

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALBERT H. CAPANNA, M.D.,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,

vs.

BEAU R. ORTH,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

ALBERT H. CAPANNA, M.D.,
Appellant,

vs.

BEAU R. ORTH,
Respondent.

No. 69935

No. 70227

FILED

JAN 12 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTIONS

Cause appearing, respondent/cross-appellant's motions requesting a first and second extension of time to file the reply brief on cross-appeal are granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent/cross-appellant shall have until January 16, 2018, to file and serve the reply brief on cross-appeal. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief on cross-appeal may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Dwyer, C.J.

cc: Lauria Tokunaga Gates & Linn, LLP/Las Vegas
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
Eglet Prince
Catherine M. O'Mara
Erin G. Sutton