Case No. 69997

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

MICHAEL FOLEY,

Appellant,

vs.

PATRICIA FOLEY,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Jun 22 2017 09:31 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL

from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County The Honorable REBECCA L. BURTON, District Judge District Court Case No. R-11-162425-R

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume 2 Pages 251-360

Daniel F. Polsenberg (SBN 2376) Abraham G. Smith (SBN 13,250) Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie Llp 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 949-8200

Attorneys for Appellant

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
01	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause	04/24/12	1	1–11
02	Notice of Forged Document Filed by Defendant Shera Bradley in <i>Foley v. Pont</i> , <i>et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF	06/11/12	1	12–30
03	Defendant Georgina Stuart's Notice of Request for In Camera Inspection, In Camera Submission of Exhibits, and Request for an Order Authorizing Release of Clark County Department of Family Services Records and Authorizing Release of Juvenile Court Records in Foley v. Pont, et al., Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	1	31–37
04	Defendant Georgina Stuart's Motion for Summary Judgment and Exhibit "A" in Foley v. Pont, et al., Case No. 2:11-CV- 1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	1	38–62
05	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	08/28/12	1	63–71
06	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	10/30/13	1	72–79
07	Emergency Ex Parte Motion for an Extraordinary Writ	11/06/13	1	80–83
08	Respondents' Appendix in Foley v. Gillespie, et al., Case No. 64351	12/03/13	1	84–103
09	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause – Pay or Stay	02/19/14	1	104–108
10	Petitioner's Motion to Supplement His Original Petition for Extraordinary Re- lief and to File an Appendix and Reply Brief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie</i> , et al., Case No.	05/14/14	1	109–149

	64351			
11	Supplement to Petition for Extraordinary Relief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie, et al.</i> , Case No. 64351	05/14/14	1	150–165
12	Order Denying Petition for Extraordinary Writ Relief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie, et al.</i> , Case No. 64351	05/14/14	1	166–168
13	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	08/08/14	1	169–171
14	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	08/11/14	1	172–183
15	Respondent's Response to the District Attorney's Motion to Modify Child Sup- port	12/08/14	1	184–189
16	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	12/09/14	1	190–196
17	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	01/28/15	1	197–201
18	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	04/15/15	1	202–215
19	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause – Pay or Stay	06/17/15	1	216–218
20	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	11/16/15	1	219–229
21	Objection to Master's Recommendation	11/24/15	1	230–231
22	Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation	11/26/15	1	232–233
23	Notice	01/13/16	1	234–235
24	Transcript Re: AM Pay Stays	01/15/16	1	236–239
25	Transcript Re: Objection – UIFSA	01/20/16	1	240–247
26	Notice of Appeal	03/17/16	1	248–250
			2	251–307
27	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	05/17/16	2	308–310

28	Master's Recommendation	06/08/16	2	311–314
29	Transcript Re: Review – HM	07/13/16	2	315–317
30	Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation	07/26/16	2	318–343
31	Case Summary	12/27/16	2	344–354
32	Register of Actions in <i>Foley v. Foley</i> , D-08-403071-D	06/19/17	2	355–360

Filed Under Seal

33	Exhibits "B"-"E" to Defendant Georgina Stuart's Motion for Summary Judgment in <i>Foley v. Pont, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV- 1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	3	361–434
34	Exhibits "A"-"B" to Defendant Georgina Stuart's Notice of Request for <i>In Camera</i> Inspection, <i>In Camera</i> Submission of Exhibits, and Request for an Order Authorizing Release of Clark County Department of Family Services Records and Authorizing Release of Juvenile Court Records in <i>Foley v. Pont, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	3	435–501

ALPHABETICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS TO APPENDIX

Tab	Document	Date	Vol.	Pages
31	Case Summary	12/27/16	2	344–354
04	Defendant Georgina Stuart's Motion for Summary Judgment and Exhibit "A" in Foley v. Pont, et al., Case No. 2:11-CV- 1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	1	38–62
03	Defendant Georgina Stuart's Notice of Request for In Camera Inspection, In Camera Submission of Exhibits, and Request for an Order Authorizing Release of Clark County Department of Family Services Records and Authorizing Release of Juvenile Court Records in Foley v. Pont, et al., Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF	08/07/12	1	31–37
07	Emergency Ex Parte Motion for an Extraordinary Writ	11/06/13	1	80–83
34	Exhibits "A"-"B" to Defendant Georgina Stuart's Notice of Request for <i>In Camera</i> Inspection, <i>In Camera</i> Submission of Exhibits, and Request for an Order Authorizing Release of Clark County Department of Family Services Records and Authorizing Release of Juvenile Court Records in <i>Foley v. Pont, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF (FILED UNDER SEAL)	08/07/12	3	435–501
33	Exhibits "B"-"E" to Defendant Georgina Stuart's Motion for Summary Judgment in <i>Foley v. Pont, et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV- 1769-ECR-VCF (FILED UNDER SEAL)	08/07/12	3	361–434

28	Master's Recommendation	06/08/16	2	311–314
23	Notice	01/13/16	1	234–235
26	Notice of Appeal	03/17/16	1	248–250
			2	251–307
02	Notice of Forged Document Filed by Defendant Shera Bradley in <i>Foley v. Pont</i> , <i>et al.</i> , Case No. 2:11-CV-1769-ECR-VCF	06/11/12	1	12–30
22	Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation	11/26/15	1	232–233
30	Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation	07/26/16	2	318–343
21	Objection to Master's Recommendation	11/24/15	1	230–231
12	Order Denying Petition for Extraordinary Writ Relief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie, et al.</i> , Case No. 64351	05/14/14	1	166–168
10	Petitioner's Motion to Supplement His Original Petition for Extraordinary Re- lief and to File an Appendix and Reply Brief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie, et al.</i> , Case No. 64351	05/14/14	1	109–149
32	Register of Actions in <i>Foley v. Foley</i> , D-08-403071-D	06/19/17	2	355–360
15	Respondent's Response to the District Attorney's Motion to Modify Child Sup- port	12/08/14	1	184–189
08	Respondents' Appendix in Foley v. Gillespie, et al., Case No. 64351	12/03/13	1	84–103
11	Supplement to Petition for Extraordinary Relief in <i>Foley v. Gillespie, et al.</i> , Case No. 64351	05/14/14	1	150–165
24	Transcript Re: AM Pay Stays	01/15/16	1	236–239

06	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	10/30/13	1	72–79
13	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	08/08/14	1	169–171
14	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	08/11/14	1	172–183
18	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	04/15/15	1	202–215
20	Transcript Re: In Custody Hearing	11/16/15	1	219–229
05	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	08/28/12	1	63–71
16	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	12/09/14	1	190–196
17	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	01/28/15	1	197–201
27	Transcript Re: Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support	05/17/16	2	308–310
25	Transcript Re: Objection – UIFSA	01/20/16	1	240–247
01	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause	04/24/12	1	1–11
09	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause – Pay or Stay	02/19/14	1	104–108
19	Transcript Re: Order to Show Cause – Pay or Stay	06/17/15	1	216–218
29	Transcript Re: Review – HM	07/13/16	2	315–317

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). vs.

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

\$ Location: \$ Judicial Officer: \$ Hearing Master: \$ Filed on:

Location: Department C
al Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.
ag Master: Teuton, Sylvia
Filed on: 05/09/2011

05/09/2011 Open

Appealed to Supreme Court In Forma Pauperis Granted

Case Number History:

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases Case Type: DA - UIFSA

D-08-403071-D (Linked - 1J1F)
D-13-486829-U (Related Family Case)
D-14-502988-C (Related Family Case)
T-13-152209-T (Linked - 1J1F)
T-14-159054-T (Related Family Case)

Status: Order After Hearing Required

Case

Warrants Order / Decree Logged Into Department

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.) 11/13/2015 8:23 AM Returned - Served

07/09/2015 4:17 PM Issued 06/17/2015 9:00 AM Pending

Fine: \$0 Bond: \$0

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

04/10/2015 10:42 AM Returned - Served

02/19/2015 3:41 PM Issued 01/29/2015 4:39 PM Pending

Fine: \$0 Bond: \$0

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Jones, Steven E)

08/07/2014 12:41 PM Returned - Served

03/12/2014 11:09 AM Issued 02/19/2014 9:00 AM Pending

Fine: \$0 Bond: \$0

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Jones, Steven E)

10/28/2013 4:35 PM Returned - Served

09/19/2012 4:17 PM Issued 08/28/2012 9:00 AM Pending

Fine: \$0 Bond: \$0

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case NumberR-11-162425-RCourtDepartment CDate Assigned01/05/2015Judicial OfficerBurton, Rebecca LHearing MasterTeuton, Sylvia

PARTY INFORMATION

Petitioner Foley, Patricia

8838 Tomnitz AVE UNIT 103 Las Vegas, NV 89187

Respondent Foley, Michael A

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

1122 Milpas AVE Las Vegas, NV 89135

Subject Minor

Foley, Elizabeth Anne

Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr.

Foley, Therese Marie

Other

Public by DAFS 1900 E Flamingo RD STE 100 Las Vegas, NV 89119-5168

Wolfson, Steven B Retained 702-671-9200(W)

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT
	EVENTS
05/09/2011	Notice and Finding: Financial Responsibility
03/01/2012	Order to Show Cause 04/24/12 03:25 PM
03/01/2012	Declaration in Support of Order to Show Cause Why Respondent Should Not Be Found in Contempt
03/01/2012	Declaration of Service Filed by: Other Public by DAFS For: Respondent Foley, Michael A
04/25/2012	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation 4/24/2012
04/26/2012	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS District Attorney's Notice of Motion & Motion To Modify Child Support 08/28/2012 9:00am
05/15/2012	Masters Recommendations and Order
05/18/2012	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 04/24/12
08/29/2012	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation 8/28/2012
09/19/2012	Masters Recommendations and Order
09/21/2012	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 08/28/2012
04/15/2013	Administrative Reassignment to Department C Case reassigned from Judge Robert Teuton Dept D
10/28/2013	Bench Warrant Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Issued 8/28/2012 Served 10/27/2013
10/31/2013	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation 10/30/2013
11/21/2013	Masters Recommendations and Order
11/25/2013	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. R-11-162425-R
	10/30/13
02/19/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation 2/19/2014
03/12/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order
03/13/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 02/19/14
08/07/2014	Bench Warrant Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Issued 2/19/2014 Served 8/06/2014
08/11/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
08/13/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
08/21/2014	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 12/09/2014 9: 15am
09/02/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Masters Recommendations and Order
09/02/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Masters Recommendations and Order
09/04/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 08/08/2014
09/09/2014	Notice of Withdrawal Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel
09/15/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 8/11/2014
12/08/2014	Response Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Respondent's Response To The District Attorney's Motion To Modify Child Support
12/09/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
12/24/2014	Notice of Hearing Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 02/18/15 02:15 PM
12/31/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 12/09/2014
12/31/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order
01/05/2015	

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. K-11-102425-K
	Judicial Elections 2014 - Case Reassignment Family Court Judicial Officer Reassignment 2014
01/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
02/19/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Masters Recommendations and Order
02/25/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 01/28/15
04/10/2015	Sench Warrant Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Issued: 01/28/15 Served: 04/09/15
04/16/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS 4/15/2015
05/07/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Masters Recommendations and Order
05/15/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 4/15/2015
06/17/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
07/01/2015	Application Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Request to File Objection
07/09/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order
07/14/2015	Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Filed by: Subject Minor Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr. Order
08/06/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 06/17/15
11/13/2015	Bench Warrant Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Issued 6/17/2015 Served 11/12/2015
11/17/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS 11/16/2015
11/24/2015	Objection Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Respondents Objection
11/24/2015	Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A For: Other Public by DAFS Certificate of Mailing for DA

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. R-11-162425-R
11/26/2015	Objection Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation
12/08/2015	Opposition Filed by: Other Public by DAFS DA Opposition to Respondent's Objection
12/09/2015	Clerk of the Courts Notice of Change of Hearing Notice of Change of Hearing for Objection
01/13/2016	Notice Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Notice
01/15/2016	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
01/20/2016	Notice Filed by: Other Public by DAFS NOTICE OF INTENT/REQUEST TO APPEAR BY COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT
01/20/2016	Notice Filed by: Other Public by DAFS NOTICE OF INTENT/REQUEST TO APPEAR BY COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT
01/28/2016	Order Following Objection Party: Other Public by DAFS ORDER FOLLOWING OBJECTION
02/01/2016	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S NOTICE OF MOTION & MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD SUPPORT
02/01/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
02/02/2016	Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Other Public by DAFS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
02/08/2016	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Masters Recommendations and Order
02/09/2016	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 01/15/16
02/22/2016	Order Following Objection Party: Other Public by DAFS Order Following Objection
02/24/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
03/17/2016	Notice of Appeal Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Notice of Appeal
03/17/2016	Case Appeal Statement

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A

DISPOSITIONS

05/15/2012

Master's Recommendation (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

Support (Child, Obligor: Foley, Michael A, Obligee: Foley, Patricia, On Behalf Of: Public by DAFS, \$16,739.98, One Time)

HEARINGS

04/24/2012

Order to Show Cause (3:25 PM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (08/28/2012 at 9:00 AM)

(Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued; Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney, Ed Ewert, Present. Both Parties Present and Sworn. COURT FINDS, Matter on for Order to Show Cause (OSC). DA reported that Respondent was paying through a wage withholding. The last payment was received October 2011. Respondent testified that was when he received his last pay. He lost his job in November. Respondent is currently working through a temporary service doing telephone surveys. Respondent earns \$5.75 per hour. Pay stub provided showing 9 hours worked. When asked if Respondent considered modifying Order he stated he was told by Family Court there would not be any more Orders. Respondent is provided a modification packet this date. Respondent addressed issues unrelated to this case. DA is requesting OSC continue. Respondent states he has been looking for employment. Respondent further states his sporadic employment history is causing his biggest problem to obtain employment as well as his inability to renew his real estate license until arrears are paid. DA seeking review in 90-120 days, Petitioner states Respondent is suing her and has spent \$10,000 on attorney fees that could have been paid on the child. Petitioner also claims Respondent is hiding money. She is to bring proof next date. MASTER RECOMMENDED, ARREARS through March 31, 2012 are \$13,711.22 (not including Penalty and Interest), Respondent shall PAY \$700 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. MISCELLANEOUS; Last payment was wage withholding received October 11, 2011. Respondent was unemployed and started a new job this week at \$9 per hour at 20 hours per week. He is working through a TEMP agency. Respondent was given a MOD packet today. He can pay a minimum of \$325 per month to avoid contempt beginning May 1, 2012. Respondent to bring a paystub next court date. Both parties are on notice of possible modification effective April 1, 2012, to be considered next date. Petitioner to bring health insurance information she provides for children next date, and any other employment information she has about Respondent.;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (08/28/2012 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

08/28/2012

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Warrant

09/19/2012 Inactive Bench Warrant

Bench Warrant Issued; Journal Entry Details:

DEPUTY DA: GERARD COSTANTIAN Petitioner Sworn and Testified. COURT FINDS: Today's hearing is a motion for a Review and Adjustment of Child Support. DA reported this is the Respondent's request. DA advised the Respondent is currently working through a temporary service, he earns \$9.00 per hour at 20 hours per week. The Petitioner provided her most recent paystub today in Open Court regarding the health insurance cost for just the minor child, the cost is \$157.00 per month and one half equals \$78.54 per month. The Petitioner requested to be notified once the Respondent has been arrested. MASTER RECOMMENDED. Respondent shall PAY \$700.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$804.00. PETITIONER SHALL PROVIDE

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

HEALTH INSURANCE for the minor child(ren), if available, through employer and PROOF of INSURANCE to DA within 90 days. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 5 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE STAYED.

BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$500.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent failed to appear. His request to modify is hereby DENIED, for failure to provide proof of income and hours worked. He can re-new his request at a later date. Respondent is to contribute to Petitioner's cost, at \$79.00 per month, beginning April 1, 2012. Respondent has failed to pay minimum amount requested to avoid contempt of court of \$325.00 per month.;

10/30/2013

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Davis, James)

MINUTES

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (02/19/2014 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

Contempt of Court,

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert Respondent, who participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), was sworn-in and testified. The DDA informed the Court that Respondent has a parallel Family Court case, D-08-403071-D, wherein Petitioner is pursuing an Order to Show Cause against Respondent as well. Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent testified that he was arrested on Sunday, October 27, 2013. Respondent also testified that he has over \$80.00 on the books. The DDA noted that Respondent's last payment was on July 12, 2013 through a wage withholding. Respondent then presented testimony regarding his employment situation. Respondent testified that he can accrue \$200.00 to be released from custody. Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent clarified that his last paycheck was approximately four (4) to six (6) weeks ago through a temporary agency. Respondent testified that he conducts side-jobs through a private party for income. Respondent estimated that he earns between \$100.00 and \$150.00 each week. Respondent also testified that he submits direct payments to Petitioner. The Court instructed Respondent to refrain from submitting direct payments to Petitioner. Respondent testified that he did not qualify for unemployment insurance benefits (UIB). Upon viewing Respondent's employment history, the DDA encouraged Respondent to still apply. The Court IMPOSED a SENTENCE and encouraged Respondent to apply for a modification. The Court also ORDERED Respondent to submit \$500.00 at the next hearing. MASTER RECOMMENDED; SENTENCE of 5 days is to be IMPOSED per PREVIOUS ORDER. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 04, 2013 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$300.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (02/19/2014 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

02/19/2014

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

MINUTES

Warrant

03/12/2014 Inactive Bench Warrant

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy DA: V. Monet Woods Petitioner Sworn and Testified. Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$500.00. The DA reported the Respondent was in custody last date. The Respondent's last payment was July 12, 2013 when the income withholding ended and \$28.00 was paid that date. The DA requested a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$804.00. The Petitioner noted the parties have a hearing on February 26 in Family Court. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$804.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. BENCH WARRANT;

08/08/2014

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued; Journal Entry Details:

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Deputy DA: Alec Raphael No Parties Present. Today's hearing is an In-Custody Review. The Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) Correctional Officer advised the Respondent was transported to their North Valley Complex and is not present for today's hearing. MASTER RECOMMENDED, matter CONTINUED.;

08/11/2014

CANCELED In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM)

Vacated

08/11/2014

CANCELED In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM)

Vacated

08/11/2014

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (12/09/2014 at 9:15 AM)

(Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Viveca M. Woods Attorney Aurora Maskall bar #6410 was present for the Respondent in an unbundled capacity. Respondent was sworn and testified via Video Conference from Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). Court noted Respondent MISSED court on February 19, 2014. DDA advised Responded was present in custody at the October 30, 2013 Hearing and given the February 2014 date. Payment history since November reported. Respondent was to bring \$500.00 at the February date. Bench Warrant was \$804.00. Counsel advised she has taken this case Pro-Bono. Respondent owns his own business and his income fluctuates. Respondent stated he earns \$800.00 to \$1,000.00 per month. There was a $colloquy\ regarding\ possible\ Modification\ and\ proof\ of\ income.\ DDA\ further\ advised$ Respondent was ARRESTED August 6, 2014. DDA requested half of the Bench Warrant as a release amount. Counsel requested Respondent be released. Statements made by DDA regarding an involuntary payment. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent shall PAY \$300.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month TEMPORARY MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$ 25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$404.00 EFFECTIVE August 1, 2014. PRIOR STAYED CONTEMPT SENTENCE of five (5) days ISSUED September 19, 2014 shall be IMPOSED with the remaining seventy (70) days STAYED. Respondent shall be RELEASED from CUSTODY on August 16, 2014 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$200.00. Respondent is to bring 2012 and 2013 Internal Revenue Services (IRS) tax returns, and profit and loss statement. District Attorney Family Support (DAFS) is to request updated Health Insurance information and to be addressed next court date.;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (12/09/2014 at 9:15 AM)

(Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

12/09/2014

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:15 AM) (Hearing

Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael Petitioner sworn and testified Court noted Respondent responded for request to Modify and had to be in District Court. Respondent Upon Court's inquiry, DDA reported Respondent's unbundled attorney withdrew. This Modification was initiated by the Court. Respondent is self-employed. He filed a response yesterday Pro-Per and requested a continuance. DDA further reported Respondent did self-report his Gross Monthly Income (GMI). DDA has no opposition to continue this hearing. Upon Court's inquiry, Petitioner testified Respondent posted his place of employment in computer repairs on Craigslist. Petitioner has printed copy to provide to DA's office today. Court printed out a copy of Respondent's response for Petitioner in OPEN COURT for her to review. DDA stated Respondent is under an order to show cause and requested a finding of contempt and a bench warrant. Court FINDS, DDA's request is hereby DENIED. MATTER CONTINUED as he has a documented appearance if US District Court on another matter scheduled for today at 10:00 AM. Respondent is ADMONISHED to pay monthly or face imposition of CONTEMPT. Respondent is self-employed. He is to bring copies of filed tax returns next court date for years 2012 and 2013 per NRS 125B.080. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Matter SET for REVIEW and ADJUSTMENT.;

01/28/2015

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (1:45 PM) (Judicial Officer: Davis, James)

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

R162425 02/18/2015 @ 2.15 PM CR#1

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael Petitioner Sworn and Testified. DDA reported this is a Review and Adjustment and Enforcement Review hearing. DDA reviewed case. After statements, DDA reported Respondent Gross Monthly Income (GMI) is \$2,512.95. Court calculated 29% of GMI to be \$729.00. Upon DDA's inquiry, Petitioner testified her monthly health insurance cost has remained the same since last hearing. DDA requested Medical support payment of \$79.00 per month continue. DDA requested Modification to be August 1, 2014. DDA further reported Respondent has self-reported his income of \$2,521.95 and has not made a payment since his jail release payment in August 13, 2014. DDA further requested a bench warrant and for future return date of February 18, 2015, be vacated MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$1,000.00. which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. REVIEW Hearing of February 18, 2015 is hereby VACATED.;

02/18/2015

CANCELED Return Hearing - HM (2:15 PM)

Vacated - per Judge

04/15/2015

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patricia Ross Respondent, In-Custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). DDA stated Respondent failed to appear to his January 28, 2015 hearing, and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$1,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested April 09, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent requested appointed counsel for himself. Respondent stated it was unlawful to detain him and made several arguments on why he should not be in jail. DDA noted Respondent has a business. The Court address all of the Respondent's arguments and denied request for appointed counsel. MASTER RECOMMENDED: Respondent shall PAY \$729.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT and \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month on ARREARS for a TOTAL MONTHLY PAYMENT of \$833.00. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES ISSUED May 15, 2012 shall be SERVED as follows: 19 Days IMPOSED 1 Day STAYED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES STAYED. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on May 04, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$900.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent must bring or have paid \$1,666.00.;

06/17/2015

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Conant, Lynn)

MINUTES

Warrant

07/09/2015 Inactive Bench Warrant

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patti Ross No Parties Present. Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$1,666.00. The DDA reviewed the case. The Respondent has made no payments. The DDA requested a finding of Contempt and a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$2,000.00. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center for FAILURE TO APPEAR AND PAY. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. BENCH WARRANT;

11/16/2015

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert Respondent, in custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). DDA stated Respondent failed to appear for his June 17, 2015 hearing and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$2,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested November 12, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00 jail release. DDA noted \$4,165.00 has come due. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent testified he last worked last

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

week. Respondent stated when he is working he earns \$275.00 per week. Respondent stated he has \$119.00 on his books. MASTER RECOMMENDED: PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE ISSUED November 21, 2013 shall be SERVED as follows: 10 Days IMPOSED. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 22, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent is to pay \$833.00 for the December payment next date to avoid Contempt.;

01/15/2016

AM Pay Stays (8:30 AM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

RESPONDENT TO PAY \$833.00; R162425 01/20/16 @, 10:00 AM

Matter Continued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Edward Ewert, present. No parties present. DDA reported, objection pending in Family Court, Department C, on 1/20/2016 at 10 a.m. MASTER RECOMMENDED, MATTER CONTINUED.;

01/20/2016

🔯 Objection - UIFSA (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

MINUTES

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

OBJECTION - UIFSA Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Robert Gardner, bar number 6983, present and participated TELEPHONICALLY. Court reviewed the case. Court NOTED, Respondent's non-appearance for today's hearing. Arguments by DDA. COURT ORDERED, based on the Points and Authorities set forth in the District Attorney's Opposition to Respondent's Objection filed on December 8, 2015, shall be ADOPTED. Furthermore, Respondent's Objections filed on November 24, 2015, and November 26, 2015, shall be DENIED. DDA, Gardner shall prepare the Order from today s hearing.;

05/17/2016

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:00 AM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

07/13/2016

Review - HM (2.15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Electronically Filed 02/08/2016 11:00:07 AM

	MRAO
1	STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar No. 001565 FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
_,	THE MET SOLITOR DIVISION
2	1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200
3	TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A District Court
4	<u>CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA</u>
5	
	Patricia Foley,
6)
_	Petitioner, Case No. R-11-162425-R
7	vs.
8) Department No. CHILD SUPPORT
	Michael Foley,)
9	Respondent.)
10	
IA	MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
11	This matter having been heard on JANUARY 15, 2016 before the undersigned Hearing Master, having considered all the
	evidence and having been fully advised in the premises, hereby makes the following Findings and Recommendations:
12	
13	Parties present: Respondent Respondent's attorney Petitioner Petitioner's attorney
	☐ PATERNITY ☑ PATERNITY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED
14	STREAM CHAIR S CONTENED TO SEPTENCE TO SEPTENCE TO PRIOR ENLANCE A CONTENED S
1 =	☐ FINANCIALS: ☐ CONTINUE PRIOR ORDERS (NO CHANGE TO PRIOR FINANCIAL ORDERS).
15	Respondent's INCOME SHALL BE WITHHELD for the payment of support.
16	Good cause to stay income withholding is based on: Said withholding shall be postponed until Respondent
	becomes delinquent in an amount equal to 30 days support.
17	☐ ENFORCEMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: The registered order from, dated, #, is hereby confirmed and is the controlling order for the following reasons: ☐ only order
18	☐ ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: This is the first order establishing a child support obligation for this
	noncustodial parent for the child(ren) listed in this order who reside(s) with this custodian.
19	Respondent is referred to Employment Services for an appointment on at AM.
20	Health insurance coverage for the minor child(ren) herein:
20	 ☐ Respondent to provide: ☐ Petitioner to provide, excluding Medicaid: ☐ Both Parties to provide: ☐ if available through employer. ☐ shall provide per court order.
21	☐ Shari provide per court order. ☐ Ordered Party(ies) to provide proof of said insurance to the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division
22	within 90 days of today's date.
22	
23	☑ CONTEMPT OF COURT ☐ NOT A SHOW CAUSE HEARING
_	☐ RESPONDENT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE CONCERNING CONTEMPT.
24	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE CONTINUED TO NEXT COURT DATE.
25	Respondent is hereby found in Contempt of Court and sentenced to days in the Clark County Detention Center; this sentence shall be stayed until the next court date.
	The following sentence(s) shall be stayed/continued to the next court date unless imposed or vacated today:
26	Sentence of 16 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 11/21/13 is imposed vacated stayed
ا ہے	Sentence of <u>25</u> days in the Clark County Detention Center issued <u>03/12/14</u> isimposed vacatedstayed
27	Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 02/19/15 is imposed vacated stayed
28	Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 07/09/15 is imposed vacated stayed
_	

- 1	
1	Respondent is recommended for the day arrest program on
_	Respondent to be released from custody on
2	☐ Respondent may be released from the above sentence immediately upon payment of \$ to be released to
3	Petitioner as child support.
	□ NO BAIL BENCH WARRANT HEREBY ISSUED FOR THE ARREST OF RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT MAY BE RELEASED UPON PAYMENT OF \$ TO BE RELEASED TO PETITIONER AS CHILD
4	SUPPORT. Where circumstances justify a sufficient basis, the District Attorney may administratively quash or
5	recall the bench warrant.
6	☐ BENCH WARRANT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED IS HEREBY ☐ QUASHED. ☐ CONTINUED. ☐ MODIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDER:
7	□ SUSPENSION OF LICENSES:
8	PAYMENTS
_	All mailed payments MUST be made in the form of a cashier's check, money order or business check ONLY, made
9	payable to State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU). If payments are made in person, cash or debit card are
10	also accepted.
11	Payments can be mailed to:
11	State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)
12	P.O. Box 98950 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8950
13	Das Vegas, Nevada 07175-0750
	Payments can be made in person at:
14	State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU) 1900 East Flamingo Road
15	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168
اید	
16	Additionally, the following information must be included with each payment: name (first, middle, last) of person responsible for paying child support, social security number of person responsible for paying child support, child
	responsible for paying child supports social security number of person responsible for paying child supports child
17	support case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receiving child support).
	support case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receiving child support). NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER.
17 18 19	
18 19	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay
18 19 20	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full
18 19 20 21	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the
18 19 20 21 22	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order,
18 19 20 21 22 23	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced.
18 19 20 21 22 23	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this
18	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168. NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168. NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final Order/Judgment being ordered by District Court. However, the Master's Recommendation is not an Order/Judgment unless
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER. NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168. NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final

1990 East Flamingo Road #190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

1 2	NOTICE: Appeal from a Final Judgment by the Court is governed by NRAP 4 and must be filed within 30 days of written Notice of Entry of Judgment.		
3 4	NOTICE: Respondent is responsible for notifying the District Attorney, Family Support Division, of any change of address, change of employment, health insurance coverage, change of custody, or any order relative to child support within ten (10) days of such change.		
5	Respondent to bring new financial statement and proof of income next date.		
6	This order does not stay collection of support arrears by execution or any other means allowed by law.		
7	**************************************		
8	No hearing on the merits today as Respondent has filed an objection to prior master's recommendations from the hearing of 11/16/15. That objection is scheduled to be heard by Judge Burton on 01/20/16 at 10 a.m.		
9	NEXT HEARING DATE IS <u>July 13, 2016 at 2:15 PM</u> in Courtroom <u>1</u> in Child Support Court		
10	at Child Support Center of Southern Nevada, 1900 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, for further proceedings.		
11			
12	DATED: _JANUARY 15, 2016		
13	MASTER		
14			
15	Respondent/Respondent's Attorney Receipt of this document is		
16	acknowledged by my signature.		
17	ORDER/JUDGMENT		
18	The Clerk of the Court having reviewed the District Court's file and having determined that no objection has been filed within the ten day objection period, the Master's Recommendation is hereby deemed approved by the District Court		
19	pursuant to NRS 425.3844. The affixing of the Clerk of the Court's file stamp to this Master's Recommendation signifies that the ten-day objection period has expired without an objection having been filed and that the District Court deems the		
20	Master's Recommendation to be approved as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court, effective with the file stamp date, without need of a District Court Judge's signature affixed hereto. The parties are ordered to comply with this		
21	Order/Judgment.		
22	The District Court, having reviewed the above and foregoing Master's Recommendation, and having received and considered the objection thereto, as well as any other papers, testimony and argument related thereto and good cause		
23	appearing,		
24	☐ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS affirmed and adopted as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court this day of, <u>20</u>		
25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS NOT affirmed and adopted this day of, 20 and this matter is remanded to Child Support Court on, 20 at		
26	,		
27			
	District Court Judge, Family Division		
28	District Court Judge, Family Division		

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 001565 Family Support Division 1900 East Flamingo Road #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired)

Nevada Bar No. 001565

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION

1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

By:

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 601565 Family Support Division 1906 East Flamthgo Road #160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

			02/09/2016 09:12:51 AM
1	NEJ Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 001565		Alun & Chim
2	Family Support Division 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100		CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired)		ore me doom
4	294910200A		
5			
6	DISTRICT C	COURT	
7	CLARK COUNTY,	, NEVADA	
8			
9	Patricia Foley,		
10	Petitioner,)	Case no.	R-11-162425-R
11	vs.	Dept. no.	CHILD SUPPORT
12	Michael Foley,		
13) D		
14	Respondent.		
15	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF OF	RDER/JUDGM	<u>ENT</u>
16	To: MICHAEL FOLEY, Respondent		
17			
18	Please take notice that the enclosed order/judgment again	nst the responde	ent MICHAEL FOLEY was
19	entered in the above-entitled matter on January 15, 2016.		
20			
21	<u>CERTIFICATE OF</u>	<u>MAILING</u>	
22	The foregoing Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment was se	erved upon Mic	hael Anthony Foley by mailing
23	a copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid to 209 S	Stephanie, Ste	B-191, Henderson, NV 89012,
24	on the 8th day of February, 2016.		
25			
26		Kathrine Belnee	
27		ployee, District nily Support Div	Attorney's Office vision
28			

	000 Electronically Filed 02/22/2016 02:37:52 PM
éresi	ORO
2	STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Navada Par No. 001555
3	Nevada Bar No. 001565 FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 CLERK OF THE COURT
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168. (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A
5	DISTRICT COURT
۰ 6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	Patricia Foley,
9) Case no. R-11-162425-R Petitioner,)
10	vs. Dept. no. C/CHILD SUPPORT
11	Michael Foley,
12	Respondent.
13	
14	ORDER FOLLOWING OBJECTION
15	This matter having come on for a hearing this 20 th day of January, 2016, on the Objection of
16	the: Respondent Petitioner District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division,
17	(hereinafter, "DAFS"), to the Master's Recommendations from the Child Support Court hearing
18	held on the 16 th day of November, 2015,
19	Respondent being not present present in proper person
20	Petitioner being present not present and
21	Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, being present by and through Deputy District
22	Attorney, ROBERT J. GARDNER, Esq., whom appeared telephonically,
23	The Court having reviewed the pleadings and papers herein and having heard argument
24	AND GOOD CAUSE THEREFORE APPEARING, this Court hereby enters the following findings,
25	conclusions and orders:
26	This Court concludes and orders that Respondent's objections filed on 11/24/2015 and 11-26-
27	2015 are DENIED, there was no clear error, and the Points and Authorities set forth in the District
28	Attorney's Opposition to Respondent's Objection filed on December 8, 2015 shall be ADOPTED;
***************************************	COPY

additionally the Court noted that the NRS Respondent relied on his notice of non-appearance was misplaced and not related to child support proceedings, the Respondent has the ability to pay and there is an indication of possible willful underemployment. Finally, the Court finds in this case there is no right to an appointed attorney for civil contempt proceedings. A RETURN HEARING IS already SET for JULY 13, 2016 AT 2:15PM IN DEPT 1. The Masters Recommendation and Order is attached as Exhibit 1, and is hereby affirmed.

DATED this 2 day of 10 20

REBECCA BURTON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted By:

Robert J. Dordon

ROBERT J. GARDNER, ESQ. 1/25/2016 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Nevada Bar No. 0006983

FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION

1900 East Flamingo Rd., Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

(702) 671-9200

EXHIBIT 1

	L	
0002	R	C
UUUZ	u	c

_
σ
ധ
S
C
=
C
\succeq

1 2 3 4	MRAO STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar No. 001565 FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A District Court CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
5 6 7 8 9	Patricia Foley, Petitioner,) Case No. R-11-162425-R vs. Department No. CHILD SUPPORT Michael Foley, Respondent.
10	MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This matter having been heard on NOVEMBER 16, 2015 before the undersigned Hearing Master, having considered all the evidence and having been fully advised in the premises, hereby makes the following Findings and Recommendations: Parties present: Respondent Respondent's attorney Petitioner Petitioner's attorney PATERNITY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED FINANCIALS: CONTINUE PRIOR ORDERS (NO CHANGE TO PRIOR FINANCIAL ORDERS). Respondent's gross monthly income (GMI); formula amount for GMI= Basis for deviation from state formula: Respondent is to pay current support for the child(ren), Michael Foley, Elizabeth Foley, Therese Foley. CHILD SUPPORT Respondent is to pay monthly: \$729.00 child support medical support (in lieu of health insurance) spousal support \$25.00 arrears payment ARREARAGES ARREARAGES NOT ADDRESSED AT THIS HEARING TOTAL monthly payment is due on the 1st day of each month, and continues thereafter until said child(ren) reach majority, become emancipated or further order of the Court.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Respondent's INCOME SHALL BE WITHHELD for the payment of support. Good cause to stay income withholding is based on:, Said withholding shall be postponed until Respondent becomes delinquent in an amount equal to 30 days support. ENFORCEMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: The registered order from, dated, #, is hereby confirmed and is the controlling order for the following reasons: only order, ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: This is the first order establishing a child support obligation for this noncustodial parent for the child(ren) listed in this order who reside(s) with this custodian. Respondent is referred to Employment Services for an appointment on at AM. Health insurance coverage for the minor child(ren) herein: Respondent to provide: \(\text{ Petitioner to provide, excluding Medicaid: } \text{ Both Parties to provide: } \) if available through employer shall provide per court order.

