


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LYUDMYLA ABID,
Appellant,
vs.
SEAN ABID,
Respondent.

No. 69995

FILED

SEP 07 2016


TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

This appeal concerns child custody and is subject to the fast track provisions of NRAP 3E. Appellant has moved for leave to file a reply to respondent's fast track response and a supplemental appendix because NRAP 3E does not provide for the filing of a reply in child custody fast track appeals. The motion is opposed. Having considered the motion, we grant it. Respondent raised a new argument in his fast track response that the district court should have admitted tape recordings that the expert witness relied on. *See, e.g.*, NRAP 28(c) ("A reply brief . . . must be limited to answering any new matter set forth in the opposing brief.") Appellant shall have 14 days from the date of this order to file and serve a reply of no more than five pages. Failure to timely file the reply will be

treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply. Unless the court permits, no further briefs may be filed. *Id.*

It is so ORDERED.¹

 C.J.

cc: Radford J. Smith, Chtd. D/B/A Smith & Taylor
Black & LoBello

¹On August 30, 2016, this court entered an order to show cause directing appellant to demonstrate this court's jurisdiction in Docket No. 71042. We defer ruling on appellant's motion to consolidate these appeals pending our resolution of the jurisdictional issue.