IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LYUDMYLA ABID,

Appellant,
vs.

Electronically Filed
Aug 31 2016 10:02 a.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

SEAN ABID,

Respondent.

S.C. DOCKET NO.: 69995
District Court Case No. D-10-424830-Z

OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE REPLY TO FAST TRACK RESPONSE, AND TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

COMES NOW Respondent, Sean Abid, by and through his attorneys, John D. Jones, Esq., of the law firm of BLACK & LOBELLO, and files his Opposition to Appellant's Motion for Permission to File Reply to Fast Track Response, and to File Supplemental Appendix.

BLACK & LOBELLO

DATED this _____ day of August, 2016.

JOHN D. JONES, ESQ.
Neyada Bar No. 006699
10777 West Twain Avenue, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
702-869-8801
Attorneys for Respondent,
SEAN ABID

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The motion currently before the Court is a perfect example of Appellant seeking a second bite of the apple. Appellant's fast track statement discussed the propriety of the Court adopting the vicarious consent doctrine. As such, Respondent provided the Court with the case authority to support the District Court's evidentiary rulings. In addition, because the vicarious consent doctrine is an issue of first impression, the authorities were offered in the event that this Court chose to approve of the doctrine as part of its adjudication of the appeal.

Moreover, Respondent did not file an appeal of the District Court Order, because he was the prevailing party. As such, the discussion of whether the tapes should have been admitted could never be construed as a request for affirmative relief. For this reason, a Reply to Respondent's Fast Track Response is

///

///

///

///

///

///

unnecessary and inappropriate. A Reply would also likely lead to Respondent seeking leave of Court to file a response. Appellant's motion should be denied and fees awarded to Respondent for having to file this opposition.

DATED this _____ day of August, 2016.

BLACK & LOBELLO

JOHN D. JONES, ESQ.

Neyada Bar No. 006699

10777 West Twain Avenue, Suite 300

Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

702-869-8801

Attorneys for Respondent,

SEAN ABID

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The foregoing "Opposition to Appellant's Motion for Permission to File Reply to Fast Track Response, and to File Supplemental Appendix" in the above-captioned matter was served this date by mailing a true and correct copy thereof, via first class mail, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Radford J. Smith, Esq.
RADFORD SMITH CHTD.
2470 St. Rose Pkwy. Suite 206
Henderson, NV 89074
Attorney for Appellant
Lyudmyla Abid

Dated this 31st day of August, 2016 Chery Berdall

Cheryl Berdahl

an Employee of Black & LoBello