1		\boxtimes	Ordered Party(ies) to provide proof of said insurance to the District Attorwithin 90 days of today's date.	ney's Office, Family Support Division
2			_	
3			TEMPT OF COURT	ЕМРТ.
		\boxtimes	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE CONTINUED TO NEXT COURT DATE.	
4			Respondent is hereby found in Contempt of Court and sentenced to Center; this sentence shall be stayed until the next court date.	_ days in the Clark County Detention
5		\boxtimes	The following sentence(s) shall be stayed/continued to the next court date unl	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6			Sentence of 26 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 11/21/13 is Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 03/12/14 is	10 imposed vacated stayed
_			Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 03/12/14 is Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 02/19/15 is	
7			Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 07/09/15 is	
8			Respondent is recommended for the day arrest program on	
			Respondent to be released from custody on 11/22/15.	
9		×	Respondent may be released from the above sentence immediately upon p Petitioner as child support.	ayment of \$2,000.00 to be released to
10			BAIL BENCH WARRANT HEREBY ISSUED FOR THE ARREST CAY BE RELEASED UPON PAYMENT OF \$ TO BE RELEASED	
11		SU	PPORT. Where circumstances justify a sufficient basis, the District Atte all the bench warrant.	
12		rec	an the bench warrant.	
13			NCH WARRANT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED IS HEREBY QUASHE DIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDER:	D. CONTINUED.
14		SUS	PENSION OF LICENSES:	
15	1		IENTS	
16	pay	able	led payments MUST be made in the form of a cashier's check, money ordeto State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU). If payments are ma	
17	also	acc	repted.	
18	Pay	yme	ents can be mailed to:	
ro			State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)	
19			P.O. Box 98950 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8950	
20			Las vegas, Nevaua 03133-0330	
	Pag	yme	ents can be made in person at:	
21			State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU) 1900 East Flamingo Road	
22			Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168	
23			nally, the following information must be included with each payment: nar	
24			ible for paying child support, social security number of person responsible case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receive	
25	NO	TIC	E: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY	Y TO THE PETITIONER.
26	NO	TIC	E: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN	IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.
27			E: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with	
28			99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through	
	Steven	B. Wolf	son, District Attorney, Nevnda Bar No. 601565	

Family Support Division 1990 East Flamingo Road #190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 $(792)\ 671\text{-}9209 - TDD\ (702)\ 385\text{-}7486\ (for the hearing impaired)}$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced. NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168. **NOTICE:** Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final Order/Judgment being ordered by District Court. However, the Master's Recommendation is not an Order/Judgment unless signed and filed by a Judge. NOTICE: Appeal from a Final Judgment by the Court is governed by NRAP 4 and must be filed within 30 days of written Notice of Entry of Judgment. **NOTICE:** Respondent is responsible for notifying the District Attorney, Family Support Division, of any change of address, change of employment, health insurance coverage, change of custody, or any order relative to child support within ten (10) days of such change. Respondent to bring new financial statement and proof of income next date. This order does not stay collection of support arrears by execution or any other means allowed by law. ******** MISCELLANEOUS FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATIONS: DUE – June through October = 5 mo = \$4,165.00. PAY – Last payment was \$200 jail release paid 8/13/14. DA to verify whether Therese is still in high school. Pay/stay: R is to pay \$833 for the December pmt next date to avoid contempt. NEXT HEARING DATE IS <u>1/15/2016 at 8:30 AM</u> in Courtroom _ in Child Support Court at Child Support Center of Southern Nevada, 1900 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, for further proceedings. THE TOTAL DATED: NOVEMBER 16, 2015 MASTER In Custody Respondent/Respondent's Attorney Receipt of this document is acknowledged by my signature. ORDER/JUDGMENT The Clerk of the Court having reviewed the District Court's file and having determined that no objection has been filed within the ten day objection period, the Master's Recommendation is hereby deemed approved by the District Court

Steven B. Wolfsen, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 001565 Family Support Division 1900 East Flamingo Road #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

pursuant to NRS 425.3844. The affixing of the Clerk of the Court's file stamp to this Master's Recommendation signifies

0272
``
\sim
``
\sim
\simeq

1	Master's Recommendation to be approved as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court, effective with the file stamp
2	date, without need of a District Court Judge's signature affixed hereto. The parties are ordered to comply with this Order/Judgment.
3	The District Court, having reviewed the above and foregoing Master's Recommendation, and having received and
4	considered the objection thereto, as well as any other papers, testimony and argument related thereto and good cause appearing,
5	☐ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS affirmed and adopted as an
6	ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court this day of, 20
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS NOT affirmed and adopted this day of, 20 and this matter is remanded to Child Support Court on, 20 atM.
8	
9	District Court Judge, Family Division
10	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 001565
L1	
12	By:
	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 601565 Family Support Division 1906 East Flamthgo Road #160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION

1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

	02/24/2016 11:46:17 AM
1	NEOJ STEVEN B. WOLFSON
2	DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar No. 001565
3	FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	Patricia Foley,
9) Case no. R-11-162425-R Petitioner,)
10	vs.) Dept. no. C/CHILD SUPPORT) AMENDED to include Exhibit 1
11	Michael Foley,
12	Respondent.
13	
14	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
15	TO: PATRICIA FOLEY, Plaintiff
16	TO: MICHAEL FOLEY, Defendant
17	YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 22 nd day of
18	February, 2016 an Order Following Objection was entered in the above-entitled matter, a copy of
19	which is attached to this Notice.
20	Dated 24 th day of February, 2016.
21	Steven B. Wolfson Clark County District Attorney
22	Nevada Bar No. 001565
23	Robert J. Dardon
24	Chief Deputy District Attorney
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERT

Case no. R-11-162425-R

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The foregoing Notice of Entry of Order was served upon Michael Anthony Foley by mailing a copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid to:

MICHAEL ANTHONY FOLEY 209 S STEPHANIE SUITE B-191 ST **HENDERSON NV 89012**

on the 24th day of February, 2016.

Employee, District Attorney's Office Family Support Division

1	CERT Case no. R-11-162425-R
2	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
3	The foregoing Notice of Entry of Order was served upon Patricia Foley by mailing a
4	copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid to:
5	
6 7	Patricia Foley 8937 Austin Ridge Ave Las Vegas, NV 89178
8	on the 24 th day of February, 2016.
9	
10	The state of the s
11	Employee, District Attorney's Office Family Support Division
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EXHIBIT 1

0	0	0	2	7	7
v	v	v	_	,	•

/	
\sim	
င	
S)
_	,

1 2 3 4	MRAO STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar No. 001565 FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A District Court CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
5 6 7 8 9	Patricia Foley, Petitioner, Vs. Department No. CHILD SUPPORT Michael Foley, Respondent.
10	MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 120 121	This matter having been heard on NOVEMBER 16, 2015 before the undersigned Hearing Master, having considered all the evidence and having been fully advised in the premises, hereby makes the following Findings and Recommendations: Parties present: Respondent Respondent's attorney Petitioner Petitioner's attorney PATERNITY PATERNITY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED FINANCIALS: CONTINUE PRIOR ORDERS (NO CHANGE TO PRIOR FINANCIAL ORDERS). Respondent's gross monthly income (GMI) ; formula amount % of GMI= Basis for deviation from state formula: Respondent is to pay current support for the child(ren), Michael Foley, Elizabeth Foley, Therese Foley. CHILD SUPPORT Respondent is to pay monthly: \$729.00 child support medical support (in lieu of health insurance) spousal support medical support arrears payment ARREARAGES ARREARAGES NOT ADDRESSED AT THIS HEARING TOTAL monthly payment is due on the 1st day of each month, and continues thereafter until said child(ren) reach majority, become emancipated or further order of the Court.
222 223 224 225 226 227 228	Respondent's INCOME SHALL BE WITHHELD for the payment of support. Good cause to stay income withholding is based on:

	1				
1			ered Party(ies) to provide proof of said insurance to the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division in 90 days of today's date.		
2					
3		RES	IPT OF COURT □ NOT A SHOW CAUSE HEARING PONDENT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE CONCERNING CONTEMPT.		
4	_	_ Res _l	DER TO SHOW CAUSE CONTINUED TO NEXT COURT DATE. pondent is hereby found in Contempt of Court and sentenced to days in the Clark County Detention		
5			ter; this sentence shall be stayed until the next court date. following sentence(s) shall be stayed/continued to the next court date unless imposed or vacated today:		
6			ence of <u>26</u> days in the Clark County Detention Center issued <u>11/21/13</u> is <u>10</u> imposed <u>u</u> vacated <u>stayed</u>		
			ence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 03/12/14 is imposed vacatedstayed		
7			ence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 02/19/15 is imposed vacated stayed		
8	_		ence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 07/09/15 isimposed vacated stayed		
0	l	_	pondent is recommended for the day arrest program on pondent to be released from custody on 11/22/15.		
9			pondent to be released from custody on $\underline{11722115}$.		
10	_	Peti	tioner as child support.		
			IL BENCH WARRANT HEREBY ISSUED FOR THE ARREST OF RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT BE RELEASED UPON PAYMENT OF \$ TO BE RELEASED TO PETITIONER AS CHILD		
11	S	UPPO	RT. Where circumstances justify a sufficient basis, the District Attorney may administratively quash or		
12	r	ecall th	e bench warrant.		
13			WARRANT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED IS HEREBY QUASHED. CONTINUED. CATION OF PRIOR ORDER:		
14	□ St	JSPEN	SION OF LICENSES:		
15	PAY	MEN	TS		
16	All mailed payments MUST be made in the form of a cashier's check, money order or business check ONLY, made				
		ole to S ccepted	tate Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU). If payments are made in person, cash or debit card are d.		
17	Dorin	nanta	on he mailed to		
18	Payn	nems	can be mailed to: State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)		
19			P.O. Box 98950		
LY			Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8950		
20	Pavn	nents	can be made in person at:		
21	1 431	1101110	State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)		
			1900 East Flamingo Road		
22			Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168		
23			, the following information must be included with each payment: name (first, middle, last) of person		
24	responsible for paying child support, social security number of person responsible for paying child support, child support case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receiving child support).				
25	NOT	ICE: N	O CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER.		
26	NOT	ICE: P	RIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.		
	NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant				
27 28	to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full				
	Steven B. V	Volfson, Distr	ict Attorney, Nevada Bar No. +01565		

Family Support Division 1990 East Flamingo Road #190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 $(792)\ 671\text{-}9209 - TDD\ (702)\ 385\text{-}7486\ (for the hearing impaired)}$

2 3	the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced.								
4 5	NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for the purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168.								
6 7	NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final Order/Judgment being ordered by District Court. However, the Master's Recommendation is not an Order/Judgment unless signed and filed by a Judge.								
8 9	NOTICE: Appeal from a Final Judgment by the Court is governed by NRAP 4 and must be filed within 30 days of written Notice of Entry of Judgment.								
10 11	NOTICE: Respondent is responsible for notifying the District Attorney, Family Support Division, of any change of address, change of employment, health insurance coverage, change of custody, or any order relative to child support within ten (10) days of such change.								
12	Respondent to bring new financial statement and proof of income next date.								
13	This order does not stay collection of support arrears by execution or any other means allowed by law.								
14 15	**************************************								
16	DA to verify whether Therese is still in high school.								
	Pay/stay: R is to pay \$833 for the December pmt next date to avoid contempt.								
17 18 19	NEXT HEARING DATE IS <u>1/15/2016</u> at 8:30 AM in Courtroom _ in Child Support Court at Child Support Center of Southern Nevada, 1900 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, for further proceedings.								
20	DATED: NOVEMBER 16, 2015								
21	MASTER								
22									
23	Respondent/Respondent's Attorney								
24 25	Receipt of this document is acknowledged by my signature.								
26	ORDER/JUDGMENT								
27	The Clerk of the Court having reviewed the District Court's file and having determined that no objection has been filed								
28	within the ten day objection period, the Master's Recommendation is hereby deemed approved by the District Court pursuant to NRS 425.3844. The affixing of the Clerk of the Court's file stamp to this Master's Recommendation signifies								
	I a name name name name name name name na								

Steven B. Wolfson, District Atto Family Support Division 1990 East Flamingo Road #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 $(762)\ 671-9209 - TDD\ (702)\ 385-7486\ (for\ the\ hearing\ impaired)$

\subset)
α	כ
0	J
Ċ)
\subset)
\subset	
	000000

1 2	that the ten-day objection period has expired without an objection having been filed and that the District Court deems the Master's Recommendation to be approved as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court, effective with the file stamp date, without need of a District Court Judge's signature affixed hereto. The parties are ordered to comply with this
3	Order/Judgment. The District Court, having reviewed the above and foregoing Master's Recommendation, and having received and considered the objection thereto, as well as any other papers, testimony and argument related thereto and good cause
5	appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS affirmed and adopted as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court this day of, 20
7	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS NOT affirmed and adopted this day of, 20 and this matter is remanded to Child Support Court on, 20 atM.
8	District Court Judge, Family Division
10	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 001565
11	5050
12	By: DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
13 14	1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 601565 Family Support Division 1906 East Flamthgo Road #160 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 $(792)\ 671-9209 - TDD\ (702)\ 385-7486\ (for\ the\ hearing\ impaired)$

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES April 24, 2012

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

vs. Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

April 24, 2012 3:25 PM Order to Show Cause

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Gloria Mackaly

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, present

Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Patricia Foley, Petitioner, present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy District Attorney, Ed Ewert, Present.

Both Parties Present and Sworn.

COURT FINDS, Matter on for Order to Show Cause (OSC). DA reported that Respondent was paying through a wage withholding. The last payment was received October 2011. Respondent testified that was when he received his last pay. He lost his job in November. Respondent is currently working through a temporary service doing telephone surveys. Respondent earns \$5.75 per hour. Pay stub provided showing 9 hours worked. When asked if Respondent considered modifying Order he stated he was told by Family Court there would not be any more Orders. Respondent is provided a modification packet this date. Respondent addressed issues unrelated to this case. DA is requesting OSC continue. Respondent states he has been looking for employment. Respondent further states his sporadic employment history is causing his biggest problem to obtain employment as well as his inability to renew his real estate license until arrears are paid. DA seeking review in 90 -120 days. Petitioner states Respondent is suing her and has spent \$10,000 on attorney fees that could have been paid on the child. Petitioner also claims Respondent is hiding money. She is to bring proof next date.

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 1 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	----------------

MASTER RECOMMENDED, ARREARS through March 31, 2012 are \$13,711.22 (not including Penalty and Interest). Respondent shall PAY \$700 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.

MISCELLANEOUS; Last payment was wage withholding received October 11, 2011. Respondent was unemployed and started a new job this week at \$9 per hour at 20 hours per week. He is working through a TEMP agency. Respondent was given a MOD packet today. He can pay a minimum of \$325 per month to avoid contempt beginning May 1, 2012. Respondent to bring a paystub next court date. Both parties are on notice of possible modification effective April 1, 2012, to be considered next date. Petitioner to bring health insurance information she provides for children next date, and any other employment information she has about Respondent.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette

Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per Judge Greystone Courtroom #1 White, Melinda Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 2 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012	

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES DA - UIFSA August 28, 2012 R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). Michael A Foley, Respondent(s). Motion for Review and August 28, 2012 9:00 AM Adjustment of Child Support

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Melinda White

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, present

Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEPUTY DA: GERARD COSTANTIAN

Petitioner Sworn and Testified.

COURT FINDS: Today's hearing is a motion for a Review and Adjustment of Child Support. DA reported this is the Respondent's request. DA advised the Respondent is currently working through a temporary service, he earns \$9.00 per hour at 20 hours per week. The Petitioner provided her most recent paystub today in Open Court regarding the health insurance cost for just the minor child, the cost is \$157.00 per month and one half equals \$78.54 per month. The Petitioner requested to be notified once the Respondent has been arrested.

MASTER RECOMMENDED. Respondent shall PAY \$700.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$804.00.

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 3 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	----------------

PETITIONER SHALL PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE for the minor child(ren), if available, through employer and PROOF of INSURANCE to DA within 90 days.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 5 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE STAYED. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$500.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent failed to appear. His request to modify is hereby DENIED, for failure to provide proof of income and hours worked. He can re-new his request at a later date. Respondent is to contribute to Petitioner's cost, at \$79.00 per month, beginning April 1, 2012. Respondent has failed to pay minimum amount requested to avoid contempt of court of \$325.00 per month.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1

Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1

Bergren, Jeanette

Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per

Judge

Greystone Courtroom #1

White, Melinda

Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 4 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012	

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES October 30, 2013

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

vs.

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

October 30, 2013 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

HEARD BY: Davis, James COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Mark Fernandez

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert

Respondent, who participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), was sworn-in and testified.

The DDA informed the Court that Respondent has a parallel Family Court case, D-08-403071-D, wherein Petitioner is pursuing an Order to Show Cause against Respondent as well. Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent testified that he was arrested on Sunday, October 27, 2013. Respondent also testified that he has over \$80.00 on the books. The DDA noted that Respondent's last payment was on July 12, 2013 through a wage withholding. Respondent then presented testimony regarding his employment situation. Respondent testified that he can accrue \$200.00 to be released from custody.

Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent clarified that his last paycheck was approximately four (4) to six (6) weeks ago through a temporary agency. Respondent testified that he conducts side-jobs through a private party for income. Respondent estimated that he earns between \$100.00 and \$150.00 each week. Respondent also testified that he submits direct payments to Petitioner. The Court instructed Respondent to refrain from submitting direct payments to Petitioner.

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 5 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
-------------	------------	--------------	---------------	----------------

Respondent testified that he did not qualify for unemployment insurance benefits (UIB). Upon viewing Respondent's employment history, the DDA encouraged Respondent to still apply. The Court IMPOSED a SENTENCE and encouraged Respondent to apply for a modification. The Court also ORDERED Respondent to submit \$500.00 at the next hearing.

MASTER RECOMMENDED; SENTENCE of 5 days is to be IMPOSED per PREVIOUS ORDER.

Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 04, 2013 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$300.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1

Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per

Judge

Greystone Courtroom #1

White, Melinda Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016	Page 6 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012	i
------------------------	--------------	---------------	----------------	---

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

COURT MINUTES DA - UIFSA February 19, 2014 R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

Order to Show Cause -February 19, 2014 9:00 AM Pay or Stay

> Lok, Merle K. **COURTROOM:** Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Cherisse Lamb

PARTIES:

HEARD BY:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy DA: V. Monet Woods

Petitioner Sworn and Testified.

Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$500.00. The DA reported the Respondent was in custody last date. The Respondent's last payment was July 12, 2013 when the income withholding ended and \$28.00 was paid that date. The DA requested a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$804.00. The Petitioner noted the parties have a hearing on February 26 in Family Court.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.

BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$804.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

BENCH WARRANT

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 7 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1

Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated

Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per

Judge

Greystone Courtroom #1

White, Melinda Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 8 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24, 2012	
--	--

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES August 08, 2014

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

August 08, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Cherisse Lamb

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Deputy DA: Alec Raphael

No Parties Present.

Today's hearing is an In-Custody Review. The Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) Correctional Officer advised the Respondent was transported to their North Valley Complex and is not present for today's hearing.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, matter CONTINUED.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

DEDICATE DATE	00 (45 (004)	D 0 404	3.61	1 1 0 4 0040
PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 9 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
TIMIT DUIL.	00/1//2010	Tage 7 of 20	Minutes Date.	ΠΡΙΠ ΔΤ, ΔΟΙΔ

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1
Bergren, Jeanette
Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM
Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per
Judge
Greystone Courtroom #1
White, Melinda
Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016	Page 10 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
------------------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES August 11, 2014

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).
vs.

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Jeanette Bergren

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Viveca M. Woods

Attorney Aurora Maskall bar #6410 was present for the Respondent in an unbundled capacity.

Respondent was sworn and testified via Video Conference from Clark County Detention Center (CCDC).

Court noted Respondent MISSED court on February 19, 2014. DDA advised Responded was present in custody at the October 30, 2013 Hearing and given the February 2014 date. Payment history since November reported. Respondent was to bring \$500.00 at the February date. Bench Warrant was \$804.00. Counsel advised she has taken this case Pro-Bono. Respondent owns his own business and his income fluctuates. Respondent stated he earns \$800.00 to \$1,000.00 per month. There was a colloquy regarding possible Modification and proof of income. DDA further advised Respondent was ARRESTED August 6, 2014. DDA requested half of the Bench Warrant as a release amount. Counsel requested Respondent be released. Statements made by DDA regarding an involuntary payment.

PRINT DATE:	03/17	7/2016	Page 11 of 26	Minutes Date:	Δ.	pril 24, 2012
TIMIT DUID.	00/17	/ 2010	rage ir or zo	 Minutes Date.	$-\mathbf{r}$	PIH ZI , ZVIZ

MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent shall PAY \$300.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month TEMPORARY MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$404.00 EFFECTIVE August 1, 2014. PRIOR STAYED CONTEMPT SENTENCE of five (5) days ISSUED September 19, 2014 shall be IMPOSED with the remaining seventy (70) days STAYED. Respondent shall be RELEASED from CUSTODY on August 16, 2014 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$200.00. Respondent is to bring 2012 and 2013 Internal Revenue Services (IRS) tax returns, and profit and loss statement. District Attorney Family Support (DAFS) is to request updated Health Insurance information and to be addressed next court date.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: August 11, 2014 1:15 PM In Custody Hearing Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated Greystone Courtroom #1 Bergren, Jeanette Teuton, Sylvia

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM
Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per
Judge
Greystone Courtroom #1
White, Melinda
Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 12 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012	

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES December 09, 2014

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

December 09, 2014 9:15 AM Motion for Review and

Adjustment of Child Support

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Maria Chavez

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael

Petitioner sworn and testified

Court noted Respondent responded for request to Modify and had to be in District Court. Respondent

Upon Court's inquiry, DDA reported Respondent's unbundled attorney withdrew. This Modification was initiated by the Court. Respondent is self-employed. He filed a response yesterday Pro-Per and requested a continuance. DDA further reported Respondent did self-report his Gross Monthly Income (GMI). DDA has no opposition to continue this hearing.

