-Appellant, Cross-Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary, Cross-Respondent City of Las Vegas, and Cross-Respondent State of Nevada, Division of Public and Behavioral Health of the Department of Health and Human Services:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Issue Certificate to Cross-Respondent Acres Medical.
- (iii) Petition for Writ of Mandamus: Compel issuance of Provisional Certificate to Cross-Respondent Acres Medical.

December 14, 2015 Judgment: claim (i), (ii), and (iii) granted.

Cross-Appellant's counterclaims in intervention against Respondent Acres Medical, LLC:

- (i) Declaratory Judgment. State improperly issued Provisional Certificate.
- (ii) Injunction. Issue Certificate to Cross-Appellant.
- (iii) Petition for Writ of Mandamus: Compel issuance of Provisional Certificate to Cross-Appellant.

December 14, 2015 Judgment: claim (i) granted, but claim (ii) and (iii) denied.

Cross-Respondent State of Nevada, Division of Public and Behavioral Health of the Department of Health and Human Services' counterclaims against Cross-Respondent Acres Medical: None.

Cross-Respondent Nuleaf CLV Dispensary's counterclaims against Cross-Respondent Acres Medical: None.

Defendant City of Las Vegas' counterclaims against Cross-Respondent Acres Medical: None.

23. Attach copies of the last-filed version of all complaints, counterclaims, and/or cross-claims filed in the district court.

See Exhibits "12", "13", and "14".

24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action below:

Yes X No \_\_\_\_

- 25. If you answered "No" to the immediately previous question, complete the following:
  - (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below: N/A.
  - (b) Specify the parties remaining below: N/A.
  - (c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b): N/A.

Yes  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$  No  $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$  If "Yes," attach a copy of the certification or order, including any notice of entry and proof of service.

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment:

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_X\_\_\_

26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): N/A.

### **VERIFICATION**

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

GB Sciences, LLC, a Nevada limited liability	State and county where signed  James E. Shapiro, Esq.	
company		
Name of cross-appellant	Name of counsel fo record	
April 19, 2016	/s/ James E. Shapiro, Esq.	
Date	Signature of counsel of record	
Clark County, Nevada		

# **INDEX OF EXHIBITS**

November 13, 2015 Minute Order in relation to Motions for Summary Judgment	Exhibit "1"
December 14, 2015 Order Regarding Motions for Summary Judgment	Exhibit "2"
January 26, 2016 Minute Order in relation to Cross-Appellant's Motion to Alter or Amend the December 14, 2015 Order, and Respondent Acres' Motion to Dismiss Cross-Appellant's Counterclaims Against Acres.	Exhibit "3"
March 3, 2016 Order denying Cross-Appellant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Reconsideration.	Exhibit "4"
March 3, 2016 Order granting Respondent Acres' Motion to Dismiss Cross-Appellant's Counterclaims	Exhibit "5"
December 15, 2015 Notice of Entry of Order Regarding Motions for Summary Judgment	Exhibit "6"
March 4, 2016 Notice of Entry of Order denying Cross-Appellant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment; or, in the Alternative, Motion for Partial Reconsideration	Exhibit "7"
March 4, 2016 Notice of Entry of Order granting Respondent Acres' Motion to Dismiss Cross-Appellant's Counterclaims	Exhibit "8"
December 23, 2015 Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment, or, in the Alternative Motion for Partial Reconsideration	Exhibit "9"
January 23, 2015 Voluntary Dismissal	Exhibit "10"
April 1, 2015 Voluntary Dismissal	Exhibit "11"
December 5, 2014 First Amended Complaint	Exhibit "12"
November 17, 2015 Complaint in Intervention	Exhibit "13"
December 3, 2015 Answer to Complaint in Intervention and Counterclaims	Exhibit "14"

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the day ofApril,2016, I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:
<ul> <li>□ By personally serving it upon him/her; or</li> <li>■ By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es):</li> </ul>
Todd L. Bice, Esq.  Dustun H. Holmes, Esq.  PISANELLI BICE, PLLC  400 South 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300  Las Vegas, NV 89101  Attorneys for Cross-Respondent,  NULEAF CLV DISPENSARY, LLC
Adam P. Laxalt, Esq. Linda C. Anderson, Esq. STATE OF NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL 555 E. Washington Avenue, #3900 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Cross-Respondent, STATE OF NEVADA
Mark E. Ferrario, Esq. Landon I. Lerner, Esq. GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North, Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Cross-Respondent, ACRES MEDICAL, LLC
Dated this day of <u>April</u> , <u>2016</u> .
/s/ Jill M. Berghammer Signature