Upon Court's inquiry, Petitioner testified Respondent posted his place of employment in computer repairs on Craigslist. Petitioner has printed copy to provide to DA's office today. Court printed out a copy of Respondent's response for Petitioner in OPEN COURT for her to review.

DDA stated Respondent is under an order to show cause and requested a finding of contempt and a bench warrant. Court FINDS, DDA's request is hereby DENIED. MATTER CONTINUED as he has a documented appearance if US District Court on another matter scheduled for today at 10:00 AM. Respondent is ADMONISHED to pay monthly or face imposition of CONTEMPT. Respondent is self-employed. He is to bring copies of filed tax returns next court date for years 2012 and 2013 per NRS 125B.080.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, Matter SET for REVIEW and ADJUSTMENT.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM
Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per
Judge
Greystone Courtroom #1
White, Melinda
Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

	4 0010
PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 14 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24	ŧ. 2012

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

COURT MINUTES DA - UIFSA January 28, 2015 R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

Motion for Review and January 28, 2015 1:45 PM

Adjustment of Child

Support

HEARD BY: Davis, James **COURTROOM:** Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Maria Chavez

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Patricia Foley, Petitioner, present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael

Petitioner Sworn and Testified.

DDA reported this is a Review and Adjustment and Enforcement Review hearing. DDA reviewed case.

After statements, DDA reported Respondent Gross Monthly Income (GMI) is \$2,512.95. Court calculated 29% of GMI to be \$729.00.

Upon DDA's inquiry, Petitioner testified her monthly health insurance cost has remained the same since last hearing. DDA requested Medical support payment of \$79.00 per month continue. DDA requested Modification to be August 1, 2014.

DDA further reported Respondent has self-reported his income of \$2,521.95 and has not made a

			-		
PRINT DATE:	03/17	7/2016	Page 15 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

payment since his jail release payment in August 13, 2014. DDA further requested a bench warrant and for future return date of February 18, 2015, be vacated.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$1,000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

REVIEW Hearing of February 18, 2015 is hereby VACATED.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Canceled: February 18, 2015 2:15 PM Return Hearing - HM

Reason: Canceled as the result of a hearing cancel, Hearing Canceled Reason: Vacated - per

Judge

Greystone Courtroom #1

White, Melinda Teuton, Sylvia

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 16 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24, 2012	
---	--

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES April 15, 2015

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).
vs.
Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

April 15, 2015

1:15 PM

In Custody Hearing

HEARD BY: Teuton, Sylvia

COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Keyla Anderson

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patricia Ross

Respondent, In-Custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC).

DDA stated Respondent failed to appear to his January 28, 2015 hearing, and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$1,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested April 09, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent requested appointed counsel for himself. Respondent stated it was unlawful to detain him and made several arguments on why he should not be in jail. DDA noted Respondent has a business. The Court address all of the Respondent's arguments and denied request for appointed counsel.

MASTER RECOMMENDED: Respondent shall PAY \$729.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT and \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month on ARREARS for a TOTAL MONTHLY PAYMENT of \$833.00.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES ISSUED May 15, 2012

	•			
PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 17 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

shall be SERVED as follows: 19 Days IMPOSED 1 Day STAYED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES STAYED.

Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on May 04, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$900.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent must bring or have paid \$1,666.00.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016	Page 18 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012	
------------------------	---------------	---------------	----------------	--

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

DA - UIFSA COURT MINUTES June 17, 2015 R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

9:00 AM Order to Show Cause -June 17, 2015

Pay or Stay

Conant, Lynn HEARD BY: COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Cherisse Lamb

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patti Ross

No Parties Present.

Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$1,666.00. The DDA reviewed the case. The Respondent has made no payments. The DDA requested a finding of Contempt and a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$2,000.00.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center for FAILURE TO APPEAR AND PAY. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.

BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

BENCH WARRANT

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 19 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 20 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24, 2012	
---	--

R-11-162425-R Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).
vs.
Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

November 16, 2015

1:15 PM

In Custody Hearing

HEARD BY: Lok, Merle K. Teuton, Sylvia

COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK: Keyla Anderson

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert

Respondent, in custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC).

DDA stated Respondent failed to appear for his June 17, 2015 hearing and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$2,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested November 12, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00 jail release. DDA noted \$4,165.00 has come due. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent testified he last worked last week. Respondent stated when he is working he earns \$275.00 per week. Respondent stated he has \$119.00 on his books.

MASTER RECOMMENDED: PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE ISSUED November 21, 2013 shall be SERVED as follows: 10 Days IMPOSED.

Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 22, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT.

PRI	NT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 21 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
-----	----------	------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent is to pay \$833.00 for the December payment next date to avoid Contempt.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016	Page 22 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012
------------------------	---------------	---------------	----------------

DA - UIFSA

COURT MINUTES

January 15, 2016

R-11-162425-R

Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

January 15, 2016

8:30 AM

AM Pay Stays

HEARD BY:

Teuton, Sylvia

COURTROOM: Greystone Courtroom #1

COURT CLERK:

Toni Meza

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Edward Ewert, present.

No parties present.

DDA reported, objection pending in Family Court, Department C, on 1/20/2016 at 10 a.m.

MASTER RECOMMENDED, MATTER CONTINUED.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1

Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 23 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 24 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24, 2012	
---	--

DA - UIFSA

COURT MINUTES

January 20, 2016

R-11-162425-R

Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s).

vs.

Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

January 20, 2016

10:00 AM

Objection - UIFSA

HEARD BY:

Burton, Rebecca L.

COURTROOM: Courtroom 08

COURT CLERK: Neida Parker

PARTIES:

Elizabeth Foley, Subject Minor, not present Michael Foley, Respondent, not present

Michael Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Patricia Foley, Petitioner, not present Public by DAFS, Other, present

Therese Foley, Subject Minor, not present

Steven Wolfson, Attorney, not present

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- OBJECTION - UIFSA

Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Robert Gardner, bar number 6983, present and participated TELEPHONICALLY.

Court reviewed the case.

Court NOTED, Respondent's non-appearance for today's hearing.

Arguments by DDA.

COURT ORDERED, based on the Points and Authorities set forth in the District Attorney's Opposition to Respondent's Objection filed on December 8, 2015, shall be ADOPTED. Furthermore, Respondent's Objections filed on November 24, 2015, and November 26, 2015, shall be DENIED.

DDA, Gardner shall prepare the Order from today s hearing.

PRINT DATE:	03/17/2016	Page 25 of 26	Minutes Date:	April 24, 2012

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

May 17, 2016 9:00 AM Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support

Greystone Courtroom #1 Teuton, Sylvia

July 13, 2016 2:15 PM Review - HM Greystone Courtroom #1

PRINT DATE: 03/17/2016 Page 26 of 26 Minutes Date: April 24, 2012	
---	--

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada County of Clark SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER/JUDGMENT; ORDER FOLLOWING OBJECTION; AMENDED NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

PATRICIA FOLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

MICHAEL A. FOLEY,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: R162425

Dept No: C

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 17 day of March 2016.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES 601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

1000308

Docket 69997 Document 2017-20754

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDING BEGAN AT 10:57:05.)

THE COURT: This is R-11-162425. Neither party is present. I see there's a -- there's an appeal pending. Let's see. Let's see what this says.

Let me hear from the D.A.

MS. CLIFFE: Yes, Your Honor, this -- I saw that the objection was denied. It was on today just for the modification. He was to bring his tax returns, profit and loss statements. He's not paying. He's not receiving any kind of State assistance. I know he plead his income at 800 a month; but quite frankly, without him being here, I'm not -- I'm not sure what his income is.

THE COURT: Okay. Does he have a bench warrant out or not? I can't tell. Is there a bench warrant out for him?

MS. CLIFFE: I was just looking at the filed orders on objection and I didn't see that listed.

THE COURT: Because, you know, when you -- when there's an objection, I have no way of seeing the underlying order because it's never filed. And I don't have access to anything, so. All right. So Respondent's Request to Modify is hereby denied.

THE CLERK: And, Your Honor, I don't see a bench warrant.

THE COURT: Okay. There's failure to appear today and provide proof of income and 2015 tax returns.

You said that was ordered?

```
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
```

23

24

25

```
1
         MS. CLIFFE: I didn't see it. I -- I do see that -- it was ordered.
 2
   And I do see that in the order after objection, there was a finding,
 3
   Respondent has the ability to pay. And there is no indication of willful
   underemployment. And that it just states the return hearing is set for
 4
 5
   July 13th, at 2016.
         THE COURT: That'll stay. July 13th, 2016, at 2:15.
 6
 7
         THE CLERK: Yes.
         THE COURT: All right. And I'll find that his Request to Modify is
   denied for failure to appear today and provide proof of income and 2015 tax
   returns as previously ordered. But I'll keep the temporary in case he
   comes up with something later. He owes $57,168.39. That's through
   1/31/2016. That is a judgment. The Petitioner has the health insurance.
   If available, that will continue. He has quite a bit of stay jail time.
   That will also st- be stayed. Okay.
         THE CLERK: That's it.
         THE COURT: Is that it?
         THE MARSHAL: Mm-hm.
18
         THE COURT: Thank you.
19
          (THE PROCEEDING ENDED AT 11:00:27.)
20
21
```

I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

Electronically Filed

	06/08/2016 09:50:37 AM
	MRAO STEVEN B. WOLFSON
1	STEVEN B. WOLFSON DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar No. 001565 FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION
2	1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100 CLERK OF THE COURT
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200
3	TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) 294910200A District Court
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
5	
6	Patricia Foley,
	Petitioner,) Case No. R-11-162425-R
7	vs.
8) Department No. CHILD SUPPORT Michael Foley,
9)
	Respondent.)
10	MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
11	This matter having been heard on MAY 17, 2016 before the undersigned Hearing Master, having considered all the evidence
12	and having been fully advised in the premises, hereby makes the following Findings and Recommendations:
13	Parties present: Respondent Respondent's attorney Petitioner Petitioner's attorney
	□ PATERNITY ► PATERNITY PREVIOUSLY DECIDED
14	☑ FINANCIALS: ☐ CONTINUE PRIOR ORDERS (NO CHANGE TO PRIOR FINANCIAL ORDERS).
15	Respondent's gross monthly income (GMI) : ; formula amount % of GMI=
16	Basis for deviation from state formula: Respondent is to pay current support for the child(ren), Michael Foley, AND Elizabeth Foley, AND Therese Foley.
17	CHILD SUPPORT
	Respondent is to pay monthly: \$729.00 Temp child support
18	\$79.00 medical support (in lieu of health insurance)
19	\$25.00 spousal support arrears payment
20	☐ ARREARAGES ☐ ARREARAGES NOT ADDRESSED AT THIS HEARING
21	Arrears/Obligation period is through <u>01/31/2016</u> . Arrears, Interest & Penalties calculated through 01/31/2016 by audit. For accounting purposes next payment
İ	falls due 02/01/2016.
22	child support arrearage of \$41,430.21 plus interest of \$6,620.31 penalty of \$4,847.82 medical support arrearage of \$3,555.00 plus interest of \$367.45 penalty of \$347.60
23	spousal support arrearage of plus interest of
	medical expense arrearage of genetic test costs of
24	total arrearages of \$44,985.21 total interest \$6,987.76 total penalty \$5,195.42
25	GRAND TOTAL (arrearages + interest + penalty) = \$57,168.39
26	
27	
28	

000311

1	
2	 ☐ The total arrears are hereby confirmed. ☑ The total arrears, interest and penalties are reduced to judgment. This supersedes prior Nevada judgments, if any, awarded under this case number. Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases
3	with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095.
4	Arrears of \$ subject to modification until, and arrears of \$ reduced to
5	judgment. ☑ Arrears listed above are reduced to judgment. This supersedes prior Nevada judgments, if any, awarded under this case number.
6	
7	TOTAL monthly payment is due on the 1 st day of each month, and continues thereafter until said child(ren)
8	\$ 833.00 seach majority, become emancipated or further order of the Court.
9	Respondent's INCOME SHALL BE WITHHELD for the payment of support.
10	Good cause to stay income withholding is based on: Said withholding shall be postponed until Respondent becomes delinquent in an amount equal to 30 days support.
11	ENFORCEMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: The registered order from, dated, #, is hereby confirmed and is the controlling order for the following reasons: Only order
12	ESTABLISHMENT OF CONTROLLING ORDER: This is the first order establishing a child support obligation for this noncustodial parent for the child(ren) listed in this order who reside(s) with this custodian.
13	Respondent is referred to Employment Services for an appointment on at AM. Health insurance coverage for the minor child(ren) herein:
14	☐ Respondent to provide: ☐ Petitioner to provide, excluding Medicaid: ☐ Both Parties to provide:
15	 if available through employer. ☐ shall provide per court order. ☑ Ordered Party(ies) to provide proof of said insurance to the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division
16	within 90 days of today's date.
17	□ CONTEMPT OF COURT □ NOT A SHOW CAUSE HEARING □ RESPONDENT ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE CONCERNING CONTEMPT.
18	☑ ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE CONTINUED TO NEXT COURT DATE.
19	Respondent is hereby found in Contempt of Court and sentenced to days in the Clark County Detention Center; this sentence shall be stayed until the next court date.
	The following sentence(s) shall be stayed/continued to the next court date unless imposed or vacated today: Sentence of 16 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 11/21/13 is imposed vacated X stayed
20	Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 03/12/14 is imposed vacated X_stayed
21	Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 02/19/15 is imposed vacated X stayed Sentence of 25 days in the Clark County Detention Center issued 07/09/15 is imposed vacated X stayed
22	Respondent is recommended for the day arrest program on
-	Respondent to be released from custody on
23	Respondent may be released from the above sentence immediately upon payment of \$ to be released to Petitioner as child support.
24	□ NO BAIL BENCH WARRANT HEREBY ISSUED FOR THE ARREST OF RESPONDENT. RESPONDENT
25	MAY BE RELEASED UPON PAYMENT OF \$TO BE RELEASED TO PETITIONER AS CHILD SUPPORT. Where circumstances justify a sufficient basis, the District Attorney may administratively quash or
26	recall the bench warrant.
27	☐ BENCH WARRANT PREVIOUSLY ISSUED IS HEREBY ☐ QUASHED. ☐ CONTINUED. ☐ MODIFICATION OF PRIOR ORDER:
28	
	Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 001565 Family Support Division
	1500 East Flamingo Road #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired) Page 2 of 4 FINDNG-LA.

- 11	
1	☐ SUSPENSION OF LICENSES:
2	PAYMENTS All the second of the forms of a carbinal about marray and on a business about ONLY mode.
3	All mailed payments MUST be made in the form of a cashier's check, money order or business check ONLY, made payable to State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU). If payments are made in person, cash or debit card are
4	also accepted.
5	Payments can be mailed to: State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)
6	P.O. Box 98950 Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-8950
7	Payments can be made in person at:
8	State Collection and Disbursement Unit (SCaDU)
9	1900 East Flamingo Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168
10	Additionally, the following information must be included with each payment: name (first, middle, last) of person responsible for paying child support, social security number of person responsible for paying child support, child
11	support case number, and name of petitioner (first and last name of person receiving child support).
12	NOTICE: NO CREDIT WILL BE GIVEN FOR PAYMENTS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE PETITIONER.
13	NOTICE: PRIOR ORDERS NOT SPECIFICALLY MODIFIED HEREIN REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.
14	NOTICE: Interest will be assessed on all unpaid child support balances for cases with a Nevada controlling order pursuant to NRS 99.040. A 10% penalty will be assessed on each unpaid installment, or portion thereof, of an obligation to pay support for a child, pursuant to NRS 125B.095. If the Respondent pays support through income withholding and the full
15 16	obligation is not met by the amount withheld by the employer, the Respondent is responsible to pay the difference between the court ordered obligation and the amount withheld by the employer directly to the state disbursement unit. If the Respondent fails to do so, he/she may be subject to assessment of penalties and interest. The Respondent may avoid these
17	additional costs by making current support payments each month. If another state takes jurisdiction and obtains a new order, Nevada interest and penalties will only be calculated to the date of the new order and will be enforced.
18 19	NOTICE: Pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and federal law, EITHER parent, the legal guardian, and the Division of Welfare and Supportive Services, where there is an assignment of support rights to the State, has the right to request a review of the support provision of this order at least every three (3) years to determine if modification is appropriate; an application for this purpose may be obtained from D.A. Family Support at 1900 E. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168.
20	NOTICE: Objections/Appeals are governed by EDCR1.40(e) and (f). You have ten (10) days from receipt of this Master's
21	Recommendation to serve and file written objections to it. A failure to file and serve written objections will result in a final Order/Judgment being ordered by District Court. However, the Master's Recommendation is not an Order/Judgment unless signed and filed by a Judge.
22	NOTICE: Appeal from a Final Judgment by the Court is governed by NRAP 4 and must be filed within 30 days of written
23	Notice of Entry of Judgment.
24	NOTICE: Respondent is responsible for notifying the District Attorney, Family Support Division, of any change of address change of employment, health insurance coverage, change of custody, or any order relative to child support within ten (10)
25	days of such change.
26	Respondent to bring new financial statement and proof of income next date.
27	This order does not stay collection of support arrears by execution or any other means allowed by law.
28	***********
	D. W. F. Divide Alexand Name Pos No. 001665

1	MISCELL ANEODS FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND RECOMMENDATIONS.		
2	MISCELLANEOUS FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDATIONS: Last payment-8/2014. Respondent's request to modify is hereby DENIED for his failure to appear today and provide proof of income and 2015 tax returns as previously ordered.		
3 4	NEXT HEARING DATE IS <u>July 13, 2016 at 2:15 PM</u> in Courtroom <u>1</u> in Child Support Court at Child Support Center of Southern Nevada, 1900 East Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada, for further proceedings.		
5			
6	DATED: MAY 17, 2016		
7	MASTER		
8			
9	Respondent/Respondent's Attorney Receipt of this document is acknowledged by my signature.		
10			
11	ORDER/JUDGMENT		
12	The Clerk of the Court having reviewed the District Court's file and having determined that no objection has been filed within the ten day objection period, the Master's Recommendation is hereby deemed approved by the District Court pursuant to NRS 425.3844. The affixing of the Clerk of the Court's file stamp to this Master's Recommendation signifies that the ten-day objection period has expired without an objection having been filed and that the District Court deems the Master's Recommendation to be approved as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court, effective with the file stamp date, without need of a District Court Judge's signature affixed hereto. The parties are ordered to comply with this Order/Judgment. The District Court, having reviewed the above and foregoing Master's Recommendation, and having received and considered the objection thereto, as well as any other papers, testimony and argument related thereto and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS affirmed and adopted as an ORDER/JUDGMENT of the District Court this day of, 20		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19	☐ IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Master's Recommendation IS NOT affirmed and adopted this day of		
20	, 20 and this matter is remanded to Child Support Court on, 20 atat		
21			
22	District Court Judge, Family Division		
23	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar No. 001565		
24	By: Karen Cliffe		
	By: The Court of the Deputy District Attorney		
25	FAMILY SUPPORT DIVISION 1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100		
26	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168		
27			
28			

Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney, Nevada Bar No. 001565 Family Support Division 1900 East Flamingo Road #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119-5168 (702) 671-9200 - TDD (702) 385-7486 (for the hearing impaired)

FILED
FEB -7 2017
CLERK OF COURT

COPY

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

```
9 PATRICIA FOLEY, ) CASE NO. R-11-162425-R
Petitioner, ) DEPT. C

10 vs. )

11 APPEAL NO. 69997

MICHAEL A. FOLEY, )

Respondent. )
```

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELLIOT YUG

TRANSCRIPT RE: REVIEW - HM

WEDNESDAY, JULY 13, 2016

R-11-162425-R FOLEY 07/13/2016 TRANSCRIPT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - TRANSCRIPT VIDEO SERVICES

601 N. Pecos Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 455-4977

İ		
1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
2	For the Public by DAFS	KAREN CLIFFE, ESQ. Chief Deputy D.A Family Support
3		1900 E. Flamingo Rd., #100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
4		
5	The Petitioner: For the Petitioner:	PATRICIA FOLEY (Not present) Pro se
6		
7	The Respondent: For the Respondent:	MICHAEL FOLEY (Not present) Pro se
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	ı	

25

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 2

WEDNESDAY, JULY 13, 2016

PROCEEDINGS

(THE PROCEEDING BEGAN AT 14:36:34.)

THE COURT: This is 24 1, Foley v. Foley, Case Number R-11-162 -excuse me, R-11-162425. Neither party is present. Last payment was 2014. Okay. And there's an Order to Show Cause.

Madam D.A., I assume you want contempt and a bench warrant.

MS. CLIFFE: Yes, Your Honor. He has not paid since August of 2014. So I am requesting a bench warrant today.

THE COURT: Okay. \$2000. And that is because his monthly payments are \$833. And he has not made a payment in over a year. Okay. That takes care of Foley.

(THE PROCEEDING ENDED AT 14:37:41.)

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the digital proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Transcriber II

Electronically Filed 07/26/2016 03:58:40 PM

07/26/2016 03:58:40 PM 1 **OBJ** MICHAEL FOLEY **CLERK OF THE COURT** 2 209 S. Stephanie St. Ste B-191 Henderson, NV 89012 3 Telephone: (702) 771-9725 Defendant in Proper Person 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 **FAMILY DIVISION** 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 PATRICIA FOLEY 10 Petitioner, Case No. R-11-162425 11 Dept. No. "Child Support" VS. 12 Date of Hearing: June 17, 2015 MICHAEL FOLEY, 13 14 Respondent. 15 16

OBJECTION TO HEARING MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

17

COMES NOW, Respondent Michael Foley, in Proper Person, and hereby files this OBJECTION to the Hearing Master's Recommendation that was filed in this action on or about July 13, 2016, and mailed on July 14, 2016. This OBJECTION is filed pursuant to E.D.C.R. 1.40 out of an abundance of caution, since this lower court has disregarded the jurisdiction and authority of the Nevada Supreme Court, which presently has exclusive jurisdiction over this matter.

This OBJECTION will be filed and hopefully accepted one day *after* the Respondent's first attempts to file it on July 25, 2016.

27

28

//

1	DATED this 25 th day of June, 2016.		
2		Vulack tol	
3	<u>/s/</u>	Michael Foley /	
4	М	ichael Foley, Respondent in Pro Per	
5	20	99 S. Stephanie St. Ste. B-191	
6	Н	enderson, Nevada 89012	
7	70	02-771-9725	
8			
9	See the attached Appeal Brief, filed on July	14, 2016, in the Nevada Supreme Court.	
10			
11	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
12			
13	The foregoing OBJECTION TO HEARING	MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION	
14	was served upon Respondent Patricia Foley, via fir	rst class mail, postage prepaid to:	
15			
16	Patricia Foley		
17	8937 Austin Ridge Ave.		
18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89178		
19			
20	DATED this 26 th day of July, 2015.	66	
21	<u> </u>	Muhael Tel	
22	<u>/s/</u>	Michael Foley /	
23	М	ichael Foley, Respondent in Pro Per	
24	20	9 S. Stephanie St. Ste. B-191	
25	Не	enderson, Nevada 89012	
26	70	2-771-9725	
27			
28	//		

EXHIBIT "A"

JUL 19 2016

LINGEMAN

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Michael Foley		
Appellant,	Supreme Court No. 69997	
vs.		
Patricia Foley	District Court No. R-11-162425	
Respondent.	riec	

APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF

INSTRUCTIONS: If you are an appellant proceeding pro se (without an attorney) in the Nevada Supreme Court, you must file either (1) a brief that complies with Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 28(a), or (2) a completed copy of this informal brief form, see NRAP 28(k), with the Nevada Supreme Court on or before the due date, see NRAP 31. In civil appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court may dismiss your appeal. In postconviction criminal appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court or Nevada Court of Appeals may decide your appeal on the record without briefing.

HOW TO FILL OUT THIS FORM: This form must be typed, unless you are incarcerated, in which case it must be clearly handwritten. You do not need to refer to legal authority or the district court record. If you are completing your brief on this form, write only in the space allowed on the form. Additional pages and attachments are not allowed. If typing an informal brief, you may either use the lined paper contained in this form or an equivalent number of pages of your own paper. Your brief will be stricken if you fail to follow the directions in this form and the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHERE TO FILE THE BRIEF: You may file your brief in person or by mail.

To file vour brief in person: Bring the brief to the Clerk's Office at the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, or at the Regional Justice Center Clerk's Office (Drop Box), 200 Lewis Street, 17th Floor, Las Vegas, Nevada. You can file your brief Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.in.

JUL 1 8 2016

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

Informal Brief Form October 2015

16-22400

To file your brief by mail: Mail the brief to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Your brief must be postmarked on or before the due date.

You must file the original brief and 1 copy with the clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court. If you want the clerk to return a file-stamped copy of your brief, you must file the original form and 2 copies and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Documents cannot be faxed or emailed to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

Copies of the brief must be mailed or delivered to the other parties to this appeal or to the parties' attorneys, if they have attorneys. You must also include a proper certificate of service or complete the certificate that is attached to the informal brief form.

<u>CAUTION</u>: Pro se parties are prohibited from representing other parties. A pro se party may not complete a brief on behalf of other parties. Pro se parties may collaborate on their briefs, however, provided that if one brief is submitted on behalf of multiple pro se parties, each party must sign and date the brief to confirm that he or she has participated in the preparation of the brief and, by his or her signature, joins in the arguments and representations contained therein.

Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

Filed Date	Name of Judgment or Order	30.0
	Notice of Entry of "Order/Judgment" (
February 22, 2016	Notice of Entry of (Amended?) Order/Judgment (Sec.
		*

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: March 9 March 14 (rejected), March 17, 2016 (accepted after much resistance)

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A. A		
Case No.	Case Title	Name of Court
D-08-403071-D	Eriab er Enfal	Anna and a second
1000710	1 OSA A. LOSAA	8th District - Clark County

Pro Bono Counsel. Would you be interested in having pro bono counsel assigned to represent you in this appeal?

NOTE: If the court determines that your case may be appropriate for having pro bono counsel assigned, an appropriate order will be entered. Assignment of pro bono counsel is not automatic.

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

This case arises from a divorce action that was filed by the Petitioner in the lower court action, case No. R-11-162425-R, which appears to be a derivative action that violates NRCP 7(a), which prohibits the filing pleadings other than complaints, answers, replies, cross-claims, and answers thereto for every action. The Appellant complains to this Court that the District Court does NOT follow the Eighth District Court Rules, nor does it follow the NRCP with regard to how the powers of child support hearing masters are to be limited under said rules, and that because the District Court does not follow the U.S.

Constitution, nor does it follow the Constitution of the State of Nevada, which specifically prohibits imprisonment for debts, other than for fraud, libel or stander. There IS NO EXCEPTION for child support, although the District Court does in fact imprison indigent litigants who are unable to pay child support debts, and this is a violation of the 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, as interpreted by the U.S. Supreme Court in the case Turner v. Rogers, 564 U.S. 431 (2011). The District Court is complicit in a major fraud perpetrated by Clark County District Attorney Steven Wolfson, who is raiding the U.S. Taxpayers' Social Security fund, by making countless false claims pursuant to Title IV-D of the Social Security Act. As of the year 2014, DA Wolfson collect nearly \$19 million dollars from this fund by violating the liberty rights of mostly fathers who are unable. to meet child support obligations that have been imposed upon them in custody and divorce actions, as well as actions for support in the family division of the 8th District Court. Since the year 2008, this Appellant has suffered fraud after fraud by the District Attorney and his deputies. First, the Appellee Patricia Foley was compelled by the DA to divorce the Appellant when she was facing bad check charges stemming from her gambling addiction. Patricia was manipulated and controlled by the Appellant's evil sister Michelle Pont, who, with Clark County DFS, created a faise CPS case against the Appellant, as part of a massive and elaborate scheme to defraud the Appellant of his family, children and property. This controversy is being litigated in Federal Court, and is now before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals, Briefing in that appeal ended more than a year ago, and a decision is not expected for several more months. Meanwhile, the Appellant has been substantially disabled from having normal employment because Clark County DFS decided to deprive him of due process, and place his name in a State registry that substantially prevents him from returning to his prior work as a technology profession working for Cox Communications in Las Vegas. The government of the County and State is opposed to the Appellant having a driver's license, a real estate license, or any other professional business license until such time that the Appellant pays the Appellee a sum

of no less than \$2,000. As the record shows, the "child support" court has compelled the Appellant to pay this very high sum in order for him to maintain his freedom. This is absolutely contrary to the designs of our founding fathers, who authored our Constitution, the very precious document that made Nevada a State, and that actually freed the black slaves of 1863, with the passage of the 14 Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, tronically, the District Court's blatant disregard for said constitutional amendment results in the arrest and false imprisonment of many, many African-American Nevada citizens, who are necessarily citizens of the United States, and deserving and entitled to the protections of the 14th Amendment as declared by the U.S. Supreme Court in Turner v. Rogers (2011). If the Court views the video transcript of the lower court proceedings, it will find that the hearing masters are exercising powers against this appellant, and MANY other citizens mostly of African-American heritage, that the masters simply do not have. Now that the District and this Supreme Court recognizes the Appellants indigence, justice might be delivered at long last.

Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the district court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme Court to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed).

First, E.D.C.R. requires that the child support masters meet with the Presiding judge of Family Division of the 8th District Court. If that rule was being followed, and if the Presiding Judge was doing his or her job, these "Master's Recommendations" would be getting reviewed by said Presiding Judge, and said judge would be either approving, deaying, or modifying said Masters' Recommendations prior to their filling in the District Court docket. That simply is NEVER happening in the 8th District. The child support hearing masters have assumed the power of the District Court judges, with the non-objection of the Presiding Judge, and therefore, the elected District Court judges seldom, if EVER have to sign their names to these unconstitutional "recommendations" to incarcerate poor citizens who don't have the means to pay domestic judgments. This fraud continues

without ANY action by this or any Court because there are millions of dollars and scores of jobs at stake if the U.S. Constitution were followed, applied, observed or enforced. A jurist with above-average intelligence would be able to deduce that the Courts must necessarily appoint legal counsel for unrepresented respondents in these hearings. The hearing masters are threatening and actually imposing and ORDERING that jail sentences be carried out by the local Clark County Sheriff. Sheriff Lombardo is also violating the Appellant's and every other child support respondent's right to due process and equal protection and justice under the laws because he and his predecessors are not just arresting, but hand-cuffing and depriving of personal liberty individuals like the Appellant, in violation of NRS 22.140. In no other "civil" action is a party lawfully handcuffed and jailed when he or she is the subject of a "civil" bench warrant. See NRS 22.140. "the officer shall not confine a person arrested upon the warrant in a prison, or otherwise restrain him or her of personal liberty, except so far as may be necessary to secure his or her personal attendance." NEVER has it been necessary to hand-cuff this Appellant or confine him in a prison, Clark County Detention Center (NRS 208.075), ____ because he has never refused a command given to him by a peace officer. He has no record of resisting arrest, and no record of obstructing justice, yet he is treated like a criminal, actually worse, because convicted criminals have the right to appeal at no cost. Under the regime of Clark County District Attorney Steven Wolfson, civil defendants are purposely arrested, hand-cuffed and imprisoned for no less than 3 days before they get a hearing, despite the law that says under NRS 22, such litigants must not be confined... in a prison, or otherwise deprived of personal liberty. The only exception to this protection is that an officer may deprive a person of personal liberty and confine him in a prison if he or she physically resists the officer's command to go to court with him, and/or he or evade the warrant by refusing to get into the officer's vehicle and peace: she tries to to court to attend to the civil matter that await's the subject's atendance ably proceed and participation. These civil rights violations occur on a daily basis because the District

Court is complicit with the District Attorney's objectives to maximize incentive dollars under 42 U.S.C. 658a. If the District Court followed E.D.C.R. 1.31(b)(5)(ii), then the DA would NOT be able to crank out nearly as many cases, as each of the dozens of Masters' recommendations would actually have to be read by the Presiding Judge, and there is only one Presiding Judge, so it just cannot be done the correct, lawful and constitutional way if the DA is going to meet and exceed his goals and previous year records that earn him awards and recognition for collecting better than any other Child Support Enforcement entity in the nation. One need only Google the terms Steven Wolfson and "Child Support" to find that he is the recipient of a number of awards for his outstanding success collecting child support debts. Well of course he is going to be more successful than other authorities that follow the law. If or when this Court views not just the video transcript of this Appellant's proceedings, but also of the proceedings of the litigants who were heard before and after him, the Court would see that the Appellant's fellow debtor-prisoners were treated just as harshly, and very much as though they were characters in a Charles Dickens novel like Oliver Twist. The Deputy District Attorneys who shamelessly represent the mothers (primary custodial parents) in these hearing are ruthless. They demand that the debtor-prisoners contact their friends and relatives to beg that they be lent money so that they can get the money they seek, and threaten us debtor-prisoners with continued incarceration if we are unsuccessful in begging or borrowing enough money to be released from Clark County's unlawful, unconsitutional and archaic debtors' prison. This status quo will not likely be ruled unconstitutional by this Court because there is simply too many millions of dollars at stake, and too many jobs that will have to be eliminated by the District Attorney if he were to follow the Constitutions, and NOT prosecute and seek imprisonment of unrepresented debtors. IF the District Court would follow the U.S. Constitution, and the authority mandated by the U.S. Supreme Court in Turner v. Rogers, then the District Attorney (and money-hungry divorcees) would not get nearly as much money as they get now. The divorce industry

would falter because there would no longer be that satisfaction that is sought by many, which is to incarcerate ex-spouses if they don't pay. If this paradigm were an experiment by social and population-controlling engineers, the model in Clark County would be the one to follow because not only has the Appellant's 15-year-old son decided that he is never going to have children, likewise at least one of the agents who last arrested this Appellant is resigned to never having children, due to the inherent risks created by corrupt District Attorney Steven Wolfson and his accomplices within the 8th District Court. The District Attorney and his accomplices are undeniably corrupt because they are well educated in the law, and despite recent Supreme Court rulings, particularly Turner, they continue to not just prosecute and seek unlawful imprisonment of innocent, non-threatening citizens like this Appellant, the INTIMIDATE and OBLIGATE WOMEN to divorce their husbands every chance they get, whether it is a supposed domestic violence situation, or as in the Appellant's wife's case, bad check activity that could either be prosecuted according to criminal statute, or CONVERTED to a civil domestic action that will necessarily lead to a stream of child support incentive revenues for years and years. This Court MUST vacate the lower Court's judgment, but probably won't, just to maintain the status quo and multi-million dollar federal cash flow in Clark County.

DATED this 14th day of July 2016

Signature of Appellant

Michael Foley Print Name of Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below. I served a copy of this completed informal brief form upon all parties to the appeal as follows:

☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or

By mailing it by first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es) (list names and address(es) of parties served):

Patricia Foley 8937 Austin Ridge Ave Las Vegas, Nevada 89178

Vanita Gupta
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Office of the Assistant Attorney General, Main Washington, D.C. 20530

DATED this 14th day of July 2016

Signature of Appellant

Print Name of Appellant

209 5. Stephanie St. Address Ste B-191

Henderson PV 89012 City/State/Zip

702-771-9725 Telephone

EXHIBIT "1"



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division
Office for Access to Justice

Washington, D.C. 20530

March 14, 2016

Dear Colleague:

The Department of Justice ("the Department") is committed to assisting state and local courts in their efforts to ensure equal justice and due process for all those who come before them. In December 2015, the Department convened a diverse group of stakeholders—judges, court administrators, lawmakers, prosecutors, defense attorneys, advocates, and impacted individuals—to discuss the assessment and enforcement of fines and fees in state and local courts. While the convening made plain that unlawful and harmful practices exist in certain jurisdictions throughout the country, it also highlighted a number of reform efforts underway by state leaders, judicial officers, and advocates, and underscored the commitment of all the participants to continue addressing these critical issues. At the meeting, participants and Department officials also discussed ways in which the Department could assist courts in their efforts to make needed changes. Among other recommendations, participants called on the Department to provide greater clarity to state and local courts regarding their legal obligations with respect to fines and fees and to share best practices. Accordingly, this letter is intended to address some of the most common practices that run atoul of the United States Constitution and/or other federal laws and to assist court leadership in ensuring that courts at every level of the justice system operate fairly and lawfully, as well as to suggest alternative practices that can address legitimate public safety needs while also protecting the rights of participants in the justice system.

Recent years have seen increased attention on the illegal enforcement of fines and fees in certain jurisdictions around the country—often with respect to individuals accused of misdemeanors, quasi-criminal ordinance violations, or civil infractions. Typically, courts do not sentence defendants to incarceration in these eases; monetary fines are the norm. Yet the harm

¹ See, e.g., Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Investigation of the Ferguson Police Department (Mar. 4, 2015), http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/spl/documents/ferguson_findings_3-4-15.pdf (finding that the Ferguson, Missouri, municipal court routinely deprived people of their constitutional rights to due process and equal protection and other federal protections); Brennan Center for Justice, Criminal Justice Debt: A Barrier to Reentry (2010), available at http://www.brennancenter.org/sites/default/files/legacy/Pecs%20mid%20Fines%20FINAL.pdf (reporting on fine and fee practices in fifteen states); American Civil Liberties Union. In for a Penny: The Rise of America's New Debtors' Prisons (2010), available at https://www.ach.org/files/assets/inForAPenny_web.pdf (discussing practices in Louisiana, Michigan, Ohio, Georgia, and Washington state).

caused by unlawful practices in these jurisdictions can be profound. Individuals may confront escalating debt; face repeated, unnecessary incarceration for nonpayment despite posing no danger to the community²; lose their jobs; and become trapped in cycles of poverty that can be nearly impossible to escape.³ Furthermore, in addition to being unlawful, to the extent that these practices are geared not toward addressing public safety, but rather toward raising revenue, they can cast doubt on the impartiality of the tribunal and erode trust between local governments and their constituents.⁴

To help judicial actors protect individuals' rights and avoid unnecessary harm, we discuss below a set of basic constitutional principles relevant to the enforcement of fines and fees. These principles, grounded in the rights to due process and equal protection, require the following:

- (1) Courts must not incarcerate a person for nonpayment of fines or fees without first conducting an indigency determination and establishing that the failure to pay was willful;
- (2) Courts must consider alternatives to incarceration for indigent defendants unable to pay fines and fees;
- (3) Courts must not condition access to a judicial hearing on the prepayment of times or fees;
- (4) Courts must provide meaningful notice and, in appropriate cases, counsel, when enforcing fines and fees;
- (5) Courts must not use arrest warrants or license suspensions as a means of coercing the payment of court debt when individuals have not been afforded constitutionally adequate procedural protections;
- (6) Courts must not employ bail or bond practices that cause indigent defendants to remain incarcerated solely because they cannot afford to pay for their release; and
- (7) Courts must safeguard against unconstitutional practices by court staff and private contractors.

In court systems receiving federal funds, these practices may also violate Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, when they unnecessarily impose disparate harm on the basis of race or national origin.

Nothing in this letter is intended to suggest that courts may not preventively detain a defendant pretrial in order to secure the safety of the public or appearance of the defendant.

See Council of Economic Advisers, Issue Brief, Fines, Fees, and Bail: Payments in the Criminal Justice System that Disproportionately Impact the Poor, at 1 (Dec. 2015), available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/page/files/1215_cea_fine_fee_bail_issue_brief.pdf (describing the disproportionate impact on the poor of fixed monetary panalties, which "can lead to high levels of debt and even incarceration for failure to fulfil a payment" and create "barriers to successful re-entry after an offense").

⁴ See Conference of State Court Administrators, 2011-2012 Policy Paper, Courts Are Not Revenue Centers (2012), available at https://csgjusticecenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/2011-12-COSCA-report.pdf.

As court leaders, your guidance on these issues is critical. We urge you to review court rules and procedures within your jurisdiction to ensure that they comply with due process, equal protection, and sound public policy. We also encourage you to forward a copy of this letter to every judge in your jurisdiction; to provide appropriate training for judges in the areas discussed below; and to develop resources, such as bench books, to assist judges in performing their duties lawfully and effectively. We also hope that you will work with the Justice Department, going forward, to continue to develop and share solutions for implementing and adhering to these principles.

 Courts must not incarcerate a person for nonpayment of fines or fees without first conducting an indigency determination and establishing that the failure to pay was willful.

The due process and equal protection principles of the Fourteenth Amendment prohibit "punishing a person for his poverty." Bearden v. Georgia, 461 U.S. 660, 671 (1983). Accordingly, the Supreme Court has repeatedly held that the government may not incarcerate an individual solely because of inability to pay a fine or fee. In Bearden, the Court prohibited the incarceration of indigent probationers for failing to pay a fine because "[t]o do otherwise would deprive the probationer of his conditional freedom simply because, through no fault of his own, he cannot pay the fine. Such a deprivation would be contrary to the fundamental farmess required by the Fourteenth Amendment." Id. at 672-73; see also Tate v. Short, 401 U.S. 395, 398 (1971) (holding that state could not convert defendant's unpaid fine for a tine-only offense to incarceration because that would subject him "to imprisonment solely because of his indigency"); Williams v. Illinois, 399 U.S. 235, 241-42 (1970) (holding that an indigent defendant could not be imprisoned longer than the statutory maximum for failing to pay his fine). The Supreme Court recently reaffirmed this principle in Turner v. Rogers, 131 S. Ct. 2507 (2011), holding that a court violates due process when it finds a parent in civil contempt and jails the parent for failure to pay child support, without first inquiring into the parent's ability to pay. Id. at 2518-19.

To comply with this constitutional guarantee, state and local courts must inquire as to a person's ability to pay prior to imposing incarceration for nonpayment. Courts have an affirmative duty to conduct these inquiries and should do so sua sponte. Bearden, 461 U.S. at 671. Further, a court's obligation to conduct indigency inquiries endures throughout the life of a case. See id. at 662-63. A probationer may lose her job or suddenly require expensive medical care, leaving her in precarious financial circumstances. For that reason, a missed payment cannot itself be sufficient to trigger a person's arrest or detention unless the court first inquires anew into the reasons for the person's non-payment and determines that it was willful. In addition, to minimize these problems, courts should inquire into ability to pay at sentencing, when contemplating the assessment of fines and fees, rather than waiting until a person fails to pay.

Under Bearden, standards for indigency inquiries must ensure fair and accurate assessments of defendants' ability to pay. Due process requires that such standards include both notice to the defendant that ability to pay is a critical issue, and a meaningful opportunity for the defendant to be heard on the question of his or her financial circumstances. See Turner, 131 S. Ct. at 2519-20 (requiring courts to follow these specific procedures, and others, to prevent unrepresented parties from being jailed because of financial incapacity). Jurisdictions may benefit from creating statutory presumptions of indigency for certain classes of defendants—for example, those eligible for public benefits, living below a certain income level, or serving a term of confinement. See, e.g., R.I. Gen. Laws § 12-20-10 (listing conditions considered "prima facie evidence of the defendant's indigency and limited ability to pay," including but not limited to "[q]ualification for and/or receipt of" public assistance, disability insurance, and food stamps).

2. Courts must consider alternatives to incarceration for indigent defendants unable to pay fines and fees.

When individuals of limited means cannot satisfy their financial obligations, Bearden requires consideration of "alternatives to imprisonment." 461 U.S. at 672. These alternatives may include extending the time for payment, reducing the debt, requiring the defendant to attend traffic or public safety classes, or imposing community service. See id. Recognizing this constitutional imperative, some jurisdictions have codified alternatives to incarceration in state law. See, e.g., Ga. Code Ann. § 42-8-102(f)(4)(A) (2015) (providing that for "failure to report to probation or failure to pay fines, statutory surcharges, or probation supervision fees, the court shall consider the use of alternatives to confinement, including community service"); see also Tate, 401 U.S. at 400 n.5 (discussing effectiveness of fine payment plans and citing examples from several states). In some cases, it will be immediately apparent that a person is not and will not likely become able to pay a monetary fine. Therefore, courts should consider providing alternatives to indigent defendants not only after a failure to pay, but also in lieu of imposing financial obligations in the first place.

000334

Neither community service programs nor payment plans, however, should become a means to impose greater penalties on the poor by, for example, imposing onerous user fees or interest. With respect to community service programs, court officials should consider delineating clear and consistent standards that allow individuals adequate time to complete the service and avoid creating unreasonable conflicts with individuals' work and family obligations. In imposing payment plans, courts should consider assessing the defendant's financial resources to determine a reasonable periodic payment, and should consider including a mechanism for defendants to seek a reduction in their monthly obligation if their financial circumstances change.

3. Courts must not condition access to a judicial hearing on prepayment of fines or fees.

State and local courts deprive indigent defendants of due process and equal protection if they condition access to the courts on payment of fines or fees. See Boddie v. Connecticut, 401 U.S. 371, 374 (1971) (holding that due process bars states from conditioning access to

compulsory judicial process on the payment of court fees by those unable to pay); see also Tucker v. City of Montgomery Bd. of Comm'rs, 410 F. Supp. 494, 502 (M.D. Ala. 1976) (holding that the conditioning of an appeal on payment of a bond violates indigent prisoners' equal protection rights and "has no place in our heritage of Equal Justice Under Law" (citing Burns v. Ohio, 360 U.S. 252, 258 (1959)).

This unconstitutional practice is often framed as a routine administrative matter. For example, a motorist who is arrested for driving with a suspended license may be told that the penalty for the citation is \$300 and that a court date will be scheduled only upon the completion of a \$300 payment (sometimes referred to as a prehearing "bond" or "bail" payment). Courts most commonly impose these prepayment requirements on defendants who have failed to appear, depriving those defendants of the opportunity to establish good cause for missing court. Regardless of the charge, these requirements can have the effect of denying access to justice to the poor.

4. Courts must provide meaningful notice and, in appropriate cases, counsel, when enforcing fines and fees.

"An elementary and fundamental requirement of due process in any proceeding which is to be accorded finality is notice reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314-15 (1950); see also Turner, 131 S. Ct. at 2519 (discussing the importance of notice in proceedings to enforce a child support order). Thus, constitutionally adequate notice must be provided for even the most minor cases. Courts should ensure that citations and summonses adequately inform individuals of the precise charges against them, the amount owed or other possible penalties, the date of their court hearing, the availability of alternate means of payment, the rules and procedures of court, their rights as a litigant, or whether in-person appearance is required at all. Gaps in this vital information can make it difficult, if not impossible, for defendants to fairly and expeditiously resolve their cases. And inadequate notice can have a cascading effect, resulting in the defendant's failure to appear and leading to the imposition of significant penalties in violation of the defendant's due process rights.

Further, courts must ensure defendants' right to counsel in appropriate cases when enforcing fines and fees. Failing to appear or to pay outstanding fines or fees can result in incarceration, whether through the pursuit of criminal charges or criminal contempt, the imposition of a sentence that had been suspended, or the pursuit of civil contempt proceedings. The Sixth Amendment requires that a defendant be provided the right to counsel in any criminal proceeding resulting in incarceration, see Scott v. Illinois, 440 U.S. 367, 373 (1979); Argersinger v. Hamlin, 407 U.S. 25, 37 (1972), and indeed forbids imposition of a suspended jail sentence on a probationer who was not afforded a right to counsel when originally convicted and sentenced,

⁵ The Supreme Court reaffirmed this principle in *Little v. Streater*, 452 U.S. 1, 16-17 (1981), when it prohibited conditioning indigent persons' access to blood tests in adversarial paternity actions on payment of a fee, and in *M.L.B. v. S.L.J.*, 519 U.S. 102, 107 (1996), when it prohibited charging filing fees to indigent persons seeking to appeal from proceedings terminating their parental rights.

see Alabama v. Shelton, 535 U.S. 654, 662 (2002). Under the Fourteenth Amendment, defendants likewise may be entitled to counsel in civil contempt proceedings for failure to pay fines or fees. See Turner, 131 S. Ct. at 2518-19 (holding that, although there is no automatic right to counsel in civil contempt proceedings for nonpayment of child support, due process is violated when neither counsel nor adequate alternative procedural safeguards are provided to prevent incarceration for inability to pay).

5. Courts must not use arrest warrants or license suspensions as a means of coercing the payment of court debt when individuals have not been afforded constitutionally adequate procedural protections.

The use of arrest warrants as a means of debt collection, rather than in response to public safety needs, creates unnecessary risk that individuals' constitutional rights will be violated. Warrants must not be issued for failure to pay without providing adequate notice to a defendant, a hearing where the defendant's ability to pay is assessed, and other basic procedural protections. See Turner, 131 S. Ct. at 2519; Bearden, 461 U.S. at 671-72; Mullane, 339 U.S. at 314-15. When people are arrested and detained on these warrants, the result is an unconstitutional deprivation of liberty. Rather than arrest and incarceration, courts should consider less harmful and less costly means of collecting justifiable debts, including civil debt collection.

In many jurisdictions, courts are also authorized—and in some cases required—to initiate the suspension of a defendant's driver's license to compel the payment of outstanding court debts. If a defendant's driver's license is suspended because of failure to pay a fine, such a suspension may be unlawful if the defendant was deprived of his due process right to establish inability to pay. See Bell v. Burson, 402 U.S. 535, 539 (1971) (holding that driver's licenses "may become essential in the pursuit of a livelihood" and thus "are not to be taken away without that procedural due process required by the Fourteenth Amendment"); cf. Dixon v. Love, 431 U.S. 105, 113-14 (1977) (upholding revocation of driver's license after conviction based in part on the due process provided in the underlying criminal proceedings); Mackey v. Montrym, 443 U.S. 1, 13-17 (1979) (upholding suspension of driver's license after arrest for driving under the influence and refusal to take a breath-analysis test, because suspension "substantially served" the government's interest in public safety and was based on "objective facts either within the personal knowledge of an impartial government official or readily ascertainable by him," making the risk of erroneous deprivation low). Accordingly, automatic license suspensions premised on determinations that fail to comport with Bearden and its progeny may violate due process.

⁶ Turner's ruling that the right to counsel is not automatic was limited to contempt proceedings arising from failure to pay child support to a custodial parent who is unrepresented by counsel. See 131 S. Ct. at 2512, 2519. The Court explained that recognizing such an automatic right in that context "could create an asymmetry of representation." Id. at 2519. The Court distinguished those circumstances from civil contempt proceedings to recover funds due to the government, which "more closely resemble debt-collection proceedings" in which "[t]he government is likely to have counsel or some other competent representative." Id. at 2520.

⁷ Researchers have questioned whether the use of police and jail resources to coerce the payment of court debts is cost-effective. See, e.g., Katherine Beckett & Alexes Harris, On Cash and Conviction: Monetary Sanctions as Misguided Policy, 10 CRIMINOLOGY & PUB. POL'Y 505, 527-28 (2011). This strategy may also undermine public safety by diverting police resources and stimulating public distrust of law enforcement.

Even where such suspensions are lawful, they nonetheless raise significant public policy concerns. Research has consistently found that having a valid driver's license can be crucial to individuals' ability to maintain a job, pursue educational opportunities, and care for families. At the same time, suspending defendants' licenses decreases the likelihood that defendants will resolve pending cases and outstanding court debts, both by jeopardizing their employment and by making it more difficult to travel to court, and results in more unlicensed driving. For these reasons, where they have discretion to do so, state and local courts are encouraged to avoid suspending driver's licenses as a debt collection tool, reserving suspension for cases in which it would increase public safety.

6. Courts must not employ bail or bond practices that cause indigent defendants to remain incarcerated solely because they cannot afford to pay for their release.

When indigent defendants are arrested for failure to make payments they cannot afford, they can be subjected to another independent violation of their rights: prolonged detenuon due to unlawful bail or bond practices. Bail that is set without regard to defendants' financial capacity can result in the incarceration of individuals not because they pose a threat to public safety or a flight risk, but rather because they cannot afford the assigned bail amount.

As the Department of Justice set forth in detail in a federal court brief last year, and as courts have long recognized, any bail practices that result in incarceration based on poverty violate the Fourteenth Amendment. See Statement of Interest of the United States, Varden v. City of Clanton, No. 2:15-cv-34-MHT-WC, at 8 (M.D. Ala., Feb. 13, 2015) (citing Bearden, 461 U.S. at 671; Tate, 401 U.S. at 398; Williams, 399 U.S. at 240-41). Systems that rely primarily on secured monetary bonds without adequate consideration of defendants' financial means tend to result in the incarceration of poor defendants who pose no threat to public safety solely because they cannot afford to pay. To better protect constitutional rights while ensuring defendants' appearance in court and the safety of the community, courts should consider transitioning from a system based on secured monetary bail alone to one grounded in objective risk assessments by pretrial experts. See, e.g., D.C. Code § 23-1321 (2014); Colo. Rev. Stat. 16-

⁸ See, e.g., Robert Cervero, et al., Transportation as a Stimulus of Welfare-to-Work: Private versus Public Mobility, 22 J. Plan. Educ. & Res. 50 (2002); Alan M. Voorhees, et al., Motor Vehicles Affordability and Fairness Task Force: Final Report, at xii (2006), available at http://www.state.nj.us/mvc/pdf/About/AFTF_final_02.pdf (a study of suspended drivers in New Jersey, which found that 42% of people lost their jobs as a result of the driver's license suspension, that 45% of those could not find another job, and that this had the greatest impact on seniors and low-income individuals).

³ See Am. Ass'n of Motor Veh. Adm'rs, Best Practices Guids to Reducing Suspended Drivers, at 3 (2013), available at http://www.aamva.org/WorkArea/linkit.aspx?LinkIdemifier=id&ItemID=3723&libID=3709 (recommending that "legislatures repeat state laws requiring the suspension of driving privileges for non-highway safety related violations" and citing research supporting view that fewer driver suspensions for non-compliance with court requirements would increase public safety).

The United States' Statement of Interest in Varden is available at http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/pressreleases/attachments/2015/02/13/varden statement_of_interest.pdf.

¹¹ See supra Statement of the Umted States, Varden, at 11 (citing Timothy R. Schnacke, U.S. Department of Justice, National Institute of Corrections, Fundamentals of Barl: A Resource Guide for Pretrial Practitioners and A Framework for American Pretrial Reform, at 2 (2014), available at http://dicio.gov/library/028360).

4-104 (2014); Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 431.066 (2015); N.J. S. 946/A1910 (enacted 2015); see also 18 U.S.C. § 3142 (permitting pretrial detention in the federal system when no conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and safety of the community, but cautioning that "[t]he judicial officer may not impose a financial condition that results in the pretrial detention of the person").

7. Courts must safeguard against unconstitutional practices by court staff and private contractors.

In many courts, especially those adjudicating strictly minor or local offenses, the judge or magistrate may preside for only a few hours or days per week, while most of the business of the court is conducted by clerks or probation officers outside of court sessions. As a result, clerks and other court staff are sometimes tasked with conducting indigency inquiries, determining bond amounts, issuing arrest warrants, and other critical functions—often with only perfunctory review by a judicial officer, or no review at all. Without adequate judicial oversight, there is no reliable means of ensuring that these tasks are performed consistent with due process and equal protection. Regardless of the size of the docket or the limited hours of the court, judges must ensure that the law is followed and preserve "both the appearance and reality of fairness, generating the feeling, so important to a popular government, that justice has been done."

Marshall v. Jerrico, Inc., 446 U.S. 238, 242 (1980) (internal quotation marks omitted); vee also American Bar Association, MODEL CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, Canon 2, Rules 2.2, 2.5, 2.12.

Additional due process concerns arise when these designees have a direct pecuniary interest in the management or outcome of a case-for example, when a jurisdiction employs private, for-profit companies to supervise probationers. In many such jurisdictions, probation companies are authorized not only to collect court fines, but also to impose an array of discretionary surcharges (such as supervision fees, late fees, drug testing fees, etc.) to be paid to the company itself rather than to the court. Thus, the probation company that decides what services or sanctions to impose stands to profit from those very decisions. The Supreme Court has "always been sensitive to the possibility that important actors in the criminal justice system may be influenced by factors that threaten to compromise the performance of their duty." Young v. U.S. ex rel. Vuitton et Fils S.A., 481 U.S. 787, 810 (1987). It has expressly prohibited arrangements in which the judge might have a pecuniary interest, direct or indirect, in the outcome of a case. See Tumey v. Ohio, 273 U.S. 510, 523 (1927) (invalidating conviction on the basis of \$12 fee paid to the mayor only upon conviction in mayor's court); Ward v. Village of Monroeville, Ohio, 409 U.S. 57, 61-62 (1972) (extending reasoning of Tumey to cases in which the judge has a clear but not direct interest). It has applied the same reasoning to prosecutors, holding that the appointment of a private prosecutor with a pecuniary interest in the outcome of a case constitutes fundamental error because it "undermines confidence in the integrity of the criminal proceeding." Young, 481 U.S. at 811-14. The appointment of a private probation company with a pecuniary interest in the outcome of its cases raises similarly fundamental concerns about fairness and due process.

The Department of Justice has a strong interest in ensuring that state and local courts provide every individual with the basic protections guaranteed by the Constitution and other federal laws, regardless of his or her financial means. We are eager to build on the December 2015 convening about these issues by supporting your efforts at the state and local levels, and we look forward to working collaboratively with all stakeholders to ensure that every part of our justice system provides equal justice and due process.

Sincerely,

Vanita Gupta

Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

Jamesty-

Lisa Foster

Director

Office for Access to Justice

EXHIBIT "B"

7/25/2016 E-Filing Details

Details of filing: Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation

Filed in Case Number: R-11-162425-R

E-File 1D: 8415250

Lead File Size: 88573 bytes

Date Filed: 2016-07-25 16:35:30.0

Case Title: R-11-162425-R

Case Name: Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). vs. Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

Filing Title: Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation

Filing Type: EFO

Filer's Name: Michael Foley

Filer's Email: paraleagle@hotmail.com

Account Name: Walmart

Filing Code: OB!

Amount: \$3.50

Court Fee: \$ 0.00

Card Fee: \$ 0.00

Payment: No payment was made because there was a billing error.

Comments: Filed remotely, CLERK, PLEASE NOTE that the filer has been granted in forma pauperis status, therefore no charge for this

filling. Thank you.

Courtesy Copies: foley103071@live.com

Firm Name: Michael Foley

Your File Number:

Status: Error - (X)

Date Accepted:

Review Comments:

Reviewer:

File Stamped Copy:

Cover Document:

Documents: Lead Document: OBJECTION TO HEARING MASTERS RECOMMENDATION 7-25-16.cdf 88573 bytes

1037546 bytes Attachment # 1: 16-22400.pdf

Data Reference ID:

Credit Card System Response: AROCD888E681

Response: Reference:

EXHIBIT "C"

E-Filling Details

Details of filing: Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation

Filed in Case Number: R-11-152425-R

E-File ID: 8415756

Lead File Size: 88573 bytes

Date Filed: 2016-07-25 18:39:18.0

Case Title: R-11-162425-R

Case Name: Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s), vs. Michael A Foley, Respondent(s),

Filing Title: Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation

Filing Type: EFO

Filer's Name: Michael Foley

Filer's Email: paraleagle@hotmail.com

Account Name: Kalku

Filing Code: OS3

Amount: \$3.50

Court Fee: \$ 0.00

Card Fee: \$ 0.00

Payment: No payment was made because there was a billing error.

Comments: IN FORMA PAUPERIS, NO FEE REQUIRED!

Courtesy Copies: foley403071@live.com

Firm Name: Michael Foley

Your File Number:

Status: Error - (X)

Date Accepted:

Review Comments:

Reviewer:

File Stamped Copy:

Cover Document:

Documents: Lead Document: OBJECTION TO HEARING MASTERS RECOMMENDATION 7-25-16.pdf 88573 bytes

Attachment # 1: 16-22400.pdf

1037546 bytes

Data Reference ID:

Credit Card Response: System Response: APOCD6A8758C Reference:

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Patricia Foley, Petitioner(s). Michael A Foley, Respondent(s).

§ § Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia

Location: Department C Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.

05/09/2011 Open

Case Flags: Order After Hearing Required

Department

Order / Decree Logged Into

Appealed to Supreme Court

In Forma Pauperis Granted

Filed on: 05/09/2011

Case Type: DA - UIFSA

Status:

Case Number History:

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

D-08-403071-D (Linked - 1J1F)

D-13-486829-U (Related Family Case) D-14-502988-C (Related Family Case)

T-13-152209-T (Linked - 1J1F)

T-14-159054-T (Related Family Case)

Warrants

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.) Returned - Served

11/13/2015 8:23 AM

07/09/2015 4:17 PM Issued 06/17/2015 9:00 AM Pending

Fine: Bond:

\$0 \$0

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

04/10/2015 10:42 AM Returned - Served

02/19/2015 3:41 PM Issued 01/29/2015 4:39 PM Pending

\$0 Fine: \$0 Bond:

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Jones, Steven E)

12:41 PM Returned - Served 08/07/2014

11:09 AM Issued 03/12/2014 02/19/2014 9:00 AM Pending

Fine: \$0 \$0 Bond:

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Jones, Steven E)

Returned - Served 4:35 PM 10/28/2013

09/19/2012 4:17 PM Issued Pending 9:00 AM

08/28/2012 \$0 Fine:

Bond:

Bench Warrant - Foley, Michael A (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

07/14/2016 8:33 AM Pending

Fine: Bond:

DATE

\$0 \$0

CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

R-11-162425-R Case Number Department C Court 01/05/2015 Date Assigned Judicial Officer Burton, Rebecca L. Teuton, Sylvia Hearing Master

PARTY INFORMATION

Printed on 12/27/

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Petitioner

Foley, Patricia

8838 Tomnitz AVE UNIT 103

Las Vegas, NV 89187

Respondent

Foley, Michael A 1122 Milpas AVE Las Vegas, NV 89135

Subject Minor

Foley, Elizabeth Anne

Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr.

Foley, Therese Marie

Other

Public by DAFS 1900 E Flamingo RD STE 100

Las Vegas, NV 89119-5168

Wolfson, Steven B Retained 702-671-9200(W)

D	Ă,	ľ	E.

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

	EVENTS
05/09/2011	Notice and Finding: Financial Responsibility Notice and Finding of Financial Responsibility to Enforce, Adjust and/or Register an Existing Order, Determine Controlling Order, Establish an Obligation or Determine Paternity
03/01/2012	Order to Show Cause Order to Show Cause Why Respondent Should Not Be Found in Contempt and Order Appointing Child Support Master
03/01/2012	Declaration in Support of Order to Show Cause Declaration in Support of Order to Show Cause Why Respondent Should Not Be Found in Contempt
03/01/2012	Declaration of Service Filed by: Other Public by DAFS For: Respondent Foley, Michael A
04/25/2012	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
04/26/2012	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS District Attorney's Notice of Motion & Motion to Modify Child Support
05/15/2012	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
05/18/2012	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
08/29/2012	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
09/19/2012	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
09/21/2012	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
04/15/2013	Administrative Reassignment to Department C Case reassigned from Judge Robert Teuton Dept D
10/28/2013	Bench Warrant Filed by: Other Public by DAFS

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. R-11-102425-R
	Served: Respondent Foley, Michael A
10/31/2013	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
11/21/2013	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
11/25/2013	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
02/19/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
03/12/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
03/13/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
08/07/2014	Bench Warrant Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Served: Respondent Foley, Michael A
08/11/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
08/13/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
08/21/2014	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS District Attorney's Notice of Motion & Motion to Modify Child Support
09/02/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Master's Recommendation
09/02/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Master's Recommendation
09/04/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
09/09/2014	Notice of Withdrawal Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Withdrawal of Counsel
09/15/2014	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
12/08/2014	Response Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Respondent's Response to the District Attorney's Motion to Modify Child Support
12/09/2014	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
12/24/2014	Notice of Hearing Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
12/31/2014	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
12/31/2014	

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. R-11-162425-R
	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
01/05/2015	Judicial Elections 2014 - Case Reassignment Family Court Judicial Officer Reassignment 2014
01/29/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
02/19/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Master's Recommendation
02/25/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
04/10/2015	Bench Warrant Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Served: Respondent Foley, Michael A
04/16/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
05/07/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Master's Recommendation
05/15/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
06/17/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
07/01/2015	Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Application to Proceed Informa Pauperis and Request to File Objection (Sealed)
07/09/2015	Masters Recommendations and Order Master's Recommendation
07/14/2015	Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (Sealed)
08/06/2015	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
11/13/2015	Bench Warrant Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Served: Respondent Foley, Michael A
11/17/2015	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
11/24/2015	Objection Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Objection to Master's Recommendation
11/24/2015	Certificate of Service Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A
11/26/2015	Objection Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation
12/08/2015	

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. R-11-162425-R

	CASE NO. R-11-102423-R
	Opposition Filed by: Other Public by DAFS DA Opposition to Respondent's Objection
12/09/2015	Clerk of the Courts Notice of Change of Hearing Clerk of the Court's Notice of Change of Hearing
01/13/2016	Notice Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A
01/15/2016	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Notice of Entry of Master's Recommendations
01/20/2016	Notice Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Intent/Request to Appear by Communication Equipment
01/20/2016	Notice Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Intent/Request to Appear by Communication Equipment
01/28/2016	Order Following Objection
02/01/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
02/01/2016	Notice of Motion Filed by: Other Public by DAFS District Attorney's Notice of Motion & Motion to Modify Child Support
02/02/2016	Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Other Public by DAFS For: Respondent Foley, Michael A
02/08/2016	Masters Recommendations and Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Master's Recommendation
02/09/2016	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS
02/22/2016	Order Following Objection
02/24/2016	Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Order Amended to Include Exhibit 1
03/17/2016	Notice of Appeal Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A
03/17/2016	Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A
03/25/2016	Certificate of Service Filed by: Respondent Foley, Michael A
05/17/2016	Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation Party: Other Public by DAFS Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation
06/08/2016	Masters Recommendations and Order
06/09/2016	Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment Filed by: Other Public by DAFS 5/17/2016

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

07/14/2016

Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation

Party: Other Public by DAFS

Notice of Entry of Masters Recommendation

07/26/2016

Objection

Filed by: Other Public by DAFS

Objection to Hearing Master's Recommendation

DISPOSITIONS

05/15/2012

Master's Recommendation (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

Support (Child, Obligor: Foley, Michael A, Obligee: Foley, Patricia, On Behalf Of: Public by

DAFS, \$16,739.98, One Time)

06/08/2016

Master's Recommendation (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

Support (Child, Obligor: Foley, Michael A, Obligee: Foley, Patricia, On Behalf Of: Public by

DAFS, \$57,168.39, One Time)

HEARINGS

04/24/2012

Order to Show Cause (3:25 PM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (08/28/2012 at 9:00 AM)

(Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney, Ed Ewert, Present. Both Parties Present and Sworn. COURT FINDS, Matter on for Order to Show Cause (OSC). DA reported that Respondent was paying through a wage withholding. The last payment was received October 2011. Respondent testified that was when he received his last pay. He lost his job in November. Respondent is currently working through a temporary service doing telephone surveys. Respondent earns \$5.75 per hour. Pay stub provided showing 9 hours worked. When asked if Respondent considered modifying Order he stated he was told by Family Court there would not be any more Orders. Respondent is provided a modification packet this date. Respondent addressed issues unrelated to this case. DA is requesting OSC continue. Respondent states he has been looking for employment. Respondent further states his sporadic employment history is causing his biggest problem to obtain employment as well as his inability to renew his real estate license until arrears are paid. DA seeking review in 90-120 days. Petitioner states Respondent is suing her and has spent \$10,000 on attorney fees that could have been paid on the child. Petitioner also claims Respondent is hiding money. She is to bring proof next date. MASTER RECOMMENDED, ARREARS through March 31, 2012 are \$13,711.22 (not including Penalty and Interest). Respondent shall PAY \$700 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. MISCELLANEOUS; Last payment was wage withholding received October 11, 2011. Respondent was unemployed and started a new job this week at \$9 per hour at 20 hours per week. He is working through a TEMP agency. Respondent was given a MOD packet today. He can pay a minimum of \$325 per month to avoid contempt beginning May 1, 2012. Respondent to bring a paystub next court date. Both parties are on notice of possible modification effective April 1, 2012, to be considered next date. Petitioner to bring health insurance information she provides for children next date, and any other employment information she has about Respondent.;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (08/28/2012 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

08/28/2012

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Warrant

09/19/2012 Inactive Bench Warrant

Bench Warrant Issued;

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

Journal Entry Details:

DEPUTY DA: GERARD COSTANTIAN Petitioner Sworn and Testified. COURT FINDS: Today's hearing is a motion for a Review and Adjustment of Child Support. DA reported this is the Respondent's request. DA advised the Respondent is currently working through a temporary service, he earns \$9.00 per hour at 20 hours per week. The Petitioner provided her most recent paystub today in Open Court regarding the health insurance cost for just the minor child, the cost is \$157.00 per month and one half equals \$78.54 per month. The Petitioner requested to be notified once the Respondent has been arrested. MASTER RECOMMENDED. Respondent shall PAY \$700.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$804.00. PETITIONER SHALL PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE for the minor child(ren), if available, through employer and PROOF of INSURANCE to DA within 90 days. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 5 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE STAYED. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$500.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent failed to appear. His request to modify is hereby DENIED, for failure to provide proof of income and hours worked. He can re-new his request at a later date. Respondent is to contribute to Petitioner's cost, at \$79.00 per month, beginning April 1, 2012. Respondent has failed to pay minimum amount requested to avoid contempt of court of \$325.00 per month.;

10/30/2013

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Davis, James)

MINUTES

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (02/19/2014 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

Contempt of Court;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert Respondent, who participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), was sworn-in and testified. The DDA informed the Court that Respondent has a parallel Family Court case, D-08-403071-D, wherein Petitioner is pursuing an Order to Show Cause against Respondent as well. Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent testified that he was arrested on Sunday, October 27, 2013. Respondent also testified that he has over \$80.00 on the books. The DDA noted that Respondent's last payment was on July 12, 2013 through a wage withholding. Respondent then presented testimony regarding his employment situation. Respondent testified that he can accrue \$200.00 to be released from custody. Upon DDA inquiry, Respondent clarified that his last paycheck was approximately four (4) to six (6) weeks ago through a temporary agency. Respondent testified that he conducts side-jobs through a private party for income. Respondent estimated that he earns between \$100.00 and \$150.00 each week. Respondent also testified that he submits direct payments to Petitioner. The Court instructed Respondent to refrain from submitting direct payments to Petitioner. Respondent testified that he did not qualify for unemployment insurance benefits (UIB). Upon viewing Respondent's employment history, the DDA encouraged Respondent to still apply. The Court IMPOSED a SENTENCE and encouraged Respondent to apply for a modification. The Court also ORDERED Respondent to submit \$500.00 at the next hearing. MASTER RECOMMENDED; SENTENCE of 5 days is to be IMPOSED per PREVIOUS ORDER. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 04, 2013 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$300.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date.;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (02/19/2014 at 9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

02/19/2014

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

MINUTES

Warrant

03/12/2014 Inactive Bench Warrant

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy DA: V. Monet Woods Petitioner Sworn and Testified. Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$500.00. The DA reported the Respondent was in custody last date. The Respondent's last

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

payment was July 12, 2013 when the income withholding ended and \$28.00 was paid that date. The DA requested a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$804.00. The Petitioner noted the parties have a hearing on February 26 in Family Court. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$804.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. BENCH WARRANT;

08/08/2014

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued:

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy DA: Alec Raphael No Parties Present. Today's hearing is an In-Custody Review. The Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) Correctional Officer advised the Respondent was transported to their North Valley Complex and is not present for today's hearing. MASTER RECOMMENDED, matter CONTINUED.;

08/11/2014

CANCELED In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM)

Vacated

08/11/2014

CANCELED In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM)

Vacated

08/11/2014

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

MINUTES

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (12/09/2014 at 9:15 AM)

(Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Continued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Viveca M. Woods Attorney Aurora Maskall bar #6410 was present for the Respondent in an unbundled capacity. Respondent was sworn and testified via Video Conference from Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). Court noted Respondent MISSED court on February 19, 2014. DDA advised Responded was present in custody at the October 30, 2013 Hearing and given the February 2014 date. Payment history since November reported. Respondent was to bring \$500.00 at the February date. Bench Warrant was \$804.00. Counsel advised she has taken this case Pro-Bono. Respondent owns his own business and his income fluctuates. Respondent stated he earns \$800.00 to \$1,000.00 per month. There was a colloquy regarding possible Modification and proof of income. DDA further advised Respondent was ARRESTED August 6, 2014. DDA requested half of the Bench Warrant as a release amount. Counsel requested Respondent be released. Statements made by DDA regarding an involuntary payment. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent shall PAY \$300.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT \$79.00 per month TEMPORARY MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$ 25.00 per month TEMPORARY on ARREARS for a TOTAL monthly PAYMENT OF \$404.00 EFFECTIVE August 1, 2014. PRIOR STAYED CONTEMPT SENTENCE of five (5) days ISSUED September 19, 2014 shall be IMPOSED with the remaining seventy (70) days STAYED. Respondent shall be RELEASED from CUSTODY on August 16, 2014 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$200.00. Respondent is to bring 2012 and 2013 Internal Revenue Services (IRS) tax returns, and profit and loss statement. District Attorney Family Support (DAFS) is to request updated Health Insurance information and to be addressed next court date. ;

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (12/09/2014 at 9:15 AM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

12/09/2014

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:15 AM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael Petitioner sworn and testified Court noted Respondent responded for request to Modify and had to be in District Court. Respondent Upon Court's inquiry, DDA reported Respondent's unbundled attorney withdrew. This Modification was initiated by the Court. Respondent is self-employed. He filed a response yesterday Pro-Per and requested a continuance. DDA further reported Respondent did self-report his Gross Monthly Income (GMI). DDA has no opposition to continue this hearing. Upon Court's inquiry, Petitioner testified Respondent posted his place of employment in computer repairs on

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. R-11-162425-R

Craigslist. Petitioner has printed copy to provide to DA's office today. Court printed out a copy of Respondent's response for Petitioner in OPEN COURT for her to review. DDA stated Respondent is under an order to show cause and requested a finding of contempt and a bench warrant. Court FINDS, DDA's request is hereby DENIED. MATTER CONTINUED as he has a documented appearance if US District Court on another matter scheduled for today at 10:00 AM. Respondent is ADMONISHED to pay monthly or face imposition of CONTEMPT. Respondent is self-employed. He is to bring copies of filed tax returns next court date for years 2012 and 2013 per NRS 125B.080. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Matter SET for REVIEW and ADJUSTMENT.;

01/28/2015

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (1:45 PM) (Judicial Officer: Davis, James)

R162425 02/18/2015 @, 2.15 PM CR#1

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Alec Raphael Petitioner Sworn and Testified. DDA reported this is a Review and Adjustment and Enforcement Review hearing. DDA reviewed case. After statements, DDA reported Respondent Gross Monthly Income (GMI) is \$2,512.95. Court calculated 29% of GMI to be \$729.00. Upon DDA's inquiry, Petitioner testified her monthly health insurance cost has remained the same since last hearing. DDA requested Medical support payment of \$79.00 per month continue. DDA requested Modification to be August 1, 2014. DDA further reported Respondent has self-reported his income of \$2,521.95 and has not made a payment since his jail release payment in August 13, 2014. DDA further requested a bench warrant and for future return date of February 18, 2015, be vacated. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$1,000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. REVIEW Hearing of February 18, 2015 is hereby VACATED.;

02/18/2015

CANCELED Return Hearing - HM (2:15 PM)

Vacated - per Judge

04/15/2015

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patricia Ross Respondent, In-Custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). DDA stated Respondent failed to appear to his January 28, 2015 hearing, and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$1,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested April 09, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent requested appointed counsel for himself. Respondent stated it was unlawful to detain him and made several arguments on why he should not be in jail. DDA noted Respondent has a business. The Court address all of the Respondent's arguments and denied request for appointed counsel. MASTER RECOMMENDED: Respondent shall PAY \$729.00 per month TEMPORARY CURRENT SUPPORT and \$79.00 per month MEDICAL SUPPORT and \$25.00 per month on ARREARS for a TOTAL MONTHLY PAYMENT of \$833.00. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES ISSUED May 15, 2012 shall be SERVED as follows: 19 Days IMPOSED 1 Day STAYED. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES STAYED. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on May 04, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$900.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent must bring or have paid \$1,666.00.;

06/17/2015

Order to Show Cause - Pay or Stay (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Conant, Lynn)

MINUTES

Warrant

Bench Warrant 07/09/2015 Inactive

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Patti Ross No Parties Present. Today's hearing is a Pay or Stay for \$1,666.00. The DDA reviewed the case. The Respondent has made no payments. The DDA requested a finding of Contempt and a Bench Warrant in the amount of \$2,000.00. MASTER RECOMMENDED, Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center for FAILURE TO APPEAR AND PAY. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. R-11-162425-R

shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. BENCH WARRANT;

11/16/2015

In Custody Hearing (1:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Lok, Merle K.)

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Edward Ewert Respondent, in custody, sworn and testified. Respondent participated via video conference from the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC). DDA stated Respondent failed to appear for his June 17, 2015 hearing and a Bench Warrant was issued for \$2,000.00. DDA advised Respondent was arrested November 12, 2015. DDA reported Respondent's last payment was August 2014 for \$200.00 jail release. DDA noted \$4,165.00 has come due. Upon inquiry of the Court, Respondent testified he last worked last week. Respondent stated when he is working he earns \$275.00 per week. Respondent stated he has \$119.00 on his books. MASTER RECOMMENDED: PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCE ISSUED November 21, 2013 shall be SERVED as follows: 10 Days IMPOSED. Respondent may be RELEASED from CUSTODY on November 22, 2015 or immediately upon PAYMENT of \$2,000.00, which shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD SUPPORT. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Respondent is to pay \$833.00 for the December payment next date to avoid Contempt.;

01/15/2016

AM Pay Stays (8:30 AM) (Hearing Master: Teuton, Sylvia) RESPONDENT TO PAY \$833.00; R162425 01/20/16 @ 10:00 AM

Matter Continued:

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Edward Ewert, present. No parties present. DDA reported, objection pending in Family Court, Department C, on 1/20/2016 at 10 a.m. MASTER RECOMMENDED, MATTER CONTINUED.;

01/20/2016

Objection - UIFSA (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)

MINUTES

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

OBJECTION - UIFSA Deputy District Attorney (DDA), Robert Gardner, bar number 6983, present and participated TELEPHONICALLY. Court reviewed the case. Court NOTED, Respondent's non-appearance for today's hearing. Arguments by DDA. COURT ORDERED, based on the Points and Authorities set forth in the District Attorney's Opposition to Respondent's Objection filed on December 8, 2015, shall be ADOPTED. Furthermore, Respondent's Objections filed on November 24, 2015, and November 26, 2015, shall be DENIED. DDA, Gardner shall prepare the Order from today s hearing.;

05/17/2016

Motion for Review and Adjustment of Child Support (9:00 AM) (Hearing

Master: Teuton, Sylvia) 07/13/16 @, 2:15pm

Denied;

Journal Entry Details:

Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Karen Cliffe No Parties Present. DDA stated Respondent is not paying and does not receive State assistance. DDA requested Modification Denied. MASTER RECOMMENDED, ARREARS are \$57,168.39 (including INTERESTS and PENALTIES) through January 31, 2016 and REDUCED to JUDGMENT. Petitioner shall PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE for the minor child(ren), if available. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES STAYED. MODIFICATION DENIED. MISCELLANEOUS RECOMMENDED ORDERS: Last payment-August 2014. Respondent's request to modify is hereby DENIED for his failure to appear today and provide proof of income and 2015 tax returns as previously ordered.:

07/13/2016

Review - HM (2:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Yug, Elliot)

Bench Warrant Issued;

Journal Entry Details:

These Minutes were typed by Courtroom Clerk trainee, Nidia Fuentes Deputy District Attorney (DDA): Karen Cliffe No Parties Present. COURT FINDS Respondent's last PAYMENT was in 2014. MASTER RECOMMENDED. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, CONTINUED. Respondent found in CONTEMPT and SENTENCED to 25 DAYS in the Clark County Detention Center. SENTENCE STAYED until next court date. PRIOR CONTEMPT SENTENCES STAYED. BENCH WARRANT, NO BAIL. RESPONDENT shall be RELEASED upon PAYMENT of \$2000.00, which sum shall be RELEASED to PETITIONER as CHILD

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. R-11-162425-R

SUPPORT.;

08/31/2016

Objection - UIFSA (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Burton, Rebecca L.)
Off Calendar;
Journal Entry Details:
OBJECTION - UIFSA There being no appearances, matter was taken OFF CALENDAR.;

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Family Record Search Refine Search Back

Location : Family Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS

CASE No. D-08-403071-D

Patricia Foley, Plaintiff vs. Michael A Foley, Defendant.

Case Type: **Divorce - Complaint** § Subtype: § Complaint Subject Minor(s) š Date Filed: 11/19/2008 Location: Department C δ § Cross-Reference Case Number: D403071

RELATED CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases

Defendant

A-12-673291-C (Related) D-13-486829-U (Related) D-14-502988-C (Related)

PARTY INFORMATION

Lead Attorneys

Pro Se

Plaintiff Foley, Patricia Pro Se

Subject Minor Foley, Elizabeth Anne

Subject Minor Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr.

Foley, Michael A

Subject Minor Foley, Therese Marie

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

DISPOSITIONS

11/01/2010 Judgment (Judicial Officer: Teuton, Robert W.) Judgment (\$1,000.00, In Full, Child Support)

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

11/19/2008 Complaint for Divorce

11/19/2008 Motion

11/19/2008 Summons

Foley, Michael A Served 11/25/2008 11/19/2008 **Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet**

11/26/2008 **Proof of Personal Service of Summons and Complaint**

Acceptance Of Service Notice of Hearing

12/04/2008 December 17, 2008

12/16/2008 Opposition and Countermotion

12/16/2008 Answer

12/16/2008 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

12/17/2008 Case Management Conference (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)

Result: Off Calendar

12/22/2008 Financial Disclosure Form 12/22/2008 Certificate of Service

Certificate of Service 12/22/2008

by Facsimile and Mailing

Motion for Child Custody (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.) 01/09/2009

Pltf's Motion for Custody, Establish Child Support and Alimony

01/09/2009 Opposition & Countermotion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.) Deft's Opposition and Countermotion for Joint Physical Custody

01/09/2009 All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)

Result: Matter Continued

Certificate of Mailing 01/09/2009

01/09/2009 **Financial Disclosure Form**

Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.) 01/16/2009

MOTION FOR CHILD CUSTODY: PLTF'S MOTION FOR CUSTODY, ESTABLISH CHILD SUPPORT & ALIMONY

01/16/2009 Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)

OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION: DEFT'S OPPOSITION & COUNTERMOTION FOR JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY

01/16/2009 All Pending Motions (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)

Result: Granted in Part

01/20/2009 Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.07

```
00356
```

```
02/10/2009 Notice of Hearing
03/09/2009 Order
              from January 16, 2009 Hearing
03/10/2009
            Notice of Entry of Order
              filed 03/09/09
            Status Check (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
03/12/2009
            Case Management Conference (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
03/12/2009
                03/12/2009 Reset by Court to 03/12/2009
03/12/2009 All Pending Motions (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
             Result: Matter Heard
03/17/2009
            Order Setting Civil Non-Jury Trial
            Substitution of Attorney
Status Check (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
03/26/2009
04/30/2009
              RE: PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Heard
05/14/2009
            Notice of Change of Address
            Affidavit of Service
05/21/2009
               Subpoena Duces Tecum
05/27/2009
             Affidavit of Service
               Subpoena Duces Tecum, Exhibits A - C In Person
06/02/2009
             CANCELED Non-Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
               Vacated
               To be heard with the Evidentiary Hearing (mp/cc).
06/05/2009
            Order
              from April 30 2009 Hearing
06/08/2009
            Notice of Entry of Order
07/07/2009
            Pre-trial Memorandum
07/07/2009 Financial Disclosure Form
              AMENDED
            Receipt of Copy
07/08/2009
              Defendant's Pre-Trial Memorandum
07/09/2009
            Pre-trial Memorandum
07/09/2009 Financial Disclosure Form
07/10/2009
            Certificate of Mailing
07/13/2009 Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Continued
            Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)

LOCATION: TO BE DETERMINED
07/22/2009
              Parties Present
             Result: Decision Made
07/22/2009
            Affidavit of Resident Witness
             CANCELED Evidentiary Hearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
08/04/2009
               Vacated - per Judge
09/25/2009
            Decree of Divorce
09/28/2009
            Notice of Entry of Decree
              of Divorce
02/16/2010
            Motion
02/16/2010 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
03/01/2010 Certificate of Mailing
              Notice Of Motion for an Order to Show Cause
            Order to Show Cause
03/04/2010
03/15/2010 Substitution of Attorney
              Substitution of Attorney
03/15/2010 Opposition and Countermotion
               Opposition to Motion for an Order to Show Cause; Counter-Motion to Grant Joint Legal custody of Minor Child; Counter-Motion to Set Aside
               Divorce Decree Pursuant to NRCP 60(b), or in the Alternative, to Amend it; Counter-motion for Legal Custody of Minor Children to Ensure
               Compliance with Order, and to Compel Plaintiff to Undergo Problem Gambling Therapy, or in the Alternative, Post a Bond Securing Against
               Unlawful Taking or Selling of the Minor Children Pursuant to NRS 125D(4) and NRS 200.465; and a Counter-Motion for an Order to Show Cause
03/16/2010 Motion for Order to Show Cause (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
              Pltf's Motion for an Order to Show Cause
                03/18/2010 Reset by Court to 03/16/2010
03/16/2010
            Order to Show Cause (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
                03/18/2010 Reset by Court to 03/16/2010
03/16/2010
            All Pending Motions (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Continued
03/16/2010 Opposition & Countermotion (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
               Opposition to Motion for an Order to show Cause; Counter-Motion to Grant Joint Legal custody of Minor Child; Counter-Motion to Set Aside
               Divorce Decree Pursuant to NRCP 60(b), or in the Alternative, to Amend it; Counter-motion for Legal Custody of Minor Children to Ensure
              Compliance with Order, and to Compel Plaintiff to Undergo Problem Gambling Therapy, or in the Alternative, Post a Bond Securing Against Unlawful Taking or Selling of the Minor Children Pursuant to NRS 125D(4) and NRS 200.465; and a Counter-Motion for an Order to Show Cause
03/16/2010 Order for Family Mediation Center Services
05/20/2010 Return Hearing (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
               RE: FMC CHILD INTERVIEW
               Parties Present
            Result: Matter Heard
```

```
12/10/2010 Substitution of Attorney
12/10/2010 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
12/14/2010 Notice of Entry of Order
12/14/2010 Certificate of Mailing
12/16/2010 Motion for Order to Show Cause (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
              Pltf's Motion for an Order to Show Cause
            Order to Show Cause (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
12/16/2010
12/16/2010
            Opposition & Countermotion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
            Deft's Opposition & Countermotion for Change of Visitation, Custody, Child Support and Sanctions All Pending Motions (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
12/16/2010
             Result: Denied
01/21/2011
            Motion
01/21/2011
            Ex Parte Motion
              for an Order Shortening time
01/21/2011
            Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
01/25/2011
            Order
01/26/2011
            Receipt of Copy
              Receipt of Copy
01/26/2011
            Order Shortening Time
01/27/2011
            Receipt of Copy
02/01/2011
            Motion (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
              Pltf's Motion for Permission to take the Children to Mexico for 4 Days
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Heard
            Return Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.) 02/03/2011, 02/08/2011
02/03/2011
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Heard
02/03/2011
            Order
              Written Order from February 3 2011 Hearing
             CANCELED Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
02/18/2011
              Vacated - per Law Clerk
              OST
            Status Check (2:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Teuton, Robert W.)
02/24/2011
              02/24/2011, 05/05/2011
              RE: Reunification Counseling/Therapy & Ms. Coe's Report
              Parties Present
             Result: Matter Continued
02/24/2011
            Financial Disclosure Form
              Financial Disclosure Form
02/24/2011
            Financial Disclosure Form
              Financial Disclosure Form
03/23/2011
            Notice of Change of Hearing
            Petition and Order to Destroy / Dispose of Exhibits
04/06/2012
04/06/2012
            Certificate of Disposal of Exhibits
03/06/2013
            Affidavit in Support
              of the Disqualification of District Judge Honorable Robert Teuton and Supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities
03/06/2013
            Notice of Change of Address
03/07/2013 Declaration Under Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act
              Declaration under UCCJEA
03/07/2013
            Motion
              Motion to Modify Custody, Visitation, and/or Child Support
03/12/2013
            Financial Disclosure Form
              Financial Disclosure Form
            Supplemental
04/05/2013
              Supplement to Motion for Change of Custody
04/05/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Certificate of Service
04/08/2013 Motion (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Becker, Nancy)
```

06/14/2010 Order

11/01/2010

11/02/2010

11/04/2010

11/04/2010

000357

Order

11/10/2010 Order to Show Cause 12/10/2010 Opposition and Countermotion

5/20/10 Hearing

Notice of Motion

Notice of Entry of Order Notice of Entry of Order

for an Order to Show Cause **Certificate of Mailing**

11/04/2010 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet

Order

000357

Affidavit in Support of the Disqualification of Senior District Judge Honorable Nancy Becker, and Supporting Memorandum of Points and

Deft's Motion to Modify Custody and Child Support

Answer to Affidavit of Bias and Prejudice Pursuant to NRS 1.235

Parties Present Result: Denied 04/10/2013 Affidavit in Support

Certificate of Service Certificate of Service 04/12/2013 Answer - First Appearance Fee Not Required

Authorities

04/10/2013

```
04/15/2013 Administrative Reassignment to Department C
              Case reassigned from Judge Robert Teuton Dept D
04/15/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Certificate of Service
04/18/2013
            Consent to Service By Electronic Means
              Consent to Service by Electronic Means
05/08/2013
            Order
05/08/2013
            Notice of Entry of Order/Judgment
05/08/2013
            Certificate of Mailing
05/17/2013
            Motion
              Motion to Disqualify Senior District Court Judge Honorable Nancy Becker
05/17/2013
            Motion
              Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's April 8, 2013 Ruling
05/24/2013
            Ex Parte Motion
              Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time
05/24/2013
            Ex Parte Motion
              Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time
05/31/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Certificate of Service
05/31/2013
            Supplemental
              Supplement to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's April 8, 2013 Ruling
05/31/2013
            Amended
              Amended Certificate of Service
            Motion to Disqualify Judge (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer)
06/13/2013
              Deft's Motion To Disqualify Senior District Court Judge Honorable Nancy Becker
               06/20/2013 Reset by Court to 06/13/2013
            Result: Denied
06/14/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Amended
            Certificate of Service
06/14/2013
              Amended
06/17/2013
            Order Shortening Time
            Motion to Reconsider (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Ames, Jack B.)
06/19/2013
              Deft's Motion for Reconsideration Of The Court's April 8, 2013 Ruling
              Parties Present
            Result: Under Advisement
            Certificate of Service
06/19/2013
              Certificate of Service
            Order Denying
06/24/2013
              Order Denying Motion to Disqualify Judge Nancy Becker
06/27/2013
            Motion
              Motion For Reconsideration of the Chief Judge's Denial of Defendant's Motion to Disqualify Judge, Or In The Alternative, For An Order Placing On
              Calendar The Defendant's Pending Motion for Reconsideration, On An Order Shortening Time
06/27/2013
            Ex Parte Motion
              Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time
06/27/2013
            Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet
              Family Court Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet (NRS 19.0312)
06/28/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Certificate of Service
            Order Shortening Time
07/03/2013
            Order Shortening Time
Notice of Entry of Order
07/03/2013
              Notice of Entry of Order
07/11/2013
            Motion to Reconsider (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Togliatti, Jennifer)
              Deft's Motion for Reconsideration of the Chief Judge's Denial of Deft's Motion to Disqualify Judge, or in the Alternative, for an Order Placing on
              Calendar the Deft's Pending Motion for Reconsideration
               07/25/2013 Reset by Court to 07/11/2013
            Result: Denied
07/18/2013
            Decision
              Decision and Order
07/24/2013
            Certificate of Service
              Certificate of Service
07/24/2013
            Re-Notice of Motion
              Re-Notice Of Motion
08/09/2013
            Ex Parte Motion
              Ex Parte Motion for Order Shortening Time
            Motion to Reconsider (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Ames, Jack B.)
08/21/2013
              Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's April 8, 2013 Ruling
              Parties Present
            Result: Off Calendar
08/29/2013
            Motion
              Motion For Order To Show Cause
08/29/2013
            Notice of Motion
              Notice of Motion
09/20/2013
            Amended Motion
              Amended Motion for An Order to Show Cause
09/20/2013
            Amended Notice
              Amended Notice of Motion for An Order to Show Cause
09/26/2013
            Order to Show Cause
09/27/2013
            Certificate of Mailing
              Certificate of Mailing
09/30/2013
            CANCELED Motion for Order to Show Cause (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Jones, Steven E)
```

,	L. Verreted
	Vacated Pltf's Motion For An Order To Show Cause
09/30/2013	Motion for Order to Show Cause (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Becker, Nancy)
	09/30/2013, 11/04/2013
	Pltf's Amended Motion For an Order to Show Cause
	Parties Present
	Result: Matter Continued
10/16/2013	
40/40/0040	Defendant's Response To Plaintiff's Motions For An Order To Show Cause
	Certificate of Service Evidentiary Hearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer Ames, Jack B.)
12/10/2013	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AGAINST DEFENDANT
	Parties Present
	Result: Off Calendar
12/24/2013	
12/2 1/2010	Petitioner's Motion to Supplement His Original Petition for Extraordinary Relief and to File an Appendix and Reply Brief
12/24/2013	Certificate of Service
	Certificate of Service
02/14/2014	
02/14/2014	Defendant's Motion to Modify Custody, Visitation, and Child Support Notice of Motion
02/14/2014	Notice of Motion
02/14/2014	Ex Parte Motion
	Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time
03/14/2014	Opposition
00/44/0044	Opposition to Modify Custody, Visitation and Child Support
03/14/2014	Certificate of Service Certificate of Service
03/17/2014	Motion to Modify Custody (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officers Jones, Steven E, Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
	Defendant's Motion to Modify Custody, Visitation, and Child Support
	Parties Present
	Result: Evidentiary Hearing
05/20/2014	Ex Parte Motion
	Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time
06/17/2014	Opposition
06/10/2014	Opposition to Modify Custody, Visitation and Child Support Evidentiary Hearing (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Hardcastle, Gerald W.)
00/19/2014	Custody/Chronic Litigation (full day)
	Parties Present
	Result: Denied
07/11/2014	
	Order
01/05/2015	Judicial Elections 2014 - Case Reassignment
00/40/0047	Family Court Judicial Officer Reassignment 2014
06/12/2017	Motion Defendant's Motion and Notice of Motion for An Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and to Enforce Child Custody and/or Visitation
06/12/2017	Ex Parte Motion
33/12/2317	Ex Parte Motion for An Order Shortening Time
06/21/2017	Motion (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer Burton, Rebecca L.)
	Deft's Motion for An Order to Show Cause Regarding Contempt and to Enforce Child Custody and/or Visitation

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

07/18/2017 Reset by Court to 06/21/2017

	Defendant Foley, Michael A Total Financial Assessment				219.00
	Total Payments and Credits				
	Balance Due as of 06/16/2017				
12/16/2008	Transaction Assessment				98.00
12/16/2008	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2008-44494-FAM		Mastin, Amy M.	(98.00)
10/13/2009	Transaction Assessment	recorpt in 2000 1110 117 tivi		Madail, 7 ally 101.	11.00
10/13/2009		Receipt # 2009-61367-FAM		Foley, Michael A	(11.00)
12/10/2010	Transaction Assessment	•		•	` 1.0Ó
12/10/2010	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2010-58754-FAM		Foley, Michael A	(1.00)
03/30/2012	Transaction Assessment				27.00
03/30/2012	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2012-08462-FAM		Foley, Michael A	(27.00)
08/20/2013					20.00
08/20/2013		Receipt # 2013-22837-FAM		Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr.	(20.00)
02/14/2014	Transaction Assessment				25.00
02/14/2014		Receipt # 2014-04310-FAM		Foley, Michael Anthony, Jr.	(25.00)
06/25/2014	Transaction Assessment				10.00
06/25/2014		Receipt # 2014-18581-FAM		Foley; Michael; Anthony; Jr.	(10.00)
03/07/2016					2.00
03/07/2016	, ,	Receipt # 2016-07103-FAM		Foley, Michael A	(2.00)
06/12/2017	Transaction Assessment				25.00
06/12/2017	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2017-18949-FAM		Foley, Michael A	(25.00)

	Plaintiff Foley, Patricia Total Financial Assessment Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 06/16/2017			
11/19/2008	Transaction Assessment			170.00
11/19/2008	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2008-41548-FAM	Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC	(170.00)
10/07/2009	Transaction Assessment			5.00
10/07/2009	. ,	Receipt # 2009-60122-FAM	Kelleher, John T	(5.00)
10/27/2009				2.00
10/27/2009	., ,	Receipt # 2009-64854-FAM	Erin Elizabeth Light	(2.00)
06/23/2010				3.00
06/23/2010	., ,	Receipt # 2010-33680-FAM	Foley, Patricia	(3.00)
07/15/2011	Transaction Assessment			8.00
07/15/2011	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2011-28197-FAM	Foley, Patricia	(8.00)
03/14/2014				25.00
03/14/2014		Receipt # 2014-07437-FAM	Foley, Patricia	(25.00)
07/02/2014				12.00
07/02/2014	Payment (Window)	Receipt # 2014-19409-FAM	Patricia Foley	(12.